

**EXHIBIT NO. ___(DWH-13)
DOCKET NO. UE-072300/UG-072301
2007 PSE GENERAL RATE CASE
WITNESS: DAVID W. HOFF**

**BEFORE THE
WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

**WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,**

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.,

Respondent.

**Docket No. UE-072300
Docket No. UG-072301**

**THIRD EXHIBIT (NONCONFIDENTIAL) TO THE
PREFILED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
DAVID W. HOFF
ON BEHALF OF PUGET SOUND ENERGY, INC.**

JULY 3, 2008

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bills of an Electric Customer with an Above Average Usage Profile

| Month | kwhs | Current Rates | Public | | Impact of Public | | Impact of Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|--------|---------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Counsel Rate | Design | Company Proposal | Counsel Rate | | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 945 | \$ 85.42 | \$ 94.98 | \$ 95.14 | \$ 9.56 | \$ 9.72 | \$ 0.15 | |
| Oct | 1,009 | \$ 91.58 | \$ 101.89 | \$ 101.76 | \$ 10.30 | \$ 10.18 | \$ (0.13) | |
| Nov | 1,302 | \$ 119.46 | \$ 133.11 | \$ 131.72 | \$ 13.66 | \$ 12.26 | \$ (1.40) | |
| Dec | 1,645 | \$ 152.25 | \$ 169.86 | \$ 166.96 | \$ 17.61 | \$ 14.71 | \$ (2.90) | |
| Jan | 1,804 | \$ 167.40 | \$ 186.83 | \$ 183.24 | \$ 19.43 | \$ 15.84 | \$ (3.59) | |
| Feb | 1,703 | \$ 157.75 | \$ 176.02 | \$ 172.87 | \$ 18.27 | \$ 15.12 | \$ (3.15) | |
| Mar | 1,481 | \$ 136.62 | \$ 152.35 | \$ 150.16 | \$ 15.73 | \$ 13.54 | \$ (2.18) | |
| Apr | 1,265 | \$ 116.01 | \$ 129.25 | \$ 128.01 | \$ 13.24 | \$ 12.00 | \$ (1.24) | |
| May | 1,124 | \$ 102.53 | \$ 114.15 | \$ 113.53 | \$ 11.62 | \$ 11.00 | \$ (0.63) | |
| Jun | 1,024 | \$ 92.96 | \$ 103.43 | \$ 103.24 | \$ 10.47 | \$ 10.28 | \$ (0.19) | |
| Jul | 961 | \$ 86.94 | \$ 96.68 | \$ 96.77 | \$ 9.74 | \$ 9.83 | \$ 0.09 | |
| Aug | 904 | \$ 81.54 | \$ 90.64 | \$ 90.97 | \$ 9.09 | \$ 9.43 | \$ 0.33 | |
| Year | 15,167 | \$ 1,390.46 | \$ 1,549.19 | \$ 1,534.37 | \$ 158.73 | \$ 143.91 | \$ (14.83) | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower kWh rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ___(JHS-13) and rebuttal rate spread presented in Exhibit No. ___(DWH-10T)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bills of an Electric Customer with an Average Usage Profile

| Month | kwhs | Current Rates | Public | | Impact of Public | | Impact of Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|--------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 727 | \$ 64.67 | \$ 71.73 | \$ 72.83 | \$ 7.06 | \$ 8.16 | \$ 1.10 | |
| Oct | 909 | \$ 82.02 | \$ 91.18 | \$ 91.49 | \$ 9.15 | \$ 9.46 | \$ 0.31 | |
| Nov | 1,139 | \$ 103.96 | \$ 115.75 | \$ 115.06 | \$ 11.79 | \$ 11.10 | \$ (0.69) | |
| Dec | 1,321 | \$ 121.32 | \$ 135.20 | \$ 133.71 | \$ 13.88 | \$ 12.40 | \$ (1.48) | |
| Jan | 1,357 | \$ 124.75 | \$ 139.05 | \$ 137.40 | \$ 14.30 | \$ 12.66 | \$ (1.64) | |
| Feb | 1,084 | \$ 98.71 | \$ 109.87 | \$ 109.42 | \$ 11.16 | \$ 10.71 | \$ (0.45) | |
| Mar | 1,058 | \$ 96.23 | \$ 107.10 | \$ 106.76 | \$ 10.86 | \$ 10.52 | \$ (0.34) | |
| Apr | 893 | \$ 80.50 | \$ 89.47 | \$ 89.85 | \$ 8.97 | \$ 9.35 | \$ 0.38 | |
| May | 789 | \$ 70.58 | \$ 78.35 | \$ 79.19 | \$ 7.77 | \$ 8.61 | \$ 0.83 | |
| Jun | 706 | \$ 62.66 | \$ 69.49 | \$ 70.68 | \$ 6.82 | \$ 8.01 | \$ 1.19 | |
| Jul | 716 | \$ 63.62 | \$ 70.55 | \$ 71.70 | \$ 6.94 | \$ 8.09 | \$ 1.15 | |
| Aug | 690 | \$ 61.14 | \$ 67.78 | \$ 69.04 | \$ 6.64 | \$ 7.90 | \$ 1.26 | |
| Year | 11,389 | \$ 1,030.16 | \$ 1,145.50 | \$ 1,147.13 | \$ 115.35 | \$ 116.97 | \$ 1.62 | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower kWh rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ___(JHS-13) and rebuttal rate spread presented in Exhibit No. ___(DWH-10T)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bills of an Electric Customer with a Lower Than Average Usage Profile

| Month | kwhs | Current Rates | Public | | Company Proposal | Impact of | Impact of | Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|-------|---------------|---------------------|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------------|
| | | | Counsel Rate Design | Rate | | Public Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 477 | \$ 43.04 | \$ 47.49 | \$ 49.42 | \$ 4.46 | \$ 6.38 | \$ 1.93 | |
| Oct | 490 | \$ 44.02 | \$ 48.60 | \$ 50.49 | \$ 4.58 | \$ 6.47 | \$ 1.90 | |
| Nov | 591 | \$ 51.85 | \$ 57.37 | \$ 59.04 | \$ 5.52 | \$ 7.19 | \$ 1.68 | |
| Dec | 712 | \$ 63.22 | \$ 70.11 | \$ 71.28 | \$ 6.89 | \$ 8.06 | \$ 1.17 | |
| Jan | 772 | \$ 68.96 | \$ 76.54 | \$ 77.44 | \$ 7.58 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 0.91 | |
| Feb | 708 | \$ 62.84 | \$ 69.68 | \$ 70.87 | \$ 6.84 | \$ 8.03 | \$ 1.19 | |
| Mar | 634 | \$ 55.84 | \$ 61.84 | \$ 63.35 | \$ 6.00 | \$ 7.50 | \$ 1.51 | |
| Apr | 552 | \$ 48.81 | \$ 53.96 | \$ 55.72 | \$ 5.15 | \$ 6.91 | \$ 1.76 | |
| May | 511 | \$ 45.64 | \$ 50.41 | \$ 52.26 | \$ 4.77 | \$ 6.62 | \$ 1.85 | |
| Jun | 495 | \$ 44.38 | \$ 49.00 | \$ 50.89 | \$ 4.62 | \$ 6.51 | \$ 1.89 | |
| Jul | 480 | \$ 43.22 | \$ 47.70 | \$ 49.62 | \$ 4.48 | \$ 6.40 | \$ 1.92 | |
| Aug | 463 | \$ 41.93 | \$ 46.25 | \$ 48.21 | \$ 4.32 | \$ 6.28 | \$ 1.96 | |
| Year | 6,884 | \$ 613.75 | \$ 678.95 | \$ 698.60 | \$ 65.20 | \$ 84.85 | \$ 19.65 | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower kWh rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ___(JHS-13) and rebuttal rate spread presented in Exhibit No. ___(DWH-10T)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bill Assisted Customers
Bills of an Electric Customer with an Above Average Usage Profile

| Month | kwhs | Current Rates | Public | | Impact of Public | | Impact of Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|--------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 911 | \$ 82.22 | \$ 91.39 | \$ 91.69 | \$ 9.18 | \$ 9.48 | \$ 0.30 | |
| Oct | 1,045 | \$ 95.04 | \$ 105.75 | \$ 105.47 | \$ 10.72 | \$ 10.43 | \$ (0.28) | |
| Nov | 1,433 | \$ 132.01 | \$ 147.18 | \$ 145.21 | \$ 15.17 | \$ 13.20 | \$ (1.97) | |
| Dec | 1,900 | \$ 176.57 | \$ 197.11 | \$ 193.10 | \$ 20.54 | \$ 16.53 | \$ (4.01) | |
| Jan | 2,080 | \$ 193.66 | \$ 216.25 | \$ 211.47 | \$ 22.60 | \$ 17.81 | \$ (4.79) | |
| Feb | 2,035 | \$ 189.40 | \$ 211.49 | \$ 206.89 | \$ 22.08 | \$ 17.49 | \$ (4.59) | |
| Mar | 1,731 | \$ 160.41 | \$ 179.00 | \$ 175.73 | \$ 18.59 | \$ 15.32 | \$ (3.27) | |
| Apr | 1,444 | \$ 133.09 | \$ 148.39 | \$ 146.36 | \$ 15.30 | \$ 13.28 | \$ (2.02) | |
| May | 1,248 | \$ 114.32 | \$ 127.37 | \$ 126.20 | \$ 13.04 | \$ 11.88 | \$ (1.16) | |
| Jun | 1,054 | \$ 95.89 | \$ 106.72 | \$ 106.39 | \$ 10.82 | \$ 10.50 | \$ (0.32) | |
| Jul | 921 | \$ 83.17 | \$ 92.46 | \$ 92.72 | \$ 9.29 | \$ 9.55 | \$ 0.26 | |
| Aug | 831 | \$ 74.62 | \$ 82.88 | \$ 83.53 | \$ 8.26 | \$ 8.91 | \$ 0.65 | |
| Year | 16,634 | \$ 1,530.40 | \$ 1,705.98 | \$ 1,684.77 | \$ 175.59 | \$ 154.37 | \$ (21.21) | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower kWh rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ____ (JHS-13) and rebuttal rate spread presented in Exhibit No. ____ (DWH-10T)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bill Assisted Customers
Bills of an Electric Customer with an Average Usage Profile

| Month | kwhs | Current Rates | Public | | Impact of Public | | Impact of Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|--------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 773 | \$ 69.05 | \$ 76.64 | \$ 77.54 | \$ 7.59 | \$ 8.49 | \$ 0.90 | |
| Oct | 1,032 | \$ 93.77 | \$ 104.34 | \$ 104.11 | \$ 10.57 | \$ 10.34 | \$ (0.23) | |
| Nov | 1,350 | \$ 124.09 | \$ 138.31 | \$ 136.70 | \$ 14.22 | \$ 12.61 | \$ (1.61) | |
| Dec | 1,580 | \$ 146.01 | \$ 162.87 | \$ 160.26 | \$ 16.86 | \$ 14.25 | \$ (2.61) | |
| Jan | 1,652 | \$ 152.88 | \$ 170.56 | \$ 167.64 | \$ 17.68 | \$ 14.76 | \$ (2.93) | |
| Feb | 1,308 | \$ 120.03 | \$ 133.76 | \$ 132.33 | \$ 13.73 | \$ 12.30 | \$ (1.43) | |
| Mar | 1,259 | \$ 115.38 | \$ 128.55 | \$ 127.33 | \$ 13.17 | \$ 11.96 | \$ (1.21) | |
| Apr | 1,040 | \$ 94.55 | \$ 105.21 | \$ 104.94 | \$ 10.66 | \$ 10.40 | \$ (0.26) | |
| May | 875 | \$ 78.80 | \$ 87.57 | \$ 88.03 | \$ 8.76 | \$ 9.22 | \$ 0.46 | |
| Jun | 741 | \$ 66.01 | \$ 73.23 | \$ 74.27 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 8.26 | \$ 1.04 | |
| Jul | 714 | \$ 63.43 | \$ 70.34 | \$ 71.50 | \$ 6.91 | \$ 8.07 | \$ 1.16 | |
| Aug | 709 | \$ 62.94 | \$ 69.80 | \$ 70.98 | \$ 6.85 | \$ 8.04 | \$ 1.18 | |
| Year | 13,033 | \$ 1,186.94 | \$ 1,321.17 | \$ 1,315.63 | \$ 134.23 | \$ 128.69 | \$ (5.53) | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower kWh rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ___(JHS-13) and rebuttal rate spread presented in Exhibit No. ___(DWH-10T)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bill Assisted Customers
Bills of an Electric Customer with a Lower Than Average Usage Profile

| Month | kwhs | Current Rates | Public | | Company Proposal | Impact of Public Counsel Rate | Impact of Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|-------|---------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Counsel Design | Rate | | Design | Proposal | Charge * |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 490 | \$ 44.03 | \$ 48.60 | \$ 50.50 | \$ 4.58 | \$ 6.47 | \$ 1.90 | |
| Oct | 529 | \$ 47.05 | \$ 52.00 | \$ 53.81 | \$ 4.94 | \$ 6.75 | \$ 1.81 | |
| Nov | 697 | \$ 61.79 | \$ 68.51 | \$ 69.74 | \$ 6.72 | \$ 7.95 | \$ 1.23 | |
| Dec | 895 | \$ 80.69 | \$ 89.68 | \$ 90.05 | \$ 8.99 | \$ 9.36 | \$ 0.37 | |
| Jan | 980 | \$ 88.84 | \$ 98.81 | \$ 98.81 | \$ 9.97 | \$ 9.97 | \$ (0.00) | |
| Feb | 927 | \$ 83.71 | \$ 93.07 | \$ 93.30 | \$ 9.36 | \$ 9.59 | \$ 0.23 | |
| Mar | 808 | \$ 72.38 | \$ 80.37 | \$ 81.12 | \$ 7.99 | \$ 8.74 | \$ 0.75 | |
| Apr | 680 | \$ 60.18 | \$ 66.70 | \$ 68.01 | \$ 6.52 | \$ 7.83 | \$ 1.31 | |
| May | 599 | \$ 52.47 | \$ 58.06 | \$ 59.72 | \$ 5.59 | \$ 7.25 | \$ 1.66 | |
| Jun | 541 | \$ 48.01 | \$ 53.07 | \$ 54.85 | \$ 5.06 | \$ 6.84 | \$ 1.78 | |
| Jul | 494 | \$ 44.34 | \$ 48.95 | \$ 50.84 | \$ 4.61 | \$ 6.50 | \$ 1.89 | |
| Aug | 465 | \$ 42.09 | \$ 46.44 | \$ 48.39 | \$ 4.34 | \$ 6.30 | \$ 1.95 | |
| Year | 8,105 | \$ 725.59 | \$ 804.26 | \$ 819.15 | \$ 78.67 | \$ 93.56 | \$ 14.89 | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower kWh rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ____ (JHS-13) and rebuttal rate spread presented in Exhibit No. ____ (DWH-10T)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bills of a Gas Customer with an Above Average Usage Profile

| Month | therms | Current Rates | Public Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | Impact of Public Counsel Rate Design | Impact of Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|--------|---------------|----------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d |
| Sep | 34 | \$ 46.79 | \$ 49.18 | \$ 53.94 | \$ 2.40 | \$ 7.16 | \$ 4.76 |
| Oct | 74 | \$ 93.18 | \$ 98.46 | \$ 97.21 | \$ 5.29 | \$ 4.03 | \$ (1.25) |
| Nov | 127 | \$ 153.50 | \$ 162.54 | \$ 153.47 | \$ 9.04 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (9.07) |
| Dec | 163 | \$ 194.43 | \$ 206.02 | \$ 191.65 | \$ 11.59 | \$ (2.78) | \$ (14.37) |
| Jan | 172 | \$ 204.69 | \$ 216.92 | \$ 201.22 | \$ 12.23 | \$ (3.47) | \$ (15.70) |
| Feb | 127 | \$ 153.13 | \$ 162.15 | \$ 153.13 | \$ 9.02 | \$ (0.00) | \$ (9.02) |
| Mar | 114 | \$ 138.00 | \$ 146.08 | \$ 139.02 | \$ 8.08 | \$ 1.02 | \$ (7.06) |
| Apr | 82 | \$ 101.70 | \$ 107.52 | \$ 105.16 | \$ 5.82 | \$ 3.46 | \$ (2.36) |
| May | 53 | \$ 68.20 | \$ 71.93 | \$ 73.92 | \$ 3.73 | \$ 5.72 | \$ 1.98 |
| Jun | 32 | \$ 45.00 | \$ 47.28 | \$ 52.27 | \$ 2.29 | \$ 7.28 | \$ 4.99 |
| Jul | 23 | \$ 34.28 | \$ 35.90 | \$ 42.28 | \$ 1.62 | \$ 8.00 | \$ 6.38 |
| Aug | 23 | \$ 34.13 | \$ 35.74 | \$ 42.14 | \$ 1.61 | \$ 8.01 | \$ 6.40 |
| Year | 1,023 | \$ 1,267.03 | \$ 1,339.74 | \$ 1,305.42 | \$ 72.71 | \$ 38.39 | \$ (34.32) |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower therm rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ___(KRK-13)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bills of a Gas Customer with an Average Usage Profile

| Month | therms | Current Rates | Public | | Impact of | | Impact of | Impact of |
|-------|--------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | | | Counsel Rate | Company | Counsel Rate | Company | | |
| a | b | c | Design | Proposal | Design | Proposal | Basic | Charge * |
| | | | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 27 | \$ 39.07 | \$ 40.99 | \$ 46.75 | \$ 1.92 | \$ 7.68 | \$ 5.76 | |
| Oct | 58 | \$ 74.46 | \$ 78.58 | \$ 79.76 | \$ 4.12 | \$ 5.29 | \$ 1.17 | |
| Nov | 101 | \$ 123.55 | \$ 130.73 | \$ 125.54 | \$ 7.18 | \$ 1.99 | \$ (5.19) | |
| Dec | 131 | \$ 157.80 | \$ 167.11 | \$ 157.48 | \$ 9.31 | \$ (0.31) | \$ (9.62) | |
| Jan | 137 | \$ 164.65 | \$ 174.38 | \$ 163.87 | \$ 9.74 | \$ (0.78) | \$ (10.51) | |
| Feb | 100 | \$ 122.41 | \$ 129.52 | \$ 124.48 | \$ 7.11 | \$ 2.07 | \$ (5.04) | |
| Mar | 89 | \$ 109.85 | \$ 116.18 | \$ 112.76 | \$ 6.32 | \$ 2.91 | \$ (3.41) | |
| Apr | 64 | \$ 81.31 | \$ 85.86 | \$ 86.14 | \$ 4.55 | \$ 4.83 | \$ 0.29 | |
| May | 41 | \$ 55.06 | \$ 57.97 | \$ 61.66 | \$ 2.91 | \$ 6.60 | \$ 3.69 | |
| Jun | 26 | \$ 37.93 | \$ 39.78 | \$ 45.68 | \$ 1.85 | \$ 7.75 | \$ 5.90 | |
| Jul | 19 | \$ 29.94 | \$ 31.29 | \$ 38.23 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 8.29 | \$ 6.94 | |
| Aug | 19 | \$ 29.94 | \$ 31.29 | \$ 38.23 | \$ 1.35 | \$ 8.29 | \$ 6.94 | |
| Year | 812 | \$ 1,025.97 | \$ 1,083.67 | \$ 1,080.59 | \$ 57.70 | \$ 54.61 | \$ (3.09) | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower therm rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ____ (KRK-13)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bills of a Gas Customer with a Lower Than Average Usage Profile

| Month | therms | Current Rates | Public | | Impact of | | Impact of | |
|-------|--------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | | | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | Public Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 18 | \$ 28.74 | \$ 30.01 | \$ 37.11 | \$ 1.28 | \$ 8.37 | \$ 7.10 | |
| Oct | 40 | \$ 53.47 | \$ 56.28 | \$ 60.17 | \$ 2.81 | \$ 6.71 | \$ 3.89 | |
| Nov | 73 | \$ 91.49 | \$ 96.67 | \$ 95.64 | \$ 5.18 | \$ 4.15 | \$ (1.03) | |
| Dec | 96 | \$ 117.94 | \$ 124.77 | \$ 120.31 | \$ 6.83 | \$ 2.37 | \$ (4.46) | |
| Jan | 102 | \$ 124.82 | \$ 132.07 | \$ 126.72 | \$ 7.26 | \$ 1.90 | \$ (5.35) | |
| Feb | 74 | \$ 92.27 | \$ 97.50 | \$ 96.37 | \$ 5.23 | \$ 4.10 | \$ (1.13) | |
| Mar | 64 | \$ 81.40 | \$ 85.95 | \$ 86.23 | \$ 4.55 | \$ 4.83 | \$ 0.27 | |
| Apr | 45 | \$ 59.29 | \$ 62.47 | \$ 65.61 | \$ 3.18 | \$ 6.31 | \$ 3.14 | |
| May | 28 | \$ 40.07 | \$ 42.05 | \$ 47.68 | \$ 1.98 | \$ 7.61 | \$ 5.63 | |
| Jun | 18 | \$ 28.25 | \$ 29.50 | \$ 36.66 | \$ 1.25 | \$ 8.40 | \$ 7.16 | |
| Jul | 14 | \$ 23.80 | \$ 24.77 | \$ 32.50 | \$ 0.97 | \$ 8.70 | \$ 7.74 | |
| Aug | 13 | \$ 23.59 | \$ 24.54 | \$ 32.30 | \$ 0.95 | \$ 8.72 | \$ 7.76 | |
| Year | 584 | \$ 765.13 | \$ 806.59 | \$ 837.30 | \$ 41.46 | \$ 72.17 | \$ 30.70 | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower therm rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ____ (KRK-13)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bill Assisted Customers
Bills of a Gas Customer with an Above Average Usage Profile

| Month | therms | Current Rates | Public | | Impact of Public | | Impact of Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|--------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 31 | \$ 43.38 | \$ 45.57 | \$ 50.76 | \$ 2.19 | \$ 7.39 | \$ 5.20 | |
| Oct | 67 | \$ 84.47 | \$ 89.21 | \$ 89.09 | \$ 4.74 | \$ 4.62 | \$ (0.12) | |
| Nov | 114 | \$ 137.93 | \$ 146.00 | \$ 138.95 | \$ 8.07 | \$ 1.02 | \$ (7.05) | |
| Dec | 145 | \$ 173.66 | \$ 183.96 | \$ 172.28 | \$ 10.30 | \$ (1.38) | \$ (11.68) | |
| Jan | 154 | \$ 183.95 | \$ 194.89 | \$ 181.88 | \$ 10.94 | \$ (2.07) | \$ (13.01) | |
| Feb | 114 | \$ 138.44 | \$ 146.54 | \$ 139.43 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 0.99 | \$ (7.12) | |
| Mar | 102 | \$ 124.21 | \$ 131.42 | \$ 126.15 | \$ 7.22 | \$ 1.95 | \$ (5.27) | |
| Apr | 74 | \$ 92.92 | \$ 98.19 | \$ 96.97 | \$ 5.27 | \$ 4.05 | \$ (1.22) | |
| May | 48 | \$ 63.48 | \$ 66.92 | \$ 69.52 | \$ 3.44 | \$ 6.03 | \$ 2.59 | |
| Jun | 30 | \$ 42.88 | \$ 45.03 | \$ 50.30 | \$ 2.16 | \$ 7.42 | \$ 5.26 | |
| Jul | 22 | \$ 33.34 | \$ 34.90 | \$ 41.40 | \$ 1.56 | \$ 8.06 | \$ 6.50 | |
| Aug | 21 | \$ 32.79 | \$ 34.32 | \$ 40.89 | \$ 1.53 | \$ 8.10 | \$ 6.57 | |
| Year | 922 | \$ 1,151.44 | \$ 1,216.95 | \$ 1,197.61 | \$ 65.51 | \$ 46.17 | \$ (19.34) | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower therm rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ___(KRK-13)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bill Assisted Customers
Bills of a Gas Customer with an Average Usage Profile

| Month | therms | Current Rates | Public | | Impact of Public | | Impact of Company Proposal | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|--------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | | | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | Counsel Rate Design | Company Proposal | | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | |
| Sep | 24 | \$ 35.86 | \$ 37.58 | \$ 43.75 | \$ 1.72 | \$ 7.89 | \$ 6.17 | |
| Oct | 52 | \$ 67.37 | \$ 71.05 | \$ 73.14 | \$ 3.68 | \$ 5.77 | \$ 2.09 | |
| Nov | 89 | \$ 110.30 | \$ 116.65 | \$ 113.18 | \$ 6.35 | \$ 2.88 | \$ (3.47) | |
| Dec | 115 | \$ 139.79 | \$ 147.98 | \$ 140.69 | \$ 8.19 | \$ 0.90 | \$ (7.29) | |
| Jan | 123 | \$ 148.66 | \$ 157.40 | \$ 148.96 | \$ 8.74 | \$ 0.30 | \$ (8.44) | |
| Feb | 90 | \$ 111.49 | \$ 117.92 | \$ 114.30 | \$ 6.43 | \$ 2.80 | \$ (3.63) | |
| Mar | 80 | \$ 99.87 | \$ 105.57 | \$ 103.45 | \$ 5.70 | \$ 3.58 | \$ (2.12) | |
| Apr | 58 | \$ 74.56 | \$ 78.68 | \$ 79.84 | \$ 4.13 | \$ 5.29 | \$ 1.16 | |
| May | 37 | \$ 50.91 | \$ 53.57 | \$ 57.79 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 6.88 | \$ 4.22 | |
| Jun | 24 | \$ 35.27 | \$ 36.95 | \$ 43.20 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 7.93 | \$ 6.25 | |
| Jul | 17 | \$ 28.04 | \$ 29.28 | \$ 36.46 | \$ 1.23 | \$ 8.42 | \$ 7.19 | |
| Aug | 17 | \$ 27.67 | \$ 28.88 | \$ 36.12 | \$ 1.21 | \$ 8.44 | \$ 7.23 | |
| Year | 728 | \$ 929.80 | \$ 981.51 | \$ 990.88 | \$ 51.71 | \$ 61.09 | \$ 9.37 | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower therm rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ___(KRK-13)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
Monthly Differences
Bill Assisted Customers
Bills of a Gas Customer with a Lower Than Average Usage Profile

| Month | therms | Current | | | Public | | Impact of | | Impact of Increased Monthly Basic Charge * |
|-------|--------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|--|
| | | Rates | Rate Design | Company | Counsel | Proposal | Counsel | Company | |
| a | b | c | d | e | f = d - c | g = e - c | h = e - d | | |
| Sep | 16 | \$ 26.24 | \$ 27.36 | \$ 34.78 | \$ 1.12 | \$ 8.54 | \$ 7.42 | | |
| Oct | 33 | \$ 45.64 | \$ 47.97 | \$ 52.87 | \$ 2.33 | \$ 7.23 | \$ 4.91 | | |
| Nov | 59 | \$ 76.03 | \$ 80.25 | \$ 81.22 | \$ 4.22 | \$ 5.19 | \$ 0.97 | | |
| Dec | 80 | \$ 99.25 | \$ 104.92 | \$ 102.88 | \$ 5.66 | \$ 3.63 | \$ (2.04) | | |
| Jan | 85 | \$ 105.70 | \$ 111.77 | \$ 108.89 | \$ 6.07 | \$ 3.19 | \$ (2.87) | | |
| Feb | 61 | \$ 78.32 | \$ 82.68 | \$ 83.36 | \$ 4.36 | \$ 5.03 | \$ 0.67 | | |
| Mar | 53 | \$ 68.71 | \$ 72.47 | \$ 74.39 | \$ 3.76 | \$ 5.68 | \$ 1.92 | | |
| Apr | 37 | \$ 50.96 | \$ 53.62 | \$ 57.83 | \$ 2.66 | \$ 6.88 | \$ 4.22 | | |
| May | 24 | \$ 35.56 | \$ 37.26 | \$ 43.48 | \$ 1.70 | \$ 7.91 | \$ 6.21 | | |
| Jun | 16 | \$ 25.97 | \$ 27.08 | \$ 34.53 | \$ 1.10 | \$ 8.56 | \$ 7.45 | | |
| Jul | 12 | \$ 22.23 | \$ 23.10 | \$ 31.04 | \$ 0.87 | \$ 8.81 | \$ 7.94 | | |
| Aug | 12 | \$ 21.93 | \$ 22.79 | \$ 30.76 | \$ 0.85 | \$ 8.83 | \$ 7.98 | | |
| Year | 488 | \$ 656.56 | \$ 691.27 | \$ 736.04 | \$ 34.71 | \$ 79.48 | \$ 44.77 | | |

* This comparison includes the impact of both the increased monthly customer charge and the lower therm rate that corresponds to the increased residential monthly basic charge.

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ___(KRK-13)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc.
RATES

GAS

| | Current | | Public Counsel Rate Design | | Company Proposal |
|-----------------|----------------|----|-----------------------------------|----|-------------------------|
| Customer Charge | \$ 8.25 | \$ | 8.25 | \$ | 18.00 |
| Delivery Charge | \$ 0.300390 | \$ | 0.371450 | \$ | 0.22356 |
| Gas Cost Charge | \$ 0.841200 | \$ | 0.841200 | \$ | 0.84120 |

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ____ (KRK-13)

ELECTRIC

| | Current | | Public Counsel Rate Design | | Company Proposal |
|------------------------------|----------------|----|-----------------------------------|----|-------------------------|
| Customer Charge | \$ 6.02 | \$ | 6.02 | \$ | 9.00 |
| Energy Charge - first 600 | \$ 0.077559 | \$ | 0.086898 | \$ | 0.084690 |
| Energy Charge - all over 600 | \$ 0.095367 | \$ | 0.106851 | \$ | 0.102497 |

All analysis based on rebuttal revenue requirement presented in Exhibit No. ____ (JHS-13) and rebuttal rate spread presented in Exhibit No. ____ (DWH-10T)