October 20, 2000

RE: In the Matter of the Pricing Proceeding for Interconnection, Unbundled Elements, Transport and Termination, and Resale; Docket Nos. UT-960369, *et al.*

TO COMMISSION STAFF:

Staff has provided the Commission with a CD containing the HAI 3.1 model and modules used by Staff in its attempt to replicate the Commission's 8th Supplemental Order HAI 3.1 runs for U S WEST. Staff states, "the modules were copied from the disk "Hatfield Model Release 3.1 w/WA Loop Length Analysis Docket UT-960369."

The CD provided by Staff also contains files referred to by Staff as "intermediate and final output files from the staff run that were created during the model run." These files are labeled hm1.xls through hm8.xls. Staff states that the file "hm8.xls" sheet 1 contains the relevant output data from file "hm7.xls" used to calculate the staff run statewide average loop cost of \$13.07."

The Commission seeks clarification from the Staff on how its runs were performed. In particular, the Commission seeks clarification from the Staff as how files hm1.xls through hm8.xls were used and how they are related to one another.

The Commission also seeks clarification from the Staff as to the reason for the difference in line counts it has observed in files hm1.xls through hm8.xls. In its analysis of the files the Commission discovered that Staff's final HAI Wirecenter output, hm7.xls had line counts that were different from those contained in the Commission's model run. The Commission's run had 2,618,826 Total Lines for U S WEST while the Staff run had 2,468,673. What is puzzling to the Commission is that examination of the files showed that hm1.xls, hm3.xls, hm4.xls,and hm5.xls had line counts matching the Commission's model run, while hm2.xls, hm6.xls, hm7.xls, and hm8.xls had the line counts that were ultimately found in staff's final output.

_

¹ From the readme.doc file provided on the Staff CD.

² Ibid.

Docket Nos. UT-960369, et al October 20, 2000 Page 2 of 4

Along the lines of the above paragraph, the Commission seeks to know how Staff obtained the line counts it used for its statewide average loop cost calculations. In the its runs the Commission used the database from the USW_WA_CASE2B_DB scenario from the *Hatfield Model Release 3.1; w/ WA Loop Length Analysis: Docket No. UT-960369* CD. The line counts used for U S WEST were the normalized line counts located in the *cbg data* table of the <u>Hm31.mdb</u> Access database file found in the USW_WA_CASE2B_DB scenario folder.

Further, the Commission notes that the names for the modules files on the Staff CD are different from the module file names on the CD the Commission used in its runs. The Commission seeks confirmation from the Staff that the module files used by the Staff are the same as those used by the Commission.

The Commission also compared the inputs used by Staff in its Run with those used by the Commission in the 8th Supplemental run. This analysis showed several differences in expense inputs utilized (Table A, attached).

As to the difference in Directory Listing Expense Inputs between the two runs, the Commission notes that: 1) The difference is not significant to the result, and; 2) These expense inputs are not part of the \$13.53 loop cost factor derived from the Commission's HAI run for U S WEST.

The difference in sharing factors is apparently attributable to rounding.

As to the differences in the *Service Lives* inputs between the Commission and the Staff runs, the Commission arrived its HAI input values using the calculations in Table B, attached, consistent with the discussion at paragraphs 215-217 of the Eighth Supplemental Order and as set out in Appendix A of the Ninth Supplemental Order.

Please respond to these inquires within 10 days.

Sincerely,

C. ROBERT WALLIS, Administrative Law Judge

Cc: All Parties

ATTACHMENT A

Expense Input	Staff Inputs	Commission Inputs
Directory Listing per line per month	0.150	0.100
Distribution Aerial Fraction - 0	0.62500	0.63000
Distribution Aerial Fraction - 5	0.62500	0.63000
Distribution Aerial Fraction - 100	0.62500	0.63000
Distribution Buried Fraction - 0	0.87500	0.88000
Distribution Buried Fraction - 5	0.87500	0.88000
Distribution Buried Fraction - 100	0.87500	0.88000
Distribution Buried Fraction - 200	0.67500	0.68000
Distribution Buried Fraction - 650	0.67500	0.68000
Distribution Buried Fraction - 850	0.67500	0.68000
Distribution Underground Fraction - 0	0.87500	0.88000
Distribution Underground Fraction - 5	0.87500	0.88000
Distribution Underground Fraction - 100	0.87500	0.88000
Distribution Underground Fraction - 200	0.62500	0.63000
Distribution Underground Fraction - 650	0.62500	0.63000
Distribution Underground Fraction - 850	0.62500	0.63000
Distribution Underground Fraction – 2550	0.62500	0.63000
Distribution Underground Fraction – 5000	0.62500	0.63000
Distribution Underground Fraction - 10000	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Aerial Fraction - 0	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Aerial Fraction - 5	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Aerial Fraction - 100	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 0	0.87500	0.88000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 5	0.87500	0.88000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 100	0.87500	0.88000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 200	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 650	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 850	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 2550	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 5000	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Underground Fraction - 10000	0.62500	0.63000
Feeder Buried Fraction - 0	0.87500	0.88000
Feeder Buried Fraction - 5	0.87500	0.88000
Feeder Buried Fraction - 100	0.87500	0.88000
Feeder Buried Fraction - 200	0.67500	0.68000
Feeder Buried Fraction - 650	0.67500	0.68000
Feeder Buried Fraction - 850	0.67500	0.68000
Motor Vehicles	11.87000	11.43000
Other Work Equipment	15.38000	17.58000
Buildings	51.28000	34.38000
Company Comm. Equipment	9.80000	9.90000
General Purpose Computer	6.10000	6.11000
Operator Systems	10.00000	12.00000
Digital Circuit Equipment	12.10000	12.12000
Public Telephone Terminal Equipment	10.50000	10.53000
Aerial Cable - metallic	19.04000	19.35000
Aerial Cable - non metallic	22.20000	22.58000
Underground Cable - non metallic	22.93000	24.59000
Buried - metallic	22.42000	20.56000
Intrabuilding Cable - non metallic	23.30000	23.33000
•		

ATTACHMENT B As decided in Docket UT 95-1425

Account Description	Service life	Future Net Salvage	Depreciation Rate	Calculated Service Life for Hatfield
	Α	В	C = ((1-B)/A)*100	(1/C)*100
2112motor vehicles	9.6	16.00%	8.75	11.43
2114Special Purpose Vehicle	14	0.00%	7.14	14.00
2115 garage work equip	14	0.00%	7.14	14.00
2116other work equip	16	9.00%	5.69	17.58
2121 buildings	33	4.00%	2.91	34.38
2122 furniture	20	0.00%	5.00	20.00
2123.1 office equipment	15	0.00%	6.67	15.00
2123.2 company comp equip	9.9	0.00%	10.10	9.90
2124 gen purpose equip	5.8	5.00%	16.38	6.11
2212 digital switch equipment	17	0.00%	5.88	17.00
2220 operator systems	12	0.00%	8.33	12.00
2231 radio systems	15	-3.00%	6.87	14.56
2232Circuit Equipment	12	1.00%	8.25	12.12
2351 public tel term equip	10	5.00%	9.50	10.53
2362 other term equip	9	0.00%	11.11	9.00
2611 pole lines	28	-75.00%	6.25	16.00
2421 Aerial cable met	24	-24.00%	5.17	19.35
2421 Aerial cable non-met	28	-24.00%	4.43	22.58
2422Ungrd cable met	25	-22.00%	4.88	20.49
2422Ungrd cable non-met	30	-22.00%	4.07	24.59
2423Buried Cable met	22	-7.00%	4.86	20.56
2423 Buried Cable non-met	28	-7.00%	3.82	26.17
2426intra bldg ca met	20	-20.00%	6.00	16.67
1426intra bldg ca non-met	28	-20.00%	4.29	23.33
2431 Aerial wire	8.7	-124.00%	25.75	3.88
2441 conduit systems	55	-10.00%	2.00	50.00