

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON  
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND  
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

PUGET SOUND ENERGY,

Respondent.

DOCKETS UE-220066 and UG-  
220067 (*Consolidated*)

ORDER 09

GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE  
TO FILE REVISED TESTIMONY

**BACKGROUND**

- 1 On January 31, 2022, Puget Sound Energy (PSE or Company) filed with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission (Commission) revisions to its currently effective Tariff WN U-60, Tariff G, Electric Service, and its currently effective Tariff WN U-2, Natural Gas.
- 2 On March 15, 2022, PSE filed a Motion for Leave to File Revised Testimony (Motion). PSE explains that after it filed its direct testimony on January 31, 2022, the Company discovered inadvertent errors in its direct testimony when responding to a data request from the Public Counsel Unit of the Attorney General's Office (Public Counsel). Specifically, PSE discovered Table 1 from the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Kazi H. Hasan, Exh. KKH-1CT, incorrectly stated certain amounts deferred from 2017-2021. PSE also discovered Figure 2 from Exh. KKH-1CT did not correctly portray PSE's earned return on equity. While PSE submits that these are relatively minor errors that do not change the overall revenue requirement, this exhibit should be updated.
- 3 In its Motion, PSE also notes that it was filing revised testimony to correct minor errors in the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Catherine A. Koch, Exh.CAK-1T, and certain exhibits of PSE witness Dan'l R. Koch (Exh. DRK-3, Exh. DRK-4, Exh. DRK-5, Exh. DRK6, Exh. DRK-23, and Exh. DRK-25). PSE submits that these revisions are not

substantive, and the Company does not request leave to file these revised exhibits. PSE filed all the revised exhibits discussed in its Motion.

### DISCUSSION

- 4 WAC 480-07-460(1)(a)(i) permits parties to seek leave from the presiding officer by written motion if they wish to revise prefiled testimony or exhibits with substantive changes, and WAC 480-07-460(1)(b) requires parties to file motions to make substantive changes as soon as practicable after discovering the need to make the change.
- 5 We grant PSE's Motion. No party has objected to PSE's Motion. As required by WAC 480-07-460(1)(a)(i), PSE has requested leave from the presiding officer to file these substantive revisions, and the Company filed the revised testimony with its Motion. PSE has also sought to correct these errors in a timely fashion, well before any evidentiary hearing.
- 6 PSE also submits revised testimony and exhibits for its witnesses Catherine A. Koch and Dan'l R. Koch. No party has objected to PSE's characterization of these revisions as minor and non-substantive in nature. It is not necessary to address these revised exhibits further in this Order.

### ORDER

- 7 **THE COMMISSION ORDERS That Puget Sound Energy's Motion is GRANTED.**

DATED at Lacey, Washington, and effective March 25, 2022.

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

*/s/ Michael S. Howard*  
MICHAEL HOWARD  
Administrative Law Judge

**NOTICE TO PARTIES: This is an Interlocutory Order of the Commission. Administrative review may be available through a petition for review, filed within 10 days of the service of this Order pursuant to WAC 480-07-810.**