### CONFIDENTIAL

Exhibit No.\_\_\_(SJK-1CT) Docket UE-100749 Witness: Stacey J. Kusters

## BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	) ) ) Docket UE-100749
Complainant,	) Docket OE-100749
vs.	
PACIFICORP dba Pacific Power & Light Company	) ) )
Respondent.	)

# PACIFICORP REDACTED PHASE II DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STACEY J. KUSTERS

1 Q. Please state your name, business address and position with PacifiCorp or 2 "the Company". 3 A. My name is Stacey J. Kusters. My business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street, 4 Suite 600, Portland, Oregon 97232. I am Director of Origination in Commercial 5 and Trading for the Company. 6 Q. Please describe your education and business background. 7 A. I hold a B.A. in political science from Simon Fraser University and an EMBA 8 from the University of British Columbia. I joined PacifiCorp Energy in January 9 2001 as a manager of origination and assumed my current position as Director of 10 Origination in 2006. From 1996 to 2001, I was employed at Powerex, the 11 marketing arm for BC Hydro in Vancouver, British Columbia as the marketing 12 manager to develop the Northwest and California regions. I held various 13 positions at Powerex, which included business development, energy trading and 14 origination. In addition to my positions, I also represented Powerex on the board 15 of both the California Independent Operator (CAISO) and the California Power 16 Exchange (CalPX) from 1999 through January 1, 2001. 17 Q. Please explain your responsibilities as PacifiCorp's Director of Origination. 18 A. I manage the procurement of new generation resources, contract administration, 19 market forecast group, the integrated resource plan (IRP), and structuring and 20 pricing. Most relevant to this docket, I manage PacifiCorp's renewable energy 21 credit (REC or RECs) portfolio (also known as the "green book"), including the 22 sale of RECs in excess of compliance requirements.

#### **Purpose of Testimony**

1

12

13

14

15

- 2 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 3 A. My testimony addresses four key areas:
- First, I present general background on the sales of RECs and the Company's
   policy governing such sales.
- Second, I explain the market dynamics for REC sales from 2009 to the
   present, and explain the challenges REC markets face going forward.
- Third, I sponsor and explain three Confidential Exhibits that provide the
  detailed accounting of REC revenues beginning January 1, 2009. These
  Exhibits were also attachments to the Company's May 24, 2011 compliance
  filing.
  - Fourth, I explain the Company's methodology for allocating RECs to contracts, including after-the-fact matching from the green book to the contracts.

#### **Background and Policy on REC Sales**

- 16 Q. Please provide general background on how RECs are marketed and sold.
- In general, REC sales are completed in one of two ways: 1) the sale of a bundled product (firm system energy and RECs); or 2) an unbundled product (REC only without energy, firm or contingent). Typically, the sale of a bundled product requires lengthy negotiations due to the highly structured nature of such transactions. REC sales made on a forward looking basis are typically more lucrative than after-the-fact REC sales from inventory, but also carry a higher degree of risk for the seller due to the potential for liquidated damages if the

1		forward delivery is not completed (often as high as \$50 per MWh). Historically,
2		the Company has executed primarily bundled product forward sales, which allow
3		the Company and its customers to receive the highest value for RECs.
4	Q.	Please describe some of the challenges in executing forward REC sales.
5	A.	The ability to realize forward REC sales is highly dependent on: 1) the actual
6		volumes of generation from variable energy resources (i.e., wind and hydro
7		resources); 2) the willingness of a limited pool of counterparties to transact at any
8		given point in time; and 3) the willingness of applicable regulators to approve
9		transactions. In addition to the fact that wind and hydro resources are weather-
10		dependent, operational factors can also result in production variances (i.e.,
11		curtailments by the transmission provider and/or planned and unplanned outages).
12	Q.	Has the Company developed a policy for REC sales to facilitate such sales,
13		while managing risk?
14	A.	Yes. The Company's policy allows for sale of RECs within clearly defined
15		limits. The Company may sell on a firm forward basis no more than 75 percent of
16		the forward estimated output of production of RECs generated by company assets.
17		The Company may sell up to 100 percent of the estimated output on a production
10		
18		contingent basis (unit contingent), provided that on a monthly basis, any sales of
18		contingent basis (unit contingent), provided that on a monthly basis, any sales of unit contingent output are subtracted from the forward estimated output that is
19		unit contingent output are subtracted from the forward estimated output that is

- 1 Q. Are there other risk-related limitations on the Company's sale of RECs?
- 2 A. Yes. The Company's policy does not permit transactions that cause the REC
- position in the green book to be short (*i.e.* where RECs sales exceed RECs
- 4 generated). If the REC position becomes passively short (due, for example, to a
- 5 generation forecast change, variance from predicted to actual generation, or a
- 6 change to or new REC program or applicable law), the position must be brought
- 7 back into balance within 60 calendar days.
  - **Analysis of REC Markets**

8

- 9 Q. Please provide an overview of the REC market.
- 10 A. The REC market has been and continues to be volatile and unpredictable. The
- overall REC market has limited market depth with little to no price transparency.
- REC transactions are usually completed bilaterally and not via an established
- trading platform, such as a broker, where specific, timely market information can
- be obtained. Additionally, REC buyers and sellers are not typically engaged in
- seeking transactions at the same level throughout the year, but rather transact only
- a few times each year. This means the REC market is mostly opportunistic. REC
- market volatility is also caused by uncertain or changing state renewable portfolio
- standard (RPS) policy developments in California, as I describe in more detail
- below.
- 20 Q. What is the primary market for REC sales in the Western Electric
- 21 Coordinating Council (WECC)?
- 22 A. The primary market for REC sales is the California compliance market. There is
- a small voluntary market in which the Company has made some sales, but such

1		sales are limited and are at a lower price than the California compliance market.
2	Q.	Has the California REC market undergone recent and significant changes?
3	A.	Yes. In March 2010, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) stayed
4		approval of any REC contracts by the California investor-owned utilities
5		(IOUs) for use of out of state resources. Throughout 2010 and into early 2011,
6		the CPUC issued several decisions on the use of out of state resources by
7		compliance entities, including all California IOUs and energy service providers.
8		In April 2011, Senate Bill No. 2 ("SB 2x") was enacted, revising
9		California's RPS. SB 2x will become effective on the 91st day following close of
10		the First Extraordinary Session, a date that is not yet known.
11		Guidelines for REC sales into the California market have remained
12		uncertain throughout this time period and transactions involving out of state RECs
13		have stalled.
14	Q.	Did the Company execute REC sales in the California REC market prior to
15		March 2010?
16	A.	Yes. These sales contracts were structured as a bundled product that required the
17		Company to schedule system energy bundled with a REC. The Company's
18		contracts in 2009 with San Diego Gas and Electric (SDG&E), Pacific Gas and
19		Electric (PG&E) and Southern California Edison (SCE) were approved prior to
20		the issuance of the CPUC approval moratorium, and are all grandfathered as
21		bundled product transactions.

1	Q.	In the current California REC market, would these same sales be considered
2		bundled sales?
3	A.	No. If executed today, such contracts would be deemed as a non-bundled product
4		or a tradable renewable energy credit (TREC) sale pursuant to applicable CPUC
5		rulings, because the generation resource is outside of California, and energy
6		delivery can be met with replacement energy that is not dynamically scheduled or
7		located in California. Under currently applicable rules, all resources under
8		contract that are not grandfathered and are from resources located outside of
9		California are deemed to be TRECs.
10	Q.	Why is this important?
11	A.	Under current regulations and under SB 2x, California IOUs have limitations on
12		the amount of TRECs that can be used for RPS compliance. From the
13		information the Company has reviewed, all three of the California IOUs have
14		reached their TREC limitation through 2015, limiting the demand for RECs from
15		resources outside of California in the California compliance market.
16	Q.	What is required to implement SB 2x and what are the associated timelines?
17	A.	The legislation requires implementation by the California Energy Commission
18		(CEC), the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and the CPUC. The full
19		implementation process is anticipated to take approximately 18 months to two
20		years.
21	Q.	How will SB 2x impact the California REC market in the near-term?
22	A.	SB 2x and most particularly its pending effective date and the three separate state
23		agency rulemakings with respect to its implementation, have continued the

	disruption of the California REC market ongoing since March 2010. Even though
	the rulemakings at the three state agencies are now underway, they are proceeding
	under a cloud of uncertainty given SB 2x's not-yet-effective status. It is
	impossible for market participants to reliably predict the timing and substance of
	final implementation decisions.
Q.	Do the three California IOUs have pending Request For Proposals for
	renewable resources?
A.	Yes. However, due to the uncertainty around the effective date of SB 2x and the
	outcome of pending rulemakings, there is some reason to believe that the request
	for proposals (RFPs) will be more informational for the California IOUs than real,
	at least for the near future. While the Company is participating in these RFPs, it
	is not optimistic that any transactions will occur under the RFPs until the later part
	of 2012.
Q.	If the Company cannot participate in the California market, in which
	markets will it participate?
A.	The voluntary market for REC sales is likely to be the only other market available
	to the Company. Prevailing prices in this market are currently between and
	per megawatt hour. The Company's REC revenue forecast in Confidential
	Exhibit No(SJK-4C) for 2011 and 2012 reflects a combination of current
	market dynamics and prices, as well as the effects of the grandfathered contracts
	discussed above.
Q.	Are there other opportunities beyond the broker market?
A.	Not at this time. The REC market has very few participants, is not transparent,
	Q. Q.

1		and transactions are on either a bilateral basis or through responding to requests
2		for proposals issued by other utilities. The Company is participating in all
3		potential sales opportunities as they arise, but these are currently quite limited.
4	Q.	Did the Company also transact with Nevada Power for the sales of RECs?
5	A.	Yes. The Company initially contracted with Nevada Power in December 2009
6		and again in February 2011. However, the Nevada Power (NV Energy) contract
7		is limited to a pool of resources that are located only on the east side – resources
8		that are not included in rate base in Washington.
9	Q.	Why does the NV Energy Contract limit the pool of resources to only east
10		side resources?
11	A.	NV Energy requires that the company deliver system energy that is scheduled
12		from a PacifiCorp Balancing Authority area (BAA) to a NV Energy intertie point,
13		and on an after the fact basis is deemed to be generated by a pool of eligible
14		renewable resources located in the same BAA. NV Energy's requirements
15		limited PacifiCorp's contract system energy deliveries to only the east side
16		intertie points. The contract requires monthly reconciliation of metered data to
17		match the generation output from the thirty-four renewable resources in the pool
18		of resources only on the east side.
19	Deta	iled Accounting of REC Revenues
20	Q.	Please explain Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-2C).
21	A.	Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-2C) provides a detailed accounting of REC
22		revenues received for calendar year 2009. It contains three tables. Page 1
23		provides a summary table of actual REC revenues by month and by resource for

1		calendar year 2009. This information is provided on a total company basis for all
2		of the Company's RECs sales, including sales related to renewable resources that
3		are not included in the Company's Washington rates under the West Control Area
4		(WCA) allocation methodology. The table provides the resource subtotals by
5		control area and then as allocated to Washington which is further discussed in the
6		phase II direct testimony of Company witness R. Bryce Dalley.
7		Page 2 provides a summary table of the actual number of RECs sold by
8		month and by resource for calendar year 2009. The table's format matches that of
9		Page 1 and provides megawatt-hours on a total company basis, a control area
10		basis and a Washington-allocated basis.
11		Pages 3 through 11 provide transaction details by contract by month for
12		calendar year 2009, including the contract number, the name of the entity who is
13		the counter party, the resource from which the REC was generated, the location
14		and type of resource by control area, the vintage of the REC that was sold, the
15		month in which the transaction was recorded in the Company's accounting
16		system, the REC price, the quantity of RECs sold, and the total dollars from the
17		transactions.
18	Q.	Please explain Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-3C).
19	A.	This Exhibit provides a detailed accounting of REC revenues received for
20		calendar year 2010. It contains the same information as described above.
21	Q.	Please explain Confidential Exhibit No(SJK-4C).
22	A.	This Exhibit provides a forecast of REC Revenues received from January 1, 2011
23		through March 31, 2012 (although the rate effective period technically ends on

April 2, 2012, for practical reasons, the Company rounded the forecast to the end
of March 2012).

The Confidential Exhibit contains two tables. Page 1 provides the total forecast REC revenues by month and by resource. The estimated sales volumes are based on the number of RECs generated each month that are forecasted to be sold at some time during the calendar year. Given the complexities of the contracts and the variability of generation, it is not possible for the Company to precisely forecast in which month the REC will be sold and/or the REC revenue realized. Page 2 provides the total forecast number of RECs sold by month and by resource. The table format and approach matches that of Page 1.

Mr. Dalley sponsors Confidential Exhibit No.\_\_\_(RBD-27C), which provides the forecast of REC revenues on a Washington-allocated basis for the period January 1, 2011 through March 31, 2012. The updated forecast was developed based on the terms and conditions of the executed contracts, as well as a "best guess" on future potential sales during the forecast period, given all of the REC market uncertainties I outlined above.

#### **Allocation of RECs to Sales Contracts**

- Q. How does the Company allocate resources to supply its REC sales contracts?
- 19 A. The allocation of resources to RECs sales contract occurs after the fact in the
  20 Company's green book. The Company's overall philosophy is to allocate RECs
  21 from resources on a prorated basis to contracts regardless of price. However,
  22 because the counterparty often imposes restrictions on what resources can and
  23 cannot be included in a resource pool, an optimization of those resources is

required in order to fill specific contract pools. The Company uses a waterfall approach by resource.

#### Q. Please explain.

Α.

In allocating Company RECs to contracts, the Company first looks at the resource pools that are available in each contract. For example in 2009, the original pool of resources was established because only four resources at the time were certified as eligible renewable resources by the CEC. These four resources were initially allocated to the SDG&E contract. As additional resources were approved as eligible by the CEC, they were allocated to the SCE and the PG&E resource pool contracts providing as much flexibility from the Company's perspective to maximize use of as many CEC-approved resources.

The allocation of RECs in 2009 through 2010 went to the SDG&E contract first (since the pool of resources was limited to four). Next, eligible east-side RECs were allocated to the NV Energy contract. The eligible remaining RECs were optimized and applied to each of the SCE and PG&E transactions. These two contracts were executed after the SDG&E contract, and additional resources had been certified, allowing the pool for each of these contracts to include more resources.

After the RECs are allocated to these three California contracts, the rest of the contracts are optimized with the remaining RECs from the eligible marketable resources. Starting in 2011,the SDG&E contract expired and therefore the methodology changed to allocating east-side RECs to the NV Energy contract first, and then to RECs to the SCE and PG&E contracts, from each of eligible

1	racourca	noola	accognitad	with	anah	of the	contracts
1	resource	poors	associated	witti	eacn	or me	contracts

Allocations are made to the NV Energy contract first because unlike the
PG&E and SCE contracts, the NV Energy contract is restrictive, allowing only
RECs generated by the pool of resources in the same month as the system energy
delivered. RECs deliveries for the PG&E and SCE contracts can use RECs that
are generated in the same year as the delivered energy although limited to the
timelines associated with Western Renewable Energy Generation Information
System (WREGIS). After allocating the RECs for these three contracts, the
Company allocates the RECs to comply with any remaining contracts.

- 10 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 11 A. Yes.