Docket Nos. UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, UT-190265 and UT-190266 (Consolidated) - Vol. II

WUTC v. Qwest Corporation, d/b/a CenturyLink, et al.

July 30, 2019



206.287.9066 I 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)DOCKET NO. UT-190262
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)

Complainant,)

vs.)

QWEST CORPORATION, d/b/a)
CENTURYLINK QC,)

Respondent.)*Caption Continued*

STATUS CONFERENCE, VOLUME II

Pages 33-47

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE NELLI DOROSHKIN

July 30, 2019

9:30 a.m.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast Lacey, Washington 98503

REPORTED BY: TAYLER GARLINGHOUSE, CCR 3358

Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 287-9066 | Seattle (360) 534-9066 | Olympia (800) 846-6989 | National www.buellrealtime.com

```
Page 34
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)
                  Complainant,
 3
                                     DOCKET NO. UT-190263
     v.
 4
     CENTURYTEL OF COWICHE,
 5
     INC., d/b/a CENTURYLINK,
 6
                  Respondent.
 7
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
 8
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)
                                    DOCKET NO. UT-190264
                  Complainant,
10
     v.
11
     CENTURYTEL OF WASHINGTON,
     INC., d/b/a CENTURYLINK,
12
                  Respondent.
13
14
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)
15
                                    DOCKET NO. UT-190265
                  Complainant,
16
     v.
17
     CENTURYTEL OF WASHINGTON,
18
     INC., d/b/a CENTURYLINK,
19
                  Respondent.
20
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
21
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)
2.2
                  Complainant,
                                 ) DOCKET NO. UT-190266
23
     v.
24
     UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY
     OF THE NORTHWEST d/b/a
25
     CENTURYLINK,
```

			Page	35
1	Respondent.)		
2		_ /		
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

		Page	36
1	APPEARANCES		
2	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE:		
3	NELLI DOROSHKIN		
4	Utilities and Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast		
5	Lacey, Washington 98503 (360) 664-1160		
6	nelli.doroshkin@utc.wa.gov		
7	FOR COMMISSION STAFF:		
8	FOR COMMISSION STAFF.		
9	NASH CALLAGHAN Assistant Attorney General Attorney General's Office		
10	621 Woodland Square Loop Southeast		
11	Lacey, Washington 98503 (360) 664-1187 nash.callaghan@utc.wa.gov		
12			
13	FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL:		
14	LISA GAFKEN		
15	Assistant Attorney General Attorney General's Office 800 - 5th Avenue, Suite 2000		
16	Seattle, Washington 98104 (206) 464-6595		
17	lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov		
18	FOR CENTURYLINK:		
19			
20	LISA ANDERL Qwest Corporation		
21	1600 - 7th Avenue, Room 1506 Seattle, Washington 98191 (206) 345-1574		
22	lisa.anderl@centurylink.com		
23			
24			
25			

Page 37 1 APPEARANCES (Cont.) 2. FOR TELECOMMUNICATION 3 SYSTEMS, INC.: 4 SUSAN ORNSTEIN Comtech Telecommunications Corp. 5 Senior Director, Legal and Regulatory Affairs 6 275 West Street, Suite 200 Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (202) 794-4102 7 susan.ornstein@comtechtel.com 8 9 FOR MILITARY DEPARTMENT: 10 (Via phone) DAWN CORTEZ 11 Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 12 Transportation and Public Construction Division 13 P.O. Box 40113 Olympia, Washington 98504 14 (360) 586-2436 dawn.cortez@atg.wa.gov 15 16 ALSO PRESENT: 17 CARLA COLAMONICI ANDREW SINGER 18 STEVE HELME 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 38 1 LACEY, WASHINGTON; JULY 30, 2019 9:30 A.M. --000--3 4 PROCEEDINGS 5 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Let's be on the record. 6 7 So we're here today for a status conference in consolidated Dockets UT-190262, UT-190263, UT-190264, 8 9 UT-190265, and UT-190266 regarding tariff filings to 10 discontinue private switch/automatic location 11 identification services. These filings were made by the companies in the docket captions, which we will refer to 12 13 in shorthand as the CenturyLink companies. 14 My name is Nelli Doroshkin, and I am an 15 administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities 16 and Transportation Commission. 17 We will begin by taking short appearances 18 beginning with the CenturyLink companies. The light 19 should be green. 20 MS. ANDERL: It's not. Okay. For the 21 record, that's a really hard press on that button. 22 morning, Your Honor, and everyone else. Lisa Anderl, 23 in-house attorney representing CenturyLink. 24 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Staff? 25 MR. CALLAGHAN: Nash Callaghan, Assistant

- 1 Attorney General, on behalf of Staff.
- JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Public Counsel?
- 3 MS. GAFKEN: Good morning. Lisa Gafken,
- 4 Assistant Attorney General, appearing on behalf of
- 5 Public Counsel.
- 6 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: And Washington State
- 7 Military Department?
- 8 MS. CORTEZ: Assistant Attorney General,
- 9 Dawn Cortez, representing the State Military Department.
- 10 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then
- 11 TeleCommunication Systems, Inc.?
- MS. ORNSTEIN: Susan Ornstein, representing
- 13 TeleCommunication Systems, Inc.
- 14 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: So that should be
- 15 everyone. The prehearing conference on May 13th where
- 16 we discussed the process for filing an implementation
- 17 plan and CenturyLink agreed to share its implementation
- 18 plan information with other parties on May 24th, and the
- 19 parties were to participate in a settlement conference
- 20 on June 7th.
- 21 So could CenturyLink please start by saying
- 22 whether you had shared the implementation plan
- 23 information and whether correct notices were provided to
- 24 customers?
- MS. ANDERL: We did share an implementation

- 1 plan, a proposed implementation plan, and we spoke with
- 2 the parties during at least one formal settlement
- 3 conversation and then a -- some phone calls and some
- 4 emails. We were able to resolve the final form of a
- 5 corrected customer notice just last week or perhaps
- 6 maybe ten days ago, and I have the wheels turning within
- 7 the Company to have that sent out both via email and
- 8 U.S. mail to our customers, remaining PS/ALI customers.
- JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And when would that
- 10 happen?
- MS. ANDERL: I don't know yet.
- 12 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay.
- 13 MS. ANDERL: We've -- we've requested that
- 14 it happen, but it has to go through, you know, certain
- 15 other legal review other than me and then get into queue
- 16 to be sent out, you know, in -- with multiple other
- 17 notices that we either have to or want to send. So I
- 18 have asked for that information, what the ETA mailing
- 19 date is, but haven't received any information back yet.
- 20 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. Maybe we can
- 21 discuss that when we discuss the proposed procedural
- 22 schedule.
- So I did receive a copy of a proposed
- 24 procedural schedule from Commission Staff yesterday,
- 25 which Staff conveys is jointly proposed by all of the

- 1 parties. My first question is whether this applies to
- 2 all the consolidated dockets labeled UT-190262.
- 3 MR. CALLAGHAN: All the consolidated
- 4 dockets, Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then second, as
- 6 we discussed at the prehearing conference, the
- 7 Commission has not intended on holding a hearing in
- 8 these dockets, and had instead anticipated that the
- 9 parties would file an implementation plan.
- 10 So I'll ask Staff to address the need for a
- 11 hearing including whether there's consensus for proposed
- 12 topics for a hearing and what those topics would be if
- 13 so.
- MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. We
- 15 believe that it was necessary to include a hearing given
- 16 that, if the parties could not come to an agreement in
- 17 this case, that we would need an evidentiary hearing to
- 18 determine whether the proposed tariff change was in the
- 19 public interest. That being said, the parties are
- 20 working towards a settlement, and I believe we're making
- 21 good progress.
- 22 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So then what -- do
- 23 you anticipate that settlement would result in an
- 24 implementation plan or how does an implementation plan
- 25 fit into this proposed procedural schedule?

- 1 MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes, Your Honor. I believe
- 2 that the settlement would necessarily include an
- 3 implementation plan.
- 4 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. And then when would
- 5 the anticipated filing day for an implementation plan be
- 6 in case a hearing is held? I just don't see that in
- 7 the procedural schedule.
- 8 MR. CALLAGHAN: Well, Your Honor, in the
- 9 event that the parties would be unable to reach an
- 10 agreement, I think that the -- the course of action
- 11 would be that the Commission would make an order that
- 12 might direct the parties toward an implementation plan.
- 13 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So an order on the
- 14 tariff filing?
- MR. CALLAGHAN: Yes.
- 16 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: I see. All right. Well,
- 17 then, taking a look at the proposed procedural schedule,
- 18 are there any other dates available for a hearing,
- 19 whether or not a hearing is held? But right now, as
- 20 currently proposed, that date does not work very well
- 21 for me. Are there any other dates that would be
- 22 suitable?
- 23 MS. ANDERL: Your Honor, we talked a little
- 24 bit about this, and I think we had preliminarily raised
- 25 the possibility of extending the suspension date for 30

- 1 days to give basically some more flexibility in terms of
- 2 finding a hearing date if one were necessary. I will
- 3 tell you that the customer notice that we're going to
- 4 send, that includes all of -- you know, that all the
- 5 parties have agreed upon, says that CenturyLink is
- 6 recommending to the UTC to allow us to discontinue the
- 7 service effective May 31st, 2020. So the March 8th
- 8 date, while it is a real one because it is a statutory
- 9 suspension date for the tariff, we've already
- 10 effectively waived that out.
- JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay.
- MS. ANDERL: And so we're hopeful that, you
- 13 know, whatever sort of a settlement agreement the
- 14 parties can reach would include that -- that
- 15 May 31st, 2020 date as a hard stop.
- JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay.
- MS. ANDERL: But that gives us more time in
- 18 the first quarter or, you know, early second quarter of
- 19 2020 in the unlikely event that we need a hearing to
- 20 pick a date.
- 21 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. I see. So what we
- 22 could do is take this proposed procedural schedule as-is
- 23 and maybe leave the evidentiary hearing date to be
- 24 determined. However, I do like the -- the fact that
- 25 there is a hard stop here. There is a deadline in place

- 1 with a hearing as proposed.
- 2 So what I will do is I will discuss the
- 3 proposed procedural schedule with Commissioners prior to
- 4 issuing any document after this status conference, and
- 5 then I will issue an order on the procedural schedule,
- 6 not necessarily modifying the current procedural
- 7 schedule in the docket. But should there be a
- 8 settlement that results in the filing of an
- 9 implementation plan, then upon filing, the Commission
- 10 will review the implementation plan and issue -- we
- 11 would issue an order on that.
- 12 So and the public comment hearing date does
- 13 not need to be determined at this point I don't think,
- 14 so I think that's fine.
- 15 Is there anything else that anyone would
- 16 like to discuss?
- 17 MR. CALLAGHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 Staff would just encourage CenturyLink to send out the
- 19 customer notice as soon as possible. We have received
- 20 reports that there's some confusion with these customers
- 21 about what's going on with the transition, so we would
- 22 just encourage them to file the customer notice as soon
- as possible.
- MS. ANDERL: Right, and we will, and I
- 25 appreciate that and I appreciate the action by the other

- 1 parties to help us with drafting this. I think it took
- 2 a little while, but I think it is a better product than
- 3 it would have been otherwise so --
- 4 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. So the delay was
- 5 due to working with other parties to draft the...
- 6 MS. ANDERL: I'm not saying they were the
- 7 cause of any delay. I'm just saying that it --
- 8 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: No, I'm just trying to
- 9 understand --
- 10 MS. ANDERL: Yeah, we kept talking about
- 11 like what's this notice going to look like. And finally
- 12 we just sat down and pulled all the edits together and,
- 13 you know, I think -- I think it was a conference call a
- 14 week ago Friday where we, you know, finally got a draft
- 15 that everybody agreed on so -- but it's a -- it's a good
- 16 notice.
- 17 JUDGE DOROSHKIN: Okay. All right. Well,
- 18 if everyone agrees on the notice, if we can get that
- 19 sent out as quickly as possible and we'll take a look at
- 20 the proposed procedural schedule.
- 21 MS. ANDERL: And, Your Honor, I would
- 22 propose that when we -- when we send it to the
- 23 customers, I will file a copy of it in the docket with
- 24 an indication of the date that it was actually sent out.
- JUDGE DOROSHKIN: All right. Okay.

```
Page 46
                 All right, then. Is there anything else
 1
 2
     that we need to address? Well, then, I will issue an
 3
     order shortly, which may or may not modify the
     procedural schedule once we review this with the
 4
 5
     Commissioners. But other than that, we are adjourned.
 6
     Thank you.
 7
                      (Adjourned at 9:41 a.m.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 47 CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF THURSTON I, Tayler Garlinghouse, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Taylor Garlinghouse Tayler Garlinghouse, CCR 3358