Docket No. UT-033044 Response Testimony of John F. Finnegan Exhibit JFF-7T Revised February 20, 2004 Page 25 of 56

1	Q.	WHAT ELSE DO THE NUMBERS SUGGEST?
2	A.	The facts suggest that the trigger nominees have not been actively seeking
3		new mass market customers to be served using their own switches. Those
4		minimal quantities are not evidence of "actively providing" service to
5		mass market customers by self-providers of switches. Some of the
6		numbers are so low I would find it easier to believe the number was a
7		result of an error with Qwest's data than evidence that the carrier is
8		actively providing voice service to mass market customers using its own
9		switch.
10	Q.	IF THE COMMISSION ADOPTS WIRE CENTERS AS THE
11		MARKET, IS THE MINIMUM 3-5% THRESHOLD MET
12		ANYWHERE IN WASHINGTON FOR ANY CLEC?
13	A.	No. In only three of seventy-five wire centers (Kent O'Brien, Tacoma
14		Fawcett and Vancouver Oxford) have the CLECs collectively obtained
15		between 2% and 2.7% of the total loops in
1.6		
16		

Docket No. UT-033044 Response Testimony of John F. Finnegan Exhibit JFF-7T Revised February 20, 2004 Page 26 of 56

service. CLECs have obtained between 1% and 2% of the total loops in 1 service in only twelve of the seventy-five wire centers (Bellevue 2 Sherwood, Seattle Atwater, Seattle Campus, Seattle Cherry, Seattle 3 Duwamish, Seattle East, Seattle Elliott, Seattle Lakeview, Seattle Main, 4 5 Seattle Sunset Vancouver North and Olympia Whitehall). IF THE COMMISSION ADOPTS AT&T'S RECOMMENDED 6 Q. 7 CROSS-OVER POINT WILL THAT CHANGE THIS ANALYSIS? It might, but not significantly. The analysis described above was run 8 A. based on "mass market lines" as that term is currently defined. I do not 9 have the information necessary to determine whether the trigger nominee 10 market share of the business customers with 4 (the cross-over point that 11 Owest used to identify mass market loops) to 12 (AT&T's proposed cross-12 over point) lines per premises is greater or less than their market share of 13 14 business customers with 1 to 3 lines per premises. Depending on the answer to that question the numbers could well shift, but it would be very 15 unlikely to shift to anything close to the FCC's minimum 3-5% threshold 16 per trigger nominee. Unfortunately, the data to do that analysis are simply 17 18 not available at this time.

Docket No. UT-033044 Response Testimony of John F. Finnegan Exhibit JFF-7T Revised February 20, 2004 Page 28 of 56

1		expectation that all customers within the defined area will have the benefit
2		of multiple, alternative sources of facilities-based competition. ³²
3	Q.	STARTING WITH THE LATA-LEVEL MARKET PROPOSED BY
4		AT&T, HAS QWEST DEMONSTRATED THAT THERE ARE ANY
5		SELF-PROVIDERS OF SWITCHING ACTIVELY SERVING MASS
6		MARKET CUSTOMERS ACROSS THE WIRE CENTERS IN LATA
7		674?
8	A.	No. There are sixty-eight wire centers in LATA 674 (generally the area
9		around Puget Sound including Seattle, Tacoma, and Olympia). Highly
10		Confidential Exhibit JFF-10HC shows that in the vast majority of the
11		sixty-eight wire centers there are less than three nominees identified and
12		in over half of the total wire centers (thirty-five) there is not even one
13		nominee present. Table 5 below breaks down the serving area of each
14		trigger nominee. *** BEGIN CONFIDENTIAL
15		
16		
17		

³² Drs. Selwyn and Lehr explain in further detail in their direct and response testimony why a trigger nominee must serve at least a substantial portion of the market before it is reasonably counted as a trigger.