

Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_ (APB-7)  
Docket Nos. UE-050684 and UE-050412  
Witness: Alan P. Buckley

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

**Complainant,**

**v.**

**PACIFICORP, d/b/a Pacific Power &  
Light Company, Respondent.**

**In the Matter of the Petition of  
PacifiCorp, d/b/a Pacific Power & Light  
Company for an Order Approving  
Deferral of Costs Related to Declining  
Hydro Generation**

**DOCKET NO. UE-050684**

**DOCKET NO. UE-050412**

**EXHIBIT TO  
TESTIMONY OF**

**ALAN P. BUCKLEY**

**For  
STAFF OF  
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**PacifiCorp's Response to ICNU  
Data Requests Nos. 7.5  
(without attachment) and 7.6**

**November 3, 2005**

**ICNU Data Request 7.5**

For the new Currant Creek plant, please provide the following information:

- a. Capital cost included in rate base in the test year.
- b. Depreciation expense included in the test year.
- c. O&M expense included in the test year.
- d. Property taxes included in the test year.
- e. Transmission cost benefits reflected in the test year.
- f. Overall revenue requirements included in the test year.
- g. An explanation for assuming that Currant Creek was a prudent investment.
- h. A calculation showing the amount of ancillary benefits associated with Currant Creek that are reflected in the test year power costs.
- i. Any evidence that demonstrates that electricity generated at Currant Creek is used by retail customers in Washington.

**Response to ICNU Data Request 7.5**

- a. Total Company: \$347,263,121; Washington Allocated: \$29,430,197
- b. Total Company: \$12,385,495; Washington Allocated: \$1,049,665
- c. Total Company: \$394,939; Washington Allocated: \$32,635
- d. Property taxes are calculated for the entire system and are not assigned to specific plants.
- e. There are no transmission cost benefits identified in the test period.
- f. Total Company: \$43,179,848; Washington Allocated: \$3,658,619
- g. See direct testimony filed by PacifiCorp in Utah PSC Docket No. 03-035-29-Currant Creek; this is provided as Attachment ICNU 7.5 g on the enclosed CD.
- h. The requested information is provided as Attachment ICNU 7.5 h on the enclosed CD.
- i. Electricity generated at Currant Creek is used by retail customers in Washington in the sense that all generation on-line at a particular time supports all loads throughout the Western Interconnection. This has been evidenced many times during

UE-050684/PacifiCorp  
September 26, 2005  
ICNU 7<sup>th</sup> Set Data Request 7.5

events of any generation outage temporarily causing decreases in system frequency throughout the Western Interconnection. Please refer to the Direct Testimony of Gregory N. Duvall, pages 29-44.

Responder: Paul M. Wrigley/Mark R. Tallman  
Witness: Paul M. Wrigley/Mark R. Tallman

**WASHINGTON**

**UE-505684**

**GENERAL RATE CASE**

**PACIFICORP**

**ICNU 7<sup>th</sup> Set DATA REQUEST**

**ATTACHMENT ICNU 7.5 (g-h)**

**ON THE ENCLOSED CD**

UE-050684/PacifiCorp  
September 26, 2005  
ICNU 7<sup>th</sup> Set Data Request 7.6

**ICNU Data Request 7.6**

Please provide any evidence that demonstrates that the electricity generated at the new Gadsby CTs and West Valley units are used by retail customers in Washington.

**Response to ICNU Data Request 7.6**

Please refer to Data Response ICNU 7.5 i.

Responder: Mark R. Tallman  
Witness: Mark R. Tallman