Ι	
2	
3	
4	

5

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

DOCKET UT-190209

Complainant, v.

QWEST CORPORATION d/b/a CENTURYLINK QC,

Respondent.

6	
7	
8	
9	PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
10	
11	
12	RANDOM MILLS
13	for
14	CENTURYLINK
15	
16	
17	
18	FEBRUARY 13, 2020
19	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RANDOM MILLS DOCKET NO. UT-190209

EXH. RM-3TC PAGE NO. 1

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name and job title.
3	A.	Random Mills, Senior Voice Engineer with Intrado Life & Safety, Inc. (fka West Safety
4		Services, Inc.) ("Intrado").
5	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony in this case?
6	A.	Yes, on January 9, 2020 I filed response testimony addressing the issues raised by Staff
7		in its testimony and Investigation Report.
8		
9		II. SCOPE AND PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
11	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to portions of Susan Baldwin's testimony
12		which was filed by Public Counsel on January 9, 2020.
13		
14		III. DISCUSSION
15	Q.	Please describe your familiarity with the 911 interruption that occurred on July 12,
16		2017.
17	A.	I was personally involved in the maintenance event that led to this partial 911
18		interruption. I was the technician at Intrado that immediately noticed the incident and
19		started rolling back the changes to resolve the 911 interruption. I also personally worked
		JTTAL TESTIMONY OF RANDOM MILLSEXH. RM-3TCKET NO. UT-190209PAGE NO. 2

REDACTED

1		with our switch vendor to identify the issue that caused the interruption and to find a	
2		solution. Additionally, I participated in drafting the Reason for Outage (RFO) docume	ent
3		provided to CenturyLink.	
4	Q.	Ms. Baldwin describes the reason for the outage as a "failed software update"	
5		(SMB-1CT, pp.6:20-7:7). Is this an accurate description of what caused the outag	ge?
6	A.	No. As explained in my previously submitted testimony, the partial interruption on Ju	ly
7		12, 2017 resulted from a failed data import from the trunk provisioning server that	
8		maintained the trunk provisioning database for the Englewood, CO switch. There was	no
9		"software update" occurring on July 12, 2017 related to the interruption.	
10	Q.	Ms. Baldwin discusses CenturyLink's post-2014 management of the state's 911	
11		system at page 20 of her testimony. Can you respond to that testimony?	
12	A.	The Commission stated that it recognized that no system is foolproof, but that it expect	ted
13		CenturyLink to have "adequate management and oversight systems in place to both	
14		reduce the risks of errors occurring and also to have systems in place to provide	
15		awareness of outages and to restore 911 service as rapidly as possible."	
16		Ms. Baldwin's testimony quotes a 2016 statement from the Commission that is fully	
17		consistent Intrado's project management of its 911 system, switch upgrade project, and	ł
18		incident response to the interruption on July 12, 2017. Foremost, the Commission	
19		statement acknowledges that no system is foolproof and errors will inevitably occur, b	ut
20		what is important is adequate management and oversight to both reduce the risk of error	or
21		occurrence and also to have systems in place for outage awareness and rapid service	
22		restoration. That aim is exactly what Intrado achieved in its management of the switch	1
23		upgrade project and response to the July 12, 2017 interruption. Ms. Baldwin,	
		TTAL TESTIMONY OF RANDOM MILLS EXH. RM-3 TET NO. UT-190209 PAGE NO	

REDACTED

1 2 nevertheless, appears to ignore the Commission's stated expectation in 2016 by calling for a foolproof system in her testimony.

3 Although Ms. Baldwin is correct that Intrado experienced a previous outage in Washington on April 9-10, 2014, the 2014 and 2017 outages were very different in cause, 4 duration, scope and impact. The 2014 outage lasted more than six hours, impeded over 5 6 6,600 calls to 911 across multiple states with 5,684 of those calls in Washington, resulted 7 from a trunk assignment counter exceeding its configured threshold, and was accompanied by deficient alarming and delayed root cause identification and service 8 9 restoration. After the 2014 outage, Intrado implemented a multi-year project plan to improve process planning and incident response, change event management, methods of 10 11 procedure, outage alarming, identification, response and recovery, network and hardware 12 improvement, testing and probing, and repair. These steps vastly improved Intrado's management and oversight of its network and processes to both reduce the risk of error 13 14 occurrence and advance outage awareness and rapid service restoration. I firmly believe that these improvements directly contributed to Intrado's detailed project planning and 15 oversight of the switch upgrade event in 2017, which significantly reduced the duration 16 and scope of the partial July 12, 2017 service interruption. These process and oversight 17 improvements also directly contributed to Intrado's swift identification and service 18 19 restoration for the July 12, 2017 interruption.

20 Unfortunately, as the Commission acknowledged in its 2016 statement quoted by Ms. 21 Baldwin, no 911 system is foolproof and errors do occur like the unforeseeable server 22 error that caused the 2017 interruption. What matters most is operator oversight and 23 management of their systems to reduce the risks of errors and to provide rapid outage 24 awareness and service restoration. By fulfilling these objectives in the 2017 switch

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RANDOM MILLS DOCKET NO. UT-190209 EXH. RM-3TC PAGE NO. 4

REDACTED

EXH. RM-3TC Docket UT-190209 Witness: RANDOM MILLS

1		upgrade and interruption, Intrado was able to limit the scope of the July 2017 interruption
2		to a partial outage with limited call impact compared to major, multi-state outages like
3		the 2014 event. The July 2017 incident was the only service interruption during our
4		entire year-long project implementation to upgrade our end-of-life voice switches. I
5		believe this switch upgrade was critical for 911 network reliability and carriers should not
6		be discouraged from such upgrades by unreasonable regulatory enforcement and
7		penalties.
8	Q.	Can you elaborate on how the switch upgrade project was implemented so as to
9		ensure adequate management and oversight systems were in place to reduce the
10		risks of errors and to provide rapid awareness and restoral of the 2017 partial 911
11		interruption?
12	A.	The underlying switch upgrade project connected to the July 12, 2017 incident was
13		planned and implemented over a two-year period (a year of planning and a year of
14		implementing). Intrado employed a number of managerial and oversight steps to reduce
15		the risk of errors during the switch upgrade project and to provide rapid awareness and
16		service restoral of the July 12, 2017 interruption.
17		First, the switch upgrade project was planned and implemented in methodical fashion
18		with voice traffic migrating to the new switch in segments to minimize and isolate
19		potential network impact. Intrado accomplished by using
		. This feature also avoided the high risk and costly scenario of
23		originating carriers having to re-order their trunk groups with new points codes directed
24		to Intrado.
		TTAL TESTIMONY OF RANDOM MILLS EXH. RM-3TC ET NO. UT-190209 PAGE NO. 5 REDACTED

REDACTED

1	Second, due to the large quantity of 911 calls in Washington, we intentionally planned
2	the Washington migration at the end of our project timeline.
Z	the washington migration at the end of our project timenne.
3	Third, traffic was selectively migrated in small segments during short, off-hour
4	maintenance windows in the middle of the night in order to minimize and isolate
5	potential network issues in accordance with the Intrado/CenturyLink service agreement.
6	As a result, the interruption was both relatively short in duration and scope, with prompt
7	root cause identification and only a small number migrated trunks affected by the
8	incident.
9	Fourth, we applied two-stage data validation prior to all traffic migration, which included
10	a check of all trunk data from the legacy switch and a check of all data after database
11	conversions. These pre-validation steps did not reveal any errors in the database export
12	and transfer.
13	Fifth, Intrado deployed appropriate network alarms during the switch project, which
14	worked as designed by identifying the lack of ITG tags for the affected 911 calls during
15	the partial interruption on July 12, 2017.
16	Sixth, during the service interruption, we correctly returned the affected calls to the
17	originating service providers (OSPs) with the appropriate cause code 34. At that point,
18	the OSPs were responsible for advance routing their 911 calls to our redundant switch in
19	Miami, which was fully functional during the incident.
20	Lastly, Intrado identified and responded promptly to the July 12, 2017 incident, and
21	internal fault management protocols were triggered. Intrado engaged in direct
22	communication with CenturyLink – each company has a network operations center
23	(NOC) and NOC-to-NOC communication was set up immediately. Intrado then forced a
	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RANDOM MILLSEXH. RM-3TCDOCKET NO. UT-190209PAGE NO. 6
	DEDACTED

REDACTED

1		busy condition on the affected trunks, which in turn forced 911 calls to automatically
2		alternate route to our switch in Miami.
3		In sum, the relevant facts and circumstances demonstrate the switch upgrade project was
4		implemented so as to ensure adequate management and oversight systems were in place
5		to reduce the risks of errors and to provide rapid awareness and restoral of the 2017
6		partial 911 interruption.
7	Q.	Was the upgrade project performed all at once or in phases?
8	A.	As mentioned, the upgrade was a two-year long project (a year of planning and a year of
9		implementing) that Intrado rolled out methodically in phases across the country
10		according to a detailed project plan.
11	Q.	When did the Washington portion of the upgrade start and finish?
12	A.	The Washington phase of the project started on May 24, 2017 and ended on August 2,
13		2017, near the end of our project timeline. Again, in keeping with the methodical fashion
14		of the project, the migration in Washington was broken into four phases, correlating with
15		the four colocation points in Washington. We successfully completed the first two
16		phases of the project by migrating the trunk groups in Liberty Lake and Yakima to our
17		new switch. The next phase, involving the Seattle colocation, was scheduled to be
18		implemented over two separate parts due to the large call volume associated with this
19		colocation. The final phase of the Washington traffic migration to the new switch was
20		the colocation point in Tukwila. The interruption on July 12, 2017 occurred during the
21		first part of the Seattle colocation migration. After resolution of the service interruption
22		on July 12, 2017, Intrado completed the remaining migration work for the switch upgrade
23		project without incident.

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF RANDOM MILLS DOCKET NO. UT-190209 EXH. RM-3TC PAGE NO. 7

REDACTED

EXH. RM-3TC Docket UT-190209 Witness: RANDOM MILLS

1	Q.	Did other similar errors occur over the course of the upgrade project?
2	A.	No. Intrado is not aware of any other similar errors that occurred either before or after
3		the interruption on July 12, 2017.
4	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
5	A.	Yes.

EXH. RM-3TC PAGE NO. 8