

## STATE OF WASHINGTON

## WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., P.O. Box 47250 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 (360) 664-1160 • TTY (360) 586-8203

## VIA US MAIL AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

March 26, 2013

John Solin, Owner Mike Lauver, Owner SeaTac Shuttle, LLC P.O. Box 2895 Oak Harbor, WA 98277

RE: TC-130412, Tariff No. 5, Passenger Rules and Time Schedule No. 10 Revision

Dear Messrs. Solin and Lauver:

On March 21, 2013, SeaTac Shuttle, LLC (SeaTac Shuttle or company), filed with the Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) a proposal to establish a "new" door-to door / shared ride service between Whidbey Island and the Bellingham International Airport (BLI). In addition, the company filed to implement scheduled service between Whidbey Island and BLI. The commission has rejected that filing per WAC 480-07-141 for the reasons described in this letter.

SeaTac Shuttle's certificate of public convenience and necessity for service between Whidbey Island and BLI authorizes the company to provide <u>only</u> door-to-door service, by reservation. The company's current Tariff 5, 1st Revised Page No. 10 publishes fares for service (door-to-door service, by reservation) between Whidbey Island and BLI for \$35.

The instant filing proposes service (door-to-door service, by reservation) between Whidbey Island and BLI for \$120 to and from points located in zip code 98277 and \$125 to and from points located in zip code 98278. Because this is a proposed increase to the current fare, the company must file the supporting information required by WAC 480-30-421, Tariff, general rate increase filings and WAC 480-30-426, Tariff general rate increase filings, work papers, copies attached. You have not provided that information. For your information, I have attached both rules to this letter.

On Feb. 22, 2013, Mr. Eckhardt, Assistant Director of Solid Waste, Transportation and Water sent a letter to SeaTac concluding the application in Docket TC-120834 as requested by SeaTac and



John Solin, Owner Mike Lauver, Owner March 26, 2013 Page 2

granted by the commission allows door-to-door service by reservation only. Mr. Eckhardt further stated the company would need to file an application to modify its current certificate to allow the company to provide scheduled service.

On March 13, 2013, I sent you a letter providing further guidance on how to resolve this matter. My letter included specific instructions on how to file two complete applications for temporary and permanent authority as well as specific language to assist the company in achieving its goal of providing <u>scheduled</u> service between Whidbey Island and BLI. In addition, I indicated that staff would recommend that the commission waive the filing fees for the recommended applications (temporary \$150 and permanent application \$200). At the commission's open public hearing on March 14, 2013, the commission granted SeaTac an exemption from WAC 480-30-091, which waived all fees associated with filing both a temporary and a permanent application.

I reiterate that the best and quickest way to add <u>scheduled</u> service to your authority is to file the recommended extension application. I have attached a copy of my March 13 letter for your reference.

Under WAC 480-07-141, the Commission may reject a filing if it is insufficient or defective. As filed, the company's proposed revisions to *Tariff 5 and Time Schedule 10* are not in compliance with the commission's rules. Consequently, the Commission has rejected the company's March 21, 2013, filing in its entirety.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Penny Ingram, Regulatory Analyst, at pingram@utc.wa.gov or 360-664-1242.

Sincerely,

Steven V. King

Acting Executive Director and Secretary

Attachments

WAC 480-30-421

Tariffs, general rate increase filings.

- (1) A general rate increase filing is a tariff change that would:
  - (a) Increase the company's gross annual revenue from activities regulated by the commission by three percent or more.
  - (b) Restructure tariffs so that the gross revenue generated by any customer class would increase by three percent or more.
- (2) The following tariff changes are not considered general rate increase filings even though the request may meet one or more criteria identified above:
- (a) Filings for collection of per-customer pass-through surcharges and taxes imposed by the jurisdictional local government based on the current year customer count either as a specified dollar amount or percentage fee amount.
  - (b) Filings by existing auto transportation companies for the implementation of new transportation services.
- (3) The commission may require that any filing to increase rates for any customer class, or to restructure rates, is subject to the additional requirements of WAC 480-30-426.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040, 81.04.160, 81.12.050, 81.68.030, and 81.70.270. 06-13-006 (General Order No. R-533, Docket No. TC-020497), § 480-30-421, filed 6/8/06, effective 7/9/06.]

		·				
	٠,					
					•	
•						
			·			
	•			4		
					-	
						_
	•					
	4					

WAC 480-30-426

Tariffs, general rate increase filings, work papers.

- (1) General rate increase filings must include work papers supporting the proposed tariff changes based on a test year which is the most recent or appropriate consecutive twelve-month period for which financial data are available. Work papers must include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (a) A detailed pro forma income statement by account including restating and pro forma adjustments, and all supporting calculations and documentation for each adjustment. See sample pro forma income statement below.
- (i) Restating adjustments modify historic operating results to more properly reflect a "normal, representative" twelve-month test period and give recognition to those areas where the company's accounting methodology may differ from accepted regulatory practice. Restating adjustments fall into three categories:
  - (A) Reclassification Reclassification moves dollars from one account to another with no effect on the final net income.
- (B) Accounting adjustments Accounting adjustments are necessary if the income statement does not properly apply basic accounting principles, such as an out-of-period expense posted in the test year, or to correct an error or oversight.
- (C) Ratemaking Ratemaking adjustments modify the records of the company to reflect proper ratemaking theory, such as removing expenses that were incurred by the company but are not generally allowed to be passed on to ratepayers, or converting from accelerated depreciation to straight line depreciation.
- (ii) Pro forma adjustments give effect to all known and measurable changes in revenues and expenses not offset by other factors that have or will soon occur as if they had been in effect for the full twelve months of the test year. Examples include changes in tax rates, revenue impact of the tariff changes sought to be changed in the filing. Pro forma adjustments give effect to changes in expense or revenue levels, not the gallons of fuel used, passengers transported, or labor hours worked, etc.
- (b) A total passenger count or representative sample of all tickets sold and fares charged during the test year, including all routes, zones, and types of service, and breakdowns between one-way and round trip fares, adults, children, commuters, etc. Revenues of the passenger count/tickets sold analysis must be reconciled to the revenues of the chosen test period. The passenger count/tickets sold analysis forms the basis of the revenue impact of the filing called for in WAC 480-30-381 (2)(b) (ii). See sample passenger count below.
- (c) A current depreciation schedule separately listing all assets used by the company during the test period including the date the asset was placed into service, cost, salvage value, service life, and straight-line depreciation expense and accumulated depreciation at the beginning and at the end of the test period.
  - (d) A balance sheet as of the last day of the test period chosen.
- (e) If nonregulated operations represent more than ten percent of total company test period revenue, then the starting point of the pro forma income statement must be total company operations, supplemented with a detailed separation of all total company revenues and expenses between regulated and nonregulated operations.
- (f) Backup information concerning every transaction between the regulated company and any affiliated or subsidiary entity describing the services or transactions that occurred, the costs assessed and the basis of the charge, and the relationship to the regulated company.

Sample - Pro forma income statement

XYZ Bus Company ProForma Income Stateme										
ProForma Income Stateme										
	nt									
12 months ended Decembe	gr 31, 2004									
									May 1	
				Per		ProForma	ProForma		ProForma	ProForms
	Per		Restating	Hooks		Prosent	Present		Proposed	Proposed
	Books		Adjustments	Restried		Adusmer/s	Level		•	Level
	(a)	+	(p)	(¢)		(d)	(8)		Advibition); (f)	- LEYGH
	17		/*1	***		1×1	1117		AVF	V.F
Revédues										
Passenger	530,000			530,000	Ā	22,159	552,159		31,752	583,911
Charter	61,410			51,410	A	2,149	53,559	G	3,080	50,839
Fuel Surcharge	6,292			6,292	¢	(6,292)				<u> </u>
පිදලදුපලද, සේද.	3,400		,	3,400	<u> </u>	341	3,741			3,741
Total Revarues	591,102			591,102		18,357	600,459		34,832	644,292
Expenses										
Maintenance	. 66,377	1	(10,000)	48,377			48,377			48.377
Driver Payroli	153,687	2	5,000	158,667	6	7,300	165,986			165,936
Fuel	44,924	4	(4,351)	40,573	ē	1,623	12.195			42.196
reurance	36,944	-	,	36,944	. 7	1.105	38,049			38,049
Payrol Yaxes	33,811	2	383	34,194	È	823	35.017			35.017
Employee Benefits	13,004	_	<b>-</b>	13,004	Ē	1,951	14,955			14.955
laxes à Fees	44,877			44,077	Ā	349	45,226	G	603	45.829
Licenses	591			591			591	_	-22	591
Depreciation	53,199	3	(5,000)	48,199			48.199			48.199
Act rentistria	12,000			12,000			12,000			12,000
O⇔ce a Admin Expense	9,000			9,000	B	270	9,270			9.270
Office & Admin Wages	106,398			106,398		3,192	109,590			109,590
Seting Expenses	9,112			9,112			9,112			9_112
Utrities	6,500			6,500			6,500			6.500
Rents	20,000			20,000	ø	5,000	25,000			25,000
Total Expenses	600,424		(13,968)	588,456		21.812	603,068		603	608,873
Net Operating Income	(9,322)			4,646			1,391			35,620
	101.58%			99.21%			99.77%			94.47%

Sample - Passenger count

	Fares Before June			Fares Effective		Proposed New		
	Passengers	2004	Extension	June 2004 Increase %	Extension	Fares \$2.00	Extension	
Route A .: Orat Way				10%		ond way		
Aduks	2,000	25.00	50,000	27.50	55,000	29.50	59,00	
Children	800	12.50	10,000	13.75	11,000	14.75	11,80	
Commute	700	20.00	14,000	22.00	15,400	23.50	16,45	
Subtotal			74,000		81,400		87,250	
Route A - Round Trip								
Adulta	4,900	40.00	196,000	44,00	215,600	46,00	225,40	
Çh <del>i</del> kiren	1,000	20.00	20,000	22.00	22,000	23.00	23,00	
Çommule	2,000	32.00	84,000	35 <u>.25</u>	70,500	36.90	73,80	
Subtotal			280,000		308,100		322,20	
Route B - One Way								
Adults	1,600	18.00	28,890	19,80	31,680	21.80	34,88	
Children	439	9.00	3,951	9,90	4,346	10.90	4,78	
Commute	100	14.50	1,450	15.95	1,595	17.50	1,75	
Group	200	9.00	1,800	9.90	1,980	10.90	2,18	
Sublolal			36,001		39,601	-	43,59	
Route B - Round Trip								
Adults	3,200	28.80	92,160	31.70	101,440	33.70	107,84	
Children	200	14.40	2,880	15.85	3,170	16.85	3,37	
Commute	400	23.00	9,200	25.30	10,120	27.00	10,80	
Group	5 <b>6</b> Ò	14.40	9,064	15.85	8,876	18.85	9,43	
Subtotal			112,304		123,608		131,44	
Grand Total			502,305		552,707		584,49	
Pro forma - Revenue la	ncrease Percer	nt			10.03%		5,75	

[Statutory Authority: RCW 80.01.040, 81.04.160, 81.12.050, 81.68.030, and 81.70.270. 06-13-006 (General Order No. R-533, Docket No. TC-020497), § 480-30-426, filed 6/8/06, effective 7/9/06.]



## WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

1300 S. Evergreen Park Dr. S.W., P.O. Box 47250 • Olympia, Washington 98504-7250 (360) 664-1160 • TTY (360) 586-8203

March 13, 2013

Mike Lauver, Owner John Solin, Owner Seatac Shuttle, LLC P.O. Box 2895 Oak Harbor, WA 98277

RE: Service Between Whidbey Island and Bellingham International Airport (BLI)

Mr. Lauver and Mr. Solin:

Thank you for your March 11 email on this matter. I concur with you that it is time to move forward to resolve the issue of Seatac Shuttle's service from Whidbey Island to BLI.

As it turns out, Gene Eckhardt had already drafted a response to your February 27 request that the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (commission) provide you with exact language to include in your application that would be acceptable to the commission. This letter reflects his efforts to a considerable extent.

To resolve this matter, we recommend you take the following steps:

First, you need to file an application for extension of your current authority to provide scheduled service between Whidbey Island and BLI. Along with the application, you must also file a proposed tariff and time schedule (see Section 3 of the application form). I have enclosed an Auto Transportation Company Application and instructions for filing a tariff and time schedule. Both of these documents are available on the commission's web site at:

http://www.utc.wa.gov/regulatedIndustries/transportation/autoTransportation/Pages/default.aspx

Please review the application carefully and complete it fully, including any attachments that may be required. Staff cannot process an application until it is complete.

Mike Lauver and John Solin, SeaTac Shuttle March 13, 2013 Page 2 of 2

Staff recommends the following language to describe the proposed service (Section 2 of the application):

Airporter Passenger Scheduled Service: Between Whidbey Island and Bellingham International Airport.

If you have any questions about completing an application or the application process, please contact Tina Leipski at 360-664-1170.

Second, you will also need to file a proposed time schedule (Section 3 of the application). The proposed time schedule identifies specific locations and times for picking up and dropping off passengers. The enclosed instructions will be helpful. In addition to the instructions, we have enclosed a sample of a properly completed time schedule for an existing company. If you have questions or need assistance with this aspect of the application, please contact Lisa Wyse at (360) 664-1259.

Third, I suggest that you consider filing two applications: The first for temporary authority and a second application requesting an extension of your current permanent authority. Assuming the temporary authority application is properly completed, the commission can issue temporary authority upon receipt. With the temporary authority, your company could operate scheduled service between Whidbey Island and BLI while the extension of your current permanent authority is underway.

Staff will recommend the commission waive the application fees associated with filing applications for temporary and permanent authority. Typically you would need to submit \$150 with your application for temporary authority and an additional \$200 for extension of your current permanent authority. However, because of the confusion regarding the meaning of "door-to-door" and "scheduled" service between your company and commission staff, your request was not timely processed. As a goodwill gesture, staff will recommend the commission waive the filing fee for both applications.

We look forward to receiving your applications and working with you to resolve this matter.

Sincerely,

Steven V. King

1-V/-

Acting Executive Director and Secretary