RECORDS NANAGEMEN OL OCT 25 PH 4: 33

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Request of

I

2

OLYMPIC PIPE LINE COMPANY

For a General Rate Decrease, Pursuant to the Terms of an Earlier Approved Settlement Agreement in Docket TO-031973

Docket No. TO-040992

RESPONSE OF TESORO TO OLYMPIC'S PETITION PURSUANT TO DECISION OF ARBITRATOR

Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company ("Tesoro"), by and through its attorneys, Brena, Bell & Clarkson, P.C., hereby files its Response to the "Petition of Olympic Pipe Line Company Pursuant to Decision of Arbitrator," filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("WUTC") on October 23, 2004. In accordance with WAC 480-09-420(3), the name and address of the pleading party is set forth below. Please direct all service and correspondence regarding the above-captioned docket to the following:

Robin O. Brena, Esq.
David W. Wensel, Esq.
Brena, Bell & Clarkson, P.C.
310 K Street, Suite 601
Anchorage, AK 99501
(907) 258-2000 ph
(907) 258-2001 fax
rbrena@brenalaw.com
dwensel@brenalaw.com

Tesoro concurs with Olympic Pipe Line Company's ("Olympic") Petition and proposed rate decrease for the reasons stated herein.

TESORO'S RESPONSE Docket TO-040992 (Oct. 25, 2004) Page 1 of 4 3

The Arbitrator identified the following two issues raised by Tesoro relating to Olympic's 2004 annual filing. Tesoro argued that the Settlement (1) did not allow Olympic to use the Net Carryover provision (Section 3.12) to recover additional revenues from periods prior to the effective date of the Settlement and (2) required that the 2004 annual filing was to be based upon actual costs for 2003 as reported in the Uniform System of Accounts. Upon review and consideration of the evidence and arguments presented during the arbitration, the Arbitrator found that (1) the Settlement does not allow Olympic to use the Net Carryover provision to recover additional revenues from periods prior to the effective date of the Settlement and (2) that actual calendar year 2003 data as reported in the Uniform System of Accounts should be used by Olympic in the calculation of its 2004 annual filing. A copy of the Arbitrator's decision was attached by Olympic as Exhibit B to its Petition. Tesoro requests that the Arbitrator's decision be affirmed by this Commission.

4

Approval of Olympic's Petition is in the public interest for several reasons. First, after several years of expensive litigation over rates, this Commission approved a settlement agreement among the parties which included an alternative dispute resolution mechanism for resolving future disputes over rates. The parties recently resolved a dispute over Olympic's 2004 annual filing through arbitration. This Commission should affirm the Arbitrator's decision and approve Olympic's petition. Washington Courts have found that recognizing the finality of arbitration decisions is a vital aspect of the overall public policy of utilizing mandatory civil arbitration to relieve court congestion. RCW 7.06.060; Christic-Lambert Van & Storage Co. v. McLcod, 39 Wash. App. 298, 303, 693 P.2d 161 (1984). The same rationale is applicable to the congestion in the Commission's dockets. Second, none of the

settling parties is challenging Olympic's Petition. Third, the Petition results in a reduction of intrastate transportation rates at a time when Olympic has sought bankruptcy protection, and creditors are challenging payment in full of refunds of excessive transportation rates. Finally, consistent with this Commission's Twentieth Supplemental Order, the Arbitrator's decision will ensure that the permanent transportation rates will be "cost based" and set utilizing the actual costs incurred during the calendar year with a reasonable rate of return on Olympic's rate base.

5

Tesoro respectfully requests that the Commission issue an order implementing the Arbitrator's decision resolving the dispute between Olympic and Tesoro by (a) granting the revised (decreased) rates as set forth in Exhibit A to Olympic's Petition to become effective on November 1, 2004, (b) granting refunds with respect to rates collected from July 1, 2004, to the effective date of the revised rates as set forth in Exhibit A to Olympic's Petition, and (c) closing the above-captioned docket.

DATED this 25th day of October, 2002.

BRENA, BELL & CLARKSON, P.C. Attorneys for Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company

Robin O. Brena, ABA #8410089 David A. Wonsel, ABA #9306041

310 K Street, Suite 601 Anchorage, AK 99501 (907) 258-2000 phone (907) 258-2001 fax

TESORO'S RESPONSE Docket TO-040992 (Oct. 25, 2004) Page 3 of 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 25, 2003, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was faxed and mailed to the following:

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION (Original + 13 copies by mail)

1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive, S.W. Olympia, WA 98504-7250 Fax: 360-586-1150

OLYMPIC PIPE LINE COMPANY

Bobby Talley, President Olympic Pipe Line Company 2201 Lind Avenue, Suite 270 Renton, WA 98057-1800 Fax: (425) 981-2525

Mitchell D. Jones, Manager Tariff & Regulatory Affairs BP Pipelines (North America) Inc. 28100 Torch Parkway, Mail Code 6N Warrenville, IL 60555 Fax: 630-836-3580

Arthur W. Harrigan Jr., Esq. Karl F. Oles, Esq. Michael Hemphill, Esq. Danielson Harrigan Leyh & Tollefson LLP 999 Third Avenue, Suite 4400 Scattle, WA 98104 Fax: (206) 623-8717

CONOCOPHILLIPS CORPORATION

Edward A. Finklea, Esq.
Counsel for ConocoPhillips Corporation
Cable Huston Benedict
Haagensen & Lloyd J.LP
1001 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Portland, Oregon 97204
Fax: 503-224-3176

WUTC STAFF

Sally Johnston, Assistant Attorney General Counsel for Commission Staff Attorney General's Office Utilities and Transportation Division Mail Stop 47025 Olympia, WA 98504-0128 Fax: 360-586-5522

TESORO

Mr. Charles L. Magec Tesoro Refining and Marketing Company 3450 South 344th Way #100 Auburn, WA 98001-5931 Fax: 253-896-8845

Elaine M. Houchen

TESORO'S RESPONSE Docket TO-040992 (Oct. 25, 2004) Page 4 of 4