Exhibit No.___(SJK-5T) Docket UE-100749 Witness: Stacey J. Kusters

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

vs.

PACIFICORP dba Pacific Power & Light Company

Respondent.

Docket UE-100749

PACIFICORP

PHASE II REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF STACEY J. KUSTERS

September 2011

1	Q.	Are you the same Stacey J. Kusters that previously provided testimony in
2		this docket?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Purp	ose and Summary of Testimony
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
6	A.	My rebuttal testimony describes Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-6C), which
7		reflects a minor update to the Washington allocation of 2010 booked renewable
8		energy credit (REC) revenues. This exhibit is an update to pages 1 and 2 of my
9		previously provided Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-3C).
10	Q.	Please describe Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-6C).
11	A.	Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-6C) is a two page summary of the detailed
12		accounting of REC revenues for calendar year 2010. All of the data reflected on
13		the two pages of this exhibit is identical to pages 1 and 2 of Confidential Exhibit
14		No(SJK-3C), except for the Washington CAGW allocation percentage and
15		the Washington-allocated totals shown at the bottom of each page. The 2010
16		CAGW percentage in Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-6C) has been updated as
17		described in the Phase II rebuttal testimony of Company witness R. Bryce Dalley.
18		Due to the change in the 2010 Washington CAGW factor, the Washington
19		allocated totals for 2010 have increased by \$90,772.
20	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
21	A.	Yes.