	A.	PacifiCorp uses a start date of April 3, 2011. The Company proposes a true-up from
		the current \$4.8 million being returned to ratepayers to the actual amount for the
		period April 3, 2011 to April 2, 2012 ¹⁸ . Under PacifiCorp's proposal, Washington
		ratepayers would have their REC revenues for 2010 2009 reduced substantially, from
	,	\$4.8 million to \$
	Q.	What reasons does PacifiCorp use to support its proposal?
	A.	PacifiCorp says the April 3, 2011, date is necessary to avoid "retroactive
		ratemaking"; the Company allegedly did not earn its "authorized" return in prior
		periods ²⁰ ; and the Company will have to reflect a one-time adjustment to earnings. ²¹
		The Company also says the Settlement Stipulation prevents the Commission from
		passing back REC revenues for 2010, ²² and that selecting a date prior to April 3,
		2011, provides the Company improper incentives. ²³
	Q.	Do you address retroactive ratemaking in your testimony?
	A.	No. It is my understanding that is a legal issue Staff will address in its brief to the
1		Commission.
	¹⁹ Exh ²⁰ Kell ²¹ Kell ²² Kell	fiCorp Compliance Filing (May 24, 2011), at 6. ibit No (RBD-27C) at 1. y Direct Testimony, Exhibit No (ALK-1T) at 5:11-20. y Direct Testimony, Exhibit No (ALK-1T) at 5:20-23. y Direct Testimony, Exhibit No (ALK-1T) at 3:27-29 and at 6:17-21. y Direct Testimony, Exhibit No (ALK-1T) at 5:23 – 6:2.