

**BEFORE THE WASHINGTON
UTILITIES & TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,

Complainant,

v.

QWEST CORPORATION D/B/A CENTURYLINK QC,

Respondent.

DOCKET UT-171082

SUSAN M. BALDWIN ON BEHALF OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

EXHIBIT SMB-4

CenturyLink's Response to Public Counsel Data Request 6

June 1, 2018

Re: Docket UT-171082

CenturyLink's Responses and Objections to Public Counsel DR Nos. 5 through 12

Date: April 30, 2018

Page 4 of 15

PC-6 Reference CenturyLink's response to PC-3(a), which states, in part: "He moved into what we call a no serve housing development." Please confirm that "a no serve housing development" is a housing development where a developer refuses to execute a provisioning agreement for housing development. If this definition is incorrect, please provide the correct definition of a "no serve housing development" as the term is used by CenturyLink in its response to PC-3(a).

Response: Yes, this is a partially correct, but incomplete, definition of a "no serve housing development." Such a development is not limited to situations where the developer refuses to execute a PAHD, but also includes developments where the developer did not request service from CenturyLink and therefore would not have been offered a PAHD. It also includes situations where the developer may have had preliminary discussions with CenturyLink but later advised CenturyLink that they had selected another provider.

Respondent: Phil Grate