Avista Corp. 1411 East Mission P.O. Box 3727 Spokane. Washington 99220-0500 Telephone 509-489-0500 Toll Free 800-727-9170

MIS1 Corp.

September 24, 2015

Sent via Overnight Mail

Mr. Steven King Executive Director & Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW P. O. Box 47250 Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 TECORDS MANAGEMENT 2015 SEP 25 AN 9: 30 STATE OF WASH UTIL. AND TRANSH COMMISSION

1

Re: Docket No. Dockets UE-150204 and UG-150205 Bench Requests No. 3

Dear Mr. King:

Please find enclosed for filing with the Commission an original and 6 copies Avista's responses to Bench Requests No. 3 issued by Administrative Law Judge Marguerite Friedlander.

Please direct any questions related to this filing to Liz Andrews at (509) 495-8601.

Sincerely,

11-

Daviet Meyer VP and Chief Counsel for Regulatory and Governmental Affairs

Enclosures

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that I have served the Avista Corporation's response to Bench Request No. 3 in Avista's Electric and Gas General Rate Case Filing (UE-150204 and UG-150205), by mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid to the following:

mailing a copy thereof, postage prepaid to the following:	
Steven King	Simon ffitch
Executive Director & Secretary	Lisa Gafken
Washington Utilities and Trans. Comm.	Office Of The Attorney General
1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW	Public Counsel Section
Olympia, WA 98504-7250	800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
sking@utc.wa.gov	Seattle, WA 98104-3188
	Simonf@atg.wa.gov
	Lisa.Gafken@atg.wa.gov
Mark Vasconi	Ronald L. Roseman
Washington Utilities and Trans. Comm.	Attorney At Law
1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW	2011 14 <sup>th</sup> Avenue East
Olympia, WA 98504-7250	Seattle, WA 98112
mvasconi@utc.wa.gov	ronaldroseman@comcast.net
Chad Stokes	Brett Shearer
Tommy Brooks	Patrick Oshie
Cable Huston Benedict	Washington Utilities & Transportation
Haagensen & Lloyd LLP	Commission
1001 SW Fifth Avenue, Ste 2000	P.O. Box 40128
Portland, OR 97204-1136	Olympia,WA 98504-0128
cstokes@cablehuston.com	bshearer@utc.wa.gov
tbrooks@cablehuston.com	poshie@utc.wa.gov
Shawn Collins	Melinda Davison
The Energy Project	Joshua Weber
1111 Cornwall Ave	Davison Van Cleve, P.C.
Bellingham, WA 98225	333 S.W. Taylor, Suite 400
Shawn_Collins@oppco.org	Portland, OR 97204
	mjd@dvclaw.com
	jdw@dvclaw.com
Edward A. Finklea	Tom Schooley
Executive Director	Washington Utilities and Trans. Comm.
Northwest Industrial Gas Users	1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. SW
326 Fifth Street	Olympia, WA 98504-7250
Lake Oswego, OR 97034	tschooley@utc.wa.gov
efinklea@nwigu.org	

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Dated at Spokane, Washington this 24<sup>th</sup> day of September

Juli

Paul Kimball Sr. Regulatory Analyst

## AVISTA CORP. RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

09/22/2015 JURISDICTION: WASHINGTON DATE PREPARED: CASE NO: UE-150204 & UG-150205 WITNESS: Kelly Norwood **RESPONDER:** Kelly Norwood **REQUESTER:** Bench State & Federal Regulation TYPE: **Bench Request** DEPT: **REQUEST NO.:** (509) 495-4267 Bench Request No. 3 **TELEPHONE: EMAIL**: kelly.norwood@avistacorp.com

## **REQUEST:**

Bench Request No. 3: With regard to Kelly O. Norwood's exhibit, KON-1T at 40:20-41:2:

Is Avista requesting Commission preapproval of the prudence of a decision to deploy and install an Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) in this case?

## **RESPONSE:**

In these Dockets Avista has presented cost and benefit analyses related to its proposal to move forward with the deployment of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI). These analyses show that the benefits are equal to or greater than the costs associated with deployment of AMI, over the life of the system. Both the costs and benefits are necessarily based on estimates, including those that are more easily estimated, and those that are more difficult to estimate. The Company has endeavored to identify all costs and benefits. In some instances benefits are identified, but no estimated dollar amount has been provided, and with regard to other benefits, as explained in Avista's direct and rebuttal testimony, the Company has been conservative (toward understating the benefits) in its estimate of benefits.

As stated in the prefiled rebuttal testimony of Company witness Mr. Norwood (Exhibit No.\_\_\_(KON-1T)) at p. 3, ll. 7-11, "Avista is requesting, therefore, Commission approval of the Company's <u>decision</u>, in principle, to move forward with AMI, but with the understanding that Avista would present a showing in its next general rate case on the prudence of any new investment dollars and operating costs associated with AMI." (emphasis in the original)

Accordingly, Avista is not asking for preapproval of the costs associated with implementation of AMI and their recovery in rates – that will be the subject of a prudence review in Avista's next general rate filing. Avista is requesting in this docket, however, an affirmation that the Company should proceed with the implementation of AMI, so long as the costs of implementation are prudently incurred.

