

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON STATE
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

In the Matter of the Petition of:)	DOCKET UT-081485
)	
)	ORDER 01
)	
INLAND TELEPHONE COMPANY)	
)	
)	GRANTING, IN PART, PETITION
)	FOR MINIMAL REGULATION OF
)	BUNDLED
)	TELECOMMUNICATIONS
For Minimal Regulation of)	SERVICES, SUBJECT TO
Bundled Telecommunications Services)	CONDITIONS
.....)	

BACKGROUND

- 1 On August 12, 2008, Inland Telephone Company (the Company), filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) a petition requesting that its current and future packaged and bundled telecommunications services be subject to minimal regulation pursuant to legislation enacted during the 2007 legislative session (RCW 80.36.332).¹ The 2007 legislation allows bundles of telecommunications services to be minimally regulated under certain conditions.

- 2 In its petition, the Company states that as a telecommunications company registered with the Commission but not classified as a competitive telecommunications company, it is eligible under RCW 80.36.332 to seek minimal regulation of its packaged and bundled telecommunications services.²

- 3 The Company states that the 2007 legislation draws a distinction between packages and bundles on the one hand and the noncompetitive, regulated service components of such packages and bundles when offered on a stand-alone basis on the other. The package or bundle is a service offering in its own right and will be offered pursuant to

¹ RCW 80.36.332.

² The terms “packages” and “bundles” are used variously by carriers to describe unitary commercial offerings consisting of two or more services, some tariffed, some competitive, some unregulated. For purposes of this Order, a “package” refers to a service offering combining two or more tariffed services; a bundle is a service offering combining tariffed services and competitive or unregulated services.

non-tariffed rates, terms and conditions, with consumers having the option to instead buy the noncompetitive, regulated service components on a stand-alone basis pursuant to tariff and full regulation under the Commission's rules.

- 4 Minimal regulation of packaged and bundled offerings under RCW 80.36.332 has the same meaning as under the competitive classification statute, specifically RCW 80.36.330(2), which provides that companies must at a minimum: (a) keep their accounts according to Commission rules; (b) file financial reports as required by the Commission; and (c) cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints. This subsection previously provided that minimal regulation included the use of price lists rather than tariffs, but 2006 legislation required the withdrawal of price lists and precludes the filing of new price lists. The result is that packages and bundles of intrastate telecommunications services subject to minimal regulation are not subject to tariffing.
- 5 The categories of packages and bundles that the Company proposes be subject to minimal regulation include the following types of combinations: (1) two or more tariffed services; (2) two or more services, with a minimum of one tariffed service and one competitive service; (3) three or more services, with a minimum of one tariffed service, one competitive service and one non-regulated service; and (4) two or more services, with a minimum of one tariffed service and one non-regulated service.
- 6 The Company requests that the Commission waive or exempt certain regulatory requirements for packages and bundles under minimal regulation, as specified in its petition.
- 7 The Company asserts that the pricing of bundles or packages of telecommunications services will be governed exclusively by the 2007 legislation, specifically section 2(1)(b). The Company has indicated to staff that it will comply with the conditions imposed in previous orders relating to: (1) self certification, (2) Commission reporting upon request, and (3) customer notice requirements.
- 8 Finally, the Company requests that a Commission order granting the petition be effective on the date it is entered. The Company states that it will treat any new packages and bundles introduced thereafter as being subject to minimal regulation.
- 9 The Commission has granted petitions for minimal regulation of bundles and packages under the same terms and conditions to other similarly situated companies.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

- 10 (1) The Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission is an agency of the State of Washington with jurisdiction over petitions filed by telecommunications companies requesting that packages and bundles be subject to minimal regulation under RCW 80.36.332.
- 11 (2) Inland Telephone Company is a telecommunications company registered with the Commission but not classified as a competitive telecommunications company. Inland Telephone Company is thus a “noncompetitive telecommunications company” under RCW 80.36.332.
- 12 (3) Under RCW 80.36.332, a noncompetitive telecommunications company may petition the Commission to have its packages and bundles governed by minimal regulation.
- 13 (4) This matter was brought before the Commission at its regularly scheduled meeting on November 13, 2008.
- 14 (5) RCW 80.36.332 does not require the Commission make specific evidentiary findings before granting a bundling petition. Section 2(1) of the legislation provides that the Commission shall grant a petition by a noncompetitive telecommunications company for its packaged and bundled telecommunications services to be governed by minimal regulation where (a) the noncompetitively classified service components of packages and bundles subject to minimal regulation remain available on a stand-alone basis under tariff, (b) the prices of such packages and bundles are not less than the cost of the tariffed components plus the cost of any competitively classified components and (c) the availability and prices of the stand-alone components are displayed in the company’s tariff and on its website. *RCW 80.36.332 Section 2(1)*.
- 15 (6) We have previously determined³ that the Legislature’s omission from the 2007 legislation of any direction to make “findings” leads us to conclude that specific findings are not necessary.

³ See, e.g. Dockets UT-071571 through UT-071575, UT-072101, and UT-071964.

- 16 (7) Common sense supports our interpretation.⁴ A requirement that the Commission make specific evidentiary findings would undermine the intent of the law to allow telecommunications companies to roll out bundled service offerings more quickly to meet market demand, and would instead impose more regulatory review and delay than existed before the bill's enactment.⁵
- 17 (8) The Legislature clearly intended the Commission to employ the new statute flexibly so as to achieve the legislation's objective. The Commission can "waive any regulatory requirement under this title with respect to packages or bundles of telecommunications services if it finds those requirements are no longer necessary to protect public interest."⁶
- 18 (9) As discussed below, we believe that a system of self-certification and regular reporting is sufficient to ensure compliance with the statute and protection of the public interest, while ensuring that a company can roll out a new bundled service without the undue delay required by the development of an evidentiary record for each new bundle. Moreover, the Commission retains authority to rescind any waiver or exemption granted pursuant to RCW 80.36.332 if necessary to protect the public interest.

⁴ As the expert agency, the Commission's interpretation of an ambiguous statute is entitled to deference. *State ex rel. Evergreen Freedom Found. v. Washington Educ. Ass'n*, 140 Wn.2d 41, 57, 50 P.3d 602 (2000).

⁵ While the legislative history on SHB 2103 is not extensive, the House staff summary of the public testimony shows clearly the intent of the bill's proponents, including the Utilities and Transportation Commission (UTC) and several telecommunications companies, to speed the regulatory approval of bundles:

This bill benefits consumers by providing more flexibility with the type of services that may be put in a package in order to compete. Additionally, it allows quicker access to the market. There is increasing competition from cable providers who are packaging services quickly without regulatory oversight. With the fully regulated tariff process, it takes a significant amount of time to respond. This bill would allow regulated telecommunications companies to price [out] bundles and roll them out without seeking WUTC approval, once they have granted us approval of competitive classification. The industry is changing and it's nothing like it used to be a few years ago. This bill allows flexibility to meet the challenge of this evolving market.

House Bill Report on HB 2103. The floor statements echoed this intent. The bill's co-sponsor, Rep. Crouse noted that "[t]his bill is an agreed-upon bill by the UTC and telecom companies. It gives the UTC a little more flexibility when dealing in the area of competitive classifications for the telecom (sic)." Rep. Hudgins concurred: "This bill ... simply cuts down on how the UTC will take time to evaluate all these services as they used to do..." House floor statements on SHB 2103, March 8, 2007. Sen. Rockefeller stated that the bill "allows for a certain amount of deregulation of the telecommunications industry when it provides bundled telecommunications services." Senate floor statement on SHB 2103, April 2, 2007.

⁶ RCW 80.36.332.

- 19 (10) RCW 80.36.332 draws a distinction between packages and bundles subject to minimal regulation and the noncompetitive, fully regulated service components of such packages and bundles when offered on a stand-alone basis. The package or bundle is a service offering in its own right and will be offered pursuant to non-tariffed rates, terms and conditions, with consumers having the option to instead acquire the noncompetitive, regulated service components of such bundles and packages on a stand-alone basis pursuant to tariff and full regulation under the Commission's rules.
- 20 (11) RCW 80.36.332 allows for pricing flexibility provided that the prices of packages and bundles are not less than the cost of the tariffed components plus the cost of any competitively classified components.
- 21 (12) For bundles that the Company will offer in the future, the Company must provide reports or evidence of compliance with the requirements above upon request of the Commission or its Staff and must annually certify in the manner required by RCW 9A.72.085 that it is in compliance with this Order and RCW 80.36.332. In addition, the Company will: (a) keep its accounts according to Commission rules; (b) file financial reports as required by the Commission; and (c) cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints.
- 22 (13) We conclude that the information regarding current bundles, as well as the ongoing reporting and retention requirements, provide adequate assurance that the Company will meet the statutory requirements for applying minimal regulation to its packages and bundles of telecommunications services.
- 23 (14) RCW 80.36.332 allows the Commission to waive any regulatory requirement under Title 80 RCW with respect to packages or bundles of telecommunications services if it finds those requirements are no longer necessary to protect public interest. The Commission also has the authority to waive application of its rules in Title 480 WAC by granting exemptions to the rules. As discussed below, the Company requests, and we grant in part, waiver of certain requirements under Title 80 RCW and exemption from certain rules.
- 24 (15) The Company represents that bundles of telecommunications services often cannot readily comply with certain provisions of the form of bills rule (WAC 480-120-161), and requests partial exemption. We have previously

determined that some provisions of the form of bills rule have significant consumer protection value and remain applicable even to bundles.⁷

- 25 (16) Subsection (7) of the rule requires companies to provide an itemized statement, specifying certain detailed information. While we determine that the Company should be exempt from subsections (a) and (c) of this rule, we find that the Company should still be required to comply with subsection (b), which requires companies to include, at a customer's request, "calculations of any credit or other account adjustment."
- 26 (17) Thus, we determine that the following provisions of the form of bill rule are no longer necessary to protect the public interest insofar as they apply to the Company's minimally regulated bundles:
- WAC 480-120-161 (4) -- Bill organization
 - (b) Name of each provider
 - (c) Charges itemized by provider
 - (d) Change in service provider
 - (e) Web address of tariff
 - WAC 480-120-161 (5) -- Descriptions of billed charges
 - (a) Individual calls itemized
 - WAC 480-120-161 (6) -- Charges for which service can be discontinued
 - WAC 480-120-161 (7) -- Itemized statement
 - (a) Rates for individual services
 - (b) "Calculations of time or distance charges for calls" only
 - (c) Name and address of information service providers
- 27 (18) Competitively-classified services are typically exempt from statutes and rules that require publication of rates, terms and conditions. Because RCW 80.36.332 indicates that minimal regulation of bundles is to have the same meaning as in the competitive classification statute, exemption from these sections pursuant to minimal regulation of bundles is appropriate.

⁷ See e.g., WAC 480-120-161 (7), which requires that carriers provide an itemized statement of all charges when requested by a customer – a useful bill history needed for resolution of billing disputes.

- 28 (19) The Company represents that applications for bundled services including non-tariffed, non-regulated services cannot reasonably be held to the tariff standards for initiating service in WAC 480-120-103(1)(a), which sets out the requirements for accepting and processing applications for service. We find no need to apply this rule to bundles, provided the Company continues to accept applications for tariffed service in compliance with the rule.
- 29 (20) The Company requests exemption from our rules and waiver of statutes governing contracts as applied to bundles. The following provisions are no longer necessary to protect the public interest insofar as they apply to the Company's minimally regulated bundles:
- RCW 80.36.150 (5): Requiring that competitive and noncompetitive services be unbundled
- WAC 480-80-015: Exemptions from rules
- WAC 480-80-101 – 134: Tariff filing requirements, rejection, promotions
- WAC 480-80-142(1), (7): Individual filing requirement and retail restrictions
- 30 (21) Other regulatory requirements not listed above are still necessary to protect the public interest, and the Company must continue to comply. Some requirements of rule and law take on new significance in regard to the sale of bundled services. Given the new legal distinction between bundles or packages as independent service offerings and the full regulatory treatment applicable to the noncompetitive, regulated service components of such bundles and packages when offered on a stand-alone basis, we find it necessary to clarify the application of these rules to bundles.
- 31 (22) A customer who purchases a bundle and is disconnected for non-payment of charges potentially including non-regulated services should not be barred from subscribing to basic service. Accordingly, we clarify the class of service restrictions in our rules governing a carrier's ability to refuse new service to a customer with overdue, unpaid prior obligations governed by WAC 480-120-061(f), (g). Specifically, where a former customer of a package or bundle subject to minimal regulation that contained a basic service component has an

outstanding balance on the prior account and applies for new basic service on a stand-alone basis, the carrier shall not condition provision of that new service on payment of an amount exceeding the unpaid stand-alone, flat local rate service charges (including taxes, fees and surcharges).⁸ The Company must cooperate with any Commission investigation arising from customers moving from minimally-regulated to tariffed services.

- 32 (23) In addition, it is important that customers considering the purchase of a minimally regulated bundle and customers disconnected for non-payment understand the protections available to them under Commission rules. To help customers understand their rights and responsibilities, we clarify that the Company must at a minimum provide the following notice to its customers:
- (a) Direct written notice consistent with WAC 480-120-104 to all customers describing their rights and responsibilities when purchasing a bundle. Customers subscribing to new bundle offerings must also be notified when beginning service consistent with WAC 480-120-104. (The Company does not currently provide any bundle or package offerings in its tariff).
 - (b) Direct and timely notice to customers disconnected for non-payment that they may be reconnected to basic service and the conditions related to that reconnection. The Company must work with Commission staff in developing this customer information.
- 33 (24) For purposes of RCW 19.86.170, actions or transactions associated with the Company's packaged and bundled offerings that are not governed by statutes and rules administered by the Commission shall not be deemed otherwise permitted, prohibited, or regulated by the Commission.

⁸ In dockets UT-071571 through UT071575 the petitioning companies were prohibited from conditioning provision of new service upon payment of an amount exceeding "the stand-alone, flat rate service charges (including taxes, fees and surcharges) multiplied by the time period covered by the former customer's nonpayment of package or bundle price and adjusted for partial payments." The simpler prohibition here is similar in effect, and is premised upon Inland's commitment to allocate partial payments on bundle accounts upon receipt to basic service first and thereafter to regulated features and other bundled features, in full compliance with WAC 480-120-161 (6) and 480-120-161(10).

ORDER

THE COMMISSION ORDERS:

- 34 (1) Inland Telephone Company's petition for minimal regulation of its packaged and bundled offerings under RCW 80.36.332 is granted, in part, subject to the conditions stated in this Order.
- 35 (2) The Commission waives and exempts the statutes and rules identified in Appendix A with respect to packages or bundles Inland Telephone Company may offer consistent with this Order. Appendix A is incorporated by reference as set forth in full in the body of this Order.
- 36 (3) Any new package or bundle Inland Telephone Company introduces after the effective date of this Order shall be subject to minimal regulation. (The Company does not currently provide any bundle or package offerings in its tariff).
- 37 (4) Inland Telephone Company must ensure that: (a) the non-competitively classified service components of packages and bundles subject to minimal regulation remain available on a stand-alone basis under tariff; (b) the price of such packages and bundles not be less than the cost of the tariffed components plus the cost of any competitively classified components; and (c) the availability and prices of the stand-alone components are displayed in the company's tariff and on its website. Inland Telephone Company must provide reports or evidence of compliance with the requirements above upon request of the Commission or its Staff and must annually certify in the manner required by RCW 9A.72.085 that it is in compliance with this Order and RCW 80.36.332.
- 38 (5) Inland Telephone Company must: (a) keep its accounts according to Commission rules; (b) file financial reports as required by the Commission; and (c) cooperate with Commission investigations of customer complaints.
- 39 (6) The Commission retains jurisdiction over the subject matter and Inland Telephone Company to effectuate the terms of this Order.

The Commissioners, having determined this Order to be consistent with the public interest, directed the Executive Secretary to enter this Order.

DATED at Olympia, Washington, and effective November 13, 2008.

WASHINGTON STATE UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

DAVID W. DANNER, Executive Director and Secretary

APPENDIX A