

**AVISTA CORP.  
RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

JURISDICTION:	WASHINGTON	DATE PREPARED:	10/18/2017
CASE NO.:	UE-170485 & UG-170486	WITNESS:	Patrick Ehrbar
REQUESTER:	ICNU	RESPONDER:	Pat Ehrbar
TYPE:	Data Request	DEPT:	State & Federal Regulation
REQUEST NO.:	ICNU – 104	TELEPHONE:	(509) 495-8620
		EMAIL:	pat.ehrbar@avistacorp.com

**REQUEST:**

In the 2016 Avista rate case, at Exh. No. PDE-8T at 9:9-10, Company witness Ehrbar stated that “Avista is getting closer to being a dual peaking utility”

- a. Does Avista agree that in the test year in the current case, i.e. 2016, three of the five highest monthly peaks occurred in the summer? If not, please explain why not.
- b. Assuming that in the test year in the current case, i.e. 2016, three of the five highest monthly peaks occurred in the summer, would Mr. Ehrbar agree that Avista is now a dual peaking utility? If not, please explain your answer.

**RESPONSE:**

- a. Three of the five highest peaks in 2016 did occur in the summer, as the winter of 2016 was warmer than normal.
- b. As stated in the referenced testimony, it is the view of the Company that it is becoming closer to being a dual peaking utility.