Befor	E THE WASHIN	GTON UTILITIES	AND TRANSPORTA	TION CONNISSION Revised	
			No.	MARCH	2004
				PETITION	
City of O	thello.	Petitioner			
•	Public Works	Director		cootney Street	
	vs.	•		Nilwaukee Main L. sing No.	
BNSF (CBRI	R)	Respondent	4.0.1.0. 0103	31116 1101,	
	,	• •	D.O.T. Crossi		
			New Crossi	ng at Scootney S	Street
		e to the Washington c more of the follo		ransportation Comm	ission
[X] directi	ng the <u>CONST</u>	RUCTION		f a grade crossing	
		n of automatic grads) at a new crossi		l or other warning	device
•					٠
[] directi	ng	-change-upgrade)	_ or warming devi-	ces at an existing	•
crossin	· ·				
() -11	ing funds from	the "grade crossing	ng protective fun	d# for	
() allocat		tive warning device		(installation	and/or
maint	enance)		• .		
Intermo	dal Surface Tra		iency Act (ISTEA)	be pursuant to the in cooperation wire rams Division;	
		ssing identified above		d in this petition f the following)	. This
	[] hearing and	i order	[X] order without	it hearing	
				al Surface Transporvision for this pro	
				he funding request iency Act been den	
		r penalty of perjuition is true and co		mation provided in	and
		•		-	
		. City of	Othello		
		Petitioner	CHETTO		
		Dick Le	ee, Public Work	s Director	
	•	Print Name	Title		
		500 E.	Main Street		
	•	Street Address			
		Othello	, WA 99344		
		City-State-ZIP			
TC RR-013 (3/93)				•	U

INTERROGATORIES Use additional paper as needed

[1]

State name of highway and railway at crossing intersection: Existing or proposed highway N/A Existing or proposed railway BNSF (CBRR) mile post 1987 + 3160 Located in __NE_ k of the __NE_ k of Sec. __4 Twp. _15N_ Range _29E_ W.M. WUTC crossing number DOT crossing number Street Scootney Street City Othello County Adams (if applicable) (if applicable) at Mailwaukee Main Line [2] Character of crossing (indicate with X or numbers where applicable): (a) Common Carrier () Logging or Industrial () (b) Main Line () Branch Line (X) Siding or Spur () to Royal City (c) Total number of tracks at crossing one (Note: A track separated 100 feet or more from another track constitutes a separate crossing.) (d) Operating maximum train speed: Legal maximum train speed: Passenger Passenger MPH MPH Freight Freight MPH (e) Actual or estimated train traffic in 24 hours: Freight Trains 52 switch movements per year Passenger Trains (Note: Round trip counted as two trains. Include switch movements.) over old main line, if Royal City is reopened. [3] Character of Roadway: (a) State Highway-Classification N/A (b) County Highway-Classification N/A (c) City Street-Classification Industrial access road (d) Number of traffic lanes existing in each direction: one Number of additional traffic lanes proposed: (e) Posted vehicle speed limit: Automobiles 25 MPH Trucks 25 MPH (f) Estimated vehicle traffic in 24 hours: Current total ____, including ___ trucks and - school bus trips. Projected traffic in 5 years: total 200, including 100 trucks

and 0 school bus trips. (see SEPA checklist)

(a) If temporary, state for what purpose crossing is to be used and for how long.

N/A

(b) If temporary grade crossing, will you remove the crossing at completion of the activity requiring the temporary crossing?

N/A

[5]

(a) State whether or not a safer location for a grade crossing exists within a reasonable distance in either direction from the proposed point of crossing, and if so, what reason, if any, why this safer location should not be adopted, even though in doing so, it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway or railway.

There is no other right of ways through the developed area on the east side of the tracks.

(b) Are there any hillsides, earth, or other embankments, buildings, trees, orchards, side tracks (on which cars might be spotted), loading platforms, etc., in the vicinity not feasible to move, which may obstruct the view and which can be avoided by relocating the proposed crossing. Would it be practical to do so? Please describe.

No.

[6]

(a) Is it feasible to construct and use an over or under crossing at the intersection of said railway and highway? If not, state why.

No; the grade does not lend itself to a grade separation.

(b) Does the railway line at any point in the vicinity of the proposed crossing pass over a fill or trestle or through a cut where it is feasible to construct an under or over crossing, even though it may be necessary to relocate a portion of the highway to reach that point?

No.

(c) If a suitable place for an under - or over - crossing exists in the vicinity of the proposed crossing, state the distance and direction from the proposed crossing; the approximate cost of construction; and what, if any, reason exists why it should not be constructed.

N/A.

- (a) State approximate distance to nearest public or private crossing in each direction of railroad involved herein.
 - 1/2 mile north is Main Street crossing.
- 1/2 mile south is SR 26 overpass if more than one crossing is proposed, is it feasible to divert highways served and to be served by existing and proposed crossings, thus eliminating the need for more than one crossing? The area has no legal access, yet there are three businesses located here with room for more. Without new industry, the rail with have very little traffic.
- (c) If so, state approximate cost of highway relocation to effect such changes.
- (d) Will the proposed crossing eliminate the need for one or more existing crossings in the vicinity? If so, state direction and approximate distance to the crossing or crossings.

Yes; the two private crossings at Moon Street 3/8 of mile south.

(e) If this crossing is authorized, do you propose to close any existing crossing or crossings?

Yes: Moon Street private crossing.

[8]

State the lengths of views which are now available along the line of railway to travelers on the highway when approaching the crossing from either side of the railway and when at points on the highway as follows:

Milwaukee mainline Approaching Crossing from east (direction) and	unobstructed vid	ew to
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	1000	fect
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	200 <u>0</u>	feet
right when on bighway 100 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
right when confighway 50 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	4000 =	feet
left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	1000	feet
left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	2000	fcet
left when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
Approaching crossing from (opposite direction)	an unobstructed	view to
right when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
right when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
right when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
right when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
right when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	3000	feet
left when on highway 300 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
left when on highway 200 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
lest when on highway 100 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
left when on highway 50 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
left when on highway 25 feet from crossing of	4000	feet
The state of the s	·	

Attach one or more prints showing a vicinity map and a layout of railway and highway, as well as profiles of each, also showing percent of grade, 500 feet of highway and railway when approaching crossing from all four directions. On the prints, spot and identify obstructions of view located in all four quadrants. Provide a traffic control layout showing the location of the existing and proposed signing of the intersection.

[10]

(3) Is it feasible to provide a 25 foot level grade crossing on both sides from center line of railway at point of crossing?

Yes.

- (b) If not, state in feet the length of level grade it is feasible to obtain.
- (c) Is it feasible to obtain an approach grade, prior to the level grade of five percent or less? If not, state why, and state the percent approach grade possible.

No; only 120 ft. between tracks and 5 ft. of elevation change.

[III]

Do you know of any reason not appearing in any of the answers to these interrogatories why the proposed crossing should not be made at grade or at the point proposed by you? If so, please state same fully.

None.

Interrogatories 12 and 13 are to be completed only if this petition involves installation, replacement or changing of automatic grade crossing signal or other warning device, other than sawbucks.

[12]

- (a) State in detail, the number and type of automatic signals or other warning devices (other than sawbucks) proposed to be installed. (This portion should be filled in only after conference between the railroad and the petitioning local governmental agency.)
- (b) State an estimate of the cost for installing the signals or other devices proposed, as obtained from the respondent railroad company....\$
- (c) State a cost estimate for maintaining the signals or devices for 12 months, as obtained from the respondent railroad company \$
- (d) If this is an existing crossing, what will the proposed warning devices replace in the way of existing devices.
- (e) As the petitioner, are you prepared to pay or will you promise to pay to the respondent railroad company, your share of the cost of installing the warning devices proposed as provided by law?

() Yes () No

[13]

Furnish a brief statement of why the public safety requires the installation of the automatic signals or the devices as proposed.

RESPONDENT'S WAIVER OF HEARING

	- - 1				Dock	et No		
Patition of _	City of	Othello				<u></u>		
for	Scootney	Street C	rossing	of Milwa	ukee Ma	in Line.		·
	tigated the co k one or more				nity of the	proposed	cossing ch	anges. As a
	satisfied that on should be		re as repres	ented in th	e petition a	and the int	errogatorio	es and that the
	ost of installa	tion (estimat	ed at \$					
	oject to appro- t by the Wash							
[] as	apportioned b	ctween the p	arties.					
% to.1	be paid by per	itioner.				·		
Other co	onditions to w	aiver of hear	ing:					
•			_					•
<u> </u>	•		· -		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
						·		
								·
	gned hereby w may enter a f				r hearing.			ransportation
		- NA		<u> </u>	_ <u></u>	'		
		Respondent_	BNSF	(CBRR)			•	
•		by	Am	m from	<u>~</u>			
		Print Name:	V Jo	hnm.	Cowles			
٠.		Title:	Mar	· Publ	ic froi	ects		·

INSTRUCTIONS

General

Petition forms with the interrogatories fully and correctly answered should be filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Chandler Plaza, 1300 S. Evergreen Park Drive SW, Olympia, Washington, 98504. Blank forms may be obtained from the same address. All pleadings herein shall conform with WAC 480-09-420 and 425 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

Number of Copies

File the original and one copy if the "Waiver of Hearing by Respondent" is filled out. If petitioner intends that the Commission serve the respondent, the original and two copies should be filed. If the petitioner serves the respondent, a certificate of service in conformity with the requirements of WAC 480-09-120 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure must be filed.

Parties Who May Petition or Respond

In general, the following persons may file or respond to a petition: highway authorities (city, county, or state), railroad companies, and state agencies with lawful authority to construct and maintain public highways (RCW 81.53.030 and 060). In situations where there may be more than one party of interest as either a petitioner or a respondent, all parties should be joined.

Waiver of Hearing by Respondent

The proceeding can usually be expedited by submitting the application to the respondent and securing the execution of the "Waiver of Hearing by Respondent". As an alternative, respondent may file a separate "Answer." If the pleadings show that the respondent has no objection, an order may be entered without hearing at the discretion of the Commission, unless the public interest appears to require hearing and unless hearing is required under the terms of RCW 81.53.030 or 060. In all other cases, the petition will be set for hearing.

Crossing Construction

Applications for crossing state highways should be submitted in duplicate to the District Highway Engineer in the locality for his recommendation to be attached and forwarded to the State Department of Transportation Secretary, Olympia.

A party, after having been granted authority by the Commission to construct a crossing, must acquire right of way or easement because the order of the Commission merely relates to public safety and grants only the right to cross, subject to acquiring a right of way or easement.

Time for Replying to a Petition

A petition not answered within 20 days of the date of service, shall be deemed denied and may be set for hearing. If a qualified or conditional answer is filed by the respondent, the petitioner may file a "Reply" within 10 days of the date the "Answer" is served.

(PLEASE REMOVE THIS SHEET BEFORE FILING PETITION)