Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S-Satisfactory U-Unsatisfactory N/A-Not Applicable N/C-Not Checked

If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

Add solid samples to the internal corrosion part of the form. A completed **Standard Inspection Report** is to be submitted to the Director within 60 days from completion of the inspection. A **Post Inspection Memorandum (PIM)** is to be completed and submitted to the Director within 30 days from the completion of the inspection, or series of inspections, and is to be filed as part of the **Standard Inspection Report.**

Inspection Report	Post In	spection	n Memorandum	
	Chief Eng/Review Date:	D. I	Lykken 5/20/2011	
Inspector/Submit Date: S. Rukke 5/19/2011	Peer Review/Date:			
	Director Approval/Date:			
	N MEMORANDUM (PIM			
Name of Operator: Puget Sound Energy	····		OPID #: 22189	
Name of Unit(s): Jackson Prairie Storage Facility			Unit #(s): 33875	
Records Location: Jackson Prairie Storage Facility			Activity #	
Unit Type & Commodity: Interstate Natural Gas Storage				
Inspection Type: Standard	Apri	120, 201		
PHMSA Representative(s): Scott Rukke and Lex Vinsel, UTC	- (Lex not present during A	pril 20 i	nsp.) AFO Days: 7	
Company System Maps (copies for Region Files):				
Validate SMART Data (components, miles, etc): Acq	uisition(s), Sale or New Co	nstructi	on (submit SMART update):	
Validate Additional Requirements Resulting From Waiver(s	s) or Special Permit(s):			
Summary: Two probable violations noted in the following areas: 1) 192.731(a), Compressor Station Relief Devices (1 per yr/15 months) 2) 192.483 & 192.485, Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions. Record Review: Included cathodic protection for plant piping and transmission pipeline including casings and rectifiers, maintenance of valves, pressure recording charts, Emergency Plan, Safety related condition reports, and Welding results, Field Inspection: Included new wellhead construction, new turbine/compressor station, CP for piping and rectifier units, ROW, firefighting equipment, pipe supports, and facility security systems. Future Inspection: It was recommended that future inspections be scheduled in the Fall of the year as in previous years.				
Findings:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

Findings:

Violations noted for the following areas:

- 1) 192.731(a), Compressor Station Relief Devices (1 per yr/15 months)
 Station over pressure relief valves 76, 44 and 43 were not operated during calendar year 2009 and exceeded 15 months between operation.
- 2) 192.483 & 192.485, Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions.

 PSE procedure 4515.1760 requires engineering notification for pits over a certain depth as outlined in PSE's O&M manual. Engineering will then determine follow up requirements. At the time of this inspection several areas of localized pitting were found under the wrap located at the pipe to soil interface of some pipe risers. These pits had a depth greater than that requiring engineering notification but notification was not done. This is a probable violation of 192.13(c), failure to follow PSE's procedure 4515.1760.

All field facilities checked had adequate cathodic protection. Fire eyes were tested and all activated and alarmed as designed. Gas detectors were tested and alarmed at 20%LEL and activated the ESD at 40% LEL as designed. Over pressure protection valves tested all opened at proper set points. ESD shutdown devices were adequately placed but were not tested due to system constraints. Casings tested all had proper electrical isolation. Emergency valves tested were all operational. Inspection emphasis was placed on the Saturn compressor building.

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

Name of Operator: Pu	get Sound Enter	gy - Jackson Prairie Sto		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
OP ID No. (1) 22189			Unit ID No. (1) 33875	
HQ Address:			System/Unit Name & Add	dress: (1)
Puget Sound Energy			Puget Sound Energy	
PO Box 90868 M/S PSE-			Jackson Prairie Natural Ga	s Storage Facility
Bellevue, WA 98009-086	8		239 Zandecki Rd	
			Chehalis, WA 98532	
Co. Official:	Sue McLain	President, Delivery	Activity Record ID No.:	
	Operations	resident, Derivery		
Phone No.:	800-552-717	1	Phone No.:	
Fax No.:			Fax No.:	
Emergency Phone No.:	800-552-717	1	Emergency Phone No.:	
Persons Intervie	ewed		Title	Phone No.
Jim Janson		Manager, Jackson l	Prairie	360-262-3365
Darryl Hong		Compliance Coord	inator	425-462-3911
Toni Imad		Engineer		425-456-2970
Rick Braaten		Plant Supervisor		360-262-0119
PHMSA Representatives April 20 insp.)	(s) (1) Scott Rul	kke, UTC, Lex Vinsel. U	TC (Lex not present during	Inspection Date(s) (1) March 29 – 31, 2011, and April 20, 2011

Counties of Operation: (list each field separately)

Chehalis

Storage Field(s) Description: (list each field separately)

Jackson Prairie storage is the 14th largest storage reservoir in the United States in terms of capacity for natural gas withdrawal and delivery to consumers. The facility is co-owned with equal rights with Puget Sound Energy, Avista Utilities, and Williams Northwest Pipeline. The facility was authorized for underground storage of natural gas in 1963 and certified for commercial service in 1970. Today, the facility has storage for 23 billion cubic feet and is expanding capacity to 25 billion cubic feet by 2012 with an additional 48 billion cubic feet of "cushion" to provide pressure in the reservoirs. The facility consists of a series of deep, underground reservoirs of porous sandstone deposits approximately 1,000 to 3,000 feet below the ground surface. The storage facility has 102 wells spread across 3,200 acres for injection and withdrawal points for natural gas. The facility can meet up to 25% of the Pacific Northwest's peak natural gas demand on the coldest winter days. Major components of the facility includes: four transmission pipeline, well points, gathering lines, filtration, coalesce, dehydration, compression units for injection to the storage field or interstate pipeline, and SCADA control unit.

Inspection Summary:

Numerous CP readings were conducted in the field both inside the plant grounds and within the storage field. A sampling of emergency valves were operated, above ground risers were inspected for signs of atmospheric corrosion and proper support, rectifiers were tested, casings were tested, fire eyes and gas detectors were tested, relief valves were tested and stored materials and pipe were inspected. All met code requirements. Note: 100% of above listed facilities were not inspected.

¹ Information not required if included on page 1.

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

The attached evaluation form should be used in conjunction with 49CFR Parts 191 and 192.

PIPE TYPE						
Bare steel Coated steel Ineffectively Coated Pre70-ERW Plastic Other: must specify type						
Footage/Mileage		15.57 miles				

PIPE SPECIFICATIONS (2" AND LARGER)						
Diameter(s)	14-inch	16-inch	20-inch	24-inch	8-5/8-inch	6-5/8-inch
Pipe Grade(s)	X-46	X-42	X-56	X-70		
Wall Thickness(s)	.250 inch	.312 inch	.375 inch	.250365 inch		
Footage/Mileage	9,031'	16,366'	9,053'	12,702'	13,068'	14,849'

WELL STIMULATION **ACIDIZING** Acidizing treatments used to stimulate the wells? X Yes No Type(s) of acids used in treating the wells: 15% Type(s) of inhibitors used with the acid(s): Varies Frequency of the treatments: Rare. Last used in the late 1990's Volume of acid per treatment: <600 Gal. Well cleanup procedure following treatment: Yes, flowed back into the well procedure. If treatment is flowed back into the Nothing specific. well/injection line, criteria used to determine that the treatment will not cause internal corrosion or erosion of the pipe: **FRACTURING** Fracturing treatments used to stimulate the wells? Yes <u>X</u> No Type(s) of fracturing fluids used in treating the wells: N/A Type(s) of inhibitors used with the fracturing fluid(s): Frequency of the treatments: Amount of sand per treatment: N/A Well cleanup procedure following treatment: N/A N/A If treatment is flowed back into the well/injection line, criteria used to determine that the treatment will not cause internal corrosion or erosion of the pipe:

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

GAS and LIQUID HANDLING FACILITIES			
		GAS COM	PRESSION
Location of compressors:	Jackson Prairie Com	pressor Station	
Number, Size (HP), and Date of Installation of Units:	C-2 1,000Hp 11/66 C-3 1,000Hp 9/66 C-4 1,000Hp 11/66 C-5 1,300Hp 12/68	Saturn turbine/compress Saturn turbine/compress	
	C-7 4,417Hp 11/75 C-8 7,000Hp 11/95 C-9 10,500Hp 11/05 IR-1 145Hp 1/0 IR-2 145Hp 1/05	Centaur turbine/compro Taurus-60 turbine/com Taurus-70 turbine/com Caterpillar engine for r Caterpillar engine for r	essor pressor
		GAS DEH	YDRATION
Location of dehydration unit	s: Jackson	Prairie Compressor Stati	on
Type(s) of dehydration proce	ess used: Glyco, t	oubble cap tray, triethyler	ne glycol at 1,150 MMCF/Day.
Number of dehydration units	Number of dehydration units: 12 towers Dehydration capacity: One billion CF daily		
		GAS SWEETENING	(Acid Gas Treating)
Location of sweetening units	s: N/A		
Type(s) of sweetening proce	ess used: N/A		
Number of sweetening units	: N/A		Sweetening capacity: N/A
		GAS / LIQUID	SEPARATION
SCRUBBERS / SEPARAT	ORS: Yes		
Location of scrubbers/separa	ators: At each garemoval.	as well site has a two-ph	ase separator and at the plant facility there three vortex separators for water
Type(s) of scrubbers/separat	tors used: Two-Phas	e separators	
Number of scrubbers/separa	tors: 54		Separation capacity: 20 to 80 Million CF/Day
DRIPS: Yes			
Location of drips:	Station and at low elev	ations along the pipeline	
Type(s) of drips used:	Slug-Catcher at the Pla	ant Station	
Number of drips:	One at the Plant Statio	n and three field sites.	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not App If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report. N/C - Not Checked N/A - Not Applicable

Frequency of draining or blowing drips:

As needed

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S-Satisfactory U-Unsatisfactory N/A-Not Applicable N/C-Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

FIELD OPERATING PARAMETERS								
PRESSURES, RATES and TEMPERATURES								
	Pressure, psi Flow Rate, MMcf/day Temperature, °F							
	Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal	Injection	Withdrawal		
Maximum	855	800	450	1150	110	110		
Minimum	370	370	50	50	40	40		
Maximum Allowable	Operating Pressure	(Field):						
		WATE	CR, CO ₂ , and O ₂ C	ONTENT				
	Water, lbs./N	1Mcf	CO ₂ ,	H ₂ S, ppm		O ₂ , %		
Injection Cycle	7#	·	0.04%	0		Negligible		
Withdrawal Cycle	28 – 30 ;	#	0.04%	0		Negligible		

FIELD OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE HISTORY

LEAKS (NON-	-RUPTURES)		
Are leak surveys of the field being conducted? (49 CFR 192.706) X	es	No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Have any leaks been found within last 5 years or since last DOT inspection?	Yes	_ <u>X</u> _No	Number of leaks: 0
Types of leaks that have occurred? N/A			
Cause(s) of the leaks: N/A			
Location(s) of the leaks: N/A			
Has a trend analysis been performed?Yes	<u>X</u> No		
If a trend analysis has been done, what do the results indicate?			
FAILURE/F	RUPTURES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Have any failures occurred within last 5 years or since last DOT inspection?	Yes	X No	Number of failures: 0
Type(s) of failures that have occurred:			
Cause(s) of the failures:			
Location(s) of the failures:			
Has a trend analysis been performed?Yes	No		
If a trend analysis has been done, what do the results indicate?			
LINE REPL	ACEMENTS		
Have any lines been replaced within last 5 years or since last DOT inspection	n? _X_ Yes	No	Number of replacements: 1
Type(s) of replacements: 14" inline tee			
Location(s) of the replacements: 500 yards west of compressor station, inlet to sole district regulator			
Reason(s) for replacements: Bring up to current standards.			<u> </u>

If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.	TWO THOU CHECKED	

LINE	REPAIRS			
Have any lines been repaired within last 5 years or since last DOT inspect No	ion?Yes	_X_ Number of repair	s;	
Type(s) of repairs:				
Location(s) of the repairs:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Reason(s) for the repairs:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	
VALVE RE	PLACEMENTS			
Have any valves been replaced within last 5 years or since last DOT inspec	ction? Yes	X_No Number of replace	cements:	
Type(s) of valve replacements:				
Location(s) of the replacements:				
Reason(s) for the replacements:				
GAS and LIQUID HAN	DLING FACILITY UPS	SETS		
	Gas Dehydration Units	Gas Sweetening Units	Separators	
Number of upsets – within last 5 years or since last DOT inspection?	None	N/A	None	
Cause(s) of the upsets:				
Has a trend analysis been performed? Yes	No			
If a trend analysis has been done, what do the results indicate?				
CORROSION CONTR	OL AND MONITORI	NG		
EXTERNA	CORROSION			
Are the field piping and related storage field facilities cathodically protec		I) _X_ Yes	No	
Type(s) of cathodic protection used:X_ Impressed Currer	t Galva	nic Anodes	Combination	
Criteria used to determine adequate cathodic protection: -850 mVdc, ON				
Does the field piping system contain any bare or ineffectively coated pipe? Yes _X_ No				
Location(s) of the bare or ineffectively coated pipe:				
Amount of bare of ineffectively coated pipe:				
Are corrosion monitoring procedures established for the field piping and	elated storage field facilitie	es? _X_ Yes	No	
MONITORING				
Pipe-to-soil readingsX_YesNo	Exposed pipe reports	_X Yes	No	
Close interval surveys Yes X No	Leak surveys	_X_ Yes	No	
Line current surveys YesX_No	Instrumented inspection	on surveys _X Yes	No	

EXTERNAL CORROSION						
Remedial measures taken to mitigate corrosion:						
						
		, <u>.</u>				
	INTERNAL CORROSION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Are corrosion monitoring procedures established for the	ne field piping and related storage field facilitie	s? _x_ Yes	No			
MONITORING						
Corrosion couponsx_Yes			No			
Gas samplesYesx_		Yes	_x No			
Water samplesYes _x_		surveys Yes	x_ No			
Solids samplesYesx	_ No					
CORROSION COUPONS						
Frequency coupons are analyzed (minimum two times Four times per year per records review although PSE'		eding 7 ½ months):				
Tour times per year per records review andrough 1 32	s manual states two times per year.					
Location(s) where coupons are installed:						
A corrosion coupon is installed near the bottom of the	36" slug catcher unit.					
·						
GAS SAMPLES						
Frequency of sampling: Random						
Location(s) where the samples taken: At well heads.						
Are the gas samples analyzed for: Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) x Yes		Amount of the following present in the gas:				
	Carbon dioxide (CO_2)	Not de	etected			
Hydrogen sulfide (H ₂ S) _x_ Yes	Hydrogen suitide (H ₂ S)	Not do	etected			
Oxygen (O ₂) _x_ Yes	Oxygen (O_2)	Not de	etected			
Water vaporx_ Yes	No Water vapor	Varies. Water	r is collected at			
	, and tapes	low points nea	ar wellhead and om pipeline.			
What carbon dioxide (CO ₂) partial pressure criteria are	e used to establish carbon dioxide (CO ₂) corros	ivity ranges?				
N/A						
What is the carbon dioxide (CO ₂) corrosivity ranges?						
N/A	•					
What is the carbon dioxide (CO ₂) partial pressure? In	significant					
WATER/LIQUIDS SAMPLES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Frequency of sampling: As needed						
Locations where the samples are taken:		·				
At well heads.						
What constituents are the water samples analyzed for?	(Refer to the Water Analysis Checklist)		·			
Last tested in January 1985	(core to the Hater thanges encountry)					

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

		INTERN	AL CORROSION		
Concentration of the following	owing present in water	er:	Amount of the following	ng gases dissolved	in the water:
Iron	(Fe ⁺⁺)	1.0 ppm	Carbon dioxide	(CO_2)	Varies 0.06 - 0.47 ppm
Manganese	(Mn ⁺⁺)	0.18 ppm	Hydrogen sulfide	(H_sS)	0
Chlorides	(Cl ⁻)	19,000 ppm	Oxygen	(O_2)	Not tested
Sulfates	(SO ₄ =)	2 ppm			
Is the pH of the water be	low 6.8? _x	Yes	No		
Is hydrostatic test water s	sampled for the prese	nce of bacteria?	Yesx_No		
Are liquids tested for evi	dence of excessive gl	ycol in the pipeline, which	ch if deteriorated, could lower	the pH? Ye	es _x_ No
SOLIDS SAM	1PLES (collected at	pig receivers)			
Frequency of sampling:	N/A. No pigging has	been performed.			
Locations where the sam	ples taken:				
Are solids observed and/	or tested for the follo	wing components?			
Iron Oxide	Yes	No	Scales	Yes	No
Iron Sulfide	Yes	No	Sand	Yes	No
Is the volume of solids in	ncreasing or decreasir	ng between pig runs?			
Comments:					
				 	
		ATMOSPH	IERIC CORROSION		
Are corrosion monitoring	g procedures establish	ned for the field piping ar	nd related storage field facilitie	es?	_XYes
Location(s) where corros At pipe risers at the soil					
Remedial measures taken Remaining strength calcu	-		challe and recorted		
Remaining strength care		and brased with warnut	shells and recoated.		
		INSTRUMENT	ED INSPECTION SURVE	YS	
Frequency surveys are co	onducted: N/A				
Lines that have been sur	veyed and when the s	urvey was conducted:			
				·	······································
		INHI	BITOR PROGRAM		
Has a corrosion inhibitor	program been establ	ished for the field piping	and related storage field facil	ities? Ye	esX_ No
When did the program st	art?				
Type(s) of treatment met	hod used:	Batch	Continuous		
Type(s) of inhibitors use	d:				

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S - Satisfactory U - Unsatisfactory N/A - Not Applicable N/C - Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

INHIBITOR PROGRAM			
Are liquid samples periodically taken to test for residual corrosion inhibit	or, to help determine effectiveness? Yes _x_ No		
AA A INDON	NOE DICCING		
	ANCE PIGGING r sampling, inhibitor sections)		
Does operator have a maintenance pigging program designed to sweep the	e lines of sediments and/or scale? Yes _x_ No.		
Does operator adhere to the pigging program? Yes	No		
Comments:			
CONTROLLING GAS VELOCITY -	INTERNAL CORROSION AND EROSION		
Have target flow rates been determined for the field piping system?	Yesx_ No		
Are injection/withdrawal flow rates kept within the targeted flow rates, to Yes No	minimize sediment and water build-up, and to manage erosion?		
Has erosion been observed during replacement of components (lines, valv	es, fittings, etc.)? Yesx_ No		
Locations where erosion has been found:			
Remedial measures taken to mitigate erosion:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Nemedia measures taken to minigate crosion.			
SAFETY DEVIC	CES and SYSTEMS		
SURFACE	FACILITIES		
Has a system safety analysis of the field piping and related storage faciliti	es been performed:x Yes No		
Has a safety analysis function evaluation chart for the field piping and rela	ated storage field facilities been prepared? _x_ Yes No		
PRESSURE SAFETY DEVICES:			
COMPRESSORS			
Is each compressor, per 49 CFR 192.169, equipped with pressure safety d	evices for overpressure protection?x_Yes No		
Pressure protection provided by:	Location of pressure safety devices:		
Primary Compressor Controls	Primary At Skid		
Secondary Station Controls (Solfware)	Secondary At SCADA Station		
PRESSURE VESSELS			
Is the working pressure of each pressure vessel (dehydrator, scrubber, etc.) greater than the MAOP?x Yes No		
Is each pressure vessel equipped with pressure safety devices for overpressure	sure protection? _x_ Yes No		
Pressure protection provided by:	Location of pressure safety devices:		
Primary Station Over Pressure Protection via ESD or SSD.	Primary Station Blow Down Tower.		
Secondary Relief valves at vessels	Secondary At four cooler stations.		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S-Satisfactory U-Unsatisfactory N/A-Not Applicable N/C-Not Checked
If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

SURFACE 1	FACILITIES		
HEADERS, LATERALS and WELL LINES			
Are the headers, laterals and well lines equipped with pressure safety device	s for overpressure protection?	_X_ Yes	No
Pressure protection provided by:	Location of pressure safety devices:		
Primary Station Over Pressure via ESD or SSD.	Primary At Conpressor Station	r Facility	
Secondary Station Over Pressure via ESD or SSD.	Secondary At Conpressor Station	ı Facility	
GAS DETECTION SAFETY DEVICES:			
Is each compressor, per 49 CFR 192.736, building equipped with gas detect	ion safety devices?	_x Yes	No
Are other buildings that contain gas handling equipment equipped with gas	detection safety devices?	_x Yes	No
Type(s) of gas detection safety devices: _x_ Combustible gas (L.E.L.)	Hydrogen Sulfide (H ₂ S)	Other:	
Type(s) of alarms used to notify personnel to the presence of gas:	Visual Audible	_x_ Combination	
FIRE DETECTION SAFETY DEVICES:			··· · · · · · ·
Is each compressor building equipped with fire detection safety devices?		_x_ Yes	No
Are other buildings that contain gas handling equipment equipped with fire	detection safety devices:	_x Yes	No
Type(s) of fire detection safety devices: _x_Flame Heat Smoke _x Other: UV & IR	Fusible Material		,
Type(s) of alarms used to notify personnel to the presence of fire: Visual Audible x Combinati	on		
EMERGENCY SHUTDOWN SYSTEM:			
Is each compressor station, per 49 CFR 192.167, equipped with a remote con	ntrolled emergency shutdown system?	_x_ Yes	No
Does the gas detection system activate the compressor station emergency shi	utdown system?	_x_ Yes	No
Does the fire detection system activate the compressor station emergency sh	utdown system?	_x_ Yes	No
WE			
Is each well equipped with a well storage safety valve?	_x_ Yes No		
If not, are there plans to equip each well with a well storage safety valve?	Yes No		
Reasons why wells should not be equipped with well storage safety valve(s)	?		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not App If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report. N/A - Not Applicable N/C - Not Checked

	7100111	TOTAL C	OMMENTS		
Compressor stations are set to ESD at 40% LEL.					
					1
•					
					Ì
·					
					,
·					
					:
		<u>,,</u>		 ·	

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S-Satisfactory U-Unsatisfactory N/A-Not Applicable N/C-Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

WATER ANALYSIS CHECKLISTS

Constitu	ient		perator for:	Operator's	Constitue	ent		perator for:	Operator's
001151114		Yes	No	"threshold"	30		Yes	No	"threshold"
Sodium	Na ⁺	х			Chloride	Cl	x		
Potassium	K ⁺	х			Sulfate	SO ₄	х		
Calcium	Ca ⁺⁺	х			Carbonate	CO ₃	х		
Magnesium	Mg ⁺⁺	х			Bicarbonate	HCO ₃ -	Х		
Iron	Fe ⁺⁺	х			Hydroxide	OH-		x	
Barium	Ba ⁺⁺	х			Dissolved Oxygen	·O ₂	х		
Strontium	Sr*+		x		Dissolved Carbon Dioxide	CO ₂		х	
Manganese	Mn ⁺⁺	x			Dissolved Hydrogen Sulfide	H ₂ S		х	
Lead	Pb	х							
Zinc	Zn	х							

Other		perator or	Operator's "threshold"	Other	Does O test fo	perator or	Operator's
	Yes	No	tiiresiioiu		Yes	"threshol	
Acidity	x			Alkalinity	x		
рН	X			Salinity	х		19,000 ppm
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	х		34,000 ppm	Acid-producing Bacteria		х	
Sulfate-reducing Bacteria		X			,		

Excessive values of the above-listed constituents and properties, dependent upon operating conditions and other factors that may be unique to the storage field, could indicate a corrosive condition in the pipeline.

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	PIPELINE INSPECTION (Field)	s	U	N/A	N/C
.143/(b)/.476	Design and construction of new and replaced transmission line and components.	x			
.179	Valve Protection from Tampering or Damage	х			
.463	Cathodic Protection	x ,			
.465	Rectifiers	х			
.479	Pipeline Components Exposed to the Atmosphere	х			
.605	Knowledge of Operating Personnel	х			
.707	ROW Markers, Road and Railroad Crossings	х			
.719	Pre-pressure Tested Pipe (Markings and Inventory)	х			
.739/.743	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Devices (spot-check field installed equipment vs. inspection records)			х	
.745	Valve Maintenance	x			
.751	Warning Signs	х			
.801809	Operator Qualification - Use PHMSA Form 15 Operator Qualification Field Inspection Protocol Form	х			

Comments:

Pressure regulators and limiting devices are for the Intermediate Distribution System that is covered in PSE's Lewis County Inspection.

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Field)	S			X 100
	(Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered") 3/(b)/476 Design and construction of new and replaced transmission line and components (excludes offshore or facilities installed or replaced before 05/23/07). Main operating floor must have (at least) two (2) separate and unobstructed exits Door latch must open from inside without a key Doors must swing outward Each fence around a compressor station must have (at least) 2 gates or other facilities for emergency exit Each gate located within 200 ft of any compressor plant building must open outward When occupied, the door must be opened from the inside without a key Does the equipment and wiring within compressor stations conform to the National Electric Code, ANSI/NFPA 70? S(a) If applicable, are there liquid separator(s) on the intake to the compressors? Do the liquid separators have a manual means of removing liquids? If slugs of liquid could be carried into the compressors, are there automatic dumps on the separators, Automatic compressor shutdown devices, or high liquid level alarms?		U	N/A	, (
.143/(b)/.476		х			
.163 (c)	Main operating floor must have (at least) two (2) separate and unobstructed exits	х			
	Door latch must open from inside without a key	х	,		
	Doors must swing outward	х			
(d)	Each fence around a compressor station must have (at least) 2 gates or other facilities for emergency exit	х			
	Each gate located within 200 ft of any compressor plant building must open outward	x			
	When occupied, the door must be opened from the inside without a key	х			
· (e)		х			
.165(a)	If applicable, are there liquid separator(s) on the intake to the compressors?	х			
.165(b)	Do the liquid separators have a manual means of removing liquids?	х			
•		х			
.167(a)	ESD system must:				10
	- Discharge blowdown gas to a safe location	х			
	- Block and blowdown the gas in the station	х			
		х			
		х			
	ESD system must be operable from at least two locations, each of which is:				
	- Outside the gas area of the station	х			
	- Not more than 500 feet from the limits of the station	х			
	- ESD switches near emergency exits?	· x			

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S – Satisfactory U – Unsatisfactory N/A – Not Applicable N/C – Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

	COMPRESSOR STATIONS INSPECTION (Field) (Note: Facilities may be "Grandfathered")	S	U	N/A	N/C
.167 (b)	For stations supplying gas directly to distribution systems, is the ESD system configured so that the LDC will not be shut down if the ESD is activated?	x			
.171(a)	Does the compressor station have adequate fire protection facilities? If fire pumps are used, they must not be affected by the ESD system.			х	
(b)	Do the compressor station prime movers (other than electrical movers) have over-speed shutdown?	x			
(c)	Do the compressor units alarm or shutdown in the event of inadequate cooling or lubrication of the unit(s)?	х			
(d)	Are the gas compressor units equipped to automatically stop fuel flow and vent the engine if the engine is stopped for any reason?	x			
(e)	Are the mufflers equipped with vents to vent any trapped gas?	х			
.173	Is each compressor station building adequately ventilated?	x			i
.457	Is all buried piping cathodically protected?	х			
.481	Atmospheric corrosion of aboveground facilities	х			
.603	Does the operator have procedures for the start-up and shut-down of the station and/or compressor units?	х			
	Are facility maps current/up-to-date?	х			
.615	Emergency Plan for the station on site?	х			
.619	Review pressure recording charts and/or SCADA	х			
.707	Markers	х			
.731	Overpressure protection – reliefs or shutdowns	x			
.735	Are combustible materials in quantities exceeding normal daily usage, stored a safe distance from the compressor building?	х			
	Are aboveground oil or gasoline storage tanks protected in accordance with NFPA standard No. 30?	х			
.736	Gas detection – location	х			

Comments:	

· · · · ·	REPORTING PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
191.5	Telephonic reports to NRC (800-424-8802)			х	
191.15	Written incident reports; supplemental incident reports (DOT Form PHMSA F 7100.2)			х	
191.17 (a)	Annual Report (DOT Form PHMSA F 7100.2-1)	 х			
191.23	Safety related condition reports			х	
192.727 (g)	Abandoned facilities, onshore crossing commercially navigable waterways reports			х	

Comments:

191.5: No reports since last inspection.

191.15: No reports since last inspection.

191.23: No reports since last inspection.

191.727: No facilities meeting this criteria.

	CONSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
.225	Test Results to Qualify Welding Procedures	х			
.227	Welder Qualification	х	1		
.241 (a)	Visual Weld Inspector Training/Experience	х			
.243 (b)(2)	Nondestructive Technician Qualification	x			
(c)	NDT procedures	х			

	CONSTRUCTION PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
(f)	Total Number of Girth Welds	x			
(f)	Number of Welds Inspected by NDT	х			
(f)	Number of Welds Rejected	х			
(f)	Disposition of each Weld Rejected	x			
.303	Construction Specifications	х			
.325	Underground Clearance	х			
.327	Amount, Location, Cover of each Size of Pipe Installed	х			
.455	Cathodic Protection	х			

	OPEI	RATIONS and MAINTENANCE PERF	ORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
.603(b)	.605(a)	Procedural Manual Review - Operations and	Maintenance (1 per yr/15 months)	х			
.603(b)	.605(c)	Abnormal Operations		х			
.603(b)	.605(b)(3)	.605(b)(3) Availability of construction records, maps, operating history to operating personnel					
.603(b)	.605(b)(8)	Periodic review of personnel work - effective	ness of normal O&M procedures	x			
.603(b)	.605(c)(4)	Periodic review of personnel work - effective	ness of abnormal operation procedures	х			
.709	.614	Damage Prevention (Miscellaneous)		х			
709	.609	Class Location Study (If Applicable)		х			
603(b)	.615(b)(1)	Location Specific Emergency Plan		х			
603(b)	.615(b)(2)	Emergency Procedure training, verify effective	reness of training	х			
603(b)	.615(b)(3)	Employee Emergency activity review, determ	ine if procedures were followed.	х			
603(b)	.615(c)	Liaison Program with Public Officials		х			
.605(a)	.616	Public Awareness Program also in accordance	e with API RP 1162				
		mailing rosters, postage receipts, return rece	ements, program evaluations, etc. (i.e. contact or ipts, audience contact documentation, etc. for ol superintendents, program evaluations, etc.). See table	X			
	Stakaha	APT RF 1102 Baseline* Recom	Baseline Message Frequency				
		Line Operators)	(starting from effective date of Plane)				
	Residents Congrega	Along Right-of-Way and Places of tion	2 years				
	Emergence	y Officials	Annual				
	Public Of	ficials	3 years				
	Excavator	and Contractors	Annual				
	One-Call		As required of One-Call Center				
	Stakeho	lder Audience (Gathering Line Operators)	Baseline Message Frequency (starting from effective date of Plan)				
	Residents	and Places of Congregation	Annual				
	Emergeno	y Officials	Annual				
	Public Of	ficials	3 years				
	Excavator	rs and Contractors	Annual				
	One-Call		As required of One-Call Center				
	.616(g)	The program must be conducted in English a significant number of the population in the o	and any other languages commonly understood by a perator's area?	х			
.517		Pressure Testing		x			

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S-Satisfactory U-Unsatisfactory N/A-Not Applicable N/C-Not Checked If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

OPERATIONS and MAINTENANCE PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS				S	U	N/A	N/C	
.709	.619	Maximum Allowable C	perating Pressure (MAOP)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	x			
.709	.625	Odorization of Gas					х	
709	.705	Patrolling (Refer to Ta	ble Below)		х			
		Class Location	At Highway and Railroad Crossings	At All Other Places				
		1 and 2	2/yr (7½ months)	1/yr (15 months)	1			
		3	4/yr (4½ months)	2/yr (7½ months)]			
		4	4/yr (4½ months)	4/yr (4½ months)]			
.709	.706	Leak Surveys (Refer to	Table Below)		х			
		Class Location	Required	Not Exceed	7			
		1 and 2	1/yr	15 months	1			
		3	2/yr*	7½ months	1			
		4	4/yr*	4½ months	7			
.603b/.727g	.727	Abandoned Pipelines a	lso Underwater Facility Reports if applicable		1		х	
.709	.731(a)		lief Devices (1 per yr/15 months)	 		x	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
.709	.731(c)	<u> </u>	nergency Shutdown (1 per yr/15 months)		T _x	 		
.709	.736(c)	Compressor Stations –	Detection and Alarms (Performance Test)		$\frac{1}{x}$	<u> </u>		-
.709	.739	Pressure Limiting and Regulating Stations (1 per yr/15 months)		1	 	x	<u> </u>	
.709	.743	Pressure Limiting and I	Regulator Stations – Capacity (1 per yr/15 month	as)			x	\vdash
.709	.745	Valve Maintenance (1	per yr/15 months)		х	†		
.709	.749	Vault Maintenance (≥2	00 cubic feet)(1 per yr/15 months)		<u> </u>		х	
.603(b)	.751	Prevention of Accidental Ignition (hot work permits)		х				
.603(b)	.225(b)	Welding – Procedure			х			
.603(b)	.227/.229	Welding – Welder Qua	lification		x			
.603(b)	.243(b)(2)	NDT – NDT Personnel	Qualification		х			
.709	.243(f)	NDT Records (Pipelin	e Life)		х			
.709	 		Life); Other than pipe (5 years)			1		1

Comments:

- .625: Gas is not odorized.
- .727: No underwater abandoned facilities.
- .731(a): Violation written 3 relief devices not operated during 2009 calendar year and exceeded 15 months.
- .739: No pressure regulating or limiting stations.
- .743: No pressure regulating or limiting stations.
- .749: No vaults \geq 200 CF

		CORROSION CONTROL PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	U	N/AN/C
.491	.491(a)	Maps or Records	x		
.491	.459	Examination of Buried Pipe when Exposed	х		
.491	.465(a)	Annual Pipe-to-soil Monitoring (1 per yr/15 months)	х		
.491	.465(b)	Rectifier Monitoring (6 per yr/2½ months)	x		
.491	.465(c)	Interference Bond Monitoring – Critical (6 per yr/2½ months)	x		
.491	.465(c)	Interference Bond Monitoring – Non-critical (1 per yr/15 months)	x		

Unless otherwise noted, all code references are to 49CFR Part 192. S-Satisfactory U-Unsatisfactory N/A - Not Applicable If an item is marked U, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in this report.

N/C - Not Checked

		CORROSION CONTROL PERFORMANCE AND RECORDS	S	U	N/A	N/C
.491	.465(d)	Prompt Remedial Actions	X			
.491	.465(e)	Unprotected Pipeline Surveys, CP active corrosion areas (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months)			х	
.491	.467	Electrical Isolation (Including Casings)	x			
.491	.469	Test Stations - Sufficient Number	х			
.491	.471	Test Lead Maintenance	х			
.491	.473	Interference Currents			х	
.491	.475(a)	Internal Corrosion; Corrosive Gas Investigation	х			
.491	.475(b)	Internal Corrosion; Internal Surface Inspection; Pipe Replacement	х			
.476(d)	.476	Internal Corrosion Control: Design and construction of transmission line	х			
.491	.477	Internal Corrosion Control Coupon Monitoring (2 per yr/71/2 months)	х			
.491	.481	Atmospheric Corrosion Control Monitoring (1 per 3 cal yr/39 months onshore; 1 per yr/15 months offshore)	х			
.491	.483/.485	Remedial: Replaced or Repaired Pipe; coated and protected; corrosion evaluation and actions		х		

Comments:

.465(e): No unprotected pipelines.

.473: No interference currents.

.483 and .485: Violation noted for not following PSE atmospheric corrosion procedures. (192.13(c))

PART 19	9 – DRUG and ALCOHOL TESTING REGULATIONS and PROCEDURES	S U N/AN/C
Subparts A - C	Drug & Alcohol Testing & Alcohol Misuse Prevention Program – Use PHMSA Form # 13, PHMSA Drug and Alcohol Questions	

Recent PHMSA Advisory Bulletins (Last 2 years)

Leave this list with the operator.

Number	<u>Date</u>	Subject
ADB-08-01	May 13, 2008	Pipeline Safety - Notice to Operators of Gas Transmission Pipelines on the Regulatory Status of Direct Sales Pipelines
ADB-08-02	March 4, 2008	Pipeline Safety - Issues Related to Mechanical Couplings Used in Natural Gas Distribution Systems
ADB-08-03	March 10, 2008	Pipeline Safety - Dangers of Abnormal Snow and Ice Build-Up on Gas Distribution Systems
ADB-08-04	June 5, 2008	Pipeline Safety - Installation of Excess Flow Valves into Gas Service Lines
ADB-08-05	June 25, 2008	Pipeline Safety - Notice to Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Operators of Request for Voluntary Adv Notification of Intent To Transport Biofuels
ADB-08-06	July 2, 2008	Pipeline Safety - Dynamic Riser Inspection, Maintenance, and Monitoring Records on Offshore Floating Facilities
ADB-08-07	Jul 31, 2008	PHMSA–RSPA–1997–2426; National Pipeline Mapping System; Notice; Issuance of Advisory Bulletin
ADB-08-08	Nov 24, 2008	Pipeline Safety: Proper Identification of Internal Corrosion Risk; Notice; Issuance of Advisory Bulletin
ADB-09-01	May 21, 2009	Pipeline Safety: Potential Low and Variable Yield and Tensile Strength and Chemical Composition Properties in High Strength Line Pipe.
ADB-09-02	Sep 30, 2009	Weldable Compression Coupling Installation
ADB-09-03	Dec 7, 2009	Pipeline Safety: Operator Qualification (OQ) Program Modifications
ADB-09-04	Jan 14, 2010	Reporting Drug and Alcohol Test Results for Contractors and Multiple Operator Identification Numbers
ADB-10-01	Jan 26, 2010	Pipeline Safety: Leak Detection on Hazardous Liquid Pipelines
ADB-10-02	Feb 3, 2010	Implementation of Revised Incident/Accident Report Forms for Distribution Systems, Gas Transmission and Gathering Systems, and Hazardous Liquid Systems
ADB-10-03	March 24, 2010	Girth Weld Quality Issues Due to Improper Transitioning, Misalignment, and Welding Practices of Large Diameter Line Pipe

For more PHMSA Advisory Bulletins, go to http://ops.dot.go9v/regs/advise.htm