

Washington Docket No. UT 100820
Response to Integra Data Request No. 176
Response Date: October 1, 2010

Integra Data Request No. 176:

Has CenturyLink declined, put off until later, or otherwise delayed fulfillment of any request from any carrier for use of an application-to-application interface or e- bonding relating to processing of ASRs? If so:

- a. Identify each carrier;
- b. State the date the request was made;
- c. State the city and state for which the request was made;
- d. State each reason (*e.g.*, rural carrier status or any other reason) why CenturyLink declined, put off, or delayed fulfillment of the request.

CenturyLink Objections:

CenturyLink objects to this request to the extent it applies to matters other than Washington intrastate operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission as such matters are irrelevant and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. CenturyLink further objects to the request to the extent that it calls for information that is, and the production of documents that contain, third-party proprietary information which is subject to a confidentiality agreement and may be confidential carrier info that may not be disclosed under 47 U.S.C. 222(b).

CenturyLink Response:

Subject to and without waiving its objections, CenturyLink provides the following response: CenturyLink has received two requests for application to application ebonding (UOM). One request has been implemented on schedule as requested. The other is being implemented based on the timeframe requested by the customer.

Sponsor: Melissa Cloz, Director Wholesale Operations