1 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION 2 3 THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND) TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,) 4) Complainant,) 5) DOCKET NO. UE-011595 vs.) б Volume No. III) AVISTA CORPORATION d/b/a Pages 133-144) 7 AVISTA UTILITIES,)) 8 Respondent.) 9 10 A prehearing conference in the above matter 11 was held on June 11, 2002, at 1:42 p.m., at 1300 South 12 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia, Washington, 13 before Administrative Law Judge DENNIS MOSS. 14 The parties were present as follows: 15 THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION, by JONATHAN THOMPSON, Assistant Attorney General, and DONALD T. TROTTER, Senior Assistant 16 Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia, Washington 17 98504. 18 THE PUBLIC, by ROBERT W. CROMWELL, JR., Assistant Attorney General (via bridge line), 900 19 Fourth Avenue, Suite 2000, Seattle, Washington 20 98164-1012. 21 AVISTA CORPORATION, by DAVID J. MEYER, General Counsel (via bridge line), East 1411 Mission 22 Avenue, Spokane, Washington 99202. INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS OF NORTHWEST UTILITIES, 23 by S. BRADLEY VAN CLEVE, Attorney at Law, Davison 24 Van Cleve, 1000 Southwest Broadway, Suite 2460, Portland, Oregon 97205.

25 Kathryn T. Wilson, CCR, Court Reporter

1		
	1	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
_		
E	XHIBIT:	MARKED:
1	0	136
1	1	136
1	2	137
1	3	137
1	4	138

0135 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE MOSS: Good afternoon everyone. We 3 4 are convened for our prehearing conference in 5 anticipation and preparation of our settlement hearing б in the matter styled Washington Utilities and 7 Transportation Commission against Avista Corporation doing business as Avista Utilities, Docket No. 8 9 UE-011595. Our principle business today is to get our 10 11 case arranged for hearing tomorrow morning, so let's 12 just go ahead and take the appearances, and I did allow 13 for appearances by telephone, and I understand the 14 Company representatives are on the telephone conference 15 line. Mr. Meyer, are you there? 16 MR. MEYERS: Thank you for your 17 accommodation. For Avista, David Meyer. The other details are previously in the record in this docket. 18 19 JUDGE MOSS: We can go with the short form 20 today. 21 MR. VAN CLEVE: Brad Van Cleve for the 22 Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities. MR. CROMWELL: Robert Cromwell for Public 23 24 Counsel. 25 MR. THOMPSON: Jonathan Thompson and Don

1 Trotter for Commission staff.

2 JUDGE MOSS: You all may have some additional business for me today, but what I have as the first 3 4 order of business is to look at our exhibit list and 5 see if we have all the exhibits, and I think this is б sufficiently brief that we can probably just go ahead and do it on the record. 7 I have a copy of the settlement stipulation 8 9 that was filed, and we would make that a Bench exhibit 10 and give that the No. 10 for purposes of this

11 proceeding, and I can furnish a copy of the exhibit 12 list for everyone, and I apologize. I didn't bring 13 extra copies with me, but it's only got four exhibits 14 on it for the moment.

15 The next exhibit is a reserved No. 11 for 16 Public Counsel's use insofar as Public Counsel may wish 17 to put on the record any written comments received from 18 members of the public.

MR. MEYERS: Your Honor, does your version of Exhibit 10 have the Attachment 1 attached? JUDGE MOSS: Yes, it does. So No. 11 is reserved for Public Counsel. Actually, Mr. Cromwell, I should ask you. I was not presiding last evening in Spokane. Did you make any written public comments from the public part of the record last evening?

0137

1 MR. CROMWELL: Your Honor, we did not do so formally last evening. ALJ Mace announced that close 2 3 of business today would be the end of the public 4 comment period and encouraged those attending if they 5 wished to file a written comment to do so by fax or б e-mail. We have received some here that I've been 7 gathering. We sent some down to Ms. Hansen, I believe, 8 yesterday morning. I've received a few more since then. 9 What I would anticipate doing is bringing 10

11 those with me tomorrow morning, and then perhaps with 12 the understanding of the parties that if we get 13 something here that comes in the mail that I didn't 14 have a chance to bring down with me, then those could 15 be a supplement to the exhibit. I think the idea was 16 that we need to accept comments through the end of 17 today.

JUDGE MOSS: Okay. We'll follow that plan. In addition to the two exhibits I've identified, I've identified as No. 12 the prepared statement by Kelly O. Norwood, and as No. 13, the prepared statement of Jon Eliassen for Avista, and I assumed, Mr. Meyer, that you did want to make those exhibits of record. That is the Bench's preference.

25

MR. MEYERS: That is correct.

1JUDGE MOSS: Are there any other exhibits2that parties wish to have presented as part of our3record that they are aware of at this time?4MR. THOMPSON: I think we would ask that our5memorandum of Commission staff explaining the6settlement stipulation be made an exhibit.7JUDGE MOSS: Let's talk about that for a

8 second. In the interim phase of this proceeding, I 9 believe it was, we had a similar memorandum in support, 10 and I think I made the observation in that proceeding, 11 and I will make it now, that of course the memorandum 12 with Counsel signature is argument, and that's fine, 13 and we don't make that an exhibit, but in the interim 14 proceeding, what I did was inquire of the Staff 15 witnesses whether with respect to the factual 16 statements contained in the memorandum, they would 17 adopt it as their testimony, and then we would have it of record as support for factual assertions. 18

19 I would go so far as to say that would be my 20 preference to do that again, and let me ask whether you 21 believe your witnesses or one of your witnesses would 22 be willing to subscribe to that in that fashion.

23 MR. THOMPSON: I believe they would. Should
24 we reserve a number for it?

25 JUDGE MOSS: Let's go ahead and mark it 14,

1 and we'll have it either way, but for convenience, 2 we'll make it a formal exhibit for purposes of the 3 record, and that will be very helpful. Any other 4 exhibits?

5 MR. MEYERS: No. Other than to confirm that 6 the one-page schematic attached to Mr. Norwood's 7 testimony is deemed part of that same Exhibit No. 12. 8 JUDGE MOSS: I don't seem to have that. Was 9 that sent as part of the courtesy copy that I got 10 through e-mail, Mr. Meyer?

11 MR. MEYERS: I'll bet it was not part of 12 e-mail. It wouldn't e-mail all that well. It was a 13 colored schematic, a one-page item, and I'm sure the 14 hard copies have that attached, so I would want to make 15 sure the official record has that hard-copy colored 16 version of this. It's called "AVA general rate case 17 time line."

18 JUDGE MOSS: Were these documents actually 19 filed here at the Commission?

20 MR. MEYERS: Yes.

JUDGE MOSS: I can get that from the records center. I'm simply working off the courtesy copies you sent me, which is why I was momentarily confused, but that would be a part of Exhibit 12 along with the narrative statement.

0140

MR. MEYERS: Thank you. 1 2 JUDGE MOSS: Any other exhibits, Mr. Van Cleve, any for ICNU? 3 4 MR. VAN CLEVE: No, Your Honor. 5 MR. MEYERS: Your Honor, in going over the б Bench requests, we would anticipate having an exhibit 7 consisting of we don't know how many pages yet because it hasn't been prepared, but it's in response to Items 8 9 3, 4, 5, and my thinking is it would be an exhibit with 10 multiple pages, so I wanted to forewarn you, and we can 11 mark it tomorrow or mark it today, whichever is 12 required. 13 JUDGE MOSS: The volume of material that we 14 have here is sufficiently light so that I'm not 15 concerned about marking exhibits at hearing, so bring 16 that along, and of course you will want to bring 17 multiple copies. We will need six for the Bench, and then of course, the parties will need copies as well. 18 19 MR. MEYERS: Would you like us to separately 20 file that with the secretary as well? 21 JUDGE MOSS: That's not necessary. We can 22 make it part of the record, assuming no objection, and 23 it will get into the records center in that fashion. 24 MR. MEYERS: Thank you. JUDGE MOSS: The Bench requests did call for 25

oral responses. It was sort of a heads-up to the
 parties about some of the topical areas that the
 Commission wishes to inquire into, so let me say in
 that connection in terms of our process, we do
 anticipate making a topical inquiry.

б We will probably also go through the 7 settlement agreement page by page, as you all are accustomed to from some of our proceedings over the 8 9 past couple of years, and that's our plan. Since there is no opposition to the settlement, the inquiry will be 10 11 principally from the Bench, and let me ask, does 12 anybody plan to have an opening statement by Counsel? MR. MEYERS: Not from Avista. 13 MR. CROMWELL: Not from Public Counsel. 14 15 MR. THOMPSON: Not from Staff either. 16 JUDGE MOSS: So we'll just launch right in 17 and get our panel sworn. In terms of witnesses, we have Mr. Norwood, Mr. Eliassen for the Company. Did I 18 19 remember correctly you sent me an e-mail, Mr. Cromwell, 20 that Ms. Kimball was going to be your witness? 21 MR. CROMWELL: Correct. 22 JUDGE MOSS: ICNU's witness? MR. VAN CLEVE: Yes, Your Honor, 23 24 Mr. Schoenbeck. JUDGE MOSS: And for Staff, we will have 25

1 whom?

2 MR. THOMPSON: For Staff, Mr. Ken Elgin, and also Alan Buckley and Tom Schooley will be available, 3 4 but the primary witness would be Ken Elgin. 5 MR. MEYERS: Your Honor, in terms of the order of presentation, and this takes us into your set б 7 of Bench requests, before you arrived, there was a colloquy among the parties that ascribed the effort to 8 9 coordinate a response to the various items, and the 10 Company and Staff visited about that and have divided 11 them up just to make sure they are covered. By no 12 means do we mean to foreclose other comments by other 13 parties, but having said that, it was our plan, as well 14 as the Company and I believe Staff as well, that we 15 would provide a brief opening statement by the 16 witnesses consistent with the prepared statement that 17 is marked as an exhibit, and then each witness would proceed to answer a set or subset of Bench requests. 18 19 For example, Kelly after his remarks then 20 would directly address the various items under the 21 energy recovery mechanism rather than wait until some 22 later point, and then I believe Staff, after what 23 prepared remarks they would have, would then respond to 24 the remaining items on the Bench request. So all of that is by way of suggesting that perhaps if the 25

Company went first, Staff went second, we would make
 sure that at least at the outset, we've covered all
 items.

4 JUDGE MOSS: I certainly have no difficulty 5 with you all having arranged among yourselves a б division of labor in terms of response. I want to 7 reserve on the exact procedure that we will follow. Since we will have the Commissioners on the Bench, I 8 9 certainly want to give them the opportunity to have 10 some say as to how the material is presented so that it 11 would be most useful to them, but we can certainly have 12 opening statements by the witnesses as we did in the 13 interim phase, and then in terms of the responses to 14 the specific Bench questions, we may proceed in the 15 fashion you suggest, or if the Commissioners have some 16 strong preference for another process, we can adopt 17 that. I think we will have plenty of time to work out those details. 18

19

MR. MEYERS: All right.

JUDGE MOSS: I believe that's all the business I have for you all today. Do the parties have anything further for me? MR. CROMWELL: 9:30 tomorrow morning?

JUDGE MOSS: Yes. 9:30 is the appointed hour, or was it nine o'clock? Mr. Elgin is correcting

1 is. I think it's nine o'clock. Yes, nine o'clock. Let's start at nine, and that will give us a little extra time before the noon hour. MR. MEYERS: Thank you all. JUDGE MOSS: Thank you very much. We will be б off the record. (Prehearing concluded at 1:58 p.m.)