

## PROPOSED RULE MAKING

CR-102 (June 2004)
(Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

Agency: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission				
X Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR $\pm 04-17-056$ ;		Original Notice		
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR	; or	X Supplemental Notice to WSR <u>05-09-122</u>		
Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4).		Continuance of WSR		
Title of rule and other identifying information: (Describe Subject)				
Annual pipeline safety fee methodology, and WAC 480-93-240	1 1	,		
current pipeline safety fee methodology for allocating pipeline	e safety progran	n costs to regulated pipeline companies.		
D. L. W. Bound				
Docket No. P-041344				
Hearing location(s):	Submit written	comments to:		
,		J. Washburn, Executive Secretary		
Commission Hearing Room 206	Address: P.O. Box 47250, Olympia, WA 98504			
Second Floor, Chandler Plaza Building	e-mail records@wutc.wa.gov Please include			
1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive S.W.	Docket No. P-041344 in your communication.			
Olympia, WA 98504	fax (360) 586	•		
Date: July 29, 2005 Time: 9:30 a.m.	, ,			
2.50 d.H.	Assistance for	persons with disabilities: Contact		
	Mary De Your	ng by Wednesday, July 27, 2005		
Date of intended adoption: July 29, 2005	TTY (360) 586-8203 or (360) 664-1133			
(Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effective</b> date)				
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: This supplemental proposal makes additional changes to the rule language published at WSR #05-09-122, including:				
This supplemental proposal makes additional changes to the r	are ranguage pr	ablished at vvoic 100 07 122, including.		
Clarification that the Commission can get the total fee amount at less than the annuantiation amount				
<ul> <li>Clarification that the Commission can set the total fee amount at less than the appropriation amount,</li> <li>Conversion to a calendar year instead of a fiscal year for determining the effort data, and</li> </ul>				
• Replacement of the terms "assignable" and "assigned" with the term "attributable."				
<ul> <li>Removes incident investigations that result in a penalty issued under RCW 19.122.055 from consideration in the fee allocation.</li> </ul>				
ree anocation.				
<b>Affected WACs:</b> WAC 480-75-240 and WAC 480-93-240, Annua	al pipeline safet	ry fee methodology.		
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 80.01.040, RCW 80.04.160, Statute being implemented: RCW 80.24.060 and		mnlemented: RCW 80 24 060 and		
RCW 81.04. 160, RCW 80.24.060, and RCW 81.24.090	RCW 81.24.090			
Is rule necessary because of a:	11077 01.21.07			
Federal Law?		CODE REVISER USE ONLY		
Federal Court Decision?				
State Court Decision?  If yes, CITATION:  Yes X No	File	d with the Code Reviser's Office		
11 you, OTT/THOTE.		June 16, 2005, 10:44 a.m.		
DATE		, ,		
JUNE 16, 2005		WSR 05-13-103		
NAME (type or print) CAROLE J. WASHBURN				
SIGNATURE				
TITLE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY				

Agency comments or recommendations, if ar matters:	ny, as to statutory language, implementation, enforce	ment, and fiscal	
The proposal would carry an effective date that a fiscal year fees (for the period commencing July	would apply the new pipeline fee methodology for the fir 1, 2006).	est time to the 2007	
Name of proponent: (person or organization)  Washington Utilities and Transportation	Commission	☐ Private ☐ Public X Governmental	
Name of agency personnel responsible for:	Commission	71 00101111110111141	
Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting Tim Sweeney, Team Leader	1300 Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1118	
ImplementationCarole J. Washburn, Exec. Secretary	1300 Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1174	
EnforcementCarole J. Washburn, Exec. Secretary	1300 Evergreen Park Drive S.W. Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1174	
Has a small business economic impact stater	ment been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW?	,	
☐ Yes. Attach copy of small business econo	omic impact statement.		
A copy of the statement may be obtained by contacting:  Name: Address:  phone ( ) fax ( ) e-mail  X No. Explain why no statement was prepared.  The proposed changes to the pipeline fee methodology rules will not result in or impose an increase in costs. Because there will not be an increase in costs resulting from the proposed rule changes, an SBEIS is not required under RCW 19.85.030(1).			
Yes A preliminary cost-benefit analysis Name: Address:	may be obtained by contacting:		
phone ( ) fax ( ) e-mail			
X No: Please explain:			
The Commission is not an agency to which RCW the sort referenced in RCW 34.05.328(5).	34.05.328 applies. The proposed rules are not significan	t legislative rules of	