Service Date: February 23, 2023

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of Determining the Proper Carrier Classification of, and Complaint for Penalties Against,

ORDER 02

DOCKET TV- 220731

JOSHUA CALEB HARRINGTON D/B/A HARRINGTON MOVING & LANDSCAPING

INITIAL ORDER CLASSIFYING RESPONDENT AS HOUSEHOLD GOODS CARRIER; ORDERING RESPONDENT TO CEASE AND

DESIST; IMPOSING PENALTIES;

DEFAULT ORDER

BACKGROUND

Synopsis. This is an Administrative Law Judge's Initial Order that is not effective unless approved or allowed to become effective as described in the notice at the end of this Order. If this Initial Order becomes final, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) will find Joshua Caleb Harrington d/b/a Harrington Moving & Landscaping (Harrington Moving or Company) in default for failing to appear at the hearing. In addition, if this Initial Order becomes final, Harrington Moving will be classified as a household goods carrier, assessed a financial penalty in the amount of \$10,000 for two (2) violations of RCW 81.80.075(1), and required to cease and desist from operating as a household goods carrier without first obtaining a permit from the Commission.

- Nature of Proceeding. The Commission initiated this special proceeding to determine if Harrington Moving has engaged, and continues to engage, in business as a household goods carrier without first obtaining the necessary permit required for such operations.
- Procedural History. On February 1, 2023, the Commission entered Order 01, Order Instituting Special Proceeding; Complaint Seeking to Impose Penalties; and Notice of Mandatory Appearance at Hearing, pursuant to RCW 81.04.510, initiating this docket on its own motion. The Complaint alleges that Harrington Moving violated RCW 81.80.075(1) by:

- (1) offering on at least one occasion to transport household goods within the state of Washington; and
- (2) advertising household goods moving services within the state of Washington on at least one occasion

without the necessary permit required for such operations. On the same date, the Commission issued a *Subpoena and Subpoena Duces Tecum For Production of Documents* (Subpoenas) to the Company commanding Joshua Caleb Harrington, Company owner, to appear virtually before the Commission at a special proceeding scheduled to convene at 9:30 a.m. on February 22, 2023, and to bring the documents specified in the *Subpoenas*.

- On January 5, 2023, the Commission personally served (via legal messenger) the Complaint and Order Initiating Special Proceeding and Subpoenas on Harrington Moving in Clarkston, Washington.
- 4 **Hearing.** On February 22, 2023, the hearing convened virtually as scheduled before Administrative Law Judge Samantha Doyle. Commission Staff (Staff) was the only party appearing at the hearing. Staff moved for default pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(2) and WAC 480-07-450. Staff also requested that it be allowed to present a prima facie case demonstrating that Harrington Moving is operating as a household goods carrier without the required permit from the Commission.
- RCW 34.05.440(2) allows the presiding officer discretion to enter a "default or other dispositive order" if a party fails to appear at the hearing. Based on the failure of Harrington Moving to appear or otherwise comply with the Commission's subpoenas, the Administrative Law Judge granted Staff's request to enter a default order and to allow for presentation of its case.
- Staff presented the testimony of one witness, Sharmila Prabakaran. The Declaration of Sharmila Prabakaran is admitted into the record as SP-1 and the supporting attachment as SP-2.
- Initial Order. The Commission finds Harrington Moving in default pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(2) and WAC 480-07-450(1). The Commission also finds that Harrington Moving is operating as a household goods carrier without a permit. Further, the Commission orders Harrington Moving to pay a \$10,000 penalty for two (2) violations of RCW 81.80.075(1). Finally, the Commission orders Harrington Moving to cease and

PAGE 3

desist from future unauthorized operations.

8 **Appearances.** Sharmila Prabakaran, Compliance Investigator, Lacey, Washington, represents Commission Staff.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION

A. Default

- The Complaint and Order Instituting Special Proceeding includes a notice that any party who fails to attend or participate in the hearing may be held in default in accordance with the terms of RCW 34.05.440 and WAC 480-07-450. RCW 34.05.440(2) provides: "If a party fails to attend or participate in a hearing or other stage of an adjudicative proceeding ... the presiding officer may serve upon all parties a default or other dispositive order, which shall include a statement of the grounds for the order." WAC 480-07-450(1) provides that that the Commission may find a party in default if the party fails to appear at the time and place set for a hearing.
- The Subpoenas include language ordering Harrington Moving to appear for the hearing and produce specified documents in compliance with subpoena powers granted to the Commission in RCW 34.05.446 and RCW 81.04.510.
- At the time of the hearing, the Commission had served Harrington Moving with the Complaint and Order Instituting Special Proceeding and Subpoenas by personal service.
- Decision. Harrington Moving was properly and legally served with the Complaint and Order Instituting Special Proceeding and Subpoenas and provided due and proper notice of the February 22, 2023, hearing. Harrington Moving did not appear at the hearing and is hereby held in default. Furthermore, Harrington Moving did not comply with the Subpoenas; the Company failed to appear and also failed to produce or otherwise provide any of the specified documents.
- WAC 480-07-450(2) states: "A party who is dismissed from a proceeding or found in default may contest the order of dismissal or default by written motion filed within ten days after service of the order. A dismissed party found in default may request that the order be vacated and, if the order is dispositive of the proceeding, that the proceeding be reopened for further process." A notice appearing at the end of this order provides instructions for filing such a notice with the Commission.

B. Operating as a Household Goods Carrier Without Authority.

14 **Applicable Law.** RCW 81.80.010(5) defines "household goods carrier" as:

a person who transports for compensation, by motor vehicle within this state, or who advertises, solicits, offers, or enters into an agreement to transport household goods.

RCW 81.80.075 prohibits household goods carriers from operating for compensation in Washington without first obtaining the required permit from the Commission. Upon proof of unauthorized operations, RCW 81.04.510 authorizes the Commission to order the unpermitted company to cease and desist its activities. Additionally, RCW 81.04.110 authorizes the Commission to file a complaint on its own motion setting forth any act or omission by a company that violates any law, or any order or rule of the Commission.

- RCW 81.80.075(4) subjects persons who engage in business as a household goods carrier in the state of Washington without the required permit to a penalty of up to \$5,000 for each violation. In deciding the penalty amount to be imposed per violation, RCW 81.80.075(4)(b) requires the Commission to consider two factors: (a) willingness to comply with the provisions of RCW 81.80.070 and the rules governing household goods carriers contained in WAC 480-15 and (b) compliance history. RCW 81.80.075(5) subjects persons who engage in business as a household goods carrier in violation of a cease and desist order issued by the commission under RCW 81.04.510 is subject to a penalty of up to \$10,000 for each violation.
- In a proceeding initiated under RCW 81.04.510, the responding company has the burden of proving that its alleged operations are not subject to the provisions of RCW 81.80.075.
- If the Company is found to be operating as a household goods carrier without the required permit, the Commission is authorized and directed to enter an order requiring the corporation to cease and desist activities subject to regulation under Title 81.
- The evidence in this matter unquestionably demonstrates that Harrington Moving has offered and advertised to engage in the business of moving household goods without a permit.

Sharmila Prabakaran, a compliance investigator with the Commission, testified concerning her investigation into the operations and business practices of Harrington Moving. Sharmila Prabakaran prepared a declaration summarizing their investigation, with appendices documenting their findings.¹

Decision. By failing to appear at the hearing held on February 22, 2023, Harrington Moving has also failed to meet the burden of proving that the Company's business operations are not subject to the provisions of RCW 81.80.075. The evidence presented by Staff through exhibits and witness testimony establishes that Harrington Moving has engaged in business as a household goods carrier without the necessary permit required by RCW 81.80.075.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- 21 (1) The Commission is an agency of the State of Washington vested by statute with authority to regulate persons engaged in the business of transporting household goods for compensation over public roads in Washington.
- 22 (2) The Commission has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this proceeding and over Harrington Moving.
- On at least one occasion, Harrington Moving offered to transport household goods within the state of Washington without first having obtained a household goods carrier permit from the Commission in violation of RCW 81.80.075.
- On at least one occasion, Harrington Moving advertised to transport household goods without first having obtained a household goods carrier permit from the Commission in violation of RCW 81.80.075.
- 25 (5) Pursuant to RCW 34.05.440(2), Harrington Moving is held in default for failing to appear at the February 22, 2023, hearing and for failing to comply with the Subpoenas properly served.
- Harrington Moving is classified as a common carrier of household goods within the state of Washington, pursuant to RCW 81.80.010(5) and WAC 480-15-020.

.

¹ See Exhibit 1.

PAGE 6

27 (7) The Commission is directed by RCW 81.04.510 to order Harrington Moving to cease and desist from conducting operations requiring permit authority unless or until the required authority is obtained from the Commission.

ORDER

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

- Joshua Caleb Harrington d/b/a Harrington Moving & Landscaping, is held in default. Should Joshua Caleb Harrington d/b/a Harrington Moving & Landscaping fail to respond to this Order by filing a written motion within ten (10) days requesting that the order be vacated pursuant to WAC 480-07-450(2), the default in this proceeding shall remain in place.
- 29 (2) Joshua Caleb Harrington d/b/a Harrington Moving & Landscaping is classified as a common carrier of household goods within the state of Washington.
- 30 (3) Joshua Caleb Harrington d/b/a Harrington Moving & Landscaping is ordered to immediately cease and desist operations as a household goods carrier within the state of Washington.
- Joshua Caleb Harrington d/b/a Harrington Moving & Landscaping is assessed a penalty of \$10,000, due and payable immediately.
- The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties to this proceeding to effectuate the terms of this Order.

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective On February 23, 2023.

/s/ Samantha Doyle SAMANTHA DOYLE Administrative Law Judge

NOTICE TO PARTIES

This is an initial order. The action proposed in this initial order is not yet effective. If you disagree with this initial order and want the Commission to consider your comments, you must take specific action within the time limits outlined below. If you agree with this initial order, and you would like the order to become final before the time limits expire, you may send a letter to the Commission, waiving your right to petition for administrative review.

WAC 480-07-450(2) states that a party held in default has 10 days after service of a default order to file a written motion requesting the order be vacated and the proceeding reopened for further process. The party held in default must state the grounds relied upon, including its reasons for failing to appear.

WAC 480-07-825(2)(a) provides that any party to this proceeding has 20 days after the entry of this initial order to file a petition for administrative review (Petition). Section (2)(b) of the rule identifies what you must include in any Petition as well as other requirements for a Petition. WAC 480-07-825(2)(c) states that any party may file an answer (Answer) to a Petition within 10 days after service of the petition.

A party held in default must file a written motion requesting the order be vacated pursuant to WAC 480-07-450(2) within 10 days after service in order to have the Commission consider a Petition for Administrative Review from that party.

WAC 480-07-830 provides that before the Commission enters a final order any party may file a petition to reopen a contested proceeding to permit receipt of evidence essential to a decision, but unavailable and not reasonably discoverable at the time of hearing, or for other good and sufficient cause. The Commission will not accept answers to a petition to reopen unless the Commission requests answers by written notice.

RCW 80.01.060(3) provides that an initial order will become final without further Commission action if no party seeks administrative review of the initial order and if the Commission fails to exercise administrative review on its own motion.

Any Petition or Response must be electronically filed through the Commission's web portal as required by WAC 480-07-140(5).