

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON AND UTILITIES TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

**IN THE MATTER OF THE CONTINUED)
COSTING AND PRICING OF UNBUNDLED)
NETWORK ELEMENTS, TRANSPORT,)
TERMINATIONS AND RESALE)**

Docket No. UT-003013

Part D

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

WILLIAM R. EASTON

QWEST CORPORATION

March 7, 2002

1 **I. IDENTIFICATION OF WITNESS**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

3 A. My name is William R. Easton. My business address is 1600 7th Avenue, Seattle
4 Washington. I am employed as Director – Wholesale Advocacy. I am testifying on behalf
5 of Qwest Corporation (“Qwest”).

6 **Q. DO YOU ADOPT THE TESTIMONY FILED BY ROBERT F. KENNEDY IN THIS**
7 **PROCEEDING?**

8 A. Yes. I am adopting, in its entirety, the direct testimony of Robert F. Kennedy dated
9 November 7, 2001 including the revised pages submitted on December 5, 2001, as well as
10 the Supplemental Direct Testimony filed November 30, 2001.

11 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED IN THE STATE OF WASHINGTON?**

12 A. Yes. I previously testified in the State of Washington in the following dockets: UT-
13 940641; UT-950200; UT-951425; and UT-960347.

14 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

15 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**

16 A. My rebuttal testimony will address certain issues presented in the Responsive Testimony of
17 Ronald Stanker of AT&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest, Inc. dated December
18 20, 2001.

1 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

2 A. Yes, this concludes my testimony.

¹ Responsive Testimony of Stanker page 3, lines 16-18: “Accordingly, AT&T expects Qwest to withdraw the two MTE nonrecurring charges that Qwest has proposed in this proceeding.”