Puget Sound Energy, Inc. P.O. Box 97034 Bellevue, WA 98009-9734

February 14, 2008

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING AND REGULAR MAIL

Ms. Carole J. Washburn, Executive Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission P.O. Box 47250 Olympia, WA 98504-7250

Re: PSE Service Quality Program Filing
Docket Nos. UE-011570 and UG-011571

Dear Ms. Washburn:

Pursuant to Exhibit J of the Settlement Stipulation Re Service Quality Index ("SQI") in Docket Nos. UE-011570 and UG-011571 and as amended in Docket No. UE-031946, Puget Sound Energy ("PSE") provides herewith an original and twelve copies of PSE's Service Quality Program Report for the twelve-month period ending December 2007. PSE met or exceeded nine out of the eleven service quality indices.

The enclosed report includes:

- Annual performance and monthly data for the reporting period and a comparison of performance with the benchmark for each of the SQIs.
- A description of change in data gathering.
- Penalty calculation of the missed SQIs and the preliminary rate effect of the penalty on each electric customer class.
- The number of missed appointments and commitments and payments to customers under the Customer Service Guarantee by service type.

Ms. Carole J. Washburn February 14, 2008 Page 2

- A certification by the independent survey company that all surveys conducted in accordance with the service quality program were completed in conformance with applicable procedures and guidelines and that the reported results are unbiased and valid.
- Annual statistics for the time duration, from first arrival to control of gas emergencies, for incidents subject to reporting under the 2003 Edition of WAC 480-93-200 and WAC 480-93-210.
- A proposed customer report card.

Please contact Mei Cass at (425) 462-3800 for additional information about this filing. If you have any other questions, please contact me at 425-462-3495.

Sincerely,

Tom DeBoer

Tan De Boy

Director, Rates & Regulatory Affairs

Enclosures

cc: Doug Kilpatrick – Washington Utilities & Transportation Commission Mary Kimball – Public Counsel Chuck Eberdt – Opportunity Council

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Report

Filed February 14, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BACKGROUND	.2
PSE SQI PERFORMANCE	. 3
CERTIFICATION OF SURVEY RESULTS	. 4
Change in Data gathering	.4
SQI No. 1 - Overall Customer Satisfaction	. 7
SQI No. 3 - SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index)	٤.
PENALTY CALCULATION AND REFUND ALLOCATION	. 8
CUSTOMER REPORT CARD	٤.
Customer Service Guarantee	٤.
Exhibit A - SQI Performance	
ATTACHMENT A - MAJOR EVENT AND LOCALIZED EMERGENCY EVENT DAYS (AFFECTED LOCAL	
Areas Only)	
ATTACHMENT B - MAJOR EVENT AND LOCALIZED EMERGENCY EVENT DAYS (NON-AFFECTED	
LOCAL AREAS ONLY)	
ATTACHMENT C - GAS REPORTABLE INCIDENTS AND CONTROL TIME	
EXHIBIT B - CERTIFICATION OF SURVEY RESULTS	
EXHIBIT C - PENALTY CALCULATION AND REFUND ALLOCATION	
EXHIBIT D - PROPOSED CUSTOMER REPORT CARD	
Exhibit E - Customer Service Guarantee Performance Detail	
EVENDET E CHETOMED AMADEMIECE OF CHETOMED SEDVICE CHADAMPEE	

PUGET SOUND ENERGY

Annual Service Quality Program Report

January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

This filing documents Puget Sound Energy, Inc.'s ("PSE" or the "Company")
Service Quality Program performance for the 12-month reporting period of January 1,
2007 through December 31, 2007.

The Service Quality Program includes eleven Service Quality Indices ("SQIs"). As detailed in this report, the Company met or exceeded nine of the eleven SQIs for the reporting period, but did not achieve the benchmarks associated with SQI No. 1 - Overall Customer Satisfaction and SQI No. 3 - SAIDI, System Average Interruption Duration Index.

Background

On November 26, 2001, PSE filed a general rate case for both electric and gas services. On December 3, 2001, PSE filed a request for an interim electric rate increase. These proceedings were consolidated under Docket Nos. UE-011570 and UG-011571.

On June 20, 2002, the Commission approved a multi-party settlement stipulation of disputed electric and common issues in the consolidated dockets ("Stipulation") in its Twelfth Supplemental Order: Rejecting Tariff Filing; Approving and Adopting Settlement Stipulation. Exhibit J to the Stipulation set forth details regarding the overall Service Quality Program including, among other items:

- 1. SQI Performance Benchmarks, Reporting Mechanics and Penalty & Mitigation Provisions.
- 2. Customer Report Card Reporting Mechanics and Provisions.
- 3. Customer Service Guarantee Awareness Promotions and Reporting Mechanics.

On November 25, 2003, the Company filed with the Commission in Docket No. UE-031946 an application for approval to amend the reporting methodology in SQI No. 11 - Electric Safety Response Time. The Commission approved the application to amend (with some modifications) in an order issued June 11, 2004. The reporting contained herein reflects the amendment.

PSE SQI Performance

PSE's final performance on the eleven SQIs for the reporting period of January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007, is shown in the following table. The monthly results for each index are reported in Exhibit A.

Table 1: Benchmarks and Performance for January 1, 2007 - December 31, 2007

Index	Index Description	Index Benchmark ¹	Index	Index
No.			Performance	Penalty
SQI No. 1	Overall Customer Satisfaction	90% satisfied	83%	NA
SQI No. 2	WUTC Complaint Ratio	0.50 per 1000 Customers	0.27	None
SQI No. 3	SAIDI	136 minutes per customer per year	167	\$512,868
SQI No. 4	SAIFI	1.30 interruptions per year per customer	0.97	None
SQI No. 5	Customer Access Center Answering Performance	75% answered in 30 Seconds	75%	None
SQI No. 6	Customer Access Center Transaction Satisfaction	90% satisfied	92%	None
SQI No. 7	Gas Safety Response Time	Average of 55 minutes	38	None
SQI No. 8	Field Service Operations Transactions Customer Satisfaction	90% satisfied	90%	None
SQI No. 9	Disconnection Ratio	Disconnections per Customer – 0.030	0.028	None
SQI No. 10	Missed Appointments	8% of appointments missed	1%	None
SQI No.	Electric Safety Response Time	Average of 55 minutes	52	None
· ——	Total Penalties:			\$512,868

¹ Benchmarks expressed as 12 month or annual targets.

As shown in Table 1, PSE met or exceeded nine out of the eleven service quality indices for the twelve-month period from January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007.

Exhibit A includes, as Attachments A and B, supplemental reporting of all days during the reporting period on which major events or localized emergency event days occurred that resulted in suspension of SQI No. 11 - Electric Safety Response Time.

Also included in Exhibit A is Attachment C which details the time duration, from first arrival to control of the gas emergency, for incidents subject to reporting under the 2003 Edition² of WAC 480-93-200 and WAC 480-93-210, Order R-375, Docket No. UG-911261.

Certification of Survey Results

The overall customer satisfaction survey, the new construction customer survey, and the two customer transaction surveys were performed by The Gilmore Research Group. The Gilmore Research Group's certification that the survey results are unbiased and valid and completed in conformance with applicable procedures and guidelines is provided in Exhibit B.

Change in Data gathering

In fall 2007, PSE implemented the first phase of its Mobile Workforce Project ("MWP") to all gas first responders ("GFR"). The next phase will be rollout to all

² The edition was effective from September 5, 1992 to June 2, 2005 and at the time the Commission approved the Stipulation of UE-011570 and UG-011571 on June 20, 2002. Subsequent to the adoption of the Stipulation, WUTC initiated the gas pipeline safety rulemaking process in chapter 480-93 WAC in 2005. Developed from the rulemaking activity, the Commission issued Order No. R-520 (UG-011073) to repeal WAC 480-93-210 and to amend WAC 480-93-200 on May 5, 2005 and issued General Order No. R-524 (PG-050933) to further modify WAC 480-93-200 on December 1, 2005. These ensuing changes are not reflected in Attachment C. The 2003 Edition of WAC 480-93-200 and WAC 480-93-210 are enclosed with Attachment C as pages 2-3.

electric first responders ("EFR") in spring 2008. The SQIs directly involving the electric and gas first responders are: SQI No. - 7 Gas Safety Response Time, SQI No. 8 - Field Service Operations Transactions Customer Satisfaction, SQI No. 10 - Missed Appointments, and SQI No. 11 - Electric Safety Response Time. The other SQI requirement that pertains to the first responders is the \$50 Customer Service Guarantee program.

The MWP was initiated in June 2006 to make PSE's response to service calls more efficient thereby improving customer service, productivity, and public and employee safety. The efficiency will be achieved through enhancing communication among dispatchers, field service workforce, call center agents, and other customer service personnel with technological advancements and streamlined business processes.

PSE GFR and EFR service vehicles will be equipped with laptop computers linked to PSE via a wireless networking system with 24/7 connectivity. After service requests are created in CLX³, through an automated computer interface, the new mobile workforce system is able to assist dispatchers in assigning personnel according to the most current location and availability of field service workers. This is accomplished via laptop computers and a wireless network. The field service staff can then read, on their laptop screen, their scheduled service orders and instructions, directions to the customers' locations, and service histories. Most importantly, field personnel are able to provide instantaneous updates through the wireless network to dispatchers and other customer service personnel upon completion of the service request or when they experience a problem. The constant update of job status allows

³ CLX is PSE's customer information and billing system.

PSE to make necessary adjustments in operations and resources to meet customers' expectations promptly and efficiently.

Besides the improvement in customer service and efficiency, the mobile workforce system also improves data quality by limiting paperwork handoffs and eliminating multiple data entries. Time logging for responses to emergencies will now be captured in Pragma Cad ("P-CAD") on the laptop computers in the field personnel vehicles at each status change. Manual entry of hand completed service order status data from each service order into CLX is no longer required. Data storage and forwarding capabilities are also built into the laptops to capture and record the data even when field service workers are outside of the wireless network coverage area.

Once data is in the P-CAD database, the calculation and reporting mechanics are the same as stated in the Stipulation, Exhibit J, Appendix 2, pages 10 and 13-14, except that the origin of the data is now from P-CAD due to the elimination of paper service orders. The P-CAD system has been designed to be in compliance with the SQI Stipulation requirements and should not negatively affect service quality performance and calculation of SQI No. 7 - Gas Safety Response Time, SQI No. 10 - Missed Appointments, SQI No. 11 - Electric Safety Response Time, and the Customer Service Guarantee program. SQI No. 8 - Field Service Operations Transactions Customer Satisfaction might possibly be impacted in 2008 but it would require a special study to quantify the impact and no such study is under consideration at this time.

The data gathering change due to the Mobile Workforce Project implementation, at this time, has no effect on the service quality performance categories and their current or future results. The Company is not requesting any alterations to its data gathering or reporting methods as described in the Stipulation.

SQI No. 1 - Overall Customer Satisfaction

The 2007 performance indicates that 83% of surveyed customers rated their overall satisfaction at 5 or higher on a 7-point scale (as compared with the benchmark of 90%). It is virtually the same rating as in 2006. In fact, there has not been a statistically significant difference in the surveyed results since 2001. Many external factors⁴ negatively affect all classes of customers, regardless of which utility is actually providing the service⁵.

The overall customer satisfaction survey also measures satisfaction of other electric utilities (from our "gas-only" customers) and other gas utilities (from our "electric-only" customers that say they have non-PSE natural gas service). Customer satisfaction for PSE's electric customers, although lower than the benchmark, was in fact virtually the same as other electric utilities in the region. The customer satisfaction for other electric utilities is 83% as compared to 82% for PSE's electric-only customers. A similar comparison of the customer satisfaction for other gas utilities is 85% as compared to 82% for PSE's gas-only customer satisfaction.

⁴ These external factors may include: numerous severe weather events, high gasoline and natural gas prices, publicity about PSE's general rate case, PGA and PCA tariff filings and their resulting rate changes, green-house gas and CO2 emissions issues, and loss of trust and credibility in utilities in general.

⁵ The supplemental questions included in the surveys are directed towards electric and gas customer satisfaction when PSE is not the serving utility. That is, customer satisfaction with gas service in Whatcom County would pertain to Cascade Natural Gas, and customer satisfaction with electric service in the city of Seattle would relate to the customer's satisfaction with Seattle City Light. The comparisons and differences are not statistically significant due to the small sample size of "other" utility customers. However, the survey results do show that the percentages of customer satisfaction for all the gas and electric utilities were in a close range.

SQI No. 3 - SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index)

The overall 2007 SQI No. 3 - SAIDI performance⁶ is 167 system outage minutes per customer, as compared with the annual benchmark of 136 minutes. The lower than benchmark performance was mainly the result of an unusually high number of outages due to wind events in January. None of the events met the criteria of a "major event" as defined in the SQI criteria and thus these outages and outages minutes were included in the Company's SAIDI performance for 2007. The January 2007 monthly performance of 54.8 minutes is more than three times the 2001-2006 January average of 15.8 minutes. If January 2007 had been an average January (based on the 5-year PSE SAIDI average), PSE would have met its 2007 SAIDI benchmark.

Detailed analysis and overview of PSE's reliability performance will be provided on or before March 31, 2008 in the Company's Electric Reliability and Reporting Plan in compliance with WAC 480-100-393.

Penalty Calculation and Refund Allocation

Total amount of penalty imposed due to SQI No. 3 - SAIDI is \$512,868. The Company will refund this amount to its electric customers through Schedule 120, Electricity Conservation Service Rider, after the reviews of this filing by WUTC Staff and Public Counsel are complete. The penalty has no impact on PSE gas customers. As prescribed in Appendix 2 of Exhibit J to the Stipulation, the penalty associated with SQI No. 3 - SAIDI "...shall be applied to electric customers." Exhibit C shows the penalty calculation and the preliminary effect of the penalty on the Schedule 120 rates for each electric customer class.

⁶ Major event days and associated carry-forward days, which are days when more than 5% of PSE customers are out and those additional days to when those customers have service restored, are excluded from the SAIDI and SAIFI performance calculations.

Customer Report Card

PSE will be providing its customers a report card on the Company's SQI performance for the twelve-month period, January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007. The Company will begin including this report card with its billings on or before May 15, 2008 in accordance with the Stipulation. The proposed customer report card is attached as Exhibit D. The customer report card will be updated following review by WUTC Staff and Public Counsel.

Customer Service Guarantee

The Customer Service Guarantee program provides for a \$50 billing credit to customers when the Company fails to meet a scheduled appointment. During the twelve-month reporting period of January 1, 2007, through December 31, 2007, the Company made 130,003 appointments and failed to meet 1% of these appointments. The Service Guarantee payment associated with the missed-approved appointments is \$11,950. Summarized and detailed monthly results of the appointments made and missed by service type, as of December 31, 2007, are provided in Exhibit E.

In 2007, PSE took the following actions to reduce the number of missed appointments that were pending for the \$50 Service Guarantee payment review, i.e. missed-open appointments:

- 1. Procedures that emphasizing timely completion of review.
- 2. Monthly checking for eligible appointments from prior months.
- 3. Quarterly evaluation of missed-open appointments.

The Company is committed to improving its customer service and will continue the effort to ensure that all missed-open appointments are reviewed in a timely manner.

Furthermore, during the last quarter of 2007, PSE initiated a SAP⁷ project to enhance and modify the SAP programs and reports used to extract and tabulate the results of SQI No. 10 -- Missed Appointments and the Customer Service Guarantee Program. This project is to improve the accuracy of manual entry of the Service Guarantee indicator on certain types of service orders and to include additional information in the reports that will be beneficial for the review of missed-open appointments. This SAP project is still in the analysis and validation stage. A discussion of any potential effects of the SAP project program changes will be included in the 2008 annual reports.

Pursuant to the Stipulation, PSE has promoted the \$50 service guarantee and, in turn, has assessed customer awareness levels of the guarantee resulting from these promotions. Exhibit F describes PSE's efforts to promote the Customer Service Guarantee and presents results of customer awareness levels as assessed using two separate Gilmore Research Group's surveys.⁸ The table in this exhibit provides the results of each survey instrument, including the number of customers surveyed in each cycle or month, and the specific questions asked each customer.

⁷ SAP is PSE's work management and financial information system.

⁸ These surveys are (1) a monthly survey of field service customers ("CFS"), and (2), a periodic survey of new construction customers ("NCC").

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit A - SQI Performance

Puget Sound Energy 2007 Annual Service Quality Report

				,							
Meet or Exceed Benchmark		7		٦	١.	. }	٨	7	7	ح-	7
Difference from Benchmark (Annual Performance - Benchmark)	%2-	-0.23	31.0	-0.33	%0	2%	-17	%0	-0.002	%-2%	6-
Annual Performance	%£8	0.27	167	0.97	75%	%26	38	%06	0.028	1%	52
Dec 2007		0.016	8.2	0.047	73%	95%	37	92%	0.0005	1%	57
Νον 2007	84%	0.019	13.6	0.078	78%	94%	. 37	%06	0.0015	1%	51
Oct 2007		0.028	8.4	9.000	92%	94%	35	%88	0.0022	1%	57
Sep 2007		0.011	11.9	0.077	87%	95%	38	%866	0.0021	7%	53
Aug 2007		0.017	6.3	0.054	%06	94%	40	91%	0.0025	1%	50
Jul 2007		0.017	11.8	960'0	93%	95%	36	%7%	0.0023	%1	52
Jun 2007	85%	0.018	9.5	960'0	91%	95%	38	%866	0.0029	1%	48
May 2007		0.023	10.7	0.101	85%	94%	36	95%	0.0031	1%	47
Apr 2007		0.025	7.7	0.037	26%	%06	39	%06	0.0032	1%	49
Mar 2007		0.030	16.6	0.084	20%	%16	38	%98	0.0033	1%	49
Feb 2007		0.030	6.7	0.049	48%	87%	36	%76	0.0028	1%	51
Jan 2007		0.040	54.8	0.181	39%	%06	94	%248	0.0020	2%	. 24
Benchmark	90% satisfied (rating of 5 or higher on a 7-point scale)	0.50 complaints per 1000 customers, including all complaints filed with WUTC	136 minutes per customer per year	1.30 interruptions per year per customer	75% of calls answered by a live representative within 30 seconds of request to speak with live operator.		Average of 55 minutes from customer call to arrival of field technician		0.030 disconnections / customer for non-payment of amounts due when WUTC disconnection policy would permit service curtainent	Missed Appointments 8% of appointments missed	Average of 55 minutes from customer call to arrival of field technician
# IOS	Overall Customer Satisfaction	WUTC Complaint Ratio	SAIDI	SAIFI	Telephone Center Answering Performance	Telephone Center Transactions Customer Satisfaction	Gas Safety Response Time	Field Service Operations Transactions Customer Satisfaction	Disconnection Ratio	Missed Appointment	Electric Safety Response Time
		2	6	4	က	9	^	00	6	10	11

EXHIBIT A Monthly Service Quality Program Performance as of December 31, 2007

Puget Sound Energy Puget Sound Energy

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit A - SQI Performance Attachment A - Major Event and Localized Emergency Event Days (Affected Local Areas Only)

Exhibit A - SQI Performance Attachment A

	i					,						,	- 1		an .					
SQI NO. 11 SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTING EVENT AND LOCALIZED EMERGENCY EVENT DAYS AFFECTED LOCAL AREAS ONLY	Comments		14 First Responders, 11 Line Crews & 0 Tree crews	+ 1 Scheduled Day off, 6 Line Crews & 2 Tree Crews	12 First Responders, 11 Line Crews & 0 Tree Crews	20 First Responders + 2 Central South + 1 North, 12 Line Crevs & 4 Tree crews	14 First Responders, 10 Line Crews & 1 Tree crews	13 First Responders + 1 PTO, 55 Line Crevs & 11 Tree crevs	20 First Responders + 2 Kittias + 2 Central South, 7 Line Crews $\&$ 2 Tree crews	12 First Responders, 4 Line Crews & 0 Tree Crews	0 First Responders, 0 Line Crews & 0 Tree Crews (Pierce & Thurston bases did not open)	14 First Responders, 1 Line Crews & 0 Tree crews	14 First Repsonders, 13 Line Crews & 1Tree Crews	10 First Responders + 4 PTO, 11 Line Crevs, 2 Tree Crews	20 First Responders + 1 STD + 2 Kittitas, 12 Line Crevs, 10 Tree Crews	13 First Responders + 1 PTO, 13 Line Crevs, 4 Tree Crevs	13 First Responders + 2 PTO, 24 Line Crevs, 4 Tree Crews	15 First Responders + 1 Central South,12 Line Crews, 10 Tree Crews	14 First Responders + 1 Central North, 42 Line Crews, 6 Tree Crews	18 First Responders + 2 PTO + 1 STD, 6 Line Crews, 4 Tree Crews
PORTING ENCY EV ONLY	>5% Customer Affected? (Yes/No)	No	2 2	2	S S	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	ХeУ	S S	Yes	Χes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
NTAL RE DEMERG AREAS	No. of Outage Resource Events Utilization		14 (of 14)		12 (of 12)	21 20 (of 20)	28 14 (of 14)	82 13 (of 14)	29 20 (of 20)	20 12 (of 12)	0 (of 16)	33 14 (of 14)	35 14 (of 14)	61 10 (of 14)	110 20 (of 21)	147 13 (of 14)	121 13 (of 15)	130 15 (of 15)	139 14 (of 14)	32 18 (of 21)
'LEME ALIZEI LOCAI	No. of Outage Events	09	51	8	24	21	28	82	29	20	13	33	35	61	110	147	121	130	139	32
SQI NO. 11 SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTING VENT AND LOCALIZED EMERGENCY EVI AFFECTED LOCAL AREAS ONLY	% of Customers Affected	7.5%	5.9%	2.376	4.1%	2.0%	5.3%	14.8%	1.0%	2.1%	1.3%	4.2%	4.9%	2.0%	10.1%	14.5%	15.8%	46.9%	15.2%	2.3%
	No. of Customers in Area	183,205	136,321	50.4, 1.4	209,807	304,174	136,321	183,205	304,174	209,807	231,265	136,321	132,321	185,107	306,852	211,443	216,688	137,855	185,384	307,508
MAJOR	No. of Customers Affected	13,720	8,028	0000	8,570	6,193	7,208	27,114	2,983	4,390	2,837	5,778	6,733	9,300	30,869	30,678	34,210	64,655	28,243	7,193
È	Duration	2 day	2 day	ر د ده	2 day	3 day	3 day	4 day	4 day	4 day	4 day	4 day	2 day	4 day	4 day	4 day	4 day	4 day	4 day	4 day
B PUGET SOUND ENERGY	Local Area	North	West	Cerical Notice	Central South	Central North	West	North	Central North	Central South	South	West	West	North	Central North	Central South	South	West	North	Central North
S 130	Type of Event	Wind	Wind	VVIETO	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Mind	Mind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind
D C	Date	1/2/2007	1/2/2007	1/2/2007	1/2/2007	1/5/2007	1/5/2007	1/9/2007	1/9/2007	1/9/2007	1/9/2007	1/9/2007	3/11/207	10/18/2007	10/18/2007	10/18/2007	10/18/2007	10/18/2007	11/12/2007	11/12/2007

Exhibit A - SQI Performance Attachment A

4			100		SQI NC	SQI NO. 11 SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTING	CEMENT	TAL REP	ORTIN	Ç
	公司と	NO PUCE SOUND ENERGY	で を で を で の で の に に の に の に の に の に の に の に の に の に に に に に に に に に に に に に	MAJOR		ND LOCA	LIZED E	MERGE	NCY EV	EVENT AND LOCALIZED EMERGENCY EVENT DAYS
					AF	AFFECTED LOCAL AREAS ONLY	OCAL A	REAS O	NLY	
11/12/2007	Wind	Central South	4 day	7,165	211,725	3.4%	41 10	41 10 (of 14)	Yes	10 First Responders + 2 PTO + 1 ill + 1 scheduled of, 5 Line &
									,	Tree Crews
11/12/2007	Wind	South	4 day	41,001	217,130	18.9%	109 15 (of 15)	(of 15)	Yes	15 First Responders, 21 Line Crews, 9 Tree Crews
11/12/2007	Wind	West	4 day	7,196	138,117	2.5%	59 15	59 15 (of 15)	Yes	15 First Responders, 9 Line Crews, 5 Tree Crews (Vashon base
			·							did not open),
12/2/2007	Wind	North	4 day	806	185,384	0.5%	10 6 of (14)	of (14)	Yes	6 First Responders + 5 Reg Duty + 3 Scheduled off, 3 Line Crews
			·							(Whatcom base did not open)
12/2/2007	Wind	Central North	4 day	2,197	307,508	0.7%	22 20	22 20 (of 21)	Yes	20 First Responders + 1 STD, 17 Line Crews
12/2/2007	Wind	Central South	4 day	662	211,725	0.3%	20 0 (of 14)	of 14)	Yes	(Central South base did not open)
12/2/2007	Wind	South	4 day	8,084	217,130	3.7%	37 9 (of 15)	of 15)	Yes	9 First Responders + 6 Regular Duty, 6 Line Crews, 2 Tree Crews
										(Pierce base did not open)
12/2/2007	Wind	West	4 day	56,630	138,117	41.0%	95 15	95 15 (of 15)	Yes	15 First Responders + 2 Central North + 2 Central South, 21 Line
									_	Crews, 6 Tree Crews

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit A - SQI Performance Attachment B - Major Event and Localized Emergency Event Days (Non-Affected Local Areas Only)

Exhibit A - SQI Performance Attachment B

SQI NO. 11 SUPPLEMENTAL REPORTING LOCALIZED EMERGENCY EVENT DAYS NON-AFFECTED LOCAL AREAS ONLY PUCET SOUND ENERGY

			•								
%5<	Customer	Affected?	(Yes/No)	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	2
		Outage Resource	Events Utilization	26 0 (of 16)	7 (of 14)	0 (of 12)	9 (of 16)	0 (of 20)	0 (of 12)	0 (of 16)	7 (of 14)
	No. of	Outage	Events	26	33	12	37	7	3	6	46
	% of	Customers	Affected	1.0%	11.5%	4.1%	4.4%	%0.0	0.0%	1.0%	5.1%
	No. of	Customers in	Area	231,265	183,205	209,807	231,265	304,174	209,807	213,265	183,205
	No. of	Customers	Affected	2,025	20,985	8,653	6/8'6	7,418	2,163	2,129	12.474
			Duration	2 day	3 day	3 day	3 day	2 day	2 day	2 day	2 day
			Local Area	South	North	Central South	South	Central North	Central South	South	North
			Type of Event	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind	Wind
			Date	1/2/2007	1/5/2007	1/5/2007	1/5/2007	3/11/2007	3/11/2007	3/11/2007	3/11/2007

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit A - SQI Performance
Attachment C - Gas Reportable Incidents and Control Time

Puget Sound Energy 2007 Reportable Incident Report Duration from first arrival to control of Gas Emergencies

Seattle 14:47	۱	14:47 15:04 15:04 15:17	15:17	Kesponse i me 0:17	6.00000 1100 0:13
Way Seattle	11:59	12:04	14:05	0:05	2:01
Woodinville	lle 16:10	16:34	17:06	0:24	0:32
	12:07	12:32	12:34	0:25	0:02
v)	Mercer Island 15:11	15:45	18:04	0:34	2:19
Bellevue	6:30	6:57	6:57	0:27	0:00
Olympia	12:18	12:23	12:39	0:05	0:16
	11:24	11:35	12:53	0:11	1:18
	18:32	18:57	19:37	0:25	0:40
	7:57	8:23	9:19	0:26	0:56
	10:53	11:10	11:35	0:17	0:25
1	14:00	14:13	15:05	0:13	0:52
_akewood	11:07	11:25	11:31	0:18	90:0
	11:35	11:35	11:45	0:00	0:10
	11:38	11:54	12:31	0:16	0:37
	9:02	9:20	10:41	0:18	1:21
	12:56	13:17	14:21	0:21	1:04
Lake Tapps	11:25	11:57	11:57	0:32	0:00
Edmonds	8:27	8:54	9:34	0:27	0:40
- 1	16:53	17:28	17:51	0:35	0:23
Greenlake		16:17	18:44	0:17	2:27
	8:15	8:48	9:20	0:33	0:32
	14:34	14:49	15:37	0:15	0:48
	10:07	10:22	10:34	0:15	0:12
	Snohomish 13:59	14:14	14:37	0:15	0:23
	Lake Tapps 12:50	13:07	13:50	0:17	0:43
	9:58	10:00	11:57	0:32	1:57
	12:03	12:20	12:57	0:17	0:37
	10:17	10:30	10:33	0:13	0:03
Ω.	Lake Tapps 8:29	8:56	9:15	0:27	0:19
	9:14	9:28	10:57	0:14	1:29
Seattle	13:19	13:50	15:30	0:31	1:40

(1) Report of the time duration from first arrival to control of gas emergencies, for incidents subject to reporting under the 2003 Edition of WAC 480-93-200 and WAC 480-93-210, Order R-375, Docket No. UG-911261.

Averages

Gas incident spreadsheet.xls 2/14/2008 8:09 AM

Puget Sound Energy 2007 Reportable Incident Report Duration from first arrival to control of Gas Emergencies

Gas Companies-Safety

480-93-200

- (a) All business structures and buildings of public assembly within 100 feet of an active pipeline, whether or not served with gas, shall be considered for survey;
- (b) Where gas service lines exist, a survey shall be conducted at the building wall at the point of entrance, using a bar hole if necessary;
- (c) Surveys shall be conducted within all buildings where leakage has been detected at the outside wall at all points where escaping gas could be expected to penetrate into and accumulate inside the building; and
- (d) Service piping, riser piping and meter(s) shall be checked with soap solution or by use of a gas detection instrument.
- (5) Special surveys. Special leakage surveys shall be conducted in the following circumstances:
- (a) Prior to paving or resurfacing, following street alterations or repairs, where gas facilities are under the area to be paved, and where there is a substantial probability that damage could have occurred to the gas facilities, an appropriate gas survey, including manholes and other street openings, shall be made;
- (b) In areas of sewer, water, or other substructure construction adjacent to underground gas facilities, where there is a substantial probability that damage could have occurred to the gas facilities, an appropriate gas detection survey shall be made following the completion of installation but prior to paving;
- (c) Unstable soil areas where active gas lines could be affected;
- (d) Special surveys shall be made annually of places of public congregation when an active gas service line serves the building or where active gas service lines or mains are located with such close proximity as to present a possible hazard should leakage occur, for example, churches; schools; and hospitals;
- (e) Special surveys shall be made of abnormal areas. Special surveys shall be conducted in areas of unusual activity, including, but not limited to, foreign construction, possible ground movement, flooding, earthquake, and explosions.
- (6) Leak survey records. For the most current and immediately preceding survey of an area, the following information shall be maintained:
- (a) Description of system and area surveyed (this could include maps and leak survey logs);
 - (b) Survey results;
 - (c) Survey method;
 - (d) Names of those making survey;
 - (e) Survey dates; and
- (f) In addition to the above, the following records shall be kept for pressure drop test:
- (i) The name of the gas company, the name of the gas company employee responsible for making the test, and the name of any test company used;
 - (ii) Test medium used;
 - (iii) Test pressure;
 - (iv) Test duration;
- (v) Pressure recording charts, or other record of pressure readings; and
 - (vi) Test results.

(2003 Ed.)

- (7) Self audits. In order that the effectiveness of the leak detection and repair program may be evaluated, the following self audits shall be performed as frequently as necessary, but at intervals not exceeding three years:
- (a) Leak survey schedule assure that it is commensurate with the Minimum Federal Safety Standards for gas lines, Subpart M-Maintenance, and the general condition of the pipeline system as required by other applicable regulations;
- . (b) Survey effectiveness evaluate survey results to assure that a consistent evaluation of leaks is being made throughout the system; and
 - (c) Check adequacy of records.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040. 92-16-100 (Order R-375, Docket No. UG-911261), § 480-93-188, filed 8/5/92, effective 9/5/92; Order R-105, § 480-93-188, filed 5/18/77.]

WAC 480-93-190 Being aware of construction work near gas company facilities. All gas companies shall subscribe to the available "one call locating service" in every area their facilities are located. Every gas company shall establish procedures for obtaining prompt notice and full information concerning the commencement and progress of all construction work in areas in close proximity to gathering lines, mains, service lines, transmission lines, and other gas facilities. The object of such a program will be to lessen the probability of incurring damage to the company's underground facilities.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040. 92-16-100 (Order R-375, Docket No. UG-911261), § 480-93-190, filed 8/5/92, effective 9/5/92; Order R-28, § 480-93-190, filed 7/15/71; Order R-5, § 480-93-190, filed 6/6/69, effective 10/9/69.]

WAC 480-93-200 Reports associated with gas company facilities and operations. (1) Every gas company shall give prompt telephonic notice to the commission, within six hours of occurrence, of every accident, incident, or hazardous condition, arising out of its operations which:

- (a) Results in a fatality or personal injury requiring hospitalization:
- (b) Results in damage to the property of the company and others of a combined total exceeding five thousand dollars (automobile collisions and other equipment accidents not involving gas or gas handling equipment need not be reported under this rule);
- (c) Is significant, in the judgment of the company, even though it does not meet the criteria of (a) and (b) of this subsection:
- (d) Results in the taking of a high pressure supply or transmission pipeline or a major distribution supply pipeline out of service or lowering its pressure fifty percent or more below its normal operating pressure; or
- (c) Results in the news media reporting the occurrence, even though it does not meet the criteria of (a) through (d) of this subsection.
- (2) Such reports shall be verified in detail in writing if not so reported initially and shall include at least the following:
- (a) Name(s) and address(es) of any person or persons injured or killed or whose property was damaged;
 - (b) The extent of such injuries and damage;

[Title 480 WAC-p. 235]

Puget Sound Energy 2007 Reportable Incident Report Duration from first arrival to control of Gas Emergencies

480-93-210

Title 480 WAC: Utilities and Transportation Commission

(c) A description of the accident, incident, or hazardous condition to include date, time, and place;

(d) A description of the gas facilities implicated in the accident, incident, or hazardous condition and the system operating pressure at that time, and the maximum operating pressure of the facilities implicated;

(e) The date and time the gas facility was made safe;

(f) The date, time, and type of any temporary or permanent repair made; and

(g) A report shall be available to the commission within three months, upon request, of the failure analysis of any accident, incident, or hazardous condition which was due to construction or material failure.

Routine or planned maintenance and operational activities of the company which result in company controlled plant and equipment shut downs, reduction in system pressures except as noted above, flaring or venting of gas, and normal leak repairs are not to be considered reportable items under this section.

(3) Every gas company shall file a copy of every required RSPA F-7100.1-1 and F-7100.2-1 leak report with the commission. Names and telephone numbers of commission personnel authorized to take telephonic leak reports will be furnished and kept current under a separate letter to every company.

(4) Ali gas companies shall file with the commission, and with appropriate officials of all municipalities within which such gas companies have facilities, the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of responsible officials of such gas companies who may be contacted in the event of an emergency. In the event of any changes in gas company personnel, immediate notification thereof shall be given to the commission and municipalities.

(Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040. 92-16-100 (Order R-375, Docket No. UG-911261), § 480-93-200, filed 8/5/92, offective 9/5/92; Order R-28, § 480-93-200, filed 7/15/71; Order R-5, § 480-93-200, filed 6/6/69, effective 10/9/69.]

WAC 480-93-210 Interruptions to service. Interruptions to the service furnished by any gas company to an industrial customer, a master meter customer, or twenty-five or more distribution customers, or the failure of any gas facilities, shall be reported to the commission within six hours. When service has been restored, a written report shall be submitted promptly to the commission detailing the cause of the interruption or failure and steps taken to prevent any recurrence.

This requirement shall not apply to interruptions to service made by gas companies in accordance with the provisions of contracts between such companies and their customers or other planned interruptions carried out in conjunction with normal operational and maintenance requirements of the company.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040. 92-16-100 (Order R-375, Docket No. UG-911261), § 480-93-210, filed 8/5/92, effective 9/5/92; Order R-28, § 480-93-210, filed 7/15/71; Order R-5, § 480-93-210, filed 6/6/69, effective 10/9/69.]

WAC 480-93-220 Rule of precedence. Where there is any conflict between the provisions of CFR 49, Part 192 (Minimum Federal Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Standards) in

[Title 480 WAC-p. 236]

effect on the date specified in WAC 480-93-999 and any rule specifically set forth herein, the former shall govern.

These rules shall take precedence over all orders, heretofore made by the commission, insofar as said orders may be inconsistent with these rules.

These rules shall take precedence over all rules filed or to be filed by gas companies insofar as inconsistent therewith, Rules of the gas companies now on file and inconsistent with the rules herein established shall be properly revised and refiled within sixty days from the effective date of this order.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040, 80.04.160, 81.04.160, and 34.05.310. 01-20-061 (Docket No. A-010827, General Order No. R-491), § 480-93-220, filed 9/28/01, effective 10/29/01; Order R-28, § 480-93-220, filed 7/15/71.]

WAC 480-93-223 Civil penalty for violation of RCW 80.28.210 or regulations issued thereunder—Maximum amount. (1) Any gas company which violates any public safety provision of RCW 80.28.210 or regulation issued thereunder is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed twenty-five thousand dollars for each violation for each day that the violation persists. The maximum civil penalty under this subsection for a related series of violations is five hundred thousand dollars. This subsection applies to violations of public safety requirements including WAC 480-90-101 and including chapter 480-93 WAC except for WAC 480-93-160 and 480-93-200 (1)(e).

- (2) Any gas company violating any other provision of RCW 80.28.210 or regulations promulgated thereunder, including WAC 480-93-160 and 480-93-200 (1)(e), shall be subject to a civil penalty not to exceed one thousand dollars for each violation for each day that the violation persists, but the maximum civil penalty shall not exceed two hundred thousand dollars for a related series of violations.
- (3) The commission may compromise any civil penalty pursuant to RCW 80.28.210.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040 and 80.28.210. 95-19-057 (Order R-433, Docket No. UG-950625), § 480-93-223, filed 9/15/95, effective 10/16/95.]

WAC 480-93-230 Modification/walvers. If a gas company determines that an undue hardship or an unsafe condition may result from the application of any rule in this chapter, application may be made to the commission to deviate from the rule. Every request for a deviation shall be accompanied by full and complete justification for such requested deviation. The petitioning company shall describe how it will meet the requirements of this chapter in the absence of the waived rule, which may include proposed amendments to this chapter. Requests for waiver will be written, properly documented, and submitted to the commission. A gas company shall concurrently submit to the commission all petitions for waiver of any gas safety rule filed with the federal government or other governmental authority.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040. 92-16-100 (Order R-375, Docket No-UG-911261), § 480-93-230, filed 8/5/92, effective 9/5/92; Order R-28, § 480-93-230, filed 7/15/71; Order R-5, § 480-93-230, filed 6/6/69, effective

2003 Ed

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit B - Certification of Survey Results



Puget Sound Energy P.O. Box 97034 MS: EST-09E Bellevue, WA. 98009-9734

January 4, 2008

Dear Mr. Robert Yetter,

This letter constitutes certification by The Gilmore Research Group that the attached report and the underlying surveys were conducted and prepared in accordance with the procedures established in Docket Nos. UE-011570 and UG-011571. These procedures, the data collection methods and the quality controls are consistent with industry practices and, we believe, ensure that the information produced in the surveys is unbiased and valid.

We would be glad to answer any questions or provide any additional information that you may need.

Sincerely,

The Gilmore Research Group

agi Con

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit C - Penalty Calculation and Refund Allocation

Penalty Calculation

SQI No. 3: SAIDI (System Average Interruption Duration Index)

Penalty = ((Annual SAIDI - benchmark) / benchmark) * 10 * penalty per point

minutes / customer	minutes / customer	
167	136	\$225,001
Annual SAIDI =	Benchmark =	Penalty Per Point =

(Maximum Penalty is \$1,000,000) Penalty = ((167 - 136) / 136) * 10 * \$225,001 Penalty = \$512,870

Preliminary Revenue Requirement Effect

Line	Description	Revenue Requirement % to Total SQI Penalty	% to Total	SQI Penalty	Allocation
1	2007 Conservation Expenditures (Non-				\$ 502,512
	Schedule 258 Customers)				
2	Scheduleedule 258 Customers Estimated				\$ 34,593
	Billed Schedule 120 for 2007				
က				'	
4	Total 2007				\$ 537,105
5					
9					
7	Conversion Factor				0.9548744
∞					
o	2007 Conservation Expenditures (Non-	\$ 35,766,613	93.559%		\$ 479,836
	Schedule 258 Customers)				
10	Scheduleedule 258 Customers Estimated	\$ 2,462,192	6.441%		\$ 33,032
	Billed Schedule 120 for 2007				
7	Total 2007	\$ 38,228,805	100%	100% \$ 512,868 \$	\$ 512,868

Exhibit C-Penalty and Refund.xls 2/14/2008 8:15 AM

Preliminary Cust Class Effect

Customer Class	Energy Allocator (Docket No. UE-060266)	80% Energy (Docket No. UE-060266)	Demand Allocator (Docket No. UE-060266)	20% Demand (Docket No. UE-060266)	Weighted Allocation	Co Reg	Total SQI Penalty Related Conservation Costs Revenue Requirement	KWH 4/06 to 3/08	¢ per kWh
	В	b = 80% * a / sum(a)	O	d = 20% * c / sum(c)	e=b+d	"	f=e*A	g	h=f/g*100
Residential	11,074,901,353	0.427284	2,322,157	0.122566	0,549850	₩	(276,306)	10,793,413,000	-0.0026 ¢
Sec Gen Svc - Small	2,683,528,686	0.103534	478,263	0.025243	0.128777	⇔	(64,712)	2,730,094,000	-0.0024 ¢
Sec Gen Svc - Medium	3,177,197,381	0.122580	501,441	0.026467	0.149047	⇔	(74,898)	3,135,246,000	-0.0024 ¢
Sec Gen Svc - Large	2,062,251,046	0.079564	273,988	0.014461	0.094026	↔	(47,249)	2,133,608,000	-0.0022 ¢
Sec Irrigation Svc	14,325,693	0.000553	1,452	0.000077	0.000629	↔	(316)	17,104,000	-0.0018 ¢
Pri Gen Svc	1,447,496,457	0.055846	197,758	0.010438	0.066284	49	(33,309)	1,493,264,000	-0.0022 ¢
Pri Irrigation Svc	5,908,253	0.000228	4	0.000000	0.000228	↔	(115)	5,776,000	-0.0020 ¢
Pri Interruptible Svc	178,979,205	0.006905	ı		0.006905	()	(3,470)	188,873,000	-0.0018¢
Lights	90,870,594	0.003506	14,168	0.000748	0.004254	49	(2,138)	100,790,000	-0.0021 ¢
Campus Rate Note		•		1	•	↔	(7,347)	514,313,000	
High Voltage Note		ı			•	49	(7,782)	528,149,000	
Transportation		1		•	•	↔	(19,422)	2,200,421,000	
Total	20,735,458,668	0.800000	3,789,231	0.200000	0.200000 1.000000 \$		(537,063)	23,841,051,000	-0.0023 ¢

Note: The refund allocated to Campus Rate, High Voltage, and Transportation will be used to increase their conservation programs funding rather than directly given to customers

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit D - Proposed Customer Report Card

Each year Puget Sound Energy measures 11 key service-quality areas to track how well we are performing in providing our utility services to you, and to identify areas for improvement. Through customer satisfaction surveys, missed appointments, frequency and duration of power outages and the amount of time it takes to respond to a natural gas or electric emergency or answer your calls, among other measurements, we match our performance against a rating system of benchmarks (see chart). Here are the results.

2007 Customer Service Performance Highlights

- In 2007, in addition to meeting nine of the 11 service quality measurements, we improved slightly in two areas compared to 2006: 1) fewer complaints to state regulators, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, and 2) fewer power outages.
- An area where we fall short in meeting our target was in your satisfaction with our overall performance. The 83-percent score, similar to the previous two years, makes it clear we need to put extra attention in this area to meet the 90 percent performance target. Overall customer satisfaction, listed as number one in our report card, is foremost to us.
- The other area where we missed the mark, even though we improved our year-to-year performance, was in the amount of time it took us to restore a power outage. Due to an unusually high number of outages in January due to wind, the overall average of 2-hour and 47-minute wait in 2007 exceeded the benchmark by about 30 minutes. If January 2007 had been an average January (based on the 5-year PSE SAIDI average), PSE would have met the SAIDI SQI.
- For the 2007 performance results, PSE incurred a \$513,000 penalty for missing the benchmark for the length of time some customers were without power. PSE refunded the penalty to customers as an offset to the costs included in the electric and natural gas conservation program charge beginning April 1, 2008.
- Through our Customer Service Guarantee program, we back up our pledge to you when you make a service appointment by crediting \$50 to your PSE bill if we do not meet our commitment. In 2007, PSE credited customers a total of \$11,950 for missing one percent of our total of 130,000 scheduled appointments.

We know you expect high level of service from us. We are working hard to meet that expectation and are dedicated to find more ways to continually improve our service to earn your best grade.

Puget Sound Energy Performance Report Card – 2007

KE	EY MEASUREMENT		2007	
		BENCHMARK	PERFORMANCE	ACHIEVED
1.	Percent of customers satisfied with our performance	90 percent	83 percent	No
2.	Number of complaints to the WUTC per 1,000 customers	Less than 0.50	0.27	✓
3.	Length of non-storm power outages per year	Less than 2 hours, 16 minutes	2 hours, 47 minutes	No
4.	Frequency of non-storm power outages, per year, per customer	Less than 1.30 outages	0.97 outages	√
5.	Percent of calls answered live within 30 seconds by our Customer Access Center	At least 75 percent	75 percent	~
6.	Percent of customers more than satisfied with our Customer Access Center, based on survey	90 percent	92 percent	✓
7.	Time from customer call to arrival of field technicians in response to gas emergencies	No more than 55 minutes	38 minutes	√
8.	Percent of customers more than satisfied with field services, based on survey	At least 90 percent	90 percent	✓
9.	Percent of customers disconnected for non-payment	No more than 3.0 percent	2.8 percent	√
10	. Percent of in-home service appointments kept, as promised	At least 92 percent	99 percent	√
11	. Time from customer call to arrival of field technicians in response to power system emergencies	No more than 55 minutes	52 minutes	√

Puget Sound Energy 2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit E - Customer Service Guarantee Performance Detail

Definition of the categories

Total Appointments (Excludes Canceled): the total of Total Missed and Total Kept

Missed Approved: appointments missed due to PSE reasons and customers are paid the \$50 Service Guarantee payment for each missed approved appointment.

Missed Denied: appointments missed due to customer reasons or due to major events

Missed Open: appointments not yet reviewed by PSE for the \$50 Service Guarantee payment

Total Missed: the total number of Missed Approved, Missed Denied, and Missed Open

Manual Kept: adjusted missed appointments resulting from the review by the PSE personnel

System Kept: appointments in which PSE arrived at the customer site as promised

Total Kept: the total number of Manual Kept and System Kept

Canceled: appointments canceled by either customers or PSE

Service Guarantee Payments: the total of the \$50 Service Guarantee payments made to customers

Exhibit E Missed Appointments and Service Guarantee Performance Report

Table 1
Summary Missed Appointments Report
As of December 31, 2007

As of December 31, 2007

2007

December

January 2007

12 Months All Service Type:

	Total Appts (Exclude Canceled)	Missed Approved	Missed Denied	Missed Open	Total Missed	Manual Kept	System Kept	Total Kept	Canceled	Service Guarantee Payment
Electric Permanent SVC	12,525	74	252	128	454	3,523	8,548	12,071	1	\$3,700
Reconnection	36,842	73	407	77	257	140	36,145	36,285	3,378	\$3,650
Sub-total	49,367	147	629	205	1,011	3,663	44,693	48,356	3,379	\$7,350
Gas										
Diagnostic	26,406	35	108	•	143	46	26,217	26,263	5,028	\$1,750
Permanent SVC	12,830	35	327	149	511	3,676	8,643	12,319	1	\$1,750
Reconnection	41,400	. 22	140	1	162	144	41,094	41,238	3,158	\$1,100
Sub-total	80,636	92	575	149	816	3,866	75,954	79,820	8,186	\$4,600
Grand Total	130,003	239	1,234	354	1,827	7,529	120,647	128,176	11,565	\$11,950

Exhibit E Missed Appointments and Service Guarantee Performance Report

Table 2 Monthly Report As of December 31, 2007

Service Guarantee Payment	\$350	\$350	\$100	\$50	\$0	\$850	\$1,300	\$800	\$0	\$100	\$200	\$2,500	\$150	\$500	\$350	\$300	\$250	\$1,550	\$250	\$800	\$100	\$250	0\$	\$1,400	\$200	\$150	\$300	\$200	\$ 0
Canceled		186	089	0	221	1,088	0	242	363	0	210	815	0	289	362	0	239	890	0	264	322	0	306	892	0	314	195	0	246
Total Kept	1,017	2,382	3,614	905	2,667	10,585	885	3,429	2,287	696	3,393	10,957	1,098	3,775	2,121	1,097	4,527	12,618	1,055	3,831	1,556	1,113	4,492	12,047	1,110	3,642	1,460	1,243	3,748
System Kept	369	2,342	3,611	400	2,662	9,384	592	3,405	2,285	682	3,391	10,355	822	3,743	2,121	845	4,523	12,054	820	3,814	1,555	827	4,466	11,482	876	3,615	1,459	971	3,743
Manual Kept	648	40	က	505	5	1,201	293	24	2	281	2	602	276	32	0	252	4	564	235	17	1	286	79	565	234	27	1	272	ເດ
Total Missed	194	89	21	266	13	562	48	28	. 11	40	19	146	15	23	21	21	31	111	18	34	&	19	~	98	11	10	21	19	15
Missed Open	23	0	0	7	0	93	1	1	0	5	0	7	ю	1	0	w	0	6	0	0	0	13	0	13	0	1	0	11	0
Missed Denied	164	61	19	258	13	515	21	6	11	33	15	88	6	12	14	10	26	7.1	13	18	9		7	45	7	9	15	4	15
Missed Approved	_	^	2	₩	0	17	26	18	0	2	4	50	9	10	7	9	33	31	5	16	2	5	0	28	4	3	9	4	0
Total Appts (Exclude Canceled and Excused)	1,211	2,450	3,635	1,171	2,680	11,147	933	3,457	2,298	1,003	3,412	11,103	1,113	3,798	2,142	1,118	4,558	12,729	1,073	3,865	1,564	1,132	4,499	12,133	1,121	3,652	1,481	1,262	3,763
Type	Permanent SVC	Reconnection	Diagnostic	Permanent SVC	Reconnection		Permanent SVC	Reconnection	Diagnostic	Permanent SVC	Reconnection		Permanent SVC	Reconnection	Diagnostic	Permanent SVC	Reconnection		Permanent SVC	Reconnection	Diagnostic	Permanent SVC	Reconnection		Permanent SVC	Reconnection	Diagnostic	Permanent SVC	Reconnection
Month Fuel	Jan-07 Electric	Jan-07 Electric	Jan-07 Gas	Jan-07 Gas	Jan-07 Gas	Jan-07 Total	Feb-07 Electric	Feb-07 Electric	Feb-07 Gas	Feb-07 Gas	Feb-07 Gas	Feb-07 Total	Mar-07 Electric	Mar-07 Electric	Mar-07 Gas	Mar-07 Gas	Mar-07 Gas	Mar-07 Total	Apr-07 Electric	Apr-07 Electric	Apr-07 Gas	Apr-07 Gas	Apr-07 Gas	Apr-07 Total	May-07 Electric	May-07 Electric	May-07 Gas	May-07 Gas	May-07 Gas

Exhibit E Missed Appointments and Service Guarantee Performance Report

Table 2 Monthly Report As of December 31, 2007

Month Fuel	Туре	Total Appts (Exclude Canceled and Excused)	Missed Approved	Missed Denied	Missed	Total Missed	Manual Kept	System Kept	Total Kept	Canceled	Service Guarantee Payment
May-07 Total		11,279	17	47	12	2/2	539	10,664	11,203	755	\$850
Jun-07 Electric	Permanent SVC	1,049	ıc	4	e,	12	223	814	1,037	0	\$250
Jun-07 Electric	Reconnection	3,612	9	22	4	32	0	3,580	3,580	316	\$300
Jun-07 Gas	Diagnostic	166	1	4	0	ıc	Ţ	985	986	182	\$50
Jun-07 Gas	Permanent SVC	1,100	က	2	2	^	291	802	1,093	0	\$150
Jun-07 Gas	Reconnection	3,397	4	15	0	19	12	3,366	3,378	256	\$200
Jun-07 Total		10,149	19	47	6	75	527	9,547	10,074	754	\$950
Jul-07 Electric	Permanent SVC	1,101	ស	8	10	23	232	846	1,078	0	\$250
Jul-07 Electric	Reconnection	2,777	5	27	B	35	0	2,742	2,742	330	\$250
Jul-07 Gas	Diagnostic	1,020	7	10	0	12	33	1,005	1,008	150	\$100
Jul-07 Gas	Permanent SVC	1,164	1	2	B	9	294	864	1,158	0	\$50
Jul-07 Gas	Reconnection	2,620	1	13	0	14	7	2,599	2,606	224	\$50
Jul-07 Total		8,682	14	09	16	06	536	8,056	8,592	704	\$700
Aug-07 Electric	Permanent SVC	1,181	7	7	23	37	285	829	1,144	0	\$350
Aug-07 Electric	Reconnection	3,367	6	24	9	33	0	3,334	3,334	357	\$150
Aug-07 Gas	Diagnostic	1,137	0	4	0	4	1	1,132	1,133	218	\$0
Aug-07 Gas	Permanent SVC	1,218	2	က	9	11	273	934	1,207	0	\$100
Aug-07 Gas	Reconnection	3,030	2	7	0	6	6	3,012	3,021	283	\$100
Aug-07 Total		6,933	14	45	35	94	568	9,271	6'836	858	\$200
Sep-07 Electric	Permanent SVC	1,078	1	3	11	15	286	777	1,063	0	\$50
Sep-07 Electric	Reconnection	3,019	2	183	7	192	0	2,827	2,827	286	\$100
Sep-07 Gas	Diagnostic	2,221	1	11	0	12	∞	2,201	2,209	401	\$50
Sep-07 Gas	Permanent SVC	1,041	7	1	9	14	320	207	1,027	0	\$350
Sep-07 Gas	Reconnection	3,375	4	15	0	19	20	3,336	3,356	282	\$200
Sep-07 Total		10,734	15	213	24	252	634	9,848	10,482	696	\$750
Oct-07 Electric	Permanent SVC	1,090	B	ις	17	25	346	719	1,065	0	\$150
Oct-07 Electric	Reconnection	3,304	1	35	21	22	0	3,247	3,247	357	\$20
Oct-07 Gas	Diagnostic	3,906	7	4	0	11	6	3,886	3,895	747	\$320
Oct-07 Gas	Permanent SVC	1,113	6	2	9	11	389	713	1,102	0	\$150

Exhibit E Missed Appointments and Service Guarantee Performance Report

Table 2 Monthly Report As of December 31, 2007

Month Fuel	Туре	Total Appts (Exclude Canceled and Excused)	Missed Approved	Missed Denied	Missed Open	Total Missed	Manual Kept	System Kept	Total Kept	Canceled	Service Guarantee Payment
Oct-07 Gas	Reconnection	4,295	0	10	0	10	26	4,259	4,285	367	0\$
Oct-07 Total		13,708	14	26	44	114	770	12,824	13,594	1,471	\$700
Nov-07 Electric	Permanent SVC	874	8	80	19	35	251	588	839	0	\$400
Nov-07 Electric	Reconnection	2,563	1	6	24	34	0	2,529	2,529	268	\$50
Nov-07 Gas	Diagnostic	2,923	4	ဇ	0		6	2,907	2,916	829	\$200
Nov-07 Gas	Permanent SVC	913	1	7	19	27	318	268	886	0	\$50
Nov-07 Gas	Reconnection	3,218	щ	3	0	4	20	3,194	3,214	258	\$50
Nov-07 Total		10,491	15	30	62	107	298	9,786	10,384	1,204	\$750
Dec-07 Electric	Permanent SVC	701	0	3	18	21	214	466	989	0	\$0
Dec-07 Electric	Reconnection	826	1	-	6	11	0	296	296	169	\$50
Dec-07 Gas	Diagnostic	3,088	က	7	0	10	8	3,070	3,078	730	\$150
Dec-07 Gas	Permanent SVC	595	0	4	99	70	195	330	525	0	\$0
Dec-07 Gas	Reconnection	2,553	1	1	0	2	8	2,543	2,551	266	\$50
Dec-07 Total		7,915	ιΩ	16	66	114	425	7,376	7,801	1,165	250

2007 Annual Service Quality Program Filing

Exhibit F - Customer Awareness of Customer Service Guarantee

Exhibit F Customer Awareness of Service Guarantee

Pursuant to Exhibit J of the Settlement Stipulation Re Service Quality Index ("SQI") in Docket Nos. UE-011570 and UG-011571 and as amended in Docket No. UE-031946, PSE undertook the following actions in 2007 to promote customer awareness of the customer service guarantee.

- 1. Articles that publicized the Customer Service Guarantee were included in following four issues of the "Energywise" customer newsletter in 2007: February-March, April-May, June-July, and November-December.
- 2. The text of the service guarantee has been appeared on the back of the bill-stock since fall 2002. In addition, every billing envelope customers received in 2007 has an imprinted Customer Service Guarantee message on the back of the envelope.
- 3. The customer service guarantee was incorporated in the natural gas and the electric customer "rights and responsibilities" brochure in 2004 and has been distributed in the new customer letter and in response to individual requests. Both gas and electric "rights and responsibilities" brochures are posted on www.PSE.com.
- 4. PSE Access Center continued to promote the customer service guarantee in the following ways:
 - On relevant paths where a qualifying appointment will be generated, the Access Center phone tree invites customers to ask about the Company's service guarantee program – before directly speaking with an agent.
 - Access Center employees are provided training and scripting on the service guarantee program.
 - Information about the service guarantee program is included in PSE's online Quick Reference Manual. This data is accessible 24 hours per day on PSE's intranet and is available to all customer service, gas field services and new construction employees within the Company.
 - The Company is taking measures to ensure that agents are trained on its
 policy to advise customers of the guarantee before the end of any call in
 which an eligible appointment or commitment is made.

Exhibit F

Customer Awareness of Service Guarantee

5. Other measures used to inform customers of the service guarantee include the gas and electric service handbooks and the PSE web site at www.PSE.com.

The results of customer awareness surveys as assessed using two separate Gilmore Research Group's surveys are presented in the following table.

Customer Awareness of Service Guarantee.xls 2/14/2008 8:18 AM.

EXHIBIT F
Customer Awareness of Service Guarantee

	1	an-07	Feb-07	Mar-07	Jan-07 Feb-07 Mar-07 Apr-07 May-07 Jun-07 Jul-07 Aug-07 Sep-07 Oct-07 Nov-07 Dec-07 Total	fay-07]	[20-un	nl-07. /	5 40-gnv	ep-07 (Oct-07 N	Vov-07 E	ec-07	[otal
CFS Survey														
Q26A. When you called to make the Yes	: Yes	6	10	10	10	ø	11	00	13	13	4	16	10	122
appointment for a service technician No	No No	149	141	141	139	155	162	142	143	140	141	131	135	1,719
to come out, did the customer	Don't Know	43	43	47	20	36	56	20	4	47	54	51	47	533
service representative tell you about Refused Response PSE \$50 Service Guarantee?	t Refused Response	ო	9	က	2			,	—	1	-	-	ο.	22
	Total Customers Surveyed	204	200	196	201	199	200	200	201	200	200	199	201	2,401
O26C. Which of the following best														
fits your understanding of how the	You are given the \$50.00 service													
service guarantee works if a														
scheduled appointment has to be	time causes you inconvenience.	რ	3	7	^	က	2	4	က	ß	4	7	ю	45
changed by PSE. Note	Whenever PSE changes an													
	appointment, you are given the													
	\$50.00.	7	2	7	7	4	ß	-	ı,	9	ო	10	7	25
	You have no understanding or													
	expectations about this part of													
	the service guarantee plan.	153	159	150	156	178	166	163	156	123	131	153	147	1,835
	Don't Know	40	56	33	30	14	56	32	41	65	61	22	36	429
	Refused Response	9	7	S	9		-			,	** **	4	œ	39
	Total Customers Surveyed	204	200	196	201	199	200	200	200	200	200	199	201	2.400
Q26D. Did your appointment have	_	169	174	169	180	180	182	179	178	172	166	160	155	2,064
to be rescheduled or did it occur as	It was rescheduled.	10	4	10	ß	13	9	11	10	90	16	17	13	126
planned?														
	Technician arrived but was late.	Ġ	7	9	ß	2	1	က	-	1	-	4	ιn	35
	Don't Know	15	10	က	6	က	9	9	11	20	16	10	21	130
	Refused Response	5	7	∞	2	1	ഗ				-	œ		46
	Total Customers Surveyed	204	200	196	201	199	200	200	201	200	200	199	201	2,401
Q26E. Who initiated rescheduling	Myself (Customer Initiated)	9	4	വ	51	10	4	7	10	4	13	17	11	96
your appointment? Note	Puget Sound Energy (PSE)													
	Initiated	60	3	ιO		co	1	1	7	6	1	12	, ,	9
	Don't Know	1						က	က	1	2		H	13
	Refused Response													ı
	Total Customers Surveyed	10	7	10	ις	13	9	11	20	80	16	30	13	149
NCC Survey														
Q12. Are you aware of Puget Sound Yes	i Yes				Z.				25				28	161
Energy's \$50 service guarantee to	No				124				112				144	380
meet scheduled work dates?	Refused Response								•					, r
	Don't Know				در				, , ,				ć	0 9
	Total Customers Surveyed	,	-	-	8/.	-	1	-	791			-	502	0