

~~The Honorable Kathleen L. Carver~~
~~Hearing Date: August 26, 2005~~
~~Hearing Time: 10:00 p.m.~~
JMR

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON IN AND FOR KING COUNTY

SANDY JUDD, TARA HERIVEL and
ZURAYA WRIGHT, for themselves, and on
behalf of all similarly situated persons,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH
COMPANY; GTE NORTHWEST INC.;
CENTURYTEL TELEPHONE UTILITIES,
INC.; NORTHWEST
TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC., d/b/a/ PTI
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; U.S. WEST
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.; T-NETIX, INC.,

Defendants.

No. 00-2-17565-5 SEA

**ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT T-NETIX'
MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

(proposed) JMR

**ORDER GRANTING T-NETIX INC.'S
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

THIS MATTER having come on for hearing before this Court on the Motion of Defendant T-NETIX, Inc. for Summary Judgment, the Court having reviewed the Motion and the Declaration of Sandrin B. Rasmussen in support thereof, and the exhibits attached thereto, all filing of other defendants joining or opposing the Motion for Summary Judgment, if any, and all responsive documents filed by plaintiffs herein, if any, and the reply

**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT T-NETIX'
MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1**

BADGLEY ~ MULLINS

Law Group

Bank of America Tower
7012 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4750
Seattle, Washington 98104
Telephone: (206) 421-6566
Fax: (206) 421-9688

ORIGINAL

1 documents filed by T-NETIX, if any; and the Court having heard oral argument of counsel
2 for the parties, it is now hereby

3 ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that T-NETIX' Motion for Summary
4 Judgment is GRANTED. *

5 DONE IN OPEN COURT this day of August, 2005.
6

7 *Jeffrey M. Ramsdell*
8 The Honorable ~~Dean S. Linn~~ Jeffrey M. Ramsdell

9 Presented by:
10 BADGLEY-MULLINS LAW GROUP

11 By *Sandra B. Rasmussen*
12 Donald H. Mullins WSBA #4966
13 Sandrin B. Rasmussen WSBA #11735
14 Attorneys for Defendant T-NETIX, Inc.

* The Court commends counsel
for their excellent and thoughtful
presentations on this complex
area of the law. The Court
also thanks counsel for
their efforts to reduce
the number of documents
submitted for filing, with
the Court. That refinement
resulted in a far more
manageable set of documents
being reviewed for potential
Sealing.
gmr

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26