KU	ugh Dialt of Docket Nos. DE-1310/1 AND OG-1310/2 - V	OI.	IV WOTC V. Fuget Sound Energ
1 2	Page 317 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 2	Page 319 APPEARANCES (Continued)
3 4 5 6 7 8	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,) Complainant,) vs.) DOCKETS UE-151871 PUGET SOUND ENERGY,) Respondent.)	3 4 5 6 7 8	FOR WASHINGTON STATE HVAC CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION: JAMES L. KING, JR. Public Affairs Consulting 120 State Avenue Northeast Suite 199 Olympia, Washington 98501 360.480.0038 jimkingjr@yahoo.com
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	HEARING, Volume IV Pages 317 to 525 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE GREGORY J. KOPTA 9:04 a.m. August 3, 2016 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest Olympia, WA 98504-7250	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	FOR PUGET SOUND ENERGY: SHEREE STROM CARSON Perkins Coie LLP 10885 Northeast Fourth Street Suite 700 Bellevue, Washington 9800-55794 425.635.1422 scarson@perkinscoie.com DAVID S. STEELE Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-03099 206.359.3758 dsteele@perkinscoie.com
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	REPORTED BY: Diane Rugh, CRR, RMR, CRR WA No. 2399 Buell Realtime Reporting, LLC 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840 Seattle, Washington 98101 206.287.9066 Seattle 360.534.9066 Olympia 800.846.6989 National www.buellrealtime.com	19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR SHEET METAL AND AIR CONDITIONING CONTRACTORS' NATIONAL ASSOCIATION: JEFFREY D. GOLTZ Cascadia Law Group PLLC 606 Columbia Street Northwest Suite 212 Olympia, Washington 98501 360.528.3026 jgoltz@cascadialaw.com
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: GREGORY J. KOPTA Washington Utilities and Jansportation Commission J300 South Exergreen Park Drive S.W. Divining Washington 98504 COMMISSIONERS: CHAIRMAN DAVID DANNER COMMISSIONER PHILIP JONES Transportation Commission J300 South Exergreen Park Drive S.W. Divining Washington 98504 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA W. GAFKEN Assistant Affice Commission J300 GOVERNOR WASHINGTON 98504 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA W. GAFKEN Assistant Affice Commission J300 GOVERNOR WASHINGTON 98504 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA W. GAFKEN Assistant Affice Commission J300 GOVERNOR WASHINGTON 98504 FOR PUBLIC COUNSEL: LISA W. GAFKEN Assistant Affice Washington 98504	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	HEARING Volume IV: INDEX WITNESSES: PAGE MALCOLM MCCULLOCH Redirect Examination By Ms. Carson 355 ANDREW WIGEN Cross-Examination By Mr. Goltz 362 Cross-Examination By Mr. King 369 ERIC ENGLERT Cross-Examination By Mr. Casey 379 Cross-Examination By Mr. Goltz 410 Redirect Examination By Mr. Steele 443 MATT MARCELIA Cross-Examination By Mr. Goltz 446 BRADLEY CEBULKO 471 MARY KIMBALL Cross-Examination By Ms. Carson 482
18 19 20 21 22 23	Selatile Washington 98104 lisa gafken@atg.wa.gov FOR COMMISSION STAFF: SHRISTOPHER CASEY Attorney General's Office of Washington Olympia, Washington 98504 Shrown Quic.wa.gov ccasey@utc.wa.gov	18 19 20 21 22 23	Redirect Examination By Ms. Gafken 508

			Page 323
	321		McCULLOCH
	- -		
1	EXHIBITS	1	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you look at
2	EXHIBIT NUMBER ADMIT	2	your rebuttal testimony, MBM-7T, on Page 8.
3	BF-1T 516	3	THE WITNESS: Which line?
4	MRM-6 462	4	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if you look at
5	MRM-8 and MRM-9 450	5	Lines 11 through the bottom of the page, you're talking
6	EEE-13 443	6	about the types of equipment that will be leased, and
7	MBM-36 409	7	you say that the process will result in a specific
8		8	catalog of equipment that has all the specifics of the
9		9	products. And this is, I'm assuming, what you have
10		10	referred to as if the Commission requests you'll do a
11		11	Compliance Filing.
12		12	Is that what you're referring to in that
13		13	statement?
14		14	THE WITNESS: What I'm referring to in that
15		15	statement is once we finalize the contracting, we will
16		16	have the make and model of the products that will be
17		17	added to the specifications; the size, the other items
18		18	that we already have today. But that whether we need
19		19	to do a Compliance Filing to provide that information,
20		20	the Commission would be up to whether that is
21		21	appropriate in your mind.
22		22	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So this is for the
23		23	contracting process for the for your partners, the
24		24	contractors, but is this the type of information that
25		25	will also be in the tariff or available to consumers?
	D 000		5 004
	Page 322		Page 324
	McCULLOCH Page 322		McCULLOCH Page 324
	McCULLOCH		McCULLOCH
1	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016	1	McCULLOCH THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be
2	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.M.	2	McCULLOCH THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to
2	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016	2	McCULLOCH THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them
2 3 4	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo-	2 3 4	McCULLOCH THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like
2 3 4 5	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.M000- PROCEEDINGS	2 3 4 5	McCULLOCH THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as
2 3 4 5 6	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in	2 3 4 5 6	McCULLOCH THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make
2 3 4 5 6 7	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Mcculloch The witness: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mcculloch The witness: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mcculloch The Witness: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mcculloch The Witness: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mcculloch The Witness: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes. MALCOLM McCULLOCH,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a water heater, for example. There are many factors that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a water heater, for example. There are many factors that take into account what water heater would work best in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes. MALCOLM McCULLOCH, having been previously sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a water heater, for example. There are many factors that take into account what water heater would work best in their situation. For a gas water heater, for example,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes. MALCOLM McCULLOCH, having been previously sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: So with that, Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a water heater, for example. There are many factors that take into account what water heater would work best in their situation. For a gas water heater, for example, the actual capacity size of the water heater. So how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes. MALCOLM McCULLOCH, having been previously sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: So with that, Commissioner Jones, would you like to go first, or Commissioner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a water heater, for example. There are many factors that take into account what water heater would work best in their situation. For a gas water heater, for example, the actual capacity size of the water heater. So how many people are in the home or how many bathrooms will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes. MALCOLM McCULLOCH, having been previously sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: So with that, Commissioner Jones, would you like to go first, or Commissioner Rendahl?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a water heater, for example. There are many factors that take into account what water heater would work best in their situation. For a gas water heater, for example, the actual capacity size of the water heater. So how many people are in the home or how many bathrooms will inform what size that unit should be, as well as the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.M000- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes. MALCOLM McCULLOCH, having been previously sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: So with that, Commissioner Jones, would you like to go first, or Commissioner Rendahl? COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I'll start. Good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a water heater, for example. There are many factors that take into account what water heater would work best in their situation. For a gas water heater, for example, the actual capacity size of the water heater. So how many people are in the home or how many bathrooms will inform what size that unit should be, as well as the type of venting. It could be direct vent, meaning it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	McCULLOCH OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON, AUGUST 3, 2016 9:04 A.MoOo- PROCEEDINGS JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be on the record in Dockets UE-151871 and UG-151872. This is Wednesday, August 3rd, and we are resuming the evidentiary hearings that began on the 1st. And when we left off, Mr. McCulloch had just gone through cross-examination from the parties and we'll now have questions from the bench. I will remind you that you remain under oath. THE WITNESS: Yes. MALCOLM McCULLOCH, having been previously sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: So with that, Commissioner Jones, would you like to go first, or Commissioner Rendahl?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: The make and model will not be available to the tariff. We may make it available to customers outside of the tariff in communicating them the offer, but it will not be in the tariff. Much like we do today with our existing rental service as well as lighting programs; we don't have specific brands or make and model indicated in the tariff. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So obviously consumers are going to want to know what they're getting for the money that they're spending in this program, and so to what extent would you allow the customer to select the equipment for installation? Or will you just advise the customer what product or have the partner advise them what product is going to be installed? THE WITNESS: So within the process of educating a customer regarding the lease, let's take a water heater, for example. There are many factors that take into account what water heater would work best in their situation. For a gas water heater, for example, the actual capacity size of the water heater. So how many people are in the home or how many bathrooms will inform what size that unit should be, as well as the

Page 327

Page 328

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV Page 325 **McCULLOCH**

McCULLOCH

So there will be some Q&A process where we will be discussing with the customer to understand what their existing unit is to help inform what that product selection would be to align with the equipment that is listed in the tariff. And then the additional piece would be the efficiency of the unit.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

So those are the parameters the customer would be able to review and discuss. And then we would provide them with the appropriate equipment that they would meet those requirements.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So if the -- in your testimony you referred to a specific catalog of equipment. So within that specific catalog of equipment, whatever would meet the specifications for the customer's house or location, would you then give them an option of different specific products that would meet those specifications or does the Company choose that or does the partner choose that?

THE WITNESS: So we will work to match with them up front something that is a like-to-like to what they have today, and then talk through efficiency opportunities, so greater efficiency.

The customer then selects -- so it's kind of a multiple part process, if I may. And then upon arrival at the home, we will then assess whether that

trusted source to help them make those decisions to provide that service, the heat or the hot water in their 3 home. We certainly have, you know, in our best interests to work with that customer to provide a 5 product that will meet their needs.

You will find from any of the heating and air conditioning contractors in the room today that if equipment is not sized properly, it will result in call-backs because the customer does not have appropriate heat or their equipment is not working appropriately.

So we believe that through this process we can help the customer understand what it is they have today, how can we get them more efficient equipment that fits the category of what they have today, and then continue to maintain and operate that for the customer.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. But you also say in the same rebuttal testimony that, and this is on Page 14, Lines 3 through 5, (as read), Having more product options available through the Lease Solutions service will allow them to choose the equipment that's right for them.

So don't you agree that there's some element of customer choice here; you don't just give somebody something?

Page 326

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

McCULLOCH

size is appropriate and if any additional changes.

But if you're speaking specifically to whether the customer will be given a choice of the brand?

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Yes.

THE WITNESS: That will not be detailed in the tariff. It may be something that we make available in education, but that is not something that we've determined at this point.

Customers have told us through our surveys that brand is not something that is germane to them making that decision. I think 20 percent of the customers identified that they understood the brand of their existing product. So we didn't determine that that was a feature that customers need to have up front.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So it may be a slightly different model, but if I'm interested in leasing a vehicle, for example, I'm not going to go into the dealer and say, I'm interested in a mid-size gas-efficient vehicle and have them select a vehicle for me. am I?

THE WITNESS: Well, I mean, I think a vehicle might have some different parameters to it. But ultimately I think what our customers have told us and what we've seen through our surveys is that they want a **McCULLOCH**

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. And I think we've tried to provide that product option by having different efficiencies or different venting types of characteristics for equipment so that it will fit those needs.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. I'll turn to my colleagues if they have questions.

JUDGE KOPTA: Commissioner Jones, do you have guestions for Mr. McCulloch?

COMMISSIONER JONES: I'm going to follow up with a few on the energy efficiency side, I think it's MBM-7T and your Exhibit MBM-21.

So first, could you explain the NAECA code to us, the federal code?

THE WITNESS: Sure. The National Appliance Efficiency Standards were enacted in 2015 that required the residential water heating standards to change. That requirement essentially stipulates that manufacturers after April, I believe it's 15, 2015, have to manufacture equipment to a higher standard. And that's detailed in my testimony on Page 36. There's a chart that details what the current standard was as of prior to that date as well as the 2015 standard.

> COMMISSIONER JONES: Thank you. Right. THE WITNESS: So the equipment that we have

Page 329 Page 331 **McCULLOCH McCULLOCH** White that you've been supporting. offered in this service exceeds those standards for 2 THE WITNESS: That's one of the current 50-gallon. For 40-gallon it meets that standard. And 3 then for the standard efficiency tank-style water manufacturers that's installed, correct. heater, the products that we have put forward meet those 4 COMMISSIONER JONES: Right. So meanwhile, standards. And the heat pump water heater that we have 5 under the current rebate program that we've authorized also offered exceeds those standards for rated 6 for energy efficiency, it requires an Energy Star standard; correct? 7 equipment. 7 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. And this is 8 THE WITNESS: Energy Star is a requirement broken down -- I'm going to call it NAECA to the court for a gas water heater being installed as a conversion 9 from electric, is my understanding, for the rebate reporter. 10 that's available today. 11 THE WITNESS: That's fair. 11 COMMISSIONER JONES: The NAECA standard is 12 COMMISSIONER JONES: The so-called fuel 12 0.62 as of April 2015; correct? 13 switching? 13 14 THE WITNESS: It's .62 for a 40-gallon water 14 THE WITNESS: Correct. My understanding is 15 that's the only natural gas water heating rebate heater. 15 COMMISSIONER JONES: Right. That's what I'm 16 available under the current conservation program. 16 17 referring to. I'm just going to focus on water heaters. 17 COMMISSIONER JONES: And what's the energy So is the NAECA standard pretty much the 18 factor on that one? 18 same as what is called the EF, the energy factor 19 THE WITNESS: .67 19 standard? 20 20 COMMISSIONER JONES: So why don't you just 21 THE WITNESS: The .62 is the energy factor, 21 require a .67 standard? That's my question. 22 THE WITNESS: Certainly we want to provide 22 yes. COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. So what you're 23 options to customers. I think what we've found 23 alleging in your testimony as supplemented by MBM-22 is through -- what our surveys and customer feedback has 24 that 110 of the 142 units sold, roughly, after that 25 told us is one of the barriers to installing new Page 330 Page 332 **McCULLOCH McCULLOCH** equipment when it's past its useful life is cost. standard was -- in effect met that 0.62 standard; is 1 2 2 that correct? The .67 does have additional costs THE WITNESS: The detail that I have in my 3 associated to it. Most specifically, it requires 3 exhibit outlines the 50-gallon water heaters, which the 110-volt outlet because it has an electronic ignition, federal NAECA standard requires that it meet a .60 it doesn't have a standing pilot light, as well as energy factor. So the units we've been installing in sometimes they have power dampers or power venting. And 7 our existing service in that specific example exceed 7 so that's an additional cost burden to a customer. 8 that existing federal standard. Also, maybe you're going to be required to have an electrician come in to potentially put in that outlet. COMMISSIONER JONES: If the goal in the 9 9 10 So we thought it was appropriate to put in state is to require the highest efficiency possible at 10 11 reasonable cost, why don't you just mandate or just say 11 equipment as well that is above the existing code but that one of the requirements is to -- that you will only that provides that flexibility in the case where that support the 0.62 EF standard? might be cost prohibitive, or because of the wiring 13 13 14 THE WITNESS: We have only equipment that is 14 situation in the home it may be prohibitive to install 15 that highest efficient equipment. 15 .62 in our tariff that is filed. COMMISSIONER JONES: You do? COMMISSIONER JONES: So do you have that 16 16 documented anywhere in the record, that there are THE WITNESS: Yes. We do not have any 17 17 equipment filed that is lower than .62. 18 additional costs related to the higher height of these 18 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. Well, thank you 19 Energy Star units or the 110 voltage? 19 for confirming that point. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's detailed in my 20 rebuttal testimony regarding the size of the units and THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 21 21 22 COMMISSIONER JONES: You responded to 22 some of these changes. Commissioner Rendahl that you do not specify by 23 COMMISSIONER JONES: Well, was that covered in the survey data with Cocker Fennessy, that level of manufacturer, but in MBM-22 it's primarily Bradford 24 24

White, a little bit of Ruud, but it's primarily Bradford

25

detail?

Page 333 Page 335 **McCULLOCH McCULLOCH** THE WITNESS: We didn't ask that level of single provider. 1 2 2 detail to customers, whether they have access to I think from the perspective of just 110-volt. operating the business, being able to make sure that 3 3 4 COMMISSIONER JONES: My next series of we're managing customer expectation, it would be questions is on non-standard installation costs. beneficial for us to have multiple providers under 5 5 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 either the HVAC or the water heating equipment. 7 7 COMMISSIONER JONES: Would the Company be CHAIRMAN DANNER: And did you see -- with willing to provide customers in the proposed program the 8 regard to the costs that you got back that are listed on 8 same type of payment plan for non-standard installation 9 that document, are you seeing that the lower prices tend 9 as the Legacy rental program? to come from the companies that have the ability to 10 10 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. That's what we would 11 staff up and serve a larger swath of customers? I mean, intend to do. Currently we offer up to three 12 is there a correlation between the number given and how 12 installments for customers to pay those non-standard low it is to how big the company is and what kind of 13 13 14 costs. There are no fees associated to that additional 14 economies of scale you might have? opportunity. 15 THE WITNESS: I think there's some economies 15 COMMISSIONER JONES: No interest or --16 of scale that can be taken into account. I don't have 16 17 THE WITNESS: No, there's no interest. 17 the individual bidders in front of me, but again, I They're on a non-consumption bill. believe that the costs that we saw were commensurate and 18 18 COMMISSIONER JONES: And those costs, again, that the opportunity to contract those costs would allow 19 19 if it's an Energy Star unit, I think in your testimony us to work with providers that could fulfill our needs 20 20 you say some of these higher efficiency units tend to be 21 throughout the territory. 21 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: That's all I have. 22 larger, they may require additional space, it may require relocation of the gas line, it could be this 23 COMMISSIONER JONES: Just one other line of 23 electrical ignition issue of 110-volt. Those are the 24 24 questioning, if I could. 25 I think Mr. Goltz asked you some questions 25 sorts of costs that are included in, quote, non-standard Page 334 Page 336 **McCULLOCH McCULLOCH** installation costs? yesterday on the original schedule that you presented to 2 THE WITNESS: Correct. your management board, I forget which exhibit, but it 3 COMMISSIONER JONES: Those are all my 3 was a very aggressive schedule from approval of tariff to the RFQs, the purchase orders, and Compliance Filing. questions. 5 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 So I'm going to ask you a few questions on this, and I'd like you to be as specific as possible, please. 6 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Chairman? 6 7 7 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I want to just very So in your testimony on MBM-17, Page 9, 8 quickly, I think that ECO-8 was the highly confidential. 8 Lines 10 through 15, you basically say, to address the Do you recall the questions from Mr. Goltz on that? concerns addressed by public counsel and others on the 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 10 10 inputs, that you will update rates based on the results 11 CHAIRMAN DANNER: I just want to follow up. 11 of a final contract execution after we hypothetically The contractors on that list -- are the contractors on 12 approve Schedule 75. Right? 13 THE WITNESS: That was -- correct. That was that list, how large are they? How capable are they of 13 serving large numbers of customers? Are these small --14 in connection to Ms. Norton's testimony that provided 14 15 some commitments the company had as far as updating. 15 I mean, we're talking about averages versus the lowest costs, and I'm just wondering if you were to select the 16 COMMISSIONER JONES: Correct. And then you 16 lowest costs, is the lowest cost vendor capable of 17 go on to say you should be -- you seem to be confident 17 serving a large swath of the potential Puget customers? 18 that you can finish this in 60 days. 18 Or are you really looking at the need to have multiple 19 THE WITNESS: That's what I've been advised 19 vendors to serve your expected customer base? 20 by my purchasing department, that they feel that they THE WITNESS: So I think based on the folks 21 could get it done in 60 days. I think ultimately, if 21

that responded to the RFQ, there are -- on the water

heating side, there are a couple providers that have a

large footprint that they could staff up and they could

meet that need if we chose to go individually with a

22

22

you will allow me to be specific, we have an existing

that are currently in place for providing equipment,

providing commensurate services. And so they feel

lease business that we operate today. We have contracts

Page 337 Page 339 **McCULLOCH McCULLOCH** because we have that institutional knowledge and we have 1 be fit in to that? 2 those documents that we can utilize, that we would be THE WITNESS: So that normally is handled 3 able to move forward quickly through that process. within that initial notification and notice of interest. 3 4 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. Well, just let 4 There's also an opportunity for questions to be me ask you a few questions, and be as specific as 5 5 submitted and responded to. Normally we do that within possible, if you could. a seven- to ten-day period. So that would be handled 7 You've received, in response to the RFQ, within that timeframe. And we do that so that we can responses from 15 HVAC contractors; right? provide answers to all bidders anonymously, basically, 8 THE WITNESS: It was a mixture of HVAC, 9 so that we can try to get a full record out there to water heating contractors, as well as distributors of 10 10 people. 11 equipment and manufacturers of equipment. 11 COMMISSIONER JONES: So let's say that COMMISSIONER JONES: And what is the total 12 works. So you get full answers back to the purchasing 12 universe of HVAC contractors in the counties and the department in let's say three weeks. So that 13 14 service territories you operate; Thurston, King, information is fed back to you and Mr. Englert in the Whatcom, Skagit? It's hundreds, isn't it? 15 rates department and you have actual bids. 15 THE WITNESS: I believe it would be more 16 So what would you do with that actual 16 17 than that, correct. 17 information? Would you go through a similar process the COMMISSIONER JONES: So let's say chairman referred to ECO-8 where there was a wide 18 18 hypothetically, to all of you in the room, 19 19 disparity on the air source heat pumps? Would you go 20 hypothetically we approve Schedule 75. I would surmise through a similar processing of averaging again the bids there's going to be a lot more interest in this program, 21 received on all the types of equipment? 21 and I think you say so in your testimony. 22 THE WITNESS: So I think at that point what 22 So tell me how long it would take to gauge 23 we would do is we would work through that competitive 23 that further interest in this program if we approve the 24 bid process with our purchasing department to, again, Leasing Solutions program. I imagine it's going to take 25 25 not only validate pricing but also validate the Page 338 Page 340 **McCULLOCH McCULLOCH** performance and capabilities of those providers. But some time to get information out and to hear back actual 2 bids from those contractors. How long would that take? ultimately, as we've stated, we will get to a fixed cost THE WITNESS: Normally we do a two-week 3 3 for equipment and service that we will then work to turnaround for information and return of interest. 4 contract to. Again, however, since we've already done the RFQ 5 COMMISSIONER JONES: Yeah, that's what I'm process, that kind of mitigates a little bit of that 6 6 driving at. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 time. 8 So again, I'm speaking, you know, from my 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: So then what happens to understanding of my conversations with our purchasing 9 the tariff, with the compliance tariffs? So talk about 9 department, they feel two to three weeks to go through the -- and these could be questions for Mr. Englert --10 11 the notification and then to receive bids. 11 THE WITNESS: Sure. COMMISSIONER JONES: And would you be in 12 12 COMMISSIONER JONES: -- as well when he charge of that process or would the purchasing comes up. But then how does that feed into -- what I'm 13 13 trying to drive at is, how do you get from cost 14 department be in charge, or would that be a jointly 14 managed program? estimates to a broader universe of actual costs that you 15 THE WITNESS: So I would inform that 16 16 can feed into the equipment in Lease Solutions through process. The purchasing department manages the process 17 this averaging process that you described? 17 18 just to provide some --18 THE WITNESS: So once we get to that fixed COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. What happens if 19 contracted rate, we have our methodology that we've 19 20 there are questions or concerns from the other non-15, 20 shared with parties, our work papers. That is what I'll call them, the non-15 companies that have a bunch 21 builds up our price. We would make those inputs into 21 of questions or they want confirmation of certain that work paper. And if there are changes to the rates 22 23 things? I think you had a few e-mails in some of the that have been filed, we would work with Mr. Englert and

So how would that process, would that timing

testimony.

24

25

25

his team to put that supplement in front of the

Commission for review.

Page 343

McCULLOCH

COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. So the actual cost, the actual tariff rates could change upon the Compliance Filing?

3

4

5

7

8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

soliciting customers; right?

THE WITNESS: That's a potential, yes. COMMISSIONER JONES: But you have this program all ready to go, don't you? And upon approval hypothetically by the Commission, you would be out

9 THE WITNESS: We have some work to do. We 10 didn't expect the litigative process that we're 11 undergoing today, so there are some things that we need to do to get our systems in place. However, once 12 approved, we could offer the service, but it would be 13 14 beneficial for us to finalize some of those additional operational things. There's been a lot of questions 15 about some of the operational pieces. There's certainly 16 17 training of our contractors, making sure that our staff are knowledgeable about the new equipment. 18

COMMISSIONER JONES: So what I'm trying to drive at here is, one of the questions is the Compliance Filing. How long would it take? You would bring it to an open meeting. It could be suspended, it could not be, we could approve it. I'm sure Staff and all the parties would have questions.

In the meantime, you could be out offering

Page 341

McCULLOCH

Do you have enough disaggregated data, both on contractors, your customers and whatever, to do this on a county-wide basis?

THE WITNESS: Certainly as a hypothetical that is something that could be considered. I think the main challenge is that there are costs that go into providing this service that are spread across all customers, so that would, I believe, have a negative impact on the rate. So I think that that's something to be considered.

COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay, thank you. That's all I have, Judge.

13 JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you. I want to have a 14 couple --

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I have one more, if that's okay.

> JUDGE KOPTA: Okay, go ahead. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Sorry. THE WITNESS: No, that's okay. That's what

I'm here for.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So I'm not sure we talked much about it on Monday, but in your testimony you do talk about the 24-hour customer service offer. So that's part of the service, that there's a 24-hour customer service window.

Page 342

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

25

Page 344

McCULLOCH

products either through the service path or through a hybrid. So you may have certain customers locked into a 15-year lease contract under certain rates, and then with the Compliance Filing there could be hypothetically a whole range of contractors who may want to participate and you could have different rates. And that creates obviously some issues.

THE WITNESS: You know, I don't disagree that the cart-before-the-horse challenge that we've been faced with in this process is challenging. I'm not a rate-making expert. I don't know regulatorily as a compliance if that was -- upon approval, that was 13 something the Commission wanted us to do, whether there would be an agreement to, you know, hold service until that Compliance Filing is completed. I think that there may be some opportunity there, but certainly we'll be ready to offer service, but there are some challenges that come with that.

COMMISSIONER JONES: And then just one final question. Again, this is a hypothetical.

Let's say the Commission were not to approve Schedule 75 as proposed but would authorize it on a pilot basis for one county, let's say Skagit or let's say -- we're here, let's say it's Thurston. Let's say 25 it's Thurston County only.

McCULLOCH

1 But I do understand that this is limited to 2 normal business hours and not on Sundays or holidays. 3 So if a customer were to have a crisis --

CHAIRMAN DANNER: Just one second. I'm not sure -- some of this I believe is confidential information.

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you. I'm not sure the 24 hours is, but is there an issue with what I've just divulged? I'm sorry.

MS. CARSON: Are you looking at a particular document?

COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So I'm not looking at the document per se, but referencing MBM-8HC which is highly confidential. So I guess I would say there are limitations on this service.

THE WITNESS: I could answer that if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, and I'd just say the questions we have are dealing with the service. If there are issues with the consumer, does the consumer have 24/7 access to get problems resolved?

THE WITNESS: Yes. So some of the things you saw in here were still in draft format as far as the contract. But much like we do today, when customers have emergent issues, their water heater is not working

	agii Diait di Docket 1403. OL-13107 1 AND 00-131072 - V	01. 1	vvo 10 v. 1 aget odalia Elicigy
	Page 345 McCULLOCH		Page 347 McCULLOCH
1	or there's a leak, we will be able to utilize our	1	organization, yes.
2	service partners to dispatch that 24/7 to assist that	2	JUDGE KOPTA: So the contractors essentially
3	customer in that emergency.	3	are the ones that come up with the make and model as
4	Certainly if they are having issues that may	4	long as they meet the specifications?
5	not be emergent, then we would work with them within	5	THE WITNESS: They will provide that in that
6	that timeframe that you previously discussed. But it	6	contracting process.
7	would be appropriate for us to make sure the customers'	7	JUDGE KOPTA: Do you have the tariff in
8	needs are met on an emergent issue.	8	front of you?
9	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Do you have some sort	9	THE WITNESS: I do.
10	of approval process for how to work with your partners	10	JUDGE KOPTA: Couple of questions
11	in ensuring that service outside of this window that	11	specifically on Original Sheet 75-T.
12	you've identified?	12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	THE WITNESS: So I'll speak to what I know	13	JUDGE KOPTA: And these are the Lease Terms
14	what happens today, which is the model we use.	14	and Conditions, and focusing on Paragraph 11, Default
15	Say 2:00 in the morning on a Sunday somebody	15	and Remedies. Do you see where my reference is? And in
16	hears water rushing in their basement. They'll call our	16	Paragraph a(2), one instance of default is if the
17	call center. Our call center has the ability to find	17	customer fails to pay the monthly lease payment within
18	out who that customer's who the provider is for that customer and then connect that customer to a 24-hour	18 19	30 days, then that's a default; is that correct? THE WITNESS: That's correct.
19 20	service provider that will then schedule an appointment.	20	JUDGE KOPTA: And then under b(ii), one of
21	So that's how we would envision covering a	21	the remedies that PSE would have would be to accelerate
22	customer's need in that emergent situation.	22	the lease payments through the end of the lease term.
23	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Thank you.	23	Do you see where I'm referring?
24	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.	24	THE WITNESS: I do.
25	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. I'm actually going	25	JUDGE KOPTA: So, hypothetically, six months
	Page 346		Page 348
		1 1	I duc 340
	McCULLOCH		McCULLOCH
1		1	_
1 2	McCULLOCH	1 2	McCULLOCH
	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions.		McCULLOCH into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then
2	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of	2	McCULLOCH into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct?
2	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers?	2	McCULLOCH into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments
2 3 4	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that	2 3 4	McCULLOCH into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking
2 3 4 5	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications.	2 3 4 5	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	McCULLOCH to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six months you accelerate the lease or terminate the lease,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What is AHRI?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six months you accelerate the lease or terminate the lease, then you would remove that equipment from the customer's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What is AHRI? THE WITNESS: AHRI is I'll let you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six months you accelerate the lease or terminate the lease, then you would remove that equipment from the customer's location?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What is AHRI? THE WITNESS: AHRI is I'll let you know. I just know all these acronyms, unfortunately. But it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six months you accelerate the lease or terminate the lease, then you would remove that equipment from the customer's location? THE WITNESS: If the lease was terminated,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What is AHRI? THE WITNESS: AHRI is I'll let you know. I just know all these acronyms, unfortunately. But it is a body that, like Energy Star, keeps a catalog of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six months you accelerate the lease or terminate the lease, then you would remove that equipment from the customer's location? THE WITNESS: If the lease was terminated, yes, we would remove that equipment.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What is AHRI? THE WITNESS: AHRI is I'll let you know. I just know all these acronyms, unfortunately. But it is a body that, like Energy Star, keeps a catalog of equipment and the specifications that meet a certain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six months you accelerate the lease or terminate the lease, then you would remove that equipment from the customer's location? THE WITNESS: If the lease was terminated, yes, we would remove that equipment. JUDGE KOPTA: And then what happens to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What is AHRI? THE WITNESS: AHRI is I'll let you know. I just know all these acronyms, unfortunately. But it is a body that, like Energy Star, keeps a catalog of equipment and the specifications that meet a certain standard. CHAIRMAN DANNER: This is a private organization?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six months you accelerate the lease or terminate the lease, then you would remove that equipment from the customer's location? THE WITNESS: If the lease was terminated, yes, we would remove that equipment. JUDGE KOPTA: And then what happens to the equipment?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to follow up on a couple questions. Who selects the makes and models of equipment that PSE would offer to its customers? THE WITNESS: That would be through the contracting process, or we'd be working with our purchasing department in assessing the equipment that meets the specifications. JUDGE KOPTA: And so the specifications. Are there any other standards that would be employed in determining whether you use Trane or whether you use some other? THE WITNESS: So the standards are a lot of what I've talked about, meeting Energy Star or AHRI certifications. Those are certainly things that we will look at. So they do check on manufacturer specifications. CHAIRMAN DANNER: What is AHRI? THE WITNESS: AHRI is I'll let you know. I just know all these acronyms, unfortunately. But it is a body that, like Energy Star, keeps a catalog of equipment and the specifications that meet a certain standard. CHAIRMAN DANNER: This is a private	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	into a lease a customer doesn't make a payment. Then one of PSE's options would be to accelerate all of the payments so that the entire amount of the lease payments would be due at that time; is that correct? THE WITNESS: Certainly we would work with our customers to address that late payment before taking action on those remedies that we have available to us. But yes, that is an option that the company has available. JUDGE KOPTA: So what happens to the equipment if PSE exercises that option? THE WITNESS: If we have to exercise the option of terminating a lease, we will remove that equipment from the premise. JUDGE KOPTA: So in my example of after six months you accelerate the lease or terminate the lease, then you would remove that equipment from the customer's location? THE WITNESS: If the lease was terminated, yes, we would remove that equipment. JUDGE KOPTA: And then what happens to the equipment? THE WITNESS: The equipment is recycled.

Page 349 Page 351 **McCULLOCH McCULLOCH** JUDGE KOPTA: This is a six-month old heat not an attorney so I didn't draft these, but these are pump that is recycled? predicated on the default and the remedies of the THE WITNESS: We don't have a process of 3 3 default, as well as the customer use of the equipment. 4 reselling equipment. We don't think that that's 4 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. I don't see that appropriate. It's not very common that we have those 5 limitation here in the tariff. It just seems to give types of hypothetical situations, so. 6 PSE an open end to terminate the agreement whenever it 7 JUDGE KOPTA: On the next page, Section 12, 7 chooses to do so. Termination, as I read this, this is specific to the end 8 Is that your understanding? 8 of the lease term, looking at the first sentence under 9 THE WITNESS: I think it provides us that 12(a). And a customer must request one of two options, flexibility. But again, we would work with the 10 10 11 either that PSE replace the equipment and then enter 11 customers to address the issues that are at hand. into a new lease, or have PSE come collect the 12 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So may I step in? I have 12 equipment; is that correct? 13 a question, because I was also looking at the next page 13 14 THE WITNESS: That's correct. of the tariff which is basically the Disclaimer of JUDGE KOPTA: So I don't see a sale option 15 Warranties. And let's say that you have a water heater 15 there. Is that not an option for the customer? 16 or a heat pump and it's a lemon, all right? So 16 17 THE WITNESS: That is not an option at the 17 sometimes they just come off the assembly line, and just end of the lease. It's typical with any lease that the 18 like a car, it's a lemon. And so you've basically --18 equipment is returned to -- or returned at the end of 19 according to this, the lease terms say this is "as is" 19 so we don't warrant the condition of the appliance. 20 that process. 20 21 21 JUDGE KOPTA: So on the last day of the And it starts to malfunction, and so a lease term the customer could purchase the equipment, 22 22 repair person comes out and makes the repair, and then a but the day after it expires the customer can't; is that 23 week later it malfunctions again, and then a week later 23 24 24 correct? floods the basement and a week later is another problem. 25 THE WITNESS: That's the options that are 25 At some point you're saying, boy, this is a Page 350 Page 352 **McCULLOCH McCULLOCH** available in this tariff, yes. lot of work; let's terminate the warranty and just be 2 JUDGE KOPTA: And what if the customer done with it because we've got a lemon here. And we 3 doesn't select either option? 3 don't need to replace it for a better one because THE WITNESS: We would have a file fixture according to the lease terms it's "as is," and the 4 on the equipment. Certainly we could take action if we customer accepts the piece of equipment "as is," and there's no liability for any incidental or consequential felt that it was appropriate to go remove that equipment 6 6 7 7 but, you know, we haven't experienced that so I don't damage. 8 know legally what our capabilities would be. 8 I'm just wondering, what protections are 9 JUDGE KOPTA: And if they select to have it there for that kind of scenario? 9 10 removed, is there a charge associated with that? THE WITNESS: So I will say that that's not 10 11 THE WITNESS: No. That cost would be 11 the intent. Obviously we've found from our customers handled through PSE. There's no cost to the customer. that having a long-term lease where replacement, repair, JUDGE KOPTA: Is that a cost that's included 13 maintenance throughout the lease term is very important. 13 14 in the lease term, recovered through the lease term? 14 PSE has stipulated that we will warranty the equipment THE WITNESS: We've incorporated that cost 15 through the term of the lease. 15 16 16 as part of our -- so I will say the removal cost is CHAIRMAN DANNER: Wait. That's not what I germane to any installation cost, so we feel like we've 17 read in Paragraph 14. 17 appropriately covered those costs in our rate model. 18 THE WITNESS: Again, I'm not an attorney, so 18 JUDGE KOPTA: And then subparagraph (b) 19 I think that this was a standard that we have in our 19 under Termination, PSE may terminate the agreement at 20 existing rental business that we utilize and mainly to any time upon 30 days' written notice to the customer. 21 indemnify PSE from the manufacturer's fault. But 21 22 Are there any parameters around PSE's 22 certainly we would work through the manufacturer if 23 ability to simply terminate the lease on 30 days' 23 those types of situations arose. 24 notice? There's obviously the Commission that would 24

THE WITNESS: My understanding, again, I'm

25

have oversight if there is a consumer issue. But we

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy Page 353 Page 355 **McCULLOCH** CARSON / McCULLOCH REDIRECT EXAMINATION wouldn't be successful in operating the business if we 1 2 BY MS. CARSON: simply just terminated customers' leases because we had 3 challenges with the equipment. Q. Mr. McCulloch, you were asked about if, 3 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Right. But this is also 4 hypothetically, the Commission approves this and orders a Compliance Filing would PSE offer the service before 5 something that is unlikely to happen with the majority 5 of customers, it's likely to happen to one customer once the Compliance Filing and then have additional rates or 7 7 new rates after the Compliance Filing. in a blue moon. Again, it floods the basement. You're not going to be liable for the artwork that was stored 8 Do you remember that question --8 9 downstairs and got flooded, but according to this, Q. -- I believe from Commissioner Jones? you're not going to be -- you don't have any 10 10 11 responsibilities. 11 So is it PSE's intention to -- if a Compliance And so I'm just -- I'm trying to figure out 12 Filing is ordered, is it PSE's intention to wait to 12 how we do consumer protection when the terms of the offer the lease service until the Compliance Filing is 13 13 14 tariff itself exculpates the Company's responsibilities. 14 completed? THE WITNESS: Certainly -- again, I'm not an 15 A. That's not our intention. Our intention is to 15 attorney, I don't know contract law, but certainly I offer the service to customers upon approval of the 16 16 17 think that it is our intent to warranty and make sure service. However, if that Compliance Filing were to that equipment in service for the customer is there request that, we certainly would agree to that term. 18 18 Q. So if there's a Compliance Filing ordered by the through the term of the lease. If there are revisions 19 19 Commission, PSE will wait until that Compliance Filing 20 that are appropriate, then certainly I think that we 20 would be amenable to looking at that. 21 is completed before offering the service; right? 21 22 A. That's correct. 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you. JUDGE KOPTA: I have a different scenario. 23 Q. You made a reference to cost being spread across 23 24 As I understand it, you can come back in, if a tariff all customers. I think this was maybe in terms of if a were in place, and update rates based on additional pilot was offered in one county only. Page 354 Page 356 CARSON / McCULLOCH **CARSON / McCULLOCH** information and costs, that sort of thing, and that this Now, are costs for the lease service spread would only be applied to customers who take a lease on a 2 across all of PSE's customers? going forward basis; is that correct? 3 A. No. The costs for the lease is -- or for 3 THE WITNESS: Correct. Any updates would be 4 running the lease service is only retained from those for going forward customers. 5 customers who participate in the service. 6 JUDGE KOPTA: Is there anything in the 6 Q. Judge Kopta asked you about if rates are updated 7 tariff that would preclude the company from terminating 7 and refreshed for new lease customers, if PSE could 8 an existing lease on 30 days' notice and then terminate existing lease, water heater leases, for renegotiating the lease to apply that higher rate to the 9 example. 9 10 Would PSE do that? Would PSE be willing to 10 customer who has an existing lease? 11 THE WITNESS: Apart from the agreement that 11 commit not to terminate existing leases when it updates we signed with the customer that states the term of the 12 rates for new customers? A. Yes. lease, that states that price for that term, again, I'm 13 13 not a contract lawyer so I don't know if that protection 14 Q. Does PSE's current water heater rental program 14 is there. But again, that's not the intent of the offer an option to purchase? 15 15 16 tariff and the intent of way we will operate the 16 A. Yes, it does. business. 17 Q. How long has PSE offered that option to 17 18 JUDGE KOPTA: And I'm simply asking based on 18 purchase?

THE WITNESS: Sure.

JUDGE KOPTA: I believe that concludes

MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20

21

22 23

24 25 what's in the tariff.

questions from the bench.

Redirect?

19

20

21

22

25

docket number.

purchase their water heaters?

A. So I believe it was as a result of a Commission

A. We see probably on average about a hundred

customers a month choose the option. If you look at

Q. Have a significant number of customers opted to

Page: 10 (353 - 356)

Supplemental Order, a 1992 case. I don't know the

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy Page 357 Page 359 CARSON / McCULLOCH CARSON / McCULLOCH today's lease rental business population, that's less 1 average. 2 than 2 percent of our customers. And normally that's Q. There was a question raised on Monday about happening in the transaction or the sale of the home. whether customers are actually requesting equipment, the Q. You testified on Monday that PSE would provide equipment lease service. Are you aware of any requests? 5 A. I am. As manager of leasing I have access to 5 customers notice of their option to purchase when the equipment fails. 6 customer calls, and I periodically do random selection 7 7 of calls to hear how our agents are engaging with Can you clarify that? 8 A. Yeah. So I may have misspoken there. There are 8 customers. And I've heard multiple customers calling in certainly many reasons why a customer may call us asking specifically if they can lease equipment, both 9 regarding their service. One of those things may be water heating and HVAC equipment. 10 10 11 that the equipment failed. We do, when the equipment 11 Q. On Monday Mr. Casey expressed concern that PSE's fails, provide them the option to purchase if that's 12 cost of capital at the time the lease agreement is 12 something they're interested in. But again, as I said, 13 entered into will be used for the life of the lease. 13 the majority of those options to purchase discussions 14 Are you aware of any other situations where that 15 that happen today are resultant of a sale of a property 15 occurs? A. It is my understanding that our compressed or an estate issue. 16 16 17 Q. So it's when the company -- when there's a sale 17 natural gas refueling capabilities has the cost of capital levelized throughout the term of that contract of the equipment? 18 18 19 or service. A. When the customer is looking to terminate the 19 Q. You were asked questions about the NEEA survey 20 lease, not because of the failure of the equipment. 2.0 and how PSE queried the data in the NEEA survey. Q. You were asked on Monday about the Cocker 21 21 Fennessy survey and PSE's role. And I believe that you 22 Can you explain how PSE used that data from the 22 testified that PSE team reviewed multiple drafts of the 23 NEEA survey in this case? 23 24 A. Sure. That was one instrument we used to assess 24 survey. 25 the existence of an unmet need in the market. That was 25 Can you explain what you reviewed? Page 358 Page 360 **CARSON / McCULLOCH** CARSON / McCULLOCH A. We reviewed drafts of the survey questions. simply all it was used for. It doesn't inform our 2 Q. And why did you review drafts of the survey pricing model, our market buildup. It was just an 3 questions? 3 opportunity for us to understand the landscape of the A. Well, as I stated in my testimony, we discussed 4 the service with Cocker Fennessy to give them an 5 Q. And were there other mechanisms used to help you 6 understand market need or customer interest in this understanding of what PSE was going to be offering, and service? 7 so reviewing the questions allowed us to ensure that 7 8 that service and that understanding was accurate. 8 A. Absolutely. We did multiple surveys that Q. After PSE reviewed the survey questions, what 9 informed that information as well. 9 10 was PSE's role in the survey? Q. So there was discussion this morning about the 10 11 A. We had no further role. That was sort of the 11 warranty and whether PSE would -- what PSE's action intent of using Cocker Fennessy is that they would 12 would be if there was a lemon or the equipment failed. 13 administer and do the assessment, provide the results of Is there a provision in the lease that addresses 13 14 the survey independent of PSE. 14 how PSE will respond if there's a problem with Q. Mr. Casey asked you on Monday about the 15 equipment? 15 16 averaging of costs in setting rates. 16 A. I believe our Maintenance and Repair section of Are you aware of other situations where PSE uses 17 the tariff provides detail about how customers can 17 18 averages to set rates? 18 contact PSE and how we will respond to those requests. A. I am. In our basic service we utilize that 19 Q. And do you have the tariff in front of you? 19 process. Obviously our gas and electric plant that is 20 A. I do. Just bear with me for a minute while I

21

22

used to deliver service to customers, we take that plant

equipment or vintage of equipment is used to deliver the

cost and it's averaged regardless of what type of

service to the customer. And they're all charged

basically that same base rate that's based on that

21

22

23

find that section. That is original sheet 75-M, and

A. It is. It discusses the replacement on failure.

So as a lemon, yes, if a lemon ultimately fails then

Q. And what about 75-Q; is that relevant to your --

Page: 11 (357 - 360)

that continues through 75-P.

110	ugii Diail di Dockel Nos. DE-131671 AND OG-131672 - V	O1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Page 361		Page 363
	GOLTZ / WIGEN		GOLTZ / WIGEN
1	that would provide a loan to customers.	1	A. Primarily, correct.
2	Q. So if the equipment fails in the first month and	2	Q. Exclusively or primarily? What else besides
3	needs to be replaced, would PSE replace it?	3	windows and doors?
4	A. PSE would replace their equipment any time	4	A. Well, because of our other business line with
5	during the lease term.	5	Emerald City Energy, while we market exclusively through
6	MS. CARSON: No further questions.	6	Emerald City Energy to customers for windows and doors,
7	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Thank you.	7	when we're out meeting with customers or we're talking
8	Ms. McCulloch, thank you for your testimony,	8	with customers, if Emerald City Energy customers are
9	you are excused.	9	interested in any of the products we do with our other
10	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	10	business line, which is a whole variety of products,
11	JUDGE KOPTA: I believe you have additional		that we offer those products to them as well.
	•	11	
12	witnesses?	12	Q. So describe, in any of your business entities,
13	MR. STEELE: PSE offers Mr. Andrew Wigen.	13	your HVAC and water heater business.
14		14	A. You want to know my current business, what it
15	ANDREW WIGEN,	15	does for HVAC and water heaters? Is that what you're
16	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	16	asking?
17		17	Q. Yes.
18	JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Wigen, your testimony and	18	A. Yeah. So primarily that business comes from our
19	exhibits have been admitted already. Unless there are	19	relationship with our with a local hardware supplier
20	corrections, then I believe we can move to cross.	20	company.
21	Staff, do you have any questions?	21	Q. McLendon?
22	Public Counsel?	22	A. McLendon Hardware stores, yes. We have an
23	MS. GAFKEN: No.	23	exclusive relationship. We own the name McLendon Home
24	JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Goltz?	24	Services, that's our company. And we do all of the
25		25	installations for all products for McLendon Hardware
	Page 362		Page 364
	_		3
	GOLTZ / WIGEN		GOLTZ / WIGEN
	GOLTZ / WIGEN		GOLTZ / WIGEN
1		1	
1 2	CROSS-EXAMINATION	1 2	stores.
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ:	2	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for
2	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen?	2	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water
2 3 4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen.	2 3 4	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else
2 3 4 5	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss	2 3 4 5	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers.
2 3 4 5 6	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy	2 3 4 5 6	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I
2 3 4 5 6 7	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services.	2 3 4 5 6 7	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed by Eagle Creek.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they come to us and we talk to them, and we sell, through a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed by Eagle Creek. Q. And then you went to Emerald City in 2008?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they come to us and we talk to them, and we sell, through a standardized model, heating equipment. And we have it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed by Eagle Creek. Q. And then you went to Emerald City in 2008? A. My business partner and I created a company	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they come to us and we talk to them, and we sell, through a standardized model, heating equipment. And we have it installed by a subcontractor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed by Eagle Creek. Q. And then you went to Emerald City in 2008? A. My business partner and I created a company called eHomeSolutions in 2008, and it has a couple different business marketing arms today. But yes, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they come to us and we talk to them, and we sell, through a standardized model, heating equipment. And we have it installed by a subcontractor. Q. What brands do you sell?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed by Eagle Creek. Q. And then you went to Emerald City in 2008? A. My business partner and I created a company called eHomeSolutions in 2008, and it has a couple different business marketing arms today. But yes, that company since then.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they come to us and we talk to them, and we sell, through a standardized model, heating equipment. And we have it installed by a subcontractor. Q. What brands do you sell? A. Rheem and Ameristar. Q. Just those two?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed by Eagle Creek. Q. And then you went to Emerald City in 2008? A. My business partner and I created a company called eHomeSolutions in 2008, and it has a couple different business marketing arms today. But yes, that company since then. Q. Is Emerald City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they come to us and we talk to them, and we sell, through a standardized model, heating equipment. And we have it installed by a subcontractor. Q. What brands do you sell? A. Rheem and Ameristar. Q. Just those two? A. Just those two, correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed by Eagle Creek. Q. And then you went to Emerald City in 2008? A. My business partner and I created a company called eHomeSolutions in 2008, and it has a couple different business marketing arms today. But yes, that company since then. Q. Is Emerald City A. Emerald City Energy is one of those companies,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they come to us and we talk to them, and we sell, through a standardized model, heating equipment. And we have it installed by a subcontractor. Q. What brands do you sell? A. Rheem and Ameristar. Q. Just those two? A. Just those two, correct. Q. What sizes do you sell?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. Good morning. Is it Mr. Wigen? A. Wigen. Q. Wigen. So in your Exhibit AJW-2, you discuss your background after leaving Washington Energy Services. A. Uh-huh. Q. When did you leave Washington Energy Services? A. 2006. Q. And then you went to Eagle Creek Construction Company? A. Yes. Q. And when was that? A. Immediately. From 2006 to 2008, I was employed by Eagle Creek. Q. And then you went to Emerald City in 2008? A. My business partner and I created a company called eHomeSolutions in 2008, and it has a couple different business marketing arms today. But yes, that company since then. Q. Is Emerald City	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	stores. McLendon is a very strong regional player for water heaters in particular and so we do a lot of water heaters through that, and as well as everything else that we do in home for residential customers. Q. So if I were to go to the McLendon website, I could find the hot water heater products that you install on their behalf? A. Yes. Q. And the HVAC products? A. McLendon does not sell HVAC products. HVAC products cannot be sold through retailers. Q. So describe your sales of HVAC products. A. So McLendon customers will call us and/or come into the store and ask about HVAC products. And they come to us and we talk to them, and we sell, through a standardized model, heating equipment. And we have it installed by a subcontractor. Q. What brands do you sell? A. Rheem and Ameristar. Q. Just those two? A. Just those two, correct.

Page 365 Page 367 GOLTZ / WIGEN **GOLTZ / WIGEN** customers for McLendon stores are not large homeowners Or is just that your review? 1 2 looking for complicated options, and so we rightfully A. That's my opinion. 3 handle fairly straightforward like-for-like or improved Q. So I understand the first part, it serves its efficiency types of improvements. intended purpose. So if I have an old flip phone, for 4 Q. And if someone has a complicated system, they go example, designed to call people, that serves its 5 5 6 elsewhere? 6 intended purpose. 7 7 A. Yeah, we refer them, absolutely. If somebody A. Uh-huh. wants geothermal or solar or multi-zone systems, then we 8 Q. It performs well, so it performed well, that's absolutely refer to other quality contractors that we the first one. The second one was serve its intended 9 purpose. "And remains an effective/efficient solution 10 like. 11 Q. So you don't offer multi-zone systems? 11 relative to current technology." A. No, we do not. 12 So does that mean a flip phone is beyond its 12 Q. Do you offer ductless heat pumps? useful life because there's now iPhones? 13 13 14 A. We have contractors that we refer that to. 14 A. It may be, yes. Let me just state that the reason I'm here has 15 Q. It may be or it is? 15 partially to do with the company that I represent today 16 A. I don't know. 16 17 and that I have knowledge. We're in over 2,000 17 Q. And that depends on the customer? customers' homes, residential customers. We don't do 18 A. It depends on what the situation -- in other 18 new construction, we don't do commercial or industrial. 19 words, if you are a customer that uses a flip phone and 19 20 So the reason that I believe that I have all you want to do is make phone calls, then it is not expertise relates not only to what we do today but beyond its useful life relative to current technology. 21 22 But if you want it to perform an intended use that it 22 consumer behavior, what customers want, and we see 23 can't perform, then it's beyond its useful life. 23 thousands of customers every year with our current business model. And so I think I have a pretty good Q. Well, I'm focusing on the "effective/efficient." 24 understanding what customers find appealing in the 25 25 And by that do you mean effective "and" efficient or Page 366 Page 368 GOLTZ / WIGEN **GOLTZ / WIGEN** marketplace. effective "or" efficient? 2 In addition, my background working with one of 2 A. Well, as has been pointed out, as equipment gets the largest HVAC contractors in the region also is why 3 older its efficiency drops, and also its effectiveness 3 can drop. In other words, it doesn't heat as well as it 4 Q. Was that in response to one of my questions or 5 used to, it doesn't deliver the heat throughout the was that just a talk? 6 house as well as it used to, doesn't heat the water as 7 A. That was just to tell you a little bit more of 7 well as it used to. So that's beyond its useful life. 8 background, as I find that pertinent. 8 Q. So I guess what I'm asking is, it has to be Q. I've read your testimony. 9 effective "and" efficient, then, or effective "or" 9 10 So are options important for consumers? efficient? I question your use of the slash there. 11 A. Options are important for consumers, yes. 11 It's a pet peeve of mine. 12 Q. Choice of brands are important to consumers? 12 A. Yeah, okay. I think it would be an "or." A. It depends, yes. 13 Q. An "or," okay. So if I have a furnace that is 13 14 Q. On Page 9 of your testimony, you offered a 14 20 years old and it performs well and serves its intended purpose, it's beyond its useful life or not 15 definition of "useful life." Is that a concept that you thought about prior 16 beyond its useful life? 16 to being retained by Puget Sound Energy? 17 A. It depends on what the customer's needs are. It 17 A. Yes. 18 18 may be useful and it may not. It depends. Q. So what does that mean to you? 19 Q. It depends on the customer? 19 A. What does "useful life" mean? 20 A. Absolutely. 20 Q. Well, instead of doing that, you defined it as, 21 Q. So that could be 20 years or it could be 25 or 21 "The length of time equipment performs well, serves its 22 it could be ten? 22 intended purpose, and remains an effective/efficient 23 A. That's right. 24 solution relative to current technology." Q. Did your company respond to the RFQ issue by 24

And what's your source of information for that?

25

25

PSE?

Page: 14 (369 - 372)

RO	ugh Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - V	OI. I	WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy
	Page 369		Page 371
	KING / WIGEN		KING / WIGEN
1	A. No.	1	relationship with McLendon Hardware, McLendon Home
2	l	2	Services; so we literally cold-called, walked in, told
	-		-
3	A. No.	3	them our program that we could offer for them, created
4	Q. Do you have a lease program?	4	that company for them; and we purchased Emerald City
5	A. No.	5	Energy three years ago.
6	MR. GOLTZ: I have no further questions.	6	Q. So McLendon Home Services did not exist as a
7	JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. King, do you have any	7	subsidiary of McLendon Hardware before you walked in the
8	questions?	8	door and created it?
9	MR. KING: Yes, I do, Your Honor.	9	A. Absolutely correct.
10	, ,	10	Q. On your website for Emerald City Energy, I
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION	11	noticed you offer a myriad of windows and door options
12	BY MR. KING:	12	to the consumer?
13	Q. Thank you, Mr. Wigen. To follow up on a couple	13	A. Uh-huh.
14	of the questions that were asked just for clarification	14	Q. You've also indicated that you had reviewed the
15	then.	15	Residential Building Stock Assessment Survey.
16	So neither of your affiliated companies actually	16	Would you find that we have, or from your own
17	sell HVAC water heaters or heat pumps or furnaces;	17	personal knowledge even, that we have a large gap in the
18	you're dependent upon others that sell them? Your	18	market of people who have not installed energy-efficient
19	companies arrange for installation?	19	doors and windows in this area?
20	A. Incorrect. We sell them.	20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Your company actually sells them, not McLendon	21	Q. Are you aware of anybody that is providing
22	Hardware?	22	leasing of doors and windows, energy-efficient doors and
23	A. That is correct. We are the sales company.	23	windows?
24	McLendon Home Services sells that product, or Emerald	24	A. No.
25	City Energy sells those products, yes. We have them	25	Q. The kind of savings that are talked about for
	Page 370		Page 372
	Page 370 KING / WIGEN		Page 372 KING / WIGEN
1	KING / WIGEN	1	KING / WIGEN
1	KING / WIGEN installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it	1	KING / WIGEN back up a second.
2	KING / WIGEN installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors.	2	KING / WIGEN back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors
2	KING / WIGEN installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation?	2	king / wigen back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water
2 3 4	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters.	2 3 4	king / wigen back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater?
2	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters?	2	king / wigen back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average
2 3 4	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters.	2 3 4	king / wigen back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater?
2 3 4 5	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters?	2 3 4 5	king / wigen back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average
2 3 4 5 6	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct.	2 3 4 5 6	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is
2 3 4 5 6 7	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that	2 3 4 5 6 7	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	king / wigen back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor registered with the State with a licensed plumber on staff.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I would say that when we start talking about energy savings with customers, we start by asking them about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor registered with the State with a licensed plumber on staff. Q. Thank you. You indicated in your qualifications	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I would say that when we start talking about energy savings with customers, we start by asking them about the envelope of their home, insulation. We work to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor registered with the State. We are a general contractor with a licensed plumber on staff. Q. Thank you. You indicated in your qualifications you were the co-founder of both of these companies.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	king / wigen back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I would say that when we start talking about energy savings with customers, we start by asking them about the envelope of their home, insulation. We work to equipment and then we talk about windows and doors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor registered with the State. We are a general contractor with a licensed plumber on staff. Q. Thank you. You indicated in your qualifications you were the co-founder of both of these companies. A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I would say that when we start talking about energy savings with customers, we start by asking them about the envelope of their home, insulation. We work to equipment and then we talk about windows and doors. They're definitely down the list of energy savings
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor registered with the State. We are a general contractor with a licensed plumber on staff. Q. Thank you. You indicated in your qualifications you were the co-founder of both of these companies. A. Correct. Q. But did you actually co-found these individual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I would say that when we start talking about energy savings with customers, we start by asking them about the envelope of their home, insulation. We work to equipment and then we talk about windows and doors. They're definitely down the list of energy savings opportunities.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor registered with the State? Q. Thank you. You indicated in your qualifications you were the co-founder of both of these companies. A. Correct. Q. But did you actually co-found these individual companies or did you co-found eHomeSolutions and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I would say that when we start talking about energy savings with customers, we start by asking them about the envelope of their home, insulation. We work to equipment and then we talk about windows and doors. They're definitely down the list of energy savings opportunities. Q. But there are energy savings opportunities?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor registered with the State. We are a general contractor with a licensed plumber on staff. Q. Thank you. You indicated in your qualifications you were the co-founder of both of these companies. A. Correct. Q. But did you actually co-found these individual companies or did you co-found eHomeSolutions and then acquired these two companies?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I would say that when we start talking about energy savings with customers, we start by asking them about the envelope of their home, insulation. We work to equipment and then we talk about windows and doors. They're definitely down the list of energy savings opportunities. Q. But there are energy savings opportunities? A. Of course, absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	installed. If it's an HVAC product, we have it installed by subcontractors. Q. You don't do any of the installation? A. We have our own installers for water heaters. Q. You have installers for water heaters? A. That are our employees, correct. Q. You are a general contractor? A. Yes. We have a licensed plumber on staff that installs water heaters for us, tankless water heaters, et cetera. Q. But you're not a plumbing contractor or an electrical contractor? A. We are not an electrical contractor, no. Q. Are you a plumbing contractor registered with the State? A. Yeah, we are not a plumbing contractor registered with the State? Q. Thank you. You indicated in your qualifications you were the co-founder of both of these companies. A. Correct. Q. But did you actually co-found these individual companies or did you co-found eHomeSolutions and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	back up a second. Is the cost of installing energy-efficient doors and windows greater than that of installing a new water heater? A. For an entire house? Most customers on average don't purchase an entire house of windows. So the average cost, if you're asking what the average sale is for windows, it's probably equivalent to an HVAC purchase. Q. But the benefits that we've heard talked about could be gained from having more energy-efficient water heaters, HVAC, whatever, the carbon reduction, the energy efficiency savings; those kind of savings could also be achieved if people installed the energy-efficient doors and windows; correct? A. Yeah, so from no, I would not say that. I would say that when we start talking about energy savings with customers, we start by asking them about the envelope of their home, insulation. We work to equipment and then we talk about windows and doors. They're definitely down the list of energy savings opportunities. Q. But there are energy savings opportunities?

Page 373 Page 375 KING / WIGEN KING / WIGEN a leasing program for energy-efficient doors and windows market doesn't do so today, I welcome that opportunity. 2 to achieve these kind of savings for our region? BY MR. KING: 3 A. I'd have to think about that. I think so. Q. If that furnace is owned by a third party? 3 Q. On Page 3 of your testimony starting on Line 14, 4 A. I don't understand what that means. You're 4 you talk about the dangers of older near or beyond 5 saying if they're leased by PSE down the road 15 years useful life equipment; water heaters, furnaces. 6 from now? I'm not sure I understand what you're asking. 7 7 Q. I'm talking about the 30,000 or so appliances Does it concern you that there are tens of thousands of these older appliances in residential homes currently rented by PSE, owned by PSE that are in homes 8 throughout the region owned by the Utility and very 9 and residences. 9 limited replacement of those? I mean, there are 10 A. Oh, you're talking about the existing water 10 11 approaching over 50 percent of the defined useful life 11 heaters that are under the current lease program for by the Utility. 12 PSE. I don't know anything about who those customers 12 A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the question. Can 13 are and I wouldn't speculate on that. 13 14 you restate it? 14 I was bringing up an example of a furnace with a Q. You indicated to us in your testimony the 15 cracked heat exchanger and the health risks, and that's 15 dangers associated with water heaters, furnaces that are 16 why I brought that particular example up in my 16 17 near or past end of useful life. 17 If there are some 30,000, plus or minus, of 18 Q. You also brought up examples of water heaters. 18 19 A. Well, you're pointing on Line 14 and that's what 19 these kinds of appliances in residences throughout our region, potentially dangerous, 50 percent or more beyond 20 20 I'm referencing in terms of my concern. 21 what's been defined as useful life of ten years, would Q. Line 14 and continuing, the very next sentence. 21 that cause you concern? 22 A. Yeah, correct. Again, I'm not sure I understand 22 MR. STEELE: I'm going to object. It's a what you're saying. 23 23 24 24 vague and ambiguous guestion. MR. KING: Let me finish with it, Your JUDGE KOPTA: I'm not sure I'm following 25 25 Honor. Page 374 Page 376 KING / WIGEN WIGEN JUDGE KOPTA: All right, thank you. you. I don't know where the 50 percent comes from. 1 Any questions from the bench? 2 MR. KING: Of the 15 years or older, there 2 3 3 are 50 percent beyond ten, is where the 50 percent comes CHAIRMAN DANNER: Yeah, I do. 4 So a lot of these appliances are things that 4 from. 5 JUDGE KOPTA: I don't believe that's the 5 are in the basement, and the homeowner, the resident in Company's position. And if that's yours, that's fine, 6 the home doesn't see them every day. So it's unlike a but I don't think you should ask this witness to accept 7 car where you can sort of tell that it's getting worse 8 that necessarily. If you can simplify your question a 8 for wear, it's out of sight, out of mind. 9 And a lot of times in the energy world we 9 bit. 10 BY MR. KING: talk about the early adopters, the ones who really want 11 Q. We'll drop the 50 percent and just indicate that 11 to manage their own energy, and others who are the we have appliances that are that many appliances beyond passive customers who really just want to let it alone. useful life, if you're defining as potentially dangerous From your experience, how do you define the world out 13 13 there? I know that's a vague question, but what I'm 14 in our residences throughout the region, is that cause 14 for concern? 15 15 really asking (laughter) -- we have all day. 16 MR. STEELE: I'm still going to object as 16 My question is, what percentage of the vague. 17 market do you see as being active energy users and what 17 18 THE WITNESS: I will answer this. If there 18 percentage are passive energy users? is one furnace that has a cracked heat exchanger out 19 THE WITNESS: That's an excellent question 19 there and the customer does not have a carbon monoxide 20 that I really don't -- I would only be speculating. All detector in their home, yeah, I'm concerned about that. 21 I know is the market is very large for our area, and 21 If there was a way to get that one customer, 22 there's a whole mix of consumers in a variety of 22 23 if there's only one, let alone there's probably a lot different states from, they need something replaced but

more, but if there was a way to get that one customer to

compel them to replace that furnace in a way that the

they don't do it because they can't afford to; they

don't know they need something replaced but if they

Page 377 Page 379 WIGEN CASEY / ENGLERT could get more educated and more information put in 1 MR. STEELE: No redirect, Your Honor. front of them they would consider it; and then there's 2 JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you, Mr. Wigen; we people that are definitely actively seeking what are my 3 appreciate your testimony, you are excused. options, what can I do. 4 Your next witness? I wouldn't know how to define that other 5 5 MR. STEELE: PSE offers Mr. Eric Englert. 6 than all three of those segments are large; they're very ERIC ENGLERT. 7 significant. 7 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So in your business, do 8 you deal with all of those or do you generally deal with 9 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Englert, your testimony 9 the active customer, the one who wants to manage his or and exhibits has been admitted. Unless you have 10 10 11 her home? 11 corrections then we're prepared to go to THE WITNESS: Well, as contractors, every 12 cross-examination beginning with Commission Staff. 12 day we're trying to find new and innovative ways to 13 Mr. Casey? 13 market to customers that are not active. So obviously 14 14 the active, we want to be in front of them every day 15 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 15 BY MR. CASEY: saying, hey, we're here for you so when you're ready, 16 16 17 come talk to us about our options. 17 Q. Can you please turn to EEE-1T, Page 8, please. A. I'm there. 18 And then for the non-active customers that 18 are either afraid to get into the market because they 19 Q. I want to talk to you about the two questions 19 20 don't know who to trust, and then the non-active and answers at the bottom of this page so I'll give you 21 customers who are just oblivious, if it isn't broken, a second to review. 21 22 A. Yes. 22 I'm not going to worry about it, we would love to find ways to be more creatively reaching those customers to 23 23 Q. So energy conservation is merely an additional feature of this program; correct? 24 say, hey, there are things for you, you should consider 24 25 this, high efficiency is an option, all of that. A. I've indicated that this optional -- yes. Page 378 Page 380 WIGEN CASEY / ENGLERT Those are things that we constantly would Sorry, excuse me, a qualified yes. That is one try to figure out how to get to. 2 component of it, yes. 2 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So in your business you Q. And not all of the equipment that PSE proposes 3 3 don't necessarily have a strategy for reaching out to to offer is energy-efficient; is that correct? 5 those people who may have something in the basement that 5 A. A qualified yes. All of the equipment will meet is inefficient and potentially dangerous that if 6 or exceed code. 6 7 7 somebody were to look at it, whether the Utility or Q. Is simply meeting code PSE's definition of 8 contractor or contractor of the Utility, that they would 8 energy-efficient equipment? say this absolutely needs to be replaced now, how do you 9 A. No. 9 identify those customers? 10 10 Q. You testified that the proposed leasing program 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah, our strategy is to 11 is not a conservation program; correct? A. Correct. creatively market and advertise to wherever we can. 12 We're going to try and find age of home data, we're 13 Q. Is it not a conservation program because it's 13 going to try and find neighborhoods. When we do an 14 not filed under Schedules 200 to 299? 14 installation we're going to try and see if we can 15 A. That's one reason, correct. 15 connect with neighbors because they're likely to be in 16 Q. Why is that reason important? 16 the similar situation. 17 A. Just from a structural standpoint of the tariff 17 18 There's an endless list of strategies that 18 schedules. I think also those are the schedules that we have to try and access customers. If there was more rate recovery under Schedule 120 is specified for. 19 19 information that could be had to educate customers to 20 So if you looked at Schedule 120, which is the come to the market, we would love to have that as well. 21 conservation cost recovery mechanism where essentially 21 CHAIRMAN DANNER: All right, thank you. 22 every customer is paying for those conservation 22 23 JUDGE KOPTA: Any other questions? All 23 services, that's how those two are connected.

Redirect?

right.

24

25

24

Also, those Schedules 200 through 299 are also

governed by Schedule 83 on the electric side and

Page 381 Page 383 CASEY / ENGLERT CASEY / ENGLERT Q. Yes. Let's turn to EEE-3T, I believe it's Page Schedule 183 on the gas side. So there's a lot of 1 2 26. background and rules and just how those programs are 3 A. I believe you used the word "survey." But at run. So like I said, Schedule 83 and 183 would govern those conservation schedules, and that schedule is not 4 Line 3, I do say, on Page 27, I believe I say, "PSE's proposed equipment leasing service is not a conservation 5 needed to govern this. program and therefore not governed by the EIA." 6 I would also say the nature of this transaction. 7 7 Q. There we go, thank you. it's a long-term transaction with the customer. Q. Would the proposed program be constrained or 8 But you do believe that this program will 8 limited in any way if it was filed under a schedule further the goals of the EIA; correct? between the number 200 and 299? A. Yes. I believe I said that in my testimony as 10 10 11 A. I think it would be. I think we look at how 11 well. best to run a program, and I think we came to the 12 Q. Next I want to talk about your statement at the 12 conclusion that the best way to run this program was the bottom of Page 27, that last Q&A. 13 13 14 way we filed it. 14 Can you explain why you believe the EIA Q. How would it be constrained by being filed under 15 provision set out in RCW 19.285.040(1) which states (as 15 schedules somewhere between 200 and 299? 16 read), Each qualifying utility shall pursue all 16 17 A. I'm trying to think of specific provisions in 17 conservation that is cost-effective, reliable, and Schedule 83 or Schedule 183. But I think I would go feasible, is just a preface to the process and does not 18 18 create a substantive requirement in law? 19 back to my statement that this is a long-term agreement 19 20 between the customer and the company, and I don't think 20 A. Yeah, I believe that's true because that's what that -- in general, a lot of our conservation programs 21 the law says. It's the first sentence of the law. 21 Q. And you believe that first sentence is just a are not necessarily like that, and so I just feel like 22 that would be a constraint on the design of the program, 23 preface to the process and does not create a substantive 23 requirement? 24 in my mind. 25 A. Yes. When I look at that law, that is literally 25 Q. This service is intended to deliver conservation Page 382 Page 384 **CASEY / ENGLERT** CASEY / ENGLERT savings; correct? 1 the first sentence. And then the subsections of that 2 A. As Dr. Farugui has indicated and I think as then go on to give very specific or describe a very we've generally indicated, we believe that conservation 3 specific process. savings will be created, yes. Q. Are conservation savings necessary for 5 Q. So you just said they will be created. To me 5 demonstrating PSE's proposal is in the public interest? that's different than intending to deliver them. A. I think they can be a part of it, yes. 7 Does this program intend to deliver customers 7 Q. But are they a necessary part, a necessary 8 conservation savings? 8 condition for demonstrating that it's in the public A. I don't understand the difference between those 9 interest? 9 10 two phrases. MR. STEELE: Object as vague. 10 11 Q. Well, you say conservation is merely an 11 MR. CASEY: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the additional feature. I want to know if this program is 12 objection. As what? designed to ensure that customers receive that 13 MR. STEELE: As vague. 13 14 conservation benefit. 14 JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. To the extent you A. You just stated -- I think you misstated what I 15 15 can answer. said. I didn't say it's "merely," I did not use the 16 16 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, would you remind word "merely." 17 repeating? 17 Q. Sorry. But you do use the word "additional 18 BY MR. CASEY: 18 feature;" correct? 19 Q. Are conservations necessary for demonstrating 19 20 A. I do. 20 PSE's proposal is in the public interest? Q. You testified that this survey is not subject to 21 MR. STEELE: Object to the extent it calls 21 the State's Energy Independence Act because it is not a 22 for a legal conclusion. 22 conservation program; correct? 23 JUDGE KOPTA: We've been talking about legal A. Are you referring to a specific part of my 24 issues and it's in his testimony, so I think it's 24

25 testimony?

appropriate for him to answer.

Page: 17 (381 - 384)

Page 385 Page 387 CASEY / ENGLERT CASEY / ENGLERT THE WITNESS: I think it's one topic that BY MR. CASEY: 1 could be considered, but I don't think it's the only 2 Q. Should there be? 3 3 measure. A. I don't think there needs to be, because there 4 BY MR. CASEY: is a process, and I'm speaking of a general -- the electric conservation approval, the interaction with Q. So for clarification, that's no, it is not 5 5 6 necessary? stakeholders, the presentation of those conservation 7 A. Correct. 7 savings, and ultimately the Commission approving a 8 Q. PSE is not committing to deliver a specific target. I think for the established conservation amount of conservation savings through this program; programs, I think that makes sense to me. correct? Q. But we're talking about the proposed program. 10 10 A. Not at this time, no. 11 11 Should there be -- should PSE have to commit to its Q. And under its proposal, PSE would not be 12 intentions and should there be consequences for failure 12 accountable for obtaining a specific amount of to meet any commitments in order to demonstrate 13 14 conservation savings; correct? favorable public interest? A. That's correct. There's a process in place to 15 MR. STEELE: Object as vague and ambiguous. 15 JUDGE KOPTA: I think that's asked and have the CRAG look at conservation savings and how those 16 16 17 get built into a biennial conservation target. 17 answered. Q. For clarification, you said that's in place. My 18 MS. BROWN: He didn't answer the question. 18 understanding is that it's merely a potential commitment 19 19 BY MR. CASEY: that was offered in Ms. Norton's Exhibit 3, that that is Q. PSE plans to use the leasing platform to expand 20 not in place. its business to products and services it has never 21 A. Perhaps I misunderstood your question. I was 22 offered before; correct? 22 referring to the general process by which electric A. Yes, a qualified yes. I believe in our original 23 23 conservation is put into place. Advice Letter we talked about potential additional 24 Q. So back to PSE's proposal as currently services that we could offer, correct. 25 Page 386 Page 388 **CASEY / ENGLERT** CASEY / ENGLERT constructed, PSE would face no consequence for failing Q. So you agree that this proposal constitutes an to deliver conservation savings under this program; 2 expansion of what is regulated utility service; correct? 3 correct? A. I do not. 3 A. Correct. 4 Q. So help me reconcile your past two statements. 4 5 Q. Is it sufficient for PSE to intend to give -- to You said you agree that this proposal will lead to produce conservation savings without commitment or offering products and services in some instances that without consequence for not doing it? 7 PSE has never offered before, but this is not an 8 MR. STEELE: Object as vague and ambiguous. 8 expansion of PSE's regulated utility service? 9 JUDGE KOPTA: I'll sustain that. Can you 9 MR. STEELE: Objection as a 10 rephrase that, Mr. Casey? 10 mischaracterization of his testimony. 11 BY MR. CASEY: 11 JUDGE KOPTA: I believe he can clarify it. Q. Can PSE demonstrate a favorable public interest 12 If you would. on mere -- on intentions without offering commitments 13 THE WITNESS: I will, thank you. 13 and without having consequences for failing to meet 14 The products -- the services and products 14 those commitments? that we have that are currently part of this proceeding 15 16 MR. STEELE: Same objection. 16 are an extension of a service we're already offering. JUDGE KOPTA: I think he can answer that. 17 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Casey, would you let us 17 18 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you repeat that know when you're through with this line of questioning since we will need to take our morning break? 19 question? 19 20 MR. CASEY: I'm not sure I can. Can the 20 MR. CASEY: I can pause here. We can pick 21 up. 21 reporter help? 22 (Court reporter read back as requested.) 22 JUDGE KOPTA: Let's do that. It's now 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10:35. Please be back at ten minutes to the hour. I don't believe that there's a standard in 24 Thank you. We're off the record. 24 25 place that would require that. (A break was taken from

KU	ugh Draft of Docket Nos. UE-1518/1 AND UG-1518/2 - V	OI.	WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy
	Page 389		Page 391
	CASEY / ENGLERT		CASEY / ENGLERT
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SHOLL FEROLER
1	10:26 a m to 10:53 a m \	1	column End Lice to kind of draw attention to that It
1	10:36 a.m. to 10:53 a.m.)	1	column End Use, to kind of draw attention to that. It
2	JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be back on the record.	2	would be like an end use that the customer would be
3	We've returned from our morning break and we'll resume	3	using.
4	the cross-examination of Mr. Englert from Staff.	4	Q. A substation is an end use?
5	Mr. Casey?	5	A. The transformation of electricity uses
6	MR. CASEY: I realized I have one question	6	electricity.
7	left from my previous line of questioning, sorry.	7	Q. Are you aware that on PSE's FERC Form 1, there's
8	BY MR. CASEY:	8	a line item for leased property on customer premises?
9	Q. We were talking about the leasing platform	9	A. I'm not aware of that FERC Form 1 page.
10	expanding its business, the scope of the Company's	10	Q. Okay. So I'm going to ask a vague question.
11	utility service. I have a question with respect to the	11	(Laughter) And I'm happy to clarify it.
12	electric side of the house.	12	JUDGE KOPTA: Shall I rule on the objection
13	Isn't it true that PSE currently has no leased	13	now?
14	property on customer premises?	14	BY MR. CASEY:
15	A. PSE does have property on electric customer.	15	Q. But I want to get at, how public does the public
	Q. Leased property.		interest need to be? And I'm asking that question
16		16	•
17	A. We have services that are like leases and rents,	17	because this is a you have this leasing program that
18		18	you want to make it an optional service for customers
19	Q. So you're saying services similar to, but does	19	where they can decide if the value is sufficient to
20	the company have leased property on customer premises on	20	them.
21	the electric side?	21	Is simply making an optional service available
22	A. Yes, we do. I would direct you and others to my	22	sufficient to meet the public interest?
23	prefiled testimony where I have a table, it's the only	23	MR. STEELE: I'll object; calls for a legal
	table, on Page 3, and that has Optional Company-Owned		•
24		24	conclusion and vague.
25	End-Use Equipment Services. And on the electric side,	25	JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Answer to the
	Page 390		Page 392
	Page 390 CASEY / ENGLERT		Page 392 CASEY / ENGLERT
	_		_
	CASEY / ENGLERT	1	CASEY / ENGLERT
1	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a	1	CASEY / ENGLERT extent that you can, Mr. Englert.
2	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word	2	CASEY / ENGLERT extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving
2	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it	2	CASEY / ENGLERT extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can
2 3 4	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term	2 3 4	CASEY / ENGLERT extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I
2	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate.	2	CASEY / ENGLERT extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think
2 3 4	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term	2 3 4	CASEY / ENGLERT extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I
2 3 4 5	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate.	2 3 4 5	CASEY / ENGLERT extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think
2 3 4 5 6	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your	2 3 4 5 6	CASEY / ENGLERT extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public
2 3 4 5 6 7	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe.	2 3 4 5 6 7	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CASEY / ENGLERT there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CASEY:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated tariff-based products and services compete in the free
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Substations are leased property on customer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated tariff-based products and services compete in the free market with unregulated products and services; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Substations are leased property on customer premises?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated tariff-based products and services compete in the free market with unregulated products and services; correct? A. Just as we do now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Substations are leased property on customer premises? A. They may not be on customer premises, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated tariff-based products and services compete in the free market with unregulated products and services; correct? A. Just as we do now. Q. Who else what other service providers provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Substations are leased property on customer premises? A. They may not be on customer premises, but I would say that if the customer were providing that own	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated tariff-based products and services compete in the free market with unregulated products and services; correct? A. Just as we do now. Q. Who else what other service providers provide electricity to PSE's customers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Substations are leased property on customer premises? A. They may not be on customer premises, but I would say that if the customer were providing that own service for themselves, the alternative product would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated tariff-based products and services compete in the free market with unregulated products and services; correct? A. Just as we do now. Q. Who else what other service providers provide electricity to PSE's customers? A. There is limited availability for retail
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Substations are leased property on customer premises? A. They may not be on customer premises, but I would say that if the customer were providing that own	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated tariff-based products and services compete in the free market with unregulated products and services; correct? A. Just as we do now. Q. Who else what other service providers provide electricity to PSE's customers?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there are the lighting schedules, and there's also a Substation Rental, Schedule 62. And it uses the word "rental" but I believe in the agreements of customers it uses the word "lease." So I believe that using the term "lease" or "rental" is appropriate. JUDGE KOPTA: And this is part of your direct testimony in EEE-1T; correct? MR. CASEY: He's on Page 3, I believe. THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: I'm just identifying which part of your testimony it's in, for the record. BY MR. CASEY: Q. So to make sense of your last response, you lease substations which you put on customer premises? MR. STEELE: Objection; vague. MS. BROWN: For heaven's sake. JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Go ahead. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Substations are leased property on customer premises? A. They may not be on customer premises, but I would say that if the customer were providing that own service for themselves, the alternative product would be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	extent that you can, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: I believe that giving customers choice is a benefit and all customers can avail themselves of that benefit. But I think and I don't want to Dr. Faruqui is not here, but I think Dr. Faruqui has demonstrated that there are public benefits in general. So I think that's in the record on this case, in this case. BY MR. CASEY: Q. Yeah, but we also just discussed before break how PSE has made no actual commitment to deliver those benefits and there would be no consequence for a failure to deliver those benefits; correct? A. We discussed that. We didn't come to a conclusion. Q. Oh. I thought we had. PSE's proposal would have optional regulated tariff-based products and services compete in the free market with unregulated products and services; correct? A. Just as we do now. Q. Who else what other service providers provide electricity to PSE's customers? A. There is limited availability for retail

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV Page 393 Page 395 CASEY / ENGLERT CASEY / ENGLERT of electricity to those individual customers. specifically by "assurance." 2 Q. Did PSE have to compete for its customer base? Q. Are PSE's customers more confident that they are A. On the electric side, there are no certificated 3 getting a good deal because the Commission approves 3 service areas for electricity service. 4 rates as just, reasonable, and sufficient? 4 5 A. I think a "good deal" isn't articulated as a 5 Q. PSE's testimony in multiple places talks about its trusted relationship with customers. Where did that 6 standard and, therefore, I don't think customers should trusted relationship come from? 7 necessarily jump to that conclusion. I would focus on 7 A. I'm not sure I discussed that in my testimony. the latter part of what you said, is that the Commission 8 will approve rates that were shown to be fair, just, 9 Could you point where I said that? Q. Are you not aware -- do you deny that PSE has a reasonable, and sufficient. 10 10 11 trusted relationship with its customers? 11 Q. I want to turn to MBM-36. And this is the home A. I do not deny anything else Jason, Liz or 12 page of Puget Energy's website. 12 Malcolm have said in that regard. 13 A. I have it in front of me. 13 14 Q. But you acknowledged that PSE didn't have to win 14 Q. I want to focus on that middle paragraph. Would over its general customers; I mean, PSE has a de facto you mind reading it? 15 15 A. The entire middle paragraph? monopoly? 16 16 17 A. On the service we're talking about, even though 17 Q. Yes, please. JUDGE KOPTA: We don't need to read this we have 33,000 customers that are on this particular 18 18 19 into the record. service, every day they decide whether they want to 19 BY MR. CASEY: 20 continue to take this service from PSE. They --2.0 Q. I want to talk not about the Legacy Leasing 21 Q. Okay. Do you agree with this description of the 21 core business as being accurate? 22 Program, I want to talk about your general customers, 22 23 23 your -- I guess your core business. A. Yes. I think the core business as defined in A. And what's the question? 24 our tariffs include things as -- there are many things Q. I want to know how PSE gained this status of 25 that go into that retail service. I've laid those out Page 394 Page 396 **CASEY / ENGLERT** CASEY / ENGLERT being a trusted service provider when PSE is all most of in my testimony. your customers know. 2 On the gas side, it includes the installation A. I think you've already heard evidence of that in 3 and operation of distribution facilities, it includes 3 this case. I think it's now on the record that the sale or transportation of gas, it includes the customers are calling and asking about this service as rental of natural gas equipment, it includes safety and well as other services that we provide. inspection services, and it includes demand-side 7 Q. Again, I don't want to talk about -- I'd like to 7 management, a/k/a conservation. 8 talk at a little bit higher level than just the leasing 8 That's how gas service is defined in our tariff proposal. 9 9 and that's our core service. 10 And again, I'm interested in -- you say, or PSE 10 Q. PSE earns a rate of return on the capital says, and you don't deny, that PSE's customers, its 11 investment it makes; correct? 11 general customers, place trust in PSE. And I want to A. No. PSE is allowed the opportunity to earn the know why. 13 rate of return on its investments. 13 14 JUDGE KOPTA: I'm going to interject at this 14 Q. Thank you for that clarification. point. I believe we're beyond the scope of this 15 15 And what do you make of the description at the witness's testimony. There are other witnesses that end of that paragraph which says the core business 16 specifically address this point. And unless you can includes farsighted investments in energy delivery 17 point to something in Mr. Englert's testimony, I think 18 infrastructure? 18 we need to move on to talking about what he has raised 19 A. I'm sorry, what do I make of that? 19 in his own testimony. 20 Q. Well, let me rephrase. BY MR. CASEY: 21 In this program, does the equipment that would 21 Q. Do you think PSE's customers gain assurance from 22 be leased, is that -- would that be part of PSE's energy 22 23 the fact that the Commission approves rates as just, 23 delivery infrastructure?

A. Assurance? I'm not sure what you mean

reasonable, and sufficient?

24

24

25

A. No. I wouldn't characterize it that way.

Q. Where does PSE deliver energy to?

Page 397 Page 399 CASEY / ENGLERT **CASEY / ENGLERT** Q. EEE-3T, Page 20. A. If you're talking about -- well, I guess whether 1 1 2 gas or electric services. In some cases the molecules A. I'm sorry, I don't --3 or electrons, the delivery point could be at a meter and Q. Towards the bottom of the page you discuss in some services they're not at a meter. So a meter is 4 definitions, the Commission's jurisdictional authority, 5 RCW 80.28.110 [sic]. 5 not necessarily a definition point. A. I don't see a reference to HVAC systems there. 6 I think the answer to that question may depend 6 7 7 Q. Is it your understanding that the equipment PSE on the actual service. Q. PSE determines when it has delivered energy to a wishes to offer would qualify as an electric plant? 8 particular customer by use of its meter; correct? 9 A. I believe -- yes, I believe it would be an 9 electric rate. It would be a rate-based item. A. Not in all cases. 10 10 11 Q. And can you give me an example? 11 Q. Are you familiar with the definition of A. Sure. I think the electric lighting schedules 12 "electric company"? 12 are a great example of that. In that case the energy is 13 A. I think I did read it at some point, yes. 13 being used for providing light. 14 Q. So you're aware that an electric company is a 14 Q. So lighting is a service that's on the utilities 15 company who owns and operates any electric plant for 15 hire within the state; correct? side of the meter; correct? 16 16 A. Correct. 17 A. No. I wouldn't say that. 17 Q. So if the HVAC and water heat equipment, if HVAC Q. So it's on the customer side of the meter? 18 18 A. In some cases there is a meter, and there is and water heat equipment qualifies as electric plant, 19 19 can the members of SMACNA and WSHVACCA be considered 20 that delineation where PSE owns that equipment. I think electric companies? for the expediency and cost effectiveness or the cost of 21 21 providing that lighting service, sometimes there is no 22 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, I'm going to object 22 meter. to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion. 23 23 24 JUDGE KOPTA: Yeah, I believe it does. 24 But once again, like we've talked about before, 25 I think you have to evaluate that as if the customer MR. CASEY: And I believe Mr. Englert's Page 398 Page 400 CASEY / ENGLERT CASEY / ENGLERT had -- if they were providing -- if they were procuring testimony is full of legal conclusions. He testifies their own poles or lighting, that would be the way to about the EIA, he testifies about the definitions, he sort of make that delineation. 3 testifies about the Cole case. You know, these are 3 I think on the compressed natural gas service, questions that go to the heart of -- the scope of the 4 5 we are essentially selling compressed natural gas to the 5 Company's utility service. 6 customer. 6 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, and I don't see anything 7 Q. Can we go back to this lighting service? In 7 in his testimony where he talks about the applicability most situations, the lighting service is on the of statutes to other parties than PSE. I think that's utilities side of the meter; correct? 9 better addressed on brief. 9 A. Well, as I've said in several cases, there is no 10 10 MR. CASEY: Okay. 11 meter. 11 BY MR. CASEY: Q. I'm trying to understand if no meter is the Q. So I'd like to talk about the sentence that general rule or the exception within the service. 13 starts at Line 14 on Page 20 and goes into the next 13 A. It's my understanding that I believe the page. It goes from Page 20 to Page 21 on that first 14 majority of cases there isn't a meter. 15 15 line. And the sentence ends, "to be rendered in Q. Do you believe the vast majority of those cases? 16 connection therewith." 16 A. I don't know that I would use the word "vast." 17 How direct does the connection between a product 17 18 I just said the majority. 18 or service PSE wishes to offer and its kind of core -the core services it provides? How strong of a Q. A hair over 50 percent? 19 19 20 A. I'll give you that. 20 connection needs to be there? Q. You testify that HVAC and water heat equipment 21 A. I don't think the Supreme Court or the 21 or the HVAC and water heat equipment PSE hopes to offer 22 Commission made it a prerequisite, so I don't believe 22 falls within the broad definition of electric plant; 23 there needs to be a connection. I don't think there correct? 24 needs to be a demonstration of connection. 24

25

A. Could you direct me where I said that, please?

25

Q. The previous witness said he would support

Page 403

CASEY / ENGLERT CASEY / ENGLERT utilities leasing potentially windows and doors because "as is" provision. 2 A. What I see on 75-V is a Disclaimer of of conservation savings they could offer. 3 3 Do you agree that that would fall under a Warranties. potential regulated utility service? 4 Q. Yes. And within that it says, "Customer hereby 4 A. I believe he answered that in the context of a 5 accepts the equipment in an 'as is' condition." 5 conservation program, and I think conservation programs 6 Is that "as is" condition part of what PSE would 7 7 are part of a utility service. disclose when it discloses the warranty? 8 Q. Do you believe that PSE could, if this program 8 A. So we're obviously disclosing that provision were approved and it were to come back with, you know, a here in the tariff? Is that what you're asking? slightly expanded portfolio of products or services, 10 Q. Would PSE undertake any additional education to make sure that customers are familiar with this "as is" 11 that leasing windows and doors could be included in 11 that? 12 condition? 12 A. Yes, I think we could have a similar process to 13 A. Additional -- I don't understand your term 13 14 this. 14 "additional education." Q. Could PSE potentially lease insulation? 15 Q. Would PSE go above and beyond simply handing 15 A. I think that's possible, but I think you have to this tariff to customers to make sure that they are 16 17 put into context what Liz and other witnesses have aware that they accept the equipment in an "as is" stated. The offering of these services I think is a 18 condition? 18 convergence of a lot of both customer interest, customer 19 A. Yes. I do believe that other witnesses have 19 20 demand, I think also just the abilities of customers to 2.0 talked about that. 21 want that service, or is this something that they want Q. In your understanding of the tariff, is there a 21 to pay for over time, the affordability question. conflict between this "as is" provision and the fact 22 22 And then I also think PSE, is this something that PSE will be committing to maintain and repair the 23 23 that PSE could work with others and provide. I think equipment for the life of the lease? 24 it's a convergence of all those topics, all those 25 A. No, I don't believe there's a conflict. I think Page 402 Page 404 CASEY / FNGLERT CASEY / ENGLERT when that question was being proffered and I think when issues, all those qualities. Q. Do you believe that there is some limitation to Malcolm answered it, and in addition I believe that was 2 what constitutes regulated utility service? 3 answered on redirect, I was thinking the same thing 3 A. Not specifically. I didn't see that articulated about the wording on Original Sheet Number 75-Q which is 5 in the Cole decision or here in the Commission's that equipment failure during the lease term. And in my language. 6 mind, that was answering the Chairman's question. 6 7 Q. I want to turn back to your direct testimony, 7 And I think these two paragraphs, these two Page 10. So Page 10 covers one of the major sections in sections, can operate together. And in fact, I think your testimony. That section is titled, Lease Solutions that section on equipment failure during the lease term Has Extensive Customer Protections. addresses the hypothetical and I think it addresses the 10 10 11 MR. STEELE: Are you in the direct or 11 question that you just stated. 12 rebuttal? 12 Q. Earlier we also talked about the default provision where PSE would have the flexibility to MR. CASEY: Direct testimony. This is 13 14 EEE-1T, Page 10. accelerate the remaining cost of the lease on 30 days BY MR. CASEY: 15 late payment. 15 You're familiar with that provision? Q. You stand by your testimony that the program has 16 16 extensive consumer protections in place; correct? 17 A. I'm looking at the Default and Remedies section 17 A. I do. I think that's why you see the language 18 now, yes. 18 in the tariff, you see the terms and conditions. And 19 Q. Mr. McCulloch said it was not PSE's intention to 19 that's why we were thoughtful to try to clarify what are 20 use that provision in most cases. the obligations to the customer from the company. 21 Do you agree with that sentiment? 21 Q. Chairman Danner asked Mr. McCulloch about the 22 MR. STEELE: Objection; it mischaracterizes 22 23 "as is" provision in the tariff. 23 Mr. McCulloch's testimony.

Page 401

Q. Yes. So this is tariff original sheet 75-V, the

A. Could you be more specific?

24

24

25

BY MR. CASEY:

JUDGE KOPTA: Can you rephrase it?

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy Page 405 Page 407 CASEY / ENGLERT CASEY / ENGLERT And we currently run this program with the rules in Q. Is it PSE's intention to use this provision? 1 2 A. I think it's our intention to have the 2 3 flexibility to use all these provisions, and I think Q. Can we turn to Original Tariff Sheet 75-P. that's why we put them in there. I don't see this as 4 MR. STEELE: Which page? any different than making payment arrangements with 5 MR. CASEY: This is Sheet 75-P as in Peter. 6 customers. We do that quite a bit. BY MR. CASEY: 7 7 Q. So 7(f) at the bottom of the page addresses a And I think we wanted this to be flexible; we want to align our interests with customers. That's why situation if equipment is inoperable and PSE is unable 8 we listed all these provisions. And we really came at to provide the required repair service within a 48-hour 9 window, this limits -- this provision limits the this from the approach that this is flexible. 10 11 But I think we want to work with customers, we 11 customer's remedy in those situations; correct? 12 A. It states what the remedy is, yes. 12 want them to have a service, and that's why we -- I think that's why we wrote it this way. 13 Q. Could you explain that remedy, please. 13 14 Q. You just said you want the Company's interests 14 A. Is there something unclear in the language that to align with customers' interests. 15 I need to explain or would you prefer that I read it? 15 Q. Yes, it's unclear to me. Would you agree that when PSE's financial 16 16 17 interest and the customers' interests are aligned, there 17 A. Okay. So I think the relevant part that you're referring to states (as read), The customer will receive is greater assurance that the Company will act in the 18 18 19 a credit equal to 1/30th of the monthly lease payment best interest of customers? 19 for each 24-hour period, or portion thereof, after the 20 A. That's a vague question, but I generally agree with you. 21 initial 48-hour period that the equipment remains 21 22 inoperable. 22 MR. CASEY: Mr. Steele didn't object on vagueness. (Laughter.) 23 23 Q. Does this provision preclude consumer protection MR. STEELE: I was about to. staff from bringing a complaint against the Company if 24 BY MR. CASEY: PSE is unable to fix someone's water heater for, say, 25 Page 406 Page 408 CASEY / ENGLERT **CASEY / ENGLERT** Q. Is it problematic if the Company's financial two weeks? interest and the customers' interests does not align? 2 MR. STEELE: Calls for speculation. 2 3 A. Is it problematic? JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. 3 4 Q. Yes. 4 THE WITNESS: I think it's my understanding 5 A. No. I think that's why we have -- we want to 5 of the role of consumer protection staff at the UTC to lay out the specifics of customer obligations and look at the language in the tariff. And they have the Company obligations. I don't think that's a problem. I opportunity to make their own decision on whether the 8 think clarity is a benefit. Company is abiding by the terms of its own tariff. Q. Would it be problematic if the Company's 9 BY MR. CASEY: 9 financial interest and the customers' interests do not 10 Q. So that's a yes? 10 11 align and there are no tariff provisions or consumer 11 A. I'm sorry. You said does it limit the consumer protection rules that speak to how to deal with that 12 protection staff? Q. Could there ever be any additional remedy beyond situation? 13 13 14 MR. STEELE: I will object to this as vague. 14 what is articulated in provision 7(f)? A. Not from the Company perspective of adhering to 15 JUDGE KOPTA: It's convoluted, certainly. 15 And I think the witness has already questioned the use 16 an approved tariff schedule. 16 of the term "problematic." 17 Q. PSE has no obligation to run its business in a 17 18 BY MR. CASEY: manner that goes above and beyond the provisions and Q. How can the Commission ensure that consumers statutes and Commission rules and in the tariffs; 19 19

23 speak to how to address that issue?

22

will be protected in situations where the Company's

21 financial interest and customers' best interests do not

align and there are no rules or tariff provisions that

A. It's my testimony that we have -- we're already

25 running a program and that there are rules in place.

20

21

22

correct?

MR. STEELE: Objection; argumentative.

you're going with this. And I'm also concerned about

We're now at over an hour, even excluding a break, and

Page: 23 (405 - 408)

time. You estimated 40 minutes with this witness.

JUDGE KOPTA: Yeah, I'm not sure where

Ro	ugh Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - V	ol. I	V WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy
	Page 409		Page 411
	CASEY / ENGLERT	1	make a decision in the morning because the hot water is
		2	doing well or not doing well, that they just keep it?
1	we're running out of time.	3	A. No, I'm saying they have that opportunity.
2	MR. CASEY: This is my last question. And I	4	Maybe they make that decision every month they pay their
3	will also cede my time for Mr. Marcelia to make up for	5	bill.
4	that.	6	Q. Okay. And also in the same sense that I've got
5	BY MR. CASEY:		_
6	Q. But I just want to know, what I'm trying to get	7	a 2001 Subaru that's got 160,000 miles on it, every day
7	at is that what's articulated in the tariffs, the rules,	8	I get up and I decide not to buy a Prius, I keep it, or
8	statutes, that spells out PSE's obligation; right?	9	not to lease a car. Every day I do that?
9	A. I agree with that.	10	A. I would say yes, that's true.
10	MR. CASEY: No further questions.	11	Q. Because I make those just like your PSE
11	JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you.	12	customers, they get up every morning, and periodically
12	Ms. Gafken?	13	when they pay their bill they make some sort of
13	MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel has no	14	cost-benefit analysis for themselves?
14	questions.	15	A. They make a decision for themselves, yes.
15	JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you.	16	Q. A cost-benefit analysis?
16	Mr. Goltz?	17	A. For themselves.
17	MR. GOLTZ: Thank you.	18	Q. My car is running fine; I don't want to spend
18	Good morning, Mr. Englert.	19	\$40,000?
19	THE WITNESS: Good morning to you.	20	A. Yes.
20	JUDGE KOPTA: One moment, Mr. Goltz. I hate	21	Q. Okay. So on Page 6 of your prefiled direct, you
21	to interrupt, but there is the Exhibit MBM-36 that's not	22	list a number of factors, starting on Line 3 going
22	been admitted. Is Staff seeking to have it admitted?		through Line 11, of some of the advantages of the Lease
23	MR. CASEY: Yes.	23	_
24	JUDGE KOPTA: Any objection?	24	Solutions proposal?
25	MS. CARSON: To MBM-36?	25	A. I characterize those as we're on Page 6;
	Page 410		Page 412
1	JUDGE KOPTA: Yes. Puget Energy website	1	correct?
2	home page.	2	Q. Yes.
3	MS. CARSON: No objection.	3	A. I think I'm characterizing those as similarities
4	JUDGE KOPTA: Then that is admitted.	4	with a current optional service.
5	MR. GOLTZ: Before I start, Your Honor, I	5	Q. Right, but you're I think you're listening
6	just want to confirm that the pre-cross-examination	6	too carefully to my questions.
7	exhibits that SMACNA of Western Washington has provided	7	I'm basically saying Items 1 through 7, like
8	in EEE-12 through 14, Number 12 has been admitted, the	8	your Schedule 54, I'm not talking about that, but the
9	other two have not; is that correct?	9	Lease Solutions program, you listed seven advantages.
10	JUDGE KOPTA: That's correct.	10	Do you agree with that?
11		11	A. I listed the similarities. I can review them to
12	CROSS-EXAMINATION	12	see if they're all advantages.
13	BY MR. GOLTZ:	13	Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this.
14	Q. Mr. Englert, turn to Page 4 of EEE-3T. You	14	One is it provides cost savings for customers,
			which is Number 3.
15	referenced this earlier in your responses to Mr. Casey.	15	
16	You say there starting on Line 12 that every day	16	A. That's an advantage.
17	33,000 customers decide to keep their leases?	17	Q. And when you say it provides cost advantages, do
18	A. Yes, I did.	18	you mean cost advantages over what?
19	Q. Does that mean every day people get up in the	19	A. I say cost savings
20	morning and say hot water's fine, let's keep it; or is	20	Q. I'm sorry, cost savings.
21	it just that they have it and they just keep on going	21	A. And we both characterized that as an advantage.
22	with it?	22	Q. Let's use your words, savings. Savings over
23	A. They can choose to end that service at any time	23	what, compared to what?
24	every day.	24	A. Compared to the service or equipment that they
25	Q. But you aren't saying they actually get up and	25	have now.
	i de la companya de	, 1	

Page 415

Page 413

1

2

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

21

Q. So it is cheaper to lease a piece of equipment than to not lease a piece of equipment?

1

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24 A. I think what I was stating there is focusing on the efficiency of the unit. And so I think there are cost savings of having a more efficient and newer unit, piece of equipment.

Q. So you're saying that the customer, like me, gets up every morning and decides to keep his 2001 Subaru Legacy despite the fact it's not as efficient as a Prius, that if I bought a Prius, that would be a cost saving for me?

A. Yes, that would be an element of cost savings for you, and you might consider that an advantage. And then in this case, it's a part of a list of similarities between this other service.

Q. But to not lease and just go with your existing equipment, that might be a cost -- that might be less expensive?

A. I think that customer could make that determination, yeah.

Q. Okay. You also -- this is a little bit leading to a different topic, but Number 6, it offers price structures that allow the Company to update prices as necessary.

Is that what Mr. McCulloch and I were talking

Page 414

about yesterday, which was, well, next year the prices may change; we might be able to find a furnace that's less expensive so we're going to update prices to reduce the price, that sort of thing?

A. Well, I would agree. I think in the context of Schedule 54 what we've done there is over time, as PSE provides that optional gas compression service, there's various components that go into that rate. And if over time there are components that become less expensive or less costly, that the rate to the customer would reflect that.

And I think when we were thinking about the structure of this optional service, we were thinking that over time there's opportunities -- that the rate structure and the structure of the tariff that would allow that to occur over time.

Q. So you said earlier in a response to Mr. Casey, and I think I had this quoted correctly, "this is an extension of an existing service."

Do you recall saying that? Lease Solutions would be an extension of the existing service?

A. I believe that was a fair characterization of what I said, because we currently offer this service.

Q. So can you reconcile that statement with Ms. Norton's statement that, we're looking to the

utility of the future? Your testimony seems to be, we're looking to the utility of the past.

A. No, I don't believe I would characterize my testimony that way. I think my testimony points to the fact that half a century ago the Supreme Court of the State of Washington and the Commission allowed this type of structure for this type of service.

I think it's not -- I think what we're saying is we're taking the same service and we want to offer it in a platform, as Liz Norton says, and that platform could be conducive to what she and Jason have testified to.

Q. Right. But your model is a past service?

A. I wouldn't use the word "model."

Q. Okay. So I'll let that rest.

So I believe Ms. Norton testified to this, but I wanted to confirm, that in evaluating and developing this program, you did not consider -- Puget did not consider the option of providing an unregulated lease service?

A. I think we answered that in a Data Request.

That is not what is before the Commission. I did not evaluate that because there was already -- there was already something to look at.

We looked at what was existing, we looked at the language in the tariff, we looked at past decisions. We

Page 416

looked at our current program and said, well, what's the best way to offer this service; what is the clear path that is supported by the legislative branch, the executive branch, and the judicial branch.

Q. This is a regular civics class. (Laughter.)
I know you answered it in the Data Request, and
the answer in the Data Request was no, we did not
consider an unregulated service option?

9 A. I believe that's true.

Q. Okay. So do you know how prices are set in an unregulated service?

A. No, not specifically.

Q. Does anybody at Puget Sound Energy involved in this project know how prices are set in an unregulated service?

MR. STEELE: Calls for speculation.

MR. GOLTZ: If you know, that's fine. If he doesn't know, he can answer "I don't know."

JUDGE KOPTA: Overruled. Please answer, if you know.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall having any conversations with anyone that would indicate they do know that.

24 BY MR. GOLTZ:

Q. So isn't what we're doing here just one big

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

206 287 9066

25

Page: 25 (413 - 416)

Page 419

Page 417

hassle? Wouldn't it be simpler to just set the prices to recover the costs in an unregulated basis, operate a lease program and move on?

4 A. I don't believe it's one big hassle. I think you're oversimplifying the process. I think what I've stated earlier is we have a path; we're offering this 7 service currently. We do have more pages in this tariff 8 than the current tariff, but from our viewpoint it's -this service is already -- especially on the gas side, it's very clear that we can offer this service as a 10 11 general service.

So when you have an existing tariff, when you have broader language in your existing tariff schedule that says you can offer rental and leasing service, and that is intrinsic just like all the other services we offer, when you have the Supreme Court say it's okay to do this, when you have a Commission decision that says you can do this, when you have all these things, to me that's the clear path, that's the easy way, that's the non-hassle way to do this.

I think you did articulate a few steps there, but all those steps have a lot of other steps in them, and to me that's not the clear, easy, non-hassle path. And I'm not even going to get into merger commitments right now. But it's complicated. I think you're

oversimplifying the steps involved there.

Q. So in order to change your prices you would file a tariff; correct?

A. Correct.

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

23

24

25

- Q. In order to offer a new product you would file a tariff?
- A. Correct, with prices.
- Q. With prices, yeah. Lesson learned. In order to discontinue a product you file a tariff?
- A. Correct.
- Q. If you were operating as an unregulated business, none of that would have to happen?
- A. Correct.
- Q. In order to file a tariff you have to send out RFQs to a number of providers that you would pick?
- A. I think Malcolm answered that question.
- Q. And then you'd have to evaluate that and then ask for a tariff approval, and then upon approval refresh the rates with a competitive bid process?
- A. I don't know that that step would necessarily have to happen every time in the future.
- Q. So on Page 6 of your rebuttal testimony, you responded to some concerns about consumer issues.
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. And you said that the Commission's existing complaint process is available to handle those consumer complaints?

A. Yes. I said the Consumer Protection Division is 4 5 already handling complaints from utility customers when they arise. 6

Q. And how is that consumer complaint process funded?

A. I believe the Commission is funded by fees from utilities.

Q. And in a Data Request EEE-13, we asked you about regulatory fees. Do you recall that? And you prepared the response?

MR. STEELE: We objected to that as beyond the scope of the Intervenors.

MR. GOLTZ: Do you want me to argue that now, Your Honor?

JUDGE KOPTA: Well, we are going down -that's a different objection than I thought they had. They're saying this is beyond the scope of his testimony.

Is that what you're prepared to address,

23 Mr. Goltz?

> MR. GOLTZ: Well, I don't believe it's beyond -- I was prepared to address Mr. Steele's

Page 418

Page 420

objection. But it's not beyond the scope of his testimony because he talked about a consumer affairs issue in his response, rebuttal testimony.

The reason for the response -- responsive to both his objection and your potential one, is the response to that Data Request gives figures of how many regulatory fees this process would generate.

I would submit it's pretty obvious from that amount that it is a paltry amount given the burden that Mr. Englert and Mr. McCulloch have suggested may be thrust upon this Commission in the future, in the next few years, for revised prices, confirming existing prices, refreshing prices, oversight, consumer protection, and offering new products.

So let's assume one of two things from that number, which is obviously in front of you, it's \$20,485 for calendar year 2018, one of two things happens; either the Commission Staff steps back, spends \$20,485 in their review and kind of waves at these things as they come through and really doesn't give them any scrutiny, or there's going to be a much greater expenditure of that.

If it's the latter and there's a greater expenditure, that is a subsidy from the other electric functions, the other ratepayers to this program. And

Page 421 Page 423 that, a subsidy, is exactly one of the concerns of the 1 SMACNA's scope in this case. 2 Intervenors. And so we have a case where there's a JUDGE KOPTA: At this point we will sustain subsidy and there's exorbitant prices. the objection and move on to other topics. MR. GOLTZ: Okay. We'll continue with the 4 So I think that it's well within the scope 4 5 5 of the intervention and it's well within the scope of consumer protection issue, which is one of his 6 his testimony. 6 statements, stating that PSE would comply with 7 JUDGE KOPTA: So you're saying that there's 7 Commission rules on consumer protection. 8 THE WITNESS: What page are you at? 8 a cross-subsidy because all of the fees that would be 9 paid to the Commission from this program would not cover BY MR. GOLTZ: 9 the costs that the Commission would incur to administer 10 10 Q. Page 5 of rebuttal, I believe. 11 11 the program? A. Yes, I did state on Page 5 that PSE intends to 12 MR. GOLTZ: Absolutely, by a long shot. 12 abide by these and all existing applicable rules, JUDGE KOPTA: And is that the only instance 13 13 in which fees paid to the Commission do not cover the 14 Q. And I gather that the Commission utility 14 rules -- the Commission's consumer protection rules are costs the Commission incurs to regulate? 15 15 MR. GOLTZ: I'm sure it's not. But the contained in -- for electric companies are contained in 16 point is that here we have a program that ostensibly is 17 Chapter 480.100 WAC, Washington Administrative Code? 17 argued to be -- it covers itself. We aren't going to --Do you know that? 18 19 no other ratepayers are going to be paying for this. 19 A. You gather, or? 20 And this is an example, it's not the hardest example in 20 Q. Well, I'm asking you for the source of the the universe, but it's a example of why that is just consumer protection rules for the Washington Utilities 21 simply wrong. 22 and Transportation Commission. 22 There may be other examples as well in the 23 A. Didn't I state those on Line 4 through 6? 23 record, but this is an example where -- and again, it's 24 Q. Yeah, you did. 24 not just about the subsidy; it's about does this 25 A. I did. (Laughter.) 25 Page 422 Page 424 program, should this really be a regulated program. It 1 Q. So what about the type of rules that relate to 1 deceptive practices? Do I find those in the Commission 2 doesn't fit with the statutes, as I talked some about 3 rules? the other day and we'll talk about more in the briefing 3 4 A. I don't know. process; it doesn't really fit in the statutes. 5 They've never even considered offering this 5 Q. In the unregulated world, there's a state as an unregulated service. They don't know how prices 6 Consumer Protection Act that governs that; correct? 7 are set in the unregulated market. They're offering MR. STEELE: I'm going to object. this perhaps to some comfort level. And it doesn't 8 Mr. Englert is not a lawyer. 8 cover its costs -- it doesn't cover its regulatory 9 MR. GOLTZ: Well, he's talking about what's 9 10 costs. So I think it's a relevant piece of information. covered. I think I should be able to ask him what's not 10 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Steele? 11 covered. 11 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, we appreciate that JUDGE KOPTA: He may ask if he's familiar 12 12 Mr. Goltz has a lot of experience in this area of the 13 with regulations outside of what the Commission has. 14 law and the industry, but his understanding of these 14 BY MR. GOLTZ: points doesn't give SMACNA the grounds to explore all 15 Q. Are you aware? 15 these issues. I mean, Mr. Goltz had stated numerous 16 A. I am not. 16 assumptions and speculations about the future of the 17 Q. Okay. So let's switch topics then. 17 You talked about the tariff earlier; you talked program and his concerns about how it will burden the 18 18 about it in response to Mr. Casey. 19 Commission. I think that those are speculative; I think 19 20 that it's beyond the scope of SMACNA's concern in this How will this work with a multi-family dwelling 20 21 case. 21 where the owner is one person or entity but the Their role in this case is to provide market occupants are renters, but yet it's separately metered 22 expertise as to -- based on their role as contractors in so the individual renters would be paying utility bills? 23

the marketplace. And Mr. Goltz speculating as to how

regulatory fees will impact these programs is beyond

you know that?

Is this lease service available to those renters? Do

	Page 425		Page 427
1	A. It's my understanding it's the owner would get	1	offer this to a Seattle City Light customer, offer an
2	the bill for the lease service. It's the owner of the	2	electric hot water heater that's up to code but not the
3	building that would be signing the contract.	3	highly efficient hot water heater for a long-term lease
4	Q. Right.	4	in Seattle City Light's service territory?
5	A. And getting the bill and the charges for this	5	A. Yeah, the tariff doesn't preclude that.
6 7	service.	7	Q. And as a matter of fact, would your statutory
	Q. So in that scenario, then, as I understand it,		obligation to serve require that?
8	if I were to give this as a homeowner and I were to	8	A. In Seattle?
9	lease a product under this proposal, I would pay this	9	Q. Yeah.
10	through my utility bill?	10	A. I don't know.
11	A. Correct. I believe the tariff states that PSE	11	Q. It would require it in Olympia, though?
12	has the option to put this on your normal utility bill.	12	A. It's an optional service. So I guess I don't
13	Q. But that's an option. So in case of a	13	understand your question.
14	3. 1	14	Q. Well, I'm wondering if the obligation to serve
15	just bill the you would not bill the individual	15	even applies here, in PSE's view.
16		16	A. I don't know. This is an optional service. I
17	A. Yes, that's my understanding.	17	don't know the answer to that question.
18	Q. So is it your understanding that you will offer	18	MR. GOLTZ: I have no further questions.
19	this tariff throughout the service territory?	19	I guess I've already been denied EEE-13.
20	A. Yes, this is available throughout our service	20	EEE-12 is already in the record. And I've got the
21	territories.	21	answer for EEE-14 so I don't need to offer that.
22	Q. Assuming, of course, that you have partners that	22	JUDGE KOPTA: All right, thank you.
23	are able to provide the service? If you don't, it won't	23	Mr. King?
24	be offered?	24	MR. KING: No questions. Unless is it
25	A. It may be limited in some areas, correct.	25	
	Page 426		Page 428
			-
1	Q. And that's optional with PSE?		ENGLERT
2	A. Correct.	1	ENGLERT
2	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas	1	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping
2 3 4	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle?	2	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for.
2 3 4 5	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct.		ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle 	2	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? 	2 3 4	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. 	2 3 4 5	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in 	2 3 4 5 6	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements Service Area Agreements, I believe they're called, with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of customers interested in Lease Solutions will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements Service Area Agreements, I believe they're called, with every public utility district or every municipal utility 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of customers interested in Lease Solutions will be determined. So a few questions on this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements Service Area Agreements, I believe they're called, with every public utility district or every municipal utility in Western Washington?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of customers interested in Lease Solutions will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements Service Area Agreements, I believe they're called, with every public utility district or every municipal utility in Western Washington? A. I believe that's true, that we don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of customers interested in Lease Solutions will be determined. So a few questions on this. What are your internal credit metrics and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements Service Area Agreements, I believe they're called, with every public utility district or every municipal utility in Western Washington? A. I believe that's true, that we don't. Q. So there would be some areas where your Service	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of customers interested in Lease Solutions will be determined. So a few questions on this. What are your internal credit metrics and what data source will you be using? Is it primarily
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements Service Area Agreements, I believe they're called, with every public utility district or every municipal utility in Western Washington? A. I believe that's true, that we don't. Q. So there would be some areas where your Service Area Agreements would not prohibit you from offering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of customers interested in Lease Solutions will be determined. So a few questions on this. What are your internal credit metrics and what data source will you be using? Is it primarily bill payment history? THE WITNESS: That is my understanding, it's bill payment history.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements Service Area Agreements, I believe they're called, with every public utility district or every municipal utility in Western Washington? A. I believe that's true, that we don't. Q. So there would be some areas where your Service Area Agreements would not prohibit you from offering this lease service?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of customers interested in Lease Solutions will be determined. So a few questions on this. What are your internal credit metrics and what data source will you be using? Is it primarily bill payment history? THE WITNESS: That is my understanding, it's bill payment history. COMMISSIONER JONES: Do you have access to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Correct. Q. So let's take Seattle. You provide natural gas service in Seattle? A. Correct. Q. So you would offer gas appliances in Seattle through this program, assuming a vendor participates? A. Correct. Q. And you would also offer electric appliances in Seattle? A. The tariff is structured to allow for that. Q. So you would be in Seattle City Light's electric service territory offering equipment for lease? A. I'm not sure that we have a Boundary Agreement with Seattle City Light. Q. Okay. So you don't have Boundary Agreements Service Area Agreements, I believe they're called, with every public utility district or every municipal utility in Western Washington? A. I believe that's true, that we don't. Q. So there would be some areas where your Service Area Agreements would not prohibit you from offering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ENGLERT JUDGE KOPTA: Well, that's what we're hoping for. I believe there will be some questions from the bench, but I think we may best do it after lunch. All right, we'll finish with this witness; fine by me. Questions from the bench? Commissioner Jones? COMMISSIONER JONES: Good afternoon, Mr. Englert. THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. COMMISSIONER JONES: A few questions on internal credit metrics. And I won't get into confidential information here. But from your testimony and that of others, it's a little bit unclear how the creditworthiness of customers interested in Lease Solutions will be determined. So a few questions on this. What are your internal credit metrics and what data source will you be using? Is it primarily bill payment history? THE WITNESS: That is my understanding, it's bill payment history.

Page: 29 (429 - 432)

Page 429 Page 431 **ENGLERT ENGLERT** answer to that question, but I'm recalling that I customers that qualify, an explanation of the credit 2 believe we may have access to that. I don't know metrics? whether we have to pay for it or not. 3 COMMISSIONER JONES: Part A would be an 3 4 COMMISSIONER JONES: Well, maybe I would 4 explanation of the credit metrics, the internal metrics make that a bench request. I would like to know if you you use to determine eligibility for Lease Solution 5 5 have access to FICO scores. And let's just phrase it programs, all products, residential and commercial. 7 7 broadly. And Part B would be if you had done any 8 And that would be in which department? 8 initial screening as part of Mr. McCulloch's program, Would that be in your department or the customer either what percentage of customers or total number of 9 solutions department or whatever it's called? customers would be eligible. 10 10 11 THE WITNESS: I do know it's not in my 11 MS. CARSON: Got it, thanks. department. (Laughter.) 12 JUDGE KOPTA: All right, thank you. 12 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, Mr. McCulloch said 13 COMMISSIONER JONES: Mr. Englert, you heard 13 14 the answer is no. 14 my exchange, I think it was Monday or today, with COMMISSIONER JONES: The answer is no, okay. 15 Mr. McCulloch over the Compliance Filing process, the 15 Tell me, how would you go about -- have you done any 16 60-day process? 16 17 initial run yet of what percentage of your total 17 THE WITNESS: I did. customers in all the service territories, and I guess I 18 COMMISSIONER JONES: Do you have anything to 18 would include what Mr. Goltz just mentioned if you 19 add as direct or in your responsibilities in rates and 19 really intend to offer electric heat pumps and water Compliance Filings, to add what to he said about how 20 heaters in the City of Seattle, that's kind of a new 21 long it would take and what issues you would be looking 21 at to develop a Compliance Filing within 60 days? avenue to me, but I wasn't thinking of that, but what 22 22 percentage of customers have you done any screening yet 23 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think maybe what I 23 to see how many would qualify? would add to that line of answer would be that we do 24 THE WITNESS: So what I do know is that in have this pricing model out there. Other parties have 25 Page 432 Page 430 **ENGLERT FNGI FRT** the pricing model and what feeds into it, there are 1 had access to it and would have access to it in the numbers and assumptions about what that -- I'm trying to future, or a similar pricing model. And so I think as use the right term -- what that available market is. 3 the data flowed into that, it would be -- I would say 3 I think to go deeper into that, there may that it would be familiar to the various parties. 5 have to be a bench request on that. I don't know, other 5 COMMISSIONER JONES: So are you confident than those numbers were considered, those numbers are in that you, working with Mr. McCulloch and the purchase 6 7 the pricing model. I don't know the percentages. 7 team, could get a Compliance Filing to the Commission 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: Counsel, would this be 8 within 60 days? characterized by you as a confidential number? What I'd 9 THE WITNESS: I do think that's reasonable. 9 like is some gauge or indicator of percentages or 10 COMMISSIONER JONES: In response, I think, 10 11 numbers of customers. 11 to some questions from Mr. Casey and Mr. Goltz, you MS. CARSON: And that's the numbers of 12 talked about one of the -- both legal bases and tariff customers that would qualify under the credit metrics? 13 bases for this program is that you've had this water 13 COMMISSIONER JONES: Right, whatever process 14 heating rental program in place for a number of years; 14 that is either in place today or will be in place under 15 correct? 15 Schedule 75, how many customers would be eligible, maybe 16 16 THE WITNESS: Correct. broken out by income category. 17 COMMISSIONER JONES: And you base that both 17 MS. CARSON: Sure. And I thought there was 18 18 on the Cole Supreme Court decision and the fact that you testimony on that, but we can verify and respond to have 30,000 plus customers and you're dealing with those 19 19 20 that. 20 customers on a regular basis; right? COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. 21 THE WITNESS: Correct. 21 22 JUDGE KOPTA: That will be Bench Request 22 COMMISSIONER JONES: Would you not agree 23 Number 1. that the water heater program is a month-to-month So you understand what the question is? program? It is not a long-term commitment from the 24 MS. CARSON: I believe so. So the number of 25 customer; correct? Or is it?

Page 433 Page 435 **ENGLERT ENGLERT** Do you remember that line of questioning? THE WITNESS: I think that the pricing that 1 2 THE WITNESS: I do. we've put forward here, I mean we saw that as having 3 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And I understood you that known price last for the term of that customer. I think what is different on the current program is that 4 to say that that could be part of this leased program. 5 Did I understand you correctly? 5 that price for the current water heater service can 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, I think I suggested that change as a result of a general rate case or some other 7 proceeding. it's a possibility. I didn't see anything that would 7 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: But can the customer 8 preclude that. But there may be other -- all those call your customer department and terminate the program other components or issues that would also allow the at any time, either equipment failure or because the possibility that we wouldn't want to do that, our 10 10 customer either sells the house or is dissatisfied with 11 11 customers wouldn't want that. the equipment? 12 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So the basis for the 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, I agree with that. 13 lease program, as I understand in your testimony and in 13 14 COMMISSIONER JONES: So would that be 14 your discussion about Cole and the statutes, is that the different under the Lease Solutions program under the 15 lease program, similar to the water -- the current 15 rental program, is that it's based on "in connection Schedule 75 proposed and the 15-year commitment? 16 16 17 THE WITNESS: I think the customer is 17 with" providing the utility service; is that correct? The words "in connection with" or in connection with the allowed to stop the service upon equipment failure. So 18 18 I think that's similar. 19 utility service in the statute? 19 COMMISSIONER JONES: So you would point us 20 THE WITNESS: I think that's true. 20 21 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: I can point you to to -- I think you were discussing that with Mr. Casey 21 before -- Schedule 75, Original Sheet 75-P? 22 your testimony if you'd like. 22 THE WITNESS: Yes. You got there quicker 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember that. 23 24 MR. CASEY: Can you point me to his 24 than I did. 25 25 COMMISSIONER JONES: So this would be your testimony? Page 434 Page 436 **FNGI FRT FNGI FRT** THE WITNESS: 21 of rebuttal? answer to if a customer is dissatisfied or if there is 1 an equipment failure? Although this is the sole remedy 2 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: It was in your for the customer. But this is your answer to my 3 rebuttal testimony, yes. I think it's Page 20. Starts 3 question? on Page 20 at the bottom and goes on to the top of Page 4 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. 21: "The Commission has jurisdiction over 'all COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. That's all I 6 charges... by any gas company... for gas... or for any service rendered or to be rendered in connection 7 have. therewith." 8 JUDGE KOPTA: All right, thank you. 8 9 9 One clarification on the bench request. I'm So your discussion is the fact that this lease service is in connection with gas service; 10 going to make sure that I picked up on this correctly. 10 11 Do you also have information by income level 11 correct? 12 to ensure that the eligibility criteria apply to low THE WITNESS: That is correct. 13 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So can you explain to income as opposed to -- you don't have any? I'm looking 13 at Mr. McCulloch who is shaking his head. So you don't 14 me how windows and insulation and doors would be in 14 have information at that level? 15 connection with a gas service? 15 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I cannot think of a 16 MR. McCULLOCH: Not to that level of specificity. 17 direct connection, but I also recall that entire line of 17 18 JUDGE KOPTA: Okay, all right; thank you. 18 questioning started with a reference to Mr. Wigen, his Commissioner Rendahl? testimony about whether windows and doors would be an 19 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good afternoon. 20 20 appropriate conservation service. So I could have been THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. 21 thinking about that. 21 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Well, that was the 22 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So in your 22 conversation you were having with Mr. Casey, you were next question I had. So whether or not you would agree talking about a hypothetical that if the company were to that it is in connection with gas service, it could be a 24 offer windows and doors or insulation. part of a conservation program; correct?

Page 437 Page 439 **ENGLERT ENGLERT** THE WITNESS: The windows and doors? And I'm wondering, if I were to ask you what 1 1 2 the estimated regulatory fees in 2016, '17 and '18 would 2 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And insulation. 3 THE WITNESS: And insulation. It could be. be, would those be numbers similar to those that you 4 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And that would be an offered in the Data Request, meaning \$1,365 in 2016, entirely different tariff service? It would fall under 5 \$11,519 in 2017, and \$20,485 in 2018? 5 THE WITNESS: So those numbers were the Commission's -- under your conservation tariff and 6 7 under the conservation options that you provide, and produced, those estimates were produced using that 7 then fall within the various requirements that are pricing model and the assumptions. So I think that is 8 subject to the conservation tariff; correct? 9 9 THE WITNESS: Correct. CHAIRMAN DANNER: That's your best guess of 10 10 what the regulatory fees would be? 11 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. You also 11 mentioned in your response to questions with Mr. Goltz 12 THE WITNESS: Correct. For just Schedule 12 about an easier path being -- whether an easier path 13 75, obviously. 13 CHAIRMAN DANNER: For Schedule 75. And so 14 would be an unregulated service. And I recall your 14 answer to be that you weren't -- it was not an easier 15 if there were to be consumer protection issues, other 15 issues that are requiring the time, attention and path, and then referenced merger commitments. 16 16 17 So that leads me to a question about ring 17 resources of the Commission that go over and above those amounts, those would be the costs that would be fencing. And you may not be the appropriate witness for 18 18 that, but since you mentioned merger commitments, I'm socialized to other ratepayers? Or how would those 19 19 costs be covered by the Commission? 20 going to ask you. 20 21 THE WITNESS: Covered by the Commission? 21 So there has been testimony about how this 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, the Commission Staff 22 service would not be subsidized by other customers. So can you direct me to any part of your testimony or other 23 would be the ones that would be putting in work. And 23 witnesses that provide for how specifically the company assuming they didn't stop when the \$1,365 was spent and 24 25 they continued, how would other expenses be covered? 25 plans to ring fence the ratepayers, general ratepayer Page 440 Page 438 **ENGLERT ENGLERT** from the administrative billing program development and 1 THE WITNESS: Well, I think maybe I'm customer service clause from this program? How 2 stating the obvious, but PSE's overall regulatory fees specifically will other customers not be subsidizing 3 are much greater than that, so. 3 this program? 4 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Right, okay. And you 5 THE WITNESS: And I think that's why we mentioned the gas compression service as a point of provided the pricing model. And there have been Data 6 comparison, and that's another service that is under 6 7 Requests on the subject of how overheads are allocated, 7 rate-based. 8 how development costs -- I believe we've talked about 8 Are you aware, are there sufficient software development and things like that. And I think 9 customers right now that that service is paying for 9 10 that has been part of the discovery process. 10 itself or are there costs there are being covered by the 11 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: And have all of the 11 ratepayers at this time? responses to those Data Requests been submitted in the 12 THE WITNESS: So I think there may be one record either by the Company or other parties and been 13 customer that is either in the process or has signed up 13 14 admitted? 14 recently. And I think just to review how those rates THE WITNESS: I do not know. are created, we take those costs that are associated 15 15 COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: So those wouldn't be 16 16 with that particular facility and put that into that before us for review if they're not in the record? 17 model or that pricing model, if you will. 17 18 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 18 So that's how we take all those costs and COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Okay. That's all I 19 that customer's monthly or overall --19 20 have. 20 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. So that program is JUDGE KOPTA: Chairman? 21 basically covering its expenses? 21 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: First, even though the 22 THE WITNESS: We believe so, yes.

24

regulatory fees.

questioning from Mr. Goltz was beyond the scope of

SMACNA's interests, I am concerned and interested in

23

24

CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And then I wanted

to get back to the tariff language itself, because you

were talking about how these various provisions are

Page 441 Page 443 **ENGLERT** STEELE / ENGLERT working together. And I wanted to make sure that -overrules a general. And so I have questions about 2 because I see it as potentially having tariff terms that that. are in conflict with one another, and I wanted you to 3 So what you're telling me is that you don't comfort me that that's not the case. 4 think there's a conflict; is that what I'm hearing you 4 5 5 It says that in M, for example, that Puget saying? THE WITNESS: I don't believe there is. And will inspect the equipment and will keep it operational. 6 7 And in Q it says that Puget will replace it if the 7 that certainly isn't our intention. deficiencies are not due to the customer's own actions. 8 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you; that's all I 8 There's other places that says that the customer himself 9 9 have. or herself has to inspect it -- and this is in O -- has JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Because the 10 10 11 to inspect it twice a year and then notify Puget within 11 Commission inquired about the information on Exhibit ten days. And then there's V, of course, which is all 12 EEE-13, I'm going to admit that into the record. I 12 the "as is" language. And Mr. Wigen talked about some 13 think that will make it clearer. Since you read it into 13 14 of the deficiencies or problems that may come from 14 the record there's no reason not to. manufacturer's warranties. 15 MR. GOLTZ: Is that now in my win column or 15 So I just want to make sure that we don't 16 not? (Laughter.) 16 17 have some language that's canceling out other language. 17 CHAIRMAN DANNER: It's beyond the scope. I mean, if a customer doesn't inspect equipment twice a 18 18 (Laughter.) year, and I'm speaking as a homeowner who probably 19 JUDGE KOPTA: Redirect? 19 should get down in the basement more often, the question 20 20 21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION I have is, are you going to say, hey, you haven't done 21 your part, therefore we're not going to inspect and keep BY MR. STEELE: 22 it operational or we're not going to replace it because 23 Q. Mr. Englert, earlier today Mr. Casey asked you it's due to your actions, you didn't inspect it 24 about Schedules 200 to 299. 24 regularly? 25 A. Correct, he did. 25 Page 442 Page 444 **ENGLERT** STEELE / ENGLERT Q. Are there other reasons why PSE's proposed lease I mean, how are we going to make sure the customer is protected when you have this language that 2 service couldn't be offered under those schedules? you say works together but I see it as being a potential 3 A. I think as was mentioned, but maybe it was a 3 conflict? 4 different context, that those -- the cost recovery of 4 5 THE WITNESS: We had many groups look at those schedules is done under Schedule 120 for both gas this language, and I think we put forth our best faith and electric, and all customers are paying for those 7 effort to make sure that this all worked together. 7 conservation services because those conservation 8 I think if there's something that's here services are used by all customers. I think with these rate schedules, it's very specific to the participating that -- if there's something specific that can be made 9 9 more clear, I think we're open to that. But it is our 10 customers. 10 11 intention to offer excellent customer service and to 11 Q. Can you explain how the program costs -- which make these things work together. 12 ratepayers will bear the program costs? And when I was following your exchange with 13 A. We've designed this, and I think the pricing 13 Malcolm, I had the same similar thought as 14 model reflects, that the participating customers on this 14 Mr. McCulloch, that that Disclaimer of Warranties can program will pay for those costs. 15 15 Q. What about in the context of a general rate still work with what's in there in terms of the 16 16 maintenance and that we will replace a unit. So I --17 case? 17 18 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Okay. And I don't have 18 A. Because these rates and these costs are being any reason to disbelieve you; I do think that you want recovered through this schedule, it's my understanding, 19 19 to have these things work together. 20 then, that that would not occur in a general rate case I think the guestion is, when I read these 21 by design. 21 22 things and I kind of apply the statutory construction 22 Q. Will PSE remove those costs from the general that lawyers apply, and I see that there's general 23 rate case?

language and there's specific language, and sometimes

the specific is the way you define the general or it

24

25

A. I believe we would.

MR. STEELE: No further questions, Your

Page 445 Page 447 **GOLTZ / MARCELIA** 1 Honor. JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you, Mr. Steele. And 2 1 A. Yes, I do. thank you, Mr. Englert; we appreciate your testimony 2 Q. Looking at the final three, that is to say 4 today and you're excused. MRM-7, 8 and 9, and those are responses to either -- the 5 We will now take our lunch break, and we'll first one, 7, is a response to a UTC Staff Data Request, 6 discuss off the record when to come back. and the other is various schedules of PSE on tax 5 7 (Lunch break.) adjustments; is that correct? 8 JUDGE KOPTA: Let's be back on the record. 7 A. Yes. Particularly 8 and 9 are tariff -- or We are returning from our lunch break and resuming our 9 8 schedules that we filed with the Commission. evidentiary hearings continuing with witnesses for Puget 10 9 Q. And maybe these don't need to be exhibits if 11 Sound Energy. they're tariffs and they can be noticed, but I would 10 Would you call your next and last witness, I offer them. 12 11 believe. 12 But going back to MRM-7, does this generally 13 MS. CARSON: That's correct. PSE calls Matt discuss the applicability of state and local utility 13 14 14 taxes to the Lease Solutions program? Marcelia. 15 15 A. Yes. MATT MARCELIA, 16 16 MR. GOLTZ: I would like to offer MRM-7, 8 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 17 17 and 9. 18 18 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Steele? 19 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Marcelia's testimony and 19 MR. STEELE: Your Honor, as we've stated 20 exhibits have been admitted so he is available for today and Monday, we believe that tax issues are beyond cross. I believe Mr. Casev has waived cross. 21 21 the role of SMACNA as an Intervenor in this case. We That leaves you, Ms. Gafken. 22 22 think tax issues are better handled by Staff and Public MS. GAFKEN: My understanding is our 23 Counsel, and that SMACNA's role in this case is to cross-exhibits are already in the record, so I also have 24 provide its expertise as a contractor in the no questions for Mr. Marcelia. 25 25 marketplace, not as a tax expert. Page 446 Page 448 GOLTZ / MARCELIA GOLTZ / MARCELIA MR. GOLTZ: Well, relating to the market JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Moving right 1 2 2 issues, we've been having a theme from two days ago and along. 3 3 today our argument that these two systems, the regulated Mr. Goltz? 4 MR. GOLTZ: My understanding is that our system and the non-regulated system, just don't fit very cross-exhibits are not in the record. 5 well together. 6 6 And what we have in the tax system is a MR. STEELE: That's correct, Your Honor. 7 JUDGE KOPTA: That's my understanding as 7 burden, especially -- I'm not just talking about tax 8 well. sales but I'm talking about other taxes like local utility tax, light and property taxes, those are passed 9 through the customers on a different tariff. So when 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GOLTZ: 11 one looks at the tariff rate in the schedule for the 11 Q. Good afternoon. leased equipment, there will be a figure there, \$21, 12 \$28. Those figures do not reflect the burden of any A. Good afternoon. 13 13 14 Q. What I'd like to do is basically three things; I 14 other state tax that's going to be passed through. 15 That is different than, as Mr. Fluetsch 15 hope to be very brief. First, I'm going to ask you to identify several of the cross-exhibits that I've 16 communicated in his testimony, that is different than 16 submitted, you can identify them, and then I'm hoping someone in the competitive market. Granted, the 17 17 18 they can be admitted. 18 competitive market won't be passing through -- will be charging separately a state and local sales tax, as 19 A. Okay. 20 Q. Second, I'll ask you a guestion about the 20 would Puget Sound Energy on every single lease payment, property tax passthrough tariff, and I'm going to ask but contrary to that, local utility taxes and property 21 you a question or two about local utility taxes. taxes would be passed through separately stated, whereas 22 23 A. Okay. for Mr. Fluetsch's company or any other SMACNA contractor, those taxes are just embodied into the rate. 24 Q. So, first, do you have the cross-exhibits in

front of you?

So there's that competitive difference.

Page 449 Page 451 GOLTZ / MARCELIA GOLTZ / MARCELIA And second, the other point is, it is just Q. Through a separate tariff schedule? 1 2 2 more complicated. We heard this morning from A. Correct, Schedule 140. 3 Mr. Englert that, oh, this is really a simple system. Q. And so in the Lease Solutions proposed tariff where there are several pieces of equipment with monthly It is not simple, and this is just one more example of 4 4 lease rates, in addition to that, taxes other than --5 that complexity. 5 6 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, Sally Brown for other taxes would be separately passed on to the 7 customer? Commission Staff. 8 I would add only that given these exhibits 8 A. Other taxes? are -- or Exhibit 7 is a PSE Response to Commission 9 9 Q. I'm sorry. Taxes, period, would be passed -the burden of taxes would be passed on to the Lease Staff Data Request Number 68, and 8 and 9 are tariffs, 10 11 as Mr. Goltz pointed out, which can be noticed by the 11 Solutions customers? Agency, Commission Staff would be pleased to offer 12 A. The Lease Solutions customers will bear their 12 Exhibit 7 given its admission by party opponent PSE. share of the tax burdens relative to the leasing 13 13 And Mr. Steele has just stated that it would be 14 appropriate for Commission Staff to address the taxation 15 Q. Right. So there will be separately stated sales 15 issue. 16 tax? 16 17 MS. GAFKEN: And I'll weigh in as well just 17 A. Correct. Sales tax will be separately stated. 18 18 quickly. yes. So the Response to Staff Data Request Number 19 Q. And in the jurisdiction or jurisdictions in 19 which there's a local utility tax, that burden would be 20 68 was offered by Public Counsel as Exhibit MRM-3, and that's been admitted into the record. So it's in. That 21 passed on to Lease Solutions customers? 21 22 22 doesn't answer the question about the two tariffs, but. A. Yes. And that would only be the City of JUDGE KOPTA: That was the question I was 23 23 Bellingham, but yes. 24 going to ask, if there's a distinction between MRM-3 and Q. And then in addition, there will be a property MRM-7. Apparently there is not, they're the same. So tax burden that we passed on to the Lease Solutions Page 450 Page 452 GOLTZ / MARCELIA **GOLTZ / MARCELIA** MRM-3 is already part of the record. We'll just assume customer; is that correct? that MRM-7 is withdrawn or not offered. 2 A. Yes. Under Schedule 140, our agreement, which 2 MR. GOLTZ: That's fine. 3 the Commission approved, was to collect property taxes 3 4 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Or denied. based on the actual tax paid, no more, no less. So that 5 JUDGE KOPTA: Well, I'm taking the knife 5 burden is still in place. before the guillotine. And 7 and 8 are just tariffs 6 When we do our Schedule 140 analysis every year, 7 that we can take official notice of. I think it's 7 which comes before this Commission, we would take the 8 easier to have them in the record just for reference part of that tax that's relative to the leasing, and that would go over and be collected through Malcolm's 9 purposes. 10 Schedule 75. 10 So I think that given Staff's -- excuse me, it should be MRM-8 and MRM-9. Given Staff's offer, I 11 Q. But the price in Schedule 75 does not reflect 11 think that pretty much takes care of the objection. And the property tax burden, does it? so I will admit MRM-8 and MRM-9. 13 A. That's -- well, in the pricing model there's no 13 MR. GOLTZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 property tax built into the revised pricing model, so 14 not coming from the Schedule 140 would be an adder to 15 BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So Mr. Marcelia, if you could turn to MRM-6. 16 that. But it's not like it's going to be separately 16 Do you recognize that as an exhibit that you --17 stated on a bill. Because today Schedule 140 is not 17 as a Response to SMACNA Data Request 25? 18 separately stated on a bill, it's embedded into the 18 other tariffs that make up the bill. 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. And you prepared that response? 20 Q. So I know you're a tax guy. 20 21 A. Correct. How did you guess? It's that obvious? 21 A. Yes. Q. So before we get to that detail, as I understand 22 (Laughter.) 22 it, the property tax burdens on Puget Sound Energy get 23 Q. So if I'm a customer of PSE and I'm thinking of

passed through to its customers?

A. That is correct.

25

24 25

tariff --

leasing a HVAC system from PSE under the Lease Solutions

	Page 453		Page 455
	GOLTZ / MARCELIA		GOLTZ / MARCELIA
1	A. Yes.	1	\$59 and property tax is \$1 (Court reporter
2	Q and I'm one of a minority of PSE customers	2	interruption.) Sorry, I'm excited. (Laughter.) I'll
3	that's actually read all of your tariffs	3	try to restrain my enthusiasm. I was making a really
4	A. Okay.	4	good point and I can't remember what it was.
5	Q and let's say you're the customer service	5	Oh, yeah, the nomenclature. I don't know how
6	rep. And I say, so how is this property tax passthrough	6	exactly to understand the nomenclature that you're
7	going to impact me if I get this HVAC system?	7	using, because, well, if the price would go to \$60, we
8	A. Well, I think the response would be along the	8	either say it's \$60 including the property tax or it's
9	lines that we pass along the property tax that we pay,	9	\$60 and then we need to add the property tax. However
10	so it's a direct passthrough, no more, no less, of our	10	you say that, we're going to recover the property tax.
11	actual property tax payment.	11	Q. Right. And I think I heard you say that the
12	Does that answer your question?	12	burden of the property tax did not get built into the
13	Q. Well, then how is that if the price in the	13	pricing model.
14	tariff, and I don't have it in front of me, but let's	14	A. Let me give one clarification. In the initial
15	say the price is \$60 a month for this piece of	15	submission of the pricing model it was in there, and in
16	equipment.	16	the revision to it, it's been removed out.
17	A. Okay.	17	MS. CARSON: If I could just clarify. It
18	Q. Does that \$60 a month include the property tax	18	has not been removed out.
19	passthrough or would it be in addition to that?	19	THE WITNESS: Oh, it hasn't?
20	A. I guess it depends on how we would instruct the	20	MS. CARSON: We said that we would remove it
21	call center reps to respond to that type of a question.	21	in a Compliance Filing because I don't want to
22	We could say it's 60 plus a dollar for property tax or	22	testify
23	we could say it's \$61. I don't know exactly how we	23	JUDGE KOPTA: Too late. (Laughter.)
24	would script that conversation, but certainly we would	24	MS. CARSON: It was originally included, and
25	embed the property tax in the communication to	25	
	Paga 454		Dogo 4E6
	Page 454		Page 456
	GOLTZ / MARCELIA		GOLTZ / MARCELIA
1	_	1	_
1 2	GOLTZ / MARCELIA	1 2	GOLTZ / MARCELIA
	GOLTZ / MARCELIA customers. It's not hidden.		GOLTZ / MARCELIA include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff
2	GOLTZ / MARCELIA customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60?	2	GOLTZ / MARCELIA include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added
2	GOLTZ / MARCELIA customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough	2 3	GOLTZ / MARCELIA include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140.
2 3 4	GOLTZ / MARCELIA customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what	2 3 4	GOLTZ / MARCELIA include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the
2 3 4 5	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the	2 3 4 5	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony?
2 3 4 5 6	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or	2 3 4 5 6	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in
2 3 4 5 6 7	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	GOLTZ / MARCELIA include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the life of the lease, it might be 18 years, and then in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden? A. It will be either embedded in it or in addition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the life of the lease, it might be 18 years, and then in addition to that there will be modest property tax	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden? A. It will be either embedded in it or in addition to it. Property taxes will be recovered.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the life of the lease, it might be 18 years, and then in addition to that there will be modest property tax passthrough that's going to vary from year to year?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden? A. It will be either embedded in it or in addition to it. Property taxes will be recovered. So, for example, Schedule 140 today kind of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the life of the lease, it might be 18 years, and then in addition to that there will be modest property tax passthrough that's going to vary from year to year? A. That could be one answer. Or I could say that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden? A. It will be either embedded in it or in addition to it. Property taxes will be recovered. So, for example, Schedule 140 today kind of infects a whole bunch of different schedules, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the life of the lease, it might be 18 years, and then in addition to that there will be modest property tax passthrough that's going to vary from year to year? A. That could be one answer. Or I could say that the actual tariff rate is \$59, and when quoting you a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden? A. It will be either embedded in it or in addition to it. Property taxes will be recovered. So, for example, Schedule 140 today kind of infects a whole bunch of different schedules, and whether you say that the number of that schedule has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the life of the lease, it might be 18 years, and then in addition to that there will be modest property tax passthrough that's going to vary from year to year? A. That could be one answer. Or I could say that the actual tariff rate is \$59, and when quoting you a \$60 rate, that's because the property tax is one dollar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden? A. It will be either embedded in it or in addition to it. Property taxes will be recovered. So, for example, Schedule 140 today kind of infects a whole bunch of different schedules, and whether you say that the number of that schedule has already been infected by 140 or whether you say 140 is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the life of the lease, it might be 18 years, and then in addition to that there will be modest property tax passthrough that's going to vary from year to year? A. That could be one answer. Or I could say that the actual tariff rate is \$59, and when quoting you a \$60 rate, that's because the property tax is one dollar. And so I think we're talking about the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden? A. It will be either embedded in it or in addition to it. Property taxes will be recovered. So, for example, Schedule 140 today kind of infects a whole bunch of different schedules, and whether you say that the number of that schedule has already been infected by 140 or whether you say 140 is an adder to it —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	customers. It's not hidden. Q. What I'm saying, though, if the tariff rate says 60, is the tariff rate plus the property tax passthrough greater than 60? A. I'm not quite sure how to answer that. Certainly we will recover the property tax based on what we've actually paid. Whether I would use the nomenclature that that is part of the tariff rate or whether that's an adder to the tariff rate, I don't know exactly the distinction there. But certainly the collection from the customer would include whatever that base level rate is, which is constant over the life, plus the property tax, which is going to move a tiny bit over the life. Q. Right. So I think I hear you saying that, under my hypothetical, the tariff rate is \$60 a month for the life of the lease, it might be 18 years, and then in addition to that there will be modest property tax passthrough that's going to vary from year to year? A. That could be one answer. Or I could say that the actual tariff rate is \$59, and when quoting you a \$60 rate, that's because the property tax is one dollar.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	include it in the pricing model, so what's in the tariff would actually go down a bit and then it would be added on as part of Schedule 140. So there has not been a revision to the pricing model. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Where is that in the testimony? MS. CARSON: I believe that's in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Just trying to clarify. BY MR. GOLTZ: Q. So given what Ms. Carson said, ultimately, and the file prices are defined in the tariff, there will be in addition to that final price a passthrough of some amount to reflect the property tax burden? A. It will be either embedded in it or in addition to it. Property taxes will be recovered. So, for example, Schedule 140 today kind of infects a whole bunch of different schedules, and whether you say that the number of that schedule has already been infected by 140 or whether you say 140 is an adder to it Q. So you're saying that's still a work in

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy Page 457 Page 459 **GOLTZ / MARCELIA** GOLTZ / MARCELIA A. I think we know how we're going to do it. newer, you're perhaps getting rid of a liability. Does 1 2 Obviously the tariff hasn't been finalized, so I guess that improve the value of the house? I don't know. But in that regard it is a work in progress as the entire 3 it's one component to the value of a house, and so the 4 tariff is. 4 value could go up or down. Q. So let me ask this. If I have a furnace in my 5 Q. Up to the homeowner to take that up with the 5 house that I own, that's a fixture, part of the value of 6 assessor? A. Yes. my home; correct? 7 7 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. In rough terms, the property tax burden of a Q. And that value of my home, including the \$10,000 piece of equipment is at least one percent of 9 fixture, forms a basis for the county assessor assessing the value of that equipment; is that correct? 10 10 11 property tax on my home? 11 A. As a rule of thumb, I think that would be A. Yes. 12 accurate if you're talking about a homeowner. For PSE, 12 Q. If I decide to lease instead, I decide I want to that becomes more convoluted because we are centrally 13 13 14 lease my furnace from PSE, will PSE contact the county 14 assessed so our valuation is -- it's a convoluted assessor and say, oh, hey, by the way, Jeff Goltz's home 15 process to calculate that. I would say for PSE, it's 15 is now -- we own the HVAC system, so take something into 16 probably less than one percent, as a rule of thumb. 16 17 account when you assess his property? 17 Q. So speaking of convolution, could you refer to A. PSE is -- no, PSE is not going to tell the 18 MRM-6, which is where I ask the hypothetical about this 18 county assessor that you're leasing it. It's incumbent issue and you responded, saying among other things, it 19 19 20 upon the homeowner to pay the proper -- that their 20 can't be answered simply. houses are valued properly is the homeowner's 21 A. Yes, yes. 21 MR. GOLTZ: So I would like to offer Exhibit responsibility, and they have equipment that would be 22 22 included in there. MRM-6 as a complement to his testimony where it is one 23 23 I think there's a question about the relative --24 more thing where it is not a simple situation, when value of the equipment relative to the size of the Mr. Fluetsch's company, he doesn't pass through property 25 25 Page 458 Page 460 GOLTZ / MARCELIA GOLTZ / MARCELIA house. So, for example, the medium price of a house in taxes, it's just part of the cost of doing business, King County is \$500,000. You're talking about a \$2,000 2 it's part of the tariff. piece of equipment. If your appraisal is accurate to 3 What we see here is very, very complex, and 2/500ths, that's probably an accurate appraisal, because 4 I think that issue of complexity is relevant. So I'd 5 the county assessor doesn't appraise it at a level of 5 like to offer MRM-6. 6 granularity to where a small piece of equipment like THE WITNESS: I would like to respond that 6 7 that would probably change his conclusion. But it is 7 although it is -- he's passing through property tax as 8 incumbent upon the homeowner to make sure that the 8 well as speaking to his rate. Ours is passed through on county assessor is properly valuing their house. a dollar-for-dollar basis. We're talking about almost 9 Q. So you're saying for a median priced home in like geography. I mean, it's not --- we're both passing 10 King County switching from an owned HVAC system to a 11 through property taxes and so we both have a similar 11 leased HVAC system, that homeowner's property tax owed 12 level. 13 13 to the county will not go down? Ours is complex because we're centrally A. I think there's a double negative in there 14 assessed. But coming down to the Schedule 140, all of 14 that's confusing me. That property will not go down? 15 it is right there and it will be allocated over. So I Q. In other words, if the owner of a median priced 16 don't see it as being that -- a point of 16 differentiation. home in King County of \$500,000 starts to lease an HVAC 17 17 system from PSE, given what you said about the 18 MR. GOLTZ: Well, my point is that it's 18

19

21

22

24

leasing -- or the assessing practices of the King County

Assessor, that person's property tax owed to the

assessor will not go down because of the lease?

A. I don't know if his value would go up or down,

value of the property. If you have a very old water

because in some cases leasing is going to increase the

heater or HVAC and you're replacing it with something

19

20

21

complicated. If a customer wishes to call PSE's

consumer representative to try to figure this out.

you're in for a long conversation. That's my point in

double burden, because one's property tax is not going

Page: 36 (457 - 460)

offering this. In addition to the point that there's a

potential, if not a reality, of whether it's in effect a

to go down, the assessor is not going to know if I'm

Page 461 Page 463 GOLTZ / MARCELIA GOLTZ / MARCELIA leasing a HVAC system or not. For a water heater, no that jurisdiction. 1 big deal. For an HVAC system, that can get pricey. Q. I apologize. What I meant to say was, there's a So I'd offer MRM-6. 3 distinction that I believe can be made between the 4 JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Steele? 4 utility revenue and non-utility revenue in the tax MR. STEELE: Is this an oral argument we're 5 5 context. having? I mean, Mr. Goltz is making legal arguments to 6 A. Correct, there is. 7 the Commission. It's inappropriate. Q. And that distinction was argued in the court 7 8 JUDGE KOPTA: He's responding to your case you talked about regarding Bellingham that was objection and perhaps -decided in 2011? 9 MR. STEELE: Hasn't the objection been 10 A. Yes, and that court case is specific to the City 10 11 settled? 11 of Bellingham. JUDGE KOPTA: Not with respect to this 12 Q. Right. And that Court of Appeals Division I 12 particular exhibit. decided that PSE's non-utility revenue, including its 13 13 14 MR. STEELE: I mean, I think our position, revenues from its existing lease program, would be we stated throughout that Mr. Goltz, I believe he subject to Bellingham's municipal utility tax? 15 understands tax issues and believes he'd like to argue A. The case did not hinge on leasing; that was not 16 16 17 them here. But again, I think it's beyond the role of 17 a main point of the case. But there is a reference to rent in that decision, so the rent is subject to the SMACNA in this case to provide expert analysis as to how 18 18 taxes are going to impact PSE and PSE's leasing service. utility tax in Bellingham. 19 19 20 Now, I think that's the wrong decision, but that 20 I think that's not their role in this case. 21 is the way -- that's a decision that we have in the City And I appreciate that Mr. Goltz has -- believes he has 21 experience in this area, but I don't think that's 22 of Bellingham. 22 SMACNA's role in this case. 23 Q. And you stated on Page 4 of your rebuttal 23 JUDGE KOPTA: I appreciate that, but we've testimony, and I'll paraphrase this, that the tax laws 24 had rather extensive discussion, and I believe it's been are subject to change both because they may be amended 25 Page 462 Page 464 GOLTZ / MARCELIA **GOLTZ / MARCELIA** beneficial both from the point of view of your witness but also because of change in interpretations; is that as well as from argument from Mr. Goltz. So I will 2 true? 3 admit this exhibit. A. Yes. 3 BY MR. GOLTZ: 4 Q. So it is possible that other local jurisdictions 4 5 Q. So finally, one final question. that have utility tax could, either through changing You mentioned in your testimony and also here them or through varying differing interpretations, could 6 6 7 this morning that a number of localities impose a local 7 come to the same conclusion that Bellingham did? 8 utility tax that is different from the state public 8 A. That is possible, but I think it's more likely 9 utility tax? that Bellingham will change and become more common, 9 10 because I think the Bellingham decision is outside the MS. CARSON: Objection; misstates the testimony. 11 law. It's an illogical conclusion to apply a retail tax 11 12 JUDGE KOPTA: If you can ask him what he and utility tax to the same transaction. That just testified to. 13 doesn't make any sense. 13 BY MR. GOLTZ: 14 14 But anybody who enters into that transaction, Q. Am I correct that a number of local 15 15 because of the way the tax laws should be administered, jurisdictions in the state of Washington impose a local 16 it should be common to all. So whoever is engaged in utility tax that is different from the state utility 17 activities similar to what PSE is -- (Court reporter 17 18 tax? interruption.) Whoever engages in a transaction similar A. There are 106 cities where PSE offers service to what PSE is engaged in would bear the same utility 19 19 that have a municipal utility tax. 20 tax if it meets the definition of utility revenue. Q. That's exactly. And you testified that only 21 You don't have to be a regulated utility, in 21

22

24

Bellingham's local municipal utility tax is passed

through to the utility customers in Bellingham?

A. That is true. Of every municipal utility tax,

25 it is passed through only to the people who live within

22

2425

quotes, to be subject to a utility tax; you only have to

MR. GOLTZ: I have no further questions.

Page: 37 (461 - 464)

have revenue that meets the definition of utility

revenue in that jurisdiction.

Page: 38 (465 - 468)

Page 465 Page 467 **MARCELIA MARCELIA** JUDGE KOPTA: Good. That's about as much But it's going to be on the Balance Sheet. 1 2 COMMISSIONER JONES: I thought it was that. tax as I can take. (Laughter.) 3 So it's the Balance Sheet, you're on the Balance Sheet, 3 Mr. King, do you have any questions? 4 MR. KING: No, I do not, Your Honor, 4 but where it appears and what it's called on the Balance although I'm starting to feel more like I'm at Senate 5 Sheet is what you refer to as nomenclature? 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Ways and Means. (Laughter.) 6 7 7 JUDGE KOPTA: All right, then, questions COMMISSIONER JONES: But you say the results from the bench? Anything from up here? 8 between the treatment using ASC 842 instead of FERC 104, 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: We won't prolong this 9 economically the results would be roughly the same? 9 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, correct. 10 any more on the tax side. I have a few accounting 11 questions. Good to see you, Mr. Marcelia. 11 COMMISSIONER JONES: Let's turn to Page 14, Could you please turn to Page 1 on of your 12 please, Lines 15 through the bottom there. 12 testimony, which is MRM-1T. 13 Are you there? 13 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm there. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER JONES: Lines 8 and 9. And I 15 COMMISSIONER JONES: I just have a few 15 have read our Staff Policy Memo as well as your 16 clarification questions here. 16 17 testimony. 17 When you say, for example, PSE already leases transformers, substations, and space on utility 18 So I take it that in Line 9 that your 18 poles to customers, could you go through each one of conclusion on this GI-19 is that all leasing activities 19 19 would be capital in nature, would be capitalized. those and give me an example? 20 20 21 Where do you lease a transformer to a 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER JONES: So that means that 22 customer? 22 whatever Mr. McCulloch has in his business plan in the 23 THE WITNESS: These are some of the things cap X portion of the business plan, you would record that would have been included in Mr. Englert's exhibits 24 of some of the things that we do. I am drawing a blank this to this account and they would be capitalized? Page 466 Page 468 **MARCELIA MARCELIA** THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. The units, the as to who leases the transformers. I know some of our equipment would be capitalized. 2 2 larger customers lease these types of things from us. COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. And then in the 3 3 COMMISSIONER JONES: Probably a large question of Lines 11 through 13, you prefer FERC Account 4 industrial or commercial customer? 5 104 to be used for this leasing program; right? 5 THE WITNESS: Yeah. A Boeing or Microsoft THE WITNESS: Yes, that is correct. 6 6 or somebody along those lines. COMMISSIONER JONES: If you could turn to 7 7 COMMISSIONER JONES: And then what about a 8 Page 11, Line 7 through 10. Seven and 8, so here we get substation? I think we had an exchange with Mr. Englert into Ms. O'Connell's testimony where she advocates using 9 on that, but I really didn't understand him precisely. 9 ASC. What does ASC stand for, for the court reporter? 10 What would be an example of a substation 10 11 THE WITNESS: Of course you would ask me. 11 that's being leased? Again, would it be a large It's the Accounting Standard Codification. It replaces 12 industrial customer? what was referred to as the old FASV numbering system. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, yes. 13 COMMISSIONER JONES: So you seem to 14 COMMISSIONER JONES: And then on space on 14 dispute -- and I'll ask one final question on ASC 842. 15 utility poles, is this what we refer to in the telecom 15 But what do you mean by geography and nomenclature here? world as pole attachments? 16 16 You were just in a discussion with Mr. Goltz on both 17 THE WITNESS: Correct. 17 18 geography and nomenclature. 18 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. And in the next What do you mean by that? 19 sentence you talk about the existing rental program. I 19 20 THE WITNESS: By geography I mean the line 20 assume you mean the existing rental program for hot item where an item would occur in the Income Statement 21 water heaters? 21 or in the Balance Sheet. So these would occur -- in the 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do, that's correct. 22 context of this conversation, it would occur on the 23 COMMISSIONER JONES: So right now you are Balance Sheet. And then it's a matter of geography as 24 using what treatment for the existing water heater 24 to where exactly on the Balance Sheet it would fall. program? Is it GAAP or is it FERC 104 you're using?

Page 469 Page 471 **MARCELIA CEBULKO** THE WITNESS: We're actually using FERC 101. 1 all I have. 2 It's going into our gas distribution area. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Redirect? COMMISSIONER JONES: And then what are you 3 3 MS. CARSON: No redirect. 4 saying here? You're saying that under ASC 42, because 4 JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you, Mr. Marcelia; thank it's so new and this program would be new, 5 you for your testimony. We appreciate you coming and 5 hypothetically if we approve it, that some of PSE's you are excused. 7 7 current operating leases would be recharacterized as That I believe concludes the Company's capital leases for GAAP purposes only. 8 witnesses. Am I correct? 8 So my question is, I'm confused now between 9 MR. STEELE: Yes, Your Honor. 9 GAAP purposes and FERC purposes. You seem to be saying JUDGE KOPTA: Then we move to Commission 10 10 earlier you prefer FERC treatment, and now you're saying 11 11 Staff. Would you call your next witness. GAAP may be the way to go. 12 MS. BROWN: Commission Staff calls Bradley 12 THE WITNESS: So I didn't mean to confuse. 13 Cebulko, please. 13 14 When PSE issues audited financial statements that are 14 MS. CARSON: Your Honor, we have no filed with the FCC, the presentation of those statements questions for Mr. Cebulko. 15 15 needs to comply with GAAP, and in this case ASC 842 when 16 16 17 that becomes active. So it is likely that regardless of 17 BRADLEY CEBULKO. the FERC or WTC requirements, we would have to present 18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 18 these leases as capital leases for GAAP purposes. That 19 19 doesn't necessarily mean that we would present those in 20 20 JUDGE KOPTA: I understand that the Company our FERC Form 1 or in filings to this Commission as in 21 has no cross for you. 21 that same fashion. 22 Do we have any questions from the bench? 22 Today there are some things that we report 23 Mr. Jones? 23 them differently for GAAP as for WTC, and so, but I was 24 COMMISSIONER JONES: Mr. Cebulko, welcome. 24 just kind of trying to point that out here. I don't 25 Good afternoon. Page 470 Page 472 **MARCELIA CEBULKO** want to do the GAAP; I want to follow FERC. I think 1 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon. Thank you. it's easier, and that's what we have the infrastructure 2 COMMISSIONER JONES: I have a few questions 3 to do. 3 about energy efficiency. COMMISSIONER JONES: No, I'm very aware that 4 THE WITNESS: Sure. 4 there are two different forms of accounting; there's 5 COMMISSIONER JONES: Were you in the room regulatory accounting and there's GAAP accounting. 6 yesterday when I questioned Dr. Faruqui? 6 7 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. THE WITNESS: Yes, I was. 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: And you're saying here 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. And a little bit 9 that you prefer regulatory accounting. But would this on the Commission burden and work burden. 9 10 10 have any impact on either the lease rates for the So I think you discuss energy efficiency in 11 existing water heater program or the rate base of Puget 11 at least a little bit on Page 35 or thereabouts. Maybe Sound Energy for these 33,000 water heaters if you you can refer me to the -- don't you broadly make the recharacterize them as capital? 13 assertion that this proposed program would do very 13 14 THE WITNESS: No, it wouldn't. Again, the 14 little or not much at all to increase the energy geography could slide around, but ultimately they're efficiency benchmarks of the Company overall? 15 15 still going to be on the Balance Sheet, they'll still be 16 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm quite uncertain included in the rate base. Because the definition of 17 about the magnitude of the benefits. Certainly there 17 rate base -- GAAP is irrelevant for the definition of 18 are some appliances that will issue energy efficiency 18 rate base, so we would still follow Commission guidance savings, but importantly, not all of them. Furthermore, 19 19 as to what is rate base and what is not. they don't guite know how many they're going to install 20 COMMISSIONER JONES: No, you're absolutely of energy-efficient versus non-energy-efficient. 21 21 correct on the FCC has no authority to define what rate 22 If you look in the Faruqui Benefit Models --22 23 base is. It's the Commission's, FERC and the UTC. I'll stay away from highly confidential information, THE WITNESS: Yes. but, for example, for hot water heaters on the .6 energy 24 25 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay, thank you; that's factor, which is energy-efficient, it's a very small

Page 475

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV Page 473

CEBULKO

1

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

majority, it's a very small amount that they expect. And the .62, which is essentially baseline, if it's a 40-gallon water heater, that is code; if it's 50-gallon, it would be slightly above, but it's not energy-efficient. It's the most common appliance in the market. So that would not achieve energy efficiency savings using our methodology.

2

3

4

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

22

We're quite uncertain about the benefits. The inputs used were based on a survey that we have a lot of questions about. Furthermore, the Company is not guaranteeing that they're going to get these benefits. There's no accountability for getting these benefits. And as we heard today, some of these benefits might accrue in Seattle City Light or Tacoma Power, Snohomish PUD, if they're installing an efficient heat pump in those electric service territories.

COMMISSIONER JONES: So define for me, let's be precise with our words here. So by energy-efficient, are you referring to the NAEC code?

THE WITNESS: So how we do energy efficiency methodology here in the Northwest. Energy-efficient is savings above baseline. Baseline is often code. It's not always code.

COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. So I think you heard Mr. Englert say that energy efficiency, the energy

CEBULKO

COMMISSIONER JONES: So moving on to Dr. Faruqui. You heard my questions and my exchange with him yesterday. So he has a model -- first of all, on the issue of the model, when did you see the model or when did you have full access both to the model, which I think is confidential or highly confidential, we can't get into specifics, but was there a webinar? And then when did you see the full spreadsheet, the full model that's filed?

THE WITNESS: So I don't remember when they first made it available to me, but to your specific question about when the webinar occurred, I checked my calendar as March 8th of this year. And so, yeah, that's the first time I saw it.

COMMISSIONER JONES: And then, but do you agree with Dr. Faruqui's assertion -- I don't have the cite in his testimony, but it's in there. He asserts that you had full access to the model from quite an early date on.

THE WITNESS: I'd say I had it from the beginning of March on.

COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. What do you think of his model? It's called a Societal Benefits Test. That's the way I look at it. It looks at avoided carbon, avoided capacity in the gas transmission system

Page 474

CEBULKO

efficiency is an additionality to the Lease Solutions program, it's not the goal of the program; right?

And so as Staff, if it is not the goal of the program and is not subject to EIA, to the Energy Independence Act, what sort of review would Staff do on either a Compliance Filing or an annual report? Would you review -- what would you review if it is not an energy -- let's say the Commission agrees with them; we approve the tariff and it's not subject to the EIA.

What kind of energy, what we call verification analysis, would you do, if any?

THE WITNESS: I mean, we would always do a cost-benefit analysis, which the Company says is not relevant in this case. They said that they don't need to demonstrate that the costs are less than the benefits because the Company is -- because the customer will determine for themselves. That's contradictory to the purpose of the Commission to establish rates that are fair, just, and reasonable.

But we'd start with the cost-benefit analysis. We'd ask them to demonstrate it, which we 21 don't have. It's not -- generally Staff does not look 23 at one-side analysis that only demonstrates benefits without the cost. So I'm not sure what we would do with 25 it. It would just be there without cost.

Page 476

CEBULKO

if gas, avoided electric, and calculates the benefits only.

THE WITNESS: Sure, the benefits of the assumptions that were put in there. Yeah, the model flows well. He does great work and I have a lot of respect for Dr. Faruqui.

My problem is not so much with the model's outputs as much as it is the inputs, the assumptions. A lot of assumptions that were questionable using the Cocker Fennessy Survey, using vendor feedback to determine how many appliances or what type of appliance would be installed between multiple different types of appliances, those are all not highly documented.

COMMISSIONER JONES: So your conclusion is as an economist, which I think you're trained as, and also in public administration, a model is only good if you have garbage in, garbage out, or if you have good stuff putting in, you get good stuff out. So a lot of your --

THE WITNESS: Sure, but you're --COMMISSIONER JONES: You seem to be -- let me finish.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.

COMMISSIONER JONES: You seem to be saying that the model itself is pretty good and you respect his

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy Page 477 Page 479 **CEBULKO CEBULKO** work, but the data inputs are not necessarily accurate mandatory, but if it's not cost effective to them, they or verifiable or good? are paying for benefits being achieved by 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah. So I have a lot more 3 non-participants. questions about the inputs than I do the model. But I 4 COMMISSIONER JONES: Right. If it's a 4 do have problems with only seeing a benefit analysis 5 voluntary program, one could argue that it is very without looking at the cost. That's not terribly useful 6 different from the cost or from the programs, energy 7 efficiency programs stipulated under the EIA; right? to me. 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. Well, what would 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's right, but I still think the Commission's standards and rules and 9 you substitute for it, let's say if we approve this program? 10 procedures would nonetheless apply to a voluntary 10 THE WITNESS: A Total Resource Cost test at 11 11 program. minimum. I mean, if not a Total Resource Cost test, a 12 COMMISSIONER JONES: Should we look to the 12 simple cost-benefit analysis demonstrating that the RTF, the Regional Technical Forum, and others as we 13 13 benefits to the public are greater than the costs, or 14 develop -- as Staff and the Commission develops a 14 the benefits to the participant are greater than the methodology, hypothetically, if we approve this? 15 15 cost. 16 THE WITNESS: Sure, I would absolutely work 16 17 The cost of financing is significant. And 17 with our usual stakeholders, and particularly how to so you might reduce the volume if you install an incorporate the costs of financing into a cost 18 18 effectiveness test. energy-efficient appliance, you might reduce the volume 19 19 of therms going through or kilowatt hours, but the costs 20 COMMISSIONER JONES: Looking back on this, 20 might be greater and they will impact. 21 this has been a lot of work for you and a lot of work 21 COMMISSIONER JONES: So you're saying that 22 22 for the parties, for Mr. Goltz, and I see everybody we don't necessarily have to use the Total Resource Cost 23 around the table. Do you think this would have been 23 test, but it could be Utility Cost test or many of easier if this had gone to the CRAG or the advisory 24 25 25 the -- and we've had many workshops and discussions on group early on and vetted out and all these objections Page 478 Page 480 **CEBULKO CEBULKO** this, of course, at the Commission, but some sort of or discussions take place there first? 2 cost effectiveness test, in your view, would be THE WITNESS: So I know the Company made necessary? 3 presentations to the CRAG at least once, maybe twice. I 3 THE WITNESS: I think that's standard was not in attendance; I was not assigned to the CRAG at 4 Commission practice. the time. Going through the slides, they weren't very detailed, in depth, and did not appear like they talked 6 COMMISSIONER JONES: So you don't agree with 6 7 Dr. Faruqui that this is a different program than an 7 about the details of the program, yes, but I think 8 energy efficiency program where I think both of us would 8 that's -- not talking about the details of the program agree that the costs of those are socialized or spread 9 has been a consistent problem throughout this process. 9 10 COMMISSIONER JONES: My last question is on across all customers or most rate classes, and the 10 11 benefits supposedly are too? 11 the burden to Staff. And maybe you're not the 12 THE WITNESS: So I have a problem with appropriate one to answer this, or maybe Mr. Pesco or Mr. Reynolds is, but you heard Mr. Goltz today ask saying that there are benefits to all customers and the 13 13 costs are borne by the participants who choose that the 14 questions on the regulatory fee versus the burden. He's 14 benefits to them privately are worth it. making the argument that this could be substantial to 15 15 16 That's contradictory to Commission practice 16 the Commission Staff.

to set rates that are fair, just, and reasonable. The Commission makes a judgment, and if it's not cost-benefit, it's not cost effective for the participant to do a program, we don't want them subsidizing the non-participant.

22 COMMISSIONER JONES: I understand that, but 23 this is a voluntary program, is it not? It's not a mandatory program.

THE WITNESS: That's correct, it's not

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Commission.

What do you think? We'll have a Compliance

Do you have any idea -- give us a rough idea

THE WITNESS: Well, this has been half my

Page: 41 (477 - 480)

Filing within 60 days, we'll have annual reports. There

could be more litigation, I don't know. There could be

open meeting memos that you have to prepare for the

of how much work do you think this is going to be?

time for the last eight months or so. So I can't speak

17

18

19

21

24 25

	ugii Diail di Dockel Nos. DE-13167 i AND OG-131672 - V	01. 1	
	Page 481		Page 483
	CEBULKO		CARSON / KIMBALL
	o Eboliko		0/11/00/1/ / 1/11/12//LI
1	going forward how much time Staff would assign to this	1	A. Yes, I did.
2	program. I think it will be substantial.	2	Q. And that's Exhibit MMK-2; correct?
3	COMMISSIONER JONES: And how many Staff	3	A. Yes, that's right.
	witnesses do we have on this, Mr. Cebulko? It's you	4	Q. Now, this guide by Consumer Reports recommends
4			
5	THE WITNESS: Ms. O'Connell and Mr. Andrew	5	features to consider for a new water heater; right?
6	Roberts.	6	A. Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER JONES: And if there are	7	Q. And one of those features is the length of the
8	consumer complaints or consumer issues, really we have	8	warranty, isn't it?
			-
9	no idea yet, because it hasn't been approved, of how	9	A. Yes, that's right.
10	many consumer complaints there might be; right?	10	Q. And it encourages customers to choose water
11	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	11	heaters with as long of a warranty as possible; is that
12	COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay, thank you.	12	right?
13	JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Redirect?	13	A. Yes, it does. I believe it recommends 12 years
14	Thank you, Mr. Cebulko; we appreciate your	14	for a storage tank-style type water heater.
15	testimony and you are excused.	15	Q. And also says that warranties may run anywhere
16	Your next witness?	16	from 3 to 12 years; is that correct?
17	MS. BROWN: Commission Staff calls Elizabeth	17	A. That's right.
18	O'Connell.	18	Q. And doesn't it also advise customers that if
19	MS. CARSON: Your Honor, we have no	19	they have a 12-year warranty on a water heater and
20	questions for Ms. O'Connell. We also have no questions	20	they've had their water heater for 15 years, it might be
	·		
21	for Mr. Roberts, just for the record.	21	time to get a new one?
22	JUDGE KOPTA: Well, then it will be a short	22	A. Yes, I believe it says that.
23	walk because I don't see a need for you to come up here.	23	Q. So are you aware of well, you're aware,
24	There are no questions for you. But your testimony is	24	obviously, of the leases that PSE is offering for water
25	admitted and it will be part of the record. The same	25	heaters and their replacement of the water heater during
	<u> </u>	23	
	Page 482		Page 484
	. ago 102		l age 404
	CARSON / KIMBALL		CARSON / KIMBALL
1	CARSON / KIMBALL	1	CARSON / KIMBALL
1	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts.		CARSON / KIMBALL the course of the lease; correct?
1 2	CARSON / KIMBALL	1 2	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's
	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts.		the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's
2	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes?	2	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page
2 3 4	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes.	2 3 4	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me
2 3 4 5	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel?	2 3 4 5	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to?
2 3 4	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes.	2 3 4	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to
2 3 4 5	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel?	2 3 4 5	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to?
2 3 4 5 6	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary	2 3 4 5 6	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years
2 3 4 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CARSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CARSON: Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kimball.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is something that is a little bit different with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CARSON:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CARSON: Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kimball. A. Good afternoon.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is something that is a little bit different with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is something that is a little bit different with the revised tariff. One reason that this occurred to me was that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CARSON: Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kimball. A. Good afternoon. Q. Ms. Kimball, you testified about the variety of water heaters in the market; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is something that is a little bit different with the revised tariff. One reason that this occurred to me was that I noticed in looking at the Cocker Fennessy Survey,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CARSON / KIMBALL for Mr. Roberts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is something that is a little bit different with the revised tariff. One reason that this occurred to me was that I noticed in looking at the Cocker Fennessy Survey, there's actually a reference to the warranty period in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CARSON: Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kimball. A. Good afternoon. Q. Ms. Kimball, you testified about the variety of water heaters in the market; is that right? A. Yes, I did. Q. And you consulted a water heater buying guide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is something that is a little bit different with the revised tariff. One reason that this occurred to me was that I noticed in looking at the Cocker Fennessy Survey, there's actually a reference to the warranty period in the survey that was conducted, and it was stated that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	for Mr. Roberts. That concludes Staff's witnesses, I believe, yes? MS. BROWN: Yes. JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Public Counsel? MS. GAFKEN: Public Counsel would call Mary Kimball. MARY KIMBALL, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Kimball, your testimony and exhibits have been admitted for the record and, therefore, I believe we are prepared to go to cross-examination from the Company. MS. CARSON: Thank you, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CARSON: Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Kimball. A. Good afternoon. Q. Ms. Kimball, you testified about the variety of water heaters in the market; is that right? A. Yes, I did. Q. And you consulted a water heater buying guide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the course of the lease; correct? A. Yes. Are you referring to I know that it's covered in a couple of portions of the near 20-page tariff, so is there a particular provision you wanted me to look to? Q. I think it's in Schedule 75-Q, refers to equipment replacement. And according to this tariff schedule, PSE will replace the equipment if it fails at any time during the lease term; correct? A. Subject to various qualifications there, including the earthquakes, flooding, other reasons. But yes. And my recollection was that the original tariff did have a warranty period associated with it of 6 years for residential water heaters, the initial tariff that the Company filed in September, I believe. Q. Right. A. And so I think when the revision was filed in February this is one of the changes. And so that is something that is a little bit different with the revised tariff. One reason that this occurred to me was that I noticed in looking at the Cocker Fennessy Survey, there's actually a reference to the warranty period in

Page 485 Page 487 CARSON / KIMBALL CARSON / KIMBALL not sure how the survey respondents were to interpret 1 Q. And then it's a 1-year limited parts warranty; 2 2 that. correct? 3 Q. But this is actually more than 6 years, right, A. That's right. 3 because the customers will have the benefit of 4 Q. And then you --4 replacement of the equipment if it malfunctions and no 5 A. And I should say that the warranty period is one longer works or repair for the full 15-year lease term; 6 of the inputs in the pricing model, and so it is 7 correct? 7 relevant for the price, because if the water heater A. They would have a replacement and they would 8 fails outside of the term of the warranty, then PSE 8 continue to pay the lease rate for that 15-year period, would be responsible for the cost associated with that's right. And then at the end of the 15 years, if 10 procuring a replacement and installing a replacement. 10 11 it was replaced, for example, if the water heater was 11 But if the water heater fails within that term of the replaced at year 12 with a brand-new water heater, the 12 warranty, then presumably PSE could procure a 12 customer would continue to pay, my understanding is, the replacement at no cost. There would still be some 13 remaining 3 years of that term; and then at the 14 14 installation cost. conclusion of that 15-year term, they would then have a 15 So it's a relevant input to the pricing model. 15 choice of entering into a new lease with PSE for a new 16 Q. Thank you. 16 17 water heater or they could terminate the lease and the 17 A. And helps determine the failure rate. water heater could be picked up by PSE. Q. Thank you. And then if we look on Page 10 of 18 18 And then I think that 3-year water heater, if 19 MMK-6, this is the more expensive water heater version 19 that you compared to PSE's leased water heater; correct? 20 the customer chose that option, it would be recycled or salvaged, is my understanding of what -- I'm not sure if 21 21 that was Mr. Englert or Mr. McCulloch who testified to 22 22 Q. And this one has a longer warranty; correct? 23 that. 23 A. Yes, it's a 12-year. Q. You also compare in your testimony a couple of 24 24 Q. But it still wouldn't be a 15-year replacement different water heaters to PSE's lease option; is that 25 guarantee like PSE offers; correct? Page 486 Page 488 **CARSON / KIMBALL CARSON / KIMBALL** correct? A. That's right. But I believe one of the 2 A. Yes. Are you in a particular place in my 2 recommendations in the Consumer Reports guide is that 3 the 12-year -- generally speaking, a water heater with a 3 testimony? Q. Page 14 of your testimony and then also MMK-6. 12-year warranty is going to have some different 4 4 5 A. Would you like me to go there or Page 14? 5 features and specifications that will help ensure that Q. Why don't you go to MMK-6. 6 the water heater is more likely to last longer. 6 7 7 A. Okay. And so to that end, this is why we do believe 8 Q. So in your testimony you claim that several 8 it's relevant for consumers. We don't know specifically water heaters have the same specifications as the water 9 what specific features the water heaters themselves will 9 10 heaters that PSE is offering in its lease; right? 10 have that PSE plans to lease. 11 A. That's right. In my example I focused on the 11 Q. And going back to that warranty, the 12-year standard tank-style water heater of, I think it's less 12 warranty, that also is a limited warranty, right, for than 55 gallons and .62 energy factor. 13 tank and parts? 13 Q. And if we look at MMK-6, if we look at the last 14 A. That's what it says there, yes. 14 Q. And in terms of in-home labor, how long does it few pages, you compare two specific examples of water 15 15 heaters that have the same specifications? 16 cover in-home labor? 16 A. That's right. 17 A. It says 3 years. 17 Q. And on the very last page is the less expensive 18 Q. Thank you. Could you please turn to Table 5 of 18 one, and this is the Sure Comfort water heater? your testimony, and I believe that's on Page 49. Are 19 19 A. Yes. 20 you there? 20 Q. And what is the warranty that's offered with 21 A. Yes, I am. 21 that water heater? 22 Q. So as I understand it, in this table you purport 22 23 A. It's a 3-year warranty. to compare various -- financing options of various water Q. It's a 3-year limited tank warranty; right? heaters to PSE's lease service. 24 24

A. That's right.

25

25

Is that a fair summary of this table of what

Page: 43 (485 - 488)

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy Page 489 Page 491 **CARSON / KIMBALL** CARSON / KIMBALL you're trying to do here? it is intended to illustrate from a customer's A. Yes. I was showing the financing costs from the perspective what the effective interest rate is for the customer's perspective. I think that the financing customer. And if you assume capital costs of \$1,000 for costs -a hot water heater with a 6-year warranty, the effective 4 Q. If you could just wait until I ask the question, interest rate from the PSE lease is 22.1 percent. And 5 5 that will probably be a little easier, thank you. that includes the financing costs and it includes the 7 7 maintenance and repair provision. A. Okay. 8 Q. So on this table in the left-hand corner, first 8 So in effect, that does represent what I also call the premium of what the customer is paying for the 9 box, it says Credit Union Loan, 6-year WH. What does that mean? lease service. 10 11 A. Six-year water heater. 11 Q. But it's not an interest rate, is it? Q. What's a 6-year water heater? 12 A. I say it's illustrative. 12 A. With a warranty of about six years. I believe I Q. Is it your testimony that consumers ascribe no 13 13 said in my testimony that a customer would pay a little value to a 15-year replacement warranty? bit more for a water heater that had a 12-year warranty. A. Well, I think there are different ways to 15 Q. Okay. And then if we move over into the third consider the potential value. What I've testified in my 16 16 17 column that's headed Interest Rate, there are two testimony is that I believe these prices are excessive different numbers listed for Credit Union Loan 6-year for the -- I believe that they're excessive prices. 18 18 Q. Then let's just look at the rest of your table water heater; correct? 19 19 below the PSE Lease in the middle, and then you list a 20 A. That's right. 20 Q. One of those is 4.5 percent interest; right? 21 Credit Union Loan, 12-year WH. 21 22 So do I understand this correctly, then, that 22 Q. And the other is 8 percent interest? this is a 12-year warranty on a water heater that's 23 24 financed through a credit union? 24 A. That's correct. 25 25 Q. And so is that intended to show there's a range A. Yes, that's right. Page 490 Page 492 **CARSON / KIMBALL** CARSON / KIMBALL of interest rates that the credit union may charge going Q. And again, it shows interest rates of ranging up to 8 percent or higher? 2 between 4.5 and 8 percent? A. Yes. I gave an example of the financing offered 3 A. Yes, that's correct. by the Puget Sound Cooperative Credit Union, and I Q. And here you're showing -- below you're showing believe I also talked about Craft 3. But here this is the PSE lease with higher capital costs, which you call referencing the range that I found. financed amount; is that right? 6 7 Q. And the interest rates can be affected by 7 A. Yes. several factors; right? Credit score; that might affect 8 Q. And this you claim under Interest Rate is a 9 what interest rate a customer gets, a consumer gets? 13.15 percent interest rate? 9 10 10 A. It may affect -- are you asking for something in A. Yes, 13.15 percent if you assume capital costs 11 particular? 11 of \$1,500. Q. Well, there's a range of interest rates 12 Q. And again, that's a premium; that's how you view available. I guess we'll just leave it at that. 13 it, as a premium, not PSE's weighted average cost of 13 14 A. Sure, okay. 14 capital? Q. Okay. In the box below, Credit Union Loan, A. I view it as an illustrative effective interest 15 15 6-year WH, it says PSE Lease, and it shows a finance 16 rate that consumers are paying for the financing of the amount of \$1,000. And then if I move over into the 17 equipment and the other components of the lease. 18 column that says Interest Rate, you show a 22.1 interest Q. Other components like the 15-year replacement if 19 the water heater gives out during the lease term; right? 19 rate. 20 Now, PSE hasn't proposed -- PSE doesn't charge 20 That's included? 22.1 percent interest rate, does it? In fact, it 21 A. That's correct. But I also -- I do explain, I 21 charges -- it applies its weighted average cost to do have an example in my testimony that in the event --22

A. I think as I explain in my testimony on Page 48,

25 Lines 11 and 12, that my table is illustrative, and so

23

24

capital?

if a consumer purchases a water heater and it fails and

still come out financially ahead by replacing that water

it's not within the term of the warranty, they could

Page 493 Page 495 CARSON / KIMBALL **KIMBALL** heater themselves up to three times, actually. response was due on March 25th, so I believe that is Q. And just to clarify, on this table where you when we received it. I did not go back and check my have interest charges, the column Interest Charges, calendar so I did participate in a webinar, but I'm not those aren't really interest charges either; right? 4 certain of the date. That's the difference between the capital cost and what 5 COMMISSIONER JONES: Turn to Page 32 of your 6 you call the premium or the -testimony, Lines 1, 2 and 3, Ms. Kimball. 7 7 A. For the PSE lease option. THE WITNESS: Yes. 8 Q. The PSE lease option. 8 COMMISSIONER JONES: This is not A. That's correct. They're effective -- they're 9 confidential, Counsel, I don't think, Lines 1 through 3; reflective of the financing costs from the consumer's 10 riaht? 10 11 perspective for those given the assumed capital costs of 11 MS. CARSON: That's correct. the lease product. 12 COMMISSIONER JONES: So do you stand by the 12 Q. Including the repair, the in-home repair as part 13 statement that the conservation savings model was not 13 14 of that; right? 14 shared with CRAG, of which you are a member; right? A. Yes, I believe we did discuss the repair 15 THE WITNESS: That's right, it was not 15 provisions and the qualifications thereto. shared with the CRAG. And I think as Mr. Cebulko has 16 16 17 Q. And the in-home maintenance is included? pointed out, there are quite a few differences between A. Yes. some of the assumptions in the model and the 18 18 Q. And the replacement is included? Commission's standard practice. 19 19 A. The maintenance and the repair are, but they are 20 COMMISSIONER JONES: Please elaborate on 20 21 21 subject to the various provisions of the tariff. some of those now. I think you heard my questions with MS. CARSON: Thank you. I have no further 22 Mr. Cebulko of avoided carbon emissions. He talks about 22 23 a lot of the societal benefits. 23 questions. JUDGE KOPTA: Questions from the bench? 24 THE WITNESS: That's true. All of the 24 COMMISSIONER JONES: Just a couple. 25 25 benefits derived from the proposed conservation savings Page 494 Page 496 KIMBALL KIMBALL associated with the equipment, but the manner in which Could you turn to Page 30 of your testimony. You heard my exchange with Mr. Cebulko, did you not? 2 many of those savings are calculated are quite different 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. from the Commission's standard practice and our standard 4 COMMISSIONER JONES: Were you in the room? practice in the Northwest. 5 So on Lines 16 through 20, you talk about that PSE has 5 Just one example of that is that there are not performed any traditional cost effectiveness test. savings assumed for a .62 energy factor residential water heater. That's a tank-style water heater; it is 7 So would you agree with Mr. Cebulko that it 7 8 would be appropriate, if approved, that the Commission essentially code. If it's a 40-gallon water heater apply a TRC test? 9 tank, that is what the code requires now. 9 10 And PSE has not offered a tank-style 10 THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe it is appropriate. I agree with Mr. Cebulko that it's 11 residential program for several years, particularly for 11 appropriate to consider the cost and the associated .62 energy factor, and yet there are conservation savings in Dr. Faruqui's model associated with that type benefits of the proposed program. And I explain in my 13 13 14 testimony, I do have several concerns with Dr. Faruqui's 14 of equipment. Benefits Model and particularly some of the inputs that 15 COMMISSIONER JONES: Ms. Kimball, if I can 15 16 Mr. Cebulko referenced. 16 interrupt. COMMISSIONER JONES: I was going to ask you 17 THE WITNESS: Sure. 17 about that. But before we get to that, you heard my 18 COMMISSIONER JONES: When you say "PSE does 18 exchange, I think with Mr. Cebulko, on when you received 19 not currently offer," could you be more specific? Do 19 Dr. Faruqui's model. Did you receive it on or about 20 you mean they don't currently offer it under Schedule March 8th and did you receive the full model? 21 120 under their rebate program? 21 THE WITNESS: In their Schedule 200 series 22 THE WITNESS: I know that the model was not 22 filed by PSE as part of the work papers of Dr. Faruqui's conservation program. Thank you, I should have testimony; it was provided in response to a Data Request clarified that, yes. Doesn't currently offer that as a 24 energy efficiency program. from Public Counsel. I believe the Data Request

Page 497 Page 499 **KIMBALL KIMBALL** there was an evaluation conducted that found the market And in my testimony I explain that a significant number of the estimated water heaters or has essentially been transformed, and where possible, estimated equipment that the company is planning to 3 people were already purchasing that. lease is in fact water heaters of the tank-style 4 COMMISSIONER JONES: Isn't that what NEEA is 4 5 supposed to do, Ms. Kimball, is transform the market? 5 variety. 6 And I won't say the exact number, but in 6 THE WITNESS: That's right. 7 7 Dr. Faruqui's Exhibit AF-5HC, so that's -- yes, in COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. Thank you for Dr. Faruqui's AF-5HC, at Page 138 of that exhibit -- and that. Just a couple more. 8 that is highly confidential so I won't say the exact 9 Could you turn to Page 41 of your testimony, number, but -please. First of all, why do you spend so much time in 10 10 11 COMMISSIONER JONES: Hang on just a second, 11 your testimony on Pages 38 through 41, basically, on the if you would. I think the Commissioners are pulling up 12 Consumer Protection Act issues and RCW 63.10? Are you 12 their books here. And this is Dr. Farugui's benefits implicitly making the argument that this should be an 13 14 model: right? unregulated activity subject to the marketplace rather THE WITNESS: That's correct, it was 15 than a regulated service? 15 THE WITNESS: I believe I testified that we attached as rebuttal testimony. And in Column 3 of that 16 16 17 table, which I think I can at least state the title of 17 would be addressing that legal issue in our brief. But that column, I won't divulge a number. I do believe there are very substantial consumer 18 MS. CARSON: I think that's correct. I need protection issues associated with this filing, and so 19 19 20 to get there. that's what I was endeavoring to explain there. 21 21 THE WITNESS: There is a line C of that, The lease transaction is very complicated. 22 I think PSE has, in their rebuttal testimony in 22 Page 138. particular, stated a view that it's simple and elegant 23 COMMISSIONER JONES: 138, okay, there's a C 23 and turnkey; but in my view, it's a highly complicated 24 there. 25 transaction. And leasing transactions are fairly 25 THE WITNESS: So in Row C is gas Page 498 Page 500 KIMBALL KIMBALI complicated; that's why we have state statutes and residential -- excuse me, it's Row B, gas residential water heater with an energy factor of at least .62. And federal statutes that require things such as the then there's a total premise count, which is reflective 3 disclosure of the total payment of the lease over the 3 of -- that's not shaded so I believe I can state that. term of the lease, which is that key information that I 5 It's 1.3 million. That's all of PSE's residential believe was missing from the Cocker Fennessy Survey that customers. That's gas, electric, and combined. 6 you discussed. 6 7 COMMISSIONER JONES: On Page 40, the first 7 And then in Column 3, the share of the 8 program in the product, so the second number you see in 8 bullet, the CPA, Consumer Protection Act, requires a that row, I won't say what it is, but that is reflective clear statement of the total amount to be paid at the 9 10 consummation of the lease. of the portion of residential water heaters that they 10 11 anticipate to be .62 energy factor. 11 I think that's in Schedule 75, is it not? 12 And so what concerns me, just an underlying 12 THE WITNESS: This is actually referencing not the Consumer Protection Act but the leasing, the concern with this program, is that we could be creating 13 13 essentially very substantial lost opportunity, what we 14 state leasing statute which is RCW 63.10. So that's 14 say in the energy efficiency world. Because if we're 15 what I was referring to. 15 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. But my question 16 installing tank-style gas and electric water heaters, 16 we're not able to then install more efficient heat pump 17 is, isn't this included in Schedule 75? I forget which 17 18 water heaters, for example. 18 page it was, but I think the Company has said that they COMMISSIONER JONES: And again, for 19 will include the total amount. 19 reference purposes, the Energy Star water heaters for 20 THE WITNESS: When they revised their this size would be .67; correct? 21 tariff, they did include that as part of the lease 21 22 THE WITNESS: They would be .67. Generally agreement. It's not fully clear when the customer would speaking for that size, PSE still does not have an be specifically in receipt of that information, if it

energy efficiency program for those water heaters for

25 their gas customers, because I believe it was in 2010,

2425

would be when they get all of that paperwork.

COMMISSIONER JONES: My last question is on

Page: 46 (497 - 500)

Page 501 Page 503 **KIMBALL KIMBALL** the Commission's Interpretive and Policy Statement which CHAIRMAN DANNER: Well, my question is what 1 you reference on Page 41, Lines 10 and 11. it was with regard to -- and we'll just use this -- with I think you heard my exchange with 3 3 regard to leases with appliances. What's the better Ms. Norton yesterday, did you not? Were you in the 4 regulatory scheme for consumer protection? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Well, I think if PSE -- since 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 6 PSE is pursuing offering this service as a tariff rate, 7 7 certainly they would be exempt from the Consumer COMMISSIONER JONES: So I asked some questions about how relevant the Interpretive and Policy 8 Protection Act, and so this discussion that we're having 8 Statement is to this particular matter, because that was 9 has largely been with that assumption. 9 specifically focused, in my view, on net energy metering And then I think in briefing we'll be 10 10 11 and third party, solar, distributed generation, was it 11 delving into if it's -- whether or not it is not? 12 appropriately a regulated or unregulated service. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was. 13 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Right. But any tariff 13 14 COMMISSIONER JONES: So what sort of linkage 14 service, any regulated service that the Commission do we have here? You seem to be saying it's kind of oversees, is exempt from the Consumer Protection Act, 15 15 indirectly linked; it provides a framework of issues to and yet there are consumer protection standards or 16 16 17 examine for consumer protection. But this leasing 17 authorities that the Commission implements. activity is not customer generation, is it? 18 I'm trying to figure out which provides more 18 THE WITNESS: Well, the Company has stated 19 consumer protection. Especially since you reference on 19 that if this is approved, they would be interested in Page 42 that the House Bill 1927 which was for third 20 expanding to include solar. I think the Interpretive 21 party solar, and third party solar would be if the 21 and Policy Statement does discuss the leasing concept 22 Commission were to assume consumer protection over that 22 and that structure, and so that was also part of the it would be under our authority, and if we didn't assert 23 23 reason why I referenced it. And it's just another area it it would be under the Consumer Protection Act. So 24 where the Commission has opined on the need for consumer 25 I'm trying to figure out what's the better scheme. Page 502 Page 504 **KIMBALL** KIMBALI THE WITNESS: Well, obviously I'm a consumer protections. 1 COMMISSIONER JONES: So it's more from the 2 2 advocate, so I am an advocate for very robust consumer consumer protection angle on leasing agreements or power 3 protections. 3 purchase agreements that you would call that to our 4 CHAIRMAN DANNER: As am I. 5 attention? 5 THE WITNESS: And I think one of the complex THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 6 features of this filing is that there is potentially a 7 COMMISSIONER JONES: Has the Company filed 7 hybrid that comes into play, because PSE certainly is 8 anything that you have seen related to solar, batteries, 8 subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. or beyond-the-meter applications related to that 9 Some of the service providers that would be 9 Interpretive and Policy Statement? Have you seen 10 conducting some of the work associated with this 10 11 anything? 11 program, as service providers they would be subject to 12 THE WITNESS: No, I have not. 12 the Consumer Protection Act. Whether the activity that COMMISSIONER JONES: Thank you; that's all I they're conducting on behalf of PSE, I think that would 13 13 14 have. 14 be a legal issue, I guess, that would be whether or not JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Chairman? they are subject to the Consumer Protection Act or the 15 15 16 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Ms. Kimball, is it your 16 Commission's jurisdiction. position that the Consumer Protection Act is preferable 17 I've maybe muddied the waters a little bit. 17 to the Utilities Commissions' authorities and consumer 18 18 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So in other words, with protection? regard to which regulatory scheme would be preferable or 19 19 20 THE WITNESS: I don't believe I testified to which consumer protection scheme would be preferable, I that. I think that my testimony discussed the leasing, 21 hear you as saying -- I don't know what I hear you 21 the state leasing statute. 22 saying. 22 23 I guess I'm wondering if you're asking me if 23 THE WITNESS: Well, I think they're both 24 it's preferable that this be offered as an unregulated 24 very -- they're both very important. And I think there

service?

are quite a few legal issues and legal complexities

Page 505 Page 507 **KIMBALL KIMBALL** associated with this filing and that this is one of COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay. Ms. Gafken, I 1 2 those issues. hope you will, because you're part of the Attorney General's office, I hope you cover this on brief. 3 CHAIRMAN DANNER: So the legal issues is 3 whichever it is, it should be across the board? I'm 4 But I'm asking you as a practitioner, you've 4 sorry, I didn't mean to put words in your mouth. I'm 5 been involved in this business for at least two decades trying to figure out what the words that you're saying. 6 and I've seen you in many meetings. But how would this 7 THE WITNESS: Right. Well, I think if PSE 7 work in practice, I guess, is what I'm trying to probe. were offering this service as an unregulated activity, 8 Forget the legal issues for the time being. 8 then that activity would be governed by the Consumer 9 THE WITNESS: Sure. 9 10 COMMISSIONER JONES: Let's say it were a Protection Act. And if the tariff is approved by the 10 11 Commission then the consumer protections for PSE, my 11 heat pump and it was installed improperly or it didn't understanding is, would be subject to the Commission's 12 have the energy factor rating or it violated the 12 jurisdiction. 13 warranty. I mean, who should enforce, the Commission or 13 14 CHAIRMAN DANNER: And so are there consumer 14 Consumer Affairs staff or the Attorney General's Staff? protections that are falling through the cracks either 15 THE WITNESS: I think there are a lot of 15 way? 16 complexities associated with this, and that is certainly 16 17 THE WITNESS: That could be something that 17 one of them. I think a concern that I have from the would be considered. I'm aware in the Interpretive and consumer's perspective is, if a customer right now, a 18 18 PSE customer is interested in a new furnace, for Policy Statement does contemplate concurrent 19 19 example, and calls PSE's energy advisors, those energy 20 jurisdiction with the Attorney General's Consumer Protection Division and the Commission. So certainly advisors can provide them with advice, can provide them 21 that could be considered, a model such as that. 22 with a referral for a contractor. 22 CHAIRMAN DANNER: Thank you. 23 If PSE is now also offering as a lease 23 service, the Company certainly would have a financial 24 COMMISSIONER JONES: Just one follow-up incentive to try to steer those customers to that 25 here. The more we dig into this the more interesting it Page 506 Page 508 KIMBALI GAFKEN / KIMBALL becomes. leasing service because of financially what that would 2 Under the hybrid model that you just -accrue to the Company. And so that's just another following up on Commissioner Danner's question, the 3 example of one of the complexities here with this 3 legal standard in the CPA is, quote, unfair and 4 filing. 5 deceptive business practices; right? 5 COMMISSIONER JONES: Okay, thank you. THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 6 JUDGE KOPTA: Ms. Gafken, any redirect? COMMISSIONER JONES: And maybe you'll cover 7 7 MS. GAFKEN: I just have a tiny bit of 8 this in brief, but since you're on the stand I'll ask 8 redirect. you now. 9 9 10 10 So let's just hypothetically assume that the REDIRECT EXAMINATION service partner is -- whether it be somebody like 11 BY MS. GAFKEN: 11 Q. Ms. Kimball, you were asked some questions about Mr. Wigen today or a subcontractor to him or a hardware 12 store who regulated in the market has been found to be 13 your Table 5. Do you recall those questions? 13 14 engaging in unfair and deceptive business practices yet 14 it is a service partner of PSE under the Lease Solutions 15 Q. That's on Page 49. You were asked in particular 15 16 program. 16 about the thing that you called the interest rate. So what kind of quandary does that present 17 Could you describe briefly how you calculated 17 to the Commission? Because we regulate PSE under "just 18 the 22 percent number and the 13 percent number? 18 and reasonable" and we have a lot of WACs like consumer 19 A. Yes. The 22 percent effective interest rate was 19 protection, but if the Attorney General were to pursue a 20 calculated, as I explained I think in the bottom of that service provider, what would happen? 21 table, using the loan calculator from the Puget Sound 21 THE WITNESS: I think that's an excellent 22 Cooperative Credit Union. 22 question and I think that's a legal issue as to which --23 Q. Can you give us an idea of how that was essentially which law would govern whether it is the calculated and what you did to calculate it? 24 24 Consumer Protection Act or it is --25 A. Yes. So the monthly payment amount for the PSE

Rough Draft of Docket Nos. UE-151871 AND UG-151872 - Vol. IV Page 509 Page 511 GAFKEN / KIMBALL GAFKEN / KIMBALL the term of the lease, or over that 15-year period, I lease is \$19.13 for a standard water heater with a .62 energy factor. That's the lease rate. That's what's 2 should say, not term. 3 shown in that first asterisk. And so at that monthly MS. GAFKEN: That's all I have; thank you. 3 payment amount, assuming a loan amount of \$1,000 or a 4 JUDGE KOPTA: Thank you, Ms. Gafken. And capital cost of \$1,000, if you will, results in the 22 5 thank you, Ms. Kimball. I do appreciate your testimony; percent interest rate. 6 you are excused. 7 7 I believe that concludes Public Counsel's Q. You've also described the interest rate as the premium. Would you explain what you mean by that and 8 witnesses? 8 what's included in the premium? 9 MS. GAFKEN: That's correct. 9 A. My Exhibit MMK-4HC shows what I've calculated or JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Goltz? 10 10 MR. GOLTZ: Our first witness is Ms. Julie 11 referred to as the premium for each of the different 11 types of lease equipment. And for the standard 12 Muller-Neff, but no one has reserved cross. 12 tank-style water heater, the premium, I can actually 13 MS. CARSON: And just to clarify, we do not 13 14 reference the specific amount, I won't say the dollar 14 have cross for any of Mr. Goltz's witnesses. amount, but the ratio that's shown there in that first 15 JUDGE KOPTA: All right. Well, that will 15 line was also in Mr. McCulloch's testimony. So I can 16 make it simple. 16 17 say that's three times the capital costs, the assumed 17 Although we do have the issue of capital costs. Mr. Fluetsch, and I believe there's a motion that PSE 18 18 And so what that premium is, which is the amount 19 would like to make with respect to a portion of his 19 direct testimony which has yet to be admitted into the 20 in Column D of this table, shows the difference, the financial amount that lease customers would pay. So 21 record. 21 22 22 over the total life of the lease, a customer for a MR. STEELE: Your Honor, at the prehearing conference in January, both PSE and Staff opposed to the 23 residential standard vent tank-style water heater would 23 intervention of SMACNA and WSHVACCA in this case on the 24 pay \$3,443. That doesn't include any of the taxes, the 25 sales taxes that would accrue each month for the leased grounds that it's beyond the jurisdiction of the Page 510 Page 512 GAFKEN / KIMBALL Commission to consider the impact of regulated utilities activities on an unregulated competitor. So PSE and customer. 3 Staff both objected to their intervention on those 2 So the premium amount is the amount shown in 4 grounds. Column D. And that reflects essentially, if you will, 3 5 SMACNA and WSHVACCA represented to the the added cost above the capital cost that customers are Commission that they had important market information paying for the financing and the various other aspects 7 that they believed was relevant to the proceedings in of the lease service. 6 this case, and Your Honor granted their intervention but 7 Q. And is that also what you were trying to show by 9 limited it. calculating the interest rate that you show in Table 5, 10 And the limitation was stated in the just another way to look at that cost to the consumer? 9 11 Prehearing Conference Order, which says that (as read), A. It's another way to look at the cost to the 10 12 The Commission will consider the market for HVAC consumer. So from a consumer's perspective, if it's a 11 13 standard water heater with a .62 energy factor, the equipment to the extent necessary to determine the effective interest rate, if you will, is 22 percent 13 14 effect of the tariffs on PSE's customers, not the impact based on the assumed capital cost of about \$1,000. 14 on other market participants. The Commission is 15 Q. But your point there wasn't that that's the allowing SMACNA-WW and WSHVACCA to contribute to that financing cost, but it's the cost of all of the alleged 16 17 inquiry, and the scope of those associations' benefits of this leasing program? 17 intervention is limited accordingly. 18

A. That's right. It includes everything.

18

19

21

22

And I would mention, too, that in some of the early customer surveys that PSE conducted with customers, they did provide some examples of what maintenance costs might be, and for a water heater I believe it was \$200 was the example. So if a customer wanted to pay for two maintenance visits for a water heater, that might cost them \$200 per visit or \$400 over

In Mr. Fluetsch's testimony, he has extensive discussion of what he perceives as harm to the market as competitors. And particularly much of the discussion that we moved to strike centers on actually PSE's past leasing services and past interactions with contractors and in other market participants.

We believe that these are beyond the scope

Page: 49 (509 - 512)

19

20

21

22

24

25

Page 513

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of this case. Not only do they touch on a different service at a different time, but they touch on competition in the marketplace, which is beyond the scope of the Prehearing Conference Order and it's also 5 beyond the Commission's decision in Cole where the Supreme Court held that it's beyond the jurisdiction of 7 the Commission to consider the impact to competitors in 8 the marketplace.

On those grounds, we move to strike those portions of the testimony and believe that in doing so it will bring those other portions of Mr. Fluetsch's testimony within the compliance of the Prehearing Conference Order - --

JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Goltz?

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. GOLTZ: Mr. Fluetsch has approximately 40 years of experience in the competitive market of HVAC hot water heaters and appliances. I dare say he's got more experience than almost everyone else in the room combined.

PSE has moved to strike two portions of his testimony. The first one is starting on Page 2, Line 8, and goes through Page 4, Line 21. That involves a summary of two and a half pages or so of the past and Washington Natural Gas involvement in this market.

That past wasn't raised by Mr. Fluetsch;

Page 514

that past and history was raised by Puget Sound Energy by various witnesses. They looked to the past as a model for the future; Back to the Future sort of theme, I guess.

So this is relevant to the market. And what we're putting this in for is to complete a picture. If you're going to paint a picture about how the leasing programs have worked and can work as an example of an appropriate marketplace entry by Puget, then there should be a complete picture. So that's what we offer Mr. Fluetsch's testimony for, as, again, part of the advocacy for a fair and competitive market.

The second portion that they seek to exclude is a much briefer portion, and it's on Page 18, Line 19, through Page 19, Line 6. So the answer that they're seeking to exclude is about nine lines of a response to a question which, If PSE is to allow to enter this market, what competitive advantages would they have?

I suppose if I had phrased the question, If PSE is allowed to enter this market, what impact will it have on the market? In that case we wouldn't have used the word "competitive;" we would said what's the impact on the market, which is squarely within the order Your Honor gave authorizing intervention by SMACNA of Western Washington.

Page 515

The things that he mentions on these pages are things that are all in the record. He's just simply summarizing and coming to a conclusion that, yes, these various factors that are in the record already are going to have an impact on the market. So I think it's well within the market impact permission that this Commission gave us in entering this case.

JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. Steele?

MR. STEELE: -- the only point I'll add is, PSE has simply said that its leasing program -- well, it's said that it's had a leasing program since the early 1960s. Mr. Fluetsch's testimony goes on to discuss what he believes was the impact on competition.

And I think most importantly, none of his discussion centers on actual harm to customers, which was the scope of the Prehearing Conference Order, which says to determine the effect of the tariffs on PSE's customers, not competitors. So Mr. Fluetsch's testimony of WNG's history and his personal account of the history focuses on competition, not harm to customers.

And I think Mr. Goltz, perhaps he wishes his question was phrased differently on Page 18, but it wasn't; it was on what competitive advantages would PSE have. And I can't change his question. And Mr. Fluetsch answered accordingly, so.

Page 516

JUDGE KOPTA: All right. I obviously remember that order since I wrote it. I also remember the discussion that we had during the prehearing conference.

No one asked for a review of that order and so that order governs this case. And I'm afraid I agree with the Company that the testimony that they've highlighted goes to what the impact is on competitors.

The Commission does not regulate the market for water heaters and HVAC, so I grant the motion and strike the portions of Mr. Fluetsch's testimony beginning on Page 2, Line 8 through Page 4, Line 21; and again on Page 18, Line 19 through Page 19, Line 6.

And with those revisions to the testimony, I admit his testimony into the record.

MR. GOLTZ: So Mr. Fluetsch is available for cross-examination by the Commission.

JUDGE KOPTA: Do you have any questions for Mr. Fluetsch? No? Then he need not appear. We have his testimony admitted into the record and we can go on to the next witness.

MR. GOLTZ: Mr. John van den Heuvel.

JUDGE KOPTA: And I believe, Ms. Carson, you said you don't have any questions for him.

Any questions from the bench for Mr. Van den

Page 519

Page 517

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

Heuvel? Okay. His testimony has been admitted and he is excused.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

That brings us to Mr. King. Your witnesses? MR. KING: Thank you, Your Honor. We call Steven J. Krecker. And I believe we have a similar issue

JUDGE KOPTA: I do. We have a similar issue with his testimony. And do you have cross-examination for him?

MS. CARSON: No, we don't.

JUDGE KOPTA: Okay. Then I believe we are just, once again, dealing with provisions in the testimony that the Company asserts is beyond the scope of the intervention granted in the Prehearing Conference Order

And I assume that your arguments are basically the same. Did you want to add anything?

MR. STEELE: Yeah. I mean, I think it is the same. And I think for WSHVACCA, Mr. Krecker's 19 20 testimony beginning on Line 5 says it all: (As read) The concerns with the WSHVACCA center primarily around the damage to the market that could be done by PSE. 2.2

And he has a similar discussion about WNG's history and about his perceived interaction with the marketplace and his perceived damage to competition in now that is informed by that history. Puget Sound Energy is dependent upon the industry to implement their proposal.

We've seen in testimony that they have not had much of a response rate from the industry. I believe if I caught the figures that different people are throwing around, we've seen 15 responses to RFQs out of hundreds of contractors.

I think it's important for the Commission to understand the history that informs this hostility, because you have to make the decision not just if PSE's proposal is a good policy, but also is it practicable; will they be able to implement. They're not proposing to go out and hire a bunch of electricians and plumbers of their own and go do this work; they're depending upon service partners who are not real interested in their proposal, to be frank.

And we tried to laid out the history so you could understand and you could judge will these people's minds change if you approve it? Will they all of a sudden embrace this? Or is there going to be a lingering hostility for reasons that we've laid out that is going to severely negatively impact the ability to implement this proposal?

The other point I'd like to make is that our

Page 518

the marketplace. It's a very similar discussion as

Mr. Fluetsch. And for the same reasons as SMACNA, we move to strike those portions of Mr. Krecker's testimony.

JUDGE KOPTA: Mr. King?

MR. KING: Your Honor and Commissioners, we took a slightly different approach to our question and answers partly trying to stay within the role of Intervenors, clearly.

The one thing, we are testifying to damage to the market; not just contractors, the market. And that affects the market participants, including the ratepayers of PSE, which by PSE's own calculations will be some 80 percent of the appliance owners after 20 years will still be dependent upon the market. If the market is damaged, it damages PSE ratepayers. We made that point right at the start as we were summarizing our testimony that, you know, entering not just the market, and that's all market participants, that those ratepayers who still will be depending upon the market for their appliance needs.

In discussing the history, we're not trying to raise the question of, oh, they did bad things to us contractors 23 years ago. We're trying to explain the poor relationship between the utility and the industry

Page 520

testimony has been responded to in rebuttal by the Company, and leaves sort of a weird situation if the rebuttal stays in the record, but the reason for the rebuttal is not there. But the main point is to try to inform the Commission, there is a big problem here. And we thought to put this on the record so you can assess.

We have not challenged anybody else's testimony or exhibits because we thought it was important for the Commission to have all the different points of view in front of them. This is a contentious, very contentious issue. And you've seen, by attendance at meetings in November in the middle of a storm, it's easier to get people out in the good weather this month, but they traveled in November, and they care. And we think that's why it's important and this remain in the record.

JUDGE KOPTA: Anything further, Mr. Steele? MR. STEELE: Well, I mean, I'll say I think it's in the record that PSE has had service contractors providing service to PSE's customers under its existing programs since the '60s. PSE sent an RFQ and had responses. Plenty of contractors have shown interest in PSE's program.

Second of all, I think Mr. King himself just admitted that the testimony from Mr. Krecker is based on

Page: 51 (517 - 520)

Page 521 Page 523 competition in the marketplace. And Mr. Krecker's 1 the portions of his testimony that has been stricken so 2 perceived relationship between contractors and PSE, that that we can identify what portions should be taken out. 3 goes to the competitive relationship between the 3 MR. STEELE: Yes, Your Honor. parties. None of Mr. Krecker's testimony talks about 4 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 5 actual harm to customers, which is what the Prehearing JUDGE KOPTA: Are there any questions from 6 Conference Order required for WSHVACCA's intervention. 6 the bench for Mr. Krecker? Then he is excused. His 7 And so however Mr. King wants to tack on 7 testimony, as we've discussed, has been admitted with customer harm at the end of the harm to the market, to 8 the exceptions we just talked about. 8 9 the competition, he's already violated the terms of the And I believe you have one more witness, 9 Prehearing Conference Order by touching on harm to the 10 Mr. King? 10 11 11 marketplace. MR. KING: Mr. William Pinkey. JUDGE KOPTA: All right, thank you. 12 JUDGE KOPTA: I don't believe there's any 12 Once again, I agree with the Company. I 13 controversy about his testimony. 13 think this testimony goes beyond the scope of what the 14 Do you have any questions for him? 14 15 intervention was granted in the Prehearing Conference MR. STEELE: No, Your Honor. 15 JUDGE KOPTA: Any questions from the bench? Order which governs the proceedings that we have here 16 16 today. 17 Then Mr. Pinkey's testimony has been admitted and he is 17 excused from testifying. 18 Therefore, I will strike the following 18 I believe that brings us to the end of our 19 portions of Exhibit SJK-1T: Beginning on Page 2, Lines 19 5 through 8; on Line 12, the sentence that begins at the witness list. Is there anything further that we need to 20 very end of that line with "The," through Line 18; on 21 discuss at this point? 21 Page 3 beginning on Line 8, the phrase "the history of 22 We have a briefing schedule as established 22 the poor relationship between PSE and its predecessors in the Prehearing Conference Order, or actually a 23 23 subsequent scheduling order or notice. and the HVAC industry"; and again on Page 3 beginning on 24 24 25 Line 16, strike everything from that point until the end Ms. Gafken? 25 Page 522 Page 524 of Line 20 on Page 5. 1 MS. GAFKEN: I do have one thing, an update 1 2 And with those revisions, the exhibit is on the customer comment exhibit. There are at least one admitted into the record. 3 or two customer comments that did come in. The Consumer 3 MR. STEELE: The only thing I'll note, Your Affairs staff has forwarded those to me so I do have an 4 4 Honor, is that any exhibits that were referenced during exhibit to offer. What would you like me to number it 6 those struck portions PSE believes should also be struck 6 as? as well. 7 JUDGE KOPTA: How about PC-1? 7 8 JUDGE KOPTA: I was going to ask about that. 8 MS. GAFKEN: Okav. That would include pretty much all of his exhibits, I 9 JUDGE KOPTA: And there are two bench 9 10 request responses outstanding. The record will remain 10 believe, and correct me if I'm wrong. MR. STEELE: So I believe it's 11 open to receive both PC-1 and the bench request 11 Mr. Fluetsch's Exhibit 3, I believe, is referenced on 12 responses. 12 13 Page 4 of his testimony. And Mr. Krecker, I think that And other than that, I believe the record is 14 would be his Exhibit 3 as well, and his Exhibit 4 and 5. 14 closed. JUDGE KOPTA: I agree. Those exhibits, 15 MS. BROWN: Before we adjourn, will you be 15 while although they were previously admitted, are now 16 distributing an updated exhibit list? 16 rejected. 17 JUDGE KOPTA: That was my intention, yes. 17 MS. BROWN: Thank you. MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I have a question to 18 18 19 JUDGE KOPTA: Anything further? Thank you, 19 clarify. Is the Commission also striking the everyone, for your participation, and we'll look forward corresponding testimony by PSE addressing the issues 20 20 21 raised in these stricken lines? 21 to your briefs. We're off the record. 22 (Deposition concluded at 3:27 p.m.) JUDGE KOPTA: Well, if there is such 22 testimony, then yes. And I would expect PSE, I will 23 23 refer to that as Bench Request Number 2, identify all 24 portions in your prefiled testimony that address any of 25 25

Page: 53 (525 - 525)

	Page 525
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
4	COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)
5	
6	THIS IS TO CERTIFY that I, Diane Rugh, Certified
7	Court Reporter in and for the State of Washington,
8	residing at Snohomish, reported the within and foregoing
9	testimony; said testimony being taken before me as a
10	Certified Court Reporter on the date herein set forth;
11	that the witness was first by me duly sworn; that said
12	examination was taken by me in shorthand and thereafter
13	under my supervision transcribed, and that same is a
14	full, true and correct record of the testimony of said
15	witness, including all questions, answers and
16	objections, if any, of counsel, to the best of my
17	ability.
18	I further certify that I am not a relative,
19	employee, attorney, counsel of any of the parties; nor
20	am I financially interested in the outcome of the cause.
21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have set my hand this
22	day of, 2016.
23	
24	DIANE RUGH, RPR, RMR, CRR, CCR
25	CCR NO. 2399