

No.	State	State Regulated Electric Subsidiary	Holding Company	Type of Decoupling Policy	Month that Decoupling Started	Quarter that Decoupling Started	Basis for the Start Date	Quarter that Decoupling Was No Longer in Effect
Col. #> Row	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	Connecticut	United Illuminating	UII Holdings Corporation	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Feb-09	1_2009	Decision Feb. 2009 on original case Docket No. 08-07-04. Stems from CT Public Act No. 07-242. Docket No. 08-07-04, and has subsequently extended it through the utility's next general rate case. P Morgan.	
2	District of Columbia	Potomac Electric Power	Pepeco Holdings, Inc.	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Sep-09	3_2009	The Commission approved decoupling for PEPCO (Potomac Electric Company) in Case 1053 (September 2009).	
3	Hawaii	Hawaiian Electric Co	Hawaiian Electric Industries	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Aug-10	3_2010	The Decoupling Docket, Docket No. 2008-0274 (opening investigation into decoupling). Final Decision and Order, August 2010. Docket No. 2009-0063 (general rate case including adoption of decoupling mechanism) Final Order Dec. 2010.	
4	Hawaii	Hawaiian Electric Light Co.	Hawaiian Electric Industries	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Dec-10	3_2010	There was a follow-on final decision in Dec. 2010. Data constraints led to consolidation with HECCO in 3_2010, as noted in spreadsheet workpapers.	
5	Hawaii	Maua Electric	Hawaiian Electric Industries	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Dec-10	3_2010	This was a follow-on decision in Dec. 2010. Starting date was set at 1Q2011, shown in Figure 4. Data constraints led to consolidation with HECCO in 3_2010, as noted in spreadsheet workpapers.	
6	Idaho	Idaho Power Co.	IDACORP, Inc.	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Mar-07	1_2007	Pilot 2007-2010. Extended 2010-2012, then 2017-open. P Morgan. The initial order setting up the pilot was March, 2007.	
7	Illinois	Commonwealth Edison	Eaton Co	Fixed Variable Rates	May-11	2_2011	ICC, Commonwealth Edison, Order for Case 10-0467, covering SFV rates, May 24, 2011.	
8	Maryland	Baltimore Gas & Electric	Eaton Co	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Nov-07	1_2012, finalized merger with Eaton, March 12, 2012	Baltimore Gas & Electric (BGE) Order November 2007, then part of Constellation. P Morgan. As subsidiary of Eaton, the decoupling starts with the finalization of the merger with Eaton, March 12, 2012	
9	Maryland	Delmarva Power & Light	Pepeco Holdings, Inc.	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Jul-07	3_2007	Delmarva, Case 9093, July 2007. P Morgan.	
10	Maryland	Potomac Electric Power	Pepeco Holdings, Inc.	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Jul-07	3_2007	PEPCO, Case 9092, July 2007. P Morgan.	
11	Massachusetts	Western Massachusetts Electric	Northeast Utilities System	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Jan-11	1_2011	Docket D.P.U. 10-70 (January 2011); Revenue Decoupling Adjustment Clause, M.D.P.U. No. 1050. P Morgan.	
12	Michigan	Detroit Edison	DTE Energy	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Jan-10	1_2010	The Michigan Commission approved a decoupling mechanism for Detroit Edison (Case No. U-15768, January 2010). The Detroit Edison decision was appealed to the Michigan Court of Appeals, which starting 1Q2011, still creating uncertainty about the policy. Court of Appeals did reverse the Commission approval decision, issuing a final decision in April 2012. Commission did not appeal. Brattle excluded the data points for holding company DTE Energy from the regression analysis for 6 quarters starting then, due the uncertainty. Detroit Edison was treated as not decoupled starting in 3 Q 2012.	3_2012

No. Col. Row	State	State Regulated Electric Subsidiary	Holding Company	Type of Decoupling Policy	Month that Decoupling Started	Quarter that Decoupling Started	Quarter that Decoupling Was No Longer in Effect	Basis for the Start Date
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
13	Michigan	Consumers Energy	CMS Energy	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Nov-09	4_2009	3_2012	The Michigan Commission approved decoupling mechanisms for Consumers Energy (Case No. U-15645, November 2009, and Case No. U-16191) continuing decoupling, November 2010. P. Morgan. Same uncertainty was created for CMS Energy decoupling policy with the DTE lawsuit. Court of Appeals did reverse the Commission DTE decision, issuing a final decision in April 2012. The Commission did not appeal and stopped allowing the true-up of Consumers Energy revenues, effectively ending Consumers' decoupling. Errors excluded (the data points for holding company CMS Energy for the 6 quarters starting 10/2011 due to the uncertainty. Consumers Energy was treated as not decoupled starting in 3 Q 2012.
14	Michigan	Upper Peninsula Power	Integrus Energy Group	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Apr-08	2_2008	1_2014	Start date information from the company. (internal communication). UPP did not lose decoupling along w/ Detroit Ed and Com. Energy because policy was result of settlement. Rather UPP kept the policy through a Q 2013. Also, UPP was sold off by the Integrys Energy Group in 1 Q 2014 and decoupling discontinued.
15	New York	Consolidated Edison	Consolidated Edison, Inc.	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Mar-08	1_2008		March 25, 2008, Order in DE-0523
16	New York	Orange & Rockland Utilities	Consolidated Edison, Inc.	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Aug-08	3_2008		Settlement contained Rev Decoupling filed in April 2008. RDM recovery went into effect in August 1, 2008. The Order went into effect between thousand was set on 3Q 2008.
17	New York	New York State Electric & Gas	Energy East (acquired by Iardroba)	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Sep-10	3_2010		NYSO Case 09-E-0715, September 21, 2010
18	New York	Rochester Gas & Electric	Energy East (acquired by Iardroba)	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Sep-10	3_2010		ISGEF Case 09-E-0717, September 21, 2010
19	Ohio	AFP Ohio	American Electric Power Company, Inc.	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	May-12	2_2012		The Ohio Commission recently approved decoupling mechanisms for electric utility AFP Ohio, Case No. 11-5905-E-RDR (May 2012). P. Morgan.
20	Ohio	Duke Energy Ohio	Duke Energy	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	May-12	2_2012		The Ohio Commission recently approved decoupling mechanisms for two of its electric utilities: AFP Ohio, Case No. 11-5905-E-RDR (May 2012), and Duke Energy Ohio, Case No. 11-5905-E-RDR (May 2012). P. Morgan.
21	Oregon	Portland General Electric	Portland General Corp	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Jan-09	1_2009		In January 2009, Docket UE 107, the Commission approved a decoupling mechanism for Portland General Electric P. Morgan.
22	Wisconsin	Wisconsin Public Service	Integrus Energy Group	Revenue Decoupling with True-up	Jun-09	2_2009		The Wisconsin Commission approved decoupling for Wisconsin Public Service Corporation, both the electric and gas operations, in Docket No. 669D-UR-119 (June 2009).