## Docket No. TV-150223 - Vol. I

In re the Application of: Five Stars Moving & Storage, LLC

June 24, 2015

STATE OF WASH.
UTIL. AND TRANSP.
COMMISSION

2015 JUL 21 PM 2: 16

## REVISED



1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



REVISED

Page 1	Page 3
•	_
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	1 EXAMINATION INDEX
	3 WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
In Re: Application of: )	4 Michael O'Connor 14 120 133 144
)	5 114 137
FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, ) Docket No. TV-150223	6
LLC, )	7 William Trick 50 87 100 101
)	8 101, 236 240
for a permit to operate as a )	9
motor carrier of household )	10 Susie Paul 155 212 231, 233
goods. )	11 12
Volume I	13 EXHIBIT INDEX
Hearing Held Before	14 NUMBER: PAGE
MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER	15 1 - 3 Official Notice Taken 12
Administrative Law Judge	16 13 Admitted into Evidence 43
Pages 1 - 264	17 SP-1 Admitted into Evidence 158, 175, 231
	18 SP-6 - 9 Admitted into Evidence 182
9:00 a.m 3:24 p.m.	19 SP-4 Admitted into Evidence 183
June 24, 2015	20
1300 South Evergreen Park Drive S.W., Room 206 Olympia, Washington	21 22
Olympia, washington	22 23
	24
Mary M. Paradise, CSR 2469	25
MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge  FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 2 9:00 a.m. 3 -000- 4 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go 6 on the record. Good morning. My name is 7 Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law 8 judge for the Washington Utilities and 9 Transportation Commission.
FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION	10 We're going to start out with opening
COMMISSION:	11 statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead 12 and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and
13 ANDREW J. O'CONNELL	and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who
ANDREW J. O'CONNELL 14	you represent. Beginning with Five Stars,
Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division	15 Mr. Wall.
15 PO Box 40128	16 MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My
16	name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-l-l.
Olympia, Washington 98504-0128	18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm not sure your
17 18	19 microphone is on. Do you see a red dot?
19	20 MR. WALL: I don't. How's that? 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Perfect
20 21	21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Perfect. 22 MR. WALL: My name is Christopher Wall.
22	23 Wall is W-a-I-I, on behalf of Five Stars Moving &
23	24 Storage.
24 25	25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. And
	•

Page 5

Mr. O'Connell.

MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. My name is Andrew J. O'Connell, O, apostrophe, C-o-n-n-e-I-I. I'm an assistant attorney general for the state of Washington, and I represent commission staff in this matter.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Okay. And everybody knows -- the parties know, I should say, that there are opening statements, are limited to five minutes each. So we'll begin with Five Stars.

MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You can remain seated. That's fine.

MR. WALL: Okay. Your Honor, this is a case about redepmtion. Mr. Trick has been working in the household goods moving industry for nearly a decade now, and he's lawfully performed thousands of labor only in-home moves.

The evidence will show that the UTC does not regulate labor only moves, and that Mr. -- Mr. Trick is allowed to be in homes, boxing up household goods and packaging them, as long as he doesn't put them on his own truck. We'll talk about the WAC provisions involved there.

Over the past 16 years, Mr. Trick has a

The King County web site says that that means that he's at a moderate risk of recidivism. Movers are

Page 7

Page 8

in people's homes, and so the rationale is that if he's a risk and in people's homes, then there's a

risk to the public, and granting the permit is not in the interests of the public.

But the evidence will show that Mr. Trick is actually not at a risk of recidivism. The evidence will show that Mr. Trick's level was set in 2004 when he was released, and he's not since been re-leveled. That's not been re-examined since.

The score, as it was calculated in 2004, does not predict recidivism. We'll also learn that under the legislature's direction, the Washington Institute for Public Policy, has studied the system that was used to study Mr. Trick and found that, quote, the notification levels determined by the ESRC, the End of Sentence Review Commission, do not classify offenders in groups that accurately reflect their risk for re-offending and that the notification consideration score has, quote, little or no accuracy in predicting offender recidivism. And we'll learn that these findings have led to a revamping of that classification system to better

Page 6

demonstrated record of safety and no re-offenses in the home move settings. We'll talk about Mr. Trick's rehabilitation. We'll learn about the factors that mitigate the risk of recidivism. Mr. Trick is in a stable marriage with Ashley Trick, and they have two young children, Damien and Mackenzie.

Mr. Trick is an example of success of the criminal justice and rehabilitation system. He's gone through intensive rehabilitation programs. While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs.

We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single reason for the UTC's notice of intent to deny was Mr. Trick's now 16 year old criminal conviction.

The rationale is not unpersuasive at a surface level. It's that Mr. Trick has a criminal conviction for a sexual offense. He's currently leveled at a level 2 on a King County web site.

predict recidivism.

We'll also hear that the UTC's conclusion was based on several erroneous factual premises that were gleaned off the King County Sheriff's web site, which suggested that Mr. Trick was a coach and a child care volunteer. Those are simply not accurate, and we'll hear about those facts.

And there's also a Google Plus listing that was referenced that made it look like Mr. Trick had been authorizing -- advertising full service moves without a permit, which was also not the case.

Finally, the — implicit in the UTC's conclusion was that granting the permit will increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more managerial role, where he'll be overseeing employees, handling insurance, making sure that the equipment is functioning properly, working on advertising, working on communications with customers, and it will actually take him out of the home.

So I think to summarize, essentially, two

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 9

1 is to be denied if the applicant or any employee 2 has been convicted of a crime involving sexual 3 misconduct more than five years ago and the 4 Commission determines that the nature and extent of

not actually true, and number two, the premise that granting the permit would also increase his premises in the home, and that's also not accurate.

moderate risk of recidivism. We'll see that that's

5 that crime will likely interfere with the proper

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell.

flawed premises; one, that Mr. Trick is at a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

. 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 operation of a household good moving company. 7 It is not staff's position that a

MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. The evidence presented here today will show three facts. Five Stars' application is misleading. Mr. Trick has misled staff in the past, and Mr. Trick's felony conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls will interfere with proper operation of Five Stars Moving & Storage.

conviction for an offense, any one of the several listed in the rule, creates a permanent bar from receiving a permit. Instead, the rule requires the Commission to conduct an analysis considering the nature and extent of the crime and whether it is likely to interfere with operating the household good moving company.

Staff recommends the denial of Five Stars application for these reasons: The three main issues in this matter all revolve around Mr. Trick. The evidence staff will present today will show Five Stars did not include in its application any information about Mr. Trick's criminal history. It did not include any information about Mr. Trick's business related legal proceedings.

In this case, staff believes it does. Staff will present its evidence through Ms. Susie Paul, who will testify to staff's investigation of the application, the application's deficiencies, the household good moving industry, the nature and extent of Mr. Trick's crime of sexual molestation. and the exhibits that support the notice of intent to denv.

The lack of disclosures in Five Stars' application reminds staff of another application

At this time, Commission staff would offer Exhibit 1, the application materials submitted by Five Stars Moving; Exhibit 2, the notice of intent

Page 10

Page 12

Page 11

they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company.

The Commission found that that -- that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence

presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules

that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302 and 305 for provisional and for permanent authority.

These rules provide that the Commission is to deny a permit if the application contains any indication of fraud, misrepresentation or erroneous information. They also provide that an application

to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice?

MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents.

(Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.)

MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered --

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits themselves one at a time when Ms. Paul sponsors them through testimony.

MR. O'CONNELL: Sure.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. It is 9:10 right now. I haven't heard a click to indicate that Mr. -- I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell is on the

Page 13 1 line yet, but I would imagine that shortly, he 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 would be. So we'll briefly go off the record while 2 on correctional administration and treatment, moved 3 3 we wait for that. Very briefly. So we'll go back into treatment over time, did work in the substance 4 on the record. Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? 4 abuse field, which is -- was the major focus of my 5 5 master of social work training at the University of DR. O'CONNELL: Yes. I am. 6 Washington School of Social Work. 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And we are having some 7 7 I began a private practice treating -difficulties with the conference bridge. It 8 sounds -- you sound very -- the volume is very low. 8 mostly treating people with behavioral -- sexual 9 So if we could maybe have you -- I don't want you 9 behavior problems and sex offenders, beginning in 10 to shout, but we'll need you to speak up a bit. 10 1981. I returned to school in 1986, completed a 11 DR. O'CONNELL: Okay. I'll make a point. 11 PhD in counseling psychology at the University of 12 Washington, while continuing to run a private 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you so much. 13 13 practice, and did my dissertation at the University And also, we are having this proceeding 14 of Washington on using polygraph testing to discern 14 transcribed, so if you can make sure to speak 15 the deviant sexual histories of sex offenders. 15 clearly and slowly, that would help our court 16 reporter immensely. 16 That -- that dissertation won an -- an international award from the Association for the 17 DR. O'CONNELL: I'll make a point. 17 18 Treatment of Sexual Abusers, the national-18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Before we 19 19 begin, let me go ahead and swear you in. I can't international professional organization. 20 see you, but I you have to assume that you are 20 Q. And how many years experience in the field standing and that you are raising your right hand. 21 do you have? 21 22 22 DR. O'CONNELL: I am now. A. Let's see. I guess it's 34 years. 23 Q. Would you also speak briefly about some of 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. your -- the professional capacities in which you 24 24 Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL, 25 25 served? was duly sworn and testified as follows: Page 14 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 2 3 3 Please be seated. Mr. Wall, you can begin. 4 4 5 5

Page 16

Page 15

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

BY MR. WALL:

- Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell.
- Good morning.
- Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record?
  - A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-l-l.
  - Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college?
  - A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and a PhD in counseling psychology from the University of Washington.
  - Q. Can you tell us about the training that you have, both from your education and in your professional experience?
  - A. The relevant training, I began my career in the social services with a -- with a focus on --

#### DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION

A. I have been involved in the Association for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers and the Washington state chapter. Washington state had the first state chapter of that organization. I was a two term president of that -- of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member.

I've been involved in the -- the larger organization, participating in some -- in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice.

- Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for recidivism?
- A. What we know about the likelihood of recidivism best studies are what I call metaanalytic studies which -- which collapse a number of studies together. There have been two large -two large studies, one in 1999 and 2004, by Karl Hanson out of the correctional services of Canada.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 17

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION You know, many, many studies collapsed them together, and there were a number of findings.

The most compelling is that the -- what we know about the measureable factors, there are two that stand out as increasing risk of recidivism.

One is deviant sexual interests; somebody who is sexually interested and aroused to kids or fetishistic behavior or coercive sex, that's deviant sexual interest, and the other is a history of antisociology that's best measured by a measure of psychopathy.

So those are the two major predictors of sexual recidivism. In recent years, there have been some additional studies looking at time in the community and at risk, meaning not in custody, not under 24-hour supervision. And there have been a number of studies.

Most recently, it was Hanson and Harris and several others published last year, that showed -- that actually reinforced earlier results that showed that people who are in the community for a long time and at risk who have not re-offended, that -- that risk of recidivism drops off pretty quickly over the first 1 to 5 years, and after 10

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION drop-off at five years.

Page 19

And in fact, when — back in 2004, when I was part of establishing a model policy for the Seattle Police Department on reviewing notification levels, helping — you know, just giving Seattle Police Department a — a format for thinking about reducing — considering reducing a level 3 to a level 2, and a level 2 to a level 1, we looked at the early 2003 studies that showed, you know, the drop-off in recidivism over time, and we determined that a five year drop-off was a — you know, at that point, there was a significant reduction, and that they would begin considering reducing risk levels for notification.

# Q. So after 10 or 11 years without any re-offenses, can you draw any conclusions about a person's risk of recidivism?

A. Well, for somebody who is low risk to begin with, or moderate risk to begin with, at that point, it's essentially the same as somebody who has never committed a sex offense, but who went to prison for some other non-sex offense crime.

Q. So if I understand what you're saying, someone who went to prison for some non-sex offense

Page 18

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION or 12 years, flattens out.

So that even high risk people, people who are on actuarial instruments or seem to be at high risk, if they haven't offended after 15 years, are essentially at the same risk of somebody coming out of prison not having been convicted of a sex offense, and the likelihood of them committing a sexual offense in the future.

So summarizing my answer, sexual -deviant sexual interest, antisociology and
psychopathy, and time back in the community after
incarceration and not re-offending are the most
powerful predictors of -- of recidivism.

Q. Okay. And to drill down on that a little further, can you talk more about the time frame from the date of release from prison, what is the significance of the one year mark, the five year mark, the ten year mark?

A. Actually, sex offenses are like other offenses, in that people who come out of prison are most likely to recidivate in, actually, the first several months, certainly the first year. It drops off, you know, between the first and second year. It drops off further. There's another sort of

Page 20

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION crime, that could be any crime that you could be incarcerated for, is that what you're saying?

A. That's right.

# Q. So you're saying, even that person without a history of recidivism is at some risk of recidivism?

A. Nobody's at no risk of -- nobody's at no risk of sexual offense, even somebody who has never committed a sex offense or has never been convicted of a sex offense is at some risk. So there is never no risk, but we're talking about, you know, somebody who went to prison for -- for car theft or writing bad checks, we don't usually think of them as being at a meaningful risk of committing a sex offense, and that's the level at which somebody who had a low or moderate risk level coming out of prison at 10 or 11 years would be seen as the same as that.

## Q. From your experience in the field, are there any persistent myths about sex offenders?

A. Well, there's a couple. One of which is there's nothing can be done about sex offenders, that it's an incurable condition. Once a sex offender, always a sex offender, and that was -- I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 21

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION confess to having been part of a -- a -communicating to -- to policy makers in the public at large early on, to making that point.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

35 years ago, sex offenses were not on many people's radar. In fact, when I completed my master of social work program at the University of Washington in 1977, there was no mention of -- of child abuse or sexual abuse that came up in any of my coursework. So it just wasn't on the -- you know, it wasn't on the radar for most people.

And when I started working in this field, one of the first -- one of the first clinics that did outpatient treatment in Washington, we would often see -- not often, but it was not uncommon that I would see a grandfather molesting a grandkid, and it was the third generation of a victim. He had molested younger siblings as a teenager. He had molested his kids and their friends, you know, in his 20s and 30s, and nothing had really been done.

There was -- maybe somebody would take him in to a priest, the principal may have talked to him in the back as a teenager. This was a -- you know, a person who mowed his lawn, paid his taxes,

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION

A. So I assume you're talking about the in-prison program at the -- through the Department of Corrections?

Q. Yes.

A. And it's -- it's actually a fairly good program, as these go. It's a cognitive behavioral program, which is one of the elements that is -that's what's referred to as -- as state-ofthe-art. It isn't -- it isn't doing psychodynamic or looking at self-esteem.

It's looking at the cognition, and people use the justifications and rationalizations and the excuses people use, and the behavioral interests that they bring into -- into their offending behavior and the lead-up to that.

And I was a member of their advisory committee for a number of years, so I'm pretty familiar with that program. I've worked with a number of people coming out of that program. I've worked with a number of people who went from that program to the civil commitment program for sexually violent predators who said, gee, this is more intense, but boy, I learned -- this is -- most of what I'm learning here is a repeat of what I

Page 22

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history.

The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look -- you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that -- that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness.

So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based summary, that state-of-the-art treatment programs basically reduce the likelihood of recidivism in half, all things being equal. So that yes, something can be done.

Q. You mentioned state-of-the-art treatment programs. Can you talk specifically about Washington's treatment program, and how does it stack up to other treatment programs?

Page 24

Page 23

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at -- at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program.

Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick?

A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a -- it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and -- and what he learned in treatment.

And I did some -- I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been -- would have been applied to him back in his release, I guess, was 2004. So yes, I've done that.

I have not had access to the official records. I have not had an opportunity to talk to collateral contacts to support, you know, what he says about his -- you know, his -- his adjustment to the community since he got out of prison.

Q. Let me -- let me back up for a minute.

Page 25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 27

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION You said, I think, if I heard you right, the tool that would have been applied to him at the time of his release. Can you -- can you explain for us who are unfamiliar with this system, when is a person's offender level determined?

A. Generally speaking, if a person has been in prison, the -- the End of Sentence Review Committee would review them and use a scoring tool that was created initially in the mid '90s, and then revised in '99, and that's the initial, and oftentimes, the only actuarial like risk assessment that was done.

Q. And so the End of Sentence Review Committee sets that level at the time a person is released from prison, is that what you're saying?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. After your evaluation of Mr. Trick, have you reached any tentative conclusions?

A. Well, there's -- there's two tentative conclusions that I have come up with. Number one is the fact that he has been in the community and -- and off supervision for a considerable amount of time.

Apparently, based on what I know, has a

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 may have been a mistake in the scoring of the 3 scoring tool back in 2004, or whenever it was he 4 was released, and that there was a -- a mistake 5 made in leveling him as a level 2 rather than a 6 level 1, even by the tool and the rules of the tool 7 that should have applied at the time.

Q. Okay. Let's go ahead and dive into that topic, then. What can you tell us about what tool was in place in 2004 in Washington when Mr. Trick was released from prison?

A. The tool was -- it's a mouthful, so I've got to get the -- get the tool in front of me here. Where did it go? Here it is. It's the Washington State Sex Offender Risk Level Classification, Revised 1999. I'll say that again. Washington State Sex Offender Risk Level Classification, Revised 1999.

That was a revision of an earlier tool that was put together. Washington was one of the first states to do classification and community notification, based on the Community Protection Act of 1990, I believe it was. And the legislature had the Washington Associates -- Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs come up with a scoring

Page 26

Page 28

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION social life, has a family, he's invested in a job, the sort of things that are referred to as desistance from crime, and this -- this goes back to a theory about juvenile delinquency, and a lot of juvenile delinquents age out of their antisocial and, you know, criminal behavior, that as they mature, as they have investment in a job or a family or a position in the community, they have other things that they devote their energy and attention to, and things they don't want to lose by messing up and getting in trouble.

So you know, that's -- you know, that seems to be at work, from what I know about Mr. Trick.

The other thing that I did is when I went through -- I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what -- what was the outcome of my assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking about here?

Q. Correct. Have you had a chance to -- have you reached any tentative conclusions regarding Mr. Trick?

A. Yes. And the other thing that I -- that I came up with was that it looks to me as if there

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION tool.

They originally came up -- they originally used the Minnesota Sex Offender Screening Tool, MMSOST. And then they revised it in 1999 and included a -- a -- in addition to that scoring tool, which was the state-of-the-art actuarial tool at the time. And then at the end, they added what were called notification considerations, which were, on the face of it, reasonable, but basically, subjective judgments, which were -- which the -whatever the scoring tool could add to sort of override.

So it was a -- for the time, a state-ofthe-art emperically based actuarial tool, and then a kind of subjective override that was thrown in at the end.

Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with this field, what -- what is the difference between -- what is an actuarial method and what is a notifications method?

A. So the actuarial process is the same process that insurance companies go through when they decide whether to, you know, write an insurance -- a life insurance or decide how much to

Page 29

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION charge you for auto insurance. There's -- they have developed a database which shows that, you know, a 16-year-old boy driving a Corvette is at much higher likelihood of getting into an accident and causing an insurance claim than a 45-year-old woman driving a Saturn.

And they accumulate this data, and they predict statistically -- not each individual, but, you know, in the aggregate, what's the likelihood of some unfortunate events. So the unfortunate event we're talking about here is a likelihood of sexual re-offense.

And there are a number of -- of, you know, data points that -- that load highly on the two factors I was mentioning; antisociality, problems, arrests, convictions, a number of -- a diversity of criminal behavior and, you know, deviant sexual interests. So that was the Minnesota scoring tool. So that's the empirical, just -- just the numbers basis of the Minnesota scoring tool.

And then the subjective notification considerations are things like, was this behavior of a predatory nature? Was there a particularly vulnerable victim? These are things, that on the

Page 31

Page 32

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION the past. It isn't an obvious -- it's not -- it's not something obviously that ought to be considered, if you read these things at face value.

But I actually do remember a client that I worked with a number of years ago who was out in the community, he had been out for, you know, a couple, three years. The King County Sheriff's Department finally got around to scoring him. He didn't go to prison. So this was -- this was a scoring procedure that should have been done by the local law enforcement agency.

And I remember that the -- the sheriff's detective in this case leveled him at a higher level, because they were kind of offended at the -- the amount of grooming that went into the offending against the victim in this case.

# Q. Okay. Based on your evaluation of Mr. Trick, what is your tentative conclusion regarding Mr. Trick's current risk of recidivism?

A. Well, it looks, based on just my talking to him over the phone and -- and, you know, the information available to me, that he looks like he ought to be a level 1 guy, and that the -- his connections to the community and the things that he

Page 30

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense.

So there were -- there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the -- of the tool that -- what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a -- that's a subjective decision in its own right, and -- and then it was -- we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it -- it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it.

## Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism?

A. They really don't have any predictive value. In fact, they — they take away predictive value from the emperically based part of the scoring tool.

Q. Okay. So I want to ask you a little bit more about that in just a moment here. When we talk about notification considerations, would whether or not the convict groomed their victims, would that be a notification consideration?

A. That -- I've actually seen that used in

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINA is doing to keep himself busy would seem to -- you

know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and -- and, you know, but

4 needs not to get drunk and -- and, you know, put 5 himself in a situation like where he was in --

after a party where, as he described it, a couple

of kids in the family were -- were sleeping with him, but I do not see any -- any red flags about --

9 about him being predatory or him having a deviant

sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff

happens, and this is among the things that can happen.

# Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally sleeping with him? Not having sex with him?

A. Oh, yes, that was -- that was the case.

The kids came in and were sleeping with him as he was -- as he was -- as he described it, you know, kind of sleeping off having consumed a lot of alcohol at a party.

## Q. So in order to make a full and final conclusion, what more analysis do you need to do?

A. In order to give a -- you know, a more definitive conclusion, I would want to do a, you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 33

Page 35

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION know, more thorough evaluation and risk assessment. which would involve, you know, getting some, you know, official records, doing some more testing, doing some collateral contacts with other people who could confirm what he's told me about his -his involvement in the community and with his family.

- Q. So, essentially, verifying the facts?
- A. As he told them to me, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And do you have any plans to conduct those types of further evaluations?

A. I -- I understand that he intends to undergo an evaluation, that he wants to retain me to do that, and I'm prepared to do that.

Q. You testified previously that the End of Sentence Review Committee sets people's levels at the end of their incarceration. Is that the final say in what their level is? Is it what the End of **Sentence Review Committee says?** 

A. That's the final say, unless the individual appeals to the law enforcement agency and asks for a reconsideration, and so it's entirely dependent on the individual. There's no -- there's no automatic or built-in review of these

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION whatever -- whatever law enforcement agency does the registration for the -- you know, wherever they happen to be residing. And there's -- there's a lot of variability there. Some counties and some cities are -- are a lot more inclined to level people up. Let's just make a statement, we don't want sex offenders living in this county, and so we'll -- we'll level 1 at a level 2, we'll level 1s and 2s at a level 3, and that will send a message that they're not welcome here.

And other agencies, other law enforcement agencies are much more committed to -- to using the empirical tools faithfully.

Q. Let's talk about the old system of leveling versus the current system. How, if at all, has the offender leveling system changed since 2004?

A. The basic level, the idea of 1, 2 and 3, and you know, level's 1s being low risk and level 3s being high risk, that remains the same. In -gosh, it was a couple of years ago. The fact that this Washington state risk tool, which was originally devised in the mid '90s, and then revised again in '99, was still being used -- you

Page 34

Page 36

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION things over the years.

So yes, somebody could come out at high risk and -- well, we've been at this for 30 years now. So 30 years later, you know, they could have the same -- the same risk score that they originally were released from prison with, and no built-in review of -- of that.

- Q. So the End of Sentence Review Committee does not redo people's levels from time to time, is that what you're saying?
- A. No. In fact, if it's redone, it will be done by the law enforcement agency where they register.
- Q. And is there any other entity besides the ESRC, the End of Sentence Review Committee, that sets people's levels?
- A. For people who don't go to prison, and there are people who are -- like, for example there's a sex offender -- a special sex offender alternatives where they don't go to prison, they do some jail time, they have a lengthy period of community supervision and they participate in treatment.

In that case, the risk levels are set by

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION know, finally resulted in the legislature telling the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs to use a new emperically derived tool that was up to speed.

And they started using the STATIC 99, which actually by that time was the -- was getting superseded by a revised version. So the new tool that's being used is that -- is that STATIC 99, and the -- the Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs is involved in a process right now of updating that.

- Q. How many revisions have there been since 2004?
- A. So there has been -- the original STATIC 99. There was a STATIC 99 revised in '03, and there's been two revisions in the last five years.
  - Q. What's the effect of those revisions?
- A. The interesting thing that -- well, there's been a couple of revisions -- a couple of effects. One is that more evidence has come in about how age effects the likelihood of recidivism.
- 23 And they -- they fine-tuned the -- the --
- 24 originally, the STATIC 99 had an under 25, over 25, 25
  - and there was just a very small reduction of risk

1.5

Page 37

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION by being over 25.

The new tool has an under 35, 35 to 60, and over 60, and you get a substantial reduction in risk if you're -- if you're over 35 and over 60, because evidence shows that people who are older, all things being equal, have a substantial unlikelihood of sexually recidivating. So that's -- that's one major change.

The other major change is the risk prediction scores. The early — the early tools, the ones in the early and mid '90s, had much higher predicted levels of recidivism than the new — the new — essentially, the same tool, but they predict much lower recidivism. And the consensus seems to be that the atmosphere that the social and community and political atmosphere has changed so that people who have convictions for sex offenses are, generally speaking, under more scrutiny, they are — they know to take things more seriously, they are more likely to have been in treatment, and so the likelihood of them re-offending is much lower than it was 20 years ago.

Q. I'm going to ask you to assume a scenario. If I were to take someone released from prison

Page 39

Page 40

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Mr. Trick said that -- that what made the difference, what caused him to be at a level 2 was the fact that he had an unrelated victim.

And it looks like, you know, I'm -- I'm -- I'm taking some logical, you know, leaps here without talking to Detective Gordon or having the risk tool. It looks that, as I scored the risk tool, the way that those scores get translated into notification levels is that an assessment score of 46 or less is -- is -- is determined to be a level

And Mr. — Mr. Trick's score, as I did it, came out to a 20 — what was it? A 24. So considerably under that — that level. But you could be a level 2 if you had a less score of 46 or less, and one or two of these notification considerations. And there was one item on one of the notification considerations. It actually used another risk score, being Rapid Risk Assessment for Sex Offenders, which was actually a predecessor to the STATIC 99, and one of the — one of the questions there is relationship to the victim, only related victims, any non-related victims.

So he -- he got one point for a non -- for

Page 38

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual -- actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you?

A. Well, it would -- it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would -- would predict. So it would -- it would overrate their -- their likelihood at re-offense.

Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on?

A. I -- I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks -- he talked about -- talking with Detective Matt Gordon about his leveling some years ago. Detective Gordon was on the sex offender and kidnapping detail in the Seattle Police Department, and he was actually a member of the End of Sentence Review Committee back at the time that Mr. Trick was released.

So he was actually probably involved in the scoring -- at least the review of the scoring of -- of his -- of his leveling decision. And DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION

a non-related victim. But the score, he only should have gotten a -- a notification consideration if the score on that -- on that instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even using the -- the notification considerations that were in place at the time, it looks to me like that's the -- that that was a mis-scoring of it.

That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but that shouldn't have triggered a -- a notification consideration which would have pushed him up to a level 2.

Q. That notification consideration of an unrelated victim, does that have any predictive value for future risk of of recidivism?

A. It actually does. Now, it's a small predictive value, and the idea is that -- the theoretical explanation for that is that somebody who is roaming far and wide to find child victims is more likely to re-offend than somebody who is living with a victim, and boundaries break down.

So yes, that -- you know, that is a -- that is a consideration, but -- but the way in which it appears to have been applied in this case gave it far more weight than it should have.

Page 41 Page 43 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 2 Q. Okay. The -- I sent you a couple of tool we were talking about before, the sex offender 3 3 exhibits in my prior communications with you. tool, revised 1999. So that -- that would have 4 Could you take a look at those? 4 been the one that was used to -- to screen and 5 A. I've got them here. 5 level Mr. Trick when he got released in 2004. 6 Q. Specifically, Exhibit K, which in our 6 Q. Okay. Looking at the first page of this 7 submissions here, is Exhibit 13. 7 document and the gray box that says summary on the 8 8 Do you have a copy of those, your Honor? right-hand side? 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't. 9 A. I see that. 10 10 MR. WALL: May I? Q. Down towards the bottom where it says, key 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. Thank you. And 11 findings? 12 I take it, too, you'll be filing these in our 12 A. Yes. 13 records center? 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That just means 14 MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor. 14 someone else has come on the line. Please 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 15 continue. 16 BY MR. WALL: 16 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. 17 17 Q. So I'm looking at what we have labeled Q. The second bullet point, the notification 18 here as Exhibit 13, and what you have as Exhibit K. 18 levels determined by the ESRC do not classify sex 19 It's a copy of the Washington State Institute for 19 offenders into groups that accurately reflect their 20 **Public Policies Sex Offender Sentencing?** 20 risk for re-offending. 21 A. Right. And what was the date on that one? 21 A. I see that. 22 Q. Let me take a look. The top, it's dated 22 Q. Would you, in your professional opinion, 23 December 2005. 23 would you agree with that conclusion? 24 A. Okay. Because there's two -- there's two 24 A. Yes, that's -- that's very consistent with 25 of those that you gave me. 25 what I said earlier in this testimony. Page 42 Page 44 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may break Q. And are you aware, did that conclusion 3 in here. Staff objects. This document is from 3 lead to any action on behalf of the Washington 4 2005. The objection is relevancy. 4 legislature or the Washington Institute for Public 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall? 5 Policy? 6 MR. WALL: If I may respond, this document 6 A. Yes. You know, the -- the later report 7 7 is an analysis of the 2004 tool that was in place recommended a change of the tool, and the 8 used to level Mr. Trick. I'm happy to lay the 8 legislature later told Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police Chiefs to come up with another 9 foundation with this witness. 9 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I would appreciate it, 10 tool, which they -- which they have. 11 thank you. Objection is overruled. 11 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'd move to admit 12 BY MR. WALL: 12 Exhibit 13. 13 Q. Dr. O'Connell, are you familiar with the 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And aside from the 14 Washington State Institute for Public Policy? 14 relevance objection, do you have any objection, 15 A. Yes, I am. It is the -- it's the 15 Mr. O'Connell? 16 organization that the legislator -- legislature 16 MR. O'CONNELL: No, still the same thing 17 created and the legislature asked it to do research 17 that it's from 2005, and we've heard testimony that 18 on issues of interest to the legislature. 18 there's a new system now. 19 Q. And have you had a chance to review this 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And because 20 document that we're looking at? 20 this was the -- it's detailing the basis of the 21 21 A. I have. initial classification of Mr. Trick, I'm going to 22 Q. It's dated December 2005. What tool is it 22 allow it. 23 analyzing? What sex offender leveling tool is it 23 (Exhibit 13 admitted into evidence.) 24 analyzing? 24 BY MR. WALL: 25 A. It is -- it is doing an analysis of the 25 Q. Let's go ahead and take a look at one of

Page 45 Page 47 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION us who aren't psychologists, who aren't as familiar 2 those later reports that you referenced. Would you 2 3 3 turn to what I believe you have as Exhibit L? For with all of this, when you apply the 2004 tool to Mr. Trick, the actuarial tool -- now, the actuarial 4 us following along here, it's Exhibit 14. 4 5 5 A. That's the January 2006 report? tool does have predictive value, is that right? 6 A. Yes. And in that second bullet point, it 6 Q. That's correct. 7 7 says it does have -- it does predict felony sexual A. Yes, I have that. 8 Q. And have you had a chance to review this 8 recidivism with moderate accuracy. 9 9 Q. And that's referring to the actuarial document? 10 A. I have. 10 portion of the tool? 11 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff has the 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. So I believe it was your testimony that 12 same objection for relevancy. 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall? 13 when you applied the actuarial tool, you scored him 14 MR. WALL: And again, I'm happy to lay the 14 as a 26? foundation. This is analyzing the same tool that 15. 15 A. As a 24, yes. 16 was used to level Mr. Trick. There is a new tool 16 Q. A 24. And in order to be at a level 2, he 17 in place, and the point is that -- that the new 17 would have needed to score a 46? tools, which more accurately predict recidivism, 18 18 A. That's correct. 19 19 have never been applied to Mr. Trick. Q. So that was using the actuarial 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So I'm confused. Does 20 instrument, and based on solely the actuarial 21 this address the new tool or the old tool? 21 portion of the test, your conclusion was that his 22 risk was what, high, moderate or low? 22 MR. WALL: This addresses the old tool 23 23 A. Low. that was used in 2004 to level Mr. Trick. Q. Low. Then the notification consideration 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. I'll allow 24 25 25 score, which this document says has little or no it. Page 48 Page 46 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. WALL: 2 accuracy in predicting recidivism, I believe it was 3 3 Q. Thank you, your Honor. Again, here, the your testimony that that's what would have made him 4 key findings in the summary box --4 a level 2? 5 A. Yes. 5 A. That's what I have -- have -- have 6 Q. -- I'd like to take a look at the first 6 assumed. That's the only explanation for how he 7 7 and second bullet points. It says here, the gets to a level 2. 8 notification consideration score has little or no 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may, I'd 9 accuracy in predicting sex offender recidivism. 9 just like to note that it is currently five until 10 10 Would you agree with that conclusion? 10:00 o'clock. 11 A. And that's consistent with what I said 11 MR. WALL: Yes, and I. --12 earlier and what the earlier report said. 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Dr. O'Connell, you 13 Q. And the second bullet point, the risk 13 were only going to be testifying -- I know you have 14 assessment score has little or no accuracy in 14 another patient at 10:00 o'clock, so are you 15 15 predicting sex offender recidivism, would you agree needing to leave the bridge line right now? 16 with that conclusion as well? 16 A. I'm going to need to leave here pretty A. Yes. I mean, in -- in -- to some extent, 17 17 quickly. 18 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, then let it's -- it's the extension of the earlier argument, 19 19 me just ask, because we haven't really finished if this -- the notification considerations are --20 20 with direct, and we still have cross-examination are clouding the -- the actuarial score. And as it and possible redirect, and I have some 21 turns out, they show in the -- in the analysis, in 21 clarification questions as well, if you would call 22 the body of the report, that as it turns out, as 22 23 you apply this tool and then follow people along, 23 back, I believe you're available at noon today? 24 it doesn't predict recidivism very accurately. 24 A. That's right. 25 Q. Okay. And to clarify this, for those of 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. If you would

	Page 49		Page 51
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	call back at noon, that would be much appreciated.	2	other patients.
3	A. Okay. I'll plan on doing that.	3	MR. WALL: Thank you.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, thank you.	4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we continue
5	MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I	5	with your case? Do you want to call your next
6	apologize. One thing before Mr sorry,	6	witness?
7	Dr. O'Connell leaves us. I understand that your	7	MR. WALL: Could we take a short recess
8	Honor has already ruled on the relevancy of his	8	before that?
9	testimony, and I think it's clear that he is	9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, absolutely.
10	providing relevant testimony.	10	MR. WALL: Thank you.
11	I would just like to note for the record	11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And we're on break.
12	that staff had no awareness that that	12	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
13	Dr. O'Connell was going to be testifying until two	13	(A short recess was then taken.)
14	days ago.	14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Is that true,	15	record. Mr. Wall, if you want to finish.
16	Mr. Wall?	16	MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. Five
17	MR. WALL. As soon as I identified Mr	17	Stars calls Mr. William Trick.
18	Dr. O'Connell, I I don't I don't know that it	18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Then we'll have you on
19	was two days ago, but as soon as I identified him,	19	the witness stand over here.
20	I updated sent a communication to your Honor and	20	Whereupon,
21	to counsel, updating my witness list.	21	WILLIAM TRICK,
22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Can I ask why you	22	Was duly sworn and testified as follows:
23	didn't ask for a continuance?	23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall, you can
24	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't	24	continue.
25	believe a continuance is needed. I think that we	25	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	Page 50		Page 52
1	-	1	•
1 2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 2	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my	I	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:
2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of	2	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your
2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my	2 3	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you
2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short	2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household
2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.	2 3 4 5	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?
2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm	2 3 4 5 6	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry? A. Sure. My experience in the household good
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare	2 3 4 5 6 7	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry? A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry? A. Sure. My experience in the household good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I — at the time, I was — I was working
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I — at the time, I was — I was working — I was working some construction and I decided to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I at the time, I was I was working I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I at the time, I was I was working I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I started getting really good at it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I at the time, I was I was working I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I at the time, I was I was working I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I started getting really good at it. I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I — at the time, I was — I was working — I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I — I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house — a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just — you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I at the time, I was I was working I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.  A. I'll do that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I — at the time, I was — I was working — I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I — I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house — a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just — you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.  A. I'll do that.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I at the time, I was I was working I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.  Q. Was there some when you say you got to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.  A. I'll do that.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I at the time, I was I was working I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.  Q. Was there some when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.  A. I'll do that.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony.  A. I'll do that. Bye-bye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I at the time, I was I was working I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.  Q. Was there some when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical skills that are involved in it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.  We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.  A. I'll do that.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony.  A. I'll do that. Bye-bye.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Bye-bye. It's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I — at the time, I was — I was working — I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I — I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house — a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just — you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.  Q. Was there some — when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical skills that are involved in it?  A. Sure. Keep in mind that when you go on a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.  A. I'll do that.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony.  A. I'll do that. Bye-bye.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Bye-bye. It's a little bit unorthodox, but we'll have him brought	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I — at the time, I was — I was working — I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I — I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house — a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just — you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.  Q. Was there some — when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical skills that are involved in it?  A. Sure. Keep in mind that when you go on a household goods moving gig, meaning in somebody's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION can go forward with the case. I don't think my objection is not based upon the relevancy of Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short time for notice. That's all.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm asking is, do you need additional time to prepare for your cross-examination?  MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your Honor.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then we'll  MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for the record.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.  We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.  A. I'll do that.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony.  A. I'll do that. Bye-bye.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Bye-bye. It's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:  Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your professional background a little BIT. Could you tell us about your experience in the household goods moving industry?  A. Sure. My experience in the household good moving industry started some time ago, almost a decade. I — at the time, I was — I was working — I was working some construction and I decided to get a side job moving. As I began to move, I — I started getting really good at it.  I started making it more often, meaning I was doing moving more than I was doing construction, whether it was a labor only move, a house — a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just — you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.  Q. Was there some — when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical skills that are involved in it?  A. Sure. Keep in mind that when you go on a

Page 53

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION Number one, you're a complete stranger walking into somebody's home, so you're moving their memories, not their stuff. Yes.

And -- and anybody I've ever worked with or for, we try to instill that in them, that there's -- there's in's and out's of moving things, you know. People's things are very valuable to them, both sentimentally sometimes and somebody moving into a brand new house, and they're proud of this brand new furniture they finally could afford, and you want to take that couch through this narrow, narrow doorway without busting it up.

So -- or -- or, you know, a lot of houses these days just aren't mover friendly, so I had to -- over the years, made it a point to really sharpen my skills, to be able to maneuver and know how to do that, when I have less experienced guys. So I kind of -- when I was asked to go on a move, I kind of took the leadership role and just kind of took over and -- and, you know, to a relief to an owner of a company, for somebody who could pack a truck and get in there and do customer service, handle money, handle the employees, keep the ball rolling.

Page 55

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION the in's and out's of moving. I -- I made it a point and a goal to say, you know, I'm going to do this for myself. This is a -- this would be a -- not only do I get a different boss every day, not only do I get to -- I believe that the crew makes the team, and I believe in teamwork.

I can't do a move by myself. Never have, never will. And it -- you know, getting to go travel all over the state doing different moves, getting to see people that live on the water. I've moved people with water planes out back, and people, when I walk into their house, they have pictures of Danny DeVito and Arnold Schwarzenegger. You know, there's a lot of different aspects. I said, man, how could you beat this? Not to mention it makes a great living.

## Q. This company, Five Stars Moving & Storage, what is your role in the company?

A. So my role, number one, obviously, aside from being a mover, it is a lot more managerial. So making sure the ship stays on queue and make sure that I'm in compliance with the Commission, making sure that our insurance is up to date, making sure that I have safe equipment for my

Page 54

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION

Keep in mind that I've never been on a moving gig where it wasn't by the hour. Nobody likes a slow mover, but at the same time, nobody likes a careless mover.

## Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job?

A. Sure. I -- I learned right away that as I was -- as I began moving and doing household good moving, I -- I caught on right away, and I -- I really -- you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were -- these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck.

So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now? What do we do now? What do we do now? So yes.

# Q. How did you get to the point where you wanted to start your own household goods moving company?

A. It was pretty simple. As -- I became, you know, really, really versed in moving and learning

Page 56

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION workers, making — doing in-home estimates with customers, communicating with customers, communicating with employees, which inevitably will take me out of the homes a lot more.

By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll -- as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me -- it will -- it will take me out of the homes significantly more.

# Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars?

A. Oh, absolutely. It's -- it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and -- and letting these guys know that -- making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's -- it's -- I'm going to take care of you and your family, and as long as you take care of me, and -- and, you know, when you go into somebody's house and you show the same enthusiasm that I do for each and every move.

That, you know, when somebody is excited or nervous or stressed, you know, you get in there and, like, oh, my gosh, thank God you guys are

Page 57

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION doing good. You know, that's a relief to people. That's our job, taking the uncertainty out of moving.

- Q. Okay. I'd like to talk about your conviction.
  - A. Okay.

- Q. You entered into a plea agreement, is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And how did you decide to do that, as opposed to contesting the charges?

A. Sure. It was early on when I was first arrested on July 7th of 1999. I found myself in the Kitsap County Jail. I was in the regular population where everybody is waiting, talking to attorneys and prosecutors, and things like that.

And they made -- they made me aware that -- without asking me if I was guilty or innocent, they asked -- they let me know, they said, well, you know, if you take this to trial, you're going to put two little girls on the stand and, you know, I -- I had to really look at myself and say, is that really what I want to do? And because I knew I was guilty. I was just not talking about it.

Page 59

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION I couldn't undo what I did, and I told them that I would -- you know, I promised them that I would do what I could to learn and -- you know, do treatment to understand what -- what the thoughts and the feelings and behaviors that led to this offense behavior. And -- and then, you know, I turned back around, and the judge sentenced me.

Q. Let's talk a little bit about your rehabilitation. What -- what rehabilitation program or programs did you undergo when you were incarcerated?

A. Okay. There was about -- I -- the program that was the sex offender treatment program at Twin Rivers in Monroe was the one I did about 18 months prior to my release, and it was a very intensive five days a week, three hours a day, lay it all out on the table.

We had groups, and it went over cognitive thinking, cognitive behavior. Basically, what it did was it taught me a lot about myself and the thoughts, feelings and behavior that I experienced, and -- and the little lies I told myself to break down a barrier to be able to offend against a child.

Page 58

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION

And the fact that these little girls have already been poked and prodded and asked questions that were severely uncomfortable, I wasn't going to add to it. So at that point, I told my attorney, I said, okay, let's talk about, you know, the plea agreement.

Q. And I understand that this is a difficult thing to talk about, but if you would, I think it's important. Could you tell us about the sentencing hearing?

A. Sure. It's a -- it's a day I'll never forget, ever. Number one, I walked into the courtroom chained to about 20 other men with various crimes, and the -- I saw -- I saw the -- the mother and father of -- of my victims, and you know, when the -- when the judge came up and asked me if I had anything to say, and I turned around and I addressed the parents, and I said to them, you know, I -- I can't -- I can't undo what I did. I can't. Because believe me, I would.

And keeping in mind that at the time, before pre-treatment, obviously, the impact that I had on those — on those children, I had no idea. But I couldn't turn back the clocks. I couldn't —

Page 60

#### WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION

And -- and they gave me quite a few tools that I can use to not only learn more about myself, but also, to -- to not re-offend. Things that I could use both in prison and outside that I can apply to my every day life.

Q. Do you have any other activities or involvements that you were -- while you were in prison?

A. Yes, sir. So in my opinion, there are two types of -- there are two types of prisoners. You have the guy that -- whether it's a sex offense, a murder, a burglary, he stole something, he gets inside and he -- he keeps stealing, he keeps fighting, he gambles, he tatts himself up, and things like that. And eventually, when he gets out, he's just a hardened criminal. That's all he was.

And then you've got the guy that takes advantage of every program the Department of Correction had to offer. Now, I didn't take advantage of every single program, that's next to impossible, but while I was in there, I earned two degrees. I facilitated an Alternative to Violence program as well as a Non-Violent Communication

Page 61

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION program. These classes were very important to me, because it allowed me to open up to people and learn how to listen and to communicate to people in a way that I'm heard, and that's what I did.

I figured that the only and best advantage that I would have of getting out and having to start over would be to educated and try to keep up with the times. Whereas, when you step foot in prison, time stops. Nothing ever changes. You're in the same routine every day. Breakfast, lunch, dinner. They tell you when you go outside. They tell you when you go to the gym.

Outside just keeps evolving, so I figured my best chance would be to get as educated as I could. So between community college, Ohio University, and some programs that they offered within the prison system, I took, and they definitely helped me when I got out.

#### Q. What motivated you to do all of that?

A. I -- I -- it was obvious the decisions that I had made -- this -- this decision that got me put in prison, which was horrible, just unrelenting horrible, that the impact that had on so many people, I didn't ever want to, you know,

Page 63

## WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION to those individuals?

A. No.

## Q. Tell me whether you felt remorse for that, or the extent to which you felt remorse?

A. Oh, I'll try to give you the shorter answer, but it -- the remorse, regret, the feelings I had, especially after treatment, once I truly realized and understood what I put those little girls through, both during the offense and after the offense -- now that I have a daughter of my own, who will be two next month, I -- I couldn't imagine -- I just couldn't imagine.

I try putting myself in those parents' shoes. I try having empathy, and I -- I -- it was just unbearable. I -- I -- it would have put every class I ever took to the test to allow authorities to handle that kind of situation, but it just -- I couldn't -- I couldn't believe how many people I affected by my actions and how many people I hurt, and I ensured, and still to this day ensure that that's not going to happen again.

Q. Turning to the UTC application in this case, the application for household goods moving permit, can you talk about the background in

Page 62

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION have to put anybody through that again, and I was going to do whatever I could to not do that, to change myself.

I had five years, and I mapped out my five years once I started the programs, and I said, okay, I'm going to do this, this, this, this, then this. I mapped it out to where I was in class the day before I was released from prison, and which -- and then, of course, once I was released, I just put all those to the test, and -- yes.

Q. So just so I understand the factual background, obviously, this was a serious crime, and it involved two counts of child molestation?

A. Yes.

Q. That arose out of a single incident, is that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. And you hadn't had any prior contact with the victims before that?

A. No, I didn't.

Q. The contact didn't involve the use of threats?

A. No, it didn't.

Q. Were you a teacher or a coach with regard

Page 64

## WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION preparing that application?

A. Sure. So I was very familiar with the application, as I was part of the application process with another moving company, B&Z Moving. So I was very aware of how in-depth they were with the application, and to the best of my ability, did not try to deceive or -- in the application, as I knew that -- you know, they -- they -- they do their homework once you turn that in.

So from getting in sync with all the proper government agencies, like Labor & Industries, Unemployment, this and that, we paid a considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck -- not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting everything set up, the payroll accounts.

It was not only a considerable amount of money, but time, effort and stress, just trying to get all my ducks lined up so that I can present this to the UTC knowing that, you know, they're going to -- they're going to look at this.

Q. And did you personally handle the preparation of the application?

Page 65 Page 67 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. For the most part, ves. I did have a 2 MR. WALL: This is SP-1. This is the UTC 3 little help from Tom Cook. 3 staff's exhibit. I'm sorry. This is mislabeled Q. Was there some -- any part of the here. What I'm looking for is the SP-2. I'm 4 4 application that you were confused by or --5 5 sorry. A. There was. And there's actually a couple 6 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So you're referring to 7 that -- some I just got clarification on, like 7 the notice of intent to deny application --8 insurance things. And there was a specific 8 MR. WALL: Correct 9 question that I did call the UTC. It says right on 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: -- that was sent out? 10 the front page of the application, the cover page, 10 MR. WALL: Correct. where it shows everything you'll be having to have. 11 11 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may, I So that if you have any questions, call the little 12 12 believe Mr. Wall has indicated Exhibit SP-2? 13 -- the number on there, and questions could be MR. WALL: SP-2. 13 14 answered. 14 MR. O'CONNELL: And that that is on 15 So I did that, and I talked to a man named 15 staff's exhibit list listed as order 01 in docket 16 Michael, I believe was his name, and I asked him --16 TV 130259. 17 there was a question on the application that 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 18 stated, have you or anybody on this named 18 19 application, named or whatever, said -- violated 19 BY MR. WALL: 20 state law or Commission rules? And I wasn't sure 20 Q. Take a -- take a minute to look over that. 21 what they meant, so I -- I already knew that, you 21 A. Okay. I'm very familiar. 22 know, they knew about my prior conviction. 22 Q. All right. And what -- what is that 23 And so I wanted to -- I called the 23 document? 24 Commission, I said, well, hey, does this mean, 24 A. This is a document for the initial order 25 like, traffic violations, things like that? I 25 to intent to deny our application -- our household Page 66 Page 68 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 said -- and I specifically told them, I said, I goods permit application for B&Z Moving LLC. have a reckless driving, is that -- does that 3 Q. What was the -- did you attend the hearing 4 count? And he specifically said, no, this question 4 here at the UTC? 5 pertains to laws based around Commission rules. 5 A. I did. 6 And I said, for example -- and he said, 6 Q. What was the outcome of that hearing? 7 have you tried advertising Five Stars Moving & 7 A. The outcome of that hearing, at the -- at 8 Storage without a household goods permit? And I 8 the end of the hearing, the judge said -said, okay, no, I have not. And he goes, well, put JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry, I have to 9 9 no on the application, then. So I did. 10 10 stop you for a second. What hearing are you 11 Q. When you say, they knew about your prior 11 referring to? 12 conviction, who is they? 12 MR. WALL: There was a prior application 13 A. The folks involved in the last hearing. 13 under a company called B&Z Moving. 14 So the judge, Ms. Wallace, Ms. -- Rayne Pearson, JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. 14 15 those -- the Commission. The Commission that does MR. WALL: And Mr. Trick was a part of 15 16 the evaluating and the investigating. 16 that company and was -- attended the hearing for 17 Q. When you say the prior application, what 17 that application. 18 company was that? 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And how is that 19 A. B&Z Moving. 19 relevant to the current denial? 20 Q. And so let's go ahead and talk about that 20 MR. WALL: I believe that the staff 21 for a minute. 21 intends to -- well, in counsel's opening statement, 22 A. Okav. 22 he said that Mr. Trick had a history of not being 23 Q. Let's see here. This is -- I'd like to 23 forthcoming with the UTC. He's going to question hand you an exhibit, if I could. 24 24 him about that. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Which exhibit is this? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Continue, then.

25

25

Page 69 Page 71 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 2 Thank you. 2 social setting and having a few drinks --3 A. -- I always have a designated driver. You 3 BY MR. WALL: Q. I was asking, what was the outcome of that 4 know, there's -- there's no debate. 4 5 Q. If I may, SP-1 -- this is Staff Exhibit 5 hearing? SP-1. Are you familiar with that document? 6 A. The outcome of that hearing -- the outcome 6 7 7 of that hearing was that the judge ordered Zack A. Lam. Gripp to go ahead and reapply, and it -- it wasn't 8 8 Q. And what is that document? 9 stated at the hearing, but in the paperwork, it 9 A. This is the intent to deny Five Stars 10 said that I was to have no -- nothing -- that the 10 Moving & Storage LLC. 11 application -- that the permit was approved, but Q. And that document makes reference to a 11 12 under the condition that I had no -- nothing to do 12 company Better Than The Rest? A. Yes. 13 with the business at all. 13 14 Q. So Mr. Gripp was allowed to proceed with 14 Q. Can you tell us what is the company Better 15 the business, but you didn't participate? 15 Than The Rest? Are you familiar with it? 16 A. That's correct. I was told not to, so I 16 A. I am. 17 don't have much choice. 17 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I apologize. Q. So at that point, what did you do? 18 Can I butt in just for a moment? I'm a little 18 A. Well, I -- being that I couldn't work with confused about what we're looking at. Mr. Wall, 19 19 20 him, I still had to have an income, and so I 20 you stated this was SP-1. 21 continued doing labor only moves. I continued 21 MR. WALL: Correct. 22 working with different moving companies, doing full 22 MR. O'CONNELL: But Mr. Trick has 23 service moves, and I also did some construction, 23 testified that it's a notice of intent to deny. So and things like that, to supplement my income. 24 24 I just want to make sure we're looking at the right 25 Q. Okay. I want to go back and talk about --25 thina. Page 70 Page 72 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 you said you had a reckless driving conviction? 2 MR. WALL: Let's take a look. 3 3 A. That's correct. A. It does. Q. Is that -- do you have any other 4 MR. WALL: You're right. Maybe I do have 4 5 the wrong document here. What I'm looking for is 5 convictions? We've talked about this sex offense 6 the staff memorandum. The wrong page. This is 6 and reckless driving. Do you have any other 7 7 convictions? SP-1. 8 A. No. I don't. 8 A. Oh. 9 Q. Okay. What -- what happened with the 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Now, I'm 10 confused. What memorandum are you talking about? 10 reckless driving? 11 A. So about -- I don't know, it's -- it's 11 MR. WALL: I'm sorry. This is SP-1. This is the staff exhibit, which is a memorandum written 12 almost three years ago, because it drops off here 12 13 in November, but I was out bowling with some 13 by the staff, which was the staff's recommendation. 14 friends, and we had a few drinks, and I thought 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I -- I don't think it 15 that I had waited long enough after we were done to 15 is. I think it's Five Stars Moving's application. 16 drive home, and I got pulled over, and I -- the end 16 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe I 17 result of that was a reckless driving. 17 need to make some clarification about the numbering Q. What have you done, if anything, since 18 18 of staff's exhibits. 19 that time with regard to alcohol consumption? 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. 20 A. I don't even take the chance anymore. I 20 MR. O'CONNELL: The first three exhibits don't -- I don't really even drink anymore. I'll are labeled number 1, 2 and 3. Those are the 21 21 have wine with dinner occasionally, if my wife and application, the notice of intent to deny, and the 22 22 23 I go out to eat, but it's only one of us. So if I 23 request for a hearing that your Honor has already 24 -- if I have a glass of wine, she drives home. 24 accepted into the record. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. 25 Q. So with regard to driving, if you are in a 25

Page 73

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. O'CONNELL: And those are already in the record.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.

MR. O'CONNELL: Then staff started renumbering its exhibits and had an S and a P in front of them for -- the initials stands for Ms. Paul.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And the tabs don't, so they continue to use the 1 through --

MR. O'CONNELL: Right. So that's, I believe, where the confusion is coming in, and I apologize.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.

MR. WALL: Okay. And I apologize if I'm confused.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So this is a memorandum dated February 26, 2015.

MR. WALL: That's what I'm trying to get at. I apologize.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Got you. Got you. BY MR. WALL:

Q. Have you had a chance to review that document?

Page 75

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION this -- the name was just too long, and we came to that conclusion when we went to go get the -- we were going to get the truck wrapped, and they said, this is going to cost you a fortune. Do you guys want to reconsider the name? So B for Bill and Z for Zack and an ampersand in the middle, and that's what we did. And I never had any kind of correspondence with this company. I couldn't get ahold of them, or anything. So I thought it was just gone.

## Q. So the memorandum makes reference to a Google Plus listing?

A. Right, which I had no knowledge of. In fact, I mentioned it to you, and I had written several e-mails to Google Plus. And then you also sent an e-mail and informed me that -- that they had, on the -- on the page, when you bring it up now, it says that this company no longer exists or is closed permanently.

#### Q. Okay. So --

MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I'm going to have to object. I think Mr. Trick has made clear that he doesn't have any personal knowledge about entering -- any interactions with Google Plus.

Page 74

rage /4

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have.

## Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document.

A. Sure. And until -- until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still.

## Q. Back up a second, though, because what is -- what is Better Than The Rest?

A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we — a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site.

So we jumped, and of course, they -- they made us this really -- it was horrible. It was a horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web site, and it had nothing that we had wanted on it, other than a few things I wanted phrased out for him, and he even did that wrong. So quickly -- it was a monthly charge, so after one month, we cut it off.

Zack and I got together and said, hey,

Page 76

#### **WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION**

MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing.

A. Right. I -- and that is what I said. I -- I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection?

MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence.

Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up. E-mails and correspondence, what you are you talking about?

MR. O'CONNELL: Mr. Trick has testified that his counsel contacted Google Plus.

23 A. As did I.

MR. O'CONNELL: And Mr. Trick is testifying as to the communications between

Page 79 Page 77 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 2 Mr. Wall and Google Plus. 2 want to ask you about the King County web site 3 A. I'm confused. 3 where it has your registration details. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't think he is. 4 A. Sure. 4 5 5 Q. Let me just -- Mr. O'Connell, I certainly I honestly -- I -- what I'm hearing Mr. Trick say, and you can correct me if I'm wrong, he's just 6 6 welcome your input in navigating through this. 7 informing the Commission that he had contacted an 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I believe that's SP-4. 8 attorney to take the web site down. And his 8 is that correct, Mr. O'Connell? 9 attorney is actually the one that contacted Google 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, your Honor. Plus. Is that correct, Mr. Wall? 10 10 A. Yes, I've got it right here. 11 MR. WALL: That's correct. BY MR. WALL: 11 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. I think 12 Q. Okay. SP-4, can you tell us, what is that 13 document? we're on the same page. 13 14 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 14 A. Yes, this document is the -- is a copy of 15 BY MR. WALL: 15 the page of the King County Sheriff's sex offenders 16 Q. Mr. Trick, did you ever make any effort 16 site that pops up when you type my name in. with regard to Google Plus to remove the listing? Q. And that information -- that page -- that 17 17 18 A. I did. I sent several e-mails, and I 18 page says -- has some information about coaching searched and searched, and there was, like -- no 19 and volunteering in day cares and child overseas 19 20 matter what phone number they give, it never led me 20 services. Could you read that for us? 21 to anybody that did any good. 21 A. Sure. It says, William Trick sexually 22 Q. Were those efforts successful? 22 assaulted two seven-year-old females. Trick was 23 23 acquainted with the victim's mother through their A. No. 24 Q. Did you take any other action or ask 24 employment. Trick had a history of volunteering at 25 25 anyone else to take any action with regard to daycares and children overseas services. He had Page 78 Page 80 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 Google Plus? 2 also served as a soccer and wrestling coach. If 3 A. Yes, I made you aware that I couldn't get 3 you have any questions or concerns, please contact 4 this down, and you let me know that you had some 4 the King County Sheriff's Office registered sex 5 correspondence and some e-mails with them, and that 5 offender unit at, and then the phone number. they sent you something back, or -- or when you go 6 6 Q. Okay. So I want to ask you about that. 7 7 look at it now, it clearly states that the business Soccer coach, were you ever a soccer coach? 8 is closed permanently, that there's no -- there's 8 A. Never a soccer coach. 9 Q. What involvement, if any, did you have nothing there. 9 10 Q. Okay. Okay. And to the best of your 10 with soccer? 11 knowledge, is that what the Google Plus listing 11 A. From -- as long as I can remember, I've 12 currently reflects with regard to Better Than The 12 always played soccer, whether it was junior high, 13 13 high school, whatnot. I refereed soccer as a high 14 A. Yes, you can look at it now, and it says 14 school person and junior high person. We were 15 that that business is permanently closed. 15 offered -- they had a recreational league called 16 Q. Just to clarify -- I apologize if I'm 16 the Beaver Creek Soccer Association out where I'm 17 beating a dead horse here, but with regard to 17 from in Ohio, and for a couple bucks, you could Better Than The Rest, after you decided to change referee games, and that's -- that's the extent of 18 18 19 the name, did you have any further business 19 that. 20 operations under the name Better Than The Rest? 20 Q. When you say for a couple bucks, do you 21 A. No, we did not. We didn't even have a mean you had to pay to referee games? 21 22 bank account with that name. That's how quickly we A. No, no, I got three or four bucks a game. 22 23 got rid of it. 23 Q. All right. And it also said something 24 Q. I want to ask you about -- and I hope I 24 about a wrestling coach. What involvement did you don't fall into the same numbering problem, but I 25 25 ever have in wrestling?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 81

#### **WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION**

A. Okay. So again, I was a pretty avid wrestler from about sixth, seventh grade, all the way up. Even in my naval career, I wrestled All Navy and All American Armed Forces.

As far as coaching goes, the only coaching I ever did was I kind of helped the junior -- when I was -- when I was in high school, I helped as a junior high coach that -- you know, the junior high guys, because we practiced at two different times, and sometimes two different places. So I would assist there and help. As far as after high school, I had no involvement in any type of wrestling coaching.

Q. After your conviction in 1999, did you have any involvement in soccer or wrestling coaching or anything?

A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. There's a statement there about volunteering in a daycare. Have you ever volunteered in any daycare?

A. I've never volunteered at any daycare ever.

Q. There's something about children's overseas services. Do you have any idea where the

Page 83 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION

of time, and you pay a little bit of money, and they do this, whether it's a bike riding tour or a

scuba diving tour.

So -- but they also had a program called -- it was kind of -- you -- you sign up and you get to -- a local family may get to meet -- meet a military person for -- for a couple days while they're there, and the way it worked is you sign up, you apply, and you give them -- when you get out onto the pier, when you pull in to port, there's a big billboard, and you pull out the card. that you find your -- the letter to your last name, and you pull it out, and if a family left their contact information, you try to contact them, and they come and get you and show you the town.

So I did that when I was in Hong Kong and Singapore, and one -- in one -- in Singapore, there was never anything there. But in Hong Kong, I did get some correspondence, but I was never able to get ahold of them, and so nothing ever happened. As far as the extent of any kind of interaction with anybody overseas, other than that, it was all military I hung out with.

Q. So if I understand your testimony, you

Page 82

#### WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea?

A. I have -- I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I --

Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy?

A. Sure. This -- this was something that, you know, when -- when we were overseas -- the only time I was overseas -- number one, I mean, it claims that I was -- what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time. number one.

Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia, things like that, was a program that MWR put on through the Navy. It's called -- it stands for Morale Recreation and Welfare, and it was the opportunity that they provided sailors -- and they do this for all branches of the military -- to be able to see different parts of the country that they may not otherwise see in that short a period

Page 84

### **WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION** volunteered for this cultural exchange program?

A. Yes.

Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with any families?

A. No. No.

Q. Okay. And just so that the record's clear, what years were you in the Navy?

A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to July 7th. 1999.

Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or asked anyone to take any steps with regard to the information on the King County web site?

A. I did. I called the recorder's office or -- you know, first, I called the King County Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the information on the web site is wrong, and it clearly states -- and it's not shown on here, but if you have a discrepancy with what's written, you can call, and they will do an investigation, which takes a lengthy period of time due to -- basically, they're going to do an investigation and look through every piece of paper that you ever had in your case to make sure it doesn't say these things

Page 85

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION or, you know, any proof.

So mine's under investigation, but I've heard nothing. And as much as I've tried to figure it out, they -- they haven't said anything yet.

- Q. Okay. I'd like to talk a little bit about your current support network.
  - A. Okay.

Q. Could you tell us about your relationship with your wife?

A. Sure. My wife, Ashley, we've been together since 2011. She's an amazing woman. I love her very much, an incredible person to talk to, very supportive. She -- her and I are great parents together. We're a great team. She knows pretty much everything about me -- I mean, not to say everything, but quite a bit about me, and we're on the same page with a lot of things, and she's just a great person.

- Q. Could you tell us about your kids, Damien and Mackenzie?
- A. Sure. Damien, who is my stepson, is six. He'll be seven in the October, and I have a daughter with Ashley, who will be two on July 23rd. Just amazing kids. Every -- every -- parenting is

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION trying to further my career, to give them every possible opportunity to succeed in a world that is very tough, sometimes, to -- to make it in. So I want to give them the best chance.

## Q. Could you talk about your relationship with your family?

A. Yes. So my mom and dad, who still currently live in Ohio --

MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, your Honor. I'm not sure of the relevance of this line of questioning.

MR. WALL: Your Honor, the central issue in this proceeding is the extent to which Mr. Trick is a risk to the public, and we've heard testimony today that stability of family relationships and support network are important factors in mitigating the risk of recidivism, and I am asking Mr. Trick about his support networks.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. You'll have a chance to obviously cross-examine Mr. Trick and -- and -- about these social networks. Please go ahead.

A. Okay. So my mom and dad, and I have two brothers that also live in -- live in Ohio. My mom

Page 86

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION something I take very serious, and to get the reaction, whether I'm picking them up from daycare, or whatever it is, it's always running to me.

And when we get home, we kind of have a routine. We get up in the morning. We -- we do breakfast. We pick out clothes. It's fun watching my daughter doing that. I hold outfits up, and she -- you know, she gets to pick her own clothes. We get ready for school. We understand the importance of school, and I instill that in my kids, the importance of safety while you're at school.

So they go to school, and then they come home, and we -- we talk about their day. We play. We do homework, if it's necessary. We pack our lunches the night before. Both kids help me cook dinner, being that my wife -- she -- she gets off work -- she works at Children's Hospital, so she gets off a little later. So, you know, we handle the -- we hold down the house until she gets home, and then include her in dinner, and we all sit down together.

We're very, very family oriented. My kids are very important to me, and the success of my kids are very important to me. Hence, why I'm

Page 88

Page 87

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION and dad, while they obviously don't condone or agree with what I've done, they have held me accountable 110 percent of the way, but also stood strong to let me know that they're still my mom and dad and they're always there.

They -- they have never -- we corresponded while I was inside prison, as well as my brothers, to let me know that I have some support out here. They would send me, you know, pictures of family functions, you know, let me know that people miss me.

And they have been a great support, and also, played a big part in my rehabilitation, as talking to them and giving them full disclosures as to what I did, why I did it, and how my cycle in offending affects my lifestyle and how I can protect myself and others from myself. You know, so -- so they have just been an amazing support group. They're awesome.

Q. The last question I would like to ask you about is your business partner, Tom Cook and his role in your life?

A. Sure. Tom Cook has been around all my life. Tom Cook is my mom's brother. Tom Cook -- I

Page 89

WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION have a lot of gratitude towards that man. Always wanted to be like him. He's an incredible businessman. He's my mentor. He's one of my best friends. He just -- he gave me a chance. I asked him, obviously, months ago, before I submitted an application or started writing a business plan, I said, hey, I -- I want a moving company. I want to do this.

And he goes, okay. He goes, we have nothing to talk about without a business plan. So he's very business right away, right off the get. And he taught me a lot of things along the way about what it takes to run a successful business. And he -- he financially backed me through all this, and I've thanked him several times for believing in me.

This is something I'm passionate about. It's not just a job. It's not just a career. It's my life. It's -- it's what's going to provide for me and my family. And he -- he believed in that, and he trusts me. And believe me, he and I have had lengthy conversations about this very thing, and he -- he is just -- his part in the business is -- is going to be a lot of admin.

Page 91

#### WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION

- A. No.
- Q. You worked with their mother, though, right?
- A. I did.
  - Q. Did you use threats to trick these girls?
- A. No. I didn't.
  - Q. So this was an isolated incident?

A. Isolated, you mean -- I'm not -- I'm not sure what you mean by it's an isolated incident. Like, it was a one time thing? Was it a -- what do you mean?

- Q. Was it a one-time incident?
- A. Yes. ves.
  - Q. It was just a short time that you had known these girls?
    - A. Right. That night.
  - Q. How did you end up in bed with these girls?

A. Sure. As the evening progressed -- this is more or less -- I'm not sure if you know the background of the evening, but it was -- it was a party I was invited to by the mother, and there was probably 50, 60, 70 people there. I'm note sure. I mean, there was a lot.

Page 90

Page 92

# WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he -- his -- his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He -- he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just -- I'm very grateful.

MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
Mr. O'Connell.

CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. O'CONNELL:

Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven-year-old girls, do you?

- A. I don't.
- Q. Did you groom these girls?
- A. No. I did not.
  - Q. Did you abuse them long term?
- 24 A. No
  - Q. Did you know these girls very long?

WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION

And as the night progressed -- you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to -- you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving.

I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know -- there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning.

So I laid down, and it wasn't very long that her daughters, who I was introduced to earlier in the night, came in and -- at first, we were talking. They were sitting on the edge of the bed. Then they got -- one laid down, and the other one laid down on the other side of me, and it was -- and I didn't really -- we didn't talk about a lot,

Page 93 Page 95 1 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION** 2 and I -- that's when I did it. 2 A. I don't know if this is that one, but --3 3 Q. All right. It's your testimony that some Q. So the parents trusted you to be in their 4 of the information on this web site is incorrect, 4 home? 5 5 right? A. They did. 6 Q. Did you mistake these girls for your A. It is. 6 7 7 Q. When is the last time you visited this web girlfriend? 8 8 A. No, I didn't. 9 Q. Okay. So you're required to register on a 9 A. I visited this web page when I got this information from the Commission and this paperwork. regular basis with the sheriff's office, is that 10 10 I didn't -- I had -- so there's different ways that 11 11 correct? 12 I can look myself up. So if I just Google myself, 12 A. Yes. you can actually -- it doesn't take you to the King 13 13 Q. And you do that, correct? 14 County web site. It takes you to wherever Google, 14 A. I -- I do -- when you say a regular basis, 15 you know, may show my picture. I'm assuming you mean whenever I switch my location 15 16 So I had never seen this before. So I had of my house. That's the only time I register. 16 17 actually not been on the King County Sheriff's web 17 Q. Okay. Can I direct your attention to what site until they -- until they brought it up, and I 18 18 Mr. Wall showed you as SP-4? It's the King County looked at it, and that's when I told my attorney, I 19 19 Sheriff's office web site? 20 said, hey -- and I immediately called a detective 20 A. Sure. 21 who used to check up on me, and say, hey, how do I 21 MR. WALL: Do you have another copy, so I 22 fix this. 22 could follow along? Q. Let me get back do that. Let me back up 23 23 MR. O'CONNELL: You can have my copy. just a second. So do you remember more 24 24 A. Let me flip through this here. I'm very specifically when the first time you noticed 25 25 familiar. You can go ahead and ask your question, Page 94 Page 96 1 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION** 2 though. 2 something was incorrect on this web site? 3 3 BY MR. O'CONNELL: A. Yes. It was when I got the paperwork from 4 4 Q. Okay. You're aware that the sheriff's the Commission. 5 5 office keeps is an on-line database of registered Q. Was that -sex offenders, right? 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry. What 6 7 7 paperwork are you referring to? A. Oh, yes. 8 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of 8 A. The intent -- the intent to deny, or --9 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of you, right? 10 A. Yes, it is. Yes. 10 intent to deny the permit? 11 Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? 11 A. Right. Right. 12 A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. 13 was. I've had several taken, so -- but I don't 13 A. And it didn't show this. It just had a 14 14 paragraph stating that I -- like, this paragraph. remember --JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just 15 Q. When was the most recent one that you had 15 16 16 wondering what paperwork you meant that you had taken? 17 17 received from the Commission. A. The most recent one was actually not too long ago. It was -- there was a detective that 18 A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the 18 comes to the house about every -- twice a year to 19 paperwork for the intent to demy. It was part of 19 20 make sure that I live where I say I live, and it's 20 the background part, which you have somewhere in up to them to update photos, and things like that, 21 21 here. I saw it when we were looking before. so that the public has a clear picture of what I 22 22 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 23 23 Q. So can you give me a ballpark date on the look like now. And so he took one in front of my 24 house just a couple months ago. 24 first time you noticed something was incorrect? 25 Q. Okay. So --25 A. What is this, June? So they -- sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 97

WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION Let's just look at the date on this, because I got it thereafter. I would say close to the end of

- Q. Okay. So you hadn't looked at the King County Sheriff's Office's web site until then?
  - A. That's correct. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

February.

Q. Okay. And when did you call to correct the inconsistencies?

A. Soon after. Well, when I consulted with the attorney, with my attorney, I -- you know, we were going over a lot of things, and I -- I may have waited, I don't know, three weeks, a month, because he asked about it. And when I brought it to his attention and said, what do we need to do, and that's when I got on the ball and started, like, hey, obviously, you guys found something you didn't like in there, and I want to make sure it's at least accurate.

So when I called them, they told me that the process could take up to four months or -three to four months for the investigation. It depends on how backlogged they are. It's not a high priority is basically what she told me.

Q. Did you call in June?

WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION and I recently were going to buy a house, and I wondered what the process was, so that when we

Page 99

Page 100

moved into a new neighborhood, I wouldn't have immediate fears before we even got in debt.

- Q. So Mr. Trick, when did you apply to have your sex offender level changed?
- A. I -- I talked to the detective, and the detective -- they told me that they're currently not lowering anybody's level due to training and a procedure that they're going through, and things like that. So I had no opportunity.
- Q. Have you filled out any form?

A. There is no form. There's -- there's a -it's something that they take you through, and -and whatnot, which now, I'm going to do through Dr. O'Connell. I'm going to -- he -- he actually sits with the same people I talk to at the End of Sentence Review Committee to lower the levels.

- Q. So at this time, you haven't applied to have your sex offender level reduced?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. When did you get married to Ms. Brandy Cunningham?
  - A. Brandy Barnes. Brandy Cunningham is her

Page 98

#### **WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION**

A. No. I called in -- I called at the end of April-ish. Ish. That's rough. It could have been May, April, but somewhere in there.

#### Q. And so have you applied to have your sex offender level changed?

A. So yes and no. So it's not something that they just come to me with and say, hey, do you want your level changed? It's something that I had been talking to a detective that comes to my house, and I said, hey, what do I need to do about getting my level lowered? Because the thing that brought this up -- because before, I really didn't -- I didn't look at it that much.

Okay. I'm a level 2 registered sex offender, but up to that point, it really hadn't affected me much, other than, yes, it's an inconvenience when I get fliers put out so the surrounding neighborhood knows. My fear isn't that they know. My fear is that they retaliate. So I have children. So if you've ever read any of the horror stories that happen; people's houses get burned down, people have drive-by shootings. I don't want my kids to be a victim of that.

So I started really inquiring when my wife

WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION

name now. She got remarried. I got married on December 1st of 2007.

Q. And she had minor children already when you married her, correct?

A. She did. She had a four and six old, or a five and seven. I'm not exactly sure how old they were.

Q. When did you first meet Ms. Brandy **Cunningham -- Brandy Barnes?** 

A. I met her, actually, on a -- on a construction job.

Q. And when was that job?

A. Late 2005.

Q. Now, did you put your reckless driving conviction on the application?

A. I did not.

Q. Did you put your 1999 conviction for child molestation on the application?

A. No, I did not.

Q. You testified that you called and talked to staff about the application?

A. I did.

Q. And you stated that you talked to someone named Michael?

Page 103 Page 101 1 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 A. I did. 2 A. Sure. So initially, I worked for Adams 3 Q. Could it have been a person named Megan 3 first. That's when I met Alex White, who is the that you actually spoke to? 4 4 owner of A-Ray's Moving Solutions. He branched out 5 and got his own company roughly -- I -- I wouldn't 5 A. It was a man both times. Q. And you're sure? 6 be able to give you an accurate date. 6 7 7 I -- it's just something I don't think A. I'm positive. Q. Okay. I have one more question I want to 8 8 about. I worked for them for a significant amount ask you about. On the application, you stated you 9 9 of time. Especially A-Ray's, not as much Adams. had nine years of moving experience? 10 But I mean, if you want to guess, I will. I would 10 11 A. Roughly, eight, nine years. I refer to it 11 say between 2007 and present. 12 as nearly a decade, so to be more specific. 12 Q. And you don't recall the dates for the 13 Q. Who have you worked for in the household 13 other companies either, I'm guessing? good moving industry? 14 A. Those are -- those are kind of individual. 14 15 A. I have moved with a couple labor only 15 So I -- whenever he needed help, he'd say, hey, companies. Big Foot Moving --Billy, are you available to work? And I would say 16 16 Q. Mr. Trick -yes or no. So it was very spotty. It could be one 17 17 A. Yes. day a month. It could be four days a month. It 18 18 19 Q. Could you slow down, please, for the 19 could be no days. Sometimes, I have to tell these 20 stenographer? 20 guys that I am working six or seven days a week. 21 21 Currently, I work seven days a week between A. Okay. Companies like Big Foot Moving, I Heart Moving, Adams Moving & Delivery, A-Ray's 22 22 construction and moving. 23 Moving Solutions. 23 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't have 24 24 Q. And do you know, do those companies any more questions. 25 conduct background checks on their employees? 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Do you Page 104 Page 102 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION** 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 2 A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving have any redirect? 3 3 MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 4 both know about the past. 4 5 Q. But you don't know whether they conducted 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 a background check? 6 BY MR. WALL: 7 7 MR. WALL: Asked and answered. Q. Counsel asked you about the work that 8 you've done for other moving companies? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and 8 9 have the witness answer that one. 9 10 A. I don't know. I did fill out an 10 Q. Have you also done work on your own? 11 application with all my information, so if they did 11 A. I have, where I have done labor only 12 do a background check, I don't know. 12 moves, where I -- I don't supply the truck. I just 13 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 13 supply the labor. So I go in and basically load 14 Q. When did you work for these companies? 14 their truck up, and then we -- we go over to the 15 A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 -- '6, '5, '6. drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new 15 It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. house. I've done -- if you want me to give a 16 16 All the way up to now. They're all -- they're all 17 17 ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you. 18 off and on due to construction schedule. So --18 19 Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each 19 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a 20 of these companies? 20 follow-up based on that? 21 A. Not each of them. One of them. 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. That's quite 22 Q. Which one? 22 unorthodox. Yes, go ahead. Go ahead. 23 A. Adams Moving & Delivery. 23 **RECROSS EXAMINATION** 24 Q. When -- can you give me a ballpark, dates, 24 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 25 years when you worked for the other companies? 25 Q. Mr. Trick, on those moves where you do

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 105

**WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION** 

labor only, do you go into people's homes? A. Yes. Yes, I do.

MR. O'CONNELL: That is all, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.

MR. WALL: Nothing further.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. I have just a couple clarification questions.

A. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### **INQUIRY**

BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:

Q. Just give me a moment. Okay. So when you were talking about your support network and then your parents specifically, you mentioned that you learned from your thoughts -- and I can have the court reporter read it back. I was a little confused as to what you meant. Through your parents' support and the rehabilitation, you learned from your thoughts, behaviors and offense.

A. Oh, got you.

Q. I'm not sure what thoughts you're talking about.

A. Okay. So in a cycle of offending, as I was taught in treatment, there are thoughts, feelings and behaviors and circumstances that lead Page 107

WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION it was wrong, and I didn't want to get caught, and so I -- my thoughts were, like, what did I tell myself to make it okay?

These are also called little white lies, also known as distortions. So I had to learn and think about the thoughts that I had that I broke down -- I broke down my barriers and my walls to allow myself to offend, and so that's specifically what I'm talking about.

Q. Okay. Thank you. The other thing was you took classes in -- while you were incarcerated?

A. I did.

Q. And you received two different degrees?

A. Yes, certificates.

Q. Certificates?

A. Yes, ma'am.

Q. Why did you decide not to pursue employment in those fields?

A. It's quite simple. For two reasons. One, there was no work, first of all. And two, when I first got out. I was restricted as to who and what -- where I could work. So one of the conditions that the Department of Corrections had with me is anyplace that I worked, I had to give a full

Page 106

WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person.

So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught -- I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's -- that's horrible. You did it. I did it. and so --

Q. But I guess, specifically -- yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at the time the offense took place, what thoughts were you trying to rehabilitate?

A. Right. Sure. So during that process -so the little time that I had interaction with the children during the offense, thoughts like -- like identifying what my body was doing. So I was very anxious. My heart was racing. Obviously, I knew

Page 108

WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview.

So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just -- my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment.

If I am not -- I just -- I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications -- I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube.

And it was -- and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so I continued and continued and continued and continued. I was sleeping on average four hours a day. I was looking for another job, and finally, I was hired at QFC. QFC made me aware that I was the first sex offener they had ever hired, and that was at the Capital Hill Harvard Market QFC.

During the interview, I gave him a full

Page 109 Page 111 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION** 2 disclosure. He told me, he said, well, you sold 2 about the circumstances. 3 3 yourself to me. He goes, don't tell anybody, A. Okay. You ask the question, and I'll answer it for you, and then --4 because when you do, it will -- it will go through 4 Q. Okay. That's fine. So you were 162 people in an hour, and it did when it 5 5 intoxicated when this occurred? eventually happened. 6 6 7 7 A. I -- I was -- I was feeling good, yes. I So as I continued my employment, and don't necessarily know that I was obliviated drunk, 8 moving up in the world, those certificates kind of 8 9 went -- went kind of on the back burner. So that's 9 because I was conscious in my decisions, where --10 why I didn't -- I didn't pursue a career in 10 where my decisions were impulsive, and obviously, not to, you know, par, yes, of course. The alcohol 11 information technology, IT, or interactive 11 affected my decisions a little bit. 12 communication multimedia. So in retrospect --12 Q. Had you had any kind of contact of this 13 commercials, things like that, I stay out of the --13 14 I try to, you know, stay out of the limelight a 14 nature, meaning of a sexual nature, with children 15 little bit. 15 or underaged people before this? A. In -- as far as, like, a --Q. And so you mentioned there were --16 16 Q. I'm not talking charged. I'm talking just initially, when you were released, there were 17 17 restrictions on where you could work? right now, under oath, had you ever done anything 18 18 19 A. Not where I could work, but there were 19 like this prior? 20 restrictions -- so they would say -- yes. Yes. I 20 A. No. I -- I did, during treatment, admit to -- and what I now understand it as curious play, 21 mean, obviously, don't go apply to a daycare. 21 Don't go apply at a school. Don't go apply at a is how my treatment provider put it -- put it, 22 22 YMCA. You know what I mean? I could have no sorry -- I had admitted to, if you will, fooling 23 23 24 around with a cousin at a birthday party. She was 24 direct contact with minors. -- I was 12 or 13, and she was 9 or 10, 8 or 9, and 25 The only contact with minors I was allowed 25 Page 110 Page 112 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 2 to have was if I were to go into a restaurant, I'd 2 that happened on -- with -- with two different 3 say a fast food and order, I could order if the 3 cousins. It was the same party. So --Q. This was not while intoxicated? person across the counter was under 18. That's 4 4 5 what they call paper and plastic. Decide. Pick 5 A. No. no. I was 12 or 13. 6 Q. Okay. And since your arrest -- I should 6 and choose your battle. 7 7 So if I'm in a grocery store and I go down say, since the incident that occurred with these 8 the aisle and there's kids running around, choose a 8 two girls, have you since had any similar different aisle. I mean, it's real simple. If you 9 interactions with other children? 9 10 couldn't get along with the Department of 10 A. No, I have not. No. No. Corrections afterwards, you have a problem. You're 11 11 Q. But you do still drink? 12 looking for problems. So --12 A. I drink on occasion. I don't -- I've 13 Q. So those restrictions, are they still in 13 pretty much cut alcohol out of my life, other than, 14 like I said, if I were to go to dinner with my wife 14 place? or -- or we're at Costco, and there's a nice 15 A. No. I have no restrictions, other than I 15 cabernet, you know, we'll -- we'll buy a bottle, 16 can have no contact with my victims. 16 and I'll have -- that bottle will last a minute. 17 Q. And are you currently on parole --17 So no, I don't drink regularly, and I can't 18 18 remember the last time I was intoxicated. 19 Q. -- or probation, or anything like that? 19 A. I am not. I was released from probation 20 MR. WALL: I'm sorry, if I could just jump 20 21 in 2007. 21 in. When you say last a minute, I think you 22 Q. Okay. And as much as I don't want to get 22 mean --23 into the details of what happened --23 A. Oh, that the bottle lasted a month.

Q. -- I do need to know a little bit more

A. Sure.

24

25

the vernacular sense?

MR. WALL: You were using that in, like,

24

25

Page 113 Page 115 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 A. Sure. sure. Right. Right. 2 also over at the UW. 3 3 MR. WALL: Not that the bottle was Because I did get pulled over right before 520, Interstate 520, the floating bridge, heading 4 immediately gone? 4 5 A. And just so you know, once you open a 5 north on I-5. So it was right there, and I did 6 bottle, it's not very good after a month. 6 blow over the legal limit and -- originally, and so 7 CONTINUING INQUIRY 7 they arrested me for driving under the influence, 8 BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: 8 and the end result of that was 40 hours of 9 Q. So let me just go through my notes again 9 community service and a reckless driving. 10 and see if I have any further clarification 10 Q. Do you remember what the exact calculation 11 questions. of the -- the intoxication level? 11 12 A. Okav. 12 A. Right. Right. It was either a .09 or a Q. With regard to the reckless driving --13 13 .10. It wasn't as high -- and the officer -- I A. Yes. ma'am. 14 14 remember his name. Officer -- State Trooper 15 Q. -- you said that was about two or three 15 DeFrang, who is the guy who later told me, you 16 years ago, is that right? 16 know, I'm the one who trains people for this, and 17 A. Correct. It was -- in fact, I'm not sure 17 he told me, he goes -- you know, I cooperated with 18 how they came up with the three year thing, but I 18 him. I didn't hide it. You know, he said, hey, 19 have to file an SR 22 for up to three years, and 19 unfortunately, you're just about a half a beer or a 20 that ends on November 22nd of this year. 20 beer too much. He goes, you should have waited. 21 Q. So were you intoxicated at that time? 21 And then through that process, I learned A. No. I -- we -- we had a few beers, two or 22 22 quite a bit about that, because they make you go three, and I just -- I had gotten a phone call from 23 23 through a victims impact panel, where people kill Ashley, who -- we weren't married at the time, and 24 24 people, and I -- I got to talk with mothers who lost their kids due to drunk drivers. And that's 25 25 asked me if I could, you know, cut it a little --Page 114 Page 116 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. 2 when I said, you know, it's time to change a little And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. something about this. 4 And she goes, okay. 4 So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip 5 And I had to work the next day, and so I 5 of beer or a glass of wine. I don't drive. That's just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. 6 it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So 6 7 Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys 7 we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, 8 with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible 8 she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty 9 in that way, and it was a -- it was a bad judgment 9 much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens call on my part, and I -- I -- when I got on the 10 10 again -- you know, she has a six year old son. I 11 highway and headed north, I got pulled over. 11 completely agree. So it's not okay. 12 Q. And why were you pulled over? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's --12 13 A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell that really is all of the clarification questions 13 14 phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know 14 that I have. that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. 15 15 A. Okav. 16 Q. So the cell phone usage is what 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your 17 constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? 17 testimony, and you're excused. 18 A. No. 18 A. Okay. 19 Q. Okay. So what --19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't 20 A. They -- they pulled me over for that. 20 know if we want to take a short recess, and then Then when they pulled me over, they asked me if I 21 Mr. O'Connell, you'll present Ms. Paul, and we'll 21 22 had been drinking, and I said yes. They did a 22 follow from there.

MR. O'CONNELL: Well, your Honor, I expect

that Ms. Paul's testimony will take more than the

40 minutes we have until Dr. O'Connell is scheduled

23

24

25

23

24

25

field sobriety test, which I don't know if that's a

pass/fail. They didn't really say anything. I did

blow into their little breathalyzer on site and

Page 119 Page 117 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 2 to testify. My preference would be to not cut her 2 how that consideration score has little or no 3 3 off on the stand. accuracy in predicting recidivism. 4 4 Is it -- can we arrange it so that we can I wanted to ask you -- I think this is 5 5 take a break until Dr. O'Connell's testimony, and probably the last topic. If you could turn to --6 then have Ms. Paul after Dr. O'Connell? 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall, do you have 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we do that? 7 your mike on? We'll take an early lunch, and when we come back at 8 8 MR. WALL: Yes. Sorry. 9 noon, we'll have Dr. O'Connell finish his 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very good. Great. 10 testimony. And following that, we'll have staff's 10 MR. WALL: I'll speak into it more. 11 case. All right. We are in recess until noon. 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 12 Thank you. 12 BY MR. WALL: 13 MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. 13 Q. If you could turn to the exhibits that I 14 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. 14 sent you labeled I and J? And for those of us 15 (A recess was then taken.) 15 following along here, they are Exhibits 11 and 12. 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So we'll go back on And I'm not sure if your copy of Exhibit 11 got cut 16 17 the record. We are going to proceed with the 17 off, but apparently, in making the PDF, I just 18 continuation of Dr. O'Connell's examination. 18 realized that the exhibit got cut off. 19 However, I wanted to address Five Stars' 19 So we'll focus on Exhibit 12, then. Are 20 motion for lead to file its brief, a legal brief. 20 you familiar with the Certificate of Restoration of 21 I am going to deny the motion. I've given the 21 Opportunities Act, which is pending in the 22 parties a couple of opportunities to express their 22 legislature? 23 legal views in both opening and closing statements, 23 A. Yes, you brought that to my attention. 24 and in addition, I don't view this case as having 24 Q. And do you know, what is -- what is your 25 legal issues that are sufficiently complex to understanding of it? Have you had an opportunity 25 Page 118 Page 120 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 warrant legal briefing. to read about it? 3 3 So that, along with the declaration that I A. I -- I read through it, and I'm familiar 4 4 with it as part of a larger public policy ruled on over the last couple of days that were 5 5 denied, will -- will be my ruling. initiative where there is a sort of a growing 6 6 appreciation that -- making it impossible for I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the 7 7 people who have committed offenses in the past to line? 8 8 get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has --THE WITNESS: That's correct. 9 is coming to be seen as a -- as an overreach as one 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We 10 10 are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you that gets in the way of people sort of 11 were examining -- or finishing up your direct 11 rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of 12 desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, 12 examination. And you are still under oath. I just 13 talking about getting -- getting rooted in the 13 wanted to remind you. 14 community and having, you know, attachments that 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 makes them want to behave well and have 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 16 responsibilities that they're -- they're committed 16 Whereupon, 17 to doing. 17 MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL, 18 And I think the City of Seattle has 18 having been previously duly sworn, testified 19 recently -- they have led an ordinance, and I know 19 further as follows: 20 the state of Georgia and a couple of other 20 CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 jurisdictions have made it a requirement that 21 BY MR. WALL: 22 employers cannot ask about and rule out job 22 Q. Thank you for calling back in, 23 candidates based on their criminal history at the 23 Dr. O'Connell. I think that we had just talked 24 first level. So that, you know, you get to -- a 24 about the Washington Institute of Public Policy and 25 job applicant gets to at least have an interview 25 its findings regarding the notification levels and

Page 121 Page 123 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 before the issue of their criminal history comes up 2 I'm not -- I'm not offering it as a binding legal 3 3 and gets -- gets factored into a hiring decision. authority for the UTC or anything, but I think that 4 Q. If you look at page 2 of what you have as 4 it does represent a policy, and I think that policy 5 Exhibit J, what we have as Exhibit 12, and it has a 5 arguments should be considered, in addition to 6 summary of the bill explaining that if you --6 legal arguments. 7 A. This is the house bill report? 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And I have no 8 Q. Right. 8 problem with you asking questions about it, but I 9 A. Right. 9 don't think we need to get into the substance of 10 10 Q. On page 2, the summary of the substitute it, including the CROP, because this isn't even 11 bill? 11 part of our legislative scheme right now, and I --12 A. Yes. 12 MR. WALL: Right. 13 13 Q. It's talking about a Certificate of JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: -- I do take 14 Restoration of Opportunity, what's abbreviated 14 Mr. O'Connell's point, that this would not become 15 CROP. So as I understand it, that's a mechanism 15 an exhibit 16 that people with a conviction can apply for. If 16 MR. WALL: Okay. 17 they obtain a CROP, then it says, no state, county 17 Q. Dr. O'Connell, do you -- in your 18 or municipal department essentially will deny a 18 professional opinion, is there -- do you perceive 19 permit or a license based solely on the applicant's 19 some irony in this area with professional licenses? 20 criminal history, if the applicant meets all the 20 A. I'm not -- I'm not -- I'm not sure of the 21 other statutory and regulatory requirements? 21 point that you're -- you're asking about, the irony 22 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to stop you 22 piece. 23 right there. Is this still -- has this been 23 Q. Let me try and ask -- let me ask a better 24 enacted or signed? 24 question. How can the denial of a license affect 25 MR. WALL: It has not. It's pending at 25 someone's rehabilitation and reintegration into Page 122 Page 124 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 the legislature. 2 society? 3 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What is the relevance 3 A. Well, licenses, in general, and -- and 4 of this? 4 employment opportunities, in particular, are -- do 5 5 MR. WALL: The relevance, I think, is that get in the way of people, you know, moving along with their lives, establishing, you know, 6 there's a growing awareness -- and I would like to 6 7 7 connections to the community and promoting that Dr. O'Connell about this. A growing awareness in 8 8 process of desistance, which is a, you know, the professional field, but also, within the 9 9 rehabilitative factor for most people who once legislature, that the denial of licenses for people 10 10 pursuing their chosen profession is committed offenses and then go on to leave -- go on 11 11 to live law abiding responsible lives. counterproductive to their rehabilitation and 12 12 reintegration into society. On the other hand, there are certain 13 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell? perfectly reasonable restrictions on people who 14 abuse children being in positions of authority, 14 MR. O'CONNELL: May I be heard, your 15 people who embezzle working in banks, that sort of 15 Honor? 16 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. 17 MR. WALL: Thank you, Dr. O'Connell. I 17 MR. O'CONNELL: Staff does have an 18 don't have any further questions for you at this 18 objection on relevancy. You think see on the 19 19 bottom of the first page of this, it says it's not 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 20 part of a bill that's been passed. It's not part 21 Mr. O'Connell, do you have any cross exam? 21 of an analysis. It's not part of legislation. 22 MR. O'CONNELL: I do, your Honor. 22 I think Mr. Wall is free to ask 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okav. 23 Dr. O'Connell his questions, but I don't think 24 **CROSS EXAMINATION** 24 there's still -- this court should consider. 25 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 25 MR. WALL: Your Honor, just to make clear,

Page 127 Page 125 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 2 Q. Dr. O'Connell, can you hear me? 2 A. That's correct. 3 3 Q. And the only copy of court documents that A. Yes, I can hear you fine. you received was the judgment and sentence for 4 Q. Okay. Can you tell me if you've met with 4 5 Mr. Trick? 5 Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. I have just talked with him over the 6 6 A. That's correct. 7 7 Q. Have you seen the pre-sentence phone. 8 Q. When did you speak with him? 8 investigation report from Mr. Trick's 1999 9 A. It was in the last couple of days. 9 conviction? 10 10 Q. Okay. So that was last week or this week? A. I have not. 11 A. You know, it -- it ray have been 11 Q. Have you seen the information or the 12 12 yesterday. In fact, I think it was yesterday. certificate of probable cause for his conviction? 13 Q. How long did you speak? 13 14 A. I guess it was Monday. And it was --14 Q. Did you look up Mr. Trick on the sex 15 15 well, let me see. I did make a note of that. I offender registration web site? A. I didn't. Mr. Wall provided me the 16 made -- 2.1 hours. But that also included a 16 17 17 follow-up consultation with -- with Attorney Wall. information that was downloaded from that. The --18 So it was -- it was certainly over an hour, maybe 18 the -- and there's a not lengthy comment section. 19 19 Q. Okay. And so I guess my question for you an hour and a half. 20 Q. Did you speak with him only that one time 20 is, going forward in your evaluation, would you 21 on the telephone? 21 like to be given all these documents with more 22 22 information in order to make your evaluation? A. That's right. 23 Q. Okay. Were you given any materials as 23 A. Oh. absolutely, ves. 24 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Mr. Trick was on collateral for your evaluation? 24 25 A. I was -- I was provided with the judgment 25 supervised release after prison? Page 126 Page 128 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 1 2 2 and sentence, the -- the posting on the King County A. That's what I understand. 3 3 web site, the registration details and the notice Q. All right. Can you tell me exactly what 4 of intent to deny the -- the license in this case. 4 Mr. Trick told you about his offense? 5 Q. Okay. And do you normally receive 5 A. I did not make extensive notes about that, 6 collateral information when you make evaluations? 6 so part of what I'm going to be saying in response 7 7 A. I'm sorry? to your question is going to be sort of my memory 8 8 Q. Do you normally receive collateral and impressions. 9 information when you're making an evaluation? 9 He was arrested in July of '99. He was --10 10 A. Oh, yes. I -- I would -- just to be and I do have some notes here, so I'm -- I'm using 11 clear, what I'm -- what I'm testifying to is a 11 that as a -- as a -- to jog my memory. He was in 12 first impression, not a definitive assessment. 12 the Navy. He was stationed on an aircraft carrier. 13 Q. So your testimony today is limited only to 13 It was at drydock. He had a -- he had a side job 14 a preliminary determination on your behalf? 14 working at a pizza place. A co-worker at that 15 15 pizza place was having a party. He went to a party A. That's right. 16 Q. So you would like to have more information 16 at her house off base. It was a large party, 50 or 17 and more time in order to make an evaluation, is 17 more people there, a fair amount of drugs and 18 that -- my understanding correct? 18 alcohol. 19 A. In order to make a more definitive 19 He got pretty intoxicated. He was invited evaluation, I would want more information and more 20 20 to stay rather than drive back. He was sleeping in 21 21 a bedroom. Twin seven and a half year old girls time to process it. Q. So to make a full evaluation of came in and slept with him. We didn't talk much 22 22 23 Mr. Trick's risk level and the risk that he 23 about the specifics of the -- of the details that 24 presents for re-offense, you would like more 24 happened there. The girls -- he was alone with 25 information, is that correct? 25 them, and the door was closed. He got aroused.

Page 129 Page 131 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 2 He made excuses to himself, and molested while on supervision. 3 3 the -- the girls, and I have a note here that said, Q. Are you aware of what Mr. Trick's 4 and including that I orally raped them, having oral 4 conditions were during his supervised release? 5 sex with them. I don't think it was the next 5 A. We didn't talk about them specifically. I 6 morning. Several months later, he was contacted by 6 -- I could make a guess about what they were, and I 7 police and was charged. 7 made certain assumptions about what they were, but 8 8 So that's just a once -- that was a brief we didn't talk about them. 9 9 discussion we had about the offense. Q. Okay. Well, if I told you that one of his 10 10 Q. So you didn't really receive a lot of conditions was that he have no contact with minor 11 details about the nature and extent of the crime, 11 children, and that a few months after his 12 is that correct? 12 supervision ended, he married a woman with minor 13 A. Nor did I ask for them at the time. 13 children, would that raise any red flags to you? 14 Q. Is that information that you would like to 14 A. We actually did talk about the fact that 15 have in making your evaluation? 15 he had -- I believe it was a marriage with 16 A. Yes. That would be -- that would be a 16 children, and I -- and I said -- we talked about 17 17 normal part of the evaluation process. how that could create complications, and he needed 18 Q. Okay. In your experience, do sex 18 to be thinking about that. 19 offenders often minimize their crime? 19 So I mean -- so yes, simplifying my 20 A. Quite often. More likely than not. 20 answer, you know, being -- being in contact and 21 Q. Do they try to hide their crime? 21 having, you know, control over children is a risk 22 A. Well, by definition, it's something they 22 factor that needs to be taken seriously. 23 -- you know, at the time, they're -- they're 23 Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand 24 hiding. And part of the evaluation and treatment 24 you. You are saying that you would be concerned 25 process is to break down the barriers to be able to 25 about a convicted child molester seeking out Page 130 Page 132 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 talk morbidly and candidly about that, so others 2 situations with greater exposure to children? 3 know about their potential risks and they can see 3 A. Having access to potential victims is a 4 them more clearly themselves. 4 risk factor. 5 5 Q. So in your experience, are sex offenders Q. So in your experience, would you say that 6 6 good manipulators? sex offenders are very truthful and forthcoming? 7 7 A. Well, they often are. It's sort of a MR. WALL: I'm going to object to the 8 requirement of the -- of being in that situation. 8 question. It calls for generalizations. 9 Q. What about child molesters, in your 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, Mr. Wall and 10 10 experience, are they good at manipulating people? Five Stars Moving has put forward Dr. O'Connell as 11 A. Well, it's -- it's difficult to make 11 an expert in psychology. I think he's qualified to 12 12 generalizations, but they are often good give his opinion based on his experience. 13 manipulators. Again, by the nature of the 13 MR. WALL: My objection is specifically 14 requirements of the situation. 14 about the specific --15 Q. In your experience, would you say that 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your 16 children are more or less vulnerable to 16 mike closer? 17 manipulation than adults? 17 MR. WALL: I apologize. 18 A. Oh, more. 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 19 Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on 19 MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was 20 supervised release after prison. I'm guessing, 20 about the specific relevance of the question asked. 21 since you knew about that, he shared that 21 It calls for a generalization, and not specifically 22 information with you? 22 applicable to Mr. Trick or his circumstances. 23 23 A. I -- I was aware of it, and we talked JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And actually, you'll 24 about it briefly. And he also talked about being 24 have a chance to respond on redirect to any 25 in the follow-up community-based treatment program 25 generalizations, so I'm going to allow it.

Page 135 Page 133 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 Based on your experiences, Dr. O'Connell, 2 time, we have tried to improve the tools that we 3 3 you can answer the question. use, including --4 A. And could you restate the question? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. -- this tool? 5 Because I got lost in the back and forth there. 6 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 6 A. That's correct. 7 7 Q. Yes, I can. In your experience, Q. And likewise, do you think that the state 8 Dr. O'Connell, would you say that sex offenders are 8 of Washington has improved its treatment that it 9 9 truthful and forthcoming? offers over time? 10 A. Well, again, if you're doing something 10 A. Over time, the treatment has improved. 11 you're not supposed to be doing, you're hiding it 11 Q. So the treatment today that a sex offender 12 and you're -- and you're denying it when -- when 12 would receive is better than the treatment that challenged, one of the sort of core objectives of 13 they would have received back in 2004? 13 14 -- of specific sex offender treatment is to break 14 A. I would guess that it -- that it is, but 15 through that level of -- of denial and resistance, 15 it was pretty good back then. 16 and become more open and self-disclosing and 16 Q. So Dr. O'Connell, are you aware how the 17 17 **End of Sentence Review Committee now assigns** transparent about that. 18 So it's -- it's -- it's a -- it's a --18 offender levels? 19 it's a need and a problem that needs to be 19 A. It's -- my understanding is that they're 20 20 using the STATIC 99. addressed. 21 Q. Are you aware of whether they're using any 21 Q. Okay. I want to talk briefly about the 22 22 End of Sentence Review Committee, the risk levels other tool? 23 23 that are established, how they're established, and A. I know that there are other tools under 24 24 I want to ask you a couple questions about the development. I know there was a tool being 25 25 developed by DOC specific to Washington state, but testimony that you gave earlier. Page 134 Page 136 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 2 I am not -- I'm not sure of more than that. 3 3 Q. Would it be a fair characterization to say Q. Have you ever been part of an End of 4 4 that at the time Mr. Trick was released from **Sentence Review Committee?** 5 prison, his risk level was assessed with the 5 A. I have not. 6 Q. Okay. Are you familiar at all with the 6 current and up-to-date methods of the time? 7 7 Minnesota Sex Offender screening tool? A. With the tool that was being used at the 8 8 time -- actually, the -- by 2004, that tool had A. Yes. I am. 9 9 been sort of overtaken by events and was a sort of Q. Would it surprise you if the End of 10 a remnant of -- of an earlier -- it was not the 10 Sentence Review Committee used that, also? 11 latest and greatest at the time. 11 A. Well, the Minnesota Sex Offender Screening 12 12 tool was embedded in the Washington State Sex It was -- it was a fair -- it 13 was a fair attempt at doing an empirical 13 Offender Risk Classification tool, and -- and had 14 14 been from the beginning of there being a -- you assessment. And Washington state, at the time, was 15 15 know, an emperically-based tool back in the mid doing lots better than most other jurisdictions, 16 '90s. 16 but in retrospect, we know it was -- it -- it had 17 17 Q. Are you aware that the Minnesota Sex some -- it had some flaws. Offender Screening tool was updated in 2012? 18 Q. So at the time, it was -- as Washington's 18 19 19 tool and Washington being a state that was doing I am aware of that. 20 20 Q. Dr. O'Connell, in your experience, do you more than other states, it was state of the art? 21 A. It's -- it's too much to say it was state 21 know, can sex offenders apply for their offender 22 of the art, but it was a -- it was a reasonably 22 level to be reduced? 23 23 good attempt at -- at a -- a fair and -- and A. It's my understanding that that gets done 24 accurate risk assessment. 24 to the law enforcement jurisdiction that is -- you 25 Q. And you'd agree that as we've gone on in 25 know, where they're registered, where they're

Page 137 Page 139 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 2 residing. It doesn't go through the End of 2 impressions based on the information I had 3 3 Sentence Review Committee. It's done on a, you available. 4 know, county by county or jurisdiction by 4 Q. And would the further information that you 5 jurisdiction basis. 5 need, would that consist largely of verifying the 6 Q. Okay. Can a sex offender apply to have 6 facts, as they were given to you? 7 their level reduced? 7 A. And adding, you know, any additional 8 8 A. It can be done. I've been involved in information that may not have come up in our 9 9 cases where that's happened. initial conversations. 10 10 Q. Okay. And assuming that the facts that Q. Okay. I have one last topic I want to ask 11 you about. Dr. O'Connell, is it your opinion that 11 you -- as they were presented to you were -- if you 12 Mr. Trick presents no risk to re-offend? 12 were able to verify them, and in gathering 13 A. No, I'm not saying that. 13 additional information, no new red flags came up, 14 Q. So you would agree with me if I said that 14 would your assessment, then, be in a position to be 15 the risk that Mr. Trick will re-offend is somewhere 15 finalized? 16 above zero? 16 A. Oh, I could -- I could make a more 17 A. And I would say that anybody, even 17 definitive assessment. These things are never rock 18 somebody who has never been convicted of a sex 18 solid. They're always, as I was saving in the --19 offense, has a percentage of risk of greater than 19 in the last round of questioning, it's always -zero. He would -- he would be somewhat higher than 20 20 he's in a pool of people who look like this. 21 that, but yes, he's more than zero. 21 Q. Counsel asked you about Mr. Trick's 22 Q. Are you able to quantify exactly what the 22 marriage to a woman with minor children. If 23 risk is that Mr. Trick will re-offend? 23 someone with a conviction for a sex offense has 24 A. No. And in fact, nobody can. And these 24 access to potential victims but does not re-offend, 25 25 risk tools do not give a precise risk factor for what does that tell you about that person? Page 138 Page 140 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 any particular person. All it can do is -- is 2 A. It -- it -- that's consistent with the 3 compare somebody to a pool of people who are -- who 3 other piece of information we've been talking 4 have similar characteristics. 4 about, about time in the community and at risk 5 Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify one thing 5 without re-offending. So any time you have a 6 with you. You are aware that Mr. Trick's crime 6 period of time, you know, being in the community is 7 involved the offense against two seven-year-old 7 -- is -- it provides more opportunities for 8 children? 8 mischief than being in prison. 9 A. That's right. 9 And being in a family situation with kids 10 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. I have no more 10 provides more opportunity than that. And someone 11 questions, your Honor. 11 who has been in those situations without JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Redirect? 12 12 re-offending provides some additional information 13 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. 13 that suggests lower risk. 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 Q. Have you had experience with people with 15 BY MR. WALL: 15 criminal convictions minimizing their offenses? 16 Q. Dr. O'Connell, I believe your testimony 16 Have you experienced that in interviews with people 17 was that you spoke Monday with Mr. Trick for 17 who were convicted? 18 something less than 2.1 hours, is that right? 18 A. Absolutely. 19 A. That's correct. That's correct. 19 Q. When you were speaking, can you recognize 20 Q. And then I think you testified that you'd 20 when someone is doing that? 21 like more information to verify the facts. 21 A. One never knows for sure, but I didn't --22 Assuming -- but you testified previously as well 22 I didn't have a sense of that with Mr. Trick. In 23 that you were able to reach a tentative conclusion, 23 fact, he was - my sense was he was very open in 24 is that right? 24 disclosing and was providing me, if anything, more 25 A. Yes. I mean, it's a -- it's a first 25 information than I needed under the circumstances.

			B 440
	Page 141		Page 143
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY
2	Q. And just to clarify, you said, I think,	2	Q. Okay. That makes sense. So what is the
3	that it would take you approximately six to eight	3	recidivism rate, if you know it, for level 2
4	weeks to conduct the further analysis that you need	4	offenders?
5	to finalize your conclusions?	5	A. Boy, I don't have that information at my
6	A. That's right.	6	fingertips. I could give you a you know, a
7	Q. Okay. And you do, in fact, have plans to	7	seat-of-the-pants guess of somewhere around 18
8	do that?	8	percent.
9	A. I understand Mr. Trick would like me to do	9	Q. And that's for level 2?
10	that, and plans to follow through.	10	A. That's just a guess. Yes. And I'm and
11	Q. Okay. I just want to ask you one last	11	I'm it's it's been a while since I've I've
12	question about the you were asked about the	12	looked at that, but
13	Minnesota Sex Offender Screening tool, which you	13	Q. And I understand it's just a guess. Maybe
14	said was embedded in the 2004 test, is that right?	14	what
15	A. That's correct.	15	A. Right.
16	Q. And is the Minnesota Sex Offender	16	Q would be helpful is if, when we're done
17	Screening tool the actuarial tool you were	17	here, and obviously, when you have a chance, maybe
18	referring to when we spoke previously about the	18	sometime today, if it turns out maybe you can
19	2004 test?	19	let counsel, Mr. Wall, know what the actual number
20	A. That's correct.	20	is.
21	Q. And that's the test that you, in fact,	21	A. Okay.
22	used with Mr. Trick, is that right?	22	Q. And then if you would also provide the
23	A. Yes. That was the one that yielded the	23	recidivism rate for level 1 offenders at that time?
24	score of 24 that we talked about earlier.	24	A. That, I know is under 10 percent.
25	Q. Okay. And that's the tool that has the	25	Q. Okay. And is that after one year? After
	Page 142	***************************************	Page 144
1	_	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 2	Page 142  DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you	1 2	·
	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY		DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY
2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you	2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?
2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?	2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but
2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.	2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging
2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.
2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as an attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?  A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?  A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor that you're that you're referring to.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as an attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting out or or sexual sexually driven behavior was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?  A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor that you're that you're referring to.  Q. Okay. Sure. So you're using the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting out or or sexual sexually driven behavior was one incident, it wouldn't meet the criteria for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?  A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor that you're that you're referring to.  Q. Okay. Sure. So you're using the vernacular risk factor, and I guess, in legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting out or or sexual sexually driven behavior was one incident, it wouldn't meet the criteria for pedaphilia. You need a pattern of behavior that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?  A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor that you're that you're referring to.  Q. Okay. Sure. So you're using the vernacular risk factor, and I guess, in legal parlance, we use recidivism rate or re-offense	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as ar attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting out or or sexual sexually driven behavior was one incident, it wouldn't meet the criteria for pedaphilia. You need a pattern of behavior that causes problems for more than six months.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?  A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor that you're that you're referring to.  Q. Okay. Sure. So you're using the vernacular risk factor, and I guess, in legal parlance, we use recidivism rate or re-offense rate. Is it pretty much the same thing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as an attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting out or or sexual sexually driven behavior was one incident, it wouldn't meet the criteria for pedaphilia. You need a pattern of behavior that causes problems for more than six months.  Now, I don't know enough about his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?  A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor that you're that you're referring to.  Q. Okay. Sure. So you're using the vernacular risk factor, and I guess, in legal parlance, we use recidivism rate or re-offense rate. Is it pretty much the same thing?  A. Okay. So risk when I'm using the term	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as an attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting out or or sexual sexually driven behavior was one incident, it wouldn't meet the criteria for pedaphilia. You need a pattern of behavior that causes problems for more than six months.  Now, I don't know enough about his about his about his larger presentation than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you need a 46 to be considered a level 2?  A. That's right.  MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.  JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.  INQUIRY  BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:  Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for just a moment, because I do have a couple of questions for you, just clarification questions, based on what you've the information you've provided to both counsels.  A. Yes.  Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate to recidivism rate?  A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor that you're that you're referring to.  Q. Okay. Sure. So you're using the vernacular risk factor, and I guess, in legal parlance, we use recidivism rate or re-offense rate. Is it pretty much the same thing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	two years? After five years?  A. I think I think it's five years, but again, I would need to to do a little digging and get that information.  Q. Sure. Just a couple of other clarification questions. So I'm going to take advantage of your your knowledge your much greater knowledge of psychology than I have as an attorney.  Since you were well, you didn't you didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received treatment, would this be classified as a disorder, his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?  A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the disorder that you're probably referring to is pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting out or or sexual sexually driven behavior was one incident, it wouldn't meet the criteria for pedaphilia. You need a pattern of behavior that causes problems for more than six months.  Now, I don't know enough about his

Page 145

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY pedaphilia, and that sexual interest in children, you know, was not a significant factor in the offense. It may be just a situational opportunity, you know, boundaryless behavior with disinhibiting effects of alcohol that may have been the, you know, the driving force. And youth and immaturity and lack of, you know, just managing his life in a more structured and mature way.

- Q. So the alcohol may have played a factor in his decision to commit the crime?
  - A. It may have been a factor.
- Q. Okay. And then also -- but there are multiple factors that may have went into it?
  - A. There usually are.

Q. Okay. You had mentioned that individuals never have a no risk, that there's no such thing as a no risk individual. What I got a little bit confused about was that you also said that there's no such thing as an incurable individual.

So can you kind of explain the difference here between not having -- not having a no risk individual, and yet, also being able to potentially cure someone?

A. Right. And actually, cure is not a useful

Page 147

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY that you can't cure somebody who has been -- who has engaged in sexual misbehavior and committed a sex offense does not mean that that person is irredeemable and can never be trusted to be outside, you know, the walls of a prison.

- Q. Right. But if I'm understanding correctly, it's kind of a maintenance is a lifelong issue?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Okay. Okay. And you mentioned potentially needing to talk to collateral contacts. What would that entail? What do you mean by collateral contacts?
- A. Well, other people who -- who know this person, evaluating in a different way than I do. So spouse, co-workers, family friends, that sort of thing.
- Q. Okay. When you were mentioning the variability of the levels assigned, you indicated that some law enforcement agencies or -- or departments in different counties might look at someone and assess them higher if they essentially don't want this person in their community.

You're not saying that that's what

Page 146

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY construct for thinking about this. And the -- the model that's more useful for thinking about how to respond to somebody who has acted out sexually, so think in terms of, like, substance abuse.

There are some people who are physiologically addicted to certain substances, let's say alcohol and, you know, if they take one drink, they can't stop. There are other people who have misused alcohol and who have, you know, caused problems for themselves or others, and a person who has, you know, engaged in that behavior can't say, I'm cured, I can -- you know, I never have to think twice about use of alcohol again.

It's more useful to think about them as managing their life better. If they have a physiological addiction, to structure their life so they don't put themselves into contact with alcohol, build social structures so that they avoid the social occasions where someone is going to hand them a drink and they're going to feel social pressure to do that.

So -- so managing -- managing of potential risks, rather than cure, is the more useful way to think about this. So the fact that somebody --

Page 148

## DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY happened here, though?

A. I'm not saying that's what happened here.

Q. Okay. Okay. You also mentioned the possibility, in a further evaluation, of conducting a polygraph. Is that typical?

A. It is -- it -- it's very typical in Washington. We -- we have -- well, this gets back to counsel's point about you can't always take what a person who is convicted of a sex offense says at face value, and the polygraph testing to corroborate their self-report of sexual behavior, and -- and -- and whatnot, is a -- a useful additional source of information.

Q. Are -- are there any other tests that could use -- could be used by professionals to indicate the veracity of what's being said?

A. Nothing as good as a polygraph, which has its own limitations. The other potential sources of -- of test information would be plethysmograph testing to test sexual arousal, or there's a couple of other instruments that measure sexual interest. So that's a way of either confirming or ruling out a deviant sexual interest as a driving force, both in the offending behavior and in -- and, you know,

Page 151 Page 149 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1. DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 2 for the predicting of future risk. paraphilic disorders, which is the larger sexual 3 So somebody who is -- so somebody who is 3 behavior problems, and there is a section on 4 4 sexually interested in children, who is much more pedaphilia specifically. 5 Q. Did you use the DSM-V in your evaluation 5 aroused to children than to adults, is -- you know, 6 that's a risk factor. And in fact, it's one of the 6 of Mr. Trick? 7 7 -- you know, the two primary sources of recidivism A. I did not. 8 8 Q. Would you, in further evaluations? risk that probably -- you know, in the meta-9 analysis studies. 9 A. Probably not as such. I was -- I was 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. Okay. I think 10 referring to the -- to this based on the -- the 11 that -- that pretty much concludes the 11 judge's question about a disorder. 12 Q. Okay. Would the DSM-V contain criteria 12 clarification questions that I had. If there's 13 and a definition of what pedaphilic or pedaphilia 13 nothing further for the witness, I want to -- oh, 14 14 disorder is? I'm sorry. I'm getting an indication from 15 Mr. O'Connell that there might be something 15 A. It does, yes. 16 further. 16 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have with me a copy of the DSM-V, and I have copies that I can 17 MR. O'CONNELL: I just -- you brought up 17 18 the issue of the DSM -- sorry, of the disorder, and 18 distribute to the parties. I didn't expect this to 19 I was wondering if I could ask a couple of follow-19 be brought up in testimony, which is why I'm only 20 up questions. 20 bringing it forward now. And I would remind the 21 Court that I found out about Dr. O'Connell 21 A. Go ahead. 22 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, that's -- that's 22 testifying on Monday. 23 fine. I have no problem. 23 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'd object to this **RECROSS EXAMINATION** 24 24 exhibit, in that we haven't seen it. Counsel also 25 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 25 supplemented his exhibit list previously, and I'd Page 150 Page 152 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 Q. Okay. Dr. O'Connell, do you use the DSM-V 2 further like to point out that Mr. Trick did 3 3 undergo a polygraph and a plethysmograph, and there in your psychological evaluations? 4 4 A. I generally don't. was never any diagnosis of pedaphilia made. So the 5 Q. Is it widely used in the psychological 5 those analyses have already been run through. He 6 6 industry? does not have pedaphilia. 7 7 A. It's -- it's -- it's used in some So I mean, putting that aside, reading 8 8 situations. In -- in the field of, you know, into the record a bunch of stuff and admitting 9 9 sexual offending, it's more likely -- it's most exhibits on pedaphilia don't have any relevance to 10 likely to be used in civil commitment proceedings, 10 this, as he's already been through the diagnosis 11 where there needs to be a mental abnormality or a 11 process. 12 personality disorder as one of the qualifying 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: When was he tested? 13 conditions for civil commitment. 13 MR. WALL: In 2004. 14 14 Q. And Dr. O'Connell, for the record, can you A. I -- sorry. 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No, go ahead. If just tell us what the DSM and the DSM-V is? 15 16 A. DSM is the Diagnostic and Statistical 16 you're correcting your counsel, please go ahead. 17 Manual. The five is the fifth edition, which just 17 MR. TRICK: Sure, I was actually tested 18 came out about a year ago. It's the -- it's the 18 twice. Once during the treatment program, where I 19 publication by the American Psychiatric 19 underwent a plethysmograph. I also underwent one 20 20 Association, which lays out the criteria for when I went for the SOSSA evaluation. 21 diagnosing, mostly from symptoms, different mental 21 And now that I think about it, upon 22 health conditions. 22 release, and from my treatment provider, who also 23 Q. Does it contain a section on pedaphilic 23 labeled me as not having pedaphilia. I mean, it 24 24 was updated and then updated again. 25 A. There is a -- there is a section on 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So where are these

Page 153 Page 155 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 records? Do you have them? 2 state that all relevant evidence is admissible, and 3 MR. WALL: I don't have them. 3 I -- it is -- it's relevant, so I would like to 4 MR. TRICK: Nor do I. I can -- I mean, if 4 proceed. 5 I were to contact the records department for the 5 MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may. While 6 treatment program at Twin Rivers, I can get it. I 6 relevant evidence is admissible, in this case, 7 7 -- I used to have regular contact with my treatment introducing additional evidence on pedaphilia, a 8 provider inside the Twin Rivers unit, the -- for 8 diagnosis and a disease that Mr. Trick does not 9 the sex offender treatment program and, you know. 9 have, is more unfairly prejudicial than it is 10 10 she's -- if I asked her, I can -- I can probably probative. get copies of it, but -- which would also give you 11 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, we don't -- we 12 12 a treatment summary demonstrating I completed the don't have anything before us that says he doesn't 13 program, and progress along the way. Things like 13 have it. Until we do, I think I'm going to allow 14 that. 14 the examination, but I expect both of you to come 15 MR. WALL: And your Honor, I'd like to add 15 up with the paperwork to indicate that he has been 16 that I did submit a public records request to the 16 tested, and there has been a negative diagnosis, or 17 17 Department of Corrections, but I have not - I have he has -- he has passed the tests, so to speak. MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor. 18 received an acknowledgment that they received it. 18 19 19 but I haven't received any of the documents. I did MR. TRICK: He had mentioned that he had 20 attempt to obtain Mr. Trick's file in that regard. 20 -- or they brought up the -- and I wasn't sure if 21 MR. TRICK: As did I. I called Monroe 21 it was the doctor or Mr. O'Connell, that had the --22 Correctional Complex on this case. I asked for all 22 I guess, both the pre-sentence investigation report 23 my -- well, it's not public. I have to request it, 23 as well as the SSOSA evaluation. Do you have that? 24 or law enforcement can -- can pull it, or a doctor, 24 MR. O'CONNELL: I did not bring up that I 25 for the reason of -- like a proceeding, or 25 have it. I do not have a pre-sentence Page 154 Page 156 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION 2 something like that. 2 investigation report. I believe that will come up 3 So all I can do is request it. He can 3 later in testimony. 4 4 request it, but they won't give it to him, unless MR. TRICK: Oh, okay. 5 it's on my behalf. So there might have been --5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You don't have them? 6 needed a consent form, or something like that. 6 But I take it that this was during the questioning 7 That's kind of the way she explained it to me. 7 of Dr. O'Connell, the cross-examination. 8 She says it's kind of a process, because 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, I wanted to know 9 9 it also -- it goes from the correctional complex, if --10 10 also through King County Sheriff's Department, who JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You were asking if he 11 has things -- you guys can't see anyway. So it's 11 had looked at these documents, and if he has them, 12 not public record. 12 is that correct? 13 MR. WALL: And I'll just add, that's the 13 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, and I apologize for 14 first that I learned of that. But yes, I submitted 14 interrupting. 15 a request for his criminal file and any records 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. So when 16 pertaining to it from the DOC. I'm not sure -- I 16 does counsel or Mr. Trick think that these 17 wasn't aware if there was something that I wouldn't 17 documents would be available? Because is a BAP 18 get back from that. 18 proceeding. Obviously, we are trying to do this on 19 MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. 19 a much shorter time frame. 20 From what I'm hearing from counsel and Mr. Trick, 20 I would -- knowing that this is going to 21 it sounds like they have requested this 21 take a bit of time, I guess it's maybe beneficial 22 information, and that they would agree it's 22 now to ask that the parties waive the ten-day rule 23 relevant. 23 or statute, because it does not look like we'll be 24 I would just note that the Rules of 24 getting an order out within ten days. 25 25 Evidence for an administrative hearing like this MR. TRICK: So when I talked to

Page 157

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION Dr. O'Connell about that very thing, and he asked me who did my SSOSA evaluation, which is the alternative to prison, doing the six month in jail and then the intensive outpatient treatment with supervision.

He told me that the chance that Dr. Judd, who was the doctor that did mine, having a 20 year old file maybe not existed. So unless it's with the King County's Sheriff's Office in the pre-sentence investigation to my sentencing, then I don't know — I don't know if we can even get that paperwork.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: But you indicated that you had been tested twice, and then a third time as well as a follow-up. So would one of those be available?

MR. TRICK: I -- I honestly don't know.

It -- it seems like it's been an arm and a leg to get anything, as far as that kind of treatment. I mean, three months ago, I questioned about getting my treatment paperwork, and I -- I've had no success getting it, even when talking to the recorder's office over in Twin Rivers for the treatment and the files and everything. I can't

Page 159

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION
territory. So if he's not -- if he's not engaging
in sexual behavior or using sexual fantasies
involving minors, then there's really no need to do
that other diagnostic stuff, since that pretty much
rules it out as a current condition.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Based on statements of

Mr. Trick himself, or additional information?

A Well and then corroborated by polygraph

A. Well, and then corroborated by polygraph testing.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I see. So it sounds like you wouldn't be performing, necessarily, the same diagnostic tests as you would -- as one would for the disorder of pedaphilia?

A. Unless there's reason to -- unless there's evidence that suggests it's worth pursuing.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Obviously, you're his doctor. That's up to you. I'm not trying to make a medical determination or even request a medical test from you. That's -- that's your purview, not mine. And it's completely up to counsel and his client whether or not they want to go through with that.

I would, however, like them to attempt to get at least one of the prior tests that Mr. Trick

#### Page 158

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything.

The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary?

MR. WALL: I don't know, but I -- I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if -- if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections, that information could be offered in its stead.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Dr. O'Connell, would it be the same evaluation and the same, I guess, pedaphilia diagnostic tests that you would be performing on Mr. Trick?

A. I -- I could do that. I wouldn't ordinarily do that, because a polygraph and sexual

history would probably, you know, cover the same

Page 160

DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION
has taken, and the results from the -- the test.

MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor?

MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor?

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had
mentioned -- and once I remembered who did my SSOSA
evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being
able to get ahold of that?

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the — the — one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. And with that indication -- and I should summarize, that you're now not interested in pursuing the line of questioning about the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual V definition of pedaphilia. So with that, do you have any other --

MR. O'CONNELL: I have no other questions.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No other questions.

Thank you. And I have no other clarification

Page 161 Page 163 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 questions, Dr. O'Connell, so I would thank you for Q. So do you receive regular trainings? 3 your testimony very much, and you are dismissed. 3 A. Yes, I do. 4 A. Okay, Thank you, 4 Q. How are you involved in the matter 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 5 regarding Five Stars Moving? 6 A. Bye. 6 A. I was assigned as the investigator. 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Counsel, Mr. Wall, 7 Q. Have you reviewed the application by Five 8 does that conclude your case? 8 Stars Moving? 9 MR. WALL: It does. Yes, your Honor. 9 A. Yes, I have. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. 10 10 Q. So when someone makes an application to Mr. O'Connell, your witness. 11 11 operate as a household good mover, in general, what 12 MR. O'CONNELL: Staff would call Ms. Susie 12 does staff look at in its evaluation? 13 Paul. 13 A. We look to see if they're willing and able 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Great. If you want to 14 to conform to the proposed services, and that they 15 come over here. 15 can follow the applicable laws and rules, whether 16 Whereupon, 16 the company is in the interest of the public, 17 SUSIE PAUL, 17 whether a background check has been conducted, and 18 was duly sworn and testified as follows: 18 whether the application is complete, and that the 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You can be seated. 19 answers are truthful. 20 Mr. O'Connell. 20 Q. And it's staff's recommendation in this 21 **DIRECT EXAMINATION** 21 case that the Commission deny the application of 22 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 22 Five Stars Moving, is that correct? 23 Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good afternoon. 23 A. Yes, that's correct. Would you please state your name and spell it, for 24 24 Q. Can you please turn to what has been 25 the record? 25 marked for identification as SP-1? Page 162 Page 164 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 2 A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last 2 A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okav. 3 name is P-a-u-l. 3 Q. Would you please identify this document? Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? 4 A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace. 4 5 5 A. I'm an investigator with the Washington assistant director of the consumer protection and 6 6 Utilities and Transportation Commission. communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director 7 Q. How long have you been in that position? 7 of transportation safety, and it's a research and 8 A. Just over two years. 8 recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & 9 Q. And what are your duties? 9 Storage LLC's application for household goods 10 A. I investigate the business practices of 10 permit. regulated utility or transportation companies, and Q. Have you reviewed this document? 11 11 as part of those duties, I investigate the business 12 12 A. Yes, I have. 13 practices of household good carriers. 13 Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's Q. What is your education and experience and 14 14 recommendations? 15 training? 15 A. Yes, it does. 16 A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal 16 MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1. 17 justice, and I have ten years experience as an 17 MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. 18 investigator with the state of Washington in a JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted. 18 regulatory capacity. 19 19 Thank you. 20 My training is I attended the Washington 20 (Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into 21 State Investigator training that's required of 21 evidence.) state investigators, and also, the advanced BY MR. O'CONNELL: 22 22 23 training, and I'm a member of the Pacific Northwest 23 Q. I'd like to turn to the application of 24 License and Tax Fraud Association that provides 24 Five Stars Moving. When was the application for 25 25 continuing education for Washington investigators. authorization to operate as a household good move

Page 167 Page 165 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 2 received? 2 Does the application include statements of support? 3 3 A. That was received February 9th, 2015. A. Yes, it does. Q. And how many does it include? 4 Q. And who are the owners? 4 5 A. There were three. Statements of support 5 A. The owners are William Trick, as president 6 with this application, which is normal. 6 and CEO, and 50 percent owner of the company, and 7 7 Q. Who was writing the statements of support? also, Thomas Cook, who is the vice president and 50 8 percent owner of the company. 8 A. Kevin Crocker, who is listed in the 9 9 business plan as a director of operations, provided Q. Who else is in the business? 10 a statement of support. And he noted that 10 A. The business plan is associated with the Mr. Trick is trustworthy, reliable and safe. 11 application lists Kevin Crocker, who is a director 11 12 12 Q. And who else? of operations, and then two employees as yet to be 13 A. Kim Gripp, who is Mr. Trick's mother-in-13 hired, which is a lead driver, laborer, and then an 14 law, who also indicated that he is reliable and 14 apprentice laborer. 15 trustworthy. And then Rachel Hibbs submitted a 15 Q. Can I have you turn to what's already been statement of support and wrote that Billy Trick has 16 16 accepted as Exhibit 1? 17 been a reputable and upstanding citizen. 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I assume that you mean 18 Q. These statements of support can be from 18 the -- it's not technically an exhibit. It's just 19 anyone in the community, correct? 19 the document that we've taken official notice of, 20 A. That's correct. 20 the application? 21 Q. What is the concern about having these 21 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, your Honor, the individuals giving statements of support? 22 22 application. 23 A. Well, the concern is that Kevin Crocker is 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 24 listed as part of the company, and yet, he's 24 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 25 providing a statement of support. And also, Kim 25 Q. Ms. Paul, does this contain any additional Page 166 Page 168 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 information more than the -- just the application? 2 Grick -- Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a 3 A. Yes, it does. 3 prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And 4 Q. What does it contain? 4 then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as 5 5 upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may A. It contains a business plan that was 6 not know Mr. Trick's background. 6 submitted with the application for Five Stars 7 7 Q. So you also mentioned something about 8 Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? 8 Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did 9 9 A. Yes, they did. Mr. Trick include any information in the 10 Q. So is there anything about the application 10 application about his amount of experience in the 11 that raises a concern to staff? 11 household good moving industry? 12 A. Yes, there are concerns about the 12 A. He indicated that he had about nine years 13 13 experience in the moving industry. application. 14 Q. What? 14 Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick 15 A. The application did not disclose the 15 has been working in the moving industry for nine felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless 16 16 vears? 17 driver -- driving, which was amended from a DUI, or 17 A. No. Commission staff became aware of driving under the influence. 18 18 Mr. Trick in 2013, and he was associated with 19 19 The statements of support raise some Better Than The Rest and with B&Z Moving, both of 20 concerns to Mr. Trick's claim that he had nine 20 which were unpermitted companies at the time of his 21 years experience in the moving industry. And also, 21 involvement. 22 he did not disclose a business related legal 22 Q. So does staff know -- aside from those two 23 proceeding. 23 companies, does staff know, before today, and 24 Q. Okay. You mentioned something about 24 before Mr. Trick's testimony, who he had been

statements of support. Let me ask you about that.

25

25

working for?

Page 169 Page 171 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 Exhibit SP-3. A. No. we were unaware. 3 Q. Is that concerning to staff? 3 A. Okay. A. It is concerning to staff. 4 Q. Will you please identify this document? 5 Q. Why? 5 A. Yes, this is the Washington State Patrol 6 A. Well, Mr. Trick does have a felony 6 identification and criminal history section. It's 7 conviction and a background, and permitted 7 the criminal history for William A. Trick, and it 8 companies are to provide a background check on any 8 also is the LexisNexis aggregate for government 9 of their employees. 9 comprehensive report. 10 Q. You also mention something about a 10 Q. So this contains the information from both business related legal proceeding? 11 11 your first and second background check? 12 A. Yes. 12 A. Yes. it does. Q. So I want to ask you about that. Is there 13 13 Q. And have you reviewed this as part of your a place on the application for disclosing any investigation? 14 14 business related legal proceeding? 15 15 A. Yes, I've reviewed them both. A. Yes. There's a question specific to that 16 16 MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers SP-3. 17 that asks, have you ever had a business related 17 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I have no objection 18 legal proceeding. to the first four pages of this document, but the 18 19 Q. And were any disclosed on the application? 19 LexisNexis report that goes on for 29 pages seems to contain a lot of information that is not 20 A. No. 20 21 Q. Did you investigate into this? 21 relevant to this proceeding. 22 A. Yes, I did. 22 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't have anything Q. Okay. So did staff conduct a background 23 23 past page 4. check on Mr. Trick? 24 24 MR. O'CONNELL: May I approach, your A. Yes. Staff actually conducted two 25 25 Honor? Page 170 Page 172 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 background checks on Mr. Trick. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. Thank you. So 3 Q. Okay. When was the first background 3 Mr. Wall, what is the objection to page --4 check? 4 MR. WALL: I think the first four --5 A. The first background was done in February 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: -- 5 through 33? 6 6 2015. MR. WALL: Right. I think the first four 7 7 Q. And when was the second background check? pages are relevant, but if take a look at the --8 A. June 10th, 2015. 8 this was an exhibit that was added later, and so 9 Q. Did you request that second background 9 when I reviewed it -- if you look at on the bottom, check yourself? 10 10 it says, 1 through 29, or 5 through 33. It seems 11 A. Yes, I did. 11 to have a lot of information that doesn't have any 12 Q. Why did you request a second background 12 particular relevance. 13 check? 13 It's not as if there was some additional 14 A. Well, the Commission received a new 14 criminal conviction or citation contained in there. 15 background information system, and it -- we just 15 It just seems like a lot of additional paperwork. 16 got it available to us on June 1st. 16 I don't see what the relevance is. Q. So you got the new system on June 1st, but 17 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell? you didn't request it until June 10th. Why not? 18 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe 19 Why did you wait so long? 19 Ms. Paul has testified that this second background 20 A. I was out of the office until June 8th. 20 check contained more information, and if I'd be 21 Q. Did this background check give you more allowed a couple more questions, I believe the 21 information than the first one? 22 22 specific relevance of what Ms. Paul will testify 23 23 to, and specifically, the additional information A. Yes, it did. 24 Q. Okay. I'd like to turn -- I'd like you to 24 will become clear. turn to what's been marked for identification as 25 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I'll allow it

Page 173 Page 175 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION for now. Thank you. 2 2 that on a hearsay phone call with the county 3 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 3 auditor's office, but I don't see anything in the 4 Q. Ms. Paul, in review of the information 4 application materials that explain what it means to 5 contained in the second background check, did you have a business related legal proceeding. 5 discover any business related legal proceedings? 6 6 To the extent that this is new information 7 7 A. Yes, I did. that I was not previously provided with, I would Q. What did you discover? 8 request an opportunity to reopen Mr. Trick's 8 9 A. I discovered a federal tax lien that was 9 testimony to ask him about this, as I had no 10 cited on April 15th, 2011, and it's against small 10 opportunity -- he wasn't cross examined about it business owner William A. Trick in the amount of 11 11 either, and I had no opportunity on direct to ask 12 12 him about this or what he understood by the term \$31.457.04. 13 Q. Would you please direct us to where in the 13 business related legal proceeding, or what this 14 exhibit this lien is referenced? 14 proceeding even was. 15 A. This is in the comprehensive report on 15 So I think that the way in which this has 16 16 been presented leaves me without any opportunity to page 7. 17 Q. So Ms. Paul --17 18 A. It's the second one down on the list. 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe 19 Q. Okay. Ms. Paul, what is the concern 19 you'll find that in the record, on June 12th, staff 20 related to this business related legal proceeding? 20 filed an amended exhibit list containing this 21 A. Well, it is a concern, because it's 21 information. Mr. Wall was also disclosed this 22 business related. I confirmed that through the 22 information. Although staff had no obligation to 23 King County Auditor's Office, and Mr. Trick did not 23 disclose it to him before the brief adjudicated disclose this on his application. proceeding began, staff wanted to be forthcoming, 24 24 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I'd like to 25 25 and in the interest of full disclosure, provided Page 174 Page 176 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. 2 him with this document. So he did have an 3 3 MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on opportunity to question Mr. Trick. the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was 4 MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's 4 5 not initially considered by the staff or in the 5 in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has 6 memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is 6 additional bases by which they are asserting that 7 7 no reference to this, and this is the first that the application should be denied, those were 8 I've -- I've heard of this from opposing counsel. 8 certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you 9 MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? 9 know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. 10 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going 11 MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant 11 to allow it. I see that -- that there's a lot of 12 to whether a business related legal proceeding was 12 information here about various proceedings, and I 13 disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system 13 will allow you to reopen and further cross -- or 14 was not available until June 1st. She was out of 14 further direct examination of Mr. Trick. 15 the office until the 8th. I requested this 15 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. 16 information on the 10th, noticed that through her 16 MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul -- your Honor, 17 investigation of the document, that there was a 17 may I continue? 18 business related legal proceeding. 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. 19 There's no way that staff could have 19 BY MR. WALL: 20 included this on our exhibit list on -- which was 20 Q. Ms. Paul, about this business related legal proceeding, or the lien that you described --21 due on June 4th, because we didn't have it. And I 21 would disagree with Mr. Wall, that staff is limited 22 22 A. Yes. 23 to what is contained in staff's memorandum. 23 Q. -- what makes you think it's business 24 MR. WALL: Counsel is assuming that this 24

is a business related legal proceeding, and basing

25

25

A. It is -- when I contacted the King County

Page 177 Page 179 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 auditor, when they told me that it was business 2 A. Yes, he did. 3 related. It was a federal tax lien from the IRS 3 Q. Did he speak with you about the 4 for self-employed small business, William A. Trick. 4 application? Q. Did it specifically say small business? 5 5 A. No, he did not. 6 A. Yes. it did. 6 Q. How do you know that he called, then? 7 Q. I'd like to turn to Mr. Trick's criminal 7 A. The investigative team has documentation 8 history at this time. Has -- you've already 8 where we can document phone calls, and there is 9 testified that staff completed a background check 9 documentation that Mr. Trick called one of the 10 on Mr. Trick. Did staff conduct a criminal 10 investigators of our team. 11 background check on everyone in the application? Q. Have you seen the notes? 11 12 A. Yes. 12 A. Yes, I have. 13 Q. Does Mr. Trick have any recent charges or 13 Q. What guidance did Mr. Trick receive? 14 convictions? 14 A. The call came in in December of 2014 from 15 A. Yes. He had a conviction on August 13th, 15 Mr. Trick, and he asked about a -- whether he 2011 for driving while under the influence, and 16 16 needed to disclose a reckless driving offense on 17 that was amended to reckless driving. 17 the application, and he was told that -- to provide 18 Q. Did you investigate into this offense? 18 as much information as possible, and that he should 19 A. Yes, I did. 19 disclose as much as he could. The more, the Q. What did you discover? 20 20 better. 21 A. I discovered that he received 364 days 21 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, the testimony jail time with 360 days suspended. He also 22 22 I'm about to offer is in direct response to 23 received a \$5,000 fine, with 650 suspended, and 23 Mr. Trick's testimony about his calling staff. I 24 that he received 24 months supervision. 24 would like to present Ms. Paul with the notes that 25 Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, but that means 25 she's just now referenced that she viewed, and I Page 178 Page 180 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, 2 would like permission to do so. 3 and received 24 months of supervision? 3 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to 4 A. That's what the record states, correct. 4 this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously 5 Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his 5 provided. It was previously known to staff that 6 6 application? this existed, and is only now being brought forth. 7 7 A. No, he did not. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his 8 8 that it would not be subject to disclosure, at 9 application? 9 least being listed on an exhibit list. 10 A. No, he did not. 10 MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely 11 Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to 11 for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. 12 staff in making this recommendation for denial? 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into 13 A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that 13 the phone call that he made to staff. In fact. 14 Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the 14 that was part of his testimony as to why he filled 15 Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal 15 out the application the way that he did. And he 16 history, and he has not -- he has not disclosed 16 indicated an -- an individual by name that he had 17 anything on his current application, and he also 17 spoken to. 18 hid his identity in the B&Z Moving application. 18 MR. WALL: And I think the orthodox method 19 Q. Let me come back to the B&Z Moving of impeachment would, then, be to ask him about 19 20 application in a little bit. Could someone call 20 this exhibit, but not to substantively admit it. 21 staff and ask questions about how to fill out the 21 When an exhibit -- even if an exhibit is solely for 22 application? 22 impeachment purposes, it's not actually admitted 23 A. Yes, they can. 23 into the record. 24 Q. Did Mr. Trick call staff to ask about this 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?

MR. O'CONNELL: I don't fully understand

25

25

application?

Page 181 Page 183 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: Your Honor, not to interrupt, 2 Mr. -- Mr. Wall's argument. 2 3 3 but before we begin with this, you know, if this is MR. WALL: Would you like me to restate being read into the record, I'd ask that only the 4 it? 4 5 5 relevant portions be read in, and those that -- the JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please do. MR. WALL: Yes. The argument is that when 6 portions that are purely for impeachment as 6 an exhibit is purely for impeachment purposes, as 7 7 Mr. O'Connell stated. you said it may be read from and discussed, but is 8 8 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So what are you 9 not admitted into the record as substantive 9 suggesting be read into the record, then? 10 10 MR. WALL: Whatever it is Mr. O'Connell is evidence. 11 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, then I would 11 asserting impeaches prior testimony, and not 12 just ask that I allow Ms. Paul to read from it, as 12 additional information. 13 Mr. Wall has indicated. 13 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe it 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall? 14 all impeaches Mr. Trick's prior testimony. There's 15 MR. WALL: I would -- just the same only two paragraphs. 15 objection as before, that I was never previously 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we go ahead 16 provided with this document, and to the extent that 17 and read it into the record, and you can voice your 17 it goes beyond impeachment, I think it's 18 18 objection, considering that this is the first time 19 objectionable. 19 that you've had a chance to look at it. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And in the BAP, it's 20 20 A. Go ahead? 21 unfortunately or fortunately typical where we don't 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, go ahead. have pre-filed testimony or exhibits, and that's --22 22 A. This is dated December 29th, 2014. Billy that's the way things are conducted on a short time 23 23 called and left me a message asking for a call back frame. So I'm going to allow it to be read into 24 24 to (206)380-6463, as he had questions about 25 the record. I don't even have a copy of it. 25 household good permit application. Page 182 Page 184 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 MR. O'CONNELL: I have a copy for you, 2 I looked up Billy's number and saw that he 3 was related to B&Z Moving, but he did not receive a your Honor. I wanted to apprise Mr. Wall --3 permit, only his partner did. I called and left a 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Absolutely. 5 message with my first name and phone number, and MR. O'CONNELL: -- and allow you to hear 5 asked for a call back. Billy called me back, and 6 the argument on it. 6 7 he had questions about his permit application. I 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Absolutely, but it will not be entered as -- admitted as an exhibit. 8 transferred him to Tina, but she is out until 8 9 January 6th. 9 I should say, for clarification purposes, that I 10 did rule on SP-3, and that will be admitted in its 10 I then went to talk to Suzanne, and Dave 11 entirety. 11 Pratt was also there, as Billy had applied for a 12 (Staff Exhibit SP-3 admitted into 12 permit before, but staff had recommended his 13 13 application be denied. I just let them know that evidence.) 14 he had application questions. Then he said I could 14 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, may I 15 answer his questions and to just respond that he approach? 15

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

A. Okay.

BY MR. O'CONNELL:

that you referred to?

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.

A. Yes. You want me to read the entire

give the context, so start at the beginning.

Q. Ms. Paul, would you please read the note

Q. I think it would be appropriate for you to

A. Read the whole thing? Okay.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

week.

needs to answer fully and thoroughly as possible.

only to have -- only that they have to do drug and

alcohol testing in CDL trucks. I then called Billy

answer his questions, as Tina is gone until next

He wanted to know if he needed to include

a reckless driving ticket from three years ago, and

I said that he should be as complete as possible,

back and was -- and told him that I will try to

I asked them about the CDL requirement,

Page 185 Page 187 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 and it is usually better to give more information. 2 A. Okay. He then asked about the CDL requirement, and I said 3 3 Q. Are you there? it was only if CDL trucks, and that I had checked A. Yes. 4 5 on that. 5 Q. Will you please identify this document? 6 He then also had a question about the 6 A. This is the Kitsap County Superior Court 7 applicant name at the end of the application, and 7 information and certificate of probable cause for 8 he had been told to put his business name and then 8 William A. Trick. 9 sign his name. I said that should be fine, but if 9 Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 10 he is concerned, he can always put his name doing 10 1999 conviction? 11 business as business name. 11 A. Yes, it is. 12 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or 12 Q. Have you reviewed this as part of your 13 a partnership. I said it depended on how he was 13 investigation? 14 registered with Washington state. He said he was A. Yes. 14 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as Q. Does this document contain details about 15 15 an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability 16 16 the nature and extent of the crime? 17 corporation, but he should check how he is 17 A. Yes. it does. 18 registered. 18 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention 19 He then asked about the legal name and 19 to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 20 trade name on the first page of the application. I 20 A. Okav. 21 said the legal name is how they are registered. I 21 Q. Will you please identify this document? 22 then said the trade name would be anything else 22 A. Yes. This is Kitsap County Superior Court 23 they go by. 23 statement of defendant on plea of guilty for 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 24 William A. Trick. 25 stop. Is any of this relevant? 25 Q. Is this connected to Mr. Trick's 1999 Page 186 Page 188 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 2 2 MR. O'CONNELL: No. your Honor. And I conviction? 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 3 A. Yes, it is. irrelevant information. 4 4 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything 5 5 investigation? in the remaining half of the second paragraph that 6 6 A. Yes. is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 7 7 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention 8 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that 8 to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. 9 information has already been covered. 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And 10 10 Q. Can you please identify that document? 11 Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior 11 12 MR. WALL: Yes. 12 Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. 13 Trick. 14 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 14 Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 15 Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit 15 1999 conviction? in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 16 16 A. Yes, it is. 17 conviction. What was that offense? 17 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your 18 A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of 18 investigation? 19 two counts of child molestation in the first A. Yes. 19 20 dearee. 20 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention Q. Did you investigate into that offense? 21 21 to what's been labeled for identification as 22 A. Yes, I did. 22 Exhibit SP-9. Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to 23 23 A. Okav. what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for 24 24 Q. Will you please identify that? 25 identification. 25 A. Yes. This is Kitsap County Superior

Page 191 Page 189 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 BY MR. O'CONNELL: Court, and it's the judgment and sentence for 3 3 William A. Trick. Q. Ms. Paul, I want to ask you about the nature and extent of the crime. Who were the Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 4 4 5 5 1999 conviction? victims? 6 A. The victims were two seven-year-old twin 6 A. Yes. it is. 7 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your 7 sisters, and they were -- Mr. Trick was acquainted with the girls through their mother, who -- he had 8 investigation? 8 9 A. Yes. 9 a working relationship with their mother. 10 10 Q. Where did Mr. Trick work? MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers A. Mr. Trick was in the Navy at the time of 11 Exhibits SP-6, 7, 8 and 9. 11 12 MR. WALL: No objection to these exhibits. 12 his offense. 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted. 13 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object 14 (Staff Exhibits 6 through 9 admitted into 14 to this line of testimony, only in that it's 15 15 cumulative, and we've been over this several times evidence.) BY MR. O'CONNELL: 16 previously. 16 Q. Ms. Paul, I'd also like you to turn to 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell? 17 what's been labeled for identification as Exhibit MR. O'CONNELL: I don't understand the bar 18 18 SP-4. It's already been dealt with in prior 19 19 on not allowing Ms. Paul to testify about her 20 testimony. 20 investigation into the details of the crime. I 21 A. Okay. 21 don't think it's cumulative. 22 Q. Will you please identify that document? 22 MR. WALL: The two -- I mean, the two A. Yes. This is the King County Sheriff's statements just made -- or the two questions just 23 23 24 Office Offender -- Sex Offender web site, and it 24 asked were two details that we've already heard 25 shows William A. Trick. 25 previously. Page 190 Page 192 1 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of 3 your investigation? it. I think we haven't actually heard about the 3 full details of what happened. I don't think we'll 4 4 A. Yes, I have. 5 be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think 5 Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately 6 that it's been entirely discussed about what depict the web page that you viewed? 6 7 Ms. Paul -- what went into Ms. Paul's investigation 7 A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web 8 and her understanding of the crimes that were 8 9 9 committed. page? 10 A. I reviewed it this morning. 10 MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your 11 Q. Does this document contain information 11 Honor? 12 about the nature and extent of the crime? 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. 13 13 BY MR. O'CONNELL: A. Yes, it does. 14 Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the 14 Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, Navy at the time of the offense? 15 15 correct? A. Yes. 16 A. No. 16 Q. Do the other exhibits contain more 17 Q. What happened to his naval service after 17 18 information? 18 his conviction? A. Mr. Trick received an other than honorable 19 19 A. Yes, there is more information. 20 discharge because of the felony sex offense, and 20 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers 21 SP-4. 21 he's also listed as RE-4, which means he is not 22 MR. WALL: No objections. 22 eligible to reapply into the Navy, and also, he has a lifetime ban from entering the base. So --23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So 23 24 24 Q. How did you learn this information? admitted. 25 25 A. I contacted the Department of Defense and (Staff Exhibit 4 admitted into evidence.)

Page 193 Page 195 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 2 talked about Mr. Trick and felony sex offenders, 2 of guilty. 3 3 and they said that there is a lifetime ban on A. Okay. 4 Mr. Trick from entering the base, either to or 4 Q. Would you please turn to page 5? 5 from. So if allowed a permit to perform household 5 A. Okav. 6 goods, he would not be able to go onto the base. 6 Q. Would you please read line 7 at the top of 7 Q. Did you ask specifically about Mr. Trick? 7 the page? 8 A. Yes, I did. 8 A. I plead guilty to the crime of child 9 9 MR. WALL: Objection, calls for hearsay. molestation in the first degree, two counts, as 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: How is that hearsay? 10 charged in the information. Q. Would you please move down to the middle MR. WALL: I think the question is about 11 11 12 the -- well, to the extent that the question calls 12 of the page where a checked box has an X on it? 13 for hearsay, in that it's asking about what 13 Would you please read the line next to the checked Ms. Paul -- information Ms. Paul elicited from box? 14 14 15 another party that's not -- another entity that's 15 A. Yes. The defendant had previously read 16 not been called or isn't presenting. 16 the entire statement above, and the defendant JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell? 17 17 understood it in full. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have several 18 18 Q. I would like you to turn to Exhibit SP-6, 19 responses. First of all, this is an administrative 19 the information and certificate of probable cause 20 proceeding, and hearsay is permissible. 20 for Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. 21 Second, this is offered not only for the 21 A. Okav. 22 truth in the matter asserted, but also, as part of 22 Q. Does this document contain details of the 23 Ms. Paul's investigation into what happened. And I 23 nature and extent of the crime? believe it would be admissible even if hearsay was 24 24 A. Yes, it does. 25 objectionable in an administrative hearing. 25 Q. Would you please turn to the last page? Page 196 Page 194 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. 2 A. Okav. I'm there. 3 Ms. Paul? 3 Q. I want to draw your attention to the BY MR. O'CONNELL: 4 4 paragraphs in the middle of the page. 5 5 Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire A. Okav. about Mr. Trick? 6 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I 6 7 7 A. Yes, I did. do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm 8 Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the 8 going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record 9 details about the nature and extent of the crime. 9 naval base? 10 10 A. That is correct. because I believe it's relevant in a determination Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though 11 11 as to whether the nature and the extent it will his conviction was 15 years ago? 12 12 interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony 13 13 good moving industry -- company. 14 sex offender for life from the base. 14 MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that 15 Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being 15 the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul 16 around children? 16 reading it into the record is cumulative and 17 A. On the King County web site, yes. It 17 unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which indicates that he had a history of volunteering at 18 18 Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in daycares and children overseas services, and also, this proceeding. I don't think that the details 19 19 that he served as a soccer and wrestling coach. 20 20 need to be any further explained than they already Q. Did he plead guilty in his 1999 21 21 previously have been. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to agree 22 conviction? 22 A. Yes, he did. 23 23 with that. We've already admitted it into the

record. I can certainly read it when I'm

formulating my decision.

24

25

Q. Okay. I'd like you to turn to what's been

accepted as Exhibit SP-7. This is Mr. Trick's plea

24

25

Page 197 Page 199 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 that the offender may use threats to commit the To the extent that Ms. Paul needs to 3 3 discuss certain aspects of it in relation to it crime. They groom their victims. It's predatory 4 interfering with -- with operating a household good 4 in nature. They use a position of trust to commit 5 carrier's business, that's fine, but we don't need 5 the crime. And typically, the offender does not to go into this kind of detail. 6 appreciate the damage done to the victims. 6 7 7 Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. So to be clear, 8 8 Ms. Paul will be able to address the details if it commit his crime? 9 comes up in her evaluation? 9 A. Yes, he did. 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't 10 Q. How so? 11 need to read the entire thing into the record. 11 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, 12 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 12 and it was a sense of trust between that 13 Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to 13 relationship. 14 prison time? 14 Q. Are you familiar with how sex offender 15 A. Yes, he was. 15 levels in this state are determined? Q. How long? 16 16. A. Yes, I am. Q. Are you very experienced in this? A. He received sixty-seven months for this 17 17 18 offense. 18 A. No, I'm not. 19 Q. And when was he released? 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex 20 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 20 offender levels are set, correct? 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 A. That is correct. 22 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 22 Q. But did you research at all how these 23 offender. 23 levels are set? 24 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 level means? 25 Q. What did you do? Page 198 Page 200 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 A. Yes, I did. 2 A. I reviewed statute 72.09.345, which gives Q. What did you do? 3 the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority 3 A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web 4 to assign sex levels to sex offenders -- or risk 4 5 site for definition of sex offender levels. 5 levels to sex offenders before the offender is released from prison. Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked 6 6 7 7 as Exhibit SP-5 for identification. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this time would ask that the Commission take official 8 8 A. Okay. 9 9 Q. Will you please identify this document? notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to 10 A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions. 10 Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495, 11 What are the different sex offender levels, and 11 subsection 2. 12 what do they mean. 12 MR. WALL: No objections. 13 Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted. 14 your investigation? 14 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 15 15 Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee A. Yes, I have. Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately 16 16 assign the risk level? 17 depict the web page that you viewed? 17 A. They assign the level before the offender 18 A. Yes. 18 is released from prison. 19 Q. When was the last time you viewed this web 19 Q. And what records and information do they 20 have access to when they're setting that level? 20 page? 21 A. I reviewed this web page this morning. 21 A. According to the statute, they have access 22 Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean? 22 to all relevant records, and that includes the 23 A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level 23 pre-sentence investigation report. 24 means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend 24 Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to 25 and that there is more than one victim. It means 25 obtain the pre-sentence investigation report as

Page 201 Page 203 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 part of your evaluation and investigation? 2 A. No. 3 3 A. No, I was not. Q. Does staff have any say in what his risk Q. Why not? 4 level is? 5 A. It was sealed. 5 A. No. 6 Q. But is that a record that the review 6 Q. So after Mr. Trick was released from 7 committee would have access to before assigning a 7 prison, was he on supervised release? 8 8 risk level? A. Yes, he was on supervision for 9 9 A. Yes, they would review that before they approximately three years. He had been released 10 assign the level. 10 from prison May 21st, 2004, and he was released Q. How do you know that they would? 11 from supervision on April 3rd, 2007. 11 12 A. It says -- it says in the statute that 12 Q. Were there conditions on his release? 13 they will review all relevant records. 13 A. Yes, there were conditions. Q. And is the pre-sentence investigation 14 14 Q. What were they? 15 report specifically named in the statute? 15 A. No contact with minors. He is required to 16 A. Yes, it is. 16 complete the sexual offender treatment program. Q. Do you know anything about what diagnostic 17 17 Also, no alcohol or controlled substance abuse, tools the review committee uses? 18 18 counseling, and to obey all laws. 19 A. Yes, I do. 19 Q. Did Mr. Trick get married after his 20 Q. What do they they use? 20 supervised release ended? 21 A. Since April of 2009, they use the 21 A. He did. He was released from supervision 22 Minnesota Sex Offender tool and the STATIC 99 to 22 in April 2007, and then married a woman with, I 23 assign risk levels to sex offenders prior to 23 believe, two young children months after his 24 offenders being released from prison. 24 release from supervision. 25 Previously, the committee had used an 25 Q. Does this timing raise a concern to staff? Page 202 Page 204 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 2 assessment guide called the Washington State Sex 2 A. Yes. 3 Offender Risk Level Classification, revised 1999, 3 Q. Why? instrument. A big, long name. 4 4 A. It appears that Mr. Trick put himself in a Q. How did you learn that they used these 5 5 position of risk. His supervision for three years tools? 6 had been not to be around minors. And yet, he had 6 7 A. I contacted a Department of Corrections 7 a relationship with a woman, in fact, did marry End of Sentence Review Committee member. 8 her, and she had small children. Q. We learned today from Mr. Trick that he 9 9 Q. Do you know when -- do you know anything 10 10 else about these tools? had met this woman in 2005. Does that contribute 11 11 A. No. at all to your evaluation? 12 12 Q. Do you know when the Minnesota tool was A. It really is a concern to Commission 13 last updated? 13 staff, because he -- it appears that he had a 14 A. Yes, that was updated in 2012. 14 relationship and was around minor children when he 15 Q. Do you know anything else about these? 15 was on supervision and should not have been around 16 children or minors. 16 A. No. 17 Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, 17 Q. Do you know for sure that he was around 18 18 correct? those children during the supervised release? 19 A. That is correct. 19 A. No, I don't know for sure. However, he 20 20 Q. And the review committee assigned that to did marry her within months of his supervision. 21 21 Q. Okay. I want to change gears a little bit 22 A. The review committee assigned that to him 22 and ask you about household good movers. 23 23 before he was released from prison. A. Okav. Q. Did you have any role in assigning him 24 24 Q. Please tell us, Ms. Paul, what does it that risk level? 25 25 mean to properly operate as a household good mover?

2.4

Page 205

#### rage 200

A. It means that the company is willing and table to perform the services proposed and to conform to applicable laws and rules. The company must be in the interest of the public. They must be able to pass a background check, and the application must be complete and no false or misleading statements provided.

# Q. Okay. But what is expected of a household goods mover?

A. To be a household good mover, you have to be trustworthy. You are going into people's homes and handling customers' personal property, often with very little oversight from the owner.

It also means the company must pass background checks, and they also must request background checks on any employees. And they are trusted to hire employees with a satisfactory background. They're trusted to correctly document hours on the job, employees' breaks, travel rates, minimum hour rates.

There's just so many documents that are required to successfully perform a household goods move. And household good movers are trusted to interact not only with the customer, but with any

SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION would not know that.

# Q. But they're capable of going on line and searching, correct?

A. If they had a desire to do so and if they knew the person's name fully.

Q. Okay. I want to talk about whether -- I want to ask you about whether Mr. Trick's conviction would interfere with the proper operation of a household good moving company. What is Mr. Trick's role with Five Stars Moving?

A. Mr. Trick's role is as the president and CEO of the company.

Q. And who is listed in the materials that Five Stars submitted as the primary company contact?

A. Mr. Trick.

Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be in homes moving things?

21 A. Yes, it does.

Q. What does it say?

A. It says that he will fill in for all and any roles, as needed, for the company.

Q. You testified earlier that Five Stars has

Page 206

SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children.

#### Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover?

A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background.

And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company.

In fact, UTC not only issues the permits for household good carriers, but they also provide training. Advertising by the UTC always says, hire a permitted company only for your own personal safety and the safety of your belongings.

Q. So when someone in the community hires a household good mover, how would they know if a mover was a level 2 sex offender?

A. Almost in all cases, I would say they

Page 208

Page 207

SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer -- or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer.

From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for?

A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles.

Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility?

A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his — in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that the president will fill in for all or any roles, as needed.

He indicated that it's his job to make every customer feel comfortable with complete strangers moving their memories, and that lots of companies are more interested in immediate revenue as opposed to a personal relationship that they should have with each customer.

Page 209 Page 211 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 Q. Does the application identify who in the and possibly young children that may be present, 3 company will be responsible for ensuring compliance 3 and there is very little oversight. It's hectic on 4 with state laws and Commission rules? 4 a move. You know, the owners are -- are moving 5 A. Yes. That's William Trick. 5 around. 6 Q. Are there regular filings that household 6 Mr. Trick has a history of using a 7 good movers are expected to submit to the 7 position of trust. He developed a work 8 8 Commission? relationship, and abused this woman's children. He A. Yes, household good movers are expected to 9 9 uses a position of trust to get close to his 10 victims. If granted a household goods permit, it 10 submit annual reports. 11 would offer Mr. Trick numerous opportunities to 11 Q. And what is the Commission's expectations 12 develop relationships, and he would be in a 12 about the contents of those filings? position of trust. 13 13 A. Well, the Commission depends on those It's also a concern that he did marry a 14 reports to determine the regulatory fees. 14 15 woman months after his release from supervision 15 Household good movers are required to submit maybe 16 16 how many moves they have made, how many trucks they when he was to not have contact with minors, which 17 17 it shows that he has some poor decision making and have. There's just a lot of information that is 18 maybe some unclear judgment on who he develops 18 required from the company to send in. 19 relationships with. He again married another 19 Q. Does the Commission rely upon those 20 woman, also with a small child. 20 reports being truthful and forthcoming? 21 The Navy and Mr. Trick, an other than 21 A. Yes, it absolutely needs to be truthful. 22 honorable discharge, and they have banned him from 22 Q. So who, telling from Five Stars' the base forever. He can't go on there. And yet, 23 23 application and materials, would be responsible for 24 Mr. Trick expects the Commission to grant him an 24 submitting the annual reports and filings like that 25 application to go into people's homes, be around 25 with the Commission? Page 210 Page 212 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. William Trick. 2 families and children, and unsupervised. 3 Q. Does that concern staff in this case? 3 What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been 4 4 attempted to hide his -- his identity, really, with 5 5 misleading to the Commission on this permit B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did 6 application and on the previous application in 2013 6 not include any information about him, and he did 7 for B&Z Moving. 7 not disclose any of his convictions on his current 8 Q. Okay. I want to -- I want to come back to 8 application. 9 B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making 9 Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in 10 the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? 10 just a moment. Is it your understanding that 11 A. Mr. Trick. 11 Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level 12 Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? 12 reduced? 13 13 A. I'm sorry, can you -- can you say that 14 Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony 14 again? 15 conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two 15 Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick 16 seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating 16 could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? 17 Five Stars Moving as a household good moving 17 A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he 18 company? 18 19 A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender 19 Q. What is your understanding of that

A. It's actually the End of Sentence Review

offender is released from prison, and then -- but

it is a recommendation, and the law enforcement can

Committee assigns the risk level before the

20

21

22

23

24

25

process?

alter that risk level.

20

21

22

23

24

25

with a moderate risk of re-offending, as shown on

The concerns are that household good

the King County web site, and there is no time

movers are trusted to go -- to interact not only

with customers, but with their family and friends,

limit on that risk level.

Page 215 Page 213 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 Q. And when someone wants to have the risk 2 permit. However, though, Mr. Trick would not be 3 3 level reduced, who makes that determination? allowed to have any part of the company. 4 Q. Can I have you turn to what's been marked 4 A. That would be the law enforcement agency. 5 for identification as Exhibit SP-2? It's been 5 So it would be King County --6 Q. And --6 discussed in prior testimony. 7 7 A. -- or Mr. Trick. A. Okay. 8 Q. Will you please identify that document? 8 Q. -- do you know what tools they would use 9 in assessing that reduction? 9 A. Yes. This is the notice of intent to deny A. I believe they use the same tools, the application for permanent authority for Five Stars 10 10 Minnesota -- I forgot the name. Minnesota Sex Moving & Storage LLC. 11 11 Offender tool and the STATIC 99. Q. Have you reviewed this as part of your 12 12 investigation? 13 Q. And those are the current tools --13 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes, I have. 15 Q. -- that the state uses? 15 Q. Okay. So Ms. Paul, how does this order affect staff's recommendation in this case? 16 16 A. Yes. Q. So you mentioned it a couple times. So I 17 A. Well, the attempt to mislead is -- is 17 want to ask you about B&Z Moving. 18 still present by Mr. Trick, because he did not 18 disclose his felony offense. He did not disclose 19 19 20 Q. Is staff familiar with Mr. Trick from 20 his driving under the influence, which was amended to a reckless driving. He did not disclose his 21 other applications for a household good moving 21 business related legal proceeding. It was just 22 company? 22 obvious that he -- he does not want Commission 23 A. Yes, staff became aware of Mr. Trick in 23 24 2013 through B&Z Moving. 24 staff to be aware of his background. 25 Q. And how was Mr. Trick connected with that 25 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff would Page 214 Page 216 1 **SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 application? 2 ask that the Commission take official notice of the 3 A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was 3 administrative order that Ms. Paul has just operating as a household good company without the identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative 4 4 5 required permit. Staff set up a move, and 5 Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming 6 official notice of administrative orders. 6 7 7 the move, and identified himself as one of the MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking 8 8 notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z 9 investigation. I'd only note that it's not 9 10 Moving and told them to cease operations as a 10 precedential or binding on this. 11 household goods mover until they came in and got 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I 12 the appropriate permit to operate. 12 can clarify, because I think you may have 13 The application did come in, and staff 13 referenced this as the notice of intent to deny, 14 found it to be misleading, because there was no 14 and this is actually the initial order denying application for permanent authority in docket 15 mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by 15 16 Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick 16 TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving 17 was attempting to hide his involvement with the 17 LLC, is that correct? 18 MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the 18 company. 19 order from B&Z Moving. The docket number that you 19 There was a hearing, and the Commission cited is correct. The -- the numbering issue with 20 determined that B&Z did stand for Billy Trick and 20 the exhibits, I apologize for. I thought it was 21 Zack Gripp, and that the Commission noted a lack of 21 22 integrity on the application for not being truthful 22 SP-2. on the application. The permit was denied because 23 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. 24 of the attempt to mislead staff of ownership, and 24 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. That is the --25 Mr. Gripp was told that he could reapply for the 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, but I think

Page 217 Page 219 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 2 someone had mentioned that this was the notice of Q. Could staff support a plan where Mr. Trick 3 3 intent to deny in the current docket, and that's promised to be involved only as an administrator 4 not the case. 4 for the company? 5 5 MR. O'CONNELL: Correct. That is not the A. No. 6 notice of intent. It is the B&Z Moving case --6 Q. Why not? 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. 7 A. Because he's already stated in his 8 MR. O'CONNELL: -- initial order. 8 business plan that he will fill in for any and all 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Then I'll take 9 roles of the company, and it's not a big company. 10 official notice of that. Thank you. 10 He has, you know, unhired staff and maybe one other 11 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 11 person to help him with a move, and if the move is 12 12 Q. Ms. Paul, how long ago was B&Z Moving's large, in order to have his business successful, he 13 application denied? 13 would need to go and go into people's homes and help out with the move. 14 A. That was in 2013. So probably just --14 15 just over two years. 15 Q. Okay. So Ms. Paul, just let me ask you, 16 Q. What is the public concern if a household 16 why is staff recommending denial of this 17 good mover is characterized as misleading or has a 17 application? 18 lack of integrity? 18 A. Staff is recommending denial because, 19 19 A. It means that the company hides things, number one, the nature and extent of the 1999 20 that they -- you know, they didn't disclose 20 felony conviction of two counts of child 21 important information. They're not willing to 21 molestation in the first degree. 22 follow the laws and the rules. It's -- it's like a 22 Also, that he is a current registered sex 23 trust for the company to go into their their homes. 23 offender, a level 2, with a -- with a risk -- a 24 It's a potential risk to customers, and the company 24 moderate risk to re-offend, and moderate risk is 25 cannot be trusted to do the right thing at the 25 simply just too much risk. Page 218 Page 220 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 2 right time. 2 And additionally, Mr. Trick has not been willing to disclose his criminal history, and it 3 Q. Did you use Facebook at all to investigate 3 4 into Mr. Trick? 4 was surprising, really, on the second application, 5 on the current one for Five Stars, because he knew 5 A. Yes. I did. Q. Mr. Trick had testified today information 6 6 that we knew about the 1999 sex offense and child 7 about his drinking habits. Did you notice anything 7 molestation, yet he failed to include that on his 8 on Facebook that indicated information to you about 8 application. 9 his drinking habits? 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't have 10 10 A. Yes, I did. I noticed three different any more questions for Mr. Paul. 11 photos of him with alcohol in -- in his hand 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Cross, 12 drinking a beer, and I believe he testified that if 12 Mr. Wall? 13 he drinks, his wife, Ashley, doesn't drink. But in 13 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. one of the photos, both of them have a beer in 14 **CROSS EXAMINATION** 14 15 their hand. 15 BY MR. WALL: 16 Q. Okay. Are there any conditions that the 16 Q. Ms. Paul, were you involved in the staff 17 Commission could impose on this license to operate 17 recommendation in the B&Z Moving matter? 18 that would mitigate the risks that Mr. Trick 18 19 presents? 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move -- I'm 20 A. No. 20 sorry, could you move your microphone closer to 21 Q. Why not? 21 you? A. Well, Mr. Trick has shown time and time 22 22 MR. WALL: Sure. I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's okay. 23 again that he hides things, that he is -- attempts 23 24 to mislead Commission staff on his background, and 24 BY MR. WALL: 25 that he is not willing or able to follow the rules. 25 Q. I'm sorry, what was your answer?

Page 221 Page 223 1 **SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION** 1 **SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION** 2 A. No. 2 absolute bar? 3 Q. I want to look back at that SP -- I 3 A. No, it can go longer than five years, I believe it's SP-2. I'm looking at the initial 4 believe. I'd have to look at the rule. I think 4 5 order denying the application for a permanent 5 it's 480-15-302, but I don't have it in front of 6 authority in B&Z Moving. 6 7 A. SP-2. Okay. 7 Q. I don't have 480-15-302 in front of me 8 Q. And if you turn to page 4, paragraph 18, 8 either, but would it sound accurate to you that if 9 the second sentence says, however, because 9 a crime had been -- one of the specified crimes had 10 Mr. Trick subsequently decided to prioritize his 10 been committed within the last five years, the UTC 11 career options as a union construction laborer, 11 will deny authority? 12 Mr. Gripp is now pursuing the moving business as a 12 A. Yes. 13 sole proprietor. 13 Q. And if it's been longer, then they will 14 Were you aware of that? 14 only deny authority when they determine that it 15 A. I -- I see that in the report, yes. 15 would likely interfere with the proper operation of 16 Q. And then if you skip on to page 6, 16 a household goods moving company? paragraph 29, disqualifying criminal record. 17 17 A. Yes. There's a discussion about whether Mr. Trick's 18 18 Q. Do you have any firsthand knowledge as to why the UTC enacted that -- and with a 19 criminal record disqualifies the company, and then 19 20 if you see the block quote there, and that's the 20 differentiation there? Do you have any 21 last paragraph on the page, and that's a quotation 21 understanding of what the difference is between 22 of the Commission rules. 22 conviction within the last five years or an older 23 It says, the Commission will not grant 23 conviction? 24 24 provisional authority if any named person has, MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, relevance. 25 within the past five years, italicized, been 25 MR. WALL: I think it's the -- it goes to Page 222 Page 224 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 2 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, the heart of the issue here, which is whether the 3 3 sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et conviction will likely interfere with the proper cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you 4 operation of a household goods moving company, and 4 5 the statute contemplates that older convictions be 5 look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. 6 6 Then it goes on to say, the Commission treated differently. 7 7 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does will not grant permanent authority if any employee 8 8 has, within the past five years, been convicted of Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules 9 9 a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and changing have to do with that? 10 10 the permanent authority. MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her 11 Are you aware of the difference in the UTC 11 understanding of the rules and how they apply in 12 rules between convictions within the last five 12 this case to her determination that a conviction 13 years and convictions that are longer out than five 13 would interfere with the proper operation. 14 years? 14 MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected 15 15 to the form of the question, because that's not A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and 16 since then, the rule has been changed. 16 what I believe Mr. Wall was asking. 17 Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules. 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you 18 is there a differentiation between convictions in 18 rephrase? 19 the last five years and convictions longer than 19 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. 20 20 Q. How does it affect your determination five years? 21 about whether a crime will likely interfere with 21 A. Yes. It says that some -- some criminal the proper operation of a household goods moving 22 history is basically exempt from getting a permit, 22 23 depending on the nature and extent of the crime. 23 company when the crime is older than five years? 24 Q. Do you have -- within -- if a crime has 24 A. Well, I looked at the nature and extent of 25 25 been committed within the first five years, it's an the crime and, you know, I -- I reviewed that. I

Page 225 Page 227 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 2 would review that any time that someone applies for conviction is so old, that there haven't been any 3 an application and their criminal history is less 3 re-offenses of that nature, Mr. Trick would be 4 than stellar. 4 eligible? 5 Q. Turning back to SP-2 for a moment, if you 5 A. I can't really answer that, because if 6 look at paragraph 31. It says there's no ambiguity 6 this permit is denied and Mr. Trick applied again 7 in the Commission's current rule. 7 for a household goods permit, it would get the same 8 A. I'm sorry, what page did you say? 8 review as this one had, and staff would look at the 9 Q. The same page we were on previously, page 9 nature and extent of the crime and would consider 10 7. 10 that and how the application is filled out, whether A. Okay. 11 11 it's complete, whether it's, you know, truthful in Q. Paragraph 31. 12 12 its response. 13 A. Okav. 13 Q. You're familiar with the UTC WACs, Q. If you just take a moment to read it. 14 14 correct? 15 A. Okav. 15 A. I don't know them by heart, but yes. 16 Q. There's no ambiguity in the Commission's 16 Q. Are you familiar with the term labor only 17 current rule that bars convicted criminals guilty 17 moves? 18 of specified crimes from receiving household goods 18 A. Yes. 19 permits for only a period of five years. 19 Q. I'm going to talk to you about WAC 20 Staff's argument that a sex offender is 20 480-15-181. These are operations that do not 21 permanently ineligible to hold a household goods require a permit. It says, a company's operations 21 22 permit or be employed by a moving company is 22 do not require a permit from the Commission when 23 unsupported by the Commission's currently 23 the company, one, moves commercial or office goods, 24 applicable rules. 24 except with part of a household goods move. 25 Were you aware that in B&Z, despite the 25 Two, transports goods that are packed and Page 226 Page 228 1 **SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 text of the UTC WACs, the staff had taken a 2 loaded on the vehicle and unloaded by the customer. 3 position that Mr. Trick should be permanently 3 Three, transports goods which are loaded 4 barred from obtaining a household goods moving 4 and customer packed and sealed in self-storage type 5 containers in conjunction with storage when no permit? 5 6 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, relevance. 6 accessorial services are provided by the company. 7 We're here in 2015 talking about this application, 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have an 8 not the 2013 rationale by staff. 8 objection. We're talking about the denial of a 9 MR. WALL: Your Honor, the B&Z docket was 9 permit. I'm not sure that it's relevant to discuss 10 introduced by counsel for staff, and he questioned 10 operations of, you know, labor only moves. 11 Ms. Paul about it. I'd like an opportunity to 11 MR. WALL: Okay. The objection is 12 cross-examine on that point. 12 relevance? The question that I'd like to ask about 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't have a problem 13 are these WACs and their applications to 14 with that, to the extent that you're dealing with 14 Mr. Trick's business, and the extent to which he's 15 issues and topics that he actually cross examined 15 permitted to do the conduct, which the staff is 16 -- or I'm sorry, examined her on directly. I 16 concerned with preventing, which is conducting 17 believe Ms. Paul has already stated that she was 17 moves in the home. 18 not involved in that case. 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, we're here for 19 MR. WALL: All right. 19 the denial of a permit.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I would ask how

this relates, since you've already indicated that

this is - this WAC provision is essentially saying

MR. WALL: Right. And I'd like to ask

we don't have jurisdiction over these certain

20

21

22

23

24

25

moves.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Is it the staff's position in this

Yes, that's the recommendation.

moving business?

proceeding that Mr. Trick cannot be involved in any

Q. Is there some point at which the -- some

point in time at which, in the staff's view, an old

Page 231 Page 229 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 2 Q. And you don't have any expertise about the reasons for the non-assertion of 3 3 jurisdiction over moves if it -- you know, if it's predicting the risk of recidivism? A. No, I don't. a concern that Mr. Trick is in the home performing 4 4 5 moves, if there is some reason why the UTC doesn't Q. Other than the on-line research and the 5 6 phone calls you described, have you done any 6 regulate those moves. 7 7 examination of Mr. Trick to determine his risk of JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I don't know as 8 8 Ms. Paul would be qualified to answer that, so I'm recidivism? 9 going to -- I'm going to sustain the objection. 9 A. No, I haven't. 10 Q. You said that -- and correct me if I'm 10 BY MR. WALL: misstating your testimony, but I believe you 11 Q. Let's turn to SP-4. This is the King 11 testified that based on this web site, which says 12 County -- I believe, unless I've got the numbering 12 wrong, this the King County Sheriff's --13 that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk, I 13 14 believe you said that moderate risk was too much -14 A. Yes, it is. Q. -- listing? Thank you. Where it says 15 A. Yes. 15 that Mr. Trick was a coach and a volunteer, I think 16 Q. -- is that accurate? 16 you previously testified that you took that into 17 A. For a household goods permit, I believe 17 consideration in concluding that Mr. Trick had 18 18 that is too much of a risk. 19 abused positions of trust, is that accurate? 19 Q. How much risk is moderate risk? 20 A. Yes, that's accurate. 20 A. Any risk is too much risk. 21 Q. You were present in the courtroom when 21 Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to verify 22 the information on the King County Sheriff's web 22 Dr. O'Connell testified that no one is at zero risk 23 23 of offending? site? A. Yes, I was. 24 A. Well, I don't know what else I could do to 24 25 verify that. I did call King County and confirmed 25 Q. But certainly, you don't mean any risk --Page 232 Page 230 1 **SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, 2 that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to -- I've completely lost 3 there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no 3 it in the numbering, but it's the King County 4 one would be able to get a permit, right? 4 Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. 5 A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is 5 6 registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the 6 Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. 7 7 definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's 8 8 SP-5. that's what I looked at. 9 9 MR. WALL: Thank you. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate 10 A. Okav. 10 risk? 11 BY MR. WALL: 11 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of Q. Were there any other documents besides 12 knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's -- I'm 12 SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 13 sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on 13 something she doesn't have any knowledge of how 14 2 offender is at moderate risk? 14 it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's 15 A. Well, this is the accepted description of 15 set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul 16 level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, 16 17 17 MR. WALL: The question is not improper. 18 Q. So you relied on this document. And my 18 If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I 19 question was, were there any other documents that 19 don't know. 20 20 you relied on? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow 21 A. No. 21 this and allow Ms. Paul to state what she 22 Q. And I think you testified previously that 22 understands a moderate risk to be. 23 you don't have any expertise on how these levels 23 A. A moderate risk is that the offender may 24 are calculated? 24 re-offend. 25 A. No. 25 BY MR. WALL:

Page 233 Page 235 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 2 Q. When you were present today in connection offender, which was assigned by End of Sentence 3 with this proceeding and learned that Mr. Trick has 3 Review Committee, and also upheld by King County been around children for many years now without any 4 4 law enforcement. And it's not my role to assign a 5 incident of recidivism, correct? 5 risk level for any sex offender. 6 A. I do not know that. 6 Q. If a new evaluation were done with more 7 Q. But you were present when the testimony 7 accurate predictive value, would that in any way 8 was that he's been present around children --8 affect your assessment? 9 9 A. Again, it would be reviewed -- if A. Yes. 10 Q. -- without any recidivism? Does that in Mr. Trick's level changed and he reapplied for a 10 permit, that would be reviewed just as this permit any way effect your conclusion with regard to 11 11 12 was reviewed, and the nature and extent of any 12 Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism? 13 criminal history would be reviewed in detail. 13 A. The red flag for me is that Mr. Trick has 14 Q. I understand that it would be reviewed. 14 continued to place himself in a risky position. My question was whether it would change your 15 15 Months after his release from supervision, when he 16 assessment? 16 had no contact with minors, he did marry a woman 17 17 A. I can't answer that until, you know, the with small children, and then he again married a 18 situation arises. 18 woman with small children - with a small child. 19 Q. We looked at this -- at this 19 Q. So the risks that you've identified him 20 memorialization of a phone call that was had --20 placing himself into were getting into long term 21 A. Yes. 21 committed relationships, is that correct? 22 Q. -- with Mr. Trick to UTC staff. And that 22 A. Yes, that he has placed himself in 23 memorialization confirmed that Mr. Trick did, in 23 situations of potential risk. 24 fact, call the UTC to ask about his reckless Q. Are you aware that a committed long term 24 25 driving? 25 relationship is actually a mitigating factor in Page 234 Page 236 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 1 **SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION** 2 2 recidivism? 3 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, 3 Q. So although he didn't disclose it in 4 Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't 4 written form, he did disclose it orally over the 5 have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are 5 phone, is that right? 6 6 set. A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he 7 7 MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. was told that he should provide as much information 8 She did some investigation into the sex offender 8 as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of 9 9 Commission. 10 it. If she's not, she's not. 10 Q. At the time that he was speaking over the 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. 11 phone, though, in that -- in the course -- just in 12 A. I did not look into recidivism as the the context of that conversation, he disclosed it. 1,2 13 scope of my investigation. 13 correct? 14 BY MR. WALL: 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. You were present in the courtroom when 15 Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars 16 Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term 16 application. That's what's been taken judicial 17 relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of 17 notice of, or it's a --18 recidivism, correct? 18 A. I think I have it. I have it here. 19 A. I was present, yes. 19 Q. Thank you. Let me see if I have it. 20 Q. Did that new information in any way affect 20 A. It's Exhibit 1. 21 your determination of Mr. Trick's risk of Q. I don't have it. My Exhibit 16. The 21 22 recidivism? 22 questions that are at issue, I believe, are on page 23 A. No. 23 6 of the application. Would you turn to that page? 24 Q. Why not? 24 A. I'm there. 25 A. As I've stated, Mr. Trick is a level 2 sex 25 Q. At the top of the page, it says, do you

Page 237 Page 239 1 **SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION 2 have or have you ever had a business related legal 2 A. Mr. Trick went to prison for his 1999 sex 3 3 proceeding against you in Washington or in any offense. 4 Q. And there was never a citation issued to 4 other state? 5 5 A. Yes. him? 6 6 Q. Can you point me to the page on this A. Okay. But he did go to jail. 7 Q. That's true. The question here asks, has 7 application where it explains what the term any person named in the application been cited for business related legal proceeding means? 8 8 violation of state laws or Commission rules? 9 A. Well, any -- no, I can't. I mean, it's a 9 10 business related legal proceeding. So if Mr. Trick 10 A. Yes. it does. had a business related legal proceeding, he should Q. You discussed previously that Mr. Trick 11 11 12 was the individual named to ensure compliance with 12 have disclosed that. 13 the UTC rules and the annual filings? 13 Q. So it's your testimony that there is 14 nowhere else on this application where you could 14 A. Yes. 15 find information or a definition of business 15 Q. The fact that he is the sole individual related legal proceedings? 16 named on the application doesn't in any way preven 16 him from contacting others for assistance, hire an 17 A. No. I can tell you that they should call 17 attorney, or consulting with any other individuals 18 the Commission if there is any questions about the 18 who are involved in the business, does it? 19 permit when they fill it out. 19 20 Q. Going onto the next question, it says, has 20 A. No. 21 any person named in this application, within the 21 MR. WALL: No further questions, your 22 past five years, been convicted of any crime 22 Honor. involving -- there's a number of crimes listed, and 23 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. 24 This might be a good time to take a break. So how 24 one is sexual misconduct, and it asks the applicant 25 about we take a break for five, and then come back 25 to answer yes or no, whether anyone named in the Page 238 Page 240 1 **SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 application has been convicted within the past five 2 with redirect. 3 3 MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. Thank you, your years. 4 In answering that question, it's true that 4 Honor. 5 (A short recess was then taken.) 5 Mr. Trick would not need to check the yes box for his -- that question was answered accurately, 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. 6 7 7 Mr. O'Connell, you were going to continue -- or correct? A. His conviction was outside of the five 8 8 start your redirect. 9 9 years, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. Thank you, your 10 Q. Are you aware that a criminal charge can 10 Honor. At this time, just for the record, staff 11 be made and that a person can be convicted of a 11 wanted to offer SP-1, staff's memorandum. 12 crime without a citation? 12 MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. 13 A. I believe that a citation is a legal 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And you're 14 proceeding, whether or not it's before a court. 14 offering it for admission, not official notice? 15 MR. O'CONNELL: Correct. 15 Q. If I were to tell you that -- that, in 16 fact, you can have a criminal charge and a 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And so 17 proceeding and all the way to conviction and 17 admitted. Thank you. sentencing without a citation, would that be new 18 (Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into 18 19 information to you? 19 evidence.) 20 20 A. Yes. REDIRECT EXAMINATION 21 Q. Are you aware that Mr. Trick was, in fact, 21 BY MR. O'CONNELL: charged, arrested and pled guilty, but that there Q. Ms. Paul, has Mr. Trick re-offended 22 22 23 was never a citation issued to him? 23 against any children? 24 A. For what events? 24 A. Not to my knowledge. Q. For his sexual misconduct events. 25 25 Q. How would you know if he did?

Page 241 Page 243 **SUSIE PAUL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 SUSIE PAUL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY 2 A. I wouldn't know, unless he was arrested. 2 Q. You mentioned that in 2013, staff became 3 Q. Okay. Did you review the entirety of the 3 aware of a company conducting illegal moves -cord of documents before us today as part of your 4 4 5 investigation into the nature and extent of 5 Q. -- or unauthorized moves, I should say, 6 Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? 6 called B&Z? 7 A. Yes. I did. 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And does that inform your recommendation 8 Q. And I thought I heard you testify that 9 to the court -- to the Commission? 9 Mr. Trick himself was involved? 10 A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. He testified in the hearing at B&Z 11 Q. I want to talk briefly about this issue of 11 that he had been the person that had been 12 what cite means. 12 operating, and sent staff e-mails on a setup move. 13 A. Okay. 13 identified himself as owner of the company. 14 Q. On the application, it asks -- it asks, as 14 Q. Do you know if he participated in the move 15 Mr. Wall read, I believe, has any person named in 15 itself? 16 this application been cited for violation of state 16 A. Well, it was set up, so the move never 17 laws or Commission rules. Prior to today, have you 17 happened. 18 reviewed the definition of cite? 18 Q. I see. Okay. 19 A. Yes, I did. 19 A. It was undercover --20 Q. And in your review, what does cite mean? 20 Q. Got you. 21 A. It was a notification of a violation 21 A. An undercover move. 22 and/or legal proceeding requesting presence --22 Q. I'm a little fuzzy on the time frame about 23 requesting the person's presence. 23 -- regarding staff's knowledge of the reckless 24 Q. And do you recall where you read that? driving conviction. When did staff first become 24 25 A. I read that in a law book, Black's Law 25 aware of the reckless driving conviction? Page 242 Page 244 1 SUSIE PAUL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY 1 SUSIE PAUL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY 2 book. I believe. 2 A. When I received the background check. It 3 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, at this time, 3 was listed on both the watch report from the I guess -- it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the 4 4 Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive definition of a legal court, because she's not a 5 5 report that we are now using from LexisNexis. 6 lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your 6 Q. Okay. So did staff know about the 7 Honor and your legal training take official notice 7 reckless driving conviction at the time of 8 of the definition of the word cite. 8 recommendation to deny the permit application --9 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any 9 A. No. 10 objection to taking legal notice of the definition 10 Q. -- went out? 11 of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law 11 A. No. 12 Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your 12 Q. Okay. So it was after that? 13 Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just 13 A. Right. ask that it be the definition that's in -- a 14 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's 15 current, up to date version of Black's Law 15 all the questions that I have. 16 16 A. Okay. Dictionary. 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's 18 18 anything further, you are excused. Thank you for 19 MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor, 19 your testimony. 20 I don't have any more questions for Ms. Paul. 20 21 **INQUIRY** 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Now, does staff have 22 BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: 22 any other witnesses that it wishes to offer? 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. I just have maybe one 23 MR. O'CONNELL: No, staff rests, your 24 24 or two. Honor. 25 25 A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I believe we had

Page 245 Page 247 1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 discussed allowing Mr. Trick the very limited 2 Q. How did you become aware of the lien? 3 3 A. I became aware, because my wife and I were opportunity to testify on the comprehensive report and potential business violations --4 trying to buy a house, and when my mortgage broker 4 5 pulled my credit, he said, hey, you know, you have 5 MR. WALL: Right. liens against your name. And I said, oh, my gosh. 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: -- business related 6 7 So I called -- immediately called the IRS and asked 7 information. 8 how much I owed. I said, what do I need to do? 8 MR. WALL: Right. 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Mr. Trick, if you 9 She goes, well, you already satisfied the lien. want to approach the witness stand. 10 10 So they e-mailed me a form to fill out, 11 asking to remove the liens. Literally, 10 days 11 A. Yes, ma'am. 12 later, 12 days later, it was gone, and they were no 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And you are still under oath, so you can go ahead and be seated. longer on my credit report. If you look on my 13 13 14 Mr. Wall, if you'll conduct direct. 14 credit report now, in any file, it says, tax lien 15 Whereupon. 15 is satisfied. 16 Q. And did you ever have -- was there ever 16 WILLIAM TRICK, any proceeding? Did you ever have to go to a 17 having previsouly been duly sworn, testified 17 further as follows: 18 18 courtroom --A. No. 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. WALL: 20 20 Q. -- or have a hearing? 21 21 A. No, I did nothing. All I did was file my Q. Thank you, your Honor. You testified 22 previously that you completed Five Stars' 22 taxes, and that was it. I didn't -- I didn't -- I 23 23 didn't even know I had a lien until they pulled my application. credit, and they said nobody's going to lend me any 24 When you looked at this question of a 24 money from the IRS -- a tax lien from the IRS. So 25 business related legal proceeding, did you 25 Page 246 Page 248 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 understand that to encompass the IRS? 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 3 A. I did not. I looked at it as a -- did I was about. 4 own a business that went into some sort of legal Q. I just have one -- one other question. 4 5 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 Q. This was the first that I had learned of background checks, correct? 6 7 7 it. What happened with the IRS? A. Oh, yes. 8 A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 and I made a significant amount of money in what we 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the --11 and therefore, on our -- on our taxes, as we 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have 12 time in this new -- newly produced evidence of the 13 enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant 14 incident. 15 15 amount of money, and kind of let that go to the MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

It was kind of the same thing. It was

\$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a

removed, because I satisfied the lien by bringing

the total down to under \$25,000. So any lien that

was in my name, or judgment, has been removed

tax lien on me. And recently -- and it's been

because of that. But there was no business

half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

next year.

involved.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

for.

what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was

discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed

Q. Okay. The question was, were you aware

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you ask the

MR. WALL: I thought we were here to

question, and then I'll decide if it's relating to

the limited testimony.

BY MR. WALL:

Page 249 Page 251 1 **WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION** 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION that the UTC conducts full background checks of the 2 2 to him having various violations or not having 3 people listed on the application? 3 various violations of the law and getting his 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What do you mean by 4 application denied, then I don't see how it's going 5 full background checks? 5 to be relevant. 6 MR. WALL: You can strike the adjective 6 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe the 7 full. I just mean background checks. Criminal 7 relevance is that it's a business related legal 8 background checks, I guess. 8 proceeding that we're talking about. 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I'll allow it. 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, I'm going to deny 10 A. Yes, I do. The first application in --10 it. made that very clear. I put my photo MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 11 11 identification in there, knowing that they were 12 12 Q. Mr. Trick, the IRS filed a tax lien going to do a background check. There was no 13 13 against you, is that correct? 14 hiding. 14 A. Yes. 15 BY MR. WALL: 15 Q. And you did not disclose that tax lien on 16 Q. When you called them regarding the 16 the application with the UTC, is that correct? 17 reckless driving, was it your intent to conceal 17 A. That's correct. I --MR. O'CONNELL: I have no more questions, 18 that from them? 18 19 A. No. 19 your Honor. 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And actually, that's 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you. 21 -- that's beyond the scope. 21 You're dismissed, thank you. All right. So if I'm 22 MR. WALL: All right. No further correct, that ends all the testimony and we're 22 23 questions. Thank you, your Honor. 23 ready to move into closing. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. I 24 24 MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor. 25 have no further questions, so you're dismissed. 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall? Maximum, Page 250 Page 252 1 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION 1 five minutes, by the way. 2 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask 2 MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a 3 cross-examination questions of the business related 3 very brief recess just to collect the notes? 4 legal proceeding? 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, 5 record. considering there were maybe two answers. 6 6 (A short recess was taken.) 7 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. 8 RECROSS EXAMINATION 8 Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with 9 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 9 your closing. 10 Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of 10 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some 11 money in that year. How much money did you make? point, people with convictions should be able to 11 12 MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the 12 move on with their lives, earn a living and 13 relevance of this question? 13 contribute fully to our society and Washington 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he 14 state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual 15 made during a certain period of time? 15 who deserves an opportunity to move on with his 16 MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates 16 life and pursue his chosen career. 17 directly to how much money he made, and that's what 17 Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard 18 he testified. 18 from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Except that we're here 19 at that time is antiquated, and that it would be 20 for a denial of an application. 20 unethical to apply it now because it overstates the 21 MR. O'CONNELL: Which includes -- I'm 21 risk. We heard from Mr. Trick, that there are a 22 22 number of mitigating factors which reduces risk of sorry, your Honor. 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No, that's okay. I'm 23 recidivism. 24 just saying, unless you can state how how much 24 For example, he's in a stable, long term 25 money he made in a particular year directly relates 25 marriage. He's been around kids for years with no

Page 253

recidivism. He has a job that he's committed to, and the grant of this professional license would only further stabilize his work life and professional scene.

The UTC's WACs, 480-15-181 do not regulate labor only moves. Mr. Trick is currently legally allowed to go into someone's home, box up all their things for them, and move them onto a truck, so long as it's not a truck that he owns. He's also allowed to bring his truck, so long as someone else boxes up the stuff.

So he can do the moving of the goods and he can do the packing and loading of the goods. He just can't do both. He has been operating in the labor only context for nearly a decade now. He's also been employed by full service move companies, and he's received positive ratings, and he hasn't had any negative incidents.

Pursuing this permit and this business is the next logical step in his professional development. There is no risk, as the staff perceived, of him being in a home and having some negative incident, and that's been proven over a nine year track record. He's going to continue to do labor only moves, as he's allowed to do by law, Page 255

Page 256

was, quote, a permanent bar. But then when? When is Mr. Trick going to be able put this behind him and move on with his chosen career? It's been 16 years since his conviction. Will it be 20 years? 30? 50? At what point does this conviction stop becoming a permanent stain that prevents him from pursuing his chosen application?

Counsel also said that Mr. Trick's crime was despicable, and it is a despicable crime. That's exactly what it is. Counsel wants to portray as Mr. Trick as a despicable criminal and nothing more, but the reality is that Mr. Trick made this horrible mistake, as he explained, the inhibitions that normally prevent you and me and everyone else from committing crimes broke down, they failed him in that moment.

He made this one horrible, despicable mistake, but that's not all that he is. That's not the man who testified here today. The man who testified here today is a man who is remorseful, who stands here humbled. He's sincere. He's an incredibly hard worker, and it takes a lot of courage. It took a lot of courage for him to admit the extremely delicate and extremely sensitive things openly and honestly, and discuss those

Page 254

regardless of the outcome of this permit application.

I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company.

Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest.

While that analysis is understandable, it's very surface level and cursory. What we're asking for here is a more nuanced, a more individualized exercise of discretion to look specifically at Mr. Trick and his specific circumstances.

Counsel also said that it was not the UTC's staff position that Mr. Trick's conviction

things.

Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding.

I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they have done something terribly wrong that they have to live with for the rest of their life, and make a commitment to themselves, their families, society, that they are going to use their time in prison to better themselves. And Mr. Trick did that.

He obtained two certificates. He was a facilitator for the Alternative Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. He completed

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

Page 257

his parole, and he moved his way up from Jiffy Lube and QFC and finally got to the point where he's in a long term stable marriage with kids of his own and wants to start a business, and that's the position that we find Mr. Trick in today, 16 years away from this horrible event.

The UTC staff, I think, and counsel portrayed Mr. Trick as being not forthcoming in his application because Mr. Trick checked the wrong box in his application. But Mr. Trick testified that he knew, from his prior B&Z application, that the UTC staff does a full background check. He called and asked, what should I do about my reckless driving? These are not the actions of a man who is trying to conseal something. Did he perfectly fill it out? No.

But then again, the UTC's permit application is not perfectly precise in its language. If you look at the phrase, business related proceeding, in this case, there wasn't a proceeding. There was a lien. And it asks about citations for violations of Washington law. In the case at hand, there wasn't a citation. So I think that if we're going to hold people to these legal standards, there needs to be some precision in the

Page 259

Page 260

Staff is familiar with Mr. Trick. His current application is misleading. He does not disclose a business related legal proceeding, his tax lien by the IRS. He did not disclose his reckless driving on the application. Mr. Wall is making a semantic argument about what it means to be cited for a violation of state law.

I think the application was very clear that Mr. Trick should have disclosed his 1999 conviction, especially considering that he spoke with staff regarding the application and received the information and the guidance that he should disclose as much information as possible, be as complete as possible.

Staff is also familiar with Mr. Trick from the 2013 case, B&Z Moving. And in that application, again, Mr. Trick didn't fail to check the right box in that case. He failed to include himself entirely on that application. This is consistent with staff's experience with Mr. Trick.

Dr. O'Connell testified that sex offenders minimize their crime, they try to hide their crime, they're good manipulators. Mr. Trick has been trying to manipulate Commission staff by not disclosing all of this. His 1999 conviction is a

Page 258

language.

1.3

Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career.

We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose.

I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more -- more to live for. Thank you, your Honor.

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell?

MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. Well, first, Counsel and Mr. Trick have made it abundantly clear that he will continue to operate his business with or without Commission approval in this permit, and all the three things that I mentioned in my opening remain true.

despicable act against two seven-year-old girls.

That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it.

If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but also, other vulnerable people in society, because staff's experience with Mr. Trick is that he is not forthcoming, that his ability to be truthful and disclose things fully has not been demonstrated to staff.

Dr. O'Connell has met over the telephone with Mr. Trick one time, and it was this Monday.

65 (Pages 257 to 260)

Page 263 Page 261 1 He spoke with him for just over an hour, and by 1 rate. He indicated it was approximately 10 percent 2 Mr. O'Connell's own testimony, his evaluation is 2 for level 1, and 18 percent for level 2, but was 3 3 extremely preliminary. He cannot make a definitive going to check on that and inform counsel. I would 4 evaluation of Mr. Trick. He hasn't had time to. 4 appreciate that information by the end of the week. 5 5 He hasn't had all the court documents. The court MR. WALL: Absolutely. documents that were disclosed was only the judgment 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And if both parties 6 7 7 are amenable, I would prefer that the deadline be and sentence. He doesn't have access to the 8 pre-sentence information -- sorry. Pre-sentence 8 waived for this order to be out until ten days 9 investigation report. 9 after receiving the transcript. Ten business days, 10 10 Staff doesn't even have access to that. I should say. 11 Staff is not responsible for Mr. Trick's risk of 11 MR. WALL: On behalf of Five Stars, we'll 12 re-offending. Staff does not have any control in 12 waive the requirement. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. 13 what his risk level is. That is established by the 13 14 End of Sentence Review Committee. That is 14 MR. O'CONNELL: Staff waives the 15 established by a legislatively appointed body. 15 requirement as well. That is not staff and not the Commission to JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Great. So if 16 16 17 determine what Mr. Trick's risk level is. there is nothing further, this hearing is 17 18 His risk level remains a level 2. That's 18 adjourned. Thank you. 19 a moderate risk. He could have done something to 19 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. 20 have that amended. It appears from his testimony 20 21 today that he is now taking those steps, and many 21 (The hearing concluded at 3:24 p.m.) 22 others, but he has not up to this point, and it's 22 23 been 11 years since his release. 23 24 He notes problems with the web site, the 24 25 King County Sheriff's Office web site, yet he 25 Page 262 Page 264 1 1 hadn't noted those inconsistencies with the 2 sheriff's office until just a couple months ago. 2 3 3 He's had 11 years to do so, and only now does he try to explain that the information in there is CERTIFICATE 4 4 5 incorrect. 5 6 STATE OF WASHINGTON 6 Staff did its investigation into the 7 7 COUNTY OF KING nature and extent of Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction, 8 8 and as demonstrated through the testimony that it 9 9 will interfere with his operating a household good I, Mary M. Paradise, a Certified Shorthand 10 moving company. In addition, Mr. Trick has a track 10 Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do 11 record with staff of being less than forthcoming, 11 hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the 12 in 2013 with B&Z Moving and with this application 12 final hearing in re: the Application of Five Stars 13 13 Moving, LLC on June 24, 2015, is true and accurate today. 14 14 to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability. Staff asks that your Honor denies the 15 application for Five Stars Moving. Thank you. 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Okay. Are 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my 16 17 17 there any other procedural issues that we need to hand this 16th day of July, 2015. 18 address? 18 19 19 MR. WALL: No, your Honor. 20 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. As I stated off 21 the record, I have requested the parties waive the 21 22 ten-day order deadline for this BAP proceeding. 22 23 I would also indicate that I remembered, 23 24 during the closing statements, that we do still 24 25 need to hear from Dr. O'Connell on the recidivism 25

A	accident 29:5	added 28:8 172:8	180:22 181:9	44:25 50:16 66:20	
<b>\$25,000</b> 246:19,22	account 78:22	addicted 146:7	182:8,10,12	69:8 87:23 93:25	
\$31,457.04 173:12	accountable 88:4	addiction 146:17	189:13,14 190:24	102:8 104:22,22	
\$350 178:2	106:9,10	adding 139:7	190:25 196:15,23	149:21 152:15,16	
<b>\$5,000</b> 177:23	accounts 64:18	addition 28:6	240:17,18	183:16,20,21	
A-Ray's 101:22	90:3	117:24 123:5	admitting 152:8	245:13 252:8	
102:3 103:4,9	accumulate 29:8	262:10	adults 130:17 149:5	ahold 75:10 83:21	
a.m 1:19 4:2	accuracy 7:23 46:9	additional 17:15	advanced 162:22	160:8	
abbreviated 121:14	46:14 47:8 48:2	50:7 139:7,13	advantage 60:20,22	aircraft 128:12	
abiding 124:11	119:3	140:12 148:14	61:6 144:8 260:10	aisle 110:8,9	
ability 64:7 258:4	accurate 8:7 9:5	155:7 159:8	260:17	alcohol 32:21 70:19	
260:21 264:14	97:19 103:6	165:25 172:13,15	advertise 76:6	111:11 112:13	
able 53:17 59:24	134:24 223:8	172:23 176:6	advertising 8:10,22	128:18 145:6,10	
82:24 83:20 103:6	229:19,20 231:16	183:12 248:16	66:7 206:19	146:8,10,14,19	
129:25 137:22	235:7 264:13	additionally 220:2	advisory 23:17	184:19 203:17	
138:23 139:12	accurately 7:20	address 45:21	affect 108:9 123:24	218:11	
145:23 160:8	43:19 45:18 46:24	117:19 196:7	215:16 224:20	Alex 103:3	
163:13 193:6	190:5 198:16	197:8 256:11	234:20 235:8	<b>allow</b> 44:22 45:24	
197:8 200:24	238:6	262:18	afford 53:11	63:17 87:20 107:9	
205:6 218:25	acknowledgment	addressed 58:19	afforded 90:10	132:25 155:13	
232:4 252:11	153:18	133:20	afternoon 161:23	172:25 176:11,13	
255:2	acquainted 79:23	addresses 45:22	age 26:6 36:22	181:12,24 182:5	
abnormality	191:7 199:11	adequate 206:9	<b>agencies</b> 35:12,13	192:2 194:2	
150:11	act 27:22 119:21	adjective 249:6	64:12 147:21	232:20,21 234:11	
absolute 223:2	260:1	adjourned 263:18	agency 31:12 33:22	249:9	
absolutely 51:9	acted 146:4	adjudicated 175:23	34:13 35:2 213:4	<b>allowed</b> 5:21 61:3	
56:14 127:23	acting 144:17	adjustment 24:23	aggregate 29:10	69:14 109:25	
140:18 182:4,7	action 44:3 77:24	admin 89:25 90:3	171:8	172:21 193:5	
209:21 263:5	77:25	administration	ago 10:1 11:3 21:5	194:8 215:3 253:7	
abundantly 258:22	actions 63:20	15:2	31:6 35:22 37:23	253:10,25	
abuse 15:4 21:9,9	257:14	administrative	38:18 49:14,19	allowing 191:19	
90:23 124:14	activities 60:7	1:16 2:3 4:7	52:8 70:12 74:6	245:2	
146:5 203:17	actual 24:17 38:2	10:19 12:4 154:25	89:6 94:18,24	allows 258:4,6	
abused 211:8	143:19	193:19,25 200:10	113:16 150:18	alter 212:25	
229:19	actuarial 18:4	216:3,4,6	157:21 184:24	alternative 6:14	
<b>Abusers</b> 15:18 16:3	25:12 28:7,15,20	administrator	194:12 217:12	60:24 157:4	
acceptable 206:10	28:22 38:3 46:20	219:3	262:2	256:24	
232:3	47:4,4,9,13,19,20	admissible 155:2,6	agree 43:23 46:10	alternatives 34:21	
accepted 72:24	141:17	193:24	46:15 88:3 116:11	amazing 85:12,25	
165:16 194:25	ad 76:9	admission 240:14	134:25 137:14	88:19 90:9	
230:15	Adams 101:22	admit 44:11 111:20	154:22 196:22	ambiguity 225:6,16	
access 24:20 132:3	102:2,23 103:2,9	180:20 255:23	agreement 57:8	amenable 263:7	
139:24 200:20,21	add 28:12 58:5	admitted 3:16,17	58:7 188:12	amended 166:17	
201:7 261:7,10	142:24 153:15	3:18,19 44:23	ahead 4:11,12	175:20 177:17	
accessorial 228:6	154:13 158:13	111:23 164:18,20	12:19 13:19 27:8	215:20 261:20	
L					

## Page 266

American 81:5	anybody's 99:10	178:18,20,22,25	apprise 182:3	132:20 139:21
150:19	anymore 70:20,21	179:4,17 180:15	approach 171:24	141:12 153:10,22
amount 25:23	anyplace 107:25	183:25 184:7,13	182:15 245:10	157:2 179:15
31:16 64:19 103:8	anyway 154:11	184:14 185:7,20	appropriate 182:23	184:6,17 185:3,19
128:17 168:10	apologize 49:6	205:7 209:2,23	214:12	191:24 198:10
173:11 246:9,15	71:17 73:14,16,21	210:6,6 211:25	approval 206:12	230:5 236:6 247:7
248:9	78:16 132:17,19	212:5,8 214:2,13	258:23	254:13 257:13
ampersand 75:7	156:13 186:3	214:22,23 215:10	approved 69:11	asking 30:11 50:7
analyses 152:5	216:21 220:22	216:15,16 217:13	approximately	57:19 69:4 74:6
158:16	apostrophe 5:3	219:17 220:4,8	141:3 203:9 263:1	87:18 123:8,21
analysis 11:11	14:13	221:5 225:3 226:7	<b>April</b> 98:4 173:10	156:10 183:23
32:23 42:7,25	apparently 25:25	227:10 236:16,23	201:21 203:11,22	193:13 224:10,16
46:21 122:21	119:17	237:7,14,21 238:2	April-ish 98:3	232:13 234:7,9
141:4 149:9 254:5	appeals 33:22	239:8,16 241:14	area 123:19	247:11 254:20
254:6,10,18 256:2	appearances 4:12	241:16 244:8	argument 46:18	asks 33:23 169:17
analytic 16:22	appears 40:24	245:23 249:3,10	181:2,6 182:6	237:24 239:7
analyze 256:10	204:4,13 261:20	250:20 251:4,16	225:20 259:6	241:14,14 257:21
analyzing 42:23,24	applicable 132:22	254:2 255:7 257:9	arguments 123:5,6	262:14
45:15	163:15 205:4	257:10,11,18	arises 235:18	aspects 55:15 197:3
and/or 241:22	225:24	259:2,5,8,11,17	arm 157:19	assaulted 79:22
<b>Andrew</b> 2:13 5:3	applicant 11:1	259:19 262:12,15	Armed 81:5	asserted 193:22
annual 209:10,24	120:25 121:20	264:12	Arnold 55:14	asserting 176:6
239:13	185:7 237:24	application's 11:18	arose 62:16	183:11
answer 18:10 63:7	applicant's 121:19	applications	arousal 148:21	assess 147:23
102:9 111:4	applicants 10:17	108:14,15 213:21	aroused 17:8	assessed 134:5
131:20 133:3	application 1:4	228:13	128:25 149:5	assessing 213:9
184:15,16,21	6:18 9:10,17,20	applied 24:18 25:3	arrange 117:4	assessment 25:12
220:25 227:5	9:25,25 10:2,6,6	27:7 40:24 45:19	arrest 112:6 166:16	26:19 33:2 39:10
229:8 232:18	10:23,25 11:18,24	47:13 98:5 99:20	arrested 57:14	39:20 46:14
235:17 237:25	56:15,15 63:23,24	184:11 227:6	115:7 128:9	126:12 134:14,24
answered 65:14	64:2,4,4,7,8,25	applies 225:2	238:22 241:2	139:14,17 202:2
102:7 238:6	65:5,10,17,19	apply 38:2 46:23	arrests 29:17	235:8,16
answering 238:4	66:10,17 67:7,25	47:3 60:6 83:10	art 134:20,22	assign 200:4,16,17
answers 163:19	68:2,12,17 69:11	99:6 109:21,22,22	<b>Ashley</b> 6:5 85:11	201:10,23 235:4
250:6	72:15,22 89:7	121:16 136:21	85:24 113:24	assigned 147:20
antiquated 252:19	100:16,19,22	137:6 212:11	218:13	163:6 202:20,22
antisocial 26:6	101:9 102:11	224:11 252:20	Asia 82:18	235:2
32:10	163:7,10,18,21	appointed 261:15	aside 44:13 55:20	assigning 201:7
antisociality 29:16	164:9,23,24	appreciate 42:10	152:7 168:22	202:24
antisociology 17:11	165:11,20,22	199:6 263:4	asked 42:17 53:19	assigns 135:17
18:11	166:2,6,10,13,15	appreciated 49:2	57:20 58:3,17	212:22
anxious 106:25	167:2,6 168:10	50:18	65:16 76:3 84:13	assist 81:12
anybody 53:5 62:2	169:14,19 173:24	appreciation 120:6	84:17 89:5 97:14	assistance 239:17
65:18 77:21 83:23	175:4 176:7	apprentice 165:14	102:7 104:7	assistant 2:14 5:4
109:3 137:17	177:11 178:6,9,17	208:4	113:25 114:2,21	164:5,6
,				
	•	•	•	•

Page 267

	<del> </del>			
associated 165:10	authority 10:21	214:3,9,20 216:16	bad 20:14 114:9	beat 55:16
168:18	123:3 124:14	216:19 217:6,12	baked 22:13	beating 78:17
Associates 27:24	200:3 215:10	220:17 221:6	<b>ball</b> 53:24 97:16	Beaver 80:16
Association 15:17	216:15 221:6,24	225:25 226:9	ballpark 96:23	becoming 255:6
16:2 27:24 36:3	222:7,10 223:11	243:6,10 257:11	102:24 104:17	bed 91:18 92:22
36:10 44:8 80:16	223:14	259:16 262:12	ban 192:23 193:3	260:10
150:20 162:24	authorization	bachelor 14:16	194:11,13	bedroom 128:21
assume 13:20 23:2	10:17 164:25	bachelors 162:16	bank 78:22	beer 115:19,20
37:24 165:17	authorizing 8:10	back 13:3 18:12	banks 124:15	116:5 218:12,14
assumed 48:6	auto 29:2	19:3 21:24 24:18	<b>banned</b> 211:22	beers 113:22
assuming 93:15	automatic 33:25	24:25 26:4 27:3	<b>BAP</b> 156:17 181:20	began 14:24 15:7
138:22 139:10	availability 158:9	38:10,21 48:23	262:22	52:11 54:9 175:24
174:24	available 31:23	49:2 50:17,25	<b>bar</b> 11:9 191:18	beginning 4:14
assumptions 131:7	48:23 103:16	51:14 55:12 58:25	223:2 255:1	15:9 136:14
atmosphere 37:16	139:3 156:17	59:7 69:25 74:8	Barnes 99:25	182:24
37:17	157:17 170:16	76:18 78:6 92:6	100:10	<b>behalf</b> 4:23 44:3
attachments	174:14	95:23,23 105:16	barred 226:4	126:14 154:5
120:14	Avenue 2:8	109:9 117:8,16	barrier 59:24	263:11
attempt 134:13,23	average 108:20	118:10,22 128:20	barriers 107:8	<b>behave</b> 120:15
153:20 159:24	avid 81:2	130:19 133:5	129:25	<b>behavior</b> 15:9 17:9
186:7 214:24	avoid 146:19	135:13,15 136:15	<b>bars</b> 225:17	23:16 26:7 29:18
215:17	award 15:17	148:8 154:18	base 92:6,9,10	29:23 30:8 59:7
attempted 212:4	aware 44:2 57:18	160:17 178:19	128:16 192:23	59:20,22 106:2
attempting 214:17	64:6 78:3 94:4	183:23 184:6,6,20	193:4,6 194:9,14	144:18,20 145:5
attempts 218:23	108:22 127:24	186:15 194:8	211:23	146:12 148:12,25
258:7	130:23 131:3	210:8 212:9 221:3	based 8:3 25:25	151:3 159:3
attend 68:3	135:16,21 136:17	225:5 239:25	27:22 28:15 30:18	behavioral 15:8
attended 68:16	136:19 138:6	240:6 252:7 254:3	31:18,21 38:4,14	23:7,14
162:20	154:17 168:14,17	backed 89:15	47:20 50:3,4 66:5	behaviors 59:6
attention 26:11	213:23 215:24	background 14:15	104:20 120:23	105:19,25
93:17 97:15	221:14 222:11	24:13 52:4 62:13	121:19 132:12	believe 27:23 38:11
119:23 187:18	225:25 233:24	63:25 91:22 96:20	133:2 139:2	45:3 47:12 48:2
188:7,20 196:3	234:7,9 238:10,21	101:25 102:6,12	142:12 151:10	48:23 49:25 55:6
attorney 2:14 5:4	243:3,25 247:2,3	163:17 168:6	159:7 231:12	55:7 58:21 63:19
58:5 76:8 77:8,9	248:5,25	169:7,8,23 170:2	bases 176:6	65:16 67:12 68:20
95:19 97:11,11	awareness 49:12	170:3,5,7,9,12,15	basic 35:19	72:16 73:13 79:7
106:7 125:17 144:10 239:18	122:6,7	170:21 171:11	basically 22:19	89:22 114:8 118:6
	awesome 88:20	172:19 173:5	28:10 59:20 84:22	118:10 131:15
attorneys 57:17 auditor 177:2	В	177:9,11 205:6,16	97:24 104:13	138:16 156:2
auditor's 173:23	<b>B</b> 3:13 75:6	205:17,19 206:10 215:24 218:24	222:22	172:18,21 174:11
175:3	<b>B&amp;Z</b> 64:5 66:19	244:2 248:6 249:2	<b>basing</b> 174:25 <b>basis</b> 29:21 44:20	175:18 183:13
August 177:15	68:2,13 74:12		· ·	186:8 193:24
August 177:13 Australia 82:18	168:19 178:18,19	249:5,7,8,13 257:12	93:10,14 137:5 180:4	196:10 203:23 213:10 218:12
authorities 63:17	184:3 210:7,9	backlogged 97:23	battle 110:6	221:4 223:4
authorities 03.17	212:5,9 213:18,24	vachivggeu 77.23	Daille 110.0	441.4 443.4
· .				

### Page 268

	1061500101	10.540.45	150 00 00 154 10	044605000700
224:14,16 226:17	186:15 204:21	<b>bridge</b> 13:7 48:15	173:20,22 174:12	84:16 95:20 97:20
229:12 231:11,14	210:9	115:4	174:18,25 175:5	98:2,2 100:21
231:17 236:22	Black's 241:25	brief 117:20,20	175:13 176:20,23	107:5 153:21
238:13 241:15	242:11,15	129:8 175:23	177:2,4,5 185:8	179:6,9 183:23
242:2 244:25	block 221:20	242:12 252:3	185:11,11 197:5	184:4,6,19 193:16
248:15 251:6	<b>blow</b> 114:25 115:6	briefing 118:2	208:15 215:22	202:2 243:6 247:7
believed 89:21	<b>board</b> 16:7,8	<b>briefly</b> 10:14 13:2,3	219:8,12 221:12	247:7 249:16
believes 11:15	<b>body</b> 46:22 106:24	15:23 130:24	226:22 228:14	257:12
believing 89:17	261:15	133:21 241:11	237:2,8,10,11,15	calling 118:22
belongings 206:21	book 241:25 242:2	<b>bring</b> 23:15 75:18	239:19 245:4,6,25	179:23
beneficial 156:21	boss 55:5	155:24 253:10	246:4,24 250:3	calls 51:17 132:8
best 16:21 17:11	<b>bottle</b> 112:16,17,23	bringing 151:20	251:7 253:19	132:21 179:8
61:6,15 64:7	113:3,6	246:21	257:4,19 258:23	193:9,12 231:6
78:10 87:5 89:4	<b>bottom</b> 43:10	broad-based 22:17	259:3 263:9	Canada 16:25
264:14	122:19 172:9	broke 107:7,8	businessman 89:4	candidates 120:23
better 7:25 71:12	bound 22:12	255:15	busting 53:13	candidly 130:2
71:14 74:4,9,10	boundaries 40:21	broker 247:4	busy 32:2	capable 207:3
76:6 78:12,18,20	boundaryless	brother 88:25	<b>butt</b> 71:18	capacities 15:24
123:23 134:15	145:5	brothers 87:25	<b>buy</b> 99:2 112:16	capacity 162:19
135:12 146:16	bowling 70:13	88:8	247:4	capital 14:13
168:19 179:20	114:2	brought 50:24	<b>Bye</b> 161:6	108:24
185:2 256:22	box 2:15 43:7 46:4	95:18 97:14 98:12	<b>Bye-bye</b> 50:22,23	car 20:13
beyond 181:18	195:12,14 238:5	119:23 149:17		card 83:12
249:21	253:7 257:9	151:19 155:20	<u>C</u>	<b>cards</b> 64:17
big 83:12 88:14	259:18	180:6 248:11	C 2:1 264:4,4	care 8:6 56:19,20
101:16,21 202:4	boxes 253:11	<b>BS-ing</b> 114:3	C-o-n-n-e-l-l 5:4	90:2 248:2
208:17 219:9	boxing 5:21	bucks 80:17,20,22	14:13	career 14:24 81:4
<b>bike</b> 83:3	boy 23:24 29:4	<b>build</b> 146:19	cabernet 112:16	87:2 89:19 109:10
<b>bill</b> 75:6 121:6,7,11	143:5	<b>built-in</b> 33:25 34:8	cahoots 116:7	221:11 252:16
122:20	branched 103:4	<b>bullet</b> 43:17 46:7	calculated 7:13	255:3 258:5,8,14
billboard 83:12	branches 82:23	46:13 47:6	230:24	careless 54:5
<b>Billy</b> 103:16 167:16	<b>brand</b> 53:10,11	bunch 152:8	calculation 115:10	cares 79:19
183:22 184:6,11	64:14,15	burglary 60:13	call 16:21 48:22	carrier 1:10 128:12
184:19 214:20	<b>Brandy</b> 99:23,25	222:2	49:2 50:17 51:5	carrier's 197:5
Billy's 184:2	99:25 100:9,10	buried 176:9	65:9,12 84:21	carriers 162:13
binding 123:2	bravely 196:18	burned 98:23	97:8,25 110:5	206:18
216:10	<b>break</b> 40:21 42:2	burner 109:9	113:23 114:10	case 5:15 8:12 10:7
birthday 111:24	51:11 59:23 117:5	<b>business</b> 9:23 64:17	161:12 175:2	11:15 24:7 31:14
<b>bit</b> 13:10 30:20	129:25 133:14	69:13,15 74:13	178:20,24 179:14	31:17 32:17 34:25
50:24 52:4 59:9	239:24,25	78:7,15,19 88:22	180:13 183:23	40:24 50:2 51:5
82:4 83:2 85:6,17	breakfast 61:11	89:7,11,12,14,24	184:6 229:25	63:24 84:25
109:15 110:25	86:7	108:8 162:10,12	235:20,24 237:17	117:11,24 126:4
111:12 114:3	<b>breaks</b> 205:20	165:9,10 166:5,22	248:13	153:22 155:6
115:22 145:18	breathalyzer	167:9 169:11,15	called 28:9 65:23	161:8 163:21
156:21 178:20	114:25	169:17 173:6,11	68:13 74:6 80:15	210:3 215:16
			82:20 83:5 84:15	
L	-	-	-	-

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
217:4,6 224:12	78:18 116:2	220:6 233:18	claim 29:6 166:20	194:20 229:16
226:18 246:5	204:21 235:15	<b>children</b> 6:6 58:24	claimed 246:12	coaching 79:18
257:20,23 259:16	changed 35:17	79:25 98:21 100:4	claims 82:11	81:6,6,14,17
259:18	37:17 98:6,9 99:7	106:23 111:14	clarification 48:22	Code 10:19 12:4
cases 137:9 206:25	222:16 235:10	112:9 124:14	65:7 72:17 105:8	200:10 216:5
caught 54:10 107:2	changes 61:10	130:16 131:11,13	113:10 116:13	coercive 17:9
cause 127:12 187:7	changing 224:9	131:16,21 132:2	142:11 144:7	cognition 23:12
195:19	chapter 16:4,5,6	138:8 139:22	149:12 160:25	cognitive 23:7
caused 39:3 146:10	characteristics	145:2 149:4,5	182:9	59:19,20
causes 144:21	138:4	194:16,19 203:23	clarify 32:14 46:25	collapse 16:22
causing 29:6	characterization	204:8,14,16,18	78:16 138:5 141:2	collapsed 17:2
<b>CDL</b> 184:17,19	134:3	206:3 211:2,8	216:12	collapsing 22:17
185:3,4	characterized	212:2 233:4,8,17	class 62:8 63:17	collateral 24:22
cease 214:9,10	217:17	233:18 240:23	<b>classes</b> 61:2 107:12	33:5 125:24 126:6
cell 114:13,16	<b>charge</b> 29:2 74:23	260:18	classification 7:25	126:8 147:12,14
center 41:13	238:10,16	children's 81:24	27:15,17,21 44:21	collect 252:3
central 87:13	charged 111:17	82:12 86:18	136:13 202:3	<b>college</b> 14:15 61:16
<b>CEO</b> 165:6 207:13	129:7 195:10	choice 69:17	classified 144:13	come 18:21 25:21
certain 124:12	238:22	<b>choose</b> 110:6,8	classify 7:20 43:18	27:25 34:3 36:21
131:7 146:7 158:8	charges 57:12	<b>chose</b> 236:8	<b>clear</b> 49:9 75:23	43:14 44:9 83:16
197:3 228:23	177:13	<b>chosen</b> 122:10	84:9 94:22 122:25	86:13 98:8 117:8
250:15	check 95:21 102:6	252:16 255:3,7	126:11 172:24	139:8 155:14
certainly 18:23	102:12 163:17	258:5	197:7 249:11	156:2 161:15
79:5 125:18 176:8	169:8,24 170:4,7	Christopher 2:6	258:22 259:8	178:19 210:8
196:24 231:25	170:10,13,21	4:17,22	clearly 13:15 78:7	212:9 214:13
232:2	171:11 172:20	circle 38:10	84:19 130:4	239:25 254:3
certificate 119:20	173:5 177:9,11	circumstances	click 12:24	256:4,14
121:13 127:12	185:17 205:6	105:25 111:2	client 31:5 159:22	comes 94:19 98:10
187:7 195:19	238:5 244:2	132:22 140:25	clinics 21:13	121:2 197:9
certificates 6:12	249:13 257:12	254:23	clip 164:2	comfortable 208:21
107:15,16 109:8	259:17 263:3	citation 172:14	clocks 58:25	<b>coming</b> 6:17 18:6
256:23	checked 185:4	222:4 238:12,13	close 97:3 211:9	20:17 23:20 73:13
Certified 264:9	195:12,13 257:9	238:18,23 239:4	closed 75:20 78:8	120:9
certify 264:11	checks 20:14	257:23	78:15 128:25	comment 127:18
cetera 222:3,4,4	101:25 170:2	citations 257:22	<b>closer</b> 132:16	232:13
chained 58:14	205:16,17 248:6	cite 241:12,18,20	220:20	commercial 52:17
challenged 133:13	249:2,5,7,8	242:8,11	closing 117:23	227:23
<b>chance</b> 24:8 26:21	Chiefs 27:25 36:4	cited 173:10 216:20	251:23 252:9	commercials
42:19 45:8 61:15	36:11 44:9	239:8 241:16	262:24	109:13
70:20 73:24 87:5	<b>child</b> 8:6 21:9	259:7	clothes 86:7,9	commission 1:2
87:21 89:5 108:12	40:19 59:25 62:14	cities 35:6	clouding 46:20	2:12 4:9 5:6 7:19
132:24 143:17	79:19 100:18	citizen 167:17	co-worker 128:14	10:5,22 11:4,11
157:7 183:19	130:9 131:25	City 120:18	co-workers 147:17	11:23 12:2 55:23
change 10:13 37:9	186:19 195:8	civil 23:22 150:10	coach 8:5 62:25	65:20,24 66:5,15
37:10 44:7 62:4	211:20 219:20	150:13	80:2,7,7,8,24 81:9	66:15 77:7 95:10

96:4,17 158:9	6:15 49:20 60:25	company's 227:21	conclusion 8:2,14	213:25
162:6 163:21	109:12 256:25	compare 138:3	31:19 32:23,25	connection 187:9
168:17 170:14	communications	compelling 17:4	38:14 43:23 44:2	188:14 189:4
178:15 200:8	8:22 41:3 76:25	complete 53:2	46:10,16 47:21	233:2
204:12 206:5,12	164:6	163:18 184:25	75:3 138:23 208:6	connections 31:25
209:4,8,13,19,25	community 17:16	203:16 205:7	233:11	124:7
210:5 211:24	17:22 18:12 24:24	208:21 227:11	conclusions 19:17	conscious 111:9
214:19,21 215:23	25:22 26:9 27:21	259:14	25:19,21 26:22	consciousness
216:2 218:17,24	27:22 31:7,25	completed 15:10	141:5 256:5	22:14
221:22,23 222:6	33:7 34:23 37:17	21:6 153:12 177:9	condition 20:24	conseal 257:15
227:22 236:9	61:16 115:9	245:22 256:25	69:12 159:6	consensus 37:15
237:18 239:9	120:14 124:7	completely 56:7	conditions 107:23	consent 154:6
241:9,17 242:6	140:4,6 147:24	116:11 159:21	131:4,10 150:13	consider 16:18
258:23 259:24	167:19 206:22	230:3	150:22 203:12,13	122:24 227:9
260:13 261:16	208:12	complex 117:25	218:16	considerable 25:23
Commission's	community-based	153:22 154:9	condone 88:2	64:14,19
209:11 225:7,16	130:25	compliance 55:23	conduct 11:11	considerably 39:15
225:23	companies 28:23	209:3 239:12	33:12 101:25	consideration 7:22
commit 145:11	69:22 101:16,21	complications	141:4 144:14	30:24 40:4,11,13
199:2,4,8	101:24 102:14,20	131:17	169:23 177:10	40:23 46:8 47:24
commitment 23:22	102:25 103:13	comprehensive	228:15 245:14	119:2 174:2
150:10,13 256:20	104:8 162:11	171:9 173:15	254:5,6 256:3	229:18 230:16
committed 19:22	168:20,23 169:8	244:4 245:3	conducted 102:5	considerations
20:10 22:11 35:13	208:23 253:16	conceal 249:17	163:17 169:25	28:9 29:23 30:22
120:7,16 124:10	company 10:4,12	concern 166:11	181:23	39:18,19 40:6
147:3 192:9	11:6,14 53:22	167:21,23 173:19	conducting 148:5	46:19
222:25 223:10	54:23 55:18,19	173:21 178:11,13	228:16 243:3	considered 22:2
233:21,24 234:16	64:5 66:18 68:13	203:25 204:12	conducts 248:5	31:4 123:5 142:3
253:1	68:16 71:12,14	210:3 211:14	249:2	174:5
committee 23:18	74:13,14 75:9,19	217:16 229:4	conference 13:7	considering 11:11
25:9,15 33:17,20	89:8 90:5 103:5	260:18	confess 21:2	19:8,14 183:18
34:9,16 38:21	163:16 165:6,8	concerned 10:1	confirm 33:6	250:6 259:10
99:19 133:22	167:24 196:13	131:24 185:10	confirmed 173:22	consist 139:5
135:17 136:4,10	205:2,4,15 206:7	228:16	229:25 235:23	consistent 43:24
137:3 200:3,15	206:13,14,16,20	concerning 169:3,4	confirming 148:23	46:11 140:2
201:7,18,25 202:8	207:10,13,15,24	212:3	214:6	259:20
202:20,22 212:22	209:3,18 210:18	<b>concerns</b> 30:2 38:5	<b>conform</b> 163:14	constitutes 114:17
235:3 261:14	213:22 214:4,8,18	80:3 166:12,20	205:4	construct 146:2
committing 18:8	215:3 217:19,23	210:23	confused 45:20	construction 52:10
20:15 255:15	217:24 219:4,9,9	conclude 161:8	65:5 71:19 72:10	52:15 69:23
<b>common</b> 54:13	221:19 223:16	254:14	73:17 77:3 105:17	100:12 102:18
communicate 61:4	224:4,23 225:22	concluded 38:12	145:19	103:22 221:11
communicating	227:23 228:6	263:21	confusion 73:13	consultation 24:10
21:3 56:3,4	243:3,13 254:9	concludes 149:11	conjunction 228:5	125:17
communication	260:15 262:10	concluding 229:18	connected 187:25	consulted 97:10
	•	•	-	-

		<del></del>	<del></del>	1 490 271
consulting 239:18	continue 43:15	convictions 29:17	Correction 60:21	county 6:25 7:1 8:4
consumed 32:20	51:4,24 68:25	37:18 70:5,7	correctional 15:2	31:8 35:8 57:15
consumer 164:5	73:10 176:17	140:15 177:14	16:25 153:22	79:2,15 80:4
consumption 70:19	192:10 240:7	178:8 212:7	154:9	84:14,16 93:18
<b>CONT</b> 118:1 119:1	253:24 258:22	222:12,13,18,19	Corrections 23:4	95:14,17 97:6
120:1 121:1 122:1	continued 69:21,21	224:5 252:11	24:4 107:24	121:17 126:2
123:1	108:19,19,19,20	convincing 56:16	110:11 153:17	137:4,4 154:10
contact 62:19,22	109:7 233:14	cook 65:3 86:16	158:17 202:7	173:23 175:2
76:6 80:3 83:15	continuing 15:12	88:22,24,25,25	correctly 147:8	176:25 187:6,22
83:15 109:24,25	113:7 118:20	165:7	205:19	188:11,25 189:23
110:16 111:13	162:25	cooperated 115:17	corresponded 88:7	194:17 198:4
131:10,20 146:18	contribute 204:10	copies 12:14 151:17	correspondence	210:21 213:5
153:5,7 203:15	252:13	153:11	75:9 76:10,15,19	229:12,13,22,25
207:16 211:16	control 131:21	copy 41:8,19 79:14	78:5 83:20	230:4 235:3
233:16 260:5	261:12	93:21,23 119:16	corroborate 148:12	261:25 264:7
contacted 74:14	controlled 203:17	127:3 151:17	corroborated 159:9	County's 157:10
76:22 77:7,9	conversation	158:4 181:25	Corvette 29:4	couple 20:22 31:8
129:6 176:25	236:12	182:2	cost 75:5	32:6 35:22 36:20
192:25 202:7	conversations	cord 241:4	Costco 112:15	36:20 41:2 52:25
contacting 239:17	89:23 139:9	core 133:13	couch 53:12	65:6 80:17,20
contacts 24:22 33:5	convict 30:23	corporation 185:17	counsel 49:21	83:8 90:8 94:24
147:12,14	<b>convicted</b> 11:2 18:7	correct 25:17 26:21	76:22 104:7	101:15 105:8
contain 150:23	20:10 131:25	45:6 47:11,18	139:21 143:19	117:22 118:4
151:12 165:25	137:18 140:17	57:10 62:17 67:8	151:24 152:16	120:20 125:9
166:4 171:20	148:10 222:2,8	67:10 69:16 70:3	154:20 156:16	133:24 142:10
187:15 190:11,14	225:17 237:22	71:21 77:6,10,11	159:21 160:12	144:6 148:21
190:17 195:22	238:2,11	79:8 93:11,13	161:7 174:8,24	149:19 172:21
contained 10:18	conviction 6:21,24	97:7,7,8 99:22	226:10 254:4,24	213:17 254:3
172:14,20 173:5	9:12 10:8 11:8	100:5 113:17	255:8,10 257:7	262:2
174:23	57:6 65:22 66:12	118:8 126:18,25	258:21 263:3	courage 255:23,23
containers 228:5	70:2 81:15 100:16	127:2,6 129:12	counsel's 68:21	256:7
containing 175:20	100:18 121:16	135:4,6 138:19,19	148:9	course 62:10 74:17
contains 10:23	127:5,9,12 139:23	141:15,20 147:10	counseling 14:19	111:11 236:11
166:5 171:10	169:7 172:14	156:12 163:22,23	15:11 203:18	coursework 21:10
contemplates 224:5	177:15 186:17,18	167:19,20 177:25	counsels 142:13	<b>court</b> 13:15 105:16
content 76:15	187:10 188:2,15	178:4 190:15	<b>count</b> 66:4	122:24 127:3
contents 209:12	189:5 192:18	194:10 199:20,21	counter 110:4	151:21 187:6,22
contesting 57:12	194:12,22 195:20	202:18,19 207:4	counterproductive	188:12 189:2
context 142:17	207:9 210:15	216:17,20 217:5	122:11	238:14 241:9
182:24 236:12	219:20 223:22,23	227:14 231:10	counties 35:5	242:5 261:5,5
253:15	224:3,12 227:2	233:5,21 234:18	147:22	courtroom 58:14
continuance 49:23	238:8,17 241:6	236:13 238:7	country 82:17,24	231:21 234:15
49:25	243:24,25 244:7	240:15 248:6	<b>counts</b> 62:14	247:18
continuation	254:25 255:4,5	251:13,16,17,22	186:19 195:9	<b>cousin</b> 111:24
117:18	259:10,25 262:7	correcting 152:16	219:20	cousins 112:3
	,		-	
	•	•	•	

			<u> </u>	
cover 65:10 158:25	144:19,25 150:20	213:13 217:3	Dave 164:6 184:10	210:10
covered 186:9	151:12	219:22 220:5	day 55:5 58:12	declaration 118:3
crash 92:8	Crocker 165:11	222:17 225:7,17	59:17 60:6 61:11	defendant 187:23
<b>create</b> 131:17	167:8,23	242:15 259:2	62:9 63:21 79:19	195:15,16
created 25:10	CROP 121:15,17	currently 6:24 8:16	86:14 92:3 103:18	Defense 192:25
42:17	123:10	48:9 78:12 87:9	108:15,21 114:5	deficiencies 11:18
creates 11:9	cross 3:3 90:1,15	99:9 103:21	264:17	definitely 61:19
credibility 208:13	91:1 92:1 93:1	110:17 225:23	daycare 81:20,21	90:5
credit 247:5,13,14	94:1 95:1 96:1	253:6	81:22 86:3 109:21	definition 129:22
247:24	97:1 98:1 99:1	<b>cursory</b> 254:19	daycares 79:25	151:13 160:21
Creek 80:16	100:1 101:1 102:1	custody 17:16	194:19	198:5 232:7
crew 55:6	103:1 124:1,21,24	customer 53:23	days 49:14,19	237:15 241:18
crime 10:10 11:2,5	125:1 126:1 127:1	205:25 206:11,14	52:19 53:15 59:17	242:5,8,10,14
11:12,20 19:23	128:1 129:1 130:1	208:16,21,25	82:14 83:8 103:18	definitive 32:25
20:2,2 26:4 62:13	131:1 132:1 133:1	228:2,4	103:19,20,21	126:12,19 139:17
106:13 129:11,19	134:1 135:1 136:1	customers 8:23	118:4 125:9	261:3
129:21 138:6	137:1 175:10	56:3,3 205:13	156:24 177:21,22	DeFrang 115:15
145:11 187:16	176:13 220:1,11	210:25 217:24	178:2 247:11,12	degree 162:16
190:12 191:4,20	220:14 221:1	cut 74:23 112:13	263:8,9	186:20 195:9
195:8,23 196:9	222:1 223:1 224:1	113:25 117:2	dead 78:17	219:21
199:3,5,8 222:2,9	225:1 226:1,15	119:16,18	deadline 262:22	degrees 60:24
222:23,24 223:9	227:1 228:1 229:1	cycle 88:16 105:23	263:7	107:14
224:21,23,25	230:1 231:1 232:1		deal 12:19	delicate 255:24
227:9 237:22	233:1 234:1 235:1	<b>D</b>	dealing 226:14	delinquency 26:5
238:12 255:8,9	236:1 237:1 238:1	<b>D</b> 3:1,13	dealt 189:19	delinquents 26:6
256:14,16 259:22	239:1	dad 87:8,24 88:2,6	debate 71:4	Delivery 101:22
259:22	cross-examination	damage 199:6	debt 99:5 246:18	102:3,23
crimes 58:15 192:8	48:20 50:8 156:7	<b>Damien</b> 6:6 85:20	decade 5:17 52:9	demonstrated 6:1
223:9 225:18	250:3	85:22	101:12 253:15	260:22 262:8
237:23 254:7	cross-examine	dangerous 22:3	deceive 64:8	demonstrating
255:15	87:21 226:12	<b>Danny</b> 55:14	December 41:23	153:12
criminal 6:9,21,23	CSR 1:25 264:22	dare 164:2	42:22 100:3	denial 9:16 68:19
9:21 26:7 29:18	cultural 84:2,4	Darryl 92:7	179:14 183:22	122:9 123:24
60:17 120:23	cumulative 191:15	data 29:8,15	decide 28:24,25	133:15 178:12
121:2,20 140:15	191:21 196:16	<b>database</b> 29:3 94:5	57:11 107:18	219:16,18 228:8
154:15 162:16	Cunningham 99:24	date 18:17 41:21	110:5 248:22	228:19 250:20
171:6,7 172:14	99:25 100:10	55:24 96:23 97:2	decided 52:10	denied 11:1 118:5
177:7,10 178:15	cure 145:24,25	102:16 103:6	78:18 221:10	176:7 184:13
220:3 221:17,19	146:24 147:2	158:8 242:15	deciding 210:12	214:23 217:13
222:21 225:3	cured 146:13	dated 41:22 42:22	<b>decision</b> 10:15 30:9	227:6 251:4
235:13 238:10,16	curious 111:21	73:19 183:22	38:25 61:22 121:3	denies 262:14
249:7 255:11	current 16:8 31:20	dates 102:24	145:11 196:25	deny 6:17,20 10:15
criminals 225:17	35:16 68:19 85:7	103:12	211:17	10:23 11:22 12:1
256:15	134:6 159:6	daughter 63:11	decisions 61:21	67:7,25 71:9,23
criteria 6:19	178:17 212:7	85:24 86:8	111:9,10,12	72:22 90:18 96:8
		daughters 92:20		
	ı	ı	ı	1

		<del></del>		raye 213
96:10,19 117:21	192:4 195:22	81:11 82:24 95:11	123:1 161:1,21	disclosed 10:3
121:18 126:4	196:9,19 197:8	106:4 107:14	162:1 163:1 164:1	108:12 169:19
163:21 174:6	detective 31:14	110:9 112:2	165:1 166:1 167:1	174:13 175:21
215:9 216:13	38:17,18 39:7	147:16,22 150:21	168:1 169:1 170:1	176:8 178:16
217:3 223:11,14	94:18 95:20 98:10	198:11 218:10	171:1 172:1 173:1	236:12 237:12
244:8 251:9	99:8,9	differentiation	173:13 174:1	248:19 259:9
denying 133:12	determination	222:18 223:20	175:1,11 176:1,14	261:6
216:14 221:5	126:14 159:19	differently 106:8	177:1 178:1 179:1	disclosing 140:24
department 19:5,7	196:10 213:3	224:6	179:22 180:1	169:14 178:15
23:3 24:4 31:9	224:12,20 234:21	difficult 58:8	181:1 182:1 183:1	259:25
38:20 60:20	determine 209:14	130:11 256:17	184:1 185:1 186:1	disclosure 106:6,7
107:24 110:10	223:14 231:7	difficulties 13:7		
			187:1,18 188:1,7	108:2 109:2
121:18 153:5,17 154:10 158:17	261:17 <b>determined</b> 7:18	digging 144:4	188:20 189:1	175:25 176:5
		diminishes 30:13	190:1 191:1 192:1	178:11 180:8
192:25 202:7	19:11 22:12 25:6	dinner 61:12 70:22	193:1 194:1 195:1	disclosures 9:24
departments	39:11 43:18	86:17,21 112:14	196:1 197:1 198:1	88:15
147:22	199:15 214:16,20	direct 3:3 14:1,5	199:1 200:1 201:1	discover 173:6,8
depended 185:13	determines 11:4	15:1 16:1 17:1	202:1 203:1 204:1	177:20
dependent 33:24	determining 16:18	18:1 19:1 20:1	205:1 206:1 207:1	discovered 173:9
depending 222:23	230:13	21:1 22:1 23:1	208:1 209:1 210:1	177:21 214:3
depends 97:23	develop 211:12	24:1 25:1 26:1	211:1 212:1 213:1	discrepancy 84:20
209:13	developed 29:3	27:1 28:1 29:1	214:1 215:1 216:1	discretion 254:21
depict 190:6 198:17	135:25 211:7	30:1 31:1 32:1	217:1 218:1 219:1	discuss 160:13
derived 36:4	development	33:1 34:1 35:1	245:14	197:3 228:9
described 32:6,19	135:24 253:21	36:1 37:1 38:1	direction 7:15	248:19 255:25
176:21 231:6	develops 211:18	39:1 40:1 41:1	directly 226:16	discussed 181:8
describes 168:4	deviant 15:15 17:7	42:1 43:1 44:1	250:17,25	192:6 208:17
description 230:15	17:10 18:11 29:18	45:1 46:1 47:1	director 164:5,6	215:6 239:11
deserves 252:15	32:9 148:24	48:1,20 49:1 50:1	165:11 167:9	245:2
designated 71:3	devised 35:24	51:1,25 52:1 53:1	208:2	discussion 129:9
desire 207:5	DeVito 55:14	54:1 55:1 56:1	dirty 24:12	160:16 221:18
desist 214:9	devote 26:10	57:1 58:1 59:1	disagree 174:22	disease 155:8
desistance 26:4	diagnosing 150:21	60:1 61:1 62:1	180:10	disinhibiting 145:5
120:12 124:8	diagnosis 152:4,10	63:1 64:1 65:1	discern 15:14	dismissed 161:3
despicable 10:10	155:8,16	66:1 67:1 68:1	discharge 192:20	249:25 251:21
255:9,9,11,17	diagnostic 150:16	69:1 70:1 71:1	211:22	<b>disorder</b> 144:13,14
260:1	158:21 159:5,13	72:1 73:1 74:1	disclose 108:6	144:16 149:18
despite 225:25	160:21 201:17	75:1 76:1 77:1	166:15,22 173:24	150:12,24 151:11
detail 38:19 192:5	Dictionary 242:12	78:1 79:1 80:1	175:23 178:5,8	151:14 159:14
197:6 235:13	242:16	81:1 82:1 83:1	179:16,19 212:7	disorders 151:2
detailing 44:20	difference 28:19	84:1 85:1 86:1	215:19,19,21	disqualifies 221:19
details 79:3 110:23	39:3 145:21	87:1 88:1 89:1	217:20 220:3	disqualifying
126:3 128:23	222:11 223:21	93:17 109:24	236:3,4,6,8	221:17
129:11 187:15	different 55:5,10	118:1,11,20 119:1	251:15 259:3,4,13	dissertation 15:13
190:14 191:20,24	55:15 69:22 81:10	120:1 121:1 122:1	260:22	15:16
	ı			<u> </u>

distantions 107.6	185:10	258:9 259:21	due 84:22 99:10	either 103:13
distortions 107:6	door 128:25	the state of the s		
distribute 151:18		260:24 262:25	102:18 115:25	115:12 116:6
dive 27:8	doorway 53:13	draw 19:17 196:3	174:21	148:23 158:8
diversity 29:17	dot 4:19	drill 18:15	<b>DUI</b> 166:17	175:11 193:4
diving 83:4	downloaded	drink 70:21 112:11	duly 13:25 51:22	223:8
Division 2:14	127:17	112:12,18 146:9	118:18 161:18	elements 23:8
<b>DOC</b> 135:25	<b>Dr</b> 12:25 13:4,5,11	146:21 218:13	245:17	24:14 142:24
154:16	13:17,22 14:1	drinking 114:22	duties 162:9,12	elicited 193:14
<b>docket</b> 1:6 67:15	15:1 16:1 17:1	218:7,9,12		eligible 192:22
216:15,19 217:3	18:1 19:1 20:1	drinks 70:14 71:2	E	227:4
226:9	21:1 22:1 23:1	116:6 218:13	E 1:15 2:1,1,3 3:1,1	embedded 136:12
doctor 153:24	24:1 25:1 26:1	<b>drive</b> 1:21 70:16	3:13,13 264:4,4	141:14
155:21 157:8	27:1 28:1 29:1	116:5 128:20	e-mail 75:17	embezzle 124:15
159:18 160:3,13	30:1 31:1 32:1	drive-by 98:23	182:22	empathy 63:15
document 42:3,6	33:1 34:1 35:1	driven 144:18	e-mailed 214:6	emperically 28:15
42:20 43:7 45:9	36:1 37:1 38:1	driver 71:3 165:13	247:10	30:18 36:4
47:25 67:23,24	39:1 40:1 41:1	166:17	e-mails 75:16 76:10	emperically-based
71:6,8,11 72:5	42:1,13 43:1 44:1	drivers 115:25	76:15,19 77:18	136:15
73:25 74:4 79:13	45:1 46:1 47:1	drives 70:24	78:5 243:12	empirical 29:20
79:14 94:8 164:3	48:1,12 49:1,7,13	driving 29:4,7 66:3	earlier 17:21 27:19	35:14 134:13
164:11 165:19	49:18 50:1,4,17	70:2,6,10,17,25	43:25 46:12,12,18	employed 120:8
171:4,18 174:4,17	99:17 116:25	92:11 100:15	92:20 120:12	225:22 253:16
176:2 179:8	117:5,6,9,18	113:13 114:17	133:25 134:10	employee 11:1
181:17 187:5,15	118:1,6,23 119:1	115:7,9 145:7	141:24 142:2	222:7
187:21 188:10	120:1 121:1 122:1	148:24 166:17,18	207:25	employees 8:20
189:22 190:11	120:1 121:1 122:1	177:16,17 179:16	early 19:10 21:4	53:24 56:4 90:7
195:22 198:9	124:1,17 125:1,2	184:24 215:20,21	37:11,11,12 54:12	101:25 165:12
205:19 215:8	124:1,17 123:1,2	235:25 243:24,25	57:13 117:8	169:9 205:17,18
230:18	120:1 127:1 128:1	244:7 248:13	earn 10:16 252:12	205:20
I control of the cont			earned 60:23	
documentation	132:1,10 133:1,2 133:8 134:1 135:1	249:17 257:14	eat 70:23	employers 120:22
179:7,9		259:5	economy 252:14	employment 79:24
documents 12:3,10	135:16 136:1,20	drop-off 19:2,11,12	edge 92:22	107:19 108:10,13
127:3,21 153:19	137:1,11 138:1,16	104:15	edition 150:17	109:7 124:4
156:11,17 205:22	139:1 140:1 141:1	drops 17:24 18:23	educated 61:8,15	enacted 121:24
230:12,19 241:4	142:1,9 143:1	18:25 70:12	education 6:11	223:19
261:5,6	144:1 145:1 146:1	drug 184:18		encompass 246:2
doing 23:10 32:2	147:1 148:1 149:1	drugs 128:17	14:22 162:14,25	ended 131:12
33:4,5 42:25 49:3	150:1,2,14 151:1	drunk 32:4 111:8	educational 14:15	203:20
52:14,14 54:9,14	151:21 152:1	115:25	effect 36:18 194:11	ends 113:20 251:22
55:10 56:2,8,11	153:1 154:1 155:1	drydock 128:13	233:11	energy 26:10
56:13 57:2 69:21	156:1,7 157:1,2,7	<b>DSM</b> 149:18	effects 36:21,22	enforcement 31:12
69:22 86:8 106:24	158:1,13,19 159:1	150:15,16	145:6	33:22 34:13 35:2
120:17 133:10,11	160:1,5,10 161:2	<b>DSM-V</b> 150:2,15	effort 64:20 77:16	35:12 136:24
134:13,15,19	231:22 234:16	151:5,12,17	efforts 77:22	147:21 153:24
140:20 157:4	252:18 256:2	ducks 64:21	eight 101:11 141:3	212:24 213:4
			158:14	
	I	!	1	ı

		<del></del>		Page 275
235:4	evaluating 66:16	exam 124:21	137:1 138:1,14	excited 56:23
engaged 146:12	147:16	examination 14:1,5	139:1 140:1 141:1	excused 116:17
147:3	evaluation 25:18	15:1 16:1 17:1	149:1,24 150:1	244:18
engaging 159:2	31:18 33:2,14	18:1 19:1 20:1	151:1 152:1 153:1	excuses 23:14
engaging 139.2 enhance 90:4	38:10 125:24	21:1 22:1 23:1	154:1 155:1,14	129:2
enmeshed 256:14	126:9,17,20,22	24:1 25:1 26:1	156:1 157:1 158:1	
ensure 63:21		27:1 28:1 29:1	159:1 160:1 161:1	exempt 222:22 exercise 254:21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	127:20,22 129:15		1	
239:12	129:17,24 148:5	30:1 31:1 32:1	161:21 162:1	exhibit 11:24,25
ensured 63:21	151:5 152:20	33:1 34:1 35:1	163:1 164:1 165:1	12:1,18 41:6,7,18
ensuring 209:3	155:23 157:3	36:1 37:1 38:1	166:1 167:1 168:1	41:18 44:12,23
entail 147:13	158:14,20 160:7	39:1 40:1 41:1	169:1 170:1 171:1	45:3,4 66:24,25
entered 57:8 182:8	163:12 197:9	42:1 43:1 44:1	172:1 173:1 174:1	67:3,12,15 71:5
entering 75:25	201:2 204:11	45:1 46:1 47:1	175:1 176:1,14	72:12 119:16,18
192:23 193:4	235:6 256:4 261:2	48:1 49:1 50:1	177:1 178:1 179:1	119:19 121:5,5
enthusiasm 56:22	261:4	51:1,25 52:1 53:1	180:1 181:1 182:1	123:15 151:24,25
	evaluations 33:12	54:1 55:1 56:1	183:1 184:1 185:1	164:16,20 165:16
195:16 197:11	126:6 150:3 151:8	57:1 58:1 59:1	186:1 187:1 188:1	165:18 171:2
entirely 33:24	evening 91:20,22	60:1 61:1 62:1	189:1 190:1 191:1	172:8 173:14
192:6 259:19	260:9	63:1 64:1 65:1	192:1 193:1 194:1	174:2,20 175:20
entirety 182:11	event 29:12 196:17	66:1 67:1 68:1	195:1 196:1 197:1	176:9 180:4,9,20
241:3	257:6	69:1 70:1 71:1	198:1 199:1 200:1	180:21,21 181:7
entity 34:15 193:15	events 29:11 134:9	72:1 73:1 74:1	201:1 202:1 203:1	182:8,12 186:24
equal 22:20 37:7	238:24,25	75:1 76:1 77:1	204:1 205:1 206:1	187:19 188:8,22
equate 142:15	eventually 54:16	78:1 79:1 80:1	207:1 208:1 209:1	189:18 190:25
equipment 8:21	60:16 109:6	81:1 82:1 83:1	210:1 211:1 212:1	194:25 195:18
55:25	Evergreen 1:21	84:1 85:1 86:1	213:1 214:1 215:1	196:15 198:7
erroneous 8:3	everybody 5:8	87:1 88:1 89:1	216:1 217:1 218:1	215:5 236:20,21
10:24	57:16	90:1,15 91:1 92:1	219:1 220:1,14	240:18
especially 63:8	<b>evidence</b> 3:16,17	93:1 94:1 95:1	221:1 222:1 223:1	exhibits 11:21
103:9 259:10	3:18,19 5:19 7:7,9	96:1 97:1 98:1	224:1 225:1 226:1	12:11,18,19 41:3
<b>ESRC</b> 7:19 34:16	9:9,19 10:7,12	99:1 100:1 101:1	227:1 228:1 229:1	72:18,20 73:6
43:18	11:16 12:15 36:21	102:1 103:1 104:1	230:1 231:1,7	119:13,15 152:9
essentially 8:25	37:6 44:23 154:25	104:5,23 105:1	232:1 233:1 234:1	181:22 189:11,12
18:6 19:21 33:9	155:2,6,7 159:16	106:1 107:1 108:1	235:1 236:1 237:1	189:14 190:17
37:14 121:18	164:21 181:10	109:1 110:1 111:1	238:1 239:1 240:1	216:21
147:23 228:22	182:13 189:15	112:1 113:1 114:1	240:20 241:1	existed 74:7 157:9
establish 260:14	190:25 240:19	115:1 116:1 117:1	245:1,19 246:1	180:6
established 133:23	248:12,20	117:18 118:1,12	247:1 248:1 249:1	exists 75:19
	evolving 61:14	118:20 119:1	250:1,8 251:1	expect 116:23
· ·	ex-wife 246:8	120:1 121:1 122:1	examined 175:10	151:18 155:14
	exact 102:16	123:1 124:1,24	226:15,16	expectations
estimates 56:2	115:10	125:1 126:1,27	examining 118:11	209:11
	exactly 100:7 128:3	128:1 129:1 130:1	example 6:8 34:19	expected 205:9
ethical 38:5	137:22 197:10	131:1 132:1 133:1	66:6 252:24	209:7,9
evaluate 24:7,8	255:10 258:5	134:1 135:1 136:1	exchange 84:2,4	expects 211:24
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		15 155.1 150.1		- Apvets 211.27
				I

14.00	1 055 04	6	C-1115 420 20 20	. 140.6
experience 14:23	extremely 255:24	familiar 23:19	field 15:4,20 20:20	fingertips 143:6
15:20 20:20 52:5	255:24 261:3	42:13 47:2 64:3	21:12 28:19	finish 51:15 117:9
52:7 101:10	<b>F</b>	67:21 71:6,15	114:23 122:8	finished 48:19
129:18 130:5,10		93:25 119:20	150:8	finishing 118:11
130:15 132:5,12	F 264:4	120:3 136:6	<b>fields</b> 107:19	first 16:5 17:25
133:7 136:20	face 28:10 31:4	199:14 213:20	<b>fifth</b> 150:17	18:22,23,24 21:13
140:14 162:14,17	148:11 256:17	227:13,16 259:1	fighting 60:15	21:13 27:21 43:6
166:21 168:8,10	Facebook 218:3,8	259:15	figure 85:4	46:6 57:13 72:20
168:13 259:20	facilitated 60:24	<b>families</b> 84:6 212:2	figured 61:6,14	84:16 92:21 95:25
260:20	facilitator 6:13	256:20 260:18	file 113:19 117:20	96:24 100:9 103:3
experienced 53:18	256:24	<b>family</b> 26:2,9 32:7	153:20 154:15	107:21,22 108:13
56:12 59:22	<b>fact</b> 8:16 19:3 21:6	33:8 56:19 83:7	157:9 247:14,21	108:23 120:24
140:16 199:17	25:22 30:17 34:12	83:14 86:23 87:7	<b>filed</b> 175:20 246:14	122:19 126:12
experiences 82:7	35:22 39:4 58:2	87:16 88:10 89:21	246:19 251:12	138:25 154:14
133:2	75:15 90:10	106:11 140:9	files 157:25	170:3,5,22 171:11
expert 132:11	113:17 125:12	147:17 206:2	filing 41:12	171:18 172:4,6
199:19	131:14 137:24	210:25 258:10,13	filings 209:6,12,24	174:7 183:18
expertise 230:23	140:23 141:7,21	fantasies 159:3	239:13	184:5 185:20
231:2 234:5	146:25 149:6	far 40:19,25 81:6	<b>fill</b> 102:10 178:21	186:19 193:19
explain 25:4 145:21	168:5 180:13	81:12 83:22 108:9	207:23 208:8,18	195:9 210:19
175:4 196:7 262:4	204:7 206:17	111:16 157:20	219:8 237:19	219:21 222:25
explained 154:7	235:24 238:16,21	fast 110:3	247:10 257:15	243:24 246:6
196:20 255:13	239:15	father 58:16 92:6	<b>filled</b> 99:13 180:14	248:11 249:10
explaining 121:6	factor 124:9 131:22	fear 98:19,20	214:15 227:10	258:21
explains 237:7	132:4 137:25	fears 99:5	filling 208:7	firsthand 223:18
explanation 40:18	142:15,17,20	February 73:19	final 32:22 33:18	fitness 10:17
48:6	145:3,10,12 149:6	97:4 165:3 170:5	33:21 264:12	five 1:6 2:5 4:14,23
exposure 132:2	233:25	federal 173:9 177:3	finalize 141:5	5:10,10 6:17 9:10
express 117:22	factored 121:3	feedback 106:11	finalized 139:15	9:14,16,20,24
extension 46:18	factors 6:4 16:17	feel 56:10 146:21	finally 8:13 31:9	11:3,25 18:18
extensive 128:5	17:5 29:16 87:17	208:21	36:2 53:11 108:16	19:2,12 36:17
extent 10:10 11:4	142:24 145:14	feeling 111:7	108:21 257:2	48:9 51:16 55:18
11:12,20 46:17	234:17 252:22	feelings 59:6,22	258:2	56:13 59:17 62:5
63:5 80:18 83:22	facts 8:7 9:10 33:9	63:7 105:25	financially 89:15	62:5 66:7 71:9
87:14 129:11	138:21 139:6,10	feels 206:14	find 40:19 83:13	72:15 92:10 100:7
158:15 175:6	factual 8:3 62:12	fees 209:14	175:19 237:15	117:19 132:10
181:17 187:16	fail 259:17	<b>felony</b> 9:12 47:7	257:5	144:2,3 150:17
190:12 191:4	failed 220:7 255:16	166:16 169:6	findings 7:24 17:3	163:5,7,22 164:8
193:12 195:23	259:18	186:18 192:20	43:11 46:4 118:25	164:24 166:6,8
196:9,11,14 197:2	fair 128:17 134:3	193:2 194:13	fine 5:13 111:5	207:11,15,18,25
219:19 222:23	134:12,13,23	210:14 215:19	125:3 149:23	208:5,10 209:22
224:24 226:14	fairly 23:6 190:5	210:14 213:19	156:15 177:23	210:10,17 215:10
1	198:16	Ī		220:5 221:25
227:9 228:14	faithfully 35:14	felt 63:4,5 108:11	185:9 186:11	
235:12 241:5	fall 78:25	females 79:22	197:5 242:17	222:8,12,13,19,20
254:7 262:7	false 205:7	fetishistic 17:9	fine-tuned 36:23	222:25 223:3,10
·	16130 203.7	·	1	

223:22 224:23	format 19:7	68:9,14,18,25	245:6,9,12 248:21	G
225:19 236:15	forms 260:17	72:9,14,19,25	249:4,9,20,24	gambles 60:15
237:22 238:2,8	formulating 196:25	73:4,9,15,18,22	250:5,14,19,23	gambles 00.13
239:25 245:22	forth 133:5 180:6	76:12,18 77:4,12	251:9,20,25 252:4	games 80:18,21
252:1 262:15	forthcoming 68:23	79:7 87:20 90:13	252:7 258:18	games 80.18,21 gangs 256:14
263:11 264:12	132:6 133:9	96:6,9,12,15	262:16,20 263:6	gathering 139:12
fix 95:22	175:24 178:14	102:8 103:25	263:13,16	gears 204:21
flag 178:13 233:13	196:18 209:20	104:4,21 105:5,7	friendly 53:15	gears 204.21 gee 23:23
flags 32:8 131:13	256:6 257:8	105:11 113:8	friends 21:20 70:14	general 2:14 5:4
139:13	260:21 262:11	116:12,16,19	89:5 147:17 206:2	124:3 163:11
flattens 18:2	fortunately 181:21	117:7,16 118:9,15	210:25	generalization
flawed 9:1	fortune 75:5	119:6,9,11 121:22	front 27:13 65:10	132:21
flaws 134:17	forward 50:2	122:3,13,16 123:7	73:7 74:15 94:23	i .
fliers 98:18	127:20 132:10	123:13 124:20,23	223:5,7	generalizations 130:12 132:8,25
flip 93:24	151:20	132:15,18,23	fulfill 258:6	1
floating 115:4	found 7:17 10:5	138:12 142:6,8	full 8:10 32:22	generally 25:7 37:19 150:4
flyers 64:17	57:14 97:17	149:10,22 152:12	52:16 69:22 88:15	
focus 14:25 15:4	151:21 214:14	152:15,25 155:11	106:6,7 107:25	generation 21:17 Georgia 120:20
119:19	foundation 42:9	156:5,10,15	108:25 126:22	getting 26:12 29:5
folks 22:3 66:13	45:15	157:14 158:7,19	175:25 176:5	33:3 36:7 52:12
follow 46:23 93:22	foundational 24:3	159:7,11,17 160:4	192:4 195:17	55:9,11 61:7
116:22 141:10	four 30:5 80:22	160:9,17,24 161:5	248:5 249:2,5,7	64:11,17 98:11
149:19 163:15	92:4 97:21,22	161:7,10,14,19	253:16 257:12	120:13,13 149:14
217:22 218:25	100:6 103:18	164:18 165:17,23	fully 38:13 180:25	156:24 157:21,23
follow-up 104:20	108:20 171:18	171:22 172:2,5,17	184:16 207:6	222:22 233:20
125:17 130:25	172:4,6 178:2	172:25 174:10	252:13 260:22	251:3
157:16	frame 18:16 156:19	176:10,18 180:12	fun 86:7	gig 52:16,24 54:3
following 45:4	181:24 243:22	180:24 181:5,14	function 16:11	girlfriend 93:7
117:10 119:15	fraud 10:24 162:24	181:20 182:4,7,16	functioning 8:21	girls 9:13 10:9
follows 13:25 51:22	free 122:22	183:8,16,21	functions 88:11	57:22 58:2 63:10
118:19 161:18	frequently 198:10	185:24 186:5,10	furniture 53:11	90:19,21,25 91:6
245:18	230:5 254:13	186:13 189:13	further 18:16,25	91:16,19 93:6
food 110:3	Friedlander 1:15	190:23 191:17	33:12 78:19 87:2	112:8 128:21,24
<b>fooling</b> 111:23	2:3 4:5,7,18,21,25	192:2,12 193:10	105:6 113:10	129:3 191:8
<b>foot</b> 61:9 101:16,21	5:7,12 9:6 12:6,9	193:17 194:2	118:19 124:18	199:11 210:16
footnote 222:5	12:17,23 13:6,12	196:22 197:10	139:4 141:4 148:5	260:1,4,7,11
force 145:7 148:24	13:18,23 14:2	200:13 216:11,23	149:13,16 151:8	give 30:2 32:24
Forces 81:5	41:9,11,15 42:5	216:25 217:7,9	152:2 176:13,14	63:6 77:20 83:10
foregoing 264:11	42:10 43:13 44:13	220:11,19,23	196:20 239:21	87:2,5 92:9 96:23
<b>forever</b> 211:23	44:19 45:13,20,24	224:17 226:13	244:18 245:18	102:24 103:6
forget 58:13	48:12,18,25 49:4	228:20 229:7	249:22,25 253:3	104:16 105:12
forgot 213:11	49:15,22 50:6,11	232:20 234:11	258:6 263:17	106:6,7 107:25
form 99:13,14	50:15,20,23 51:4	239:23 240:6,13	future 18:9 40:15	132:12 137:25
154:6 224:15	51:9,11,14,18,23	240:16 242:17,22	149:2	143:6 153:11
236:4 247:10	66:25 67:6,9,17	244:14,17,21,25	<b>fuzzy</b> 243:22	154:4 170:21
			<u>-</u>	151.11/0.21
<u> </u>	<u> </u>			I

102 24 105 2	101 10 222 6	207 10 200 7 0 15	05 15 10 00 12	154.11
182:24 185:2	181:18 222:6	207:10 209:7,9,15	85:15,19 88:13	154:11
258:16	223:25 247:9	210:17,23 213:21	119:9 161:14	gym 61:13
given 117:21	248:10	214:4 217:17	263:16	H H
125:23 127:21	going 4:10 30:10	239:24 259:23	greater 132:2	$\frac{\mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{H}}$ 3:13
139:6 260:13	37:24 44:21 48:13	260:3,15 262:9	137:19 144:9	1
gives 200:2 258:11	48:16 49:13 55:3	goods 1:11 5:16,22	greatest 134:11	habits 218:7,9
giving 19:6 88:15	56:10,13,14,19	52:6,24 54:22	Grick 168:2	half 22:20 115:19 125:19 128:21
167:22	57:21 58:4 62:3,7	63:24 66:8 68:2	Gripp 69:8,14	186:6 246:18
glass 70:24 116:5	63:22 64:23,23	164:9 193:6	74:11 167:13	hand 13:21 66:24
gleaned 8:4	68:23 75:4,5,22	205:10,23 211:10	168:2 214:16,21	1 1
go 4:5,11,12 12:19	84:23 87:20 89:20	214:11 223:16	214:25 221:12	124:12 146:20
13:2,3,19 23:7	89:25 90:4,8	224:4,22 225:18	grocery 110:7	218:11,15 257:23 264:17
27:8,14 28:23	97:12 99:2,11,16	225:21 226:4	groom 90:21 199:3	
31:10 34:18,21	99:17 106:10	227:7,23,24,25	groomed 30:23	handle 53:24,24
44:25 50:2,16	114:6 117:17,21	228:3 231:17	grooming 31:16	63:18 64:24 86:19
51:14 52:23 53:19	121:22 127:20	253:12,13 254:8	grounds 174:4	handling 8:20
55:9 56:21 61:12	128:6,7 132:7,25	Google 8:8 74:15	group 16:11 88:20	205:13
61:13 66:20 69:8	144:7 146:20,21	75:13,16,25 76:6	groups 7:20 16:11	hang 92:7 114:7
69:25 70:23 75:3	155:13 156:20	76:10,22 77:2,9	43:19 59:19	Hanson 16:25
78:6 86:13 87:23	176:10 181:24	77:17 78:2,11	growing 120:5	17:19
93:25 102:8	191:13 192:2,5	95:12,14	122:6,7	happen 32:13 35:4
104:13,14,22,22	194:2 196:8,22	<b>Gordon</b> 2:7 38:17	guess 15:22 24:19	63:22 98:22
105:2 109:4,21,22	205:12 207:3	38:18 39:7	103:10 106:15	happened 70:9
109:22 110:2,7	227:19 229:9,9	gosh 35:22 56:25	125:14 127:19	83:21 106:3 108:2
112:14 113:9	232:20 237:20	247:6	131:6 135:14	109:6 110:23
115:22 117:16	240:7 247:24	gotten 40:3 82:2	142:20 143:7,10	112:2 128:24
124:10,10 130:19	249:13 251:4,9	113:23 158:3	143:13 155:22	137:9 148:2,3
137:2 149:21	253:24 255:2	govern 10:15	156:21 158:21	192:4,17 193:23
152:15,16 159:22	256:21 257:24	government 64:12	242:4 249:8	243:17 246:7
160:13,17 180:12	263:3	171:8	guessing 30:10	happens 32:12
183:16,20,21	<b>good</b> 4:6,16 10:4,12	grade 81:3	103:13 130:20	116:9
185:23 186:15	10:16 11:6,14,19	grandfather 21:16	guidance 179:13	happy 42:8 45:14
193:6 194:8 197:6	14:8,9 23:6 24:4	grandkid 21:17	259:12	92:8 116:8
210:24 211:23,25	52:7,12,21 54:9	grant 211:24	guide 202:2	hard 255:22
217:23 219:13,13	57:2 77:21 101:14	221:23 222:7	guilty 57:19,25	hardened 60:17
223:3 239:6	111:7 113:6 119:9	248:16 253:2	187:23 194:21	256:14
245:13 246:15	130:6,10,12	258:3,5,15	195:2,8 225:17	Harris 17:19
247:17 252:8	134:23 135:15	granted 8:18	238:22	Harvard 108:24
253:7 256:13	148:18 161:23	211:10	guy 22:6 31:24 32:3	he'll 8:19 85:23
<b>goal</b> 55:3	162:13 163:11	granting 7:5 8:14	60:12,19 115:15	90:2,3
God 56:25	164:25 168:11	9:4 206:5	guys 53:18 54:13	headed 114:11
goes 26:4 66:9 81:6	183:25 196:13	grateful 90:11	54:14,17,18 56:16	heading 92:6 115:4
89:10,10 109:3	197:4 204:22,25	gratitude 89:2	56:25 75:5 81:10	health 150:22
114:4 115:17,20	205:11,24 206:6	<b>gray</b> 43:7	92:4,7,8 97:17	hear 6:16,19 8:2,7
154:9 171:19	206:13,15,18,23	great 55:17 85:14	103:20 114:6,7	125:2,3 182:5
				262:25
	•			•

				<del></del>
heard 12:24 24:13	133:11 249:14	219:13	256:9 258:15,17	254:8 260:3,15
25:2 44:17 61:5	high 18:3,4 22:3	homework 64:10	258:20 262:14,19	262:9
85:4 87:15 122:14	34:3 35:21 47:22	86:15	263:19,20	houses 53:14 98:22
174:8 191:24	80:12,13,13,14	honestly 77:5	Honor's 248:16	How's 4:20
192:3 243:8	81:8,9,9,12 97:24	157:18 255:25	honorable 192:19	<b>humbled</b> 255:21
252:17,21 256:12	115:13	Honeywell 2:7	211:22	hung 83:24
258:9	higher 6:11 29:5	Hong 83:17,19	hope 78:24	hurt 63:20 90:8
hearing 1:14 12:1	31:14 37:12 38:7	Honor 4:16 5:2,11	horrible 61:23,24	
58:11 66:13 68:3	137:20 147:23	5:14 9:8 12:5,8,13	74:18,19 106:13	<u>l</u>
68:6,7,8,10,16	highly 29:15	12:14 14:7 41:8	255:13,17 257:6	<b>I-5</b> 115:5
69:5,6,7,9 72:23	highway 114:11	41:14 42:2 43:16	horror 98:22	idea 22:10,15 35:19
77:5 154:20,25	Hill 108:24	44:11 45:11 46:3	horse 78:17	40:17 58:24 74:7
193:25 214:19	hinder 108:12	48:8 49:5,8,20,24	Hospital 86:18	81:25 82:2,3
243:10 247:20	hire 205:18 206:14	50:10 51:12,16	hour 54:3 109:5	identification
263:17,21 264:12	206:19 208:3	67:11 71:17 72:16	125:18,19 205:21	163:25 170:25
hearsay 175:2	239:17	72:23 75:22 79:9	261:1	171:6 186:25
193:9,10,13,20,24	hired 108:16,22,23	87:10,13 90:12	hours 59:17 108:20	188:21 189:18
heart 101:22	165:13	103:23 104:19	115:8 125:16	198:7 215:5
106:25 224:2	hires 206:22	105:4 116:23	138:18 205:20	249:12
227:15	hiring 121:3 206:15	117:13,14 122:15	house 52:16 53:10	identified 49:17,19
hectic 211:3	210:10	122:25 124:22	55:13 56:21 86:20	214:7 216:4
held 1:14 88:3	histories 15:15	132:9 138:11,13	93:16 94:19,24	233:19 243:13
help 13:15 65:3	<b>history</b> 9:21 17:10	151:16,23 153:15	98:10 99:2 104:16	identify 164:3
81:12 86:16	20:6 22:4 68:22	154:19 155:5,18	121:7 128:16	171:4 187:5,21
103:15 219:11,14	79:24 120:23	161:9,23 164:17	247:4	188:10,24 189:22
230:7	121:2,20 158:25	165:21 171:17,25	household 1:10	198:9 209:2 215:8
helped 61:19 81:7,8	171:6,7 177:8	172:18 173:25	5:16,22 10:4,12	identifying 106:24
<b>helpful</b> 143:16	178:16 194:15,18	174:9 175:18	10:16 11:6,13,19	identity 178:18
helping 19:6	211:6 220:3	176:4,15,16	52:5,7,16,24 54:9	212:4 222:3
hereunto 264:16	222:22 225:3	179:21 180:3	54:22 63:24 66:8	illegal 243:3
hey 54:19 65:24	235:13	181:11 182:3,14	67:25 101:13	imagine 13:1 63:13
74:14,25 84:17	hold 86:8,20 106:9	183:2,13 186:2	162:13 163:11	63:13
89:8 92:5,7,13	106:10 225:21	189:10 190:20	164:9,25 168:11	immaturity 145:7
95:20,21 97:17	257:24	191:13 192:11	183:25 193:5	immediate 99:5
98:8,11 103:15	home 6:2 8:15,24	193:18 196:6,14	196:12 197:4	208:23
114:6 115:18	9:5 52:25 53:3	200:7 215:25	204:22,25 205:9	immediately 95:20
247:5	70:16,24 86:5,14	220:9,13 224:7,19	205:11,23,24	113:4 247:7
Hibbs 167:15 168:4	86:20 93:4 116:8	226:9 228:7,18	206:6,15,18,23	immensely 13:16
hid 178:18	228:17 229:4	234:3 239:22	207:10 209:6,9,15	impact 58:23 61:24 115:23
hide 115:18 129:21	253:7,22 254:15	240:4,10,12 242:3	210:17,23 211:10	
212:4 214:17	260:7	242:7,9,13,19	213:21 214:4,11	impeach 186:7
259:22	homes 5:21 7:3,4	244:24 245:21	217:16 223:16	impeaches 183:11 183:14
hides 217:19	56:5,9 105:2	248:10 249:23	224:4,22 225:18	
218:23	205:12 207:19	250:2,22 251:6,19	225:21 226:4	impeachment 180:19,22 181:7
hiding 129:24	211:25 217:23	251:24 252:2,10	227:7,24 231:17	181:18 183:6
				101.10 103.0
L				

		1		
implementing	includes 158:15	52:6,8 101:14	informed 75:17	71:9,23 72:22
16:13	200:22 250:21	150:6 166:21	76:9 158:13	96:8,8,10,19
implicit 8:13	including 16:11	168:8,11,13,15	informing 77:7	126:4 174:6 215:9
importance 86:10	123:10 129:4	196:13 260:3	inhibitions 255:14	216:13 217:3,6
86:12	135:3	ineligible 225:21	initial 25:11 44:21	249:17
important 16:17	income 69:20,24	inevitably 22:12	67:24 82:3 139:9	interact 205:25
58:10 61:2 86:24	inconsistencies	56:4	216:14 217:8	210:24
86:25 87:17	97:9 262:1	influence 92:4	221:4	interacted 82:17
217:21	inconvenience	115:7 166:18	initially 25:10	interacting 84:5
impose 218:17	98:18	177:16 215:20	103:2 109:17	208:12
impossible 60:23	incorrect 95:4 96:2	inform 241:8 263:3	174:5	interaction 54:6
120:6	96:24 262:5	information 6:12	initials 73:7	83:22 106:22
impression 126:12	increase 8:15 9:4	9:21,22 10:25	initiative 120:5	interactions 75:25
impressions 128:8	increasing 17:6	24:13 31:23 79:17	injustice 30:3	112:9
139:2	incredible 85:13	79:18 83:15 84:14	innocent 57:19	interactive 109:11
improper 232:16	89:3	84:18 95:4,10	<b>input</b> 79:6	interest 17:10
232:17	incredibly 255:22	102:11 109:11	inquire 194:5	18:11 32:10 42:18
improve 135:2	256:5,6	126:6,9,16,20,25	inquiring 98:25	145:2 148:22,24
improved 135:8,10	incurable 20:24	127:11,17,22	<b>INQUIRY</b> 105:10	163:16 175:25
impulsive 111:10	145:20	129:14 130:22	113:7 142:1,7	176:5 205:5
in's 53:7 55:2	indicate 12:24	138:21 139:2,4,8	143:1 144:1 145:1	254:17
in-depth 64:6	148:17 155:15	139:13 140:3,12	146:1 147:1 148:1	interested 17:8
in-home 5:18 8:17	207:19 208:11	140:25 142:12	242:1,21 243:1	149:4 160:19
56:2	262:23	143:5 144:5,24	244:1	208:23
in-prison 23:3	indicated 67:12	148:14,20 154:22	inside 60:14 88:8	interesting 36:19
inappropriate	147:20 157:14	158:17,18 159:8	153:8	interests 7:6 17:7
242:4	167:14 168:12	166:2 168:9	instill 53:6 86:11	23:14 29:19
incarcerated 6:11	180:16 181:13	170:15,22 171:10	<b>Institute</b> 7:16 41:19	interfere 9:13
20:3 59:12 107:12	208:8,20 218:8	171:20 172:11,20	42:14 44:4 118:24	10:11 11:5,13
incarceration	228:21 263:1	172:23 173:4	instrument 40:5	196:12 207:9
18:13 33:18	indicates 194:18	174:16 175:6,21	47:20 202:4	210:16 223:15
incident 62:16 91:8		175:22 176:12	instruments 18:4	224:3,13,21 254:7
91:10,13 112:7	149:14 160:18	179:18 183:12	148:22	262:9
144:19 233:5	individual 29:9	185:2 186:4,9	insurance 8:20	interferes 260:2
248:14 253:23	33:22,24 103:14	187:7 190:11,18	28:23,25,25 29:2	interfering 197:4
incidents 253:18	145:18,20,23	190:19 192:24	29:6 55:24 64:14	international 15:17
inclined 35:6	180:16 239:12,15	193:14 195:10,19	65:8 206:9	15:19
242:13	252:14 258:12	200:19 209:17	integrity 214:22	interrupt 183:2
include 9:20,22	individualized	212:6 217:21	217:18	interrupting
86:21 167:2,4	254:21	218:6,8 229:22	intends 33:13 68:21	156:14
168:9 184:23	individuals 63:2	230:2 234:20	intense 23:24	Interstate 115:4
206:3 212:6 220:7	145:16 167:22	236:7 237:15	intensive 6:10	interview 108:3,25
259:18	239:18 256:15	238:19 245:7	59:16 157:5	120:25
included 28:6	Industries 64:13	259:12,13 261:8	intent 6:17,20	interviews 82:4
125:16 174:20	<b>industry</b> 5:16 11:19	262:4 263:4	11:21,25 67:7,25	140:16
L				

intoxicated 111:6	80:9,24 81:13,16	jog 128:11	183:8,16,21	81:7,9,9
112:4,19 113:21	168:21 214:17	Judd 157:7	185:24 186:5,10	jurisdiction 136:24
128:19	involvements 60:8	judge 1:16 2:3 4:5	186:13 189:13	137:4,5 228:23
intoxication 115:11	involving 11:2	4:8,18,21,25 5:7	190:23 191:17	229:3
introduced 92:20	159:4 222:2	5:12 9:6 12:6,9,17	192:2,12 193:10	jurisdictions
226:10	237:23	12:23 13:6,12,18	193:17 194:2	120:21 134:15
introducing 155:7	irony 123:19,21	13:23 14:2 41:9	196:22 197:10	justice 6:9 162:17
invested 26:2	irredeemable 147:5	41:11,15 42:5,10	200:13 216:11,23	justifications 23:13
investigate 162:10	irrelevant 186:4	43:13 44:13,19	216:25 217:7,9	juvenile 26:5,6
162:12 169:21	IRS 177:3 246:2,7	45:13,20,24 48:12	220:11,19,23	
177:18 186:21	246:18 247:7,25	48:18,25 49:4,15	224:17 226:13	K
197:24 218:3	247:25 250:16	49:22 50:6,11,15	228:20 229:7	<b>K</b> 41:6,18
investigating 66:16	251:12 259:4	50:20,23 51:4,9	232:20 234:11	<b>Karl</b> 16:24
investigation 11:17	Ish 98:3	51:11,14,18,23	239:23 240:6,13	keep 32:2 52:23
84:21,23 85:3	isolated 91:8,9,10	58:17 59:8 66:14	240:16 242:17,22	53:24 54:2 61:8
97:22 127:8	issue 87:13 121:2	66:25 67:6,9,17	244:14,17,21,25	keeping 58:22
155:22 156:2	147:9 149:18	68:8,9,14,18,25	245:6,9,12 248:21	keeps 60:14,14
157:11 171:14	216:20 224:2	69:7 72:9,14,19	249:4,9,20,24	61:14 94:5
174:17 187:13	236:22 241:11	72:25 73:4,9,15	250:5,14,19,23	kept 92:4
188:5,18 189:8	256:9	73:18,22 76:12,18	251:9,20,25 252:4	<b>Kevin</b> 165:11 167:8
190:3 191:20	issued 238:23 239:4	77:4,12 79:7	252:7 258:18	167:23
192:7 193:23	issues 9:18 42:18	87:20 90:13 96:6	262:16,20 263:6	key 43:10 46:4
198:14 200:23,25	117:25 206:17	96:9,12,15 102:8	263:13,16	256:9
201:2,14 215:13	226:15 248:19	103:25 104:4,21	judge's 142:1 143:1	kidnapping 38:19
216:9 234:8,13	262:17	105:5,7,11 113:8	144:1 145:1 146:1	kids 17:8 21:19
241:5 261:9 262:6	italicized 221:25	116:12,16,19	147:1 148:1	32:7,18 85:20,25
investigative 179:7	item 39:18	117:7,16 118:9,15	151:11 242:1	86:11,16,23,25
investigator 162:5	iteration 222:17	119:6,9,11 121:22	243:1 244:1	98:24 110:8 114:8
162:18,21 163:6		122:3,13,16 123:7	judgment 114:9	115:25 140:9
investigators	J	123:13 124:20,23	125:25 127:4	252:25 257:3
162:22,25 179:10	J2:13 5:3 119:14	132:15,18,23	189:2 211:18	kill 115:23
<b>investing</b> 258:13,13	121:5	138:12 142:6,8	246:23 261:6	Kim 167:13,25
investment 26:8	jail 34:22 57:15	149:10,22 152:12	judgments 28:11	168:2
investments 258:10	157:4 177:22	152:15,25 155:11	judicial 236:16	kind 22:2,13 24:12
invited 91:23	178:2 239:6	156:5,10,15	July 57:14 84:11	28:16 31:15 32:20
128:19 260:7	January 45:5 184:9	157:14 158:7,19	85:24 128:9	53:19,20,20 63:18
involve 33:3 62:22	Jiffy 108:16,18	159:7,11,17 160:4	264:17	75:8 81:7 83:6,22
involved 5:24 16:2	257:1	160:9,17,24 161:5	jump 112:20	86:5 103:14
16:9 36:11 38:23	<b>job</b> 26:2,8 52:11,18 54:7 56:18 57:3	161:7,10,14,19	jumped 74:17	106:10 109:8,9
52:22 62:14 66:13		164:18 165:17,23	June 1:20 4:1 84:10	111:13 114:3
137:8 138:7 163:4	89:19 100:12,13	171:22 172:2,5,17	96:25 97:25 170:8	116:7 145:21
219:3 220:16	108:17,21 120:22 120:25 128:13	172:25 174:10	170:16,17,18,20	147:8 154:7,8
226:18,21 239:19	205:20 208:20	176:10,18 180:12	174:14,21 175:19	157:20 197:6
243:9 246:25	253:1	180:24 181:5,14	264:13	246:15,17 King 6:25 7:1 8:4
involvement 33:7	jobs 210:12 258:11	181:20 182:4,7,16	junior 80:12,14	<b>King</b> 6:25 7:1 8:4 31:8 79:2,15 80:4
	Jung 210.12 230.11			31.0 /3.4,13 00:4
L				

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
84:14,16 93:18	92:2,5,14,17	223:18 232:12,12	253:25 257:22	169:11,15,18
95:13,17 97:5	94:12 95:2,15	232:14 240:24	259:7	173:6,20 174:12
126:2 154:10	97:11,13 98:20	243:23 264:14	lawfully 5:17	174:18,25 175:5
157:10 173:23	101:24 102:2,4,5	known 91:16 107:6	lawn 21:25	175:13 176:21
176:25 189:23	102:10,12 108:12	180:5	laws 66:5 163:15	185:19,21 215:22
194:17 198:4	109:14,23 110:25	knows 5:8 85:15	203:18 205:4	237:2,8,10,11,16
210:21 213:5	111:8,11 112:16	98:19 140:21	209:4 217:22	238:13 241:22
229:11,13,22,25	113:5,25 114:14	Kong 83:17,19	239:9 241:17	242:5,7,10 245:25
230:4 235:3	114:23 115:16,17		lawyer 242:6	246:4 250:4 251:7
261:25 264:7	115:18 116:2,7,10	L	lay 42:8 45:14	257:24 259:3
Kitsap 57:15 187:6	116:20 119:24	L 45:3	59:17 92:16	legally 253:6
187:22 188:11,25	120:12,14,19,24	labeled 41:17 72:21	lays 150:20	legislation 122:21
knew 38:16 57:24	124:5,6,8 125:11	119:14 152:23	lead 44:3 105:25	legislative 123:11
64:9 65:21,22	129:23 130:3	186:24 187:19	117:20 165:13	legislatively 261:15
66:11 106:25	131:20,21 134:16	188:8,21 189:18	208:3,4	legislator 42:16
130:21 207:6	135:23,24 136:15	labor 5:18,20 52:15	lead-up 23:16	legislature 27:23
220:5,6 257:11	136:21,25 137:4	64:12 69:21	leadership 53:20	36:2 42:16,17,18
260:3	139:7 140:6 143:3	101:15 104:11,13	54:12	44:4,8 119:22
know 5:8 16:20	143:6,19,24	105:2 227:16	leads 168:5	122:2,9
17:2,5 18:24 19:6	144:22 145:3,5,7	228:10 253:6,15	league 80:15	legislature's 7:15
19:10,12 20:12	145:8 146:8,10,12	253:25	leap 56:11	lend 247:24
21:11,20,25 22:5	146:13 147:6,15	laborer 165:13,14	leaps 39:6	lengthy 34:22
22:7,13 24:3,14	148:25 149:5,7,8	208:3,4,4 221:11	learn 6:3 7:14,24	84:22 89:23
24:22,23 25:25	150:8 153:9 156:8	lack 9:24 145:8	59:4 60:3 61:4	127:18
26:7,13,13,14	157:12,12,18	178:11 214:21	107:6 192:24	let's 4:5,11 15:22
28:24 29:4,10,14	158:12,25 160:5	217:18 232:11	202:5	27:8 35:7,15
29:18 30:10 31:7	168:6,22,23 176:9	laid 92:19,23,24	learned 23:24 24:2	44:25 58:6 59:9
31:22 32:3,3,4,11	179:6 183:3	language 257:19	24:15 54:8 105:15	66:20,23,72:2
32:19,24 33:2,3,4	184:13,23 185:12	258:1	105:19 115:21	92:5 97:2 102:8
34:5 35:3,20 36:2	201:11,17 202:9,9	large 16:23,24 21:4	154:14 204:9	146:8 160:9,13
37:20 39:5,6	202:12,15 204:17	128:16 219:12	233:3 246:6	229:11 230:6
40:22 44:6 48:13	204:19 206:23	largely 139:5	learning 23:25	236:15
49:18 52:17 53:8	207:2 211:4 213:8	larger 16:9 120:4	54:25	letter 83:13
53:14,17,21 54:11	217:20 219:10	144:23 151:2	leave 48:15,16	letting 56:16
54:25 55:3,9,15	224:25 227:11,15	lasted 112:23	124:10	114:14
56:16,16,20,23,24	228:10 229:3,7,24	Late 100:14	leaves 49:7 175:16	level 6:23,25 7:9
57:2,20,21,22	232:18,19 233:6	latest 134:11	led 7:24 59:6 77:20	19:8,9,9,9 20:16
58:6,17,20 59:3,4	235:17 240:25	law 1:16 2:3 4:7	120:19	20:17.25:6,15
59:7 61:25 64:9	241:2 243:14	31:12 33:22 34:13	left 83:14 183:23	27:5,6,15,17
64:22 65:22 70:11	244:6 247:5,23	35:2,12 65:20	184:4	31:15,24 33:19
71:4 76:8 78:4	knowing 64:22	124:11 136:24	leg 157:19	35:6,9,9,9,10,19
81:9 82:9 84:16	156:20 249:12	147:21 153:24	legal 9:23 115:6	35:20 38:4 39:3
85:2 86:9,19 88:5	knowledge 75:14	167:14 212:24	117:20,23,25	39:11,15,16 40:12
88:9,10,11,11,18	75:24 76:8,17	213:4 235:4	118:2 123:2,6	42:8 43:5 45:16
90:7,25 91:21	78:11 144:8,9	241:25,25 242:11	142:20 166:22	45:23 47:16 48:4
	· <del>-</del> <i>y</i> -	242:15 251:3		
				l

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			raye 200
48:7 98:6,9,12,15	lien 173:9,14	237:23 244:3	logical 39:6 253:20	lose 26:11 258:14
99:7,10,21 115:11	176:21 177:3	249:3	long 5:22 17:23	losing 258:12
120:24 126:23	246:19,20,21,22	listen 61:4	54:16 56:20 70:15	lost 115:25 133:5
133:15 134:5	247:2,9,14,23,25	listing 8:8 75:13	75:2 80:11 90:23	230:3
136:22 137:7	250:16 251:12,15	76:4 77:17 78:11	90:25 92:3,19	lot 22:17 26:5
142:2,3 143:3,9	257:21 259:4	229:15	94:18 125:13	32:20 35:5,6
143:23 197:21,22	liens 247:6,11	lists 165:11	158:10 162:7	53:14 55:15,21
197:25 198:22,23	lies 59:23 107:5			·
		literally 32:15	170:19 197:16	56:5 59:21 64:14
198:23 200:16,17	life 26:2 28:25 60:6	247:11	202:4 217:12	85:18 89:2,13,25
200:20 201:8,10	88:23,25 89:20	little 7:22 18:15	233:20,24 234:16	91:25 92:25 97:12
202:3,17,25 203:4	108:8 112:13	30:20 46:8,14	252:24 253:9,10	129:10 171:20
206:24 210:19,22	145:8 146:16,17	47:25 50:24 52:4	257:3	172:11,15 176:11
212:11,16,22,25	194:14 252:16	57:22 58:2 59:9	longer 75:19	192:5 209:17
213:3 219:23	253:3 256:19	59:23 63:9 65:3	222:13,19 223:3	250:10 255:22,23
230:13,16 231:13	lifelong 147:8	65:12 71:18 74:12	223:13 247:13	256:7 258:14
232:3,6,7 234:25	lifestyle 88:17	82:4 83:2 85:6	look 8:9 22:4,7,7	lots 134:15 208:22
235:5,10 254:12	lifetime 192:23	86:19 105:16	41:4,22 44:25	loud 92:15
254:14,19 261:13	193:3	106:22 107:5	46:6 57:23 64:23	love 85:13 90:9
261:17,18,18	likelihood 16:20	109:15 110:25	67:20 72:2 78:7	low 13:8 19:19
263:2,2	18:8 22:19 29:5	111:12 113:25	78:14 84:23 94:23	20:17 32:3 35:20
level's 35:20	29:10,12 36:22	114:3,25 116:2	95:12 97:2 98:14	47:22,23,24
leveled 6:25 31:14	37:22 38:9	119:2 144:4	121:4 127:14	lower 37:15,23
252:17 254:12	likes 54:4,5	145:18 178:20	139:20 147:22	99:19 140:13
<b>leveling</b> 27:5 35:16	likewise 135:7	186:15 204:21	156:23 163:12,13	lowered 98:12
35:17 38:18,25	limelight 109:14	205:14 210:9	172:7,9 183:19	212:16
42:23 234:9	limit 115:6 210:22	211:3 243:22	221:3 222:5 223:4	lowering 99:10
levels 7:18 19:6,15	limitations 148:19	live 55:11 87:9,25	225:6 227:8	<b>Lube</b> 108:16,18
33:17 34:10,17,25	limited 5:9 126:13	87:25 94:20,20	234:12 236:15	257:1
37:13 39:10 43:18	144:24 174:22	124:11 256:19	247:13 254:11,13	lunch 61:11 117:8
99:19 118:25	185:16 245:2	258:16	254:21 257:19	lunches 86:16
133:22 135:18	248:9,23 250:5	lives 124:6,11	looked 19:9 54:18	
147:20 198:5,11	line 13:1,4 43:14	252:12	95:19 97:5 143:12	M
199:15,20,23	48:15 87:11 90:6	living 35:8 40:21	156:11 184:2	<b>M</b> 1:25 3:1 264:9
200:4,5 201:23	108:15 118:7	55:17 252:12	206:13 224:24	264:22
230:23 234:5	120:11 142:9	LLC 1:7 2:5 68:2	232:8 235:19	ma'am 107:17
LexisNexis 171:8	160:20 191:14	71:10 185:12,15	245:24 246:3	113:14 245:11
171:19 244:5	195:6,13 207:3	185:16 215:11	looking 17:15	Mackenzie 6:7
liability 185:16	lined 64:21	216:17 264:13	23:11,12 41:17	85:21
license 121:19	list 12:18 49:21	LLC's 164:9	42:20 43:6 67:4	<b>main</b> 9:17
123:24 126:4	67:15 151:25	load 29:15 104:13	71:19,24 72:5	maintenance 147:8
162:24 218:17	173:18 174:20	loaded 228:2,3	96:21 108:21	major 15:4 17:13
253:2 258:3,6	175:18 174:20	loading 253:13	110:12 221:4	37:9,10
licenses 120:8	listed 11:9 67:15	local 31:12 83:7	looks 26:25 31:21	makers 21:3
122:9 123:19	167:8,24 180:9	locals 82:17	31:23 32:3 39:5,8	making 8:20 21:4
122.9 123.19	192:21 207:14	location 93:15	40:7	52:13 55:22,24,25
147.3	174.41 40/.14	10Cation 95.15	40.7	56:2,17 119:17
	<u> </u>			50.2,17117.17

120:6 126:9	125:23 175:4	<b>Megan</b> 101:3	20:1 21:1 22:1	29:19,21 136:7,11
129:15 178:12	207:14,18 208:5	member 16:7,8	23:1 24:1 25:1	136:17 141:13,16
210:9 211:17	208:10 209:23	23:17 38:21	26:1 27:1 28:1	201:22 202:12
246:10 259:6	Matt 38:17	162:23 202:8	29:1 30:1 31:1	213:11,11
man 55:16 65:15	matter 5:6 9:18	memo 164:4 174:6	32:1 33:1 34:1	minor 100:4 131:10
89:2 90:9 101:5	77:20 116:4 163:4	memorandum 72:6	35:1 36:1 37:1	131:12 139:22
255:19,19,20	193:22 220:17	72:10,12 73:19	38:1 39:1 40:1	204:14
257:14	mature 26:8 145:9	75:12 174:23	41:1 42:1 43:1	minors 109:24,25
managerial 8:19	Maximum 251:25	240:11	44:1 45:1 46:1	159:4 203:15
55:21	mean 22:8 46:17	memorialization	47:1 48:1 49:1	204:6,16 211:16
managing 145:8	65:24 80:21 82:10	235:20,23	50:1 65:16 100:25	233:16
146:16,23,23	85:16 90:11 91:9	memories 53:3	118:1,17 119:1	minute 24:25 66:21
maneuver 53:17	91:10,12,25 93:15	208:22	120:1 121:1 122:1	67:20 112:17,21
manipulate 259:24	94:12 103:10	memory 128:7,11	123:1 124:1 125:1	minutes 5:10 92:11
manipulating	109:21,23 110:9	men 58:14	126:1 127:1 128:1	92:16 116:25
130:10	112:22 131:19	mental 150:11,21	129:1 130:1 131:1	252:1
manipulation	138:25 144:15	mention 21:8 55:16	132:1 133:1 134:1	mis-scoring 40:8
130:17	147:4,13 152:7,23	169:10 214:15	135:1 136:1 137:1	misbehavior 147:3
manipulators	153:4 157:21	mentioned 22:22	138:1 139:1 140:1	mischief 140:8
130:6,13 259:23	165:17 191:22	75:15 105:14	141:1 142:1 143:1	misconduct 11:3
<b>Manual</b> 150:17	198:12,22 204:25	109:16 145:16	144:1 145:1 146:1	222:3 237:24
160:21	231:25 232:2	147:11 148:4	147:1 148:1 149:1	238:25
mapped 62:5,8	237:9 241:20	155:19 160:6	150:1 151:1 152:1	misdemeanor
Marguerite 1:15	249:4,7	166:24 168:7	153:1 154:1 155:1	114:17
2:3 4:7	meaning 17:16	186:16 192:14	156:1 157:1 158:1	mislabeled 67:3
mark 18:18,19,19	52:13,24 111:14	213:17 217:2	159:1 160:1	mislead 214:24
marked 163:25	meaningful 20:15	243:2 250:10	252:18	215:17 218:24
170:25 198:6	means 7:1 43:13	258:25	microphone 4:19	misleading 9:10
215:4	56:6 175:4 177:25	mentioning 29:16	220:20	10:6,7 205:8
<b>Market</b> 108:24	192:21 197:25	147:19	mid 25:10 35:24	210:5 214:14
marriage 6:5	198:24,25 205:2	mentor 89:4	37:12 136:15	217:17 259:2
131:15 139:22	205:15 206:7,9	mentorship 54:19	middle 75:7 195:11	misled 9:11
252:25 257:3	217:19 237:8	message 35:10	196:4	misrepresentation
married 99:23	241:12 259:6	183:23 184:5	mike 119:7 132:16	10:24
100:2,5 113:24	meant 65:21 96:16	messing 26:12	military 82:23 83:8	misstating 231:11
114:7 131:12	105:17	met 100:11 103:3	83:24	mistake 27:2,4
203:19,22 211:19	measure 17:11	125:4 204:10	mind 52:23 54:2	38:12 93:6 255:13
233:17	148:22	206:7 260:8,11,24	· ·	255:18
marry 204:7,20	measureable 17:5	meta 16:21 149:8	mine 157:8 159:21	misused 146:10
211:14 233:16	measured 17:11	method 28:20,21	mine's 85:3	mitigate 6:4 218:18
<b>Mary</b> 1:25 264:9	mechanism 121:15	180:18	minimize 106:12	mitigating 87:17
264:22	medical 159:19,19	methods 38:3 134:6		233:25 234:17
master 14:17 15:5	meet 10:17 83:7,7	<b>Michael</b> 3:4 13:24	minimizing 140:15	252:22
21:7	100:9 144:19,25	14:1,12 15:1 16:1	minimum 205:21	MMSOST 28:5
materials 11:24	meets 121:20	17:1 18:1 19:1	Minnesota 28:4	<b>model</b> 19:4 146:3
L	•	•	•	•

			==	<u>_</u>
moderate 7:2 9:2	177:24 178:3	210:24 254:15	mowed 21:25	128:12 191:11
19:20 20:17 47:8	197:17 203:23	moves 5:18,20 8:11	multimedia 6:13	192:15,22 211:21
47:22 198:24	204:20 211:15	54:17 55:10 69:21	109:12	nearly 5:16 101:12
210:20 219:24,24	233:15 262:2	69:23 104:12,25	multiple 145:14	253:15
230:14 231:13,14	Morale 82:21	209:16 227:17,23	municipal 121:18	necessarily 111:8
231:19 232:7,9,22	morbidly 130:2	228:10,17,24	murder 60:13	159:12
232:23 254:14,16	morning 4:6,16	229:3,5,6 243:3,5	MWR 82:19	necessary 86:15
261:19	14:8,9 86:6 92:10	253:6,25	myths 20:21	158:11
molestation 11:20	92:18 129:6	moving 1:6 2:5		need 13:10 32:23
62:14 100:19	190:10 198:21	4:23 5:16 6:17	N	48:16 50:7 72:17
186:19 195:9	mortgage 247:4	9:14 10:4,12 11:6	N 2:1 3:1,1,1,13	97:15 98:11
219:21 220:7	mother 58:16 79:23	11:14,19,25 52:6	naive 74:13	110:25 123:9
molested 21:18,19	91:3,23 92:12	52:8,11,14,16,24	name 4:6,13,13,17	133:19 139:5
90:18 129:2	191:8,9 199:11	53:3,7,10 54:3,9	4:22 5:3 14:10,12	141:4 142:3 144:4
molester 131:25	260:4,5	54:10,22,25 55:2	65:16 74:10 75:2	144:20 159:4
molesters 130:9	mother-in 167:13	55:18 57:4 63:24	75:6 78:19,20,22	196:20 197:5,11
molesting 9:13 10:9	mother-in-law	64:5,5,16 66:7,19	79:16 83:13 100:2	219:13 238:5
21:16 210:15	168:2	68:2,13 69:22	115:14 161:24	247:8 262:17,25
mom 87:8,24,25	mothers 115:24	71:10 74:10,12	162:2,3 180:16	needed 47:17 49:25
88:5	motion 117:20,21	76:7 89:8 101:10	184:5 185:7,8,9	103:15 131:17
mom's 88:25	motivated 61:20	101:14,16,21,22	185:10,11,19,20	140:25 154:6
moment 30:21	motor 1:10	101:22,23 102:2,3	185:21,22 202:4	179:16 184:23
71:18 105:12	mouthful 27:12	102:23 103:4,22	207:6 213:11	207:24 208:19
142:10 212:10	move 6:2 8:18	104:8 109:8 124:5	246:23 247:6	needing 48:15
225:5,14 255:16	44:11 52:11,15,17	132:10 158:5	named 65:15,18,19	147:12
<b>Monday</b> 125:14	53:19 55:8 56:22	163:5,8,22 164:8	100:25 101:3	needs 32:4 131:22
138:17 151:22	132:15 195:11	164:24 166:7,8,21	201:15 221:24	133:19 150:11
260:25	205:24 211:4	168:11,13,15,19	237:21,25 239:8	184:16 197:2
money 53:24 64:14	214:5,7 219:11,11	178:18,19 184:3	239:12,16 241:15	208:16 209:21
64:20 83:2 246:9	219:14 220:19,20	196:13 207:10,11	narrow 53:13,13	257:25
246:10,15 247:25	227:24 243:12,14	207:19 208:16,22	national 15:18	negative 155:16
250:11,11,14,17	243:16,21 251:23	210:7,9,10,17,17	nature 10:10 11:4	253:18,23
250:25	252:12,15 253:8	211:4 212:5,9	11:12,19 29:24	neighborhood
Monroe 59:15	253:16 255:3	213:18,21,24	111:14,14 129:11	98:19 99:4
153:21	moved 15:2 55:12	214:3,10 215:11	130:13 187:16	neighbors 22:2
month 63:12 74:23	99:4 101:15 257:1	216:16,19 217:6	190:12 191:4	nervous 56:24
97:13 103:18,18	mover 8:17 10:16	220:17 221:6,12	195:23 196:9,11	network 85:7 87:17
112:23 113:6	53:15 54:4,5	223:16 224:4,22	199:4 219:19	105:13
157:4	55:21 163:11	225:22 226:4,22	222:23 224:24	networks 87:19,23
monthly 74:23	164:25 204:25	253:12 254:8	227:3,9 235:12	never 19:22 20:9
<b>months</b> 18:23	205:10,11 206:6	259:16 260:15	241:5 254:6 262:7	20:10,12 45:19
59:15 74:6 89:6	206:23,24 214:11	262:10,12,15	naval 81:4 192:17	54:2 55:8,9 58:12
94:24 97:21,22	217:17	264:13	194:9	75:8 77:20 80:8
129:6 131:11	movers 7:2 204:22	Moving's 72:15	navigating 79:6	81:22 83:19,20
144:21 157:21	205:24 209:7,9,15	217:12	Navy 81:5 82:6,7	88:7 95:16 137:18
			82:13,20 84:9,10	
				1

	<del></del>			
139:17 140:21	256:2,5 262:1	O 3:1 5:3 14:12	138:16 139:1	117:5,18 123:14
145:17 146:13	notes 113:9 128:5	o'clock 48:10,14	140:1 141:1 142:1	261:2
147:5 152:4	128:10 179:11,24	O'Connell 2:13 5:1	142:9 143:1 144:1	O'Connor 3:4
181:16 238:23	252:3 261:24	5:2,3 9:7,8 12:13	145:1 146:1 147:1	o0o 4:3
239:4 243:16	notice 3:15 6:20	12:22,25 13:4,5	148:1 149:1,15,17	oath 111:18 118:12
new 36:4,8 37:3,13	11:21,25 12:3,7	13:11,17,22,24	149:25 150:1,2,14	160:11 245:13
37:14 44:18 45:16	12:10,12,15 50:5	14:1,8,12 15:1	151:1,16,21 152:1	obey 203:18
45:17,21 53:10,11	67:7 71:23 72:22	16:1 17:1 18:1	153:1 154:1,19	object 75:23 132:7
64:15,15,16 99:4	96:9 126:3 165:19	19:1 20:1 21:1	155:1,21,24 156:1	151:23 180:3
104:15 139:13	200:9 215:9 216:2	22:1 23:1 24:1	156:7,8,13 157:1	191:13
170:14,17 175:6	216:6,8,13 217:2	25:1 26:1 27:1	157:2 158:1,13,19	objected 224:14
234:20 235:6	217:6,10 218:7	28:1 29:1 30:1	159:1 160:1,5,10	objection 12:7,8
238:18 248:12	236:17 240:14	31:1 32:1 33:1	160:23 161:2,11	42:4,11 44:14,14
newly 248:12,19	242:7,10,13	34:1 35:1 36:1	161:12,20,22	45:12 50:3 76:13
nice 112:15	noticed 95:25 96:24	37:1 38:1 39:1	164:16,22 165:21	76:14 87:10
night 86:16 91:17	174:16 218:10	40:1 41:1 42:1,2	165:24 171:16,24	122:18 132:13,19
92:2,21 260:6	notification 7:18,22	42:13 43:1 44:1	172:17,18 173:3	164:17 171:17
nine 101:10,11	19:5,15 27:22	44:15,16 45:1,11	173:25 174:9,11	172:3 174:3
166:20 168:12,15	28:9 29:22 30:14	46:1 47:1 48:1,8	175:18 176:16	181:16 183:18
253:24	30:22,24 38:2	48:12 49:1,5,7,13	179:21 180:10,24	189:12 193:9
nobody's 20:8,8	39:10,17,19 40:3	49:18,24 50:1,9	180:25 181:11	216:7 223:24
108:8 247:24	40:6,10,13 43:17	50:13,17 51:12	182:2,5,14,18	226:6 228:8,11
non 39:25	46:8,19 47:24	67:11,14,18 71:17	183:7,10,13 186:2	229:9 232:11
non-assertion	118:25 241:21	71:22 72:16,20	186:8,14 189:10	234:3 240:12
229:2	notifications 28:21	73:2,5,12 75:22	189:16 190:20	242:10 248:8
non-related 39:24	November 70:13	76:14,21,24 77:14	191:2,17,18	objectionable
40:2	113:20	79:5,8,9 87:10	192:10,13 193:17	181:19 193:25
non-sex 19:23,25	nuanced 254:20	90:14,16 93:23	193:18 194:4	objections 190:22
Non-Violent 6:14	number 3:14 9:3	94:3 96:22 99:17	196:6 197:7,12	200:12
60:25 256:25	16:22 17:3,18	102:13 103:23	200:7,14 215:25	objectives 133:13
noon 48:23 49:2	23:18,20,21 25:21	104:19,24 105:4	216:18,24 217:5,8	objects 42:3
50:17 117:9,11	29:14,17 31:6	116:21,23,25	217:11 220:9	obligation 175:22
normal 129:17	53:2 55:20 58:13	117:6,9,13 118:1	223:24 224:7,14	obliviated 111:8
167:6	65:13 72:21 77:20	118:6,17,23 119:1	226:6 228:7,18	obtain 121:17
normally 126:5,8	80:5 82:10,15,16	120:1 121:1 122:1	230:7 231:22	153:20 158:16
255:14	143:19 184:2,5	122:7,13,14,17,23	232:11 234:3,16	200:25
north 114:11 115:5	216:19 219:19	123:1,17 124:1,17	240:3,7,9,15,21	obtained 6:11
Northwest 162:23	237:23 252:22	124:21,22,25	242:3,19 244:23	256:23
note 48:9 49:11	numbering 72:17	125:1,2 126:1	248:8,15 250:2,7	obtaining 226:4
91:24 125:15	78:25 216:20	127:1 128:1 129:1	250:9,16,21 251:6	<b>obvious</b> 31:2 61:21
129:3 154:24	229:12 230:4	130:1 131:1 132:1	251:11,18 252:18	215:23
182:19 200:24	numbers 29:20	132:9,10 133:1,2	256:2 258:9,19,20	obviously 31:3
216:9	numerous 208:14	133:6,8 134:1	259:21 260:24	55:20 58:23 62:13
<b>noted</b> 50:13 167:10	211:11	135:1,16 136:1,20	262:25 263:14,20	87:21 88:2 89:6
200:13 214:21		137:1,11 138:1,10	O'Connell's 50:4	97:17 106:25
	O			
	•		•	•

				1 490 201
109:21 111:10	201:23,24 230:16	189:24 227:23	116:11,12,15,18	100:6,7 116:10
143:17 156:18	259:21	261:25 262:2	118:9,14 123:16	128:21 157:9
159:17	offending 23:15	Office's 97:6	124:23 125:4,10	226:25 227:2
occasion 112:12	31:16 88:17	officer 115:13,14	125:23 126:5	older 37:6 223:22
occasionally 70:22	105:23 148:25	official 3:15 12:2,7	127:19,24 129:18	224:5,23
occasions 146:20	150:9 231:23	12:9,11 24:20	131:9,23 133:21	Olympia 1:22 2:16
occupation 162:4	offener 108:23	33:4 165:19 200:8	134:2 136:6 137:6	4:1
occurred 111:6	offense 6:24 11:8	216:2,6 217:10	137:10 138:5,10	on-line 94:5 231:5
112:7	18:8,9 19:22,23	240:14 242:7	139:10 141:7,11	once 20:24 62:6,10
occurs 144:25	19:25 20:9,10,11	oftentimes 25:12	141:25 142:5,19	63:8 64:10 108:17
October 85:23	20:16 22:11 24:14	oh 32:17 56:14,25	142:23 143:2,21	113:5 124:9 129:8
odds 160:7	24:14 59:6 60:12	63:6 72:8 94:7	143:25 145:13,16	152:18 160:6
offend 59:24 107:9	63:10,11 70:5	105:20 112:23	147:11,11,19	one-time 91:13
offended 18:5	105:19 106:2,18	126:10 127:23	148:4,4 149:10	ones 37:12
31:15	106:19,23 128:4	130:18 139:16	150:2 151:12	open 61:3 113:5
offender 7:23 20:25	129:9 137:19	149:13 156:4	156:4 161:4 164:2	133:16 140:23
20:25 25:6 27:15	138:7 139:23	247:6 248:7	164:18 165:23	256:6
27:17 28:4 34:20	145:4 147:4	<b>Ohio</b> 61:16 80:17	166:24 169:23	<b>opening</b> 4:10 5:9
34:20 35:17 38:19	148:10 166:16	87:9,25	170:3,24 171:3	68:21 117:23
41:20 42:23 43:2	177:18 178:5	okay 5:7,14 13:11	172:25 173:19	254:4 258:25
46:9,15 59:14	179:16 186:17,18	13:23 14:2 18:15	176:10 182:17,25	openly 255:25
80:5 98:6,16 99:7	186:21 191:12	24:6 25:18 27:8	186:23 187:2,18	<b>operate</b> 1:9 163:11
99:21 127:15	192:15,20 197:18	30:20 31:18 32:14	187:20 188:7,9,20	164:25 204:25
133:14 135:11,18	215:19 220:6	33:11 41:2,15,24	188:23 189:13,21	214:12 218:17
136:7,11,13,18,21	239:3	43:6 46:25 48:18	194:24 195:3,5,21	258:22
137:6 141:13,16	offenses 18:20,21	48:25 49:3,4	196:2,5 197:7,12	operating 11:13
153:9 189:24,24	21:5 37:18 120:7	50:20 57:5,7 58:6	198:8 200:13,24	196:12 197:4
194:14 197:21,23	124:10 140:15	59:13 62:7 66:9	204:21,23 205:9	210:16 214:4
197:24 198:5,11	offer 11:23 60:21	66:22 67:18,21	207:7 210:8 212:9	243:12 253:14
198:23 199:2,5,14	174:2 179:22	68:14,18,25 69:25	213:19 215:7,15	260:3 262:9
199:20 200:5,17	211:11 240:11	70:9 72:9,19	216:24 217:9	operation 9:14
201:22 202:3,17	244:22	73:15,16 75:21	218:16 219:15	10:11 11:6 207:10
203:16 206:24	offered 12:16 61:17	76:18 77:14 78:10	220:23 221:7	223:15 224:4,13
210:19 212:16,23	80:15 158:18	78:10 79:12 80:6	225:11,13,15	224:22 254:8
213:12 219:23	193:21	81:2 84:8,12 85:6	228:11 229:21	operations 78:20
225:20 230:14	offering 123:2	85:8 87:24 89:10	230:10 239:6,23	165:12 167:9
231:13 232:6,23	240:14	92:12 93:9,17	240:13,16 241:3	208:3 214:10
234:8 235:2,5	offers 135:9 164:16	94:4,25 96:9,12	241:13 242:23,25	227:20,21 228:10
offenders 7:20 15:9	171:16 189:10	96:18 97:5,8	243:18 244:6,12	opinion 43:22
15:15 20:21,23	190:20	98:15 101:8,21	244:14,14,16,20	60:10 108:9
35:8 39:21 43:19	office 52:17 80:4	102:19 104:21	248:25 249:9,24	123:18 132:12
79:15 94:6 129:19	84:15,17 93:10,19	105:12,23 106:8	250:23 251:11	137:11
130:5 132:6 133:8	94:5 157:10,24	107:4,11 110:22	262:16,20 263:16	opportunities
136:21 143:4,23	170:20 173:23	111:3,5 112:6	old 6:21 9:13 10:9	117:22 119:21
193:2 200:4,5	174:15 175:3	113:12 114:4,19	35:15 45:21,22	124:4 140:7
				,
L				

orthodox 180:18 ought 31:3,24	228:4 packing 54:15	68:15 88:14 89:24 96:18,19,20 106:2	12:20 73:8 116:21 117:6 161:1,13,17	224:8 pay 80:21 83:2
74:11 115:6	1 5	30:18 64:4 65:2,4	Paul 3:10 11:17	
34:7 35:24 36:24	packed 227:25	part 10:3 19:4 21:2	pattern 144:20	193:23 216:8
originally 28:3,3	pack 95.22 80.15 packaging 5:22			Paul's 116:24 192:7
original 36:15	pack 53:22 86:15	parole 110:17 257:1	patients 51:2 Patrol 171:5 244:4	242:1,4,20 243:1 244:1 254:10
i e	Pacific 2:8 162:23	parlance 142:21	patient 48:14	
16:5,10 42:16 oriented 86:23	p.m 1:19 263:21		passionate 89:18	240:22 241:1
organization 15:19	P-a-u-l162:3	105:14,18 260:6 Park 1:21	155:17	235:1 236:1 237:1 238:1 239:1 240:1
ordinarily 158:24	P 2:1,1 73:6	63:14 85:15 93:3	passed 122:20	233:1 234:1,4
ordinance 120:19	P	parents 58:19	pass/fail 114:24	232:1,13,16,21
orders 216:6	owns 253:9	parenting 85:25	pass 205:6,15	229:8 230:1 231:1
ordered 69:7	ownership 214:24	paraphilic 151:2	193:15	227:1 228:1 229:1
263:8	211:4 214:8	196:4	128:15,15,16	225:1 226:1,11,17
222:15 262:22	owners 165:4,5	paragraphs 183:15	111:24 112:3	222:1 223:1 224:1
219:12 221:5	243:13 260:15	225:12	party 32:6,21 91:23	220:1,10,16 221:1
216:3,14,19 217:8	173:11 205:14	221:8,17,21 225:6	parts 82:24	218:1 219:1,15
214:9 215:15	103:4 165:6,8	96:14 186:3,6	partnership 185:13	216:1,3 217:1,12
127:22 156:24	owner 10:3 53:22	paragraph 96:14	184:4	214:1 215:1,15
110:3,3 126:17,19	owed 246:14 247:8	264:9,22	partner 88:22	211:1 212:1 213:1
47:16 67:15,24	overtaken 134:9	Paradise 1:25	263:6	209:1 210:1,14
order 32:22,24	overstates 252:20	par 111:11	160:12 262:21	206:1 207:1 208:1
orally 129:4 236:4	211:3	157:13,22 172:15	151:18 156:22	204:24 205:1
oral 129:4	oversight 205:14	96:19 155:15	parties 5:8 117:22	202:1 203:1 204:1
options 221:11	overseeing 8:19	95:10 96:3,7,16	30:7	200:15 201:1
opposite 8:16	194:19	paperwork 69:9	particularly 29:24	198:1 199:1 200:1
opposing 174:8	82:13 83:23	paper 84:24 110:5	250:25	197:1,2,8,13
208:24	81:25 82:9,10,12	panel 115:23	138:2 172:12	195:1 196:1,8,15
opposed 56:7 57:12	overseas 79:19,25	paid 21:25 64:13	particular 124:4	193:14 194:1,3,5
252:15 256:3	overruled 42:11	171:19 172:7	participating 16:10	192:1,7 193:1,14
226:11 245:3	override 28:13,16	pages 1:17 171:18	243:14	190:1 191:1,3,19
175:16 176:3	overreach 120:9	236:25 237:6	participated	188:1 189:1,17
145:4 175:8,10,11	overrate 38:8	225:9,9 236:22,23	69:15	186:15 187:1
121:14 140:10	over-learned 22:9	221:8,16,21 225:8	participate 34:23	184:1 185:1 186:1
99:12 119:25	over-hyped 22:9	198:17,20,21	227:24 241:4	182:1,19 183:1
82:22 87:3 90:10	248:8	195:12,25 196:4	201:2 215:3,12	180:1 181:1,12
,	61:14 147:6 238:8	190:6,9 195:4,7	193:22 198:13	178:1 179:1,24
opportunity 24:21	outside 60:5 61:12	176:9,9 185:20	189:7 190:2	176:1,16,20 177:1

152:9,23 155:7	perform 193:5	108:16 110:4	pier 83:11	75:25 76:6,11,22
158:21 159:14	205:3,23	138:2 139:25	pizza 128:14,15	77:2,10,17 78:2
160:22	performed 5:17	146:11 147:4,16	place 27:10 38:3	78:11
pedaphilic 150:23	performing 158:22	147:24 148:10	40:7 42:7 45:17	PO 2:15
151:13	159:12 229:4	219:11 221:24	56:17 92:15	point 13:11,17
pending 119:21	period 34:22 82:25	237:21 238:11	106:17,18,19	19:13,21 21:4
121:25	84:22 140:6	239:8 241:15	110:14 128:14,15	22:5 39:25 43:17
Pennsylvania	225:19 250:15	243:11 258:6	158:5,5,8 169:14	45:17 46:13 47:6
14:17	permanent 6:18	person's 19:18 25:5	233:14 252:18	52:19 53:16 54:21
people 15:8 17:22	10:20 11:9 215:10	38:4 207:6 241:23	placed 233:22	55:3 58:5 69:18
18:3,3,21 21:11	216:15 221:5	258:10	places 81:11	98:16 123:14,21
23:12,14,20,21	222:7,10 255:1,6	personal 75:24	placing 233:20	148:9 152:2
30:2 33:5 34:18	permanently 75:20	76:17 205:13	<b>plan</b> 49:3 89:7,11	226:12,24,25
34:19 35:7 37:6	78:8,15 225:21	206:20 208:24	165:10 166:5	237:6 252:11
37:18 46:23 55:11	226:3	224:8 232:12	167:9 208:15	255:5 257:2
55:12,13 57:2	permissible 193:20	personality 150:12	219:2,8	261:22
61:3,4,25 63:19	permission 180:2	personally 64:24	planes 55:12	points 29:15 46:7
63:20 88:11 91:24	<b>permit</b> 1:9 7:5 8:11	perspective 106:4	<b>plans</b> 33:11 141:7	poked 58:3
92:14 98:23 99:18	8:14,17 9:4 10:16	pertaining 154:16	141:10	police 19:5,7 27:25
106:3 109:5	10:23 11:10 63:25	pertains 66:5	plastic 110:5	36:3,10 38:20
111:15 115:16,23	66:8 68:2 69:11	<b>PhD</b> 14:19 15:11	play 86:14 111:21	44:9 129:7
115:24 120:7,10	96:10 121:19	<b>phone</b> 31:22 77:20	<b>played</b> 80:12 88:14	Policies 41:20
121:16 122:9	164:10 183:25	80:5 113:23	145:10	policy 7:16 19:4
124:5,9,13,15	184:4,7,12 193:5	114:14,16 125:7	plea 57:8 58:6	21:3 42:14 44:5
128:17 130:10	206:5 210:5	175:2 179:8	187:23 188:12	118:24 120:4
138:3 139:20	211:10 214:5,12	180:13 184:5	194:25	123:4,4 194:13
140:14,16 146:6,9	214:23 215:2	231:6 235:20	plead 194:21 195:8	political 37:17
147:15 249:3	222:22 225:22	236:5,11 248:13	please 14:3 43:14	polygraph 15:14
252:11 256:13	226:5 227:6,7,21	<b>photo</b> 94:8,11,12	80:3 87:23 101:19	148:6,11,18 152:3
257:24 260:19	227:22 228:9,19	249:11	122:16 142:9	158:24 159:9
people's 7:3,4 21:6	231:17 232:4	photos 94:21	152:16 160:4	pool 138:3 139:20
33:17 34:10,17	235:11,11 237:19	218:11,14	161:24 163:24	poor 211:17
53:8 98:22 105:2	244:8 253:19	<b>phrase</b> 257:19	164:3 171:4	pops 79:16
205:12 211:25	254:1 257:17	phrased 74:21	173:13 174:10	population 57:16
219:13	258:3,15,24	physiological	176:18 181:5	port 82:13 83:11
perceive 106:8	260:13	146:17	182:16,19 186:23	portion 47:10,21
123:18	permits 206:17	physiologically	187:5,21 188:10	portions 183:5,6
perceived 253:22	225:19	146:7	188:24 189:22	portray 255:11
percent 88:4 143:8	permitted 169:7	pick 86:7,9 110:5	192:12 195:4,6,11	portrayed 257:8
143:24 165:6,8	206:15,20 228:15	picking 86:3	195:13,25 198:9	<b>position</b> 11:7 26:9
263:1,2	persistent 20:21	picture 94:22 95:15	204:24 215:8	139:14 162:7
percentage 137:19	person 20:5 21:25	pictures 55:14	pled 238:22	199:4,7 204:5
Perfect 4:21	25:7,15 80:14,14	88:10	plethysmograph	211:7,9,13 226:3
perfectly 124:13	83:8 85:13,19	piece 84:24 123:22	148:20 152:3,19	226:20 233:14
257:15,18	101:3 106:5	140:3	Plus 8:8 75:13,16	254:25 257:5
L				

<u> </u>				<del></del>
positions 124:14	142:25	85:16 112:13	157:4 158:5	245:25 247:17
229:19	predicting 7:23	114:7 116:8	197:14 200:6,18	250:4 251:8
positive 101:7	46:9,15 48:2	128:19 135:15	201:24 202:23	256:10 257:20,21
253:17	119:3 149:2 231:3	142:22 149:11	203:7,10 212:23	259:3 262:22
possibility 148:5	prediction 37:11	159:5	239:2 256:13,21	proceedings 9:23
possible 48:21 87:3	predictive 30:4,12	prevent 239:16	prisoners 60:11	150:10 173:6
179:18 184:16,25	30:15,16,17 40:14	255:14	256:13	176:12 237:16
236:8 259:13,14	40:17 47:5 235:7	preventing 228:16	private 15:7,12	process 16:12
possibly 211:2	predictors 16:16	prevents 255:6	pro-social 22:6	28:22,23 36:11
posting 126:2	17:13 18:14	previous 210:6	probable 127:12	38:16 64:5 97:21
potential 130:3	prefer 263:7	previously 33:16	187:7 195:19	99:3 106:21
132:3 139:24	preference 117:2	56:11 118:18	probably 38:23	115:21 124:8
146:23 148:19	prejudice 168:3	138:22 141:18	91:24 119:5	126:21 129:17,25
217:24 233:23	prejudicial 155:9	151:25 175:7	144:16 149:8	152:11 154:8
245:4	preliminary 126:14	180:4,5 181:16	151:9 153:10	212:20
potentially 145:23	261:3	191:16,25 195:15	158:25 168:3	prodded 58:3
147:12	premise 9:3	196:21 201:25	185:16 217:14	produced 248:12
powerful 18:14	premises 8:3 9:1,5	225:9 229:17	probation 108:6	profession 122:10
practice 15:7,13	preparation 64:25	230:22 239:11	110:19,20	professional 14:23
16:14	prepare 50:7	245:22	probative 155:10	15:19,24 16:13
practiced 81:10	prepared 33:15	previsouly 245:17	problem 78:25	43:22 52:4 122:8
<b>practices</b> 162:10,13	preparing 64:2	priest 21:23	110:11 123:8	123:18,19 253:2,4
Pratt 164:6 184:11	presence 8:15	primary 8:16 149:7	133:19 149:23	253:20 258:3
pre-filed 12:18	241:22,23	207:15	226:13	professionals
181:22	present 9:19 11:16	principal 21:23	problems 15:9	148:16
pre-sentence 127:7	12:14 22:8 64:21	printout 190:5	29:16 110:12	profitability 90:5
155:22,25 157:11	103:11 116:21	198:16	144:21 146:11	program 21:7
200:23,25 201:14	179:24 206:2	prior 41:3 59:16	151:3 261:24	22:24 23:3,7,8,19
261:8,8	211:2 215:18	62:19 65:22 66:11	procedural 262:17	23:20,22,22 24:3
pre-treatment	231:21 233:2,7,8	66:17 68:12	procedure 31:11	24:4,5 59:11,13
58:23	234:15,19	111:19 159:25	99:11	59:14 60:20,22,25
precedential	presentation	183:11,14 189:19	proceed 69:14	61:2 82:19 83:5
216:10	144:23	201:23 215:6	117:17 155:4	84:2,5 130:25
precise 137:25	presented 9:9 10:8	241:17 257:11	proceeding 13:13	152:18 153:6,9,13
257:18	10:13 139:11	prioritize 221:10	87:14 153:25	203:16
precision 257:25	175:16	priority 97:24	156:18 166:23	programs 6:10,15
predators 23:23	presenting 193:16	prison 18:7,17,21	169:11,15,18	22:18,23,25 59:11
predatory 29:24	presents 126:24	19:23,25 20:13,18	171:21 173:20	61:17 62:6 256:25
30:7 32:9 199:3	137:12 218:19	24:24 25:8,16	174:12,18,25	progress 153:13
predecessor 39:21	president 16:6	27:11 31:10 34:7	175:5,13,14,24	progressed 91:20
predict 7:14 8:1	165:5,7 207:12	34:18,21 37:25	176:21 193:20	92:2
16:16 29:9 37:14	208:2,2,18	60:5,9 61:10,18	196:19 215:22	promised 59:3
38:8 45:18 46:24	pressure 146:22	61:23 62:9 88:8	226:21 233:3	219:3
47:7	pretty 17:24 23:18	127:25 130:20	237:3,8,10,11	promoting 124:7
predicted 37:13	48:16 54:24 81:2	134:5 140:8 147:6	238:14,17 241:22	proof 85:2
	-	•		- ·
1				-

	•			. 1
proper 9:14 11:5	15:11 132:11	108:14 152:7	230:5 236:22	re-examined 7:11
64:12 207:9	144:9		237:18 239:21	re-leveled 7:11
223:15 224:3,13	psychopathy 17:12	<u> </u>	242:20 244:15	re-offend 22:12
224:22 254:8	18:12	<b>QFC</b> 108:22,22,24	248:9 249:23,25	40:20 60:4 137:12
properly 8:21	public 7:5,6,16	257:2	250:3 251:18	137:15,23 139:24
204:25 260:2	21:3 41:20 42:14	qualified 132:11	254:13	198:24 219:24
property 205:13	44:4 87:15 94:22	229:8	queue 55:22	232:24
proposed 163:14	118:24 120:4	qualifying 150:12	quick 4:12 24:12	re-offended 17:23
205:3	153:16,23 154:12	quality 24:12	quickly 17:25	240:22
proprietor 221:13	163:16 205:5	quantify 137:22	48:17 74:22 78:22	re-offending 7:21
prosecutors 57:17	217:16 254:16	<b>question</b> 26:18 65:9	quite 60:2 85:17	18:13 37:22 43:20
protect 88:18	publication 150:19	65:17 66:4 68:23	104:21 107:20	140:5,12 210:20
protection 27:22	published 17:20	88:21 93:25 101:8	115:22 129:20	261:12
164:5	pull 83:11,12,14	104:3 111:3	quotation 221:21	re-offense 29:13
<b>proud</b> 53:10	153:24	123:24 127:19	quote 7:18,22	30:4 38:9 126:24
<b>proven</b> 30:4 253:23	pulled 70:16	128:7 132:8,20	221:20 254:14	142:21
provide 10:22,25	114:11,12,13,15	133:3,4 141:12	255:1	re-offenses 6:1
89:20 143:22	114:20,21 115:3	151:11 169:16	quoted 242:12	19:17 227:3
164:13 169:8	247:5,23	176:3 185:6		reach 138:23
179:17 206:18	<b>purely</b> 180:10	193:11,12 224:15	R	reached 25:19
236:7	181:7 183:6	228:12 230:19	<b>R</b> 2:1 264:4	26:22
provided 82:22	purposes 180:7,22	232:9,16,17	<b>Rachel</b> 167:15	reaction 86:3
125:25 127:16	181:7 182:9 216:8	235:15 237:20	168:4	read 31:4 79:20
142:13 167:9	pursuant 12:3	238:4,6 239:7	racing 106:25	98:21 105:16
175:7,25 180:5	200:9 216:4	245:24 248:4,11	radar 21:6,11	120:2,3 181:8,12
181:17 205:8	pursue 107:18	248:22,25 250:13	raise 30:2 38:5	181:24 182:17,19
228:6	109:10 252:16	questioned 157:21	131:13 166:19	182:21 183:4,5,9
provider 111:22	258:4	226:10	203:25	183:17 195:6,13
152:22 153:8	pursuing 120:11	questioning 87:12	raises 166:11	195:15 196:8,24
<b>provides</b> 140:7,10	122:10 159:16	139:19 156:6	raising 13:21	197:11 225:14
140:12 162:24	160:20 221:12	160:12,20	rambling 26:17	241:15,24,25
260:16	253:19 255:7	questions 30:11	raped 129:4	reading 152:7
providing 49:10	258:8	39:23 48:22 58:3	<b>Rapid</b> 39:20	196:16
140:24 167:25	purview 159:20	65:12,13 80:3	rarely 116:6	ready 86:10 251:23
provision 228:22	pushed 40:11	90:17 103:24	rate 142:16,21,22	real 110:9
provisional 10:20	put 5:23 27:20 32:4	105:8 113:11	142:25 143:3,23	reality 255:12
221:24 222:9	57:22 61:23 62:2	116:13 122:23	263:1	256:17
provisions 5:24	62:11 63:9,16	123:8 124:18	rates 205:20,21	realize 256:16
Psychiatric 150:19	66:9 74:15 82:19	133:24 138:11	ratings 253:17	realized 63:9
psychodynamic	98:18 100:15,18	142:11,11 144:7	rationale 6:16,22	119:18
23:10	108:13 111:22,22	149:12,20 160:23	7:3 226:8	really 21:21 30:3
psychological	132:10 146:18	160:24 161:2	rationalizations	30:16 48:19 52:12
150:3,5	185:8,10 204:4	172:21 178:21	23:13	52:21 53:16 54:11
psychologists 47:2	249:11 255:2	183:24 184:7,14	<b>Rayne</b> 66:14	54:11,25,25 57:23
psychology 14:19	putting 63:14	184:15,21 191:23	RCW 200:9	57:24 70:21 74:18
		198:10 220:10	<b>RE-4</b> 192:21	·
L	-		•	

82:16 92:25 98:13	19:11,18 20:6,7	118:10 150:14	redone 34:12	regret 63:7
98:16,25 106:9	22:19 30:15 31:20	152:8 154:12	reduce 22:19	regular 57:15
114:24 116:13	36:22 37:13,15	160:13,15,16,18	reduced 99:21	93:10,14 153:7
129:10 159:4	40:15 45:18 46:9	161:25 175:19	136:22 137:7	163:2 209:6
204:12 206:11	46:15,24 47:8	178:4 180:23	212:12,16 213:3	regularly 112:18
212:3,4 220:4	48:2 87:18 119:3	181:9,25 183:4,9	reduces 252:22	regulate 5:20 229:6
227:5 256:9,17	142:16,21,25	183:17 196:8,16	reducing 19:8,8,14	253:5
reapplied 235:10	143:3,23 149:7	196:24 197:11	reduction 19:13	regulated 162:11
reapply 69:8	231:3,8 233:5,10	201:6 221:17,19	36:25 37:4 213:9	regulatory 121:21
192:22 214:25	233:12 234:2,5,12	240:6,10 248:13	refer 12:4 101:11	162:19 209:14
reason 6:20 153:25	234:18,22 252:23	252:5,7 253:24	referee 80:18,21	rehabilitate 106:20
159:15 229:5	253:1 256:8	262:11,21	refereed 80:13	rehabilitating
reasonable 28:10	262:25	record's 84:8	reference 71:11	120:11
124:13	reckless 66:3 70:2	recorder's 84:15	74:4 75:12 174:7	rehabilitation 6:3,9
reasonably 134:22	70:6,10,17 100:15	157:24	referenced 8:9 45:2	6:10 59:10,10
reasons 9:17	113:13 115:9	records 24:21 33:4	173:14 179:25	88:14 105:18
107:20 229:2	166:16 177:17	41:13 153:2,5,16	216:13	106:2 122:11
rebut 175:17	179:16 184:24	154:15 200:19,22	referred 23:9 26:3	123:25 258:7
rebuttal 180:7,11	215:21 235:24	201:13	182:20	rehabilitative
recall 94:11 103:12	243:23,25 244:7	Recreation 82:21	referring 47:9 67:6	124:9
241:24	248:13 249:17	recreational 80:15	68:11 96:7 141:18	reinforced 17:21
receive 126:5,8	257:13 259:5	RECROSS 3:3	142:18 144:16	reintegration
129:10 135:12	recognize 140:19	104:1,23 105:1	151:10 216:18	122:12 123:25
163:2 179:13	recognized 74:14	106:1 107:1 108:1	reflect 7:21 43:19	258:7
184:3	recollection 224:8	109:1 110:1 111:1	reflects 78:12	related 9:23 39:24
received 10:1 96:17	recommendation	112:1 113:1 114:1	regard 62:25 70:19	166:22 169:11,15
107:14 127:4	72:13 163:20	115:1 116:1 117:1	70:25 77:17,25	169:17 173:6,20
135:13 144:12	164:8 178:12	149:1,24 150:1	78:12,17 84:13	173:20,22 174:12
153:18,18,19	212:24 215:16	151:1 152:1 153:1	113:13 153:20	174:18,25 175:5
165:2,3 170:14	220:17 226:23	154:1 155:1 156:1	233:11 258:2	175:13 176:20,24
177:21,23,24	241:8 244:8	157:1 158:1 159:1	regarding 26:22	177:3 184:3
178:3 192:19	recommendations	160:1 250:1,8	31:20 118:25	215:22 237:2,8,10
197:17 244:2	164:14	251:1	163:5 164:8	237:11,16 245:6
253:17 259:11	recommended 44:7	red 4:19 32:8	243:23 248:13	245:25 248:19
receiving 11:10	184:12	131:13 139:13	249:16 259:11	250:3 251:7
225:18 263:9	recommending	178:13 233:13	regardless 254:1	257:20 259:3
recess 51:7,13	219:16,18	redepmtion 5:15	register 34:14 93:9	relates 228:21
116:20 117:11,15	recommends 9:16	redirect 3:3 48:21	93:16	250:16,25
240:5 252:3,6	reconsider 75:6	104:2,3,5 132:24	registered 80:4	relating 248:22
recidivate 18:22	reconsideration	138:1,12,14 139:1	94:5 98:15 136:25	relation 197:3
recidivating 37:8	33:23	140:1 141:1 240:1	185:14,15,18,21	relationship 39:23
recidivism 6:4 7:2	record 4:6 6:1 13:2	240:2,8,20 241:1	197:22 219:22	85:9 87:6 191:9
7:8,14,23 8:1 9:2	13:4 14:11 49:11	245:1,19 246:1	232:6	199:13 204:7,14
16:16,17,19,21	50:14 51:15 72:24	247:1 248:1 249:1	registration 35:3	208:24 211:8
17:6,14,24 18:14	73:3 117:17	redo 34:10	79:3 126:3 127:15	233:25 260:12
		•		
	•		•	

,				1 age 200
relationships 87:16	261:18	241:23	result 70:17 115:8	ride 92:9
211:12,19 233:21	remarried 100:2	require 227:21,22	resulted 36:2	riding 83:3
234:17 260:14,17	remember 31:5,13	required 93:9	results 17:21 160:2	right 4:5 12:23,24
release 18:17 24:18	80:11 94:14 95:24	162:21 203:15	160:15	13:21 20:4 25:2
25:4 59:16 127:25	112:19 115:10,14	205:23 209:15,18	retain 33:14	30:9 36:11 41:21
130:20 131:4	remembered 160:6	214:5	retained 24:6	44:19 45:24 47:5
152:22 158:4	262:23	requirement	retaliate 98:20	48:15,24 50:6,11
203:7,12,20,24	remind 118:13	120:21 130:8	retrospect 109:12	50:15 54:8,10
204:18 211:15	151:20	184:17 185:3	134:16	· /
233:15 261:23	reminds 9:25	263:12,15	returned 15:10	57:9 65:9 67:22
released 7:10 25:16	remnant 134:10	requirements		71:24 72:4,25
27:4,11 34:7	remorse 63:4,5,7	10:18 121:21	revamping 7:25 revenue 208:23	73:4,9,12 75:14
37:25 38:22 43:5	remorseful 255:20	130:14	review 7:19 25:8,9	76:5 77:12 79:10
62:9,10 109:17	remove 76:3 77:17	requires 11:10	25:14 33:17,20,25	80:23 89:12,12
110:20 134:4	247:11	246:19	, ,	91:4,17 94:6,9
197:19,20 200:6	<b>i</b>		34:8,9,16 38:21	95:3,5 96:11,11
200:18 201:24	removed 246:21,23 renew 174:3	research 38:7	38:24 42:19 45:8	96:15 106:21
202:23 203:6,9,10		42:17 164:7 199:22 231:5	73:24 99:19	111:18 113:2,2,16
203:21 212:23	renumbering 73:6 reopen 175:8		133:22 135:17	115:3,5,12,12
	176:13	residing 35:4 137:2 resistance 133:15	136:4,10 137:3	117:11 121:8,9,23
relevance 44:14	1		173:4 200:3,15	123:7,11,12
87:11 122:3,5	repeat 23:25	respond 42:6	201:6,9,13,18	125:22 126:15
132:20 152:9	rephrase 224:18	132:24 146:4	202:8,20,22	128:3 138:9,18,24
172:12,16,22	report 44:6 45:5	174:9 184:15	212:21 225:2	141:6,14,22 142:4
174:4 223:24	46:12,22 121:7	responded 214:6	227:8 235:3 241:3	143:15 145:25
226:6 228:12	127:8 155:22	response 128:6	241:20 261:14	147:7 149:10
250:12,13 251:7	156:2 171:9,19	179:22 227:12	reviewed 16:12	161:10 172:6
relevancy 42:4	173:15 200:23,25	responses 193:19	163:7 164:11	186:10,13 216:11
45:12 49:8 50:3	201:15 221:15	responsibilities	171:13,15 172:9	217:7,25 218:2
122:18	244:3,5 245:3	120:16	187:12 188:4,17	226:19 228:25
relevant 14:24	247:13,14 261:9	responsible 114:8	189:7 190:10	232:4 236:5
49:10 68:19	reporter 13:16	124:11 208:11	198:4,13,21 200:2	244:13 245:5,8
154:23 155:2,3,6	105:16 264:10	209:3,23 261:11	215:12 224:25	249:22 251:20,21
171:21 172:7	reports 45:2 209:10	rest 71:12,15 74:4,9	232:5 235:9,11,12	259:18
174:11 183:5	209:14,20,24	74:10 76:7 78:13	235:13,14 241:18	right-hand 43:8
185:25 186:7	represent 4:14 5:5	78:18,20 168:19	reviewing 19:5	risk 6:4 7:2,4,5,8
196:10 200:22	123:4	256:19	revised 25:11 27:16	7:21 9:2 16:18
201:13 228:9	reputable 167:17	restate 133:4 181:3	27:18 28:5 35:25	17:6,16,23,24
251:5	request 12:1 72:23	restaurant 110:2	36:8,16 43:3	18:3,5,6 19:14,18
reliable 167:11,14	153:16,23 154:3,4	Restoration 119:20	202:3	19:19,20 20:6,8,9
relied 230:13,18,20	154:15 159:19	121:14	revision 27:19	20:11,12,15,17
relief 53:21 57:2	170:9,12,18 175:8	restricted 107:22	revisions 36:13,17	22:3,8 24:17
rely 209:19	205:16	restrictions 109:18	36:18,20	25:12 27:15,17
remain 5:12 258:25	requested 154:21	109:20 110:13,15	revisits 196:17	31:20 32:3 33:2
remaining 186:6	174:15 262:21	124:13	revolve 9:18	34:4,6,25 35:20
remains 35:21	requesting 241:22	rests 244:23	rid 78:23	35:21,23 36:25
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

27.5.10.20.7.20.0	1 21 260 0	7.1.24.22		22.11
37:5,10 38:7 39:8	room 1:21 260:9	says 7:1 24:23	scuba 83:4	self-esteem 23:11
39:8,20,20 40:15	rooted 120:13	33:20 43:7,10	sealed 201:5 228:4	self-report 148:12
43:20 46:13 47:22	rough 98:3 102:16	46:7 47:7,25 65:9	searched 77:19,19	self-storage 228:4
87:15,18 126:23	roughly 101:11	75:19 78:14 79:18	searching 207:4	semantic 259:6
126:23 131:21	103:5 104:17	79:21 121:17	seat-of-the-pants	send 35:10 88:10
132:4 133:22	round 139:19	122:19 148:10	143:7	209:18
134:5,24 136:13	routine 61:11 86:6	154:8 155:12	seated 5:12 14:3	sending 54:17
137:12,15,19,23	rule 11:9,10 120:22	172:10 201:12,12	161:19 245:13	sense 54:13 108:5
137:25,25 140:4	156:22 182:10	206:19 207:23	Seattle 19:5,6	112:25 140:22,23
140:13 142:15,17	222:16 223:4	221:9,23 222:21	38:20 120:18	143:2 199:12
142:20,23,24	225:7,17	225:6 227:21	second 18:24 43:17	sensitive 255:24
145:17,18,22	ruled 49:8 118:4	229:15 231:12	46:7,13 47:6	sent 41:2 49:20
149:2,6,8 198:22	rules 10:14,22 27:6	236:25 237:20	68:10 74:8 95:24	67:9 75:17 77:18
198:23,24 200:4	32:11 65:20 66:5	247:14	170:7,9,12 171:11	78:6 119:14 214:9
200:16 201:8,23	154:24 159:6	scenario 37:24	172:19 173:5,18	243:12
202:3,25 203:3	163:15 205:4	scene 253:4	186:3,6 193:21	sentence 7:19 25:8
204:5 210:20,22	209:4 217:22	schedule 102:18	220:4 221:9	25:14 33:17,20
212:11,22,25	218:25 221:22	scheduled 116:25	section 127:18	34:9,16 38:21
213:2 217:24	222:12,17 224:8	scheme 123:11	150:23,25 151:3	99:19 126:2 127:4
219:23,24,24,25	224:11 225:24	school 15:6,10	171:6 200:10	133:22 135:17
230:14 231:3,7,13	239:9,13 241:17	80:13,14 81:8,13	sections 10:19	136:4,10 137:3
231:14,18,19,19	<b>ruling</b> 118:5 148:23	86:10,11,12,13	secure 206:15	189:2 200:3 202:8
231:20,20,22,25	run 15:12 89:14	109:22	see 4:19 9:2 13:20	212:21 221:9
232:2,3,7,9,10,22	152:5	Schwarzenegger	15:22 21:15,16	235:2 261:7,14
232:23 233:12,23	running 86:4 110:8	55:14	32:8 43:9,21	sentenced 59:8
234:17,21 235:5		science 14:16	55:11 66:23 82:24	178:2 197:13
252:21,22 253:21	<u>S</u>	scope 234:13 248:9	82:25 113:10	sentencing 41:20
254:14,16,16	S 2:1 73:6	249:21	122:18 125:15	58:10 157:11
256:8 261:11,13	<b>S.W</b> 1:21	score 7:13,22 34:6	130:3 154:11	238:18
261:17,18,19	safe 55:25 167:11	39:10,13,16,20	159:11 160:14	sentimentally 53:9
risks 130:3 146:24	safety 6:1 86:12	40:2,4 46:8,14,20	163:13 172:16	serious 62:13 86:2
218:18 233:19	164:7 206:8,21,21	47:17,25 119:2	175:3 176:11	seriously 37:20
risky 233:14	sailors 82:22	141:24	221:15,20 230:6	131:22
<b>Rivers</b> 24:2 59:15	satisfactory 205:18	scored 39:8 47:13	236:19 243:18	served 6:13 15:25
153:6,8 157:24	satisfied 246:21	scores 30:14 37:11	251:4 254:12,13	80:2 194:20
roaming 40:19	247:9,15	39:9	seedy 22:7	service 8:11 52:16
rock 139:17	satisfy 76:12	scoring 25:9 27:2,3	seeking 131:25	53:23 69:23 115:9
role 8:17,19 53:20	Saturn 29:7	27:25 28:6,12	seen 20:18 30:25	192:17 206:8
54:12 55:19,20	saw 58:15,15 96:21	29:19,21 30:12,19	95:16 120:9 127:7	253:16
88:23 202:24	184:2	31:9,11 38:24,24	127:11 151:24	services 14:25
207:11,12 232:15	saying 19:24 20:3,5	screen 43:4	179:11	16:25 79:20,25
235:4	22:3 25:16 34:11	screening 28:4	self-disclosing	81:25 82:12
roles 207:24 208:7	128:6 131:24	136:7,11,18	133:16	163:14 194:19
208:9,18 219:9	137:13 139:18	141:13,17	self-employed	205:3 228:6
rolling 53:25	147:25 148:3	scrutiny 37:19	177:4	set 7:9 16:12 34:25
	228:22 250:24			
	l	<u> </u>	l .	I

[ <del></del>			<del></del>	
38:4 64:18 158:8	200:4,4,5 201:22	116:20 181:23	74:16,19,20 77:8	80:12,13,16 81:16
199:20,23 214:5	201:23 202:2,17	240:5 252:6	79:2,16 82:2	194:20
232:15,16 234:6	206:24 210:19	shortened 74:12	84:14,18 93:19	social 14:18,25
243:16 264:16	212:16 213:11	shorter 63:6 156:19	95:4,14,18 96:2	15:5,6 21:7 22:13
sets 25:15 33:17	219:22 220:6	Shorthand 264:9	97:6 114:25 126:3	26:2 37:16 71:2
34:17	225:20 232:6	shortly 13:1	127:15 189:24	87:22 146:19,20
setting 71:2 200:20	234:8,25 235:5	shout 13:10	190:2 194:17	146:21
settings 6:2	239:2 259:21	<b>show</b> 5:19 7:7,9 9:9	198:5,13 210:21	society 122:12
setup 243:12	sexual 6:24 11:2,20	9:19 10:8 22:16	229:23 230:5	124:2 252:13
seven 52:19 82:14	15:8,15,18 16:3	38:6 46:21 56:21	231:12 254:11	256:20 260:19
85:23 90:18 100:7	17:7,10,14 18:9	83:16 95:15 96:13	261:24,25	sold 109:2
103:20,21 128:21	18:10,11 20:9	showed 17:20,22	sites 64:16	sole 221:13 239:15
seven-year 9:13	21:9 29:13,18	19:10 93:18	sits 99:18	solely 47:20 121:19
10:9	30:4 32:10 47:7	shown 84:19	sitting 92:22	180:7,21
seven-year-old	111:14 144:17,18	210:20 218:22	situation 32:5	solid 139:18
79:22 138:7 191:6	145:2 147:3	shows 29:3 37:6	63:18 130:8,14	Solutions 101:23
210:16 260:1	148:12,21,22,24	65:11 189:25	140:9 235:18	102:3 103:4
seventh 81:3	150:9 151:2	211:17	situational 145:4	somebody 17:7
severely 58:4	158:24 159:3,3	shuffling 158:6	situations 132:2	18:6 19:19,21
severity 256:16	203:16 222:3	siblings 21:18	140:11 150:8	20:9,13,16 21:22
sex 15:9,15 17:9	237:24 238:25	side 43:8 52:11,18	233:23	22:11 34:3 40:18
18:7,20 19:22	sexually 9:12 10:9	92:24 128:13	six 85:22 92:10	40:20 53:9,22
20:10,11,15,21,23	17:8 23:23 37:8	200:24	100:6 103:20	56:23 137:18
20:24,25 21:5	79:21 90:18	sign 83:6,9 185:9	116:10 141:3	138:3 146:4,25
22:11 27:15,17	144:18 146:4	signed 121:24	144:21 157:4	147:2 149:3,3
28:4 32:16 34:20	149:4 210:15	significance 18:18	158:14	somebody's 52:24
34:20 35:8 37:18	shared 130:21	206:4	sixth 81:3	53:3 56:21
38:19 39:21 41:20	Sharon 164:4	significant 19:13	sixty-seven 197:17	someone's 16:18
42:23 43:2,18	sharpen 53:17	103:8 145:3 246:9	skill 264:14	123:25 253:7
46:9,15 59:14	<b>sheriff's</b> 8:4 31:8	246:14	skills 52:22 53:17	someplace 92:13
60:12 70:5 79:15	31:13 79:15 80:4	significantly 56:9	108:4	somewhat 137:20
80:4 94:6 98:5,15	84:17 93:10,19	similar 112:8 138:4	skip 221:16	son 116:10
99:7,21 108:23	94:4 95:17 97:6	simple 54:24	sleeping 32:7,15,16	soon 49:17,19
127:14 129:5,18	154:10 157:10	107:20 110:9	32:18,20 108:20	97:10
130:5 132:6 133:8	189:23 198:4	simplifying 131:19	128:20	sorry 12:25 49:6
133:14 135:11	229:13,22 230:5	simply 8:6 219:25	slept 128:22	50:16 67:3,5 68:9
136:7,11,12,17,21	254:11 261:25	sincere 255:21	slow 54:4 101:19	72:11 96:6 111:23
137:6,18 139:23	262:2	Singapore 83:18,18	slowly 13:15	112:20 119:8
141:13,16 147:4	Sheriffs 27:25 36:3	single 6:19 60:22	small 36:25 40:16	126:7 149:14,18
148:10 153:9	36:10 44:9	62:16	173:10 177:4,5	152:14 212:13
166:16 189:24	ship 55:22	sip 116:4	204:8 208:17	220:20,25 225:8
192:20 193:2	shoes 63:15	sir 60:10	211:20 233:17,18	226:16 232:13
194:14 197:21,22	shootings 98:23	sisters 191:7	233:18	250:22 261:8
197:24 198:5,11	short 50:4 51:7,13	sit 86:21	sobriety 114:23	sort 18:25 26:3
198:23 199:14,19	82:25 91:15	site 6:25 7:1 8:5	soccer 80:2,7,7,8,10	28:12 120:5,10
<u> </u>				

1 4g0 200				
124:15 128:7	169:16 172:22	174:5,19,22	11:25 51:17 55:18	242:11 262:20
130:7 133:13	254:22	175:19,22,24	56:13 66:7 71:9	statement 35:7
134:9,9 147:17	specifically 22:23	176:5 177:9,10	72:15 117:19	68:21 81:19
246:4	41:6 66:2,4 95:25	178:12,21,24	132:10 163:5,8,22	167:10,16,25
SOSSA 152:20	105:14 106:15,16	179:23 180:5,13	164:8,24 166:6,8	187:23 195:16
sound 13:8 223:8	107:9 131:5	182:12 184:12	207:11,15,18,25	statements 4:11 5:9
sounds 13:8 154:21	132:13,21 151:4	189:10,14 190:20	208:5,10 209:22	117:23 159:7
159:11	172:23 177:5	190:25 200:7	210:10,17 215:10	166:19,25 167:2,5
source 148:14	193:7 194:5	203:3,25 204:13	220:5 236:15	167:7,18,22
sources 148:19	201:15 254:22	210:3 213:20,23	245:22 262:15	191:23 205:8
149:7	specifics 128:23	214:3,5,6,9,13,16	263:11 264:12	208:14 262:24
South 1:21	160:14	214:24 215:24,25	start 4:10 54:22	states 27:21 78:7
Southeast 82:18	specified 223:9	218:24 219:2,10	61:8 102:16	84:19 134:20
<b>SP</b> 221:3	225:18	219:16,18 220:16	182:24 240:8	178:4 246:18
<b>SP-1</b> 3:17 67:2 71:5	speed 36:5	226:2,8,10 227:8	257:4	STATIC 36:6,9,15
71:6,20 72:7,11	spell 14:10 161:24	228:15 235:22	started 21:12 36:6	36:16,24 39:22
163:25 164:16,20	spelling 4:13	240:10,18 243:2	52:8,12,13,18	135:20 201:22
240:11,18	spend 260:6	243:12,24 244:6	54:12 62:6 73:5	213:12
SP-2 67:4,12,13	spoke 101:4 138:17	244:21,23 253:21	89:7 97:16 98:25	stating 96:14
215:5 216:22	141:18 259:10	254:25 257:7,12	starting 14:15	stationed 128:12
221:4,7 225:5	261:1	259:1,11,15,24	state 4:13 5:5 14:10	Statistical 150:16
SP-3 171:2,16	spoken 180:17	260:23 261:10,11	16:4,4,5,6 24:3	160:21
174:2 182:10,12	sponsors 12:20	261:12,16 262:6	27:15,17 35:23	statistically 29:9
<b>SP-4</b> 3:19 79:7,12	sports 82:5	262:11,14 263:14	41:19 42:14 55:10	status 158:9
93:18 189:19	spotty 103:17	staff's 11:7,17 67:3	65:20 115:14	<b>statute</b> 156:23
190:21 229:11	spouse 147:17	67:15 72:13,18	120:20 121:17	200:2,9,21 201:12
<b>SP-5</b> 198:7 230:8	<b>SR</b> 113:19	117:10 163:20	134:14,19,20,21	201:15 224:5
230:13	SSOSA 155:23	164:13 174:23	135:7,25 136:12	statutory 6:19
<b>SP-6</b> 3:18 186:24	157:3 160:6	215:16 225:20	155:2 161:24	121:21
189:11 195:18	stability 87:16	226:20,25 240:11	162:18,21,22	stay 109:13,14
<b>SP-7</b> 187:19 194:25	stabilize 253:3	243:23 259:20	171:5 185:14	128:20 142:9
SP-8 188:8	stable 6:5 252:24	260:20	199:15 202:2	260:7
<b>SP-9</b> 188:22	257:3	stain 255:6	209:4 213:15	stays 55:22
speak 13:10,14	stack 22:25	stamp 206:11	232:21 237:4	stead 158:18
15:23 119:10	staff 5:6 9:11,16,19	stand 17:6 51:19	239:9 241:16	stealing 60:14
125:8,13,20	9:25 11:15,16,23	57:22 117:3	244:4 250:24	stellar 225:4
155:17 179:3	42:3 45:11 49:12	214:20 245:10	259:7 264:6,10	stenographer
speaking 25:7	68:20 71:5 72:6	standards 16:12,13	state's 252:14	101:20
37:19 140:19	72:12,13 73:5	206:8,8 257:25	state-of 23:9 28:14	step 61:9 253:20
236:10	90:6 100:22	standing 13:21	state-of-the-art	steps 84:12,13
speaks 256:7	122:17 161:12	stands 73:7 82:20	22:18,22 28:7	261:21
special 16:11 34:20	163:12 164:16,20	255:21	stated 65:18 69:9	stepson 85:22
specific 65:8	166:11 168:14,17	Stars 1:6 2:5 4:14	71:20 100:24	stole 60:13
101:12 132:14,20	168:22,23 169:3,4	4:23 5:10 6:17	101:9 183:7 219:7	stood 88:4
133:14 135:25	169:23,25 171:16	9:10,14,16,20,24	226:17 234:16,25	stop 68:10 121:22
	ı	1	1	1

,		·	<del></del>	
146:9 185:25	123:9 146:5	24:22 85:7 87:17	180:1 181:1 182:1	take 4:12 8:23 12:2
255:5	203:17	87:19 88:9,13,19	183:1 184:1 185:1	12:9,15 21:22
stops 61:10	substances 146:7	105:13,18 106:3	186:1 187:1 188:1	30:17 37:20,25
storage 1:6 2:5	substantial 37:4,7	108:18 166:19,25	189:1 190:1 191:1	41:4,12,22 44:25
4:24 9:15 55:18	substantive 181:9	167:2,5,7,10,16	192:1 193:1 194:1	46:6 51:7 53:12
66:8 71:10 164:9	substantively	167:18,22,25	195:1 196:1 197:1	56:5,6,8,9,19,20
215:11 228:5	180:20	219:2	198:1 199:1 200:1	57:21 60:21 67:20
Storage's 6:18	substitute 121:10	supportive 85:14	201:1 202:1 203:1	67:20 70:20 72:2
store 110:7	succeed 87:3	supposed 133:11	204:1 205:1 206:1	77:8,24,25 84:13
stories 98:22	success 6:8 86:24	254:5	207:1 208:1 209:1	86:2 90:2 95:13
stranger 53:2	157:23	sure 4:18 8:20	210:1 211:1 212:1	97:21 99:15 114:6
strangers 208:22	successful 77:22	12:22 13:14 52:7	213:1 214:1 215:1	116:20,24 117:5,8
strategy 90:4	89:14 219:12	52:23 54:8 55:22	216:1 217:1 218:1	123:13 141:3
stress 64:20	successfully 205:23	55:23,24,25 57:13	219:1 220:1 221:1	142:15 144:7
stressed 56:24	sufficiently 117:25	58:12 64:3 65:20	222:1 223:1 224:1	146:8 148:9 156:6
strike 249:6	suggested 8:5	71:24 74:5 79:4	225:1 226:1 227:1	156:21 158:14
strong 88:5	suggesting 183:9	79:21 82:8 84:25	228:1 229:1 230:1	164:2 172:7 200:8
structure 146:17	suggests 140:13	85:11,22 87:11	231:1 232:1 233:1	210:12 216:2
structured 145:9	159:16	88:24 91:10,20,21	234:1 235:1 236:1	217:9 225:14
structures 146:19	Suite 2:8	91:24 93:20 94:20	237:1 238:1 239:1	236:15 239:24,25
studied 7:16	summarize 8:25	96:25 97:18 100:7	240:1 241:1 242:1	242:7,13 252:2
studies 16:21,22,23	160:19	101:6 102:16	243:1 244:1	260:16
16:24 17:2,15,18	summarizing 18:10	103:2 105:9,21	suspended 177:22	taken 3:15 12:11
19:10 22:16,17	summary 22:18	106:21 110:24	177:23	51:13 76:9 84:12
149:9	43:7 46:4 121:6	113:2,2,17 119:16	sustain 229:9	94:11,13,16
study 7:17	121:10 153:12	123:20 131:23	Suzanne 184:10	117:15 131:22
stuff 32:11 53:4	164:13	136:2 140:21	swear 13:19	160:2 165:19
104:15 152:8	<b>Superior</b> 187:6,22	142:17,19 144:6	<b>switch</b> 93:15	226:2 236:16
159:5 253:11	188:11,25	152:17 154:16	sworn 13:25 51:22	240:5 246:13
subject 180:8	superseded 36:8	155:20 204:17,19	118:18 161:18	252:6
subjective 28:11,16	supervised 127:25	220:22 228:9	245:17	takes 30:12 60:19
29:22 30:8	130:20 131:4	240:3 246:8 250:7	symptom 144:14	84:22 89:14 95:14
submissions 41:7	203:7,20 204:18	surface 6:23 30:2	symptoms 150:21	255:22 256:7
<b>submit</b> 153:16	supervision 17:17	254:19	sync 64:11	talk 5:23 6:2 10:14
166:8 209:7,10,15	25:23 34:23 131:2	surprise 136:9	<b>system</b> 6:9 7:16,25	18:16 22:23 24:21
submitted 11:24	131:12 157:6	surprising 220:4	25:5 35:15,16,17	30:22 35:15 52:3
89:6 154:14 166:6	177:24 178:3	surrounding 98:19	44:18 61:18	54:6 57:5 58:6,9
167:15 207:15,18	203:8,11,21,24	<b>Susie</b> 3:10 11:16	170:15,17 174:13	59:9 63:25 66:20
208:6,10 212:5	204:5,15,20	161:1,12,17 162:1	234:9	69:25 85:6,13
submitting 209:24	211:15 233:15	162:2 163:1 164:1		86:14 87:6 89:11
subsection 200:11	supplement 69:24	165:1 166:1 167:1	T	92:25 99:18 108:7
216:5	supplemented	168:1 169:1 170:1	T 2:6 3:1,13 264:4	115:24 128:22
subsequently	151:25	171:1 172:1 173:1	264:4	130:2 131:5,8,14
221:10	<b>supply</b> 104:12,13	174:1 175:1 176:1	table 59:18 205:3	133:21 147:12
substance 15:3	support 11:21	177:1 178:1 179:1	tabs 73:10	160:3 184:10
			Tacoma 2:9	
			-	-

Г				
207:7 227:19	52:5 58:10 61:12	testify 11:17 24:7	118:9,15,22	99:11 109:13
241:11	61:13 63:4 71:14	117:2 172:22	119:11 124:17,20	139:17 153:13
talked 21:23 38:15	79:12 82:6 85:9	191:19 243:8	132:18 138:12,13	154:11 181:23
38:16 65:15 70:5	85:20 103:19	245:3	142:5,6 154:19	207:20 217:19
82:4 92:12 99:8	107:3 109:3 125:4	testifying 48:13	160:25 161:2,4,5	218:23 253:8
100:21,24 118:23	128:3 139:25	49:13 76:25	161:10,23 164:19	254:3 255:25
120:12 125:6	150:15 204:24	126:11 151:22	165:23 172:2	256:1,6,11 258:24
130:23,24 131:16	237:17 238:15	testimony 12:21	173:2 176:15	260:22
141:24 142:2	telling 36:2 209:22	43:25 44:17 47:12	190:23 217:10	think 8:25 20:14
156:25 193:2	ten 18:19 156:24	48:3 49:9,10 50:4	220:11,13 224:19	22:9 25:2 26:17
talking 20:12 23:2	162:17 263:8,9	50:21 76:3 83:25	229:15 230:9	38:13 49:9,25
26:19 29:12 31:21	ten-day 156:22	87:15 95:3 116:17	236:19 239:23	50:2,9 58:9 72:14
32:15 38:17 39:7	262:22	116:24 117:5,10	240:3,9,17 242:17	72:15 75:23 76:2
43:2 56:15 57:16	tentative 25:19,20	126:13 133:25	242:23 244:18	77:4,12 92:5
57:25 72:10 76:20	26:22 31:19	138:16 151:19	245:21 249:23,24	103:7 107:7
88:15 92:22 98:10	138:23 256:4,4	156:3 161:3	251:20,21 252:10	112:21 118:23
105:13,21 106:3	term 16:6 90:23	168:24 175:9	258:16,18,20	119:4 120:18
107:10 111:17,17	142:23 175:12	179:21,23 180:11	262:15,16 263:13	122:5,18,22,23
114:14 120:13	227:16 233:20,24	180:14 181:22	263:18,19,20	123:3,4,9 125:12
121:13 140:3	234:16 237:7	183:11,14 186:16	thanked 89:16	129:5 132:11
142:24 157:23	252:24 257:3	189:20 191:14	the-art 23:10 28:15	135:7 138:20
226:7 228:8 251:8	terms 146:5	215:6 231:11	theft 20:13 222:2,3	141:2 144:3,3
talks 38:16	terribly 256:18	233:7 237:13	theoretical 40:18	146:5,13,15,25
tatts 60:15	territory 159:2	244:19 248:16,23	theory 26:5	149:10 152:21
taught 59:21 89:13	test 47:21 62:11	251:22 258:9	thing 26:16,24	155:13 156:16
105:24 106:12,12	63:17 114:23	261:2,20 262:8	36:19 44:16 49:6	158:10 160:7
tax 162:24 173:9	141:14,19,21	testing 15:14 33:4	58:9 71:25 89:23	172:4,6 175:15
177:3 246:19,20	148:20,21 159:20	148:11,21 159:10	91:11 98:12	176:23 180:18
247:14,25 250:16	160:2	184:19	107:11 113:18	181:18 182:23
251:12,15 259:4	tested 152:12,17	tests 148:15 155:17	124:16 138:5	191:21 192:3,4,5
taxes 21:25 246:11	155:16 157:15	158:15,21 159:13	142:22 145:17,20	193:11 196:19
246:12,14 247:22	testified 13:25	159:25	147:18 157:2	216:12,25 223:4
teacher 62:25	33:16 38:11 51:22	text 226:2	158:3 182:17	223:25 229:16
teaching 56:12	71:23 76:16,21	thank 4:25 5:2,7,11	197:11 217:25	230:22 236:18
team 55:7 85:15	100:21 118:18	9:6,8 12:5,6 13:12	246:17	256:7 257:7,23
179:7,10	138:20,22 161:18	13:18,23 14:2,7	things 10:13 22:20	259:8
teamwork 55:7	172:19 174:13	16:15 24:6 41:11	26:3,10,11 29:23	thinking 19:7
technical 52:21	177:9 207:25	41:15 42:11 43:16	29:25 30:6 31:4	59:20 131:18
technically 165:18	212:17 218:6,12	46:3 49:4 50:15	31:25 32:12 34:2	146:2,3
technology 6:12	229:17 230:22	50:20 51:3,10,12	37:7,20 52:25	third 21:17 157:15
109:11	231:12,22 234:4	51:16 56:25 69:2	53:7,8 57:17 60:4	<b>Thomas</b> 2:7 165:7
teenager 21:19,24	243:10 245:17,21	90:12,13 103:25	60:16 65:8,25	thorough 33:2
telephone 24:10	250:18 254:11	104:4,18 105:5,7	69:24 74:21 82:5	thoroughly 184:16
125:21 260:24	255:19,20 257:10	107:11 116:16,19	82:19 84:25 85:18	206:12
tell 14:14,21 27:9	259:21	117:12,13,14	89:13 94:21 97:12	thought 70:14
				•

75:10 216:21	190:8 191:11	39:8,9 42:7,22,23	traumatic 196:17	94:1 95:1 96:1
243:8 248:18	192:15 197:14	43:2,3 44:7,10	travel 55:10 205:20	97:1 98:1 99:1,6
thoughts 59:5,22	198:19 200:8	45:15,16,21,21,22	treat 144:12	100:1 101:1,17
105:15,19,21,24	210:21 218:2,22	46:23 47:3,4,5,10	treated 224:6	102:1 103:1 104:1
106:17,19,23	218:22 225:2	47:13 134:7,8,19	treating 15:7,8	104:25 105:1
107:3,7	226:25 236:10	135:5,22,24 136:7	treatment 15:2,3	106:1 107:1 108:1
thousands 5:17	239:24 240:10	136:12,13,15,18	15:18 16:3 21:14	109:1 110:1 111:1
threats 62:23 91:6	242:3 243:22	141:13,17,17,25	22:18,22,24,25	112:1 113:1 114:1
199:2	244:7 248:12	201:22 202:12	24:15 34:24 37:21	115:1 116:1 117:1
three 9:9,17 12:10	250:15 252:19	213:12 252:18	59:4,14 63:8	125:5 127:14,24
31:8 59:17 70:12	256:21 260:25	tools 35:14 37:11	105:24 111:20,22	128:4 130:19
72:20 80:22 82:14	261:4	38:4 45:18 60:2	129:24 130:25	132:22 134:4
97:13,22 113:15	times 61:9 81:10	135:2,23 137:25	133:14 135:8,10	137:12,15,23
113:18,19,23	89:16 101:5	201:18 202:6,10	135:11,12 144:13	138:17 140:22
157:21 167:5	191:15 213:17	213:8,10,13	152:18,22 153:6,7	141:9,22 144:12
184:24 203:9	timing 203:25	top 41:22 195:6	153:9,12 157:5,20	144:12 151:6
204:5 218:10	<b>Tina</b> 184:8,21	236:25	157:22,25 203:16	152:2,17 153:4,21
228:3 258:24	tired 92:3,13	topic 27:9 119:5	trial 57:21	154:20 155:8,19
thrown 28:16 30:6	today 9:9,19 10:13	137:10	trick 3:7 5:15,21,25	156:4,16,25
ticket 184:24	38:2 48:23 50:17	topics 226:15	6:5,6,8,23 7:7,17	157:18 158:22
time 11:23 12:20	87:16 90:11	total 246:22	8:5,10,18 9:1,11	159:8,25 160:3,5
15:3 17:15,23	126:13 135:11	tough 87:4	9:18 10:2,3,8 24:8	165:5 167:11,16
18:12,16 19:11	143:18 168:23	tour 83:3,4	24:9,11 25:18	168:3,4,9,14,18
25:3,15,24 27:7	204:9 212:17	town 83:16	26:15,19,23 27:10	169:6,24 170:2
28:8,14 34:10,10	218:6 233:2 241:4	track 230:6 253:24	31:19 38:11,15,22	171:7 173:11,23
34:22 36:7 38:22	241:17 255:19,20	262:10	39:2 42:8 43:5	176:3,14 177:4,10
40:7 50:5,7 52:8,9	257:5 261:21	trade 185:20,22	44:21 45:16,19,23	177:13 178:5,14
54:4 58:22 61:10	262:13	traffic 65:25	47:4 51:1,17,21	178:24 179:9,13
64:20 70:19 82:10	told 33:6,10 44:8	training 14:21,24	52:1,3 53:1 54:1	179:15 180:12
82:14,16 83:2	58:5 59:2,23 66:2	15:5 99:10 162:15	55:1 56:1 57:1	186:7 187:8,24
84:22 91:11,15	69:16 95:19 97:20	162:20,21,23	58:1 59:1 60:1	188:13 189:3,25
93:16 95:7,25	97:24 99:9 109:2	206:19 242:7	61:1 62:1 63:1	191:7,10,11
96:24 99:20 103:9	114:6 115:15,17	trainings 163:2	64:1 65:1 66:1	192:14,19 193:2,4
106:17,19,22	128:4 131:9 157:7	trains 115:16	67:1 68:1,15,22	193:7 194:6,15
108:2 112:19	177:2 179:17	transcribed 13:14	69:1 70:1 71:1,22	196:18 197:13
113:21,24 116:2	184:20 185:8	transcript 263:9	72:1 73:1 74:1	199:7 203:6,19
124:19 125:20	214:10,25 236:7	264:11	75:1,23 76:1,16	204:4,9 207:17,19
126:17,21 129:13	Tom 65:3 88:22,24	transferred 184:8	76:21,24 77:1,5	208:7,11,14 209:5
129:23 134:4,6,8	88:25,25	translated 39:9	77:16 78:1 79:1	210:2,4,11,13
134:11,14,18	tool 24:17 25:2,9	transparent 133:17	79:21,22,24 80:1	211:6,11,21,24
135:2,9,10 140:4	27:3,6,6,9,12,13	transportation 1:2	81:1 82:1 83:1	212:3,11,15,17
140:5,6 143:23	27:19 28:2,4,7,7	2:11 4:9 162:6,11	84:1 85:1 86:1	213:7,20,23,25
156:19,21 157:15	28:12,15 29:19,21	164:7	87:1,14,18,22	214:6,15,16,20
168:20 177:8,22	30:7,13,19 35:23	transports 227:25	88:1 89:1 90:1,17	215:2,18 218:4,6
181:23 183:18	36:4,8 37:3,14	228:3	91:1,6 92:1 93:1	218:18,22 219:2
	-			

220:2 221:10	Trooper 115:14	215:4 221:8	typically 160:11	unethical 252:20
226:3,21 227:3,6	trouble 26:12	229:11 230:3	199:5	unexperienced
229:4,16,18 231:7	truck 5:23 53:23	236:23		54:18
232:5 233:3,13	54:15 64:15,16	turned 52:19 58:18	U	unfair 168:3
234:25 235:22,23	75:4 104:12,14	59:7	ultimatum 116:9	unfairly 155:9
237:10 238:5,21	253:8,9,10	Turning 63:23	unable 158:16	unfamiliar 25:5
239:2,11 240:22	trucks 184:19	225:5	unauthorized	28:18
243:9 245:1,2,9	185:4 209:16	turns 46:21,22	243:5	unfortunate 29:11
245:16 246:1	true 8:16 9:3 22:16	143:18	unaware 169:2	29:11
247:1 248:1 249:1	49:15 238:4 239:7	<b>TV</b> 67:16	unbearable 63:16	unfortunately
250:1,10 251:1,12	258:25 264:13	TV-130259 216:16	uncertainty 57:3	115:19 181:21
252:14,17,21	truly 63:8	TV-150223 1:6	unclear 211:18	unhired 219:10
253:6 254:12,22	trust 199:4,7,12	twice 94:19 146:14	uncomfortable	uniforms 64:17
255:2,11,12 256:5	211:7,9,13 217:23	152:18 157:15	58:4	union 221:11
256:12,16,22	229:19 260:11	twin 24:2 59:14	uncommon 21:15	unit 80:5 153:8
257:5,8,9,10	trusted 93:3 147:5	128:21 153:6,8	underaged 111:15	University 14:17
258:12,21 259:1,9	205:18,19,24	157:24 191:6	undercover 243:19	14:18,19 15:5,11
259:15,17,20,23	210:24 217:25	two 6:6 8:25 9:3,13	243:21	15:13 21:7 61:17
260:20,25 261:4	260:6,8	10:1,9 16:6,23,24	undergo 33:14	unlikelihood 37:8
262:10	trusts 89:22	17:5,13 25:20	59:11 152:3	unload 104:15
Trick's 6:3,21 7:9	trustworthy 167:11	29:15 36:17 39:17	understand 19:24	unloaded 228:2
8:15 9:12,21,22	167:15 205:12	41:24,24 49:13,19	33:13 38:13 49:7	unnecessarily
11:20 31:20 39:13	truth 193:22	57:22 60:10,11,23	52:25 58:8 59:5	196:17
126:23 127:5,8	truthful 132:6	62:14 63:12 79:22	62:12 83:25 86:10	unorthodox 50:24
131:3 138:6	133:9 163:19	81:10,11 82:16	111:21 121:15	104:22
139:21 153:20	209:20,21 214:22	85:24 87:24 90:18	128:2 131:23	unpermitted
166:20 167:13	227:11 260:21	107:14,20,21	141:9 143:13	168:20
168:6,8,24 175:8	try 53:6 61:8 63:6	112:2,8 113:15,22	180:25 191:18	unpersuasive 6:22
177:7 179:23	63:14,15 64:8	138:7 144:2 149:7	235:14 246:2	unrelated 39:4 40:9
180:11 183:14	83:15 109:14	162:8 165:12	understandable	40:14
186:16 187:9,25	123:23 129:21	168:22 169:25	50:25 254:18	unrelenting 61:24
188:14 189:4	184:20 259:22	183:15 186:19	understanding	unsupervised
194:25 195:20	262:4	191:6,22,22,23,24	119:25 126:18	212:2
196:12 202:17	trying 64:20 73:20	195:9 203:23	135:19 136:23	unsupported
207:8,11,12	87:2 92:4 106:16	210:15 217:15	147:7 192:8	225:23
210:14 221:18	106:20 156:18	219:20 227:25	212:10,15,19	up-to-date 134:6
228:14 232:12	159:18 247:4	242:24 250:6	223:21 224:11	update 94:21
233:12 234:21	257:15 259:24	256:12,23 260:1	understands	updated 38:7 49:20
235:10 241:6	turn 45:3 58:25	two-page 74:19	232:22	136:18 152:24,24
254:25 255:8	64:10 119:5,13	type 79:16 81:13	understood 63:9	202:13,14
256:8 261:11,17	163:24 164:23	228:4	175:12 195:17	updating 36:12
262:7	165:15 170:24,25	types 33:12 60:11	underwent 152:19	49:21
tried 66:7 85:4	177:7 186:23	60:11 256:12	152:19	upheld 235:3
135:2	189:17 194:24	typical 148:6,7	undo 58:20 59:2	upstanding 167:17
triggered 40:10	195:4,18,25 198:6	181:21	Unemployment	168:5
			64:13	
		l	l	

	<del></del>			
usage 114:16	vehicle 228:2	79:24 81:20	121:25 122:5,22	51:15 53:12 56:17
use 23:13,14 25:9	veracity 148:17	194:18	122:25 123:12,16	57:24 61:25 69:25
36:4 60:3,5 62:22	verify 138:21	vulnerable 29:25	124:17 125:17	71:24 75:6 78:24
73:10 91:6 135:3	139:12 229:21,25	130:16 260:19	127:16 132:7,9,13	79:2 80:6 87:5
142:21 146:14	verifying 33:9		132:17,19 138:13	89:8,8 92:7 97:18
148:16 150:2	139:5	W	138:15 142:5	98:8,24 101:8
151:5 199:2,4,7	vernacular 112:25	W-a-l-14:17,23	143:19 151:23	103:10 104:16
201:20,21 213:8	142:20	<b>WAC</b> 5:24 10:19	152:13 153:3,15	107:2 108:4,8
213:10 218:3	versed 54:25	12:4 227:19	154:13 155:5,18	110:22 116:20
256:21	version 36:8 242:15	228:22	158:12 161:7,9	120:15 126:20
useful 145:25 146:3	versus 35:16	WACs 226:2	164:17 171:17	130:19 131:23
146:15,24 148:13	vice 165:7 208:2	227:13 228:13	172:3,4,6 174:3	133:21,24 137:10
uses 201:18 211:9	victim 21:18 29:25	253:5	174:22,24 175:21	141:11 147:24
213:15	31:17 39:4,23	wait 13:3 108:5	176:4,15,19 180:3	149:13 159:22
usually 20:14	40:2,9,14,21	170:19	180:18 181:3,6,13	161:14 169:13
145:15 185:2	98:24 198:25	waited 70:15 97:13	181:14,15 182:3	182:21 186:15
UTC 2:14 5:19	victim's 79:23	115:20	183:2,10 186:11	191:3 196:3,7
63:23 64:22 65:9	victims 30:23 39:24	waiting 57:16	186:12 189:12	204:21 207:7,8
67:2 68:4,23	39:24 40:19 58:16	waive 156:22	190:22 191:13,22	210:8,8 213:18
123:3 174:6	62:20 110:16	262:21 263:12	193:9,11 196:14	215:23 221:3
206:17,19 222:11	115:23 132:3	waived 263:8	200:12 216:7	241:11 245:10
222:17 223:10,19	139:24 191:5,6	waives 263:14	220:12,13,15,22	252:8 254:3
226:2 227:13	199:3,6 211:10	walk 55:13	220:24 223:25	256:11
229:5 235:22,24	view 117:24 226:25	walked 58:13	224:10,16,19	wanted 50:13 54:22
239:13 248:5	viewed 179:25	walking 53:2	226:9,19 228:11	65:23 74:3,20,21
249:2 251:16	190:2,6 198:17,19	<b>Wall</b> 2:6 4:15,16,17	228:25 229:10	89:3 108:5 117:19
254:5 257:7,12	views 117:23	4:17,20,22,22,23	230:9,11 232:13	118:13 119:4
UTC's 6:16,20 8:2	violated 65:19	5:11,14 12:8 14:3	232:17,25 234:7	138:5 156:8
8:13 253:5 254:25	violation 239:9	14:6 41:10,14,16	234:14 239:21	175:24 182:3
257:17	241:16,21 259:7	42:5,6,12 43:16	240:12 241:15	184:23 185:12
Utilities 1:2 2:11	violations 65:25	44:11,24 45:13,14	242:9 245:5,8,14	240:11
4:8 162:6	245:4 251:2,3	45:22 46:2 48:11	245:20 248:10,18	wants 33:14 213:2
utility 162:11	257:22	49:16,17 51:3,7	248:24 249:6,15	255:10 257:4
UW 115:2	Violence 6:14	51:10,15,16,23	249:22 250:12	warrant 118:2
<b>T</b> 7	60:24 256:24	52:2 67:2,8,10,12	251:24,25 252:2,8	Washington 1:1,22
V V	violent 23:23	67:13,19 68:12,15	252:10 259:5	2:9,11,16 4:1,8
V 160:21	visited 95:7,9 190:8	68:20 69:3 71:19	262:19 263:5,11	5:5 7:15 10:18
valuable 53:8	voice 183:17	71:21 72:2,4,11	263:19	12:3 14:18,20
value 30:12,15,17	<b>volume</b> 1:13 13:8	73:16,20,23 76:2	<b>Wall's</b> 181:2	15:6,12,14 16:4,4
30:18 31:4 40:15	volumes 256:8	77:2,10,11,15	<b>Wallace</b> 66:14 74:5	21:8,14 27:10,14
40:17 47:5 148:11	<b>volunteer</b> 8:6 82:11	79:11 87:13 90:12	164:4	27:16,20,24 35:23
235:7	229:16	93:18,21 102:7	walls 107:8 147:6	36:3 41:19 42:14
variability 35:5	volunteered 81:21	104:3,6,18 105:6	want 10:14 13:9	44:3,4,8 118:24
147:20	81:22 84:2	112:20,24 113:3	26:11 30:20 32:25	134:14,19 135:8
various 58:15	volunteering 79:19	117:14 118:10,21	35:8 38:10 51:5	135:25 136:12
176:12 251:2,3		119:6,8,10,12		
L	-		-	•

	<del></del>		<del></del>	
148:8 162:5,18,20	160:17 192:4	109:9 128:15	177:4 187:8,24	worker 255:22
162:25 171:5	263:11	145:14 152:20	188:12 189:3,25	workers 54:7 56:2
185:14 200:10	we're 4:10 20:12	184:10 192:7	209:5 210:2 245:1	56:12
202:2 216:4 237:3	26:19 29:12 42:20	239:2 244:10	245:16 246:1	working 5:15 8:21
244:4 252:13	51:11 71:19,24	246:4 260:9	247:1 248:1 249:1	8:22 21:12 52:9
257:22 264:6,10	77:13 85:15,17	weren't 22:2	250:1 251:1	52:10 69:22
Washington's	86:23 112:15	113:24	willies 30:2	103:20 124:15
22:24 134:18	114:3,8 116:7	whatnot 80:13	willing 163:13	128:14 168:15,25
wasn't 21:10,11	143:16 158:16	99:16 114:2 120:8	205:2 217:21	191:9
54:3,16 58:4	160:15 226:7	148:13	218:25 220:3	works 86:18
65:20 69:8 92:19	228:8,18 250:19	WHEREOF	wine 70:22,24	world 74:13 87:3
108:18 115:13	251:8,22 252:4	264:16	116:5	109:8
116:8 154:17	254:19 257:24	white 103:3 107:5	wishes 244:22	worth 159:16
155:20 175:10	we've 34:4 44:17	wide 40:19 ·	withheld 246:12	258:12
180:4 246:10	70:5 85:11 87:15	widely 150:5	witness 3:3 42:9	wouldn't 99:4
257:20,23	134:25 140:3	wife 70:22 85:10,11	49:21 51:6,19	103:5 144:19
waste 108:4	165:19 191:15,24	86:17 98:25	102:9 118:8,14	154:17 158:23
watch 244:3	196:23	112:14 114:14	149:13 161:11	159:12 241:2
watching 54:13	web 6:25 7:1 8:4	116:6 218:13	245:10 264:16	wrap 64:16
86:7	64:16 74:16,19,19	247:3	witnesses 244:22	wrapped 75:4
water 55:11,12	77:8 79:2 82:2	<b>William</b> 3:7 51:1	woman 29:7 85:12	wreckless 114:17
way 32:10 39:9	84:14,18 93:19	51:17,21 52:1	131:12 139:22	wrestled 81:4
40:23 61:5 81:4	95:4,7,9,14,17	53:1 54:1 55:1	203:22 204:7,10	wrestler 81:3
83:9 88:4 89:13	96:2 97:6 126:3	56:1 57:1 58:1	211:15,20 233:16	wrestling 80:2,24
102:17 114:9,15	127:15 189:24	59:1 60:1 61:1	233:18	80:25 81:14,16
120:10 124:5	190:2,6,8 194:17	62:1 63:1 64:1	woman's 211:8	194:20
145:9 146:24	198:4,13,17,19,21	65:1 66:1 67:1	won 15:16	write 28:24
147:16 148:23	210:21 229:22	68:1 69:1 70:1	wondered 99:3	writing 20:14 89:7
153:13 154:7	230:5 231:12	71:1 72:1 73:1	wondering 96:16	167:7
174:19 175:15	254:11 261:24,25	74:1 75:1 76:1	149:19	written 72:12 75:15
180:15 181:23	week 24:11 52:19	77:1 78:1 79:1,21	word 242:8,11	84:20 236:4
233:11 234:20	59:17 103:20,21	80:1 81:1 82:1	work 14:18 15:3,5	wrong 72:5,6 74:22
235:7 238:17	125:10,10 184:22	83:1 84:1 85:1	15:6 21:7 26:14	77:6 84:18 107:2
239:16 252:1	263:4	86:1 87:1 88:1	56:17 69:19 86:18	177:25 229:13
257:1	weekend 52:18	89:1 90:1 91:1	102:14,19 103:16	256:18 257:9
ways 95:11	weeks 97:13 141:4	92:1 93:1 94:1	103:21 104:7,10	wrote 167:16
we'll 5:10,23 6:2,3	158:14	95:1 96:1 97:1	107:21,23 109:18	<b>v</b>
6:16,19 7:14,24	weight 40:25	98:1 99:1 100:1	109:19 114:5	X X 2 1 1 12 12
8:2,7 9:2 13:2,3	welcome 35:11	101:1 102:1 103:1	191:10 211:7	X3:1,1,13,13
13:10 35:9,9,9	79:6	104:1 105:1 106:1	253:3 260:5,12,14	195:12
50:12,16,24 51:14	Welfare 82:21	107:1 108:1 109:1	worked 23:19,21	Y
51:18 74:15,16	went 19:22,25	110:1 111:1 112:1	31:6 53:5 83:9	year 6:21 17:20
112:16,16 116:21	20:13 23:21 24:11	113:1 114:1 115:1	91:3 101:13	18:18,18,19,23,24
117:8,9,10,16	24:16 26:16 31:16	116:1 117:1 165:5	102:25 103:2,8	19:12 94:19
119:19 156:23	59:19 75:3 109:9	171:7 173:11	107:25 260:4	113:18,20 116:10
				115.10,20 110.10
L				

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 age 303
128:21 143:25	<b>01</b> 67:15	<b>158</b> 3:17	263:2	233 3:10
150:18 157:8	<b>03</b> 36:16	<b>15th</b> 173:10	<b>2.1</b> 125:16 138:18	<b>236</b> 3:8
246:13,16 250:11	<b>09</b> 115:12	<b>16</b> 5:25 6:21 236:21	<b>2:00</b> 92:17	23rd 85:24
250:25 253:24		255:3 257:5	<b>20</b> 37:23 39:14	<b>24</b> 1:20 4:1 39:14
year-old 90:19	1	16-year-old 29:4	58:14 157:8 255:4	47:15,16 141:24
years 5:25 10:1	<b>1</b> 1:17 3:15 11:24	<b>162</b> 109:5	<b>200</b> 108:14	177:24 178:3
11:3 15:20,22	12:11 17:25 19:9	<b>16th</b> 264:17	<b>2003</b> 19:10	264:13
17:14,25 18:2,5	27:6 31:24 35:9	<b>175</b> 3:17	<b>2004</b> 7:10,13 16:24	<b>24-hour</b> 17:17
19:2,16 20:18	35:19 39:12 40:5	<b>18</b> 59:15 110:4	19:3 24:19 27:3	<b>24-noar</b> 17.17 <b>240</b> 3:8
21:5 23:18 31:6,8	72:21 73:10	143:7 221:8 263:2	27:10 35:18 36:14	<b>2469</b> 1:25
34:2,4,5 35:22	143:23 165:16	<b>182</b> 3:18	38:3 42:7 43:5	<b>25</b> 36:24,24 37:2
36:17 37:23 38:18	172:10 236:20	<b>183</b> 3:19	45:23 47:3 134:8	<b>26</b> 47:14 73:19
53:16 62:5,6	263:2	<b>1977</b> 21:8	135:13 141:14,19	<b>264</b> 1:17
70:12 84:9 101:10	1:00 92:17	<b>197</b> 7 21.8 <b>1981</b> 15:10	152:13 197:20	<b>29</b> 171:19 172:10
101:11 102:25	<b>10</b> 17:25 19:16	<b>1981</b> 15:10 <b>1986</b> 15:10	203:10 252:17	176:9 221:17
113:16,19 144:2,2	20:18 111:25	1986 15:10 1990 27:23		
1 1	115:13 143:24	1990 27:23 1996 84:10	<b>2005</b> 41:23 42:4,22	<b>29th</b> 183:22
144:3 162:8,17	247:11 263:1	1996 84:10 1999 16:24 27:16	44:17 100:14	<b>2s</b> 35:10 254:14
166:21 168:12,16	<b>10:00</b> 48:10,14		204:10	3
184:24 194:12	<b>100</b> 3:7 108:14	27:18 28:5 43:3	<b>2006</b> 45:5 102:15	3 3:15 12:1,11 19:8
203:9 204:5	101 3:7,8	57:14 81:15 84:11	<b>2007</b> 100:3 103:11	35:10,19 72:21
217:15 221:25	10th 170:8,18	100:18 127:5,8	110:21 203:11,22	<b>3,700</b> 90:7
222:8,13,14,19,20	174:16	186:16,18 187:10	2008 64:15 246:8	<b>3:24</b> 1:19 263:21
222:25 223:3,10	174.10 11 19:16 20:18	187:25 188:15	<b>2009</b> 201:21 246:8	<b>30</b> 34:4,5 255:5
223:22 224:23	119:15,16 261:23	189:5 194:21	<b>2011</b> 85:12 173:10	<b>30</b> 54.4,5 255.5 <b>305</b> 10:20
225:19 233:4	262:3	195:20 202:3	177:16	30s 10.20 30s 21:20
237:22 238:3,9	110 88:4	210:15 219:19	<b>2012</b> 136:18 202:14	<b>31</b> 225:6,12
252:25 255:4,4	114 3:5	220:6 239:2 241:6	<b>2013</b> 168:18 210:6	·
257:5 261:23	<b>12</b> 3:15 18:2 111:25	259:9,25 262:7	213:24 217:14	<b>33</b> 172:5,10
262:3	112:5 119:15,19	1s 35:9,20	222:15 226:8	<b>34</b> 15:22
yesterday 125:12	12:5 119:15,19	1st 100:3 170:16,17	243:2 259:16	<b>35</b> 21:5 37:3,3,5 <b>360</b> 177:22
125:12		174:14	262:12	
yielded 141:23	<b>120</b> 3:4	2	<b>2014</b> 179:14 183:22	<b>364</b> 177:21
YMCA 109:23	1201 2:8	<del></del>	<b>2015</b> 1:20 4:1 73:19	3rd 203:11
young 6:6 203:23	12th 175:19	<b>2</b> 6:25 11:25 19:9,9	165:3 170:6,8	<b>3s</b> 35:21
211:2	13 3:16 6:18 41:7	27:5 35:9,19 39:3	226:7 264:13,17	4
younger 21:18	41:18 44:12,23	39:16 40:12 47:16	<b>206</b> 1:21	4 40:5 171:23
youth 145:7	111:25 112:5	48:4,7 72:21	206)380-6463	190:25 221:8
7	1300 1:21	98:15 121:4,10	183:24	
$\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{S}}$	<b>130259</b> 67:16	142:3 143:3,9	<b>20s</b> 21:20	<b>40</b> 115:8 116:25 <b>40128</b> 2:15
Z 75:6	<b>133</b> 3:4	197:22 198:22,23	<b>2100</b> 2:8	<b>40128</b> 2:15 <b>43</b> 3:16
Zack 69:7 74:11,25	<b>137</b> 3:5	200:11 202:17	<b>212</b> 3:10	
75:7 214:16,21	13th 177:15	206:24 210:19	<b>21st</b> 197:20 203:10	<b>45-year-old</b> 29:6
zero 137:16,20,21	14 3:4 45:4	216:5 219:23	<b>22</b> 113:19	<b>46</b> 39:11,16 47:17
231:22	144 3:4	230:14,16 231:13	<b>22nd</b> 113:20	142:2,3
0	<b>15</b> 18:5 194:12	232:6,7 234:25	<b>231</b> 3:10,17	<b>480-07-495</b> 12:5
————————————————————————————————————	<b>155</b> 3:10	254:12 261:18		216:5

480-15-181 227:20 253:5 480-15-302 10:19 223:5,7 480-15-3028 222:5 480.07.495 200:10 4th 174:21	98401-1157 2:9 98504-0128 2:16 99 25:11 35:25 36:6 36:9,16,16,24 39:22 128:9 135:20 201:22 213:12		
5	9th 165:3		
5 17:25 102:15 172:5,10 195:4 50 3:7 91:24 128:16 165:6,7 255:5 520 115:4,4			
5th 84:10  6 640:5 102:15,15			
189:14 221:16 236:23 <b>60</b> 37:3,4,5 91:24 <b>650</b> 177:23			
6th 184:9  7 7102:15 173:16			
176:9 189:11 195:6 225:10 70 91:24 72.09.345 200:2,9			
7th 57:14 84:11  8 8 111:25 189:11 222:5			
800 104:17,17 87 3:7 8th 170:20 174:15			
9 9 3:18 111:25,25 189:11,14 9:00 1:19 4:2		·	
9:10 12:23 9:10 12:23 90s 25:10 35:24 37:12 136:16			