

WUTC v. Puget Sound Energy

Docket No. UG-240004 and UG-240005 - Vol. I

April 2, 2024



1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101
Bellingham | Everett | Tacoma | Olympia | Yakima | Spokane
Seattle 206.287.9066 Tacoma 253.235.0111 Eastern Washington 509.624.3261

www.buellrealtime.com

email: audio@buellrealtime.com

Page 1

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)
TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)
)
Complainant,)
) DOCKETS UE-240004 and
v.) UG-240005 (Consolidated)
)
PUGET SOUND ENERGY,)
) PAGES 1-37
Respondent.)

PREHEARING CONFERENCE - VOL. I
April 2, 2024
Administrative Law Judges Michael Howard and
Bijan Hughes Presiding

Transcribed by: Shanna Barr, CET

Page 2

A P P E A R A N C E S

1
2
3 On Behalf of Public Counsel:
4 TAD ROBINSON O'NEILL
Attorney General's Office of Washington
5 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, Washington 98104
6
7 On Behalf of Staff:
8 LISA W. GAFKEN
NASH I. CALLAGHAN
9 CASSANDRA JONES
LIAM D. WEILAND
10 COLIN E. W. O'BRIEN
Attorney General's Office of Washington
11 800 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
Seattle, Washington 98104
12
13 On Behalf of Respondent:
14 SHEREE STROM CARSON
Perkins Coie LLP
15 10885 Northeast Fourth Street, Suite 700
Bellevue, Washington 98004
16
17 On Behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers:
18 SOMMER J. MOSER
CORINNE O. OLSON
19 Davison Van Cleve
107 Southeast Washington Street, Suite 430
20 Portland, Oregon 97214
21
22 On Behalf of The Energy Project:
23 YOCHANAN ZAKAI
Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP
396 Hayes Street
24 San Francisco, California 94102
25

Page 3

A P P E A R A N C E S, Cont.

1
2
3 On Behalf of the Federal Executive Agencies:
4 RITA M. LIOTTA
United States Navy
5 1 Avenue Of The Palms Avenue, Suite 161
San Francisco, California 94130
6
7 On Behalf of Nucor Steel:
8 DAMON E. XENOPOULOS
LAURA BAKER
9 Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, PC
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, Northwest
10 8th Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007
11
12 On Behalf of Walmart:
13 JUSTINA CAVIGLIA
Parsons Behle & Latimer
14 50 West Liberty Street, Suite 750
Reno, Nevada 89501
15
16 On Behalf of The Kroger Company:
17 KURT J. BOEHM
Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry
18 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510
Cincinnati Ohio 45202
19
20 On Behalf of Microsoft:
21 TYLER C. PEPPL
Davison Van Cleve PC
107 Southeast Washington Street, Suite 430
22 Portland, Oregon 97214
23
24
25

Page 4

A P P E A R A N C E S, Cont.

1
2
3 On Behalf of the Joint Environmental Advocates Front and
Centered, Northwest Energy Colation and Sierra Club:
4
5 JAN E. HASSELMAN
Earthjustice
810 Third Avenue, Suite 610
6 Seattle, Washington 98104
7
8 On Behalf of Sierra Club:
9
10 JIM DENNISON
1650 38th Street, Suite 102W
11 Boulder, Colorado 80301
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Page 5

1 -o0o-
2 April 2, 2024
3
4 THE COURT: All right. Good morning, everyone. Let's be
5 on the record. We're here today for a pre-hearing
6 conference and consolidated dockets, UE-240004, UG-240005,
7 and UE-230810. This case is captioned, respectively,
8 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission v. Puget
9 Sound Energy and In the Matter of the Petition of Puget
10 Sound Energy for an Accounting Order. This is a general
11 writ case filed by Puget Sound Energy or PSE.
12 My name is Michael Howard. I'm an administrative law
13 judge with the Commission, and I'll be co-presiding in this
14 matter along with Administrative Law Judge Bijan Hughes,
15 who's on our call today, and with the commissioners. The
16 commissioners will not be joining us at this particular
17 pre-hearing conference though.
18 Let's start by taking appearances from the parties,
19 beginning with PSE.
20 MS. CARSON: Good morning, Judge Howard, Judge Hughes.
21 This is Sheree Strom Carson with Perkins Coie representing
22 Puget Sound Energy.
23 THE COURT: Thank you.
24 Could we hear from Staff.
25 MS. GAFKEN: Good morning, Judges. My name is Lisa

Page 6

1 Gafken. I'm an Assistant Attorney General appearing on
2 behalf of Staff. Also appearing with me on this case are
3 Assistant Attorneys General Nash Callaghan, Cassandra Jones,
4 Liam Weiland, and Colin O'Brien. Thank you.
5 THE COURT: Thank you.
6 Could we hear from Public Counsel?
7 MR. O'NEILL: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Tad
8 Robinson O'Neill, an Assistant Attorney General, appearing
9 on behalf of Public Counsel.
10 THE COURT: Thank you.
11 And could we hear from Alliance of Western Energy
12 Consumers or AWEC.
13 MS. MOSER: Good morning, Your Honor. Sommer Moser on
14 behalf of the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers. And
15 with me, presiding with me in this proceeding, is Corinne
16 Olson, also from Davison Van Cleve.
17 THE COURT: Thank you.
18 And could we hear from The Energy Project?
19 MR. ZAKAI: Excuse me. Good morning, Your Honors. This
20 is Yochanan Zakai with Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger, appearing
21 today on behalf of The Energy Project.
22 THE COURT: Thank you.
23 And Federal Executive Agencies.
24 MS. LIOTTA: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Rita
25 Liotta with the United States Navy, appearing on behalf of

Page 7

1 the Federal Executive Agencies.
2 THE COURT: Thank you.
3 Could we hear from Nucor Steel Seattle?
4 MR. XENOPOULOS: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Damon
5 Xenopoulos of Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, presenting
6 Nucor Steel Kankakee. And I'm joined by Laura Baker of
7 Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew. Thank you.
8 THE COURT: Thank you.
9 Could we hear from Walmart.
10 MS. CAVIGLIA: Good morning, Your Honors. Justina
11 Caviglia with Parsons Behle & Latimer on behalf of Walmart.
12 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
13 And Kroger.
14 MR. BOEHM: Good morning, Your Honors. Kurt Boehm
15 appearing on behalf of The Kroger Company.
16 THE COURT: Thank you.
17 And Microsoft.
18 MR. PEPPE: Good morning. This is Tyler Pepple on behalf
19 of Microsoft.
20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
21 And --
22 MR. HASSELMAN: You're on mute too, Your Honor.
23 THE COURT: Oh. I apologize. I'm not sure how I went on
24 mute.
25 Could we hear from the representative for three parties,

Page 8

1 which are Front and Centered; Northwest Energy Coalition, or
2 NWECC; and Sierra Club.
3 MR. HASSELMAN: Yeah. Hi. Good morning. Jan Hasselman
4 with Earthjustice on behalf of the Joint Environmental
5 Advocates. Also with me is Jim Dennison on behalf of the
6 Sierra Club.
7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
8 Are there any other organizations on the call that wish to
9 give a verbal notice of appearance?
10 All right. Hearing none, let's turn to the petitions to
11 intervene. Are there any petitions to intervene today other
12 than those that have been filed in writing in this docket
13 already? All right. Hearing none, we have received 10
14 petitions to intervene from the following organizations:
15 The Energy Project, AWEC, Federal Executive Agencies, Nucor
16 Steel, Walmart, Kroger, Microsoft, Front and Centered, NWECC,
17 and Sierra Club.
18 Have I overlooked any written petition to intervene? Are
19 there any objections from any of the parties to any of these
20 petitions?
21 MS. CARSON: PSE has no objection.
22 MS. GAFKEN: Commission Staff has no objection.
23 MR. O'NEILL: Public Counsel has no objection.
24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
25 And I'll just give another moment. Any objections?

Page 9

1 All right. Hearing none, each of the 10 petitions to
 2 intervene are granted.
 3 Let's turn next to the procedural schedule for the case.
 4 Before our call today, I emailed the parties suggesting
 5 possible dates for the hearing. I indicated that the
 6 Commission could hold the hearing over two days, either on
 7 October 14th through the 15th of this year, or on
 8 November 4th through the 5th. And the commissioners have
 9 fairly limited availability and other proceedings outside of
 10 those dates. And the parties were able to confer, and they
 11 emailed myself, as well as the other parties, proposing two
 12 different schedules. I have a proposed schedule from the
 13 Company, and I have a proposed schedule from Commission
 14 Staff, and I appreciate the parties' efforts on that.
 15 I'm just going to kind -- I'm just going to briefly note
 16 some of the differences between the schedules. The
 17 Company's proposed schedule provides for response testimony
 18 being due on July 26th, rebuttal testimony due -- and
 19 rebuttal and cross-answering due on September 6th, the
 20 evidentiary hearing on October 14th through the 15th. And
 21 Staff's proposed schedule has response testimony due
 22 August 6th, rebuttal and cross-answering testimony due
 23 September 23rd, and the evidentiary hearing on November 4th
 24 through the 5th, although Staff would prefer October 29th
 25 through the 30th. And I will allow comments from the

Page 10

1 parties here in a minute.
 2 I do want to note that it does appear that October 29th
 3 and 30th would not work for the Commission. It does appear
 4 that Commissioner Rendahl is at a CAISO meeting
 5 on that date. I will -- I can look into that further,
 6 though.
 7 So I do plan to take both of these schedules, these
 8 proposed schedules, under advisement. I don't necessarily
 9 plan on deciding this at this moment, but I did want to
 10 allow for the parties to raise any considerations that we
 11 should be aware of and for the other parties, besides the
 12 Company and Staff, to indicate their support for one
 13 schedule versus the other or any other concerns they want to
 14 raise. So I will simply go down our list of parties here.
 15 Let's start with the Company. Is there anything further
 16 that we should be aware of for considering the schedule?
 17 MS. CARSON: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
 18 Well, first of all, Mr. Zakai emailed me just a few
 19 minutes ago and reminded me that there were a few dates that
 20 didn't work -- in the Company's schedule that did not work
 21 for The Energy Project, which would involve just slight
 22 adjustments to the date of the response testimony, Staff,
 23 Public Counsel, intervenor response testimony, the
 24 non-Company parties meeting to discuss settlement in August,
 25 and the second settlement conference. So, you know, PSE is

Page 11

1 fine with that. Mr. Zakai can address that more as well. I
 2 think we can work around those dates. It's just adjusting
 3 slightly by a few days.
 4 In addition, I just want to reiterate, you know, from our
 5 perspective, there's really two primary differences between
 6 the Company's proposed schedule and Staff's proposed
 7 schedule, and they're related. Staff's schedule has an
 8 evidentiary hearing very late in the proceeding, and it does
 9 not provide the opportunity for reply briefs. And both of
 10 these are problematic from our perspective.
 11 First, just in terms of the late date for the evidentiary
 12 hearing in November, this really just gives the Commission a
 13 couple of months to issue an order after the evidentiary
 14 hearing, and that's not even including the time needed for
 15 the briefs, which is a really tight schedule. And this year
 16 in particular we're concerned about that. You know, we have
 17 Chair Danner retiring at the end of the year, and it seems
 18 like it makes sense. We would like to have Chair Danner to
 19 be involved throughout the course of the proceeding and the
 20 order, and it makes sense to try to get this completed by
 21 the end of the year to the extent possible, just -- a
 22 two-month time period between evidentiary hearing and the
 23 order is just unusual from our perspective, and so we're
 24 just not sure how feasible that is.
 25 And then the second issue, relatedly, is that we think

Page 12

1 reply briefs are very important. Staff's schedule does not
 2 provide the opportunity for a reply brief. Staff has
 3 indicated that reply briefs aren't important to them, but
 4 PSE as the party with the burden of proof believes that they
 5 are very important. We think that, you know, there are
 6 often legal issues that can get muddled in initial briefs,
 7 and a short reply brief gives parties the opportunity to
 8 clarify those issues for the Commission and to avoid, you
 9 know, motions for clarification, petitions for
 10 reconsideration, judicial review. So I think it's worth it
 11 to schedule that in there. And PSE is the party with the
 12 burden of proof, you know, and from an evidentiary
 13 perspective, we have the opportunity for rebuttal testimony,
 14 and likewise, we think it's important to have the
 15 opportunity to respond to legal issues raised by other
 16 parties.
 17 And not to mention, you know, that there are 10-plus
 18 parties that PSE must respond to in briefs, which is
 19 different from the other parties who are primarily
 20 responding to PSE and to certain issues. So it's important
 21 to PSE to have that opportunity. It doesn't need to be a
 22 lot of pages, doesn't need to be much time from the initial
 23 briefs but to have the opportunity for a reply brief.
 24 The other issues I guess I would point out is that PSE is
 25 willing to have a settlement hearing scheduled in August or

Page 13

1 September in the event that early settlement is reached.
 2 That was a suggestion, Judge Howard, that you made
 3 yesterday, and we're in favor of that.
 4 And the final issue is that it's very important for PSE to
 5 have a date certain for the public comment hearing. PSE --
 6 it can save everyone -- it can save a lot of money if this
 7 is done through bill inserts, and that takes time. So I
 8 think our schedule reflects that we need 135 days' notice to
 9 get all the notices in the bill inserts. So we request that
 10 we have a date certain. We've picked a date, but if a
 11 different date works, that's fine. Just a date certain is
 12 important. Thank you.
 13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. And I'll certainly
 14 take all this under consideration. I think some of the
 15 difficulties in this case are, of course, the other
 16 proceedings going on around this time for the Commission.
 17 One question I did have for the Company was that the
 18 proposed schedule had Company compliance filing as a "to be
 19 determined" date listed before the suspension deadline date.
 20 I did want to ask for the Company's position on that. It's
 21 been the Commission's longstanding interpretation, going
 22 back to Director Judge Kopta, that the Commission has the
 23 authority to suspend -- to enter the order, final order, in
 24 a rate case filing up to the date before the suspension
 25 deadline and that the compliance filing and Staff's five-day

Page 14

1 business day review of that compliance filing is a process
 2 that necessarily needs to occur but can occur after the
 3 statutory suspension deadline.
 4 Does the Company -- is the Company neutral on that view?
 5 Is the Company disagreeing with that view? What's the
 6 position on that?
 7 MS. CARSON: Well, I haven't briefed that. I think in
 8 most cases the order does come out before the suspension
 9 date, allowing for a compliance filing to be made by or near
 10 to the suspension date. I guess my gut reaction is that,
 11 you know, the rates are suspended, and then they are to go
 12 into effect 10 months after they would otherwise go into
 13 effect, and so that would mean that they should go into
 14 effect by the suspension date. But I haven't briefed that.
 15 I don't know that there was a lot of thought put into the
 16 TBD being before the suspension date, but that is, you know,
 17 the usual practice is that we get the order before the
 18 suspension date and we have a compliance filing that's filed
 19 before the suspension date.
 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I just wanted to ask
 21 about that.
 22 I will turn next to Staff. Is there anything that we
 23 should be aware of for Staff's schedule or any further
 24 comments Staff wants to give about the schedules proposed?
 25 MS. GAFKEN: Yes, I do. I would like to make some

Page 15

1 comments about the scheduling issues.
 2 As you noted, Judge Howard, the two proposed schedules do
 3 coincide up through the first settlement conference, and
 4 then they diverge beginning with the response testimony
 5 deadline. I also want to point out that both schedules
 6 agree on the timing of discovery, that response times
 7 decrease from 10 business days to seven business days to
 8 five business days which with each round of testimony.
 9 Staff's proposed schedule balances the deadlines of this
 10 case and those of the Avista TRC.
 11 I'll also note that Cascade also just filed its general
 12 rate case last week, which will add to the deadline pressure
 13 of several of the parties in this case, including Commission
 14 Staff. PSE's proposed schedule only provides about two to
 15 two and a half weeks in between the same deadlines in the
 16 Avista case, which places too much strain on Staff and other
 17 parties involved in both cases, and this would be unduly
 18 prejudicial. PSE filed its case about four weeks after
 19 Avista filed its case. Staff's proposed schedule allows for
 20 a similar length of time between the deadlines of each case,
 21 providing three to five weeks between deadlines set for the
 22 Avista case and similar deadlines set for this general rate
 23 case. This timing is essential to adequately address each
 24 filing and to present well-thought-out positions in each
 25 case.

Page 16

1 Staff's proposed schedule originally had hearing dates of
 2 October 29th to 30th, and I do hear that those dates will
 3 not work. AWEC can speak more to this, but I understand
 4 that there's conflicts with the November 4th to 5th dates as
 5 well. And so if we can find dates at the end of October, or
 6 perhaps the beginning of November that do work for
 7 everybody, that would be our preference.
 8 With respect to reply briefs, Staff does not favor them or
 9 find them particularly necessary. And in the interest of
 10 not unduly compressing the Avista and PSE case schedules
 11 together, we do propose a schedule that does not include
 12 reply briefs. We do completely understand that PSE
 13 generally favors reply briefs, but we'll also note that the
 14 Commission does not require reply briefs in all proceedings.
 15 Indeed, Puget's last multi-year rate plan rate case in 2022
 16 only had one round of briefing for similar scheduling
 17 considerations.
 18 Additionally, PacifiCorp's last general rate case that
 19 just wrapped up also had one round of briefing, and
 20 PacifiCorp was able to file a reply brief when the need
 21 arose by seeking leave to do so. So Puget would not be
 22 unduly prejudiced if we don't include a reply brief in the
 23 procedural schedule. They do have mechanisms available to
 24 them to address any issues that might come up.
 25 With respect to scheduling an earlier settlement hearing,

Page 17

1 Staff isn't necessarily in favor of putting another thing on
2 the schedule, just given the time conflicts that are already
3 arising throughout the year. You know, if we are able to
4 settle early, that's great. But I'll also note that the
5 last two major Puget settlements came pretty close to the
6 time of hearing anyway. I just feel like the benefits don't
7 outweigh the burdens of trying to schedule an additional
8 settlement hearing into the procedural schedule.
9 I will stop there. Thank you.
10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
11 And for Staff's schedule, I don't believe it specifically
12 referred to a deadline for errata, but I would imagine if
13 the Commission adopts Staff's schedule we would add that to
14 the final pre-hearing submissions deadline that's already
15 included.
16 Any concerns with that?
17 MS. GAFKEN: No concerns with that.
18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
19 Could I hear from Public Counsel?
20 MR. O'NEILL: Morning again, Your Honor. Public Counsel
21 supports Staff's submission, largely for the same reasons
22 that Staff has about the compression of the Avista and PSE
23 rate cases. And I don't have anything in addition to add,
24 so I will step back.
25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. It is helpful to hear

Page 18

1 the positions of the other parties even if we don't have
2 detailed concerns.
3 I'm going to hear from AWEC.
4 MS. MOSER: Thank you, Your Honor. I guess just generally
5 just the number of dockets that are going on both in
6 Washington and other jurisdictions has kind of put
7 scheduling in this case in an interesting light in that we
8 are simply trying to avoid conflicts where we physically
9 cannot be present. And so that's kind of put us in a little
10 bit of a unique position to be generally agreeable to either
11 schedule submitted by PSE or Staff. We do have a preference
12 for Staff schedules. We appreciate Staff working with us on
13 trying to avoid the conflict that we have on November 4th
14 through 5th. If that's a conflict that can be resolved with
15 a hearing date that is earlier, I think that would be our
16 preference for a schedule. But unfortunately, November 4th
17 and 5th are a problem for us.
18 Regarding PSE's schedule, the dates submitted by PSE do
19 work for AWEC. We understand that The Energy Project has
20 some conflicts with that. We do have some issues with the
21 dates that The Energy Project submitted. So I would just
22 propose maybe, after going through the positions of all the
23 parties, if we could just go offline quickly -- I don't
24 think it will take very long -- but to address that, just so
25 the schedule that you would be considering for -- the PSE

Page 19

1 proposed schedule you'd be considering would have at least
2 dates that don't present a conflict for any of the parties.
3 Yeah. And I think that will do it for AWEC.
4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
5 As I noted, the commissioners do have limited availability
6 around the October to November time period. If the
7 Commission had to set the hearing on November 4th and 5th,
8 could AWEC find a way to make that work?
9 MS. MOSER: I'm supposed to be out of the country. I
10 think it would be a challenge. I don't want to represent
11 that it's a complete impossibility, but it's pretty close to
12 impossible.
13 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. We will take that
14 under advisement.
15 Could I hear from Mr. Zakai?
16 MR. ZAKAI: Yes. Thank you.
17 The Energy Project supports Staff's schedule. We
18 generally agree with all the reasoning provided by Lisa
19 Gafken. We are particularly concerned about the amount of
20 time between the Avista deadlines and the PSE deadlines.
21 PSE's case here was filed about four weeks afterwards, so in
22 most cases, you know, it would make sense for the
23 commensurate deadlines or event to occur about four weeks
24 later than they do in the Avista case.
25 I would also like to note in response to PSE's concern

Page 20

1 about, you know, the timing of the completion of the case,
2 that, you know, the Company chooses when to file its case,
3 and if they had a preference to complete the case at a
4 different time, then they had the ability to file at a
5 different time, and therefore the statutory deadline would
6 occur at a different time. I also, you know, don't -- yeah.
7 I'll leave that there.
8 Then with the particular dates of concern, yeah, I'm
9 hoping we could work those out. I was surprised. I think
10 some folks might have missed my email that went out earlier
11 in March, you know, noting conflicts with three of the dates
12 in PSE'S schedule, and I suggested moving them by half a
13 week or a week so in the event the Commission decides to go
14 with The Energy Project's non-preferred schedule, at least
15 we didn't have some conflicts there. It sounds like we
16 might need to have some discussion about those specific
17 dates, so I don't think it makes sense for me to address
18 those on the record now unless you'd like me to. We could
19 probably just -- I agree with AWEC -- have a short recess
20 and come to an agreement there if necessary. Thanks.
21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
22 I think I'm inclined to take that short recess after we
23 hear from the other parties.
24 And could we hear from Federal Executive Agencies.
25 MS. LIOTTA: Yes, Your Honor. Rita Liotta with the

Page 21

1 Federal Executive Agencies. Actually, FEA has no
 2 preference of -- with either schedule. We are flexible and
 3 able to accommodate either schedule. Thank you.
 4 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 5 Could we hear from Nucor Steel.
 6 MR. XENOPOULOS: Yes, Your Honor. This is Damon
 7 Xenopolous if I can get off of mute. We have no particular
 8 preference. Probably a slight preference for Staff's
 9 proposal, but we can make either of them work. Thank you.
 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 11 Walmart.
 12 MS. CAVIGLIA: We also have a slight preference for
 13 Staff's schedule, but we could make either schedule work at
 14 this time.
 15 THE COURT: All right.
 16 Kroger.
 17 MR. BOEHM: Thank you, Your Honor. Kroger does not take a
 18 position on the scheduling. We can make either work.
 19 THE COURT: Thank you.
 20 Microsoft.
 21 MR. PEPPL: Microsoft also does not have a position and
 22 is fine with either.
 23 THE COURT: All right.
 24 So we'll hear from the attorney for Front and Centered,
 25 Northwest Energy Coalition, and Sierra Club.

Page 22

1 MR. HASSELMAN: Yeah. Hi. Jan Hasselman for the joint
 2 environmental advocates. We support the Staff schedule.
 3 Two of the three JEA parties are in the Avista proceeding as
 4 well. If Your Honor is inclined to be splitting the baby, I
 5 think the date that is of concern to us is the later date
 6 for the testimony that we would submit. There's like a
 7 two-week difference. And that's probably the one that's
 8 most urgent to us. But, of course, we'll meet any deadline
 9 the Commission sets. Thank you.
 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. So the deadline that
 11 concerned you would be -- are you referring to PSE's
 12 proposed deadline for response testimony?
 13 MR. HASSELMAN: No. This would be -- yes. Yeah, that's
 14 right. I think they proposed July 26th. The Staff proposed
 15 August 9th, if I recall correctly.
 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 17 Let's take a short recess so that the parties,
 18 particularly The Energy Project and AWEC, can discuss with
 19 PSE and work out the conflicts to the proposed schedule, if
 20 that sounds amenable to everyone. So I will leave the call,
 21 and we'll stop recording the call. And I would ask that
 22 Lisa Gafken or another attorney for either Public Counsel or
 23 Staff emails me or sends me a Teams message to let me know
 24 when to rejoin.
 25 Does that work for everyone? Any concerns?

Page 23

1 MS. GAFKEN: Yes, that works.
 2 THE COURT: All right. All right.
 3 All right. We will go off the record, and I'll leave the
 4 call.
 5 (Recess)
 6 MS. CARSON: So, you know, the -- both the revised dates
 7 that AWEC had provided, which I guess is what we
 8 incorporated into our schedule and what The Energy Project
 9 provided, both of those work for PSE, so it's just a matter
 10 of, you know, finding something that works for both of you.
 11 MS. MOSER: Yeah. Thanks. I am just going back through
 12 the calendar.
 13 MR. ZAKAI: Yeah. Let me start with the first one just so
 14 that we're all on the same page. So we're talking about the
 15 deadline for intervenor response testimony and exhibits,
 16 which in PSE'S schedule was set at July 26th, and I had
 17 recommended that that be moved to July 31st.
 18 MS. CARSON: Does that work, Sommer?
 19 MR. PEPPL: That one does not work for me. I think we
 20 landed on the 26th because that next week is going to be
 21 problematic from my end. I mean, I think like -- you know,
 22 if that's a need for The Energy Project, we can -- I mean,
 23 hopefully Microsoft's testimony will be somewhat limited,
 24 and so we might be able to get it done, you know, that
 25 Friday before anyway. But, you know, if there's

Page 24

1 flexibility, it would be better to keep it at the 26th.
 2 MS. MOSER: Yeah. And just for AWEC, even the 29th would
 3 be a little better. I guess the problem with the 30th and
 4 the 31st are that I'll be out of the office at all-day BPA
 5 meetings, and so having that be a filing day is a little
 6 tricky as well, just giving some other staffing changes that
 7 we're dealing with. So, you know, if we could -- yeah.
 8 Even the 29th would be more ideal than the 31st.
 9 MR. ZAKAI: Yeah. I think the 29th would be good. As I
 10 mentioned, my main concern was just not having that the same
 11 week as a lot of other stuff going on. So if you're open to
 12 the 29th and other parties are amenable, I think that sounds
 13 good.
 14 (Back on the record)
 15 THE COURT: Recording? All right. Thank you.
 16 Good morning, everyone. Let's be back on the record. As
 17 I understand, the parties have finished their discussion.
 18 So would counsel for the Company like to relay the outcome
 19 of that?
 20 MS. CARSON: Certainly. So, yeah, we did talk about three
 21 different dates that are on PSE's proposed schedule, and we
 22 came to agreement on slightly revised dates. So the first
 23 is the response testimony date for Staff, Public Counsel,
 24 and intervenors. It's currently scheduled for July 26th,
 25 and it would be changed to July 29th.

1 THE COURT: Okay.
 2 MS. CARSON: And then the second date is the one right
 3 after that on the schedule. The non-Company parties meet to
 4 discuss their respective positions in advance of the second
 5 settlement conference. PSE had that scheduled for August 1
 6 to 2. The parties agree to change it to August 7th.
 7 THE COURT: Okay.
 8 MS. CARSON: And then the third and last is the second
 9 settlement conference. PSE had scheduled for August 8 to 9,
 10 and the parties agree to August 13 to 14.
 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 12 Anything from AWEC or The Energy Project?
 13 MR. ZAKAI: No. I just wanted to thank the parties that
 14 we were able to come to agreement that if that's the
 15 schedule the Commission picks that there aren't any urgent
 16 conflicts. So thank you.
 17 MS. MOSER: Nothing more for AWEC on PSE's proposed
 18 schedule. Also appreciative of parties working together to
 19 avoid conflict.
 20 THE COURT: All right. Thank you all. And again, I will
 21 be taking the two schedules under advisement.
 22 I did have one more question on Staff's proposed schedule.
 23 It does look like there are a total of seven weeks between
 24 response testimony and rebuttal, cross-answering in Staff's
 25 proposed schedule. Would Staff have an objection to moving

1 THE COURT: Oh.
 2 MS. CARSON: And PSE's -- would want to have at least six
 3 weeks for rebuttal testimony, between response and rebuttal
 4 testimony. Particularly when we have settlement conferences
 5 scheduled during that time period, it's important to have at
 6 least six weeks.
 7 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 8 Anything further on this point? I'll take this all into
 9 consideration. All right. Oh.
 10 MS. MOSER: Oh. I'm sorry, Your Honor. This is Sommer
 11 Moser was on behalf of AWEC.
 12 I just -- I guess I'm just flagging that I haven't had the
 13 opportunity to check in with our witnesses on availability.
 14 I'm not seeing anything on the calendar that is making me
 15 think that there's a direct issue, but I don't have access
 16 to all of the other deadlines that they have. So I am just
 17 noting that that's kind of an untested date for AWEC.
 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 19 MS. GAFKEN: I have a related topic that I wanted to
 20 address, and we could either do it now, or I know at some
 21 point we'll also talk about service and paper copies and
 22 that sort of thing. It does relate a little bit to the
 23 scheduling issues that we've been talking about though, so
 24 if now is a decent time to do that, I'd like to address --
 25 THE COURT: Please go ahead.

1 the deadline for rebuttal testimony in its schedule --
 2 rebuttal and cross-answering testimony up from
 3 September 23rd to September 16th?
 4 MS. GAFKEN: Let me just consult really quickly with the
 5 team availability. I'm wondering if maybe there were some
 6 availability issues that caused the original dates. I mean,
 7 on a theoretical level, no. I mean, that is a longer period
 8 of time between those two deadlines, but let me just
 9 double-check really quickly.
 10 MS. MOSER: Your Honor, if I may, I just note that that's
 11 also the date that exhibit lists, cross-exam exhibits, and
 12 witness lists are due in the Avista rate case, and that is a
 13 lot of filing to do testimony in those on one day. So I'm
 14 just curious if the 16th was maybe a hard-and-fast date or
 15 if you were just looking for something generally earlier.
 16 THE COURT: Approximately one week earlier, I think, would
 17 be ideal if we're considering this. It doesn't -- I think
 18 that's a good point to raise, though. It could be the
 19 following day. It could be a business day beforehand.
 20 MS. GAFKEN: I think we were trying to avoid the Avista
 21 deadline in the earlier week. I didn't find any specific
 22 conflicts in terms of Staff being out, but I do think that
 23 we were trying to avoid compressing schedules.
 24 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
 25 MS. CARSON: And --

1 MS. GAFKEN: Okay. Paper copies are administratively
 2 burdensome. It does shorten the timeline that parties have
 3 to produce testimony and exhibits, which is why I say that
 4 it's related to the discussions that we've been having,
 5 because, you know, we've been talking about trying to manage
 6 all of the things that are before the parties and the
 7 Commission.
 8 I am cognizant that the Commission has ordered paper
 9 copies in recent dockets, but I also want to note that we've
 10 operated for several years working with only electronic
 11 copies. It really does shorten the amount of time that
 12 witnesses have to develop their testimony and exhibits, and
 13 it also shortens the time available to our administrative
 14 staff to proofread and process the filing. There's a lot of
 15 coordination that goes into preparing paper docket -- paper
 16 copies. And I have to say that I'm old enough to remember
 17 the days when we had to provide 25 copies, and so I'm very
 18 thankful that we're not in those days anymore. But even at
 19 five copies, it does impose a significant administrative
 20 burden on our staff, especially with cases as large as this
 21 one. So we would request that the Commission not require
 22 parties to file paper copies in these dockets. Thank you.
 23 THE COURT: Thank you. And is that true even with the
 24 requirement that the paper copies are submitted, I believe,
 25 two business days after the electronic filing?

1 MS. GAFKEN: That does help. It's just -- I mean, we do
2 live in a different world now. We've really started to rely
3 on electronic ways of operating, and so putting together the
4 paper copies does pose an administrative burden that we
5 would like to avoid. Certainly, not having to file it on
6 the day that we submit the electronic copies is helpful, but
7 I would still ask for no paper copies.

8 MS. CARSON: Your Honor, if I might chime in. PSE agrees
9 with that, that paper copies are burdensome and make the
10 deadlines harder to meet. It's our understanding that paper
11 copies are due by 5:00 p.m. the day after you file, so if
12 it's two days after, I'd be interested in knowing that. I
13 mean, I do think the extra time helps. If paper copies are
14 required, it's helpful to have a little bit extra time.

15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

16 You know, talking about the issue of paper copies, I can
17 understand that it is extra work for the parties. I -- oh,
18 I see we've touched a nerve.

19 Public Counsel, go ahead.

20 MR. O'NEILL: So Public Counsel would support Staff's
21 request here on the paper copies. We filed a motion on this
22 in the Avista case. And even if the -- it depends, I guess,
23 on the use that the Commission has for the paper copies. If
24 the issue is that it's easier for the commissioners to
25 review, I think the timing could be stretched out on when

1 And AWEC.

2 MS. MOSER: Thank you, Your Honor. I just also wanted to
3 express support for Staff's proposal not to file paper
4 copies. It's also administratively burdensome for our
5 office. But any additional flexibility that could be had
6 along the lines discussed by Mr. Robinson or Mr. Zakai would
7 be appreciated if paper copies will still be required.
8 Thanks.

9 THE COURT: All right. Certainly.

10 I will consider extending the business days between when
11 an electronic filing is required and when the paper copies
12 subsequently need to be filed, but I can definitely tell the
13 parties that the commissioners themselves greatly appreciate
14 reviewing the testimony in using paper copies. That's their
15 preferred way of reading the evidence and testimony and
16 understanding the case. And some of our policy advisors and
17 ALJs also strongly prefer to have a paper copy. So it may
18 seem burdensome or it may seem unnecessary to some of us who
19 are happy to rely on screens for all of our reading, but
20 certainly some of the individuals at the Commission strongly
21 prefer those paper copies. But I will consider the timeline
22 for those.

23 And I think I have noted Public Counsel's motion in the
24 Avista case and the modifications that we granted and not
25 requiring lengthy spreadsheets and models to be submitted in

1 those paper copies need to be filed. That would ease the
2 administrative burden and kind of -- and provide the most
3 utility to the Commission, particularly because the
4 settlement is likely to change the issues for hearing, and
5 then we have printed off a whole bunch of papers that aren't
6 really going to be all that useful.

7 So, I mean, we do support the Public Counsel's -- I mean
8 Staff's position here. And I think that it would be useful
9 for us to hear from the Commission what they find most
10 useful about those paper copies and when they come in to
11 facilitate whatever use that the Commission has for the
12 paper copies.

13 THE COURT: Could I hear next from The Energy Project.

14 MR. ZAKAI: Thank you. I think Tad did a good job of
15 saying what I was going to say. Just that, you know, if we
16 can -- you know, if it is going to be a required,
17 understanding why, and if it just needs to be in before
18 hearing, if the hearing covers that topic, or if it, you
19 know, is used, you know, more immediately after filing, if
20 we could give our staff, you know, two or three days to get
21 that in the mail and out, then I think the compression that
22 Lisa was discussing in terms of not giving, you know, the
23 witnesses less time to prepare the testimony could be
24 alleviated. Thank you.

25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

1 paper. I don't think that that's necessary. So it would
2 just be testimony, exhibits, and briefs. Anything further
3 on that issue?

4 All right. Hearing none, I'll touch on the issue of
5 intervenor funding. The Commission has approved the
6 extended agreement for intervenor funding. Following the
7 terms of that extended agreement, any notices of intent to
8 seek funding and request for case certification were due
9 today by the time of this pre-hearing conference. And I
10 note that we have received those filings from AWEC, The
11 Energy Project, Front and Centered, and NWECC.

12 The deadline under -- the default deadline for proposed
13 budgets under the extended agreement would be 30 days from
14 today. So I do plan to rule orally on the record today that
15 the four requests for case certification are granted and
16 that any proposed budgets must be submitted within 30 days.

17 Do the parties have any concerns, questions, or objections
18 that they wish to raise right now?

19 MR. ZAKAI: No, Your Honor. For the purposes of
20 transparency, we included that proposed budget date of
21 May 2nd in the schedules we distributed.

22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

23 All right. Hearing no specific -- any further
24 clarifications, questions, and no objections, the request
25 for case certification from AWEC, The Energy Project, Front

Page 33

1 and Centered, and Northwest Energy Coalition are all
2 granted, and the pre-hearing conference order will set forth
3 that deadline for proposed budgets, which would be 30 days.
4 I anticipate it would be 30 days from today, but the order
5 will contain the final ruling on the schedule.
6 Moving next to the issue of data requests and discovery,
7 if the parties have discovery disputes, they should
8 certainly attempt to work those out in good faith, but if
9 they cannot, they should bring any motions to the Commission
10 for resolution. I would encourage the party with concerns
11 on a discovery response, or lack of response, to pick up the
12 phone or set up a Zoom call with opposing counsel before
13 filing a motion to compel or motion to strike testimony for
14 a discovery violation.
15 I also wanted to briefly address some issues regarding
16 discovery. I know that in the Avista rate case pre-hearing
17 conference there was a discussion about these similar
18 points. I intend to adopt the same requirements for
19 identifying data requests that we have in the Avista rate
20 case, which would involve identifying each data request by
21 subject and grouping them in the cover letter and
22 distribution email and developing a list of subjects to
23 facilitate discovery tracking.
24 Any objections at this point?
25 Lisa Gafken.

Page 34

1 MS. GAFKEN: Thank you. And I was also cognizant of the
2 discussion in the Avista pre-hearing conference on the
3 topic, and we assumed that -- and Staff was the one that
4 raised the issue last time around. And we assumed that we
5 would probably be asked to do the same thing here in this
6 case, and so we have circulated a list of proposed topics to
7 the parties. And I don't think that list has to be in the
8 pre-hearing conference order, but we are talking about it
9 and will have a list for us all to use. Thank you.
10 THE COURT: Thank you. And that list can be circulated
11 among the parties. It's not necessarily provided to the
12 Commission.
13 Any further comments? All right. Hearing none, I would
14 also plan on including a requirement, a pre-hearing
15 conference order, any data requests or responses are shared
16 with every other party to the case. And that's customary on
17 our orders.
18 Is there any objection to including such a requirement?
19 MS. GAFKEN: No objection from Staff. We find that very
20 helpful.
21 MS. CARSON: No objection from the Company.
22 MR. O'NEILL: No objection from Public Counsel.
23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
24 Hearing no objections, I'll just touch briefly on the
25 issue of the protective order. I believe we already have a

Page 35

1 protective order entered in this docket.
2 And we just have a couple brief matters here with
3 electronic filing and electronic service. We generally
4 require electronic filing of documents for formal filing.
5 And we have already discussed the issue of paper copies,
6 and I will consider the timeline for submitting those.
7 If any party has not yet designated a lead representative
8 for service, please do so via an email to me as soon as
9 possible. My email is Michael.Howard@utc.wa.gov. I believe
10 all the parties have done so in their notices of appearance
11 or their petitions to intervene. And if any party would
12 like to add additional names for courtesy service, please
13 email that to us as well.
14 And I would just note for this case going forward, if a
15 party has -- is concerned about the timing of a ruling on a
16 motion that they have filed or anything during the
17 proceedings of the case, I would definitely encourage that
18 party to reach out to Judge Hughes and I, ideally cc'ing the
19 other parties. I think it's always safest to err on the
20 side of caution and cc the other parties to the case. And I
21 do not mind if the parties are asking for a status update on
22 a ruling if they need that ruling to move their discussions
23 along or to know how the case is developing, so I would
24 definitely encourage that.
25 And I can take phone calls or direct emails just to me for


Page 36

1 procedural questions, but I usually find that the same
2 question can frequently be posed in an email cc'ing all the
3 parties, and it avoids any difficulties that arise if the
4 conversation goes a little bit beyond where it should and
5 then we needed a subsequent notice of ex parte contact,
6 which I will try to avoid in this docket. Any questions
7 about that?
8 All right. Is there anything further that we should
9 address today before we adjourn?
10 All right. Hearing none, we will issue an order shortly
11 containing the procedural schedule and other guidelines for
12 the disposition of this case, and we are adjourned.
13 Thank you.
14 MS. GAFKEN: Thank you.
15 MS. CARSON: Thank you.
16 MS. MOSER: Thank you.
17 MR. O'NEILL: Thank you.
18 (Conclusion of hearing)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE
2 STATE OF WASHINGTON)
3)
4 COUNTY OF KING)

5 I, the undersigned, do hereby certify under penalty
6 of perjury that the foregoing court proceedings or legal
7 recordings were transcribed under my direction as a certified
8 transcriptionist; and that the transcript is true and accurate to
9 the best of my knowledge and ability, including changes, if any,
10 made by the trial judge reviewing the transcript; that I received
11 the electronic recording directly from the court; that I am not a
12 relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
13 parties hereto, nor financially interested in its outcome.

14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
15 18th of April, 2024.

16
17
18 
19 s/ Shanna Barr, CET



<p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <p>ability 20:4 37:9 able 9:10 16:20 17:3 21:3 23:24 25:14 access 27:15 accommodate 21:3 Accounting 5:10 accurate 37:8 add 15:12 17:13,23 35:12 addition 11:4 17:23 additional 17:7 31:5 35:12 Additionally 16:18 address 11:1 15:23 16:24 18:24 20:17 27:20,24 33:15 36:9 adequately 15:23 adjourn 36:9 adjourned 36:12 adjusting 11:2 adjustments 10:22 administrative 1:12 5:12,14 28:13,19 29:4 30:2 administratively 28:1 31:4 adopt 33:18 adopts 17:13 advance 25:4 advisement 10:8 19:14 25:21 advisors 31:16 advocates 4:3 8:5 22:2 Agencies 3:3 6:23 7:1 8:15 20:24 21:1 ago 10:19 agree 15:6 19:18 20:19 25:6,10 agreeable 18:10</p>	<p>agreement 20:20 24:22 25:14 32:6 32:7,13 agrees 29:8 ahead 27:25 29:19 ALJs 31:17 all-day 24:4 alleviated 30:24 Alliance 2:17 6:11 6:14 allow 9:25 10:10 allowing 14:9 allows 15:19 amenable 22:20 24:12 amount 19:19 28:11 anticipate 33:4 anymore 28:18 anyway 17:6 23:25 apologize 7:23 appear 10:2,3 appearance 8:9 35:10 appearances 5:18 appearing 6:1,2,8 6:20,25 7:15 appreciate 9:14 18:12 31:13 appreciated 31:7 appreciative 25:18 approved 32:5 Approximately 26:16 April 1:11 5:2 37:15 arising 17:3 arose 16:21 asked 34:5 asking 35:21 Assistant 6:1,3,8 assumed 34:3,4 attempt 33:8 attorney 2:4,10 6:1 6:8 21:24 22:22</p>	<p>37:12 Attorneys 6:3 August 9:22 10:24 12:25 22:15 25:5 25:6,9,10 authority 13:23 availability 9:9 19:5 26:5,6 27:13 available 16:23 28:13 Avenue 2:5,11 3:5 3:5 4:5 Avista 15:10,16,19 15:22 16:10 17:22 19:20,24 22:3 26:12,20 29:22 31:24 33:16,19 34:2 avoid 12:8 18:8,13 25:19 26:20,23 29:5 36:6 avoids 36:3 aware 10:11,16 14:23 AWEC 6:12 8:15 16:3 18:3,19 19:3 19:8 20:19 22:18 23:7 24:2 25:12 25:17 27:11,17 31:1 32:10,25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>baby 22:4 back 13:22 17:24 23:11 24:14,16 Baker 3:8 7:6 balances 15:9 Barr 1:23 37:20 beginning 5:19 15:4 16:6 behalf 2:3,7,13,17 2:21 3:3,7,12,16 3:20 4:3,7 6:2,9 6:14,21,25 7:11 7:15,18 8:4,5 27:11</p>	<p>Behle 3:13 7:11 believe 17:11 28:24 34:25 35:9 believes 12:4 Bellevue 2:15 benefits 17:6 best 37:9 better 24:1,3 beyond 36:4 Bijan 1:13 5:14 bill 13:7,9 bit 18:10 27:22 29:14 36:4 Boehm 3:17,17 7:14,14 21:17 Boulder 4:9 BPA 24:4 Brew 3:9 7:5,7 brief 12:2,7,23 16:20,22 35:2 briefed 14:7,14 briefing 16:16,19 briefly 9:15 33:15 34:24 briefs 11:9,15 12:1 12:3,6,18,23 16:8 16:12,13,14 32:2 bring 33:9 budget 32:20 budgets 32:13,16 33:3 bunch 30:5 burden 12:4,12 28:20 29:4 30:2 burdens 17:7 burdensome 28:2 29:9 31:4,18 business 14:1 15:7 15:7,8 26:19 28:25 31:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>C 2:1 3:1,21 4:1 37:1,1 CAISO 10:4 calendar 23:12</p>	<p>27:14 California 2:24 3:5 call 5:15 8:8 9:4 22:20,21 23:4 33:12 Callaghan 2:8 6:3 calls 35:25 captioned 5:7 Carson 2:14 5:20 5:21 8:21 10:17 14:7 23:6,18 24:20 25:2,8 26:25 27:2 29:8 34:21 36:15 Cascade 15:11 case 5:7,11 6:2 9:3 13:15,24 15:10,12 15:13,16,18,19,20 15:22,23,25 16:10 16:15,18 18:7 19:21,24 20:1,2,3 26:12 29:22 31:16 31:24 32:8,15,25 33:16,20 34:6,16 35:14,17,20,23 36:12 cases 14:8 15:17 17:23 19:22 28:20 Cassandra 2:9 6:3 caused 26:6 caution 35:20 Caviglia 3:13 7:10 7:11 21:12 cc 35:20 cc'ing 35:18 36:2 Centered 4:3 8:1 8:16 21:24 32:11 33:1 certain 12:20 13:5 13:10,11 certainly 13:13 24:20 29:5 31:9 31:20 33:8 certification 32:8 32:15,25</p>
---	--	---	--	---

<p>certified 37:7 certify 37:5 CET 1:23 37:20 Chair 11:17,18 challenge 19:10 change 25:6 30:4 changed 24:25 changes 24:6 37:9 check 27:13 chime 29:8 chooses 20:2 Cincinnati 3:18 circulated 34:6,10 clarification 12:9 clarifications 32:24 clarify 12:8 Cleve 2:19 3:21 6:16 close 17:5 19:11 Club 4:3,7 8:2,6,17 21:25 co-presiding 5:13 Coalition 8:1 21:25 33:1 cognizant 28:8 34:1 Coie 2:14 5:21 coincide 15:3 Colation 4:3 Colin 2:10 6:4 Colorado 4:9 come 14:8 16:24 20:20 25:14 30:10 commensurate 19:23 comment 13:5 comments 9:25 14:24 15:1 34:13 Commission 1:2,4 5:8,13 8:22 9:6,13 10:3 11:12 12:8 13:16,22 15:13 16:14 17:13 19:7 20:13 22:9 25:15 28:7,8,21 29:23 30:3,9,11 31:20</p>	<p> 32:5 33:9 34:12 Commission's 13:21 Commissioner 10:4 commissioners 5:15,16 9:8 19:5 29:24 31:13 Company 3:16 7:15 9:13 10:12 10:15 13:17,18 14:4,4,5 20:2 24:18 34:21 Company's 9:17 10:20 11:6 13:20 compel 33:13 Complainant 1:5 complete 19:11 20:3 completed 11:20 completely 16:12 completion 20:1 compliance 13:18 13:25 14:1,9,18 compressing 16:10 26:23 compression 17:22 30:21 concern 19:25 20:8 22:5 24:10 concerned 11:16 19:19 22:11 35:15 concerns 10:13 17:16,17 18:2 22:25 32:17 33:10 Conclusion 36:18 confer 9:10 conference 1:10 5:6 5:17 10:25 15:3 25:5,9 32:9 33:2 33:17 34:2,8,15 conferences 27:4 conflict 18:13,14 19:2 25:19 conflicts 16:4 17:2 18:8,20 20:11,15</p>	<p> 22:19 25:16 26:22 consider 31:10,21 35:6 consideration 13:14 27:9 considerations 10:10 16:17 considering 10:16 18:25 19:1 26:17 consolidated 1:6 5:6 consult 26:4 Consumers 2:17 6:12,14 Cont 3:1 4:1 contact 36:5 contain 33:5 containing 36:11 conversation 36:4 coordination 28:15 copies 27:21 28:1,9 28:11,16,17,19,22 28:24 29:4,6,7,9 29:11,13,16,21,23 30:1,10,12 31:4,7 31:11,14,21 35:5 copy 31:17 Corinne 2:18 6:15 correctly 22:15 counsel 2:3 6:6,9 8:23 10:23 17:19 17:20 22:22 24:18 24:23 29:19,20 33:12 34:22 37:12 Counsel's 30:7 31:23 country 19:9 COUNTY 37:4 couple 11:13 35:2 course 11:19 13:15 22:8 court 5:4,23 6:5,10 6:17,22 7:2,8,12 7:16,20,23 8:7,24 13:13 14:20 17:10</p>	<p> 17:18,25 19:4,13 20:21 21:4,10,15 21:19,23 22:10,16 23:2 24:15 25:1,7 25:11,20 26:16,24 27:1,7,18,25 28:23 29:15 30:13 30:25 31:9 32:22 34:10,23 37:6,11 courtesy 35:12 cover 33:21 covers 30:18 cross-answering 9:19,22 25:24 26:2 cross-exam 26:11 curious 26:14 currently 24:24 customary 34:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>D 2:9 Damon 3:8 7:4 21:6 Danner 11:17,18 data 33:6,19,20 34:15 date 10:5,22 11:11 13:5,10,10,11,11 13:19,19,24 14:9 14:10,14,16,18,19 18:15 22:5,5 24:23 25:2 26:11 26:14 27:17 32:20 dates 9:5,10 10:19 11:2 16:1,2,4,5 18:18,21 19:2 20:8,11,17 23:6 24:21,22 26:6 Davison 2:19 3:21 6:16 day 14:1 24:5 26:13 26:19,19 29:6,11 days 9:6 11:3 15:7 15:7,8 28:17,18 28:25 29:12 30:20</p>	<p> 31:10 32:13,16 33:3,4 days' 13:8 DC 3:10 deadline 13:19,25 14:3 15:5,12 17:12,14 20:5 22:8,10,12 23:15 26:1,21 32:12,12 33:3 deadlines 15:9,15 15:20,21,22 19:20 19:20,23 26:8 27:16 29:10 dealing 24:7 decent 27:24 decides 20:13 deciding 10:9 decrease 15:7 default 32:12 definitely 31:12 35:17,24 Dennison 4:8 8:5 depends 29:22 designated 35:7 detailed 18:2 determined 13:19 develop 28:12 developing 33:22 35:23 difference 22:7 differences 9:16 11:5 different 9:12 12:19 13:11 20:4 20:5,6 24:21 29:2 difficulties 13:15 36:3 direct 27:15 35:25 direction 37:7 directly 37:11 Director 13:22 disagreeing 14:5 discovery 15:6 33:6 33:7,11,14,16,23</p>
--	--	--	---	--

<p>discuss 10:24 22:18 25:4 discussed 31:6 35:5 discussing 30:22 discussion 20:16 24:17 33:17 34:2 discussions 28:4 35:22 disposition 36:12 disputes 33:7 distributed 32:21 distribution 33:22 diverge 15:4 docket 8:12 28:15 35:1 36:6 dockets 1:6 5:6 18:5 28:9,22 documents 35:4 double-check 26:9 due 9:18,18,19,21 9:22 26:12 29:11 32:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>E 2:1,1,10 3:1,1,8 4:1,1,4 37:1,1 earlier 16:25 18:15 20:10 26:15,16,21 early 13:1 17:4 Earthjustice 4:5 8:4 ease 30:1 easier 29:24 East 3:18 effect 14:12,13,14 efforts 9:14 either 9:6 18:10 21:2,3,9,13,18,22 22:22 27:20 electronic 28:10,25 29:3,6 31:11 35:3 35:3,4 37:11 email 20:10 33:22 35:8,9,13 36:2 emailed 9:4,11 10:18</p>	<p>emails 22:23 35:25 employed 37:12 employee 37:12 encourage 33:10 35:17,24 Energy 1:7 2:17,21 4:3 5:9,10,11,22 6:11,14,18,21 8:1 8:15 10:21 18:19 18:21 19:17 20:14 21:25 22:18 23:8 23:22 25:12 30:13 32:11,25 33:1 enter 13:23 entered 35:1 environmental 4:3 8:4 22:2 err 35:19 errata 17:12 especially 28:20 essential 15:23 event 13:1 19:23 20:13 everybody 16:7 evidence 31:15 evidentiary 9:20,23 11:8,11,13,22 12:12 ex 36:5 Excuse 6:19 Executive 3:3 6:23 7:1 8:15 20:24 21:1 exhibit 26:11 exhibits 23:15 26:11 28:3,12 32:2 express 31:3 extended 32:6,7,13 extending 31:10 extent 11:21 extra 29:13,14,17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <hr/> <p>F 37:1 facilitate 30:11</p>	<p>33:23 fairly 9:9 faith 33:8 favor 13:3 16:8 17:1 favors 16:13 FEA 21:1 feasible 11:24 Federal 3:3 6:23 7:1 8:15 20:24 21:1 feel 17:6 Fifth 2:5,11 file 16:20 20:2,4 28:22 29:5,11 31:3 filed 5:11 8:12 14:18 15:11,18,19 19:21 29:21 30:1 31:12 35:16 filing 13:18,24,25 14:1,9,18 15:24 24:5 26:13 28:14 28:25 30:19 31:11 33:13 35:3,4,4 filings 32:10 final 13:4,23 17:14 33:5 financially 37:13 find 16:5,9 19:8 26:21 30:9 34:19 36:1 finding 23:10 fine 11:1 13:11 21:22 finished 24:17 first 10:18 11:11 15:3 23:13 24:22 five 15:8,21 28:19 five-day 13:25 flagging 27:12 flexibility 24:1 31:5 flexible 21:2 Floor 3:10 folks 20:10</p>	<p>following 8:14 26:19 32:6 foregoing 37:6 formal 35:4 forth 33:2 forward 35:14 four 15:18 19:21,23 32:15 Fourth 2:15 Francisco 2:24 3:5 frequently 36:2 Friday 23:25 Front 4:3 8:1,16 21:24 32:11,25 funding 32:5,6,8 further 10:5,15 14:23 27:8 32:2 32:23 34:13 36:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <hr/> <p>Gafken 2:8 5:25 6:1 8:22 14:25 17:17 19:19 22:22 23:1 26:4,20 27:19 28:1 29:1 33:25 34:1,19 36:14 general 5:10 6:1,3 6:8 15:11,22 16:18 General's 2:4,10 generally 16:13 18:4,10 19:18 26:15 35:3 give 8:9,25 14:24 30:20 given 17:2 gives 11:12 12:7 giving 24:6 30:22 go 10:14 14:11,12 14:13 18:23 20:13 23:3 27:25 29:19 goes 28:15 36:4 going 9:15,15 13:16 13:21 18:3,5,22 23:11,20 24:11</p>	<p>30:6,15,16 35:14 good 5:4,20,25 6:7 6:13,19,24 7:4,10 7:14,18 8:3 24:9 24:13,16 26:18 30:14 33:8 granted 9:2 31:24 32:15 33:2 great 17:4 greatly 31:13 grouping 33:21 guess 12:24 14:10 18:4 23:7 24:3 27:12 29:22 guidelines 36:11 gut 14:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>half 15:15 20:12 hand 37:14 happy 31:19 hard-and-fast 26:14 harder 29:10 Hasselmann 4:4 7:22 8:3,3 22:1,1 22:13 Hayes 2:23 hear 5:24 6:6,11,18 7:3,9,25 16:2 17:19,25 18:3 19:15 20:23,24 21:5,24 30:9,13 hearing 8:10,13 9:1 9:5,6,20,23 11:8 11:12,14,22 12:25 13:5 16:1,25 17:6 17:8 18:15 19:7 30:4,18,18 32:4 32:23 34:13,24 36:10,18 help 29:1 helpful 17:25 29:6 29:14 34:20 helps 29:13 hereto 37:13</p>
--	--	--	---	---

<p>hereunto 37:14 Hi 8:3 22:1 hold 9:6 Honor 6:7,13,24 7:4,22 10:17 17:20 18:4 20:25 21:6,17 22:4 26:10 27:10 29:8 31:2 32:19 Honors 6:19 7:10 7:14 hopefully 23:23 hoping 20:9 Howard 1:12 5:12 5:20 13:2 15:2 Hughes 1:13 5:14 5:20 35:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>ideal 24:8 26:17 ideally 35:18 identifying 33:19 33:20 imagine 17:12 immediately 30:19 important 12:1,3,5 12:14,20 13:4,12 27:5 impose 28:19 impossibility 19:11 impossible 19:12 inclined 20:22 22:4 include 16:11,22 included 17:15 32:20 including 11:14 15:13 34:14,18 37:9 incorporated 23:8 indicate 10:12 indicated 9:5 12:3 individuals 31:20 initial 12:6,22 inserts 13:7,9 intend 33:18 intent 32:7</p>	<p>interest 16:9 interested 29:12 37:13 interesting 18:7 interpretation 13:21 intervene 8:11,11 8:14,18 9:2 35:11 intervenor 10:23 23:15 32:5,6 intervenor 24:24 involve 10:21 33:20 involved 11:19 15:17 issue 11:13,25 13:4 27:15 29:16,24 32:3,4 33:6 34:4 34:25 35:5 36:10 issues 12:6,8,15,20 12:24 15:1 16:24 18:20 26:6 27:23 30:4 33:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <p>J 2:18 3:17 Jan 4:4 8:3 22:1 JEA 22:3 Jefferson 3:9 Jim 4:8 8:5 job 30:14 joined 7:6 joining 5:16 joint 4:3 8:4 22:1 Jones 2:9 6:3 judge 5:13,14,20,20 13:2,22 15:2 35:18 37:10 Judges 1:12 5:25 judicial 12:10 July 9:18 22:14 23:16,17 24:24,25 jurisdictions 18:6 Justina 3:13 7:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <p>Kankakee 7:6</p>	<p>keep 24:1 kind 9:15 18:6,9 27:17 30:2 KING 37:4 know 10:25 11:4,16 12:5,9,12,17 14:11,15,16 17:3 19:22 20:1,2,6,11 22:23 23:6,10,21 23:24,25 24:7 27:20 28:5 29:16 30:15,16,19,19,20 30:22 33:16 35:23 knowing 29:12 knowledge 37:9 Kopta 13:22 Kroger 3:16 7:13 7:15 8:16 21:16 21:17 Kurt 3:17 7:14 Kurtz 3:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <p>lack 33:11 landed 23:20 large 28:20 largely 17:21 late 11:8,11 Latimer 3:13 7:11 Laura 3:8 7:6 law 1:12 5:12,14 lead 35:7 leave 16:21 20:7 22:20 23:3 legal 12:6,15 37:6 length 15:20 lengthy 31:25 let's 5:4,18 8:10 9:3 10:15 22:17 24:16 letter 33:21 level 26:7 Liam 2:9 6:4 Liberty 3:14 light 18:7 likewise 12:14 limited 9:9 19:5</p>	<p>23:23 lines 31:6 Liotta 3:4 6:24,25 20:25,25 Lisa 2:8 5:25 19:18 22:22 30:22 33:25 list 10:14 33:22 34:6,7,9,10 listed 13:19 lists 26:11,12 little 18:9 24:3,5 27:22 29:14 36:4 live 29:2 LLP 2:14,23 long 18:24 longer 26:7 longstanding 13:21 look 10:5 25:23 looking 26:15 lot 12:22 13:6 14:15 24:11 26:13 28:14 Lowry 3:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>M 3:4 mail 30:21 main 24:10 major 17:5 making 27:14 manage 28:5 March 20:11 matter 5:9,14 23:9 matters 35:2 Mattheis 3:9 7:5,7 mean 14:13 23:21 23:22 26:6,7 29:1 29:13 30:7,7 mechanisms 16:23 meet 22:8 25:3 29:10 meeting 10:4,24 meetings 24:5 mention 12:17 mentioned 24:10 message 22:23</p>	<p>Michael 1:12 5:12 Michael.Howard... 35:9 Microsoft 3:20 7:17,19 8:16 21:20,21 Microsoft's 23:23 Mihaly 2:23 6:20 mind 35:21 minute 10:1 minutes 10:19 missed 20:10 models 31:25 modifications 31:24 moment 8:25 10:9 money 13:6 months 11:13 14:12 morning 5:4,20,25 6:7,13,19,24 7:4 7:10,14,18 8:3 17:20 24:16 Moser 2:18 6:13,13 18:4 19:9 23:11 24:2 25:17 26:10 27:10,11 31:2 36:16 motion 29:21 31:23 33:13,13 35:16 motions 12:9 33:9 move 35:22 moved 23:17 moving 20:12 25:25 33:6 muddled 12:6 multi-year 16:15 mute 7:22,24 21:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">N</p> <p>N 2:1 3:1 4:1 name 5:12,25 names 35:12 Nash 2:8 6:3 Navy 3:4 6:25 near 14:9</p>
--	--	--	--	---

<p>necessarily 10:8 14:2 17:1 34:11 necessary 16:9 20:20 32:1 need 12:21,22 13:8 16:20 20:16 23:22 30:1 31:12 35:22 needed 11:14 36:5 needs 14:2 30:17 nerve 29:18 neutral 14:4 Nevada 3:14 non-Company 10:24 25:3 non-preferred 20:14 Northeast 2:15 Northwest 3:9 4:3 8:1 21:25 33:1 note 9:15 10:2 15:11 16:13 17:4 19:25 26:10 28:9 32:10 35:14 noted 15:2 19:5 31:23 notice 8:9 13:8 36:5 notices 13:9 32:7 35:10 noting 20:11 27:17 November 9:8,23 11:12 16:4,6 18:13,16 19:6,7 Nucor 3:7 7:3,6 8:15 21:5 number 18:5 NWEC 8:2,16 32:11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">O</p> <p>O 2:18 O'Brien 2:10 6:4 O'Neill 2:4 6:7,8 8:23 17:20 29:20 34:22 36:17 o0o- 5:1 objection 8:21,22</p>	<p>8:23 25:25 34:18 34:19,21,22 objections 8:19,25 32:17,24 33:24 34:24 occur 14:2,2 19:23 20:6 October 9:7,20,24 10:2 16:2,5 19:6 office 2:4,10 24:4 31:5 offline 18:23 oh 7:23 27:1,9,10 29:17 Ohio 3:18 Okay 25:1,7 28:1 old 28:16 Olson 2:18 6:16 open 24:11 operated 28:10 operating 29:3 opportunity 11:9 12:2,7,13,15,21 12:23 27:13 opposing 33:12 orally 32:14 order 5:10 11:13 11:20,23 13:23,23 14:8,17 33:2,4 34:8,15,25 35:1 36:10 ordered 28:8 orders 34:17 Oregon 2:20 3:22 organizations 8:8 8:14 original 26:6 originally 16:1 outcome 24:18 37:13 outside 9:9 outweigh 17:7 overlooked 8:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">P</p> <p>P 2:1,1 3:1,1 4:1,1</p>	<p>p.m 29:11 PacifiCorp 16:20 PacifiCorp's 16:18 page 23:14 pages 1:8 12:22 Palms 3:5 paper 27:21 28:1,8 28:15,15,22,24 29:4,7,9,10,13,16 29:21,23 30:1,10 30:12 31:3,7,11 31:14,17,21 32:1 35:5 papers 30:5 Parsons 3:13 7:11 parte 36:5 particular 5:16 11:16 20:8 21:7 particularly 16:9 19:19 22:18 27:4 30:3 parties 5:18 7:25 8:19 9:4,10,11 10:1,10,11,14,24 12:7,16,18,19 15:13,17 18:1,23 19:2 20:23 22:3 22:17 24:12,17 25:3,6,10,13,18 28:2,6,22 29:17 31:13 32:17 33:7 34:7,11 35:10,19 35:20,21 36:3 37:13 parties' 9:14 party 12:4,11 33:10 34:16 35:7,11,15 35:18 PC 3:9,21 penalty 37:5 Pepple 3:21 7:18 7:18 21:21 23:19 period 11:22 19:6 26:7 27:5 perjury 37:6</p>	<p>Perkins 2:14 5:21 perspective 11:5,10 11:23 12:13 petition 5:9 8:18 petitions 8:10,11 8:14,20 9:1 12:9 35:11 phone 33:12 35:25 physically 18:8 pick 33:11 picked 13:10 picks 25:15 places 15:16 plan 10:7,9 16:15 32:14 34:14 please 27:25 35:8 35:12 point 12:24 15:5 26:18 27:8,21 33:24 points 33:18 policy 31:16 Portland 2:20 3:22 pose 29:4 posed 36:2 position 13:20 14:6 18:10 21:18,21 30:8 positions 15:24 18:1,22 25:4 possible 9:5 11:21 35:9 practice 14:17 pre-hearing 5:5,17 17:14 32:9 33:2 33:16 34:2,8,14 prefer 9:24 31:17 31:21 preference 16:7 18:11,16 20:3 21:2,8,8,12 preferred 31:15 PREHEARING 1:10 prejudiced 16:22</p>	<p>prejudicial 15:18 prepare 30:23 preparing 28:15 present 15:24 18:9 19:2 presenting 7:5 presiding 1:13 6:15 pressure 15:12 pretty 17:5 19:11 primarily 12:19 primary 11:5 printed 30:5 probably 20:19 21:8 22:7 34:5 problem 18:17 24:3 problematic 11:10 23:21 procedural 9:3 16:23 17:8 36:1 36:11 proceeding 6:15 11:8,19 22:3 proceedings 9:9 13:16 16:14 35:17 37:6 process 14:1 28:14 produce 28:3 Project 2:21 6:18 6:21 8:15 10:21 18:19,21 19:17 22:18 23:8,22 25:12 30:13 32:11 32:25 Project's 20:14 proof 12:4,12 proofread 28:14 proposal 21:9 31:3 propose 16:11 18:22 proposed 9:12,13 9:17,21 10:8 11:6 11:6 13:18 14:24 15:2,9,14,19 16:1 19:1 22:12,14,14 22:19 24:21 25:17</p>
---	--	--	---	---

<p>25:22,25 32:12,16 32:20 33:3 34:6 proposing 9:11 protective 34:25 35:1 provide 11:9 12:2 28:17 30:2 provided 19:18 23:7,9 34:11 provides 9:17 15:14 providing 15:21 PSE 5:11,19 8:21 10:25 12:4,11,18 12:20,21,24 13:4 13:5 15:18 16:10 16:12 17:22 18:11 18:18,25 19:20 22:19 23:9 25:5,9 29:8 PSE's 15:14 18:18 19:21,25 20:12 22:11 23:16 24:21 25:17 27:2 public 2:3 6:6,9 8:23 10:23 13:5 17:19,20 22:22 24:23 29:19,20 30:7 31:23 34:22 Puget 1:7 5:8,9,11 5:22 16:21 17:5 Puget's 16:15 purposes 32:19 put 14:15 18:6,9 putting 17:1 29:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <p>question 13:17 25:22 36:2 questions 32:17,24 36:1,6 quickly 18:23 26:4 26:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <p>R 2:1 3:1 4:1 37:1</p>	<p>raise 10:10,14 26:18 32:18 raised 12:15 34:4 rate 13:24 15:12,22 16:15,15,18 17:23 26:12 33:16,19 rates 14:11 reach 35:18 reached 13:1 reaction 14:10 reading 31:15,19 really 11:5,12,15 26:4,9 28:11 29:2 30:6 reasoning 19:18 reasons 17:21 rebuttal 9:18,19,22 12:13 25:24 26:1 26:2 27:3,3 recall 22:15 received 8:13 32:10 37:10 recess 20:19,22 22:17 23:5 recommended 23:17 reconsideration 12:10 record 5:5 20:18 23:3 24:14,16 32:14 recording 22:21 24:15 37:11 recordings 37:7 referred 17:12 referring 22:11 reflects 13:8 regarding 18:18 33:15 reiterate 11:4 rejoin 22:24 relate 27:22 related 11:7 27:19 28:4 relatedly 11:25</p>	<p>relative 37:12 relay 24:18 rely 29:2 31:19 remember 28:16 reminded 10:19 Rendahl 10:4 Reno 3:14 reply 11:9 12:1,2,3 12:7,23 16:8,12 16:13,14,20,22 represent 19:10 representative 7:25 35:7 representing 5:21 request 13:9 28:21 29:21 32:8,24 33:20 requests 32:15 33:6 33:19 34:15 require 16:14 28:21 35:4 required 29:14 30:16 31:7,11 requirement 28:24 34:14,18 requirements 33:18 requiring 31:25 resolution 33:10 resolved 18:14 respect 16:8,25 respective 25:4 respectively 5:7 respond 12:15,18 Respondent 1:8 2:13 responding 12:20 response 9:17,21 10:22,23 15:4,6 19:25 22:12 23:15 24:23 25:24 27:3 33:11,11 responses 34:15 retiring 11:17 review 12:10 14:1</p>	<p>29:25 reviewing 31:14 37:10 revised 23:6 24:22 right 5:4 7:12,20 8:7,10,13,24 9:1 13:13 14:20 17:10 17:18,25 19:4,13 20:21 21:4,10,15 21:23 22:10,14,16 23:2,2,3 24:15 25:2,11,20 26:24 27:7,9,18 29:15 30:25 31:9 32:4 32:18,22,23 34:13 34:23 36:8,10 Rita 3:4 6:24 20:25 Robinson 2:4 6:8 31:6 round 15:8 16:16 16:19 rule 32:14 ruling 33:5 35:15 35:22,22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <p>S 2:1 3:1 4:1 s/ 37:20 safest 35:19 San 2:24 3:5 save 13:6,6 saying 30:15 schedule 9:3,12,13 9:17,21 10:13,16 10:20 11:6,7,7,15 12:1,11 13:8,18 14:23 15:9,14,19 16:1,11,23 17:2,7 17:8,11,13 18:11 18:16,18,25 19:1 19:17 20:12,14 21:2,3,13,13 22:2 22:19 23:8,16 24:21 25:3,15,18 25:22,25 26:1 33:5 36:11</p>	<p>scheduled 12:25 24:24 25:5,9 27:5 schedules 9:12,16 10:7,8 14:24 15:2 15:5 16:10 18:12 25:21 26:23 32:21 scheduling 15:1 16:16,25 18:7 21:18 27:23 screens 31:19 Seattle 2:5,11 4:6 7:3 second 10:25 11:25 25:2,4,8 see 29:18 seeing 27:14 seek 32:8 seeking 16:21 sends 22:23 sense 11:18,20 19:22 20:17 September 9:19,23 13:1 26:3,3 service 27:21 35:3 35:8,12 set 15:21,22 19:7 23:16 33:2,12 37:14 sets 22:9 settle 17:4 settlement 10:24,25 12:25 13:1 15:3 16:25 17:8 25:5,9 27:4 30:4 settlements 17:5 seven 15:7 25:23 Seventh 3:18 Shanna 1:23 37:20 shared 34:15 Sheree 2:14 5:21 short 12:7 20:19,22 22:17 shorten 28:2,11 shortens 28:13 shortly 36:10</p>
--	---	--	--	---

<p>Shute 2:23 6:20 side 35:20 Sierra 4:3,7 8:2,6 8:17 21:25 significant 28:19 similar 15:20,22 16:16 33:17 simply 10:14 18:8 six 27:2,6 slight 10:21 21:8,12 slightly 11:3 24:22 somewhat 23:23 Sommer 2:18 6:13 23:18 27:10 soon 35:8 sorry 27:10 sort 27:22 Sound 1:7 5:9,10 5:11,22 sounds 20:15 22:20 24:12 Southeast 2:19 3:22 speak 16:3 specific 20:16 26:21 32:23 specifically 17:11 splitting 22:4 spreadsheets 31:25 staff 2:7 5:24 6:2 8:22 9:14,24 10:12,22 12:2 14:22,24 15:14,16 16:8 17:1,22 18:11,12,12 22:2 22:14,23 24:23 25:25 26:22 28:14 28:20 30:20 34:3 34:19 Staff's 9:21 11:6,7 12:1 13:25 14:23 15:9,19 16:1 17:11,13,21 19:17 21:8,13 25:22,24 29:20 30:8 31:3</p>	<p>staffing 24:6 start 5:18 10:15 23:13 started 29:2 STATE 37:2 States 3:4 6:25 status 35:21 statutory 14:3 20:5 Steel 3:7 7:3,6 8:16 21:5 step 17:24 Stone 3:9 7:5,7 stop 17:9 22:21 strain 15:16 Street 2:15,19,23 3:9,14,18,22 4:9 stretched 29:25 strike 33:13 Strom 2:14 5:21 strongly 31:17,20 stuff 24:11 subject 33:21 subjects 33:22 submission 17:21 submissions 17:14 submit 22:6 29:6 submitted 18:11,18 18:21 28:24 31:25 32:16 submitting 35:6 subsequent 36:5 subsequently 31:12 suggested 20:12 suggesting 9:4 suggestion 13:2 Suite 2:5,11,15,19 3:5,14,18,22 4:5,9 support 10:12 22:2 29:20 30:7 31:3 supports 17:21 19:17 supposed 19:9 sure 7:23 11:24 surprised 20:9 suspend 13:23</p>	<p>suspended 14:11 suspension 13:19 13:24 14:3,8,10 14:14,16,18,19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>T 37:1,1 Tad 2:4 6:7 30:14 take 10:7 13:14 18:24 19:13 20:22 21:17 22:17 27:8 35:25 takes 13:7 talk 24:20 27:21 talking 23:14 27:23 28:5 29:16 34:8 TBD 14:16 team 26:5 Teams 22:23 tell 31:12 terms 11:11 26:22 30:22 32:7 testimony 9:17,18 9:21,22 10:22,23 12:13 15:4,8 22:6 22:12 23:15,23 24:23 25:24 26:1 26:2,13 27:3,4 28:3,12 30:23 31:14,15 32:2 33:13 thank 5:23 6:4,5,10 6:17,22 7:2,7,8,12 7:16,20 8:7,24 10:17 13:12,13 14:20 17:9,10,18 17:25 18:4 19:4 19:13,16 20:21 21:3,4,9,10,17,19 22:9,10,16 24:15 25:11,13,16,20 26:24 27:7,18 28:22,23 29:15 30:14,24,25 31:2 32:22 34:1,9,10 34:23 36:13,14,15</p>	<p>36:16,17 thankful 28:18 Thanks 20:20 23:11 31:8 theoretical 26:7 thing 17:1 27:22 34:5 things 28:6 think 11:2,25 12:5 12:10,14 13:8,14 14:7 18:15,24 19:3,10 20:9,17 20:22 22:5,14 23:19,21 24:9,12 26:16,17,20,22 27:15 29:13,25 30:8,14,21 31:23 32:1 34:7 35:19 third 4:5 25:8 Thomas 3:9 thought 14:15 three 7:25 15:21 20:11 22:3 24:20 30:20 tight 11:15 time 11:14,22 12:22 13:7,16 15:20 17:2,6 19:6,20 20:4,5,6 21:14 26:8 27:5,24 28:11,13 29:13,14 30:23 32:9 34:4 timeline 28:2 31:21 35:6 times 15:6 timing 15:6,23 20:1 29:25 35:15 today 5:5,15 6:21 8:11 9:4 32:9,14 32:14 33:4 36:9 topic 27:19 30:18 34:3 topics 34:6 total 25:23 touch 32:4 34:24</p>	<p>touched 29:18 Tower 3:10 tracking 33:23 transcribed 1:23 37:7 transcript 37:8,10 transcriptionist 37:8 transparency 32:20 Transportation 1:2 1:4 5:8 TRC 15:10 trial 37:10 tricky 24:6 true 28:23 37:8 try 11:20 36:6 trying 17:7 18:8,13 26:20,23 28:5 turn 8:10 9:3 14:22 two 9:6,11 11:5 15:2,14,15 17:5 22:3 25:21 26:8 28:25 29:12 30:20 two-month 11:22 two-week 22:7 Tyler 3:21 7:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>UE-230810 5:7 UE-240004 1:6 5:6 UG-240005 1:6 5:6 undersigned 37:5 understand 16:3,12 18:19 24:17 29:17 understanding 29:10 30:17 31:16 unduly 15:17 16:10 16:22 unfortunately 18:16 unique 18:10 United 3:4 6:25 unnecessary 31:18 untested 27:17 unusual 11:23</p>
---	--	---	---	--

<p>update 35:21 urgent 22:8 25:15 use 29:23 30:11 34:9 useful 30:6,8,10 usual 14:17 usually 36:1 Utilities 1:2,4 5:8 utility 30:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>v 1:6 5:8 Van 2:19 3:21 6:16 verbal 8:9 versus 10:13 view 14:4,5 violation 33:14 VOL 1:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <p>W 2:8,10 Walmart 3:12 7:9 7:11 8:16 21:11 want 10:2,9,13 11:4 13:20 15:5 19:10 27:2 28:9 wanted 14:20 25:13 27:19 31:2 33:15 wants 14:24 Washington 1:1,4 2:4,5,10,11,15,19 3:10,22 4:6 5:8 18:6 37:2 way 19:8 31:15 ways 29:3 we'll 16:13 21:24 22:8,21 27:21 we're 5:5 11:16,23 13:3 23:14,14 24:7 26:17 28:18 we've 13:10 27:23 28:4,5,9 29:2,18 week 15:12 20:13 20:13 23:20 24:11 26:16,21 weeks 15:15,18,21</p>	<p>19:21,23 25:23 27:3,6 Weiland 2:9 6:4 Weinberger 2:23 6:20 well-thought-out 15:24 went 7:23 20:10 West 3:10,14 Western 2:17 6:11 6:14 WHEREOF 37:14 willing 12:25 wish 8:8 32:18 witness 26:12 37:14 witnesses 27:13 28:12 30:23 wondering 26:5 work 10:3,20,20 11:2 16:3,6 18:19 19:8 20:9 21:9,13 21:18 22:19,25 23:9,18,19 29:17 33:8 working 18:12 25:18 28:10 works 13:11 23:1 23:10 world 29:2 worth 12:10 wrapped 16:19 writ 5:11 writing 8:12 written 8:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">X</p> <p>Xenopolous 21:7 Xenopoulos 3:8,9 7:4,5,5,7 21:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>yeah 8:3 19:3 20:6 20:8 22:1,13 23:11,13 24:2,7,9 24:20 year 9:7 11:15,17</p>	<p>11:21 17:3 years 28:10 yesterday 13:3 Yochanan 2:22 6:20</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Z</p> <p>Zakai 2:22 6:19,20 10:18 11:1 19:15 19:16 23:13 24:9 25:13 30:14 31:6 32:19 Zoom 33:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">0</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <p>1 3:5 25:5 1-37 1:8 10 8:13 9:1 14:12 15:7 10-plus 12:17 1025 3:9 102W 4:9 107 2:19 3:22 10885 2:15 13 25:10 135 13:8 14 25:10 14th 9:7,20 1510 3:18 15th 9:7,20 161 3:5 1650 4:9 16th 26:3,14 18th 37:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>2 1:11 5:2 25:6 2000 2:5,11 20007 3:10 2022 16:15 2024 1:11 5:2 37:15 23rd 9:23 26:3 25 28:17 26th 9:18 22:14</p>	<p>23:16,20 24:1,24 29th 9:24 10:2 16:2 24:2,8,9,12,25 2nd 32:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>30 32:13,16 33:3,4 30th 9:25 10:3 16:2 24:3 31st 23:17 24:4,8 36 3:18 38th 4:9 396 2:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>430 2:19 3:22 45202 3:18 4th 9:8,23 16:4 18:13,16 19:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>5:00 29:11 50 3:14 5th 9:8,24 16:4 18:14,17 19:7</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">6</p> <p>610 4:5 6th 9:19,22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>700 2:15 750 3:14 7th 25:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>8 25:9 800 2:5,11 80301 4:9 810 4:5 89501 3:14 8th 3:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">9</p> <p>9 25:9 94102 2:24 94130 3:5</p>	<p>97214 2:20 3:22 98004 2:15 98104 2:5,11 4:6 9th 22:15</p>
--	---	--	---	---