

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

QWEST CORPORATION D/B/A CENTURYLINK QC,

Respondent.

DOCKET UT-171082

SUSAN M. BALDWIN ON BEHALF OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

EXHIBIT SMB-6

CenturyLink's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 12

June 1, 2018

Re: Docket UT-171082

CenturyLink's Responses and Objections to Public Counsel DR Nos. 5 through 12

Date: April 30, 2018

Page 15 of 15

PC-12 Reference page 16 of CenturyLink's response to PC-4, specifically to Section 4.4.C of the tariff page, which states: "If a Developer/Builder does not enter into a PAHD, the Company, at its option, may accept requests for service from individual customers in the subdivision/development area as provided for in Section 4.2.2."

- a. Has CenturyLink ever, since January 1, 2014, in an instance where a Developer/Builder did not enter into a PAHD, accepted requests for service pursuant to this tariff language? If so, please identify any and all such instances, including the circumstances leading to CenturyLink's decision to accept such requests and the timing of such instances.
- b. Please describe fully the criteria used by CenturyLink to decide if and when to accept requests pursuant to the option identified in this portion of its tariff.
- c. Please identify the individuals and their titles responsible for deciding whether to accept requests for service pursuant to this provision in the tariff.

Response:

- a. It is CenturyLink's current policy to enter into PAHD agreements with developer/builders for all housing developments. To the best of our knowledge, CenturyLink has not served an individual in a no-build development under Section 4.2.2.
- b. N/A
- c. N/A

Respondent: Phil Grate