

Open Product/Process CR Detail

Report Line Number 1

CR #	Title	Date	Organization	Area Impacted	Products Impacted
		Current Status			
PC021904-1	Enhancement to existing Expedite Process for Provisioning	Completed 7/20/2005	Wholesale ProdProc	pre order, order, provisioning	UNE, Transport (including EUDIT), Loop,

Director: Bliss, Susan

Originator: Berard, John

Owner: Martain, Jill

CR PM: Harlan, Cindy

Originator Company Name: Covad

Description Of Change

Covad requests that Qwest provide a formal process to expedite an order that requires an interval that is shorter than what is currently available for the product.

No expected deliverable listed

Updated the title as a result of the Clarification call

Status History

02/20/04 CR Recieved
 02/20/04 CR Acknowledged
 2/23/04 - Contacted John Berard - Covad to set up Clarification Call
 2/27/04 - Held Clarification call
 3/17/04 - March CMP meeting notes will be posted to the project meeting section
 4/21/04 - April CMP meeting notes will be posted to the project meeting section
 5/12/04 - Emailed response to Covad
 5/19/04 - May CMP Meeting notes will be posted to the project meeting section
 6/15/04 - PROS.06.15.04.F.01792.ExpeditesV11
 6/16/04 - June CMP Meeting notes will be posted to the project meeting section
 7/1/04 - Scheduled ad hoc meeting for 7/9 to discuss project, comments and plan
 7/9/04 - Held ad hoc meeting
 7/21/04 - July CMP Meeting notes will be posted to the project meeting section
 8/16/04 - August CMP meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 9/15/04 - Notification for ad hoc meeting scheduled for 9-22-04
 9/15/04 - September CMP Meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 9/22/04 - CLEC Ad hoc meeting held to review expedite reasons / causes
 10/20/04 - October CMP Meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 11/17/04 - November CMP Meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 12/15/04 - December meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 12/16/04 - Scheduled ad hoc meeting for January 6
 1/6/05 - Ad hoc meeting held
 1/19/05 - Jan CMP meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 2/16/05 - Feb CMP meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 3/16/05 - March CMP Meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 4/20/05 - April CMP Meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 5/18/05 - May CMP meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 6/15/05 - June CMP meeting minutes will be posted to the database
 7/20/05 - July CMP meeting minutes will be posted to the database

Project Meetings

July CMP Meeting Minutes:

Jill Martain – Qwest advised that this went into effect on 6/16/05. Jill asked if it was ok to close this CR. Liz Balvin advised the CR could be closed. This CR will move to Completed Status.

CR #

PC021904-1

Information Current as of: Wednesday, April 26, 2006

Page 1 of 9

Report Name: rptOpenDetailed CR INDIVIDUAL REPORT prodproc

June CMP Meeting Minutes:

Jill Martain – Qwest advised that this process is effective June 16 and we would like to move this CR to CLEC Test on June 16th. There was not any objection to change the status to CLEC Test.

May CMP Meeting Minutes:

Jill Martain – Qwest advised that the PCAT documentation went out for review on May 9. The comment cycle will close on May 24 and become effective June 23, 2005. This CR will remain in Development Status.

April CMP Meeting Minutes:

Jill Martain - Qwest advised that we are working internally to get the three expedite reasons implemented. Jill stated that after meeting internally, we determined that a slight modification was needed. Qwest wants the new Expedite reasons directed to our Business Services. Jill stated that in our ad hoc calls with the CLECs, we did talk about the critical impact to Business customers. Jill recapped the criteria for use of the new Expedite reasons:

National Security

Business Services unable to dial 911 due to previous order activity

Business Service where hunting, call forwarding or voice mail features are not working correctly due to previous order activity where the customer business is being critically affected.

Bonnie Johnson - Eschelon asked if there is a definition of business services.

Jill Martain - Qwest advised it would be for more complex business and 1FB type service and this excludes residential and 1FR.

Bonnie Johnson - Eschelon asked for this to be documented.

Jill Martain – Qwest confirmed it would be changed to reflect Business Classes of Service in the actual updates.

Liz Balvin - Covad asked if the examples that Qwest looked at were based on Qwest customers.

Jill Martain – Qwest advised the examples were provided by both CLECs and Qwest and discussed in ad hoc meetings.

Liz Balvin – Covad agreed that we should provide definition of Business Services and also asked that the notice reflect that residential would not be included. Liz also confirmed that this does not affect the Expedite process that requires an amendment.

Jill Martain – Qwest confirmed that it does not impact that process. Jill advised the documentation will be updated and sent out for review. Bonnie said thank you for the good results.

This CR will remain in Development Status.

March CMP Meeting Minutes:

Jill Martain - Qwest advised that we are still working internally on this request and are hopeful that within the next month the PCAT changes will be available to review with the three additional Expedite reasons. This CR will remain in Development Status. [Comment received from Eschelon: Jill Martain - Qwest advised that we are still working on additional scenarios internally and waiting for internal approval on this request and are hopeful that within the next month the PCAT changes will be available to review with the three additional Expedite reasons.]

February CMP Meeting Minutes:

Jill Martain - Qwest advised we are still waiting for final internal approval. Qwest is hoping to have final status next month. This CR will remain in Development Status.

January CMP Meeting Minutes

Cindy Harlan/Qwest advised that an ad hoc meeting was held on January 6th. Qwest proposed adding the following as valid Expedite reasons: if access to 911 is not available, if the order is for National Security, and for certain Features in specific situations. The CLECs were receptive to these changes. Qwest has started the process to get final internal review and approval. Additional status will be provided next month. This CR will remain in Development Status.

CLEC Ad Hoc Meeting

PC021904-1 Expedite Process

January 6, 2005

In attendance:

Kari Burke – Comcast

Jeff Yeager – Accenture

Sharon Van Meter – ATT

Chris Terrell – ATT

Linda Minesola – Comcast

Amanda Silva – VCI

Jill Martain – Qwest

Wayne Hart – Idaho PUC

Kim Isaacs- Eschelon

Bonnie Johnson – Eschelon

CR #

PC021904-1

Pete Stave – Eschelon
Jennifer Arnold – TDS Metro
Steve Kast – MCI
Thomas Soto - SBC

Cindy Harlan – Qwest took attendance and reviewed the agenda. The purpose of this call is to discuss options for additional expedite reasons. Cindy explained that Qwest has been reviewing expedites and would like to discuss potentially having Features be considered as a valid expedite reason under certain circumstances. Qwest would like to discuss what the criteria would be and identify Features that cause major impact to the CLECs. We also can potentially add a valid expedite reason if you are unable to dial 911 service and to expedite for National Security reasons. Cindy asked the CLECs to identify what Features create the most impact to the CLECs so we can build some criteria. Cindy advised that Qwest is unable to open other reasons for expedites as we do not have the resources to support that effort.

Bonnie Johnson – Eschelon stated that she didn't think additional resources would be needed to support this. Bonnie said Eschelon's Expedite manager is on the call and she would like him to share with us the large impacting items. Pete advised that when customers are unable to receive calls this impacts them as if they are out of service. For business customers if they can't receive calls it impacts their revenue.

Jill Martain – Qwest asked if normally there would be an original order to install the service and another one to correct it. Bonnie advised yes, or something changed on one of their features, such as voice mail service, either with their vendor or the equipment, and that causes a need for an expedite. The customer may not understand what they have ordered. Jill asked if it was a fair request that Qwest ask the CLEC for the order number or PON. Bonnie advised that they normally provide this anyway and it is fair, but she does not believe it should be a requirement as there are other reasons too. Jill asked if we could better define and refine the criteria for Hunting so we can go to Retail and Network and discuss further, and publish a reason that is allowable. Otherwise we would negate the standard interval if we automatically allowed expedites on all Hunting requests. Bonnie said it should be an urgent customer situation and their service is not working the way it should be. Bonnie advised that Qwest needs to trust the CLECs request and hope that the CLECs are not abusing the process. Pete Stave – Eschelon advised there are additional steps needed to expedite an order and it is not always easy so we do not request an expedite unless it is necessary.

Jill suggested that we set criteria for this to be an 'urgent customer situation where Hunting or Call Forwarding features are not working correctly and the customer can explain why and provide a service order and/or PON'. The CLECs agreed with this criteria.

Jill asked if there were other features that need to be discussed. Amanda – VCI stated that Features don't pertain to VCI very much, but what happens if a customer is disconnected in error and it is the CLECs error. This happens a few times a month usually due to a disconnect for non payment in error. Jill advised this would need to be handled as a new LSR with standard interval. Another request was made for voice mail set up incorrectly. This can be added to a wrong number for example.

Jill agreed that the items and criteria identified should be workable. Qwest needs to review this internally and determine impacts. Status will be provided at our CMP meeting and we will plan on reviewing the draft process prior to it being published in the PCAT. Another ad hoc meeting will be scheduled at that time.

December CMP Meeting Minutes

Cindy Macy – Qwest advised that an ad hoc meeting is scheduled for January 6 to review and further define some options for expanded Expedite reasons. This CR will remain in Development Status.

11/17/04 November meeting minutes

Cindy Macy – Qwest advised that Qwest is currently reviewing the expedite process and meeting internally to determine if there are any changes that can be made to the process. This CR will remain in Development Status.

10/20/04 October CMP Meeting Minutes

Cindy Macy – Qwest advised that Qwest held an ad hoc meeting. We are reviewing the expedite reasons from the CLECs and the data gathered for potential changes. We hope to have additional information next month. Qwest will hold an ad hoc meeting to review our findings. This CR will remain in Development Status.

PC021904-1 Enhance Expedite Process

Ad Hoc Meeting
September 22, 2004

In Attendance:

Pete Stave – Eschelon	Colleen Forbes - ATT
Kim Isaacs – Eschelon	James Leblanc – McLeod
Bonnie Johnson – Eschelon	Jean Novak - Qwest Communications
Lori Nelson – Mid-Continent	Terri Lee - SBC
Donna Osborne Miller – ATT	Chris Quinstruck - Qwest
Cherron Halpern - Qwest Communications	Rhonda Velasco – Oregon Telecom
Sue Diaz - Qwest Communications	Mark Sieres – Advanced Telecom

CR #

PC021904-1

LeiLani Hines – MCI Brandon McGovern–Advanced Telecom
 Valerie Estorga - Qwest Communications Roslyn Davis - MCI
 Christina Valdez - Qwest Communications Scott Ellefson – Qwest
 John Berard – Covad Dave Miller – Advanced Telecom
 Michelle Thacker - Qwest Communications Lydell Peterson - Qwest
 Phil Hunt – McLeod Leti Mudlo - Qwest
 Robin Jackson – Time Warner Diane Solomonson - Qwest
 Jolene Brown – Time Warner Stacy Berg – Time Warner
 Steve Kast - Qwest Communications Jim Christener – McLeod
 Mark Ashen Brenner – McLeod Chris Voorhees - McLeod
 Jennifer Fischer - Qwest Communications Diane Johnson – Qwest
 Michelle Sprague – McLeod Dawn Tafoya - Qwest Communications
 Jill Martain - Qwest Communications

Cindy Macy – Qwest Communications introduced the attendees and reviewed the agenda. Cindy advised that the purpose of this call is to discuss what is causing the need to expedite. Qwest would like to identify from a CLEC perspective why they expedite. Jill Martain – Qwest added that we would like to identify for non design documentation changes and process changes that could help reduce expedites. Cindy advised that Qwest would like to hear from each CLEC represented so we can gather input and determine what changes could be made to reduce the need for expedites.

Bonnie Johnson – Eschelon advised that Qwest's appointments for new installs and moves in some states were 3 weeks out. This was due to resource issues (no technicians available). Eschelon can not give their customers a 3 weeks due date. We are expediting from a customer service perspective. This was happening in WA/CO/AZ on POTS service.

Colleen – ATT advised that when they submit their orders they have to use appointment scheduler and the date that comes back is what they have to put on their order. They will then call and expedite as the date is not acceptable for their customers. Donna Osborn Miller – ATT advised that they also engage their account teams to help.

Stacy – Time Warner advised that when the due dates is out 2-3 weeks, we have to expedite, and then Qwest wants to charge for the expedite. It is wrong for Qwest to charge for an expedite when the due date is way past standard interval.

Colleen – ATT advised many times the customer is disconnected and needs their service. The disconnect can be due to the customer moving early, an error on Qwest or the CLECs part, the order not getting processes correctly, or a jeopardy.

Bonnie Johnson – Eschelon advised specific to features, our customers have urgent needs. If their call forwarding was set up incorrectly (gave wrong number, or error in programming), and the calls are going to another number it can cause major issues. If a business forwards these calls to a residence, or if there is an emergency and the customer is not able to receive calls it causes major issues for all parties. Call Forwarding generally has a 1-3 day standard interval and a business can not lose calls for 3 days, nor can a residence customer receive calls from a business in error for 3 days. Colleen – ATT advised other LECs have same day turnaround if the order is received before 3p.m.

Jim – McLeod advised orders that are placed in jeopardy for no access are often done in error. The customer says they were available but the technician never came to the door. Then later it is determined that the technician couldn't find the building, or couldn't gain access. Sometimes the customer does give the wrong address and they are now out of service.

Robin Jackson and Stacy Berg – Time Warner advised they have lots of trouble with orders being issued incorrectly. They put information on the LSR that matches the CSR. Then the order gets rejected for address issues. They have to send it in and fix it later, and try to get a new due date. Time Warner also reported that when they build a subscription they send it in and Qwest has to release it. The 'create' needs to be done 3 days ahead and SOA has to concur. Time Warner wants to know if this is the official process. They work with the LNP team and this process is not working well. Cindy advised she will have the Service Manager contact Robin and Stacy. (robin.jackson@twtelecom.com, Stacey.berg@twtelecom.com)

Dave – Advanced Telecom advised they will get an FOC and the due date is okay. Then on the due date or the day before they will get a jeopardy notice which then needs to be expedited as they have given a due date to their customer.

Bonnie – Eschelon advised when there is an equipment install or vendor meet and we have to coordinate three companies it is very difficult and we usually have to expedite to get the companies represented and the services coordinated and installed.

Bonnie – Eschelon also advised that hunting causes an out of service condition as sometime equipments is needed or there are circular hunting issues and the calls go no where.

Pete – Eschelon advised that coordinated loops installed on LNP are complex and all parties have to be available to keep the customer service from going down.

Lori – Mid-Continent advised that if voice mail is not working the customer perceive this as their service not working. If the call forwarding number is incorrect (wrong area code and the voice messaging needs to be corrected) we have to place an order to fix the issue.

Nicki – Mid-Continent advised sometimes their customers have urgent needs related to their job or personal situation. For example, the customer could be on active duty and need service right away.

CR #

PC021904-1

John Berard – Covad advised if something goes wrong in the process and the customer gets disconnected in error, it could be the error, then Covad has to issue another order with a new due date. Sometimes the order is issued as a new order and it should have been a move order so the due date is different.

Dave – Advanced Telecom advised that Qwest does not reject orders consistently. They can submit 10 orders the same and on the 11th order they get a reject. The representative interprets the business rule differently and now we are a day behind. We can talk to 4 different representatives and we can get 4 different answers.

Bonnie – Eschelon confirmed that for non design the same process and charges will apply to Retail. Jill Martain – Qwest confirmed that would occur. Jill – Qwest advised our direction is to not implement a fee for expedites on non design. We are trying to understand some reasons and causes for expedites and address them from a process and documentation perspective. Bonnie advised that is great.

Nicki – Mid-Continent advised she requested an expedite for medical reasons and was asked for a doctors note. Nicki advised this is confidential information. Jill advised it is part of the process to request a note. Our centers are trying to follow the process and make sure the expedite is valid.

Colleen – ATT advised recently we had a customer that filed a PUC complaint and it was on the news so it was a huge issue that needed to be resolved. Jill advised if there are extenuating circumstances you can go through the Escalations process. This is not the norm but under special conditions we do handle escalations.

Cindy – Qwest advised our next steps are to look at the input that was received today and the process. We will determine areas that we can impact to reduce the need to expedite and provide status at the next CMP meeting. Additional ad hoc meetings may be held.

9/15/04 CMP Meeting Minutes

Cindy Macy – Qwest advised that there is an ad hoc meeting scheduled for Wednesday, September 22 to discuss the reasons for expedites. The intent is to look at the cause of expedites to determine if there are improvements that can be made to reduce the number of expedites. This process focuses on non design services. This CR will remain in Development Status.

8/16/04 CMP Meeting Minutes

Jill Martain – Qwest advised that Qwest has done additional work on this CR and determined that we won't be able to implement the same process for non design that we implemented for design. We are doing root cause analysis on the data and will determine reasons why expedites are needed. Qwest will meet with each of the CLECs after we have the data and work through the expedite reasons. John Berard – Covad asked some questions about the Expedite V14 PCAT. Jill recapped the process and advised the CLECs that if they have questions they can call her to discuss. John Berard – Covad verified if the error was caused by Qwest than there would not be a charge to expedite. Jill advised that is correct. Bonnie Johnson – Eschelon advised she tried to expedite a feature and the escalation group and Service Manager said they were not able to do this. Bonnie submitted a comment on this issue as Eschelon believes this is an existing process. Bonnie advised her definition of an existing process is if Qwest is performing the process it is an existing process. Bonnie and Jill discussed the issue and agreed that the issue was the difference between what Eschelon sees as an existing process and what Qwest views as an out of compliance. Jill told the center to go ahead and continue to handle feature expedites until we are able to resolve this issue. Bonnie appreciated this as it takes away the immediate pain to Eschelon. Bonnie advised that Eschelon has formed an internal team to review documentation against current process and previous CRs. They are focusing on DSL initially. Bonnie and Jill agreed that Eschelon should submit a CR to determine how to handle the situation when there is disagreement between when Qwest is out of compliance versus when Qwest is performing an existing process. This CR will remain in Development Status.

July 21, 2004 CMP Meeting Minutes:

Cindy Macy – Qwest advised that the team held an ad hoc meeting on July 9. During the ad hoc meeting, Jill Martain reviewed the PCAT and addressed comments on the process. Cindy advised that this process is effective July 31 in most states. The following identifies exceptions: AZ 8/5, Northern Idaho and NE 8/2, NE 8/6, WA affects only Access Services. The FCC#1 is effective July 31. Qwest will continue to work on the non design process. Additional status will be provided later. Liz Balvin – MCI advised that the clarification and the updates that were discussed helped a lot. Jill advised those updates have been made. This CR will remain in Development status.

PC021904-1 Expedite Process

Ad Hoc Meeting

July 9, 2004

10:00 – 11:00 a.m. MT

In attendance:

Eric Yohe – Qwest Liz Balvin – MCI
 Valerie Estorga – Qwest Susan Lorence – Qwest
 Jackie DeBold – US Link Steve Kast – Qwest
 Teresa Castro – Vartec Stephanie Prull – Eschelon
 Sue Lamb – 180 Comm John Berard – Covad
 Jill Martain – Qwest Ann Atkinson – ATT
 Julie Pickar – US Link Donna Osborn Miller – ATT
 Cindy Macy – Qwest

Cindy Macy – Qwest reviewed the history of the CR. Cindy explained that this process was notified on June 15, 2004 and then retracted on

CR #

PC021904-1

June 29, 2004. Cindy reviewed the agenda and purpose of the meeting.

Jill Martain – Qwest advised the intent of the PCAT update was to address the new expedite process on design products. Currently we are not able to include non design products in the process. We will schedule additional ad hoc meetings to discuss non design products and CLEC caused error expedite situations.

Jill advised that July 31 is the tariff effective date. Interstate filings will occur next, and there are a couple states that may go a little later, but each state is in progress of getting the tariffs approved.

Liz Balvin – MCI verified V11 only impacts design services. Jill advised the list of products that are in the pre-approved section are all design products.

Jill advised there will be two processes. 'Expedites that Require Approval' (current process) and the new process 'Approved Expedite Request' for identified design services products. Jill reviewed the PCAT and process in more detail.

Stephanie Prull – Eschelon asked how Qwest will notify the CLEC when Qwest can not meet the expedited date. Jill advised that when the CLEC calls in Qwest will get the name of the person who requested the change and work with them. Stephanie asked what happens if we use the EXP field? Jill advised Qwest would send back the FOC with the PIA value. Stephanie asked if the Retail customers get charged on the 'Expedite Requiring Approval' process. Jill advised no, and neither would the CLECs, unless they sign up for the new process.

Liz Balvin – MCI asked for more clarity on the non design process. Jill advised that the Expedite Process that requires approval applies to non design services or Interconnection Agreements that do not carry the 'per day' expedite rate. Jill agreed to clarify that all non design service expedites or design services expedites if your contract is not amended, will not carry a charge. Non design products can only be expedited for the conditions listed currently. We are still trying to accommodate some CLEC reasons for non design expedites. We will continue working on this and we will have additional calls with the CLECs. Retail follows these same procedures. Jill advised we will work on this in phases.

Jill explained that when you amend your contract there are not reasons for expedites any longer. Qwest agrees to expedite and there is a charge for all expedites.

John Berard – Covad asked if there is a separate charge on design products if there is a fire. Jill advised no, the same charge applies. If Qwest causes the error than there is not a charge.

Stephanie Prull – Eschelon asked when the amendment will be available. Jill advised the target date is July 26. Stephanie asked how this new process affects resource assignment of network technicians. Jill advised we have the resources to cover expedited requests. We have performed volume forecasts. An expedited request and a regular request are equally weighted.

Jill summarized the Pre Approved Expedite process. The CLECs must amend their ICA, the estimated cost to expedite is 200.00 per day, and eligible products are identified in the PCAT.

Stephanie Prull – Eschelon advised that currently the CLECs have special reasons for an expedite that are not included in the list. The CLEC calls the center and works with Qwest to address these situations. Jill advised we need to follow our process, and we will still handle unique conditions. They may need to be escalated.

Liz Balvin – MCI asked if this will be implemented on the Access side. Jill advised the tariff target date is July 31 for Access products. Liz asked Jill to include the tariff reference in the response to comments. Jill advised the exception is the Washington tariff is not being filed at this time.

Jill reviewed the comments to make sure she had addressed the CLECs concerns in today's meeting. The CLECs agreed that the comments have been addressed during today's meeting. Jill advised she will make updates to the PCAT based on today's call.

June 16, 2004 CMP Meeting notes:

Jill Martain – Qwest advised for design product the Level 3 notification went out on June 15. For non-design we are still investigating if the process is feasible. The CR will remain in Development Status.

May 19, 2004 CMP Meeting notes:

Jill Martain – Qwest advised that Qwest will accept this CR with the caveat to implement this on a product by product basis. There may be some products that this process will not be implemented for. For those products, the old process will stay in place. There will be a cost to expedite and amendments will need to be done. The approximate cost is in the \$150.00 - \$400.00 price range. A per day improvement charge would be assessed. Jill advised that the target list of phase 1 products is included in the response. Qwest is targeting July 31 for implementation. Bonnie verified that this will apply to Retail also. Jill advised yes, and a tariff would be filed. Jill will provide an update next month. This CR will move to Development Status.

April 21, 2004 CMP Meeting notes:

Jill Martain – Qwest reviewed the response for this CR. Jill advised that Qwest would like to leave this CR in Evaluation Status as we look at individual products for expedites. Jill asked the CLEC community if they are willing to pay just and reasonable charges to expedite. Bonnie Johnson - Eschelon stated that these charges should apply to retail customers as well. Liz Balvin – MCI asked how this would work. Are the

CR #

PC021904-1

prices driven by what is on our Interconnection Agreement? Jill Martain advised there would be charges in the ICA, and the amendment would have to be written. Bonnie said they would have to be commission approved rates. Jill advised she is not the expert on this process but she believes so. Liz Balvin clarified that if the CLECs are not willing to opt in to the contract, then they would follow the process that is effective today. Jill advised yes. Bonnie advised we do have situations when we have requested an expedite and Qwest denies it. Then the end user customer goes directly to Qwest and the expedite occurs. Jill advised we will keep this perspective in mind. This CR will move to Evaluation Status.

March 17, 2004 CMP Meeting

John Berard – Covad presented the CR and explained that Qwest's Expedite Process is written based on certain situations, such as Medical Emergencies. However if the CLEC makes an error, there isn't a process to expedite for a CLEC error reason and the CLEC has to take a regular interval. We want a process to request a faster interval, and we are willing to pay for it. Eschelon supports the request and would like to understand what type of opportunities are available for our Retail customers and if they get charged for an expedite. Bonnie advised that they have had trouble getting their customer in service, and if their customer contacts our Retail organization themselves, they get service in okay. Ervin Rae – ATT advised that he has heard that Qwest leadership is in the process of reviewing our Expedite Process. Jill Martain – Qwest advised that we can take a look at all of these aspects and also review PC081403-1 as this CR is also requesting a 'Restoral Request Process'. This CR will move to Presented Status.

Clarification Meeting

February 27, 2004

1-877-552-8688 7146042#

PC021904-1 Expedite Process for Provisioning – enhancements to existing process

Attendees

John Berard – Covad

Bryan Comras – Covad

Mark Gonzales – Qwest

Heidi Moreland – Qwest

Jill Martain - Qwest

Cindy Macy – Qwest

Meeting Agenda:

1.0 Introduction of Attendees

Attendees introduced

2.0 Review Requested (Description of) Change

John Berard – Covad reviewed the change request. John explained that Covad would like the title of the CR updated, as this is really a request for an enhancement to the existing expedite process. Cindy agreed to update the CR.

John advised that the expedite process is limited today to certain types of orders and processes. For example, medical emergencies. We may find that it is Covad's error that caused the customer to be disconnected. We would like to be able to get our customers restored quicker than standard interval, when it is our error. We are willing to pay for this service. Other ILECs provide this service. We would like the criteria to be expanded to allow an expedite when the CLEC makes an error.

Cindy Macy – Qwest asked for an example of this happening today. John Berard – Covad and Bryan Comras – Covad advised this relates to the Jeopardy process. When Covad fails to complete the order, but we complete the work at the DMARC the customer has service, but we do not close out the records so Qwest doesn't think the customers service is working. Qwest issued a jeopardy notice and since we didn't respond to that notice within 30 days Qwest then cancelled the orders and the service gets disconnected. Covad then goes back and resends the order, but we have to wait the standard interval and that is too long for the end user customer to wait, especially if it is a business account.

John Berard – Covad advised disconnects can also happen when the end user selects migration to a new ISP provider. This isn't as critical as the down time is usually very limited as they are hooked up to the new provider.

Heidi Moreland – Qwest asked how often this happens? Bryan – Covad replied approximately 20 times per month for Qwest, or once a day on average.

Bryan advised that we get faster turn around time on certain products. Heidi confirmed that Shared Loop has a shorter standard installation interval than an unbundled xDSL-capable loop. Heidi advised that that the customer could be disconnected when the sync test fails and the notice is not cleared. The DSLAM port is done by the CLEC and the customer is in service. If a supplement is not sent by the CLEC, and if there is no response in 30 days, then the line gets cancelled and pulled down.

Covad advised it shouldn't matter what the history or circumstances are, if we are willing to pay for the expedite.

3.0 Confirm Areas & Products Impacted

DSL, Line Share, Designed and DSL Products (all products)

This applies to any one that was in service and has gone out of service and needs to be set back up due to Customer or end-user error.

4.0 Confirm Right Personnel Involved

Jill agreed to get with Joan Wells regarding the Workback / Restoral Request process

5.0 Identify/Confirm CLEC's Expectation

Covad would like the ability to pay for an Expedited due date (restoral of disconnected end user)

Covad would like to treat these like trouble reports and get the end user back in service in one day.

6.0 Identify any Dependent Systems Change Requests

PC081403-1 Work Back Restoral Request

CR #	PC021904-1
-------------	-------------------

7.0 Establish Action Plan (Resolution Time Frame)
Covad will present the CR at the March CMP Meeting
Qwest will provide our Response at the April CMP Meeting

Qwest Response

For Review by CLEC Community and Discussion at the May 19, 2004 CMP Meeting

May 12, 2004

Covad Communications
John Berard, Director-Operations Support

SUBJECT: Covad's Change Request Response – CR #PC021904-1 Enhance Expedite Process for Provisioning

This letter is in response to Covad Communications Change Request (CR) PC021904-1. This CR requests that Qwest enhance the expedite process to allow for an interval that is shorter than what is currently available for the product.

Qwest will accept PC021904-1 Enhancement to existing Expedite Process, with the caveat that it will be looked at and implemented on a product by product basis. Qwest will continue to look at all of the individual products to determine if we will implement these changes. For those products which the expedite criteria/process does not change, Qwest will leave the existing expedite criteria and process in place. Additionally, as discussed previously, expedite charges will become applicable for all expedites except those that are due to Qwest caused reasons and amendments will be required to existing Interconnection agreements to implement those charges. If a CLEC chooses not to amend their Interconnection Agreement, the current expedite criteria and process will be used.

The first phase of implementing a change to the expedite process will be around those products that are Designed Services. A list of those products is shown below. For Designed services, an expedite charge is applicable for each day that the due date is improved (unless the expedite is due to a Qwest caused reason). We are targeting an implementation date of July 31, 2004, pending approval of the Interstate FCC#1 tariff, individual state tariffs and Interconnection agreements.

Following are a list of products that will be included in Phase 1:

Product
UBL all except 2w/4w analog
Analog PBX DID
Private Line (DS0, DS1, DS3 or above)
ISDN PRI T1
ISDN PRI Trunk
ISDN BRI Trunk
Frame Relay Trunk
DESIGNED TRUNKS (Includes designed PBX trunks) Trunk
MDS / MDSI
DPAs (multiple DPAs or FX, FCO) Trunk
UBL DID (Unbundled digital trunk)

For Review by the CLEC Community and Discussion at the April 21, 2003 CMP Meeting

April 14, 2004

Covad
John Berard
Director – Operations/Change Management

SUBJECT: CR # PC021904-1 Enhance Expedite Process for Provisioning

This letter is in response to Covad's Change Request (CR) PC021904-1 Enhance Expedite Process for Provisioning. This CR requests that Qwest enhance the Expedite process to allow for an interval that is shorter than what is currently available for the product.

CR #

PC021904-1

Qwest would like to leave this CR in evaluation status as it needs to continue to look at the individual products and provisioning processes that are impacted by this request. Qwest will provide an updated response at the May CMP meeting. Qwest will move this CR to Evaluation status.

Sincerely,

Jill Martain
Qwest Communications

CR #

PC021904-1

Information Current as of: Wednesday, April 26, 2006

Page 9 of 9

Report Name: rptOpenDetailed CR INDIVIDUAL REPORT prodproc