Docket No. UE-200115 - Vol. V

In the Matter of the Application of Puget Sound Energy

October 8, 2020



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

COURT REPORTING AND LEGAL VIDEO

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101 <u>www.buellrealtime.com</u> email: <u>info@buellrealtime.com</u>



	Page 255	Page 257
	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON	1 In the last session, I did provide my e-mail
	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	2 address.
		3 Chair, would you like me to go ahead and do
	In the Matter of the Application of) DOCKET UE-200115	4 that now as well?
) PUGET SOUND ENERGY,)	5 CHAIR DANNER: I think that would be great.
)	6 If there are people who are attending now who weren't
	For an Order Authorizing Sale of All)	7 able to attend this afternoon.
	of Puget Sound Energy's Interests in)	8 MS. GAFKEN: Perfect.
	Colstrip Unit 4 and Certain of Puget)	9 In case questions come up, for a little bit
	Sound Energy's Interest in Colstrip)	10 of context, the Commissioners won't be answering
	Transmission System)	11 questions that the public has those questions. This is
	VIDEOCONFERENCE PUBLIC HEARING - SESSION I	12 really an opportunity for the Commissioners to hear from
	CHAIR DAVE DANNER	13 the public directly.
	VOLUME V	14 But if questions come up, I'm happy to help
	Pages 255 - 301	15 answer those. And my e-mail address is
		16 Lisa.gafken@atg.wa.gov. I'll spell that out. Lisa,
	October 8, 2020 6:01 p.m.	17 L-I-S-A dot Gafken, G-A-F as in Frank, K-E-N @ A-T-G dot
	0.01 p.m.	18 W-A dot G-O-V.
		19 CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very
		20 much.
		21 At this point, I would like to turn it over
		to John Cupp of the UTC staff, who will go over some of
		23 the logistics for this evening's meeting.
		24John, are you there?
	REPORTED BY: CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR 2121.	25 MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. Thank you.
	Page 256	Page 258
1	Page 256	Page 258 1 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public
1 2	Page 256 LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020	
		1 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public
2	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020	1 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public 2 involvement representative for the Utilities and
2 3	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m.	 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public involvement representative for the Utilities and Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator
2 3 4	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000-	 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public involvement representative for the Utilities and Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator for this evening's public comment hearing.
2 3 4 5	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -00o- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing.	 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public involvement representative for the Utilities and Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator for this evening's public comment hearing. Before we go on the formal record, I want to
2 3 4 5 6	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -00o- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening	 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public involvement representative for the Utilities and Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator for this evening's public comment hearing. Before we go on the formal record, I want to explain a few things. First a little background on the case that you're here to comment on. On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket	 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public involvement representative for the Utilities and Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator for this evening's public comment hearing. Before we go on the formal record, I want to explain a few things. First a little background on the case that you're here to comment on. On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order	 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public involvement representative for the Utilities and Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator for this evening's public comment hearing. Before we go on the formal record, I want to explain a few things. First a little background on the case that you're here to comment on. On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's	 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public involvement representative for the Utilities and Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator for this evening's public comment hearing. Before we go on the formal record, I want to explain a few things. First a little background on the case that you're here to comment on. On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system. PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -o0o- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound	 My name is John Cupp. I'm a public involvement representative for the Utilities and Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator for this evening's public comment hearing. Before we go on the formal record, I want to explain a few things. First a little background on the case that you're here to comment on. On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system. PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 4 with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system.	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas.	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas. I am first, I want to reintroduce our	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.17PSE believes the sale is necessary to meet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas. I am first, I want to reintroduce our public counsel for the State of Washington, Lisa Gafken.	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.17PSE believes the sale is necessary to meet18the requirements of the Washington Clean Energy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas. I am first, I want to reintroduce our public counsel for the State of Washington, Lisa Gafken. Lisa, are you there? Can you turn your	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.17PSE believes the sale is necessary to meet18the requirements of the Washington Clean Energy19Transmission Act. Under the proposed agreement PSE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas. I am first, I want to reintroduce our public counsel for the State of Washington, Lisa Gafken. Lisa, are you there? Can you turn your video on and introduce yourself?	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.17PSE believes the sale is necessary to meet18the requirements of the Washington Clean Energy19Transmission Act. Under the proposed agreement PSE20would continue to purchase power generated from Colstrip
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas. I am first, I want to reintroduce our public counsel for the State of Washington, Lisa Gafken. Lisa, are you there? Can you turn your video on and introduce yourself? MS. GAFKEN: Yes.	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.17PSE believes the sale is necessary to meet18the requirements of the Washington Clean Energy19Transmission Act. Under the proposed agreement PSE20would continue to purchase power generated from Colstrip21Unit 4 through the end of 2025 at the latest.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas. I am first, I want to reintroduce our public counsel for the State of Washington, Lisa Gafken. Lisa, are you there? Can you turn your video on and introduce yourself? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. Good evening, Chair Danner. My name is Lisa	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.17PSE believes the sale is necessary to meet18the requirements of the Washington Clean Energy19Transmission Act. Under the proposed agreement PSE20would continue to purchase power generated from Colstrip21Unit 4 through the end of 2025 at the latest.22UTC staff filed testimony recommending that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas. I am first, I want to reintroduce our public counsel for the State of Washington, Lisa Gafken. Lisa, are you there? Can you turn your video on and introduce yourself? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. Good evening, Chair Danner. My name is Lisa Gafken, and I am here on behalf of Public Counsel.	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.17PSE believes the sale is necessary to meet18the requirements of the Washington Clean Energy19Transmission Act. Under the proposed agreement PSE20would continue to purchase power generated from Colstrip21Unit 4 through the end of 2025 at the latest.22UTC staff filed testimony recommending that23the Commission deny PSE's request to sell a portion of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LACEY, WASHINGTON; OCTOBER 8, 2020 6:01 p.m. -000- CHAIR DANNER: Let's be back on the record. This is Thursday, October 8th, and this is the evening portion of our public comment hearing. We have been in recess since this afternoon when we began taking public comment. And this is Docket UE-200115. And this is the application for an order authorizing the sale of all of Puget Sound Energy's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and certain of Puget Sound Energy's interest in the Colstrip transmission system. I'm Dave Danner. I'm Chair of the Commission. I'm joined by my colleagues Commissioner Ann Rendahl and Commissioner Jay Balasbas. I am first, I want to reintroduce our public counsel for the State of Washington, Lisa Gafken. Lisa, are you there? Can you turn your video on and introduce yourself? MS. GAFKEN: Yes. Good evening, Chair Danner. My name is Lisa	1My name is John Cupp. I'm a public2involvement representative for the Utilities and3Transportation Commission. And I'll be the moderator4for this evening's public comment hearing.5Before we go on the formal record, I want to6explain a few things. First a little background on the7case that you're here to comment on.8On February 19, 2020, PSE filed a request to9sell its ownership interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and10certain assets in the Colstrip transmission system.11PSE is one of five owners of Colstrip Unit 412with a 25 percent ownership interest. PSE requests13selling half of its 25 percent to Northwest Energy and14half to Talen Montana LLC and a portion of their15interests in the Colstrip transmission system to16Northwest Energy.17PSE believes the sale is necessary to meet18the requirements of the Washington Clean Energy19Transmission Act. Under the proposed agreement PSE20would continue to purchase power generated from Colstrip21Unit 4 through the end of 2025 at the latest.22UTC staff filed testimony recommending that

1 (Pages 255 to 258)

	Page 259		Page 261
1	customers as PSE proposes, but it may, in fact, end up	1	signed in. Many of you have already signed in to speak.
2	costing customers due to uncertainty regarding the price	2	If you wish to speak and you haven't signed
3	of replacement power.	3	in, we'll go through the alphabet hear and get you
4	The UTC is expected to issue a decision no	4	signed in. So if you have not already signed in and
5	later than January 19, 2021.	5	your last name starts with the letters A through E,
6	So you'll have the opportunity to comment to	6	please give us your name and spell your last name,
7	the Commissioners this evening pertaining to the sale of	7	please.
8	PSE's interest in Colstrip Unit 4. The comment period	8	Okay. I'm not hearing anybody. So if your
9	is open through November 22nd. You'll have the ability	9	last name starts with F through J and you would like to
10	to submit comments through that date, and you can	10	speak and haven't signed in, please speak up now.
11	comment using the following methods.	11	Okay. K through O.
12	There's a submit a comment link on our home	12	(Audio disruption).
13	page at utc.wa.gov. You can e-mail us with your	13	MR. CUPP: I'm sorry, I wasn't able to hear
14	comments at comments@utc.wa.gov. You can call us at	14	you.
15	1-888-333-9882. Our mailing address is P.O. Box 47250,	15	MR. KATZ: My name is Harry Katz, K-a-t-z.
16	Olympia, Washington 98504-7250.	16	MR. CUPP: K-a-t-z. Okay. And you've not
17	I need to point out since most of us are	17	already signed up to speak?
18	working remotely and not in the office, there can be a	18	MR. KATZ: I called and I spoke to, and it
19	delay in us getting our mail.	19	might have been you, Mr. Cupp. I'm not certain if I was
20	Commissioners act like judges in formal	20	put on the roster or not.
21	filings like this one, and they are not here to answer	21	MR. CUPP: Okay. We'll make sure that you
22	your questions. They are here to hear your comments.	22	are there. Thank you.
23	If you have questions you can contact Ms.	23	And then anyone whose last name starts with
24	Gafken from public counsel, and she gave you her contact	24	P as in Peter through T.
25	information. Or you can e-mail the same e-mail address	25	MR. MILLER: Hi, sorry. I was in the last
	Page 260		Daga (16)
			Page 262
1	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that	1	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak,
1 2		1 2	
	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that		section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak,
2	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask	2	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that.
2 3	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our	2 3 4 5	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak?
2 3 4 5 6	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115.	2 3 4 5 6	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z?
2 3 4 5 6 7	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask	2 3 4 5 6 7	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to unmute it when you are called on to speak. And then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over to Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to unmute it when you are called on to speak. And then again, please re-mute again by hitting *6.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over to Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to unmute it when you are called on to speak. And then again, please re-mute again by hitting *6. Please wait to be called on to speak and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over to Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much, John.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to unmute it when you are called on to speak. And then again, please re-mute again by hitting *6. Please wait to be called on to speak and don't interrupt other speakers. We have a chat feature	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over to Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much, John. You said before we go on the record. But in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to unmute it when you are called on to speak. And then again, please re-mute again by hitting *6. Please wait to be called on to speak and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over to Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much, John.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to unmute it when you are called on to speak. And then again, please re-mute again by hitting *6. Please wait to be called on to speak and don't interrupt other speakers. We have a chat feature in Teams, and we should only use that if you are having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over to Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much, John. You said before we go on the record. But in fact, I put us on the record at the very beginning, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to unmute it when you are called on to speak. And then again, please re-mute again by hitting *6. Please wait to be called on to speak and don't interrupt other speakers. We have a chat feature in Teams, and we should only use that if you are having technical difficulties. So you can use the chat feature	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over to Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much, John. You said before we go on the record. But in fact, I put us on the record at the very beginning, so the record will include everything that we've just gone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that I gave you earlier, comments@utc.wa.gov, or at that same toll free number, 1-888-333-9882. And you can ask to speak to me. Again, my name is John Cupp. If you want additional information about the case or you want to follow it online you can go to our website at www.utc.wa.gov/200115. Now, for some meeting logistics. We ask that everyone mute your computer mic. You can do that if you are using Teams by clicking on the microphone icon. When there is a slash through it you are muted. When you go to call, when you are called on to speak you can unmute it by clicking on it and the slash will go away. And we ask that you please mute your microphone by clicking on it after you are done speaking. If you're calling in by phone, on your keypad you can press *6 to mute your phone and *6 to unmute it when you are called on to speak. And then again, please re-mute again by hitting *6. Please wait to be called on to speak and don't interrupt other speakers. We have a chat feature in Teams, and we should only use that if you are having technical difficulties. So you can use the chat feature for that, or you can call Ryan Smith at 360-915-3646.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 section. Trenton Miller here. I would like to speak, and I don't think I signed in. I didn't see that. MR. CUPP: Okay. Trenton Miller. Okay. So anyone whose last name starts with P through T who wishes to speak? How about U through Z? Okay. I take it the sign-in sheets are complete. I do ask that if you're not speaking, again, please mute your microphone. And you can turn off your camera, and you are welcome to turn it back on when you speak. MS. WILLIAMS: I'm Elizabeth Williams. I would like to speak. I didn't realize I was muted. I'm sorry. MR. CUPP: Thank you Elizabeth. Thank you. I'll now turn the meeting over to Chair Danner, Commissioner Rendahl and Commissioner Balasbas. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much, John. You said before we go on the record. But in fact, I put us on the record at the very beginning, so the record will include everything that we've just gone through, And I don't think that will be a problem.

2 (Pages 259 to 262)

Docket No. UE-200115 - Vol. V - 10/8/2020

	Page 263		Page 265
1	At this point we're going to have the	1	ratepayers.
2	formality of swearing in all of those who wish to speak	2	Also, ratepayers will continue to be on the
3	tonight.	3	hook for cleanup costs, which will be substantial. The
4	So I would ask, even though I can't see you,	4	longer Colstrip runs, the longer it continues to pollute
5	I will trust that you will raise your right hand. And	5	aquifers and emit greenhouse gases, including both air
6	let me make sure that you will swear to tell the truth,	6	and water.
7	the whole truth and nothing but the truth?	7	What would be a good deal for ratepayers and
8	Can I hear some I do's?	8	still meet CETA requirements? If, instead of selling
9	MULTIPLE SPEAKERS: "I do."	9	their share of Colstrip PSE stopped funding Colstrip,
10	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much,	10	which is now a bad investment with rising maintenance
11	everyone. So we'll get right into it. And let us hear	11	and resource costs.
12	from Pam and Bill Sandstrom, are you on the line?	12	I'm asking the UTC to consider a
13	All right. Jane Lind	13	determination that funding Colstrip is no longer
14	MS. LINDSTROM: Can you hear me?	14	financially prudent. Who is really benefitting from the
15	CHAIR DANNER: Oh, you are Pam Sandstrom?	15	sale of Colstrip? Not ratepayers. It's Northwestern
16	MS. LINDSTROM: Yeah, I think I unmuted	16	Energy. If they can keep their share of Colstrip
17	myself.	17	operational until 2042 as they plan, they will collect
18	CHAIR DANNER: Yes. Technology is our	18	on their debt schedule that runs until then.
19	friend. So go ahead.	19	Northwestern Energy is the real winner, not ratepayers.
20	MS. LINDSTROM: Okay. So I guess comments	20	Please protect the customers and stop the
21	and concerns. So just some concerns are going to be,	21	sale of Colstrip. Thank you so much.
22	you know, what is the energy that's going to be	22	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much. I
23	replacing the coal. How reliable is that going to be?	23	appreciate your participation tonight.
24	Are there going to be any concerns with blackouts, if	24	John Romankiewicz, are you there?
25	it's, you know, all renewable. Is this going to include	25	MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Yes.
	Page 264		
			Page 266
1	any natural gas. And will the costs go up?	1	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly
2	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right.	2	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck.
2 3	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns.	2 3	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead.
2 3 4	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those	2 3 4	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan
2 3 4 5	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public	2 3 4 5	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live
2 3 4 5 6	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington.
2 3 4 5 6 7	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio before December 31st, 2025 as required by CETA, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is in direct contrast of the purpose of the Clean Energy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio before December 31st, 2025 as required by CETA, the Clean Energy Transformation Act.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is in direct contrast of the purpose of the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which is to end coal by 2025.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio before December 31st, 2025 as required by CETA, the Clean Energy Transformation Act. But is this really a good deal for everyone	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is in direct contrast of the purpose of the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which is to end coal by 2025. We cannot simply export the problem
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio before December 31st, 2025 as required by CETA, the Clean Energy Transformation Act. But is this really a good deal for everyone involved, including ratepayers? Not so much. Baked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is in direct contrast of the purpose of the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which is to end coal by 2025. We cannot simply export the problem elsewhere for our benefit. We need to find a solution
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio before December 31st, 2025 as required by CETA, the Clean Energy Transformation Act. But is this really a good deal for everyone involved, including ratepayers? Not so much. Baked into this deal is the agreement that PSE will buy back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is in direct contrast of the purpose of the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which is to end coal by 2025. We cannot simply export the problem elsewhere for our benefit. We need to find a solution that retires the entire Colstrip plant in 2025 for all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio before December 31st, 2025 as required by CETA, the Clean Energy Transformation Act. But is this really a good deal for everyone involved, including ratepayers? Not so much. Baked into this deal is the agreement that PSE will buy back coal-generated power from Northwestern at above market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is in direct contrast of the purpose of the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which is to end coal by 2025. We cannot simply export the problem elsewhere for our benefit. We need to find a solution that retires the entire Colstrip plant in 2025 for all owners.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio before December 31st, 2025 as required by CETA, the Clean Energy Transformation Act. But is this really a good deal for everyone involved, including ratepayers? Not so much. Baked into this deal is the agreement that PSE will buy back coal-generated power from Northwestern at above market rates until the end of 2025. Clearly not a least cost	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is in direct contrast of the purpose of the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which is to end coal by 2025. We cannot simply export the problem elsewhere for our benefit. We need to find a solution that retires the entire Colstrip plant in 2025 for all owners. Additionally, Puget Sound Energy should not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any natural gas. And will the costs go up? CHAIR DANNER: All right. MS. SANDSTROM: Those are my concerns. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for putting those on the record. As John stated, because in a public comment hearing, we basically we listen. We take all the questions as as basically rhetorical. We will be taking these under advisement as we as we go forward. So thank you for participating tonight. MS. SANDSTROM: Thank you. THE COURT: Jane Lindley, are you there? MS. LINDLEY: I am. I'm Jane Lindley. I live on Bainbridge Island, and I'm representing Act for Climate. PSE's sale of their interest in Colstrip Unit 4 to Northwestern Energy and Talen removed some of their coal-generated electricity from their portfolio before December 31st, 2025 as required by CETA, the Clean Energy Transformation Act. But is this really a good deal for everyone involved, including ratepayers? Not so much. Baked into this deal is the agreement that PSE will buy back coal-generated power from Northwestern at above market	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAIR DANNER: Just a minute. Let Kimberly Danka and Ian McKlusky know they are on deck. Okay, Mr. Romenkuitz, go ahead. MR. ROMANKIEWICZ: Hello. My name is Dan Romenkuitz. I'm a Puget Sound Energy customer. I live in Bellingham, Washington. So I have a close friend in Montana who is a water inspector for the state there and permits facilities across the state, monitoring their water pollution and associated permitting, trying to ensure that Montana citizens can protect their precious water resources. As Puget Sound Energy ratepayers importing coal power from Montana, we are responsible for the pollution from our imported power and its ultimate fate. If Puget Sound Energy sells their share of Colstrip Unit 4 Northwestern Energy will run it until 2039, which is in direct contrast of the purpose of the Clean Energy Transformation Act, which is to end coal by 2025. We cannot simply export the problem elsewhere for our benefit. We need to find a solution that retires the entire Colstrip plant in 2025 for all owners.

3 (Pages 263 to 266)

	Page 267		Page 269
1	lines, as it allows access to great solar and wind	1	And I do vehemently object to Washington's
2	resources, which could diversify the utility's renewable	2	largest utility's disingenuous attempt to do an end run
3	energy portfolio.	3	around the clear goals and intentions of the people of
4	We should be utilizing existing transmission	4	Washington State as was laid out in 2019's 100 percent
5	assets as much as possible in their transition to 100	5	clean energy bill.
6	percent clean energy. Given that building new	6	I'd really like to be able to stand up in
7	transmission is going to, you know, cause all sorts of	7	support of my energy company, but they have to show they
8	right-of-way issues and possible headaches, we should	8	are standing up for us.
9	use the existing assets we have as much as possible and	9	Please, as is recommended by your own staff,
10	not sell this.	10	reject the PSE sale of Colstrip and direct them to stop
11	That's my comments.	11	propping up this aging outdated facility. Thank you
12	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very	12	very much.
13	much. I appreciate your coming out tonight to	13	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much.
14	participate.	14	Just to be clear, I think Mr. Cupp was
15	Kimberly Danka, are you there?	15	asking folks at the beginning to turn their cameras off.
16	MS. DANKA: I am here.	16	Just to be clear, that was turn your cameras off who
17	CHAIR DANNER: Go ahead.	17	were not speaking. So those who have spoken who want to
18	MS. DANKA: You pronounced it correctly.	18	turn their cameras on should feel free to do so while
19	CHAIR DANNER: I didn't mangle it. Okay, go	19	they are speaking.
20	ahead.	20	lan McKlusky, you are up.
21	MS. DANKA: No, not at all. You got it	21	MR. McKLUSKY: Thank you. I may not be as
22	exactly right.	22	eloquent as the previous speakers, but I do want to go
23	So yes, my name is Kimberly Danka. I live	23	straight to the point, which is that for all of the
24	in Olympia, and I've been a PSE ratepayer for most of my	24	reasons that they outlined, the only way to avoid legal
25	life. I'm just an ordinary citizen, and I appreciate	25	problems and and an ongoing legal battle around this
	Page 268		D 050
	rage 200		Page 270
1	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in	1	Page 270 decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025.
1 2		1 2	
	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in		decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025.
2	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying	2	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the
2 3	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that	2 3 4 5	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the
2 3 4 5 6	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing	2 3 4 5 6	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons
2 3 4 5 6 7	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens	2 3 4 5 6 7	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact
2 3 4 5 6	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy company made an irresponsible agreement to buy back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy company made an irresponsible agreement to buy back power from Colstrip at a higher of price at a time when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you there? MS. WEINSTEIN: I'm low tech. It took me a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy company made an irresponsible agreement to buy back power from Colstrip at a higher of price at a time when the cost of renewable energy is falling by the day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you there? MS. WEINSTEIN: I'm low tech. It took me a minute. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy company made an irresponsible agreement to buy back power from Colstrip at a higher of price at a time when the cost of renewable energy is falling by the day. I do have a problem with selling	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you there? MS. WEINSTEIN: I'm low tech. It took me a minute. Okay. This is Elliott Weinstein. I'm a PSE
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy company made an irresponsible agreement to buy back power from Colstrip at a higher of price at a time when the cost of renewable energy is falling by the day. I do have a problem with selling transmission lines that will prove essential to carrying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you there? MS. WEINSTEIN: I'm low tech. It took me a minute. Okay. This is Elliott Weinstein. I'm a PSE ratepayer from Olympia. I thank the UTC staff for its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy company made an irresponsible agreement to buy back power from Colstrip at a higher of price at a time when the cost of renewable energy is falling by the day. I do have a problem with selling transmission lines that will prove essential to carrying Montana wind power into my living room in a few years 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you there? MS. WEINSTEIN: I'm low tech. It took me a minute. Okay. This is Elliott Weinstein. I'm a PSE ratepayer from Olympia. I thank the UTC staff for its recommendation not to approve the proposed sale of PSE's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy company made an irresponsible agreement to buy back power from Colstrip at a higher of price at a time when the cost of renewable energy is falling by the day. I do have a problem with selling transmission lines that will prove essential to carrying Montana wind power into my living room in a few years once PSE finally gets on board with the reality that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you there? MS. WEINSTEIN: I'm low tech. It took me a minute. Okay. This is Elliott Weinstein. I'm a PSE ratepayer from Olympia. I thank the UTC staff for its recommendation not to approve the proposed sale of PSE's interest in Colstrip Unit 4 and the transmission lines
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 being able to flip on my light switch and have heat in the winter just as much as the next person, and I don't have a problem with paying for that privilege. That is, I don't have a problem with paying a reasonable amount to a company that understands that they have more responsibilities than just maximizing stakeholder profit. A company that respects and listens to the customers they are making their profit from, and that has the capacity to look beyond the immediate toward long-term sustainability. I don't have a problem paying that company. Unfortunately, through this request to sell their stake in Colstrip, PSE is once again demonstrating that they are not that company. I do object to potentially being on the hook as a ratepayer for even higher prices because my energy company made an irresponsible agreement to buy back power from Colstrip at a higher of price at a time when the cost of renewable energy is falling by the day. I do have a problem with selling transmission lines that will prove essential to carrying Montana wind power into my living room in a few years 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	decision is to simply retire the plant in 2025. If if the decision is made not to retire the plant and to pursue this sale, I expect that this this will become an ongoing legal battle for the foreseeable future and for the remainder of many of the careers for the people involved for all the reasons outlined previously as well as the reason the fact that PSE had to set aside \$350 million to cleanup the Colstrip coal ash waste ponds, which exposes our ratepayers to more liability. So I will leave it at that. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir. So next up is Frank Turner, followed by Elliott Weinstein and Mary Painter. So Mr. Turner, are you there? Frank Turner? All right. Elliott Weinstein, are you there? MS. WEINSTEIN: I'm low tech. It took me a minute. Okay. This is Elliott Weinstein. I'm a PSE ratepayer from Olympia. I thank the UTC staff for its recommendation not to approve the proposed sale of PSE's

4 (Pages 267 to 270)

	Page 271		Page 273
1	regulate utilities like PSE in the public interest. To	1	All right. Then Paul Pickett, are you
2	meet these standards, utilities must prudently manage	2	there? Paul Pickett?
3	their financial transactions so that they charge	3	Okay. Phyllis Farrell, are you there?
4	ratepayers only enough to have fair, just, and	4	MS. FARRELL: Yes, I am. Thank you.
5	reasonable and sufficient rates.	5	I'm Phyllis Farrell, a PSE ratepayer from
6	The proposed sale does not meet these	6	Olympia. And I urge the UTC to reject the sale of the
7	standards because PSE is selling its interest in	7	Colstrip power and transmission lines. These violate
8	Colstrip for one dollar. Ratepayers are required to pay	8	the stated intention of our mandated climate goals in
9	the difference between the one dollar sale and the	9	House Bill 2311 and the Clean Energy Transformation Act.
10	\$85 million value of the 25 percent Colstrip Unit 4	10	PSE's buy back of Colstrip power from
11	share. How can these terms be reasonable for ratepayers	11	Northwestern makes PSE customers pay a higher price.
12	or in the public interest.	12	Also, selling extremely valuable transmission lines
13	Secondly, the proposed purchase agreement to	13	below their long-term value is not in PSE customers'
14	buy back Colstrip power from Northwestern as folks have	14	best interest.
15	said will force PSE customers to pay a higher price for	15	Mostly, my concern is the post-sale implies
16	energy because it requires PSE to buy power at the	16	that we will still have to pay for additional cleanup
17	higher cost of Colstrip's energy and the market price.	17	costs even though we no longer own the plant.
18	This higher up condition is not just or	18	Once again, I urge you to reject the PSE
19	reasonable for PSE ratepayers. Ratepayers will also be	19	sale of the Colstrip plant and transmission lines.
20	on the hook for the unit's environmental cleanup costs.	20	Thank you.
21	How can this deal be in the public interest?	21	THE COURT: Thank you very much.
22	In addition, PSE is selling valuable	22	All right. Doug Howell, are you there?
23	transmission lines from Colstrip well below their	23	Doug Howell, are you there?
24	projected value in the near future.	24	MR. HOWELL: Chair Danner, this is Doug
25	PSE commissioned a study. Their own study	25	Howell. I'm not supposed to speak because we're a party
	Page 272		Page 274
1	found that massive increases in transmission from	1	to the case. I signed up out of habit. I called back
2	Montana and Wyoming will be needed. How then is this	2	the record center and I asked that they withdraw my
3	fair or reasonable for PSE to sell its ratepayer own	3	name, and I now find out that didn't happen.
4	transmission asset under these circumstances? How can	4	So I'm going to stand down. Thanks for
5	this possibly be in the public interest?	5	holding the hearing.
6	Finally, instead of selling Unit 4 and	6	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much.
7	ratepayers on the hook to pay for a money-losing	7	And Dawn Marsh from Sense, are you there?
8	arrangement, PSE should vote with other owners to retire	8	Dawn Marsh.
9	the unit. The unit is no longer profitable. Referring	9	All right. Chris Bruno has signed in not
10	to as stated by UTC staff the Billings Gazette on	10	wishing to comment.
11	November 27th, 2019, pointed to increasing repair costs.	11	Kelly Slater, are you there?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the	12	Harry Katz, are you there?
12 13		12 13	
	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the	13 14	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir.
13	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the	13 14 15	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to
13 14 15 16	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to	13 14 15 16	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that
13 14 15 16 17	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that	13 14 15 16 17	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as
13 14 15 16 17 18	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that pollutes our environment.	13 14 15 16 17 18	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as some of the other speakers.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that pollutes our environment. Thank you for considering my testimony.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as some of the other speakers. When I read first about this agreement, my
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that pollutes our environment. Thank you for considering my testimony. CHAIR DANNER: And thank you for presenting	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as some of the other speakers. When I read first about this agreement, my main concern was about the buy back of power after the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that pollutes our environment. Thank you for considering my testimony. CHAIR DANNER: And thank you for presenting it. Very much appreciate that.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as some of the other speakers. When I read first about this agreement, my main concern was about the buy back of power after the sale, because it seemed to me that that was really not
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that pollutes our environment. Thank you for considering my testimony. CHAIR DANNER: And thank you for presenting it. Very much appreciate that. Mary painter. And after you will be Paul	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as some of the other speakers. When I read first about this agreement, my main concern was about the buy back of power after the sale, because it seemed to me that that was really not gonna end up in us using any less coal-powered energy.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that pollutes our environment. Thank you for considering my testimony. CHAIR DANNER: And thank you for presenting it. Very much appreciate that. Mary painter. And after you will be Paul Pickett and Phyllis Farrell and then Doug Howell.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as some of the other speakers. When I read first about this agreement, my main concern was about the buy back of power after the sale, because it seemed to me that that was really not gonna end up in us using any less coal-powered energy. And I may actually be mistaken in that, but it sounds
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that pollutes our environment. Thank you for considering my testimony. CHAIR DANNER: And thank you for presenting it. Very much appreciate that. Mary painter. And after you will be Paul Pickett and Phyllis Farrell and then Doug Howell. So Mary Painter, you're up. Mary Painter,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as some of the other speakers. When I read first about this agreement, my main concern was about the buy back of power after the sale, because it seemed to me that that was really not gonna end up in us using any less coal-powered energy. And I may actually be mistaken in that, but it sounds like perhaps I'm actually accurate as well.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	The article went on to note that the likely rise in the cost of mining to supply the coal makes no sense. Keeping Unit 4 open is not prudent with fiscal management, nor is it in the interest of the public, since ratepayers will most likely be forced to pay for power produced by an outdated facility that pollutes our environment. Thank you for considering my testimony. CHAIR DANNER: And thank you for presenting it. Very much appreciate that. Mary painter. And after you will be Paul Pickett and Phyllis Farrell and then Doug Howell.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Harry Katz, are you there? MR. KATZ: Yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Go ahead, sir. MR. KATZ: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I I live in Bellevue. And I have to say that I'm not nearly as well informed or informed in-depth as some of the other speakers. When I read first about this agreement, my main concern was about the buy back of power after the sale, because it seemed to me that that was really not gonna end up in us using any less coal-powered energy. And I may actually be mistaken in that, but it sounds

5 (Pages 271 to 274)

	Page 275		Page 277
1	should be shifting as quickly and as responsibly as	1 pilot plan	t to make sure that everything works, with the
2	possible away from fossil fuel powered electricity		of reducing global warming.
3	generation. It sounds like on the basis of many of the		urrently it appears that politicians are
4	concerns expressed this evening as well as my own about		the technologies and the approaches to global
5	the power purchase agreement, that this arrangement	-	and I think they have it wrong.
6	would not occur as quickly as possible under this sale.	-	hank you Commissioner Cupp, and I
7	So that's my that's my comment for this	7 appreciat	e the time and your attention.
8	evening. Thank you.	8 C	HAIR DANNER: Thank you for your comments
9	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very	9 tonight.	
10	much.	10 A	ll right. Again, Steve Miller has signed
11	Okay. Reina Holtz is up next. And then	11 in not wis	hing to testify.
12	she'll be followed by George Domahide and Tim Vetitooly.	12 T	im Vetitooly. Did I get your name mangled
13	Reina Holtz, are you there? Reina Holtz.	13 properly?	
14	All right. George Domahide. Are you there,	14 M	R. VETITOOLY: No, you did fine. Can you
15	sir?	15 hear me?)
16	All right. Steve Miller has signed in but	16 C	HAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead, sir.
17	not wishing to comment.	17 M	R. VETITOOLY: Okay. I have to I'm a
18	MR. DOMAHIDE: Hi. This is George Domahide.	18 ratepayer	r, too, and just recently have started paying
19	I had trouble getting in, but that's beside the point	19 attention	to what some of these things that are going
20	now.	20 on. And	I have to agree with George. It seems to me,
21	THE COURT: I'm glad you're here. So go		k the previous lady that spoke, I'm concerned
22	ahead, sir.		ratepayer that the legislature is mandating
23	MR. DOMAHIDE: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen,		anges in our in the way that we generate
24	I'm George Domahide. I'm a registered professional		thout any significant thought to the impact of
25	chemical engineer with a master's degree in chemical	25 the ratep	ayers, and doing a cost benefit analysis in
	Page 276		Page 278
1		1 terms of v	
1 2	Page 276 engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical		Page 278 what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power.
	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of	2 going to h	vhat's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're
2	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical	2 going to h 3 Ar	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power.
2 3	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries.	 2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Californ 	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen
2 3 4	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been	 2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Californ 5 their power 	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen hia where they mandated the where they get
2 3 4 5	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And	 2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Californ 5 their power 6 blackouts 	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen hia where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling
2 3 4 5 6	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to	 2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't known 	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen hia where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Californ 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerned	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about	 2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Californ 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't known 8 concerned 9 we're sell 	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their powe 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerner 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not or any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their powe 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerne 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my hoding that the levels of CO2 in the environment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also.	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their powe 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerne 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my hoding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerner 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gond 14 primarily b	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not or any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my hoding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years poecause we generate more power from natural
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerner 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gond 14 primarily l 15 gas. Now	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my hoding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural w, that's not a coal plant. But again, how are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerner 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily h 15 gas. Now 16 we replace	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my nding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural <i>y</i> , that's not a coal plant. But again, how are ing this power. There's a lot of people that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is very small.	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerner 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily l 15 gas. Now 16 we replac 17 don't wan	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my hoding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural <i>y</i> , that's not a coal plant. But again, how are sing this power. There's a lot of people that t to have natural gas plants either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is very small. 400 parts per million. That's four molecules of carbon	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their powe 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerne 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily l 15 gas. Now 16 we replac 17 don't wan 18 Sc	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my noting that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural <i>y</i> , that's not a coal plant. But again, how are ing this power. There's a lot of people that t to have natural gas plants either. o I'm very concerned as a ratepayer and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is very small. 400 parts per million. That's four molecules of carbon for every 10,000 molecules of another gas. Such a low	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their powe 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerne 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily l 15 gas. Now 16 we replac 17 don't wan 18 Sc 19 just as an	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my hding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural <i>v</i> , that's not a coal plant. But again, how are ing this power. There's a lot of people that t to have natural gas plants either. o I'm very concerned as a ratepayer and a American citizen that we're not doing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is very small. 400 parts per million. That's four molecules of carbon for every 10,000 molecules of another gas. Such a low concentration of any component cannot have all these	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their powe 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerne 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily h 15 gas. Now 16 we replac 17 don't wan 18 Sc 19 just as an 20 right thing	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not or any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my hding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural <i>v</i> , that's not a coal plant. But again, how are ing this power. There's a lot of people that t to have natural gas plants either. D I'm very concerned as a ratepayer and American citizen that we're not doing the gs for the right reasons.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is very small. 400 parts per million. That's four molecules of carbon for every 10,000 molecules of another gas. Such a low concentration of any component cannot have all these affects that we're blaming on carbon dioxide.	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their powe 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerne 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily h 15 gas. Now 16 we replac 17 don't wan 18 Sc 19 just as an 20 right thing 21 Th	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not or any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my hding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural <i>v</i> , that's not a coal plant. But again, how are ing this power. There's a lot of people that t to have natural gas plants either. D I'm very concerned as a ratepayer and American citizen that we're not doing the gs for the right reasons. hank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is very small. 400 parts per million. That's four molecules of carbon for every 10,000 molecules of another gas. Such a low concentration of any component cannot have all these affects that we're blaming on carbon dioxide. So I think the State needs to convene a	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerner 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily h 15 gas. Now 16 we replac 17 don't wan 18 Sc 19 just as an 20 right thing 21 Th 22 Cl	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my noting that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural w, that's not a coal plant. But again, how are ing this power. There's a lot of people that t to have natural gas plants either. D I'm very concerned as a ratepayer and American citizen that we're not doing the gs for the right reasons. mank you. HAIR DANNER: So thank you, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is very small. 400 parts per million. That's four molecules of carbon for every 10,000 molecules of another gas. Such a low concentration of any component cannot have all these affects that we're blaming on carbon dioxide. So I think the State needs to convene a panel of scientists to study a global warming problem	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerner 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily h 15 gas. Now 16 we replac 17 don't wan 18 Sc 19 just as an 20 right thing 21 Th 22 Cl 23 Sc	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my nding that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural <i>v</i> , that's not a coal plant. But again, how are ing this power. There's a lot of people that t to have natural gas plants either. D I'm very concerned as a ratepayer and American citizen that we're not doing the gs for the right reasons. nank you. HAIR DANNER: So thank you, sir. D, next up is Sara Aponicolou. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	engineering, and I have nearly a half a century of engineering experience in the utility and petrochemical industries. Unfortunately, all my comments have been covered by a by some of the previous presenters. And I agree with them all. And I urge the Commission to reject the sale of Unit 4 basically because it's going to increase electric rates and perhaps even force industry to leave our state. Boeing is already making noise about leaving. If the Governor passes the carbon tax, I guarantee you Boeing and every other major company will leave this state also. In addition, I'm not sure we are approaching this problem of global warming correctly. Everybody blames carbon dioxide. However, the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere is very small. 400 parts per million. That's four molecules of carbon for every 10,000 molecules of another gas. Such a low concentration of any component cannot have all these affects that we're blaming on carbon dioxide. So I think the State needs to convene a	2 going to h 3 Ar 4 in Califorr 5 their power 6 blackouts 7 don't know 8 concerner 9 we're sell 10 going buy 11 Ar 12 understar 13 have gon 14 primarily h 15 gas. Now 16 we replace 17 don't wan 18 Sc 19 just as an 20 right thing 21 Th 22 Cl 23 Sc 24 know if l p	what's the impact of CO2 versus how much we're have to pay extra for this power. Ind I'm also worried about what we've seen his where they mandated the where they get er from, and it's resulted in rolling . And they have a lot more sunlight, and I w about wind but than we do. So I'm d that we have a plan to replace this power that ing after 2025 when we say we're we're not any more from Colstrip. Ind finally, as George says, it's my noting that the levels of CO2 in the environment e down in the US over the last five or six years because we generate more power from natural w, that's not a coal plant. But again, how are ing this power. There's a lot of people that t to have natural gas plants either. D I'm very concerned as a ratepayer and American citizen that we're not doing the gs for the right reasons. mank you. HAIR DANNER: So thank you, sir.

6 (Pages 275 to 278)

Docket No. UE-200115 - Vol. V - 10/8/2020

	Page 279		Page 281
1	So Sara, are you there?	1	Northwestern Energy.
2	MS. APONICOLOU: I am.	2	Northwestern Energy is a backwards-looking
3	CHAIR DANNER: Did I pronounce your name	3	company. I like to think that PSE is a forward-looking
4	correctly?	4	company. Northwestern Energy wants to run an aging,
5	MS. APONICOLOU: You were quite close.	5	expensive coal-burning plant until 2042. And the public
6	Aponicolou.	6	service commission here in Montana wants to allow them.
7	CHAIR DANNER: That wasn't close at all.	7	Don't let them. Don't sell.
8	MS. APONICOLOU: It was a good effort.	8	Northwestern Energy is the only utility
9	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.	9	betting on the future of dirty coal in the nation. We
10	MS. APONICOLOU: So, yeah, I very much	10	in Missoula County have a 100 percent clean electricity
11	appreciate the opportunity to submit comments today. I	11	goal by 2030. We would like you to help us meet those
12	am located, a PSE ratepayer located in Woodinville, and	12	goals. Please shut down Colstrip by 2025.
13	also a graduate student in the energy and climate policy	13	We stand in solidarity with the other
14	program at Johns Hopkins.	14	ratepayers in Washington State. Thank you very much for
15	I strongly urge the UTC to deny the proposed	15	your time.
16	sale of PSE's Colstrip asset. This sale would neither	16	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for your comments.
17	benefit PSE customers, whose pricing would increase, nor	17	All right. Frank Damiano, are you there?
18	our state's efforts to decarbonize.	18	MR. DAMIANO: Here I am.
19	Allowing Unit 4 to continue to run would	19	CHAIR DANNER: Go ahead, sir.
20	result in 85 million tons of CO2 being emitted	20	MR. DAMIANO: All right.
21	additionally. And this flies in the face of the Clean	21	I'm not nearly as well prepared as most of
22	Energy Transformation Act, or CETA, and continues us on	22	the speakers. So I just dot down a few thoughts.
23	the wrong track in the climate crisis.	23	Well, I'm a Puget Sound Energy ratepayer.
24	Additionally, the sale does include	24	I'm not a scientist. Puget Sound Energy routinely
25	undervalued transmissions assets, as others have brought	25	boasts that they use more green energy than any other
	Page 280		Page 282
1		1	
1	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future	1	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't
2	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond.	2	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see
2 3	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional	2 3	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing
2 3 4	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid	2 3 4	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have
2 3 4 5	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon	2 3 4 5	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available.
2 3 4 5 6	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of	2 3 4 5 6	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a
2 3 4 5 6 7	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels.	2 3 4 5 6 7	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me? CHAIR DANNER: I can, thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming. I'd urge you not to sell Colstrip and please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming. I'd urge you not to sell Colstrip and please take a look at this spinning top theory. It would be an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me? CHAIR DANNER: I can, thank you. MS. ABRAMS: Great. Thank you, commissioner.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming. I'd urge you not to sell Colstrip and please
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me? CHAIR DANNER: I can, thank you. MS. ABRAMS: Great. Thank you, commissioner. I would like to introduce myself. My name	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming. I'd urge you not to sell Colstrip and please take a look at this spinning top theory. It would be an eye opener. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me? CHAIR DANNER: I can, thank you. MS. ABRAMS: Great. Thank you, commissioner. I would like to introduce myself. My name is Carla Abrams, and I live in Missouri, Montana. I'm a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming. I'd urge you not to sell Colstrip and please take a look at this spinning top theory. It would be an eye opener.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me? CHAIR DANNER: I can, thank you. MS. ABRAMS: Great. Thank you, commissioner. I would like to introduce myself. My name is Carla Abrams, and I live in Missouri, Montana. I'm a ratepayer with Northwestern Energy.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming. I'd urge you not to sell Colstrip and please take a look at this spinning top theory. It would be an eye opener. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Appreciate your comments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me? CHAIR DANNER: I can, thank you. MS. ABRAMS: Great. Thank you, commissioner. I would like to introduce myself. My name is Carla Abrams, and I live in Missouri, Montana. I'm a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming. I'd urge you not to sell Colstrip and please take a look at this spinning top theory. It would be an eye opener. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Appreciate your comments.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 up, that reduce our region's ability to access future renewable resources from Montana and beyond. The growing intensity of our regional wildfires act as a reminder of the need for rapid reductions in carbon emissions, and it is incumbent upon our utilities, PSE included, to lead the transition of fossil fuels. Our children's future is at stake, and for these reasons I strongly urge you to deny this proposed sale. Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit comment today. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you for offering your comments this evening. Let me turn to Carla Abrams, are you there? MS. ABRAMS: Yes, hi. Can you hear me? CHAIR DANNER: I can, thank you. MS. ABRAMS: Great. Thank you, commissioner. I would like to introduce myself. My name is Carla Abrams, and I live in Missouri, Montana. I'm a ratepayer with Northwestern Energy. And I'm not a Washington resident, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 utility. Yet our rates continue to increase. I don't see I don't see how paying off I mean, I don't see how selling Colstrip is going to be helpful to reducing our rates by eliminating a natural resource that we have available. And as I was saying, although I'm not a scientist, I did try investigating, and I have learned of when I get stressed, because I have a brain injury, I have trouble speaking. Please be patient. CHAIR DANNER: Take your time, sir. MR. DAMIANO: I've done some investigating. And there's a theory, a scientific theory called the spinning top theory, which if you get a chance to watch it, it explains how these how global warming makes more sense than the concept of greenhouse gases causing global warming. I'd urge you not to sell Colstrip and please take a look at this spinning top theory. It would be an eye opener. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. Appreciate your comments.

7 (Pages 279 to 282)

	Page 283		Page 285
1	Lori McCole, you signed in wishing to speak,	1	regionally access renewable energy.
2	but we already heard from you this afternoon. So I'm	2	And the sale goes against our community
3	I'm hesitant, especially since you already got a written	3	values, because I believe our values is that a utility
4	letter in the docket as well. Is there something you	4	should be responsible and be addressing the needs of the
5	didn't tell us before that you wanted to tell us again?	5	community and should care for our pocketbook and our
6	Okay. I'm not seeing that she's on the	6	future, as well as their own investors' bottom line.
7	line.	7	So I'll just finish my comments. To quote
8	I'm going to go back up to the list that	8	the last paragraph from the Fitzgibbon letter, Our goal
9	takes us through those currently signed in. And I'm	9	in passing the Clean Energy Transmission Act was to
10	going to call on names that we called before that didn't	10	reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's
11	answer.	11	energy use is exacerbating them.
12	Frank Turner, are you on the line?	12	We urge and I urge that UTC to use the
13	All right. Mary Painter, are you on the	13	authority available to you to accelerate the full
14	line?	14	closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible.
15	Paul Pickett, are you on the line?	15	And that's all. Thank you very much.
16	MR. PICKETT: Yes, sir. Can you hear me?	16	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you, sir.
17	CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can.	17	l appreciate it.
18	MR. PICKETT: Okay. Very good. Thank you.	18	And let me ask now if Dawn Marsh is on the
19	So, my name is Paul Pickett. I am a	19	line? Dawn Marsh are you on the line?
20	Thurston County resident and a PSE customer. I'm also	20	All right, Kelly Slater, are you on the
21	former Thurston Public Utility District Commissioner.	21	line?
22	And I also wanted to start my comments by	22	Reina Holtz.
23	saying that I support and concur with the letter from	23	MS. HOLTZ: Yes.
24	Representative Joe Fitzgibbon dated September 16, 2020.	24	CHAIR DANNER: Okay.
25	His letter covers important points that I would like to	25	MS. HOLTZ: I'm on the line. Can you hear
	· ·		
	Page 284		Page 286
1	add to the record by reference and give my support for	1	me?
1 2	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he	1 2	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead.
	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on.	2 3	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about
2	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from	2 3 4	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates.
2 3 4 5	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down,	2 3 4 5	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate
2 3 4 5 6	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and	2 3 4 5 6	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to	2 3 4 5 6 7	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's
2 3 5 7 8 9 10	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell game to shift costs and increase them for customers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days ago, written by Tom Leute, which says that most of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell game to shift costs and increase them for customers. The sale is bad for the environment because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days ago, written by Tom Leute, which says that most of the owners of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 are from Washington and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell game to shift costs and increase them for customers. The sale is bad for the environment because it continues the plant's emissions as carbon pollution.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days ago, written by Tom Leute, which says that most of the owners of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 are from Washington and Oregon and have the backing of their states to try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell game to shift costs and increase them for customers. The sale is bad for the environment because it continues the plant's emissions as carbon pollution. An immediate shift to renewable energy is needed to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days ago, written by Tom Leute, which says that most of the owners of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 are from Washington and Oregon and have the backing of their states to try to close down the remaining two Colstrip units.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell game to shift costs and increase them for customers. The sale is bad for the environment because it continues the plant's emissions as carbon pollution. An immediate shift to renewable energy is needed to protect our future generations, and that includes my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days ago, written by Tom Leute, which says that most of the owners of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 are from Washington and Oregon and have the backing of their states to try to close down the remaining two Colstrip units. And it's unfortunate. Now PSE wants to sell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell game to shift costs and increase them for customers. The sale is bad for the environment because it continues the plant's emissions as carbon pollution. An immediate shift to renewable energy is needed to protect our future generations, and that includes my grandchildren's future.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days ago, written by Tom Leute, which says that most of the owners of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 are from Washington and Oregon and have the backing of their states to try to close down the remaining two Colstrip units. And it's unfortunate. Now PSE wants to sell the plant to the one owner that does not want to shut it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell game to shift costs and increase them for customers. The sale is bad for the environment because it continues the plant's emissions as carbon pollution. An immediate shift to renewable energy is needed to protect our future generations, and that includes my grandchildren's future. In addition to the sale of transmission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days ago, written by Tom Leute, which says that most of the owners of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 are from Washington and Oregon and have the backing of their states to try to close down the remaining two Colstrip units. And it's unfortunate. Now PSE wants to sell the plant to the one owner that does not want to shut it down and wants to run it until 2042. And the ratepayers
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	add to the record by reference and give my support for that. And you should seriously consider the comments he makes in that letter. I think they are spot on. I would like to provide a few comments from a little higher level. When you break this all down, PSE's core purpose is to make money for investors, and that's why we have the UTC who's core purpose is to protect the public. And I would like to offer that the UTC should protect the public through the concept of the triple bottom line. And the triple bottom line asks the questions, is it good for the economy? Is it good for the environment? And is it good for our community values? I think if you examine it from those three points, this sale is bad at all three. It's bad financially for customers because it's basically a shell game to shift costs and increase them for customers. The sale is bad for the environment because it continues the plant's emissions as carbon pollution. An immediate shift to renewable energy is needed to protect our future generations, and that includes my grandchildren's future.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	me? CHAIR DANNER: Yes, I can. Go ahead. MS. HOLTZ: Okay. I sent in a comment about a week ago, but I have updates. I do believe in the science of climate change. I do believe that the the news stories I'm reading from countries all around the world, especially in Europe, and the progress they have made to move to renewable energy shows that its workable and it's affordable. And it has actually created jobs, and it will create jobs for us as well. I wholly support the Clean Energy Transmission Act, and I want to say that I feel that the agreement that PSE proposes is not consistent with any of those things. There was an article in a Butte Newspaper, the Montana Standard, written two days three days ago, written by Tom Leute, which says that most of the owners of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 are from Washington and Oregon and have the backing of their states to try to close down the remaining two Colstrip units. And it's unfortunate. Now PSE wants to sell the plant to the one owner that does not want to shut it

8 (Pages 283 to 286)

	Page 287		Page 289
1		1	this proposal because it would have an awful impact on
1 2	Apparently PSE well, three points about this. The way the agreement is written, basically it	2	the customers not just of Puget Sound Energy but of any
3	would be difficult or impossible for PSE to leverage	3	other Washington customers who are served by utilities
4	early retirement of Colstrip 4, and that, of course, is	4	that have ownership in the Colstrip plant and its
5	what we want in this state. That's the goal of our act.	5	transmission lines.
6	So that's not acceptable. We need to shut it down, not	6	As an Evista customer, I fear for what it
7	sell it.	7	would mean to be the customer of a minority owner
8		8	utility for the Colstrip plant whose majority owner has
9	My second point is we need to keep that transmission line. If we sell it, we will be paying	9	no immediate plan to shut down a plant thia is losing
10	extra for all the clean energy that we might want to get	10	money. Evista customers would likely be forced to
11	from Montana, which has many potential clean energy	11	either take a bad deal with Northwestern or participate
12	sources. Plus, the way PSE and Northwestern Energy are	12	in the continued pollution of Montana's air and a water.
13	proposing to do this, we would be buying energy from	13	This is simply a shuffling of problems and costs on to
14	that dirty plant until 2025, and then the transmission	14	their future, and our children would not thank us if we
15	would cost us extra. So we would be in a worst position	15	allowed this sale to occur.
16	as ratepayers.	16	Puget Sound Energy does not need to sell its
17	My third point is that the ratepayers are	17	ownership of Colstrip in order to meet the requirements
18	going to basically pay all of the expenses for this	18	of the Clean Energy Transformation Act. It has other
19	agreement, and this agreement, for its 25 percent share	19	options, options that would be more beneficial both for
20	of Unit 4, we are PSE is proposing to pay one dollar,	20	its customers and for the future generations of this
21	or they are proposing to charge one dollar. And	21	planet.
22	according to this article, it then plans to require its	22	Finally, while the Colstrip plant is both
23	customers to make up the difference between the one	23	costly in polluting air and the water, the transmission
24	dollar sale and the \$85 million value of the 25 percent	24	lines are not. These lines could be used to carry
25	share that it's selling to Northwestern Energy.	25	energy from cheaper and cleaner energy sources. And
	share that it's sening to Northwestern Energy.		
	Page 288		5 000
	5		Page 290
1	If this article is accurate, it makes	1	Page 290 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a
1 2		1 2	
	If this article is accurate, it makes		including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a
2	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either.	2	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and
2 3	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very	2 3	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers.
2 3 4	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that	2 3 4	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these
2 3 4 5	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now.	2 3 4 5	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar
2 3 4 5 6	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines	2 3 4 5 6	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying	2 3 4 5 6 7	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have signed up on the first sheet.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm a member of 350 Spokane and of their interface climate change committee.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have signed up on the first sheet. I'm moving to the supplemental, and I see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm a member of 350 Spokane and of their interface climate change committee. And so I want to address some of the ethical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have signed up on the first sheet. I'm moving to the supplemental, and I see Trenton Miller. Are you there, sir?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm a member of 350 Spokane and of their interface climate change committee. And so I want to address some of the ethical issues of this proposed sale and what would happen
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have signed up on the first sheet. I'm moving to the supplemental, and I see Trenton Miller. Are you there, sir? MR. MILLER: Yes, I'm here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm a member of 350 Spokane and of their interface climate change committee. And so I want to address some of the ethical issues of this proposed sale and what would happen if Puget Sound Energy, which has 25 percent of the value
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have signed up on the first sheet. I'm moving to the supplemental, and I see Trenton Miller. Are you there, sir? MR. MILLER: Yes, I'm here. CHAIR DANNER: Yes, go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm a member of 350 Spokane and of their interface climate change committee. And so I want to address some of the ethical issues of this proposed sale and what would happen if Puget Sound Energy, which has 25 percent of the value there, and Northwestern has 30 percent now. With the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have signed up on the first sheet. I'm moving to the supplemental, and I see Trenton Miller. Are you there, sir? MR. MILLER: Yes, I'm here. CHAIR DANNER: Yes, go ahead. MR. MILLER: Hi. My name is Trenton Miller.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm a member of 350 Spokane and of their interface climate change committee. And so I want to address some of the ethical issues of this proposed sale and what would happen if Puget Sound Energy, which has 25 percent of the value there, and Northwestern has 30 percent now. With the sale, that would give Northwestern 65 percent share,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have signed up on the first sheet. I'm moving to the supplemental, and I see Trenton Miller. Are you there, sir? MR. MILLER: Yes, I'm here. CHAIR DANNER: Yes, go ahead. MR. MILLER: Hi. My name is Trenton Miller.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm a member of 350 Spokane and of their interface climate change committee. And so I want to address some of the ethical issues of this proposed sale and what would happen if Puget Sound Energy, which has 25 percent of the value there, and Northwestern has 30 percent now. With the sale, that would give Northwestern 65 percent share, which would be a majority share, and they could decide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	If this article is accurate, it makes absolutely no sense financially for ratepayers, either. It's and every way that you look at it, it is a very bad idea. I've been a PSE ratepayer for 45 years now. I live out on Vashon Island in Washington. I feel that we need to shut this plant down. We do not need to be selling it. And we need to keep our transmission lines to Washington, and it could come in very handy in trying to attain our goals of reducing the emissions. Thank you for the chance to speak. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. MS. HOLTZ: Hello. CHAIR DANNER: I'm here, yes. Thank you very much for your participation tonight. I appreciate it. We that looks like all those who have signed up on the first sheet. I'm moving to the supplemental, and I see Trenton Miller. Are you there, sir? MR. MILLER: Yes, I'm here. CHAIR DANNER: Yes, go ahead. MR. MILLER: Hi. My name is Trenton Miller.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 including them as part of the Colstrip sale would be a bad financial decision for current Colstrip owners and their customers. Puget Sound Energy shouldn't let go of these valuable assets for the laughable price of one dollar and lump the cost of building new transmission lines on to their customers. Please follow the recommendations of the UTC staff and deny this request. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much for your participation this evening. Elizabeth Williams, are you there? MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, yes, I am. CHAIR DANNER: Good evening. Go ahead. MS. WILLIAMS: I also live in Spokane. I'm a member of 350 Spokane and of their interface climate change committee. And so I want to address some of the ethical issues of this proposed sale and what would happen if Puget Sound Energy, which has 25 percent of the value there, and Northwestern has 30 percent now. With the sale, that would give Northwestern 65 percent share,

9 (Pages 287 to 290)

	Page 291		Page 293
1	hearing is not a good idea because they are not	1	I this it's a well it's a well laid
2		2	out letter, and it refers to some of the legislation
3	interested in trying to uphold our 100 percent clean	3	that members of the State our State legislation have
	electricity law and trying to keep our climate change in		carefully crafted to help guide exactly these kinds of
4	check with all the coal and the dirty fuel that they	4	
5	use.	5	decisions that are being discussed here today.
6	Colstrip has been the number one greenhouse	6	And they are they are very clear in this
7	gas emissions in the west. And Colstrip is just trying	7	letter that the Legislature finds that Washington must
8	to circumvent the Washington State laws. So pushing it	8	address the impacts of climate change by leading the
9	out from 2025 to 2039 or 2042, just an unethical way	9	transition to clean energy economy. That's now state
10	to for them to try to make money at the expense of	10	law. RCW 19.405.030 requires that, quote, on or before
11	the environment and/or the ratepayers in Washington	11	December 31st, 2025, each electric utility must
12	State.	12	eliminate coal-fired resources from its allocation of
13	So I urge you not to allow this sale to go	13	electricity.
14	through. Bye. Thank you.	14	So many good points that are raised here.
15	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much.	15	I'm glad other people are going to be able to share
16	Appreciate your your being available to provide	16	them. But they're concerned about passing cost on to
17	comments.	17	PSE customers.
18	Stephanie Barbie, are you on the line?	18	In terms of cleanup, additional cleanup that
19	MS. BARBIE: I am. Can you hear me?	19	could be required when Northwestern if Northwestern
20	CHAIR DANNER: Yes, we can. Thank you.	20	is allowed to buy it and continue to operate it. They
21	MS. BARBIE: Great. Hi. Thanks for letting	21	set aside 350 million for the cleanup of the coal ash
22	me speak.	22	waste, but it really wouldn't be fair for Puget Sound
23	I have a letter here that I would like to	23	Energy customers to have to pay for that solution.
24	read. It is from our state legislator. Is that okay if	24	But the sale of this plant really
25	I read it in its I would like to read it in its	25	circumvents the the intent of the laws that we've
		1	
-	Page 292		Page 294
1	entirety.	1	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other
2	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is	2	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants.
2 3	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from	2 3	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe
2 3 4	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of	2 3 4	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same
2 3 4 5	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House?	2 3 4 5	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is
2 3 4 5 6	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of	2 3 4 5 6	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana.
2 3 4 5 6 7	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created	2 3 4 5 6 7	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might just maybe if I can just highlight a couple things,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the RCW 19.405.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might just maybe if I can just highlight a couple things, if that's possible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the RCW 19.405. So I think I'll I'll close with that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might just maybe if I can just highlight a couple things, if that's possible. CHAIR DANNER: Sure, sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the RCW 19.405. So I think I'll I'll close with that. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might just maybe if I can just highlight a couple things, if that's possible. CHAIR DANNER: Sure, sure. MS. BARBIE: Yeah, so first of all, I do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the RCW 19.405. So I think I'll I'll close with that. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might just maybe if I can just highlight a couple things, if that's possible. CHAIR DANNER: Sure, sure. MS. BARBIE: Yeah, so first of all, I do feel also honored to be asked to read the letter. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the RCW 19.405. So I think I'll I'll close with that. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might just maybe if I can just highlight a couple things, if that's possible. CHAIR DANNER: Sure, sure. MS. BARBIE: Yeah, so first of all, I do feel also honored to be asked to read the letter. And I do want to appreciate that it's important to hear from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the RCW 19.405. So I think I'll I'll close with that. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might just maybe if I can just highlight a couple things, if that's possible. CHAIR DANNER: Sure, sure. MS. BARBIE: Yeah, so first of all, I do feel also honored to be asked to read the letter. And I do want to appreciate that it's important to hear from everybody here and that this is part of the record	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the RCW 19.405. So I think I'll I'll close with that. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entirety. CHAIR DANNER: So is this a letter that is already in the record? Is this the letter from from Representative Joe Fitzgibbon and 20 other members of the House? MS. BARBIE: It is. And it lays out some of the issues and refers to the laws that they have created to CHAIR DANNER: Yes. That letter is already in the record, and other speakers have have read from it. So MS. BARBIE: Okay. CHAIR DANNER: unless there's something in the letter you want to pinpoint and discuss, I would prefer that you not read the letter in the whole, because we have already got the benefit of that letter. MS. BARBIE: Okay. Okay. Well, I might just maybe if I can just highlight a couple things, if that's possible. CHAIR DANNER: Sure, sure. MS. BARBIE: Yeah, so first of all, I do feel also honored to be asked to read the letter. And I do want to appreciate that it's important to hear from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asked our legislators to create by allowing these other companies to operate the the coal-fired plants. One of the points that Representative Joe Fitzgibbon makes is that the molecule of CO2 has same impact on Washington's wildfire risk, whether it is emitted in Washington or in Montana. And the goal of passing the the Clean Energy Transformation Act was to reduce greenhouse gas emissions wherever Washington's energy use is exacerbating them. So their final comment on the letter is that they urge the UTC to use the authority available to you, meaning the members of the UTC, to accelerate the full closure of Colstrip as quickly as possible. And I just also want to mention that the letter does thank the Commissioners and UTC staff in their assistance in drafting the rules to implement the RCW 19.405. So I think I'll I'll close with that. Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very much.

	Page 295		Page 297
1	it. And thank you very much for again bringing it to	1	electricity could and likely would be extended past
2	our attention.	2	2025.
3 4	MS. BARBIE: Thank you. CHAIR DANNER: Let me now ask Mr. Cupp or	3	My question is, why is a for-profit company selling this company as a grossly depreciated value of
4 5	Ms. Feeser, do we have anyone else who has signed up to	5	one dollar. As a residential real estate broker selling
6	speak to us tonight?	6	property in the Seattle and eastside areas for the last
7	MS. FEESER: Chair, this is Bridget Feeser.	7	20 years, I have never seen a listing price as low as
8	We do not have any other names signed up at this time.	8	this on real estate.
9	CHAIR DANNER: Let me ask then if there is	9	From the Montana Department of Environmental
10	anyone on the line who intended to speak tonight but not	10	Quality website, it states that the six utility
11	did not sign up ahead of time.	11	companies that jointly own this plant paid over
12	MS. KIM: My name is Jane (audio	12	\$13 million in property taxes to the City of Colstrip
13	disruption). I did sign up. I called in (audio	13	and the County of Rosebud.
14	disruption).	14	The one dollar sales price does not make any
15	CHAIR DANNER: Can you mute your phone for a	15	sense unless PSE is trying to rid themselves of a
16	second. Your microphone may be in front of a speaker or	16	long-term liability. (Audio disruption) PSE and its
17	some other thing. We're getting feedback.	17	ratepayers, the Commission should find that the current
18	MS. KIM: Is that better?	18	and future operation of Colstrip Units 3 and 4 is not in
19	CHAIR DANNER: That's much better. Thank	19	the best interest of the public.
20	you very much.	20 21	The prudent course of action would be to
21	MS. KIM: Sorry. My name is Jane Kim, and I	21	dismantle Units 3 and 4, cease energy production in one of the dirtiest energy facilities in the western United
22	signed up. I called in to be on the roster, but it	23	States, and sell the parts to help fund the cleanup of
23 24	doesn't look like you have my on your list. I would like to speak.	24	the environmental devastation it has caused.
25	CHAIR DANNER: Absolutely. And I apologize	25	My family and I thank you for protecting the
	Of Mill DANNER. Absolutely. And Papologize		
	Page 296		Demo 200
			Page 298
1	that we didn't get you on the list.	1	interests of our community and cities in helping to
1 2	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead.	1 2	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply.
	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a	2 3	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much.
2 3 4	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in	2 3 4	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I
2 3 4 5	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County.	2 3 4 5	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already.
2 3 4 5 6	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with	2 3 4 5 6	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to
2 3 4 5 6 7	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that	2 3 4 5 6 7	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates, continued operation, increases this liability, incurring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. CHAIR DANNER: Do you want to again give the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates, continued operation, increases this liability, incurring debts that will be paid by our children and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. CHAIR DANNER: Do you want to again give the give the e-mail address or other ways that they can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates, continued operation, increases this liability, incurring debts that will be paid by our children and grandchildren. And we have talked about CETA, requiring electric utilities to eliminate coal-fired electricity	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. CHAIR DANNER: Do you want to again give the give the e-mail address or other ways that they can contact us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates, continued operation, increases this liability, incurring debts that will be paid by our children and grandchildren. And we have talked about CETA, requiring electric utilities to eliminate coal-fired electricity by 2025. Transition the State's electricity supply to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. CHAIR DANNER: Do you want to again give the give the e-mail address or other ways that they can contact us. MR. CUPP: Yes. You can you can submit a comment. There's a submit a comment link on our home page at www.utc.wa.gov. Our e-mail address for comments
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates, continued operation, increases this liability, incurring debts that will be paid by our children and grandchildren. And we have talked about CETA, requiring electric utilities to eliminate coal-fired electricity by 2025. Transition the State's electricity supply to 100 percent carbon neutral by 2030 and 100 percent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. CHAIR DANNER: Do you want to again give the give the e-mail address or other ways that they can contact us. MR. CUPP: Yes. You can you can submit a comment. There's a submit a comment link on our home page at www.utc.wa.gov. Our e-mail address for comments is comments@utc.wa.gov.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates, continued operation, increases this liability, incurring debts that will be paid by our children and grandchildren. And we have talked about CETA, requiring electric utilities to eliminate coal-fired electricity by 2025. Transition the State's electricity supply to 100 percent carbon neutral by 2030 and 100 percent carbon free by 2045.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. CHAIR DANNER: Do you want to again give the give the e-mail address or other ways that they can contact us. MR. CUPP: Yes. You can you can submit a comment. There's a submit a comment link on our home page at www.utc.wa.gov. Our e-mail address for comments is comments@utc.wa.gov.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates, continued operation, increases this liability, incurring debts that will be paid by our children and grandchildren. And we have talked about CETA, requiring electric utilities to eliminate coal-fired electricity by 2025. Transition the State's electricity supply to 100 percent carbon neutral by 2030 and 100 percent carbon free by 2045. It is my understanding that if this sale 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. CHAIR DANNER: Do you want to again give the give the e-mail address or other ways that they can contact us. MR. CUPP: Yes. You can you can submit a comment. There's a submit a comment link on our home page at www.utc.wa.gov. Our e-mail address for comments is comments@utc.wa.gov. Our toll-free number, is 1-888-333-9882. And our mailing address is P.O. Box 47250, Olympia
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that we didn't get you on the list. So go ahead. MS. KIM: My name is Jane Kim. I am a longtime PSE ratepayer in King County, as well as in Island County. I am not an expert, but I do agree with everyone that has spoken this evening. I believe that PSE's proposed sale of its share of Colstrip Unit 4 is not in the economic interest of PSE consumers. As Colstrip Unit 3 and 4 continue to pollute the air in nearby aquifers, the plant has already poisoned the town's drinking water, PSE ratepayers will be obligated to pay for future cleanup efforts. The magnitude of this liability is already hundreds of millions of dollars included in our electricity rates, continued operation, increases this liability, incurring debts that will be paid by our children and grandchildren. And we have talked about CETA, requiring electric utilities to eliminate coal-fired electricity by 2025. Transition the State's electricity supply to 100 percent carbon neutral by 2030 and 100 percent carbon free by 2045.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	interests of our community and cities in helping to achieve clean and cost-effective energy supply. Thank you so much. CHAIR DANNER: Thank you. And again, I apologize that we didn't get you on the list already. And I'm glad you were able to provide your comments to us. Is there anyone else on the line tonight who did not sign in who wishes to comment before the Commission? Okay. I am hearing nothing. I want to remind folks that we will be taking written public comment through John Cupp, the date was the 22nd of November? MR. CUPP: Yes, sir. CHAIR DANNER: Do you want to again give the give the e-mail address or other ways that they can contact us. MR. CUPP: Yes. You can you can submit a comment. There's a submit a comment link on our home page at www.utc.wa.gov. Our e-mail address for comments is comments@utc.wa.gov.

11 (Pages 295 to 298)

	Page 299		Page 301
1	most staff are working remotely and it could take awhile	1	CERTIFICATE
2	for us to get our mail.	2	
3	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you for	3	
4	that.	4	STATE OF WASHINGTON)
5	And the office of the public counsel and the) ss.
6	office of the attorney general is representing the	5	COUNTY OF KITSAP)
7	residential and small business customers in this	6	
8	proceeding. And if you have questions, you can contact	7	I, CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, a Certified Court
9	public counsel's office.	8	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do hereby
10	With that, let me turn to my colleagues and	9	certify that the foregoing transcript of the remote
11	see if either of them have any any remarks they would	10	deposition of Public Comment Hearing on OCTOBER 8, 2020,
12	like to make before we adjourn for the evening.	11 12	and accurate to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
13	Commissioner Rendahl.	13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	COMMISSIONER RENDAHL: Good evening,	14	and seal this 28th day of October, 2020.
15	everyone. Thank you so much for your comments, and I	15	
16	appreciate your willingness to stick with it and make	16	
17	sure you get your comments heard.	17	Gray Just Conferences / 150 - Sound
18	So thank you very much. And I appreciate	18	CRYSTAL R. McAULIFFE, RPR, CCR #2121
19	you being involved.	19	
20	CHAIR DANNER: Thank you very much.	20	
21	And Commissioner Balasbas, anything to add?	21	
22	COMMISSIONER BALASBAS: No. Thank you,	22	
23	Chair Danner.	23	
24	And I just want to thank everyone who made	24	
25	their comments both in this evening's session and as	25	
	Page 300		
1	well as the one earlier this afternoon.		
2	CHAIR DANNER: All right. Thank you very		
3	much.		
4	Mr. Cupp, anything else before us this		
5	evening?		
6	MR. CUPP: No, sir. Thank you very much.		
7	Thank you for muting your mics and following		
8	all those rules. Made it go well. Thank you.		
9	THE COURT: Without further ado then, we are		
10	adjourned.		
11	Thank you all.		
12			
13			
14	(Public Hearing Session 2 concluded		
15	at 7:06 p.m.)		
16			
17			
18			
19	-0-		
20	-000-		
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
2.0			
_			

12 (Pages 299 to 301)

Α	ago 286:4,18	288:15 291:16	awful 289:1	betting 281:9
A-T-G 257:17	agree 276:6 277:20	292:23 299:16,18	awhile 299:1	beyond 268:9
ability 259:9 280:1	296:6	approaches 277:4		280:2
284:25 301:12	agreement 258:19	approaching	<u> </u>	bill 263:12 269:5
able 257:7 261:13	264:22,25 268:17	276:14	back 256:5 262:10	273:9
268:1 269:6	271:13 274:19	approve 270:22	264:22 268:17	Billings 272:10
293:15 298:6	275:5 286:14	aquifers 265:5	271:14 273:10	bit 257:9
Abrams 278:25	287:2,19,19	296:11	274:1,20 283:8	blackouts 263:24
280:15,16,18,21	ahead 257:3 263:19	areas 297:6	background 258:6	278:6
absolutely 288:2	266:3 267:17,20	arrangement 272:8	backing 286:20	blames 276:16
295:25	274:14 275:22	275:5	backwards-looki	blaming 276:21
accelerate 285:13	277:16 281:19	article 272:12	281:2	board 268:23
294:13	286:2 288:22	286:16 287:22	bad 265:10 284:16	boasts 281:25
acceptable 287:6	290:15 295:11	288:1	284:16,19 288:4	Bobby 282:23
-	296:2	ash 270:9 293:21	289:11 290:2	Boeing 276:10,12
access 266:25	air 265:5 289:12,23	aside 270:8 293:21	Bainbridge 264:13	bottom 284:11,11
267:1 280:1 285:1	296:11	asked 274:2 292:22	Baked 264:21	285:6
accurate 274:24	allocation 293:12	294:1	Balasbas 256:16	Box 259:15 298:24
288:1 301:11	allow 281:6 291:13	asking 265:12	262:18 299:21,22	brain 282:8
achieve 298:2	allowed 289:15	269:15	Barbie 291:18,19	break 284:5
act 258:19 259:20	293:20	asks 284:11	291:21 292:6,12	Bridget 295:7
264:13,19 266:19		asset 272:4 279:16	292:17,21 295:3	bringing 295:1
273:9 279:22	allowing 279:19 294:1		basically 264:6,7	broker 297:5
280:4 285:9		assets 258:10 267:5	276:7 284:17	
286:13 287:5	allows 267:1	267:9 279:25	287:2,18	brought 279:25
289:18 294:8	alphabet 261:3	290:5	basis 275:3	Bruno 274:9
action 297:20	American 278:19	assistance 294:17	basis 275.5 battle 269:25 270:4	building 267:6
add 284:1 299:21	amount 268:5	associated 266:10		290:6
addition 271:22	analysis 277:25	atmosphere 276:17	began 256:9	business 256:25
276:14 284:24	and/or 291:11	attain 288:10	beginning 262:21	299:7
additional 260:4	Ann 256:16	attempt 269:2	269:15	Butte 286:16
273:16 293:18	answer 257:15	attend 257:7	behalf 256:23	buy 264:22 268:17
additionally 266:24	259:21 283:11	attending 257:6	believe 285:3 286:5	271:14,16 273:10
279:21,24	answering 257:10	attention 277:7,19	286:6 296:7	274:20 278:10
address 257:2,15	anybody 261:8	295:2	believes 258:17	293:20
259:15,25 290:19	apologize 295:25	attorney 299:6	Bellevue 274:16	buying 287:13
293:8 298:17,21	298:5	audio 261:12	Bellingham 266:6	Bye 291:14
298:24	Aponicolou 278:23	295:12,13 297:16	bench 276:25	
addressing 285:4	279:2,5,6,8,10	authority 285:13	beneficial 289:19	C
adjourn 299:12	Apparently 287:1	294:12	benefit 266:21	C 301:1,1
adjourned 300:10	appears 277:3	authorizing 255:6	277:25 279:17	California 278:4
ado 300:9	application 255:4	256:11	292:16	call 259:14 260:11
advisement 264:8	256:10	available 282:5	benefits 258:25	260:23 283:10
affordable 286:10	appreciate 265:23	285:13 291:16	benefitting 265:14	called 260:11,17,19
afternoon 256:8	267:13,25 272:21	294:12	best 273:14 297:19	261:18 274:1
257:7 283:2 300:1	277:7 279:11	avoid 269:24	301:11	282:12 283:10
	282:21 285:17	aware 294:25	better 295:18,19	295:13,22
aging 269:11 281:4	202.21 203.17	/	Í Í	

i				8
calling 260:15	279:3,7,9 280:13	cleanup 265:3	273:10,19 278:10	committee 290:18
camera 262:10	280:17 281:16,19	270:8 271:20	279:16 280:25	community 284:13
cameras 269:15,16	282:10,21 283:17	273:16 293:18,18	281:12 282:3,17	285:2,5 298:1
269:18	285:16,24 286:2	293:21 296:13	285:14 286:19,21	companies 294:2
capacity 268:9	288:12,14,22	297:23	287:4 289:4,8,17	297:11
carbon 276:11,16	290:11,15 291:15	clear 269:3,14,16	289:22 290:1,2	company 268:5,7
276:16,18,21	291:20 292:2,9,13	293:6	291:6,7 294:14	268:11,14,17
280:5 284:20	292:20 294:21	Clearly 264:24	296:8,10 297:12	269:7 276:12
296:22,23	295:4,7,9,15,19	clicking 260:9,12	297:18	281:3,4 297:3,4
care 285:5	295:25 298:4,16	260:14	Colstrip's 271:17	complete 262:8
careers 270:6	299:3,20,23 300:2	Clicks 282:24	come 257:9,14	component 276:20
carefully 293:4	chance 282:13	climate 264:14	288:9	computer 260:8
Carla 278:25	288:11	273:8 279:13,23	coming 267:13	concentration
280:15,21	change 286:6	286:5 290:17	comment 256:7,9	276:17,20
carry 289:24	290:18 291:3	291:3 293:8	258:4,7 259:6,8	concept 282:15
carrying 268:21	293:8	close 266:7 279:5,7	259:11,12 264:6	284:10
case 257:9 258:7	changes 277:23	286:21 294:19	274:10 275:7,17	concern 273:15
260:5 274:1	charge 271:3	closure 285:14	280:12 286:3	274:20
Catoula 282:23	287:21	294:14	294:11 298:9,13	concerned 277:21
cause 267:7	chat 260:20,22	CO2 278:1,12	298:20,20 301:10	278:8,18 293:16
caused 297:24	cheaper 289:25	279:20 294:4	comments 259:10	concerns 263:21,21
causing 282:15	check 291:4	coal 263:23 266:14	259:14,22 263:20	263:24 264:3
CCR 255:25	chemical 275:25,25	266:19 270:9	267:11 276:4	275:4
301:18	children 289:14	272:13 278:15	277:8 279:11	concluded 300:14
cease 297:21	296:17	281:9 291:4	280:14 281:16	concur 283:23
center 274:2	children's 280:8	293:21	282:22 283:22	condition 271:18
century 276:1	Chris 274:9	coal-burning 281:5	284:2,4 285:7	consider 265:12
certain 255:7	circumstances	coal-fired 293:12	291:17 298:6,21	284:2
256:12 258:10,24	272:4	294:2 296:20,25	299:15,17,25	considering 272:19
261:19	circumvent 291:8	coal-generated	comments@utc	consistent 286:14
Certified 301:7	circumvents	264:17,23	259:14 260:1	consumers 296:9
certify 301:9	293:25	coal-powered	298:22	contact 259:23,24
CETA 264:18	cities 298:1	274:22	commission 255:2	298:18 299:8
265:8 279:22	citizen 267:25	colleagues 256:15	256:15 258:3,23	context 257:10
296:19	278:19	299:10	276:6 281:6	continue 258:20
Chair 255:11 256:5	citizens 266:11	collect 265:17	297:17 298:10	265:2 279:19
256:14,22 257:3,5	City 297:12	Colstrip 255:7,7	commissioned	282:1 293:20
257:19 262:17,19	clean 258:18	256:12,13 258:9	271:25	296:10
262:25 263:10,15	264:19 266:18	258:10,11,15,20	commissioner	continued 289:12
263:18 264:2,4	267:6 269:5 273:9	258:24 259:8	256:15,16 262:17	296:16
265:22 266:1	279:21 281:10	264:15 265:4,9,9	262:17 277:6	continues 265:4
267:12,17,19	285:9 286:12	265:13,15,16,21	280:19 283:21	279:22 284:20
269:13 270:12	287:10,11 289:18	266:16,22,25	299:13,14,21,22	contrast 266:18
272:20 273:24	291:2 293:9 294:7	268:13,18 269:10	Commissioners	convene 276:22
274:6,14 275:9	298:2	270:9,23 271:8,10	257:10,12 259:7	core 284:6,7
277:8,16 278:22	cleaner 289:25	271:14,23 273:7	259:20 294:16,23	correctly 267:18
	l		l	I

				1 age 504
276:15 278:24	currently 277:3	date 259:10 298:14	dirty 281:9 287:14	289:11 299:11
279:4	283:9	dated 283:24	291:4	electric 276:8
cost 264:24 268:19	customer 266:5	Dave 255:11	discuss 292:14	293:11 296:20
271:17 272:13	283:20 288:25	256:14	discussed 293:5	electricity 264:17
277:25 287:15	289:6,7	Dawn 274:7,8	disingenuous 269:2	275:2 281:10
290:6 293:16	customers 256:24	285:18,19	dismantle 297:21	291:3 293:13
cost-effective 298:2	256:25 259:1,2	day 268:19 301:14	disruption 261:12	296:15,20,21
costing 259:2	265:20 268:8	days 286:17,17	295:13,14 297:16	290.15,20,21 297:1
costly 289:23	203.20 208.8	days 280.17,17 deal 264:20,22,25	District 283:21	elephant 280:24
costs 264:1 265:3	279:17 284:17,18	265:7 271:21	diversify 267:2	eliminate 293:12
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	289:11	do's 263:8	296:20
265:11 271:20	287:23 289:2,3,10			
272:11 273:17	289:20 290:3,7	debt 265:18	docket 255:4 256:9	eliminating 282:4
284:18 289:13	293:17,23 299:7	debts 296:17	283:4	Elizabeth 262:12
counsel 256:18,23	customers' 273:13	decarbonize	doing 277:25	262:15 290:13
256:24 259:24	D	279:18	278:19	Elliott 270:14,16
299:5		December 264:18	dollar 271:8,9	270:20
counsel's 299:9	damaging 284:25	293:11	287:20,21,24	eloquent 269:22
countries 286:7	Damiano 278:25	decide 290:24	290:5 297:5,14	emissions 280:5
County 281:10	281:17,18,20	decision 259:4	dollars 296:15	284:20 285:10
283:20 296:4,5	282:11	270:1,2 290:2	Domahide 275:12	288:10 291:7
297:13 301:5	Dan 266:4	decisions 293:5	275:14,18,18,23	294:9
couple 292:18	Danka 266:2	deck 266:2	275:24	emit 265:5
course 287:4	267:15,16,18,21	degree 275:25	dot 257:17,17,18	emitted 279:20
297:20	267:23	delay 259:19	281:22	294:6
Court 264:11	Danner 255:11	demonstrating	Doug 272:23	energy 255:5
273:21 275:21	256:5,14,22 257:5	268:13	273:22,23,24	258:13,16,18
300:9 301:7	257:19 262:17,19	deny 258:23 279:15	drafting 294:17	263:22 264:16,19
covered 276:5	262:25 263:10,15	280:9 288:25	drinking 296:12	265:16,19 266:5
covers 283:25	263:18 264:2,4	290:9	due 259:2	266:13,16,17,18
crafted 293:4	265:22 266:1	Department 297:9		266:24 267:3,6
create 286:11	267:12,17,19	deposition 301:10	E	268:16,19,24
294:1	269:13 270:12	depreciated 297:4	E 261:5 301:1,1	269:5,7 271:16,17
created 286:10	272:20 273:24	determination	e-mail 257:1,15	273:9 274:22
292:7	274:6,14 275:9	265:13	259:13,25,25	279:13,22 280:22
crisis 279:23	277:8,16 278:22	devastation 297:24	298:17,21	280:25 281:1,2,4
CRYSTAL 255:25	279:3,7,9 280:13	dictating 277:4	earlier 260:1	281:8,23,24,25
301:7,18	280:17 281:16,19	difference 271:9	298:25 300:1	284:21 285:1,9,11
Cupp 257:22,25	282:10,21 283:17	287:23	early 287:4	286:9,12 287:10
258:1 260:3	285:16,24 286:2	difficult 287:3	eastside 297:6	287:11,12,13,25
261:13,16,19,21	288:12,14,22	difficulties 260:22	economic 296:9	289:2,16,18,25,25
262:3,15,24	290:11,15 291:15	dioxide 276:16,16	economy 284:12	290:4,21 293:9,23
269:14 277:6	291:20 292:2,9,13	276:21	293:9	294:8,9 297:21,22
295:4 298:13,15	292:20 294:21	direct 266:18	effort 279:8	298:2
298:19 300:4,6	295:4,9,15,19,25	269:10	efforts 279:18	Energy's 255:6,7
current 288:24	298:4,16 299:3,20	directly 257:13	296:13	256:11,13
290:2 297:17	299:23 300:2	dirtiest 297:22	either 278:17 288:2	engineer 275:25
	•	•	•	•

	1	1		
engineering 276:1	extended 297:1	first 256:17 258:6	future 268:25	281:19 283:8
276:2	extra 278:2 287:10	274:19 288:18	270:5 271:24	286:2 288:22
ensure 266:10	287:15	292:21	280:1,8 281:9	290:4,15 291:13
entire 266:22	extremely 273:12	fiscal 272:15	284:22,23 285:6	296:2 300:8
entirety 292:1	eye 282:19	Fitzgibbon 283:24	289:14,20 296:13	goal 281:11 285:8
environment		285:8 292:4 294:4	297:18	287:5 294:7
272:18 278:12	F	five 258:11 278:13		goals 269:3 273:8
284:13,19 291:11	F 261:9 301:1	flies 279:21	G	281:12 288:10
environmental	face 279:21	flip 268:1	G-A-F 257:17	goes 285:2
271:20 297:9,24	facilities 266:9	folks 269:15 271:14	G-O-V 257:18	going 263:1,21,22
especially 283:3	284:25 297:22	298:12	Gafken 256:18,21	263:23,24,25
286:7	facility 269:11	follow 260:5 290:8	256:23 257:8,17	267:7 274:4 276:7
essential 268:21	272:17	followed 270:13	259:24	277:19 278:2,10
essentially 284:25	fact 259:1 262:21	275:12 278:25	game 284:18	282:3 283:8,10
estate 297:5,8	270:7	following 259:11	gas 264:1 276:19	286:25 287:18
ethical 290:19	fair 271:4 272:3	300:7	278:15,17 285:10	293:15
Europe 286:8	293:22	for-profit 297:3	291:7 294:8	gonna 274:22
evening 256:6,22	falling 268:19	force 271:15 276:8	gases 265:5 282:15	good 256:22 264:20
259:7 275:4,8	family 297:25	forced 272:16	Gazette 272:10	264:25 265:7
280:14 290:12,15	Farrell 272:23	289:10	general 299:6	279:8 283:18
296:7 299:12,14	273:3,4,5	foregoing 301:9	generate 277:23	284:12,12,13
300:5	fate 266:15	foreseeable 270:5	278:14	290:15 291:1
evening's 257:23	fear 289:6	formal 258:5	generated 258:20	293:14 299:14
258:4 299:25	feature 260:20,22	259:20	generation 275:3	Governor 276:11
everybody 276:15	February 258:8	formality 263:2	generations 284:22	graduate 279:13
292:24	feedback 295:17	former 283:21	289:20	grandchildren
Evista 288:25	feel 269:18 280:24	forward 264:8	gentlemen 275:23	296:18
289:6,10	286:13 288:6	forward-looking	George 275:12,14	grandchildren's
exacerbating	292:22	281:3	275:18,24 277:20	284:23
285:11 294:10	Feeser 295:5,7,7	fossil 275:2 280:7	278:11	great 257:5 267:1
exactly 267:22	fight 280:24	found 272:1	getting 259:19	280:18 291:21
293:4	filed 258:8,22	four 276:18	275:19 295:17	green 281:25
examine 284:15	filings 259:21	Frank 257:17	give 261:6 284:1	greenhouse 265:5
existing 267:4,9	final 294:11	270:13,15 278:25	290:23 298:16,17	282:15 285:10
expect 270:3	finally 268:23	281:17 283:12	Given 267:6	291:6 294:8
expected 259:4	272:6 278:11	free 260:2 269:18	glad 275:21 293:15	grossly 297:4
expense 291:10	289:22	296:23	298:6	growing 280:3
expenses 287:18	financial 271:3	friend 263:19	global 276:15,23	guarantee 276:12
expensive 281:5	290:2	266:7	277:2,4 282:14,16	guess 263:20
experience 276:2	financially 265:14	front 295:16	go 257:3,22 258:5	guide 293:4
expert 296:6	284:17 288:2	fuel 275:2 291:4	260:5,11,12,24	
explain 258:6	find 266:21 274:3	fuels 280:7	261:3 262:20	$\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{H}}$
explains 282:14	297:17	full 285:13 294:13	263:19 264:1,8	habit 274:1
export 266:20	finds 293:7	fund 297:23	266:3 267:17,19	half 258:13,14
exposes 270:9	fine 277:14	funding 265:9,13	269:22 274:14	276:1
expressed 275:4	finish 285:7	further 300:9	275:21 277:16	hand 263:5 301:13
	1	I	I	

			1	Ŭ
handy 288:9	House 273:9 292:5	intensity 280:3	262:19 264:5	learned 282:7
happen 274:3	Howell 272:23	intent 293:25	265:24 298:13	leave 270:11 276:9
290:20	273:22,23,24,25	intention 273:8	Johns 279:14	276:13
happy 257:14	hundreds 296:14	intentions 269:3	joined 256:15	leaving 276:11
Harry 261:15		interest 255:7	jointly 297:11	legal 269:24,25
274:12	<u> </u>	256:12,13 258:9	judges 259:20	270:4
headaches 267:8	Ian 266:2 269:20	258:12 259:8		legislation 293:2
hear 257:12 259:22	icon 260:10	264:15 270:23	K	legislator 291:24
261:3,13 263:8,11	idea 288:4 291:1	271:1,7,12,21	K 261:11	legislators 293:3
263:14 277:15	immediate 268:9	272:5,15 273:14	K-a-t-z 261:15,16	294:1
280:16 283:16	284:21 289:9	296:9 297:19	K-E-N 257:17	legislature 277:22
285:25 291:19	impact 277:24	interested 291:2	Katz 261:15,15,18	293:7
292:23	278:1 289:1 294:5	interests 255:6	274:12,13,15	Let's 256:5
heard 283:2 299:17	impacts 293:8	258:15 298:1	keep 265:16 287:8	letter 283:4,23,25
hearing 255:10	implement 294:17	interface 290:17	288:8 291:3	284:3 285:8
256:7 258:4 261:8	implies 273:15	interrupt 260:20	Keeping 272:14	291:23 292:2,3,9
264:6 274:5 291:1	important 283:25	introduce 256:20	Kelly 274:11	292:14,15,16,22
298:11 300:14	292:23	280:20	285:20	293:2,7 294:11,16
301:10	imported 266:15	investigating 282:7	keypad 260:16	294:24
heat 268:1	importing 266:13	282:11	Kim 295:12,18,21	letters 261:5
Hello 266:4 288:13	impossible 287:3	investment 265:10	295:21 296:3,3	letting 291:21
help 257:14 281:11	in-depth 274:17	investors 284:6	Kimberly 266:1	Leute 286:18
293:4 297:23	include 262:22	investors' 285:6	267:15,23	level 284:5
helpful 282:3	263:25 279:24	involved 264:21	kinds 293:4	levels 278:12
helping 298:1	included 280:6	270:6 299:19	King 296:4	leverage 287:3
hereunto 301:13	296:15	involvement 258:2	KITSAP 301:5	liability 270:10
hesitant 283:3	includes 284:22	irresponsible	know 263:22,25	296:14,16 297:16
hi 261:25 275:18	including 264:21	268:17	266:2 267:7 278:7	life 267:25
280:16 288:23	265:5 290:1	Island 264:13	278:24	light 268:1
291:21	increase 276:8	288:6 296:5	knowledge 301:11	Lind 263:13
higher 268:16,18	279:17 282:1	issue 259:4 270:24		Lindley 264:11,12
271:15,17,18	284:18	issues 267:8 290:20		264:12
273:11 284:5	increases 272:1	292:7	L-I-S-A 257:17	LINDSTROM
highlight 292:18	296:16		LACEY 256:2	263:14,16,20
hitting 260:18	increasing 272:11	J	Ladies 275:23	line 263:12 283:7
holding 274:5	incumbent 280:5	J 261:9	lady 277:21	283:12,14,15
holdings 258:24	incurring 296:16	Jane 263:13 264:11	laid 269:4 293:1	284:11,11 285:6
Holtz 275:11,13,13	industries 276:3	264:12 295:12,21	largest 269:2	285:19,19,21,25
285:22,23,25	industry 276:9	296:3	latest 258:21	287:9 291:18
286:3 288:13	information 259:25	January 259:5	laughable 290:5	295:10 298:8
home 259:12	260:4	Jay 256:16	law 291:3 293:10	lines 267:1 268:21
298:20	informed 274:17	jobs 286:10,11	laws 291:8 292:7	270:23 271:23
honored 292:22	274:17	Joe 283:24 292:4	293:25	273:7,12,19 288:8
hook 265:3 268:15	injury 282:9	294:3	lays 292:6	289:5,24,24 290:6
271:20 272:7	inspector 266:8	John 257:22,24	lead 280:6	link 259:12 298:20
Hopkins 279:14	intended 295:10	258:1 260:3	leading 293:8	Lisa 256:18,19,22

257:16	making 268:8	Miller 261:25	261:15,23 262:4	0
Lisa.gafken@atg	276:10	262:1,3 275:16	266:4 267:23	O 261:11
257:16	manage 271:2	277:10 288:20,21	274:3 277:12	o0o- 256:4 300:20
list 283:8 295:23	management	288:23,23	279:3 280:20	object 268:15
296:1 298:5	272:15	million 270:8	283:19 288:23	269:1
listen 264:6	mandated 273:8	271:10 276:18	295:12,21 296:3	obligated 296:13
listens 268:7	278:4	279:20 287:24	names 283:10	occur 275:6 289:15
listing 297:7	mandates 270:25	293:21 297:12	295:8	296:25
little 257:9 258:6	mandating 277:22	millions 296:15	nation 281:9	October 255:15
284:5	mangle 267:19	mining 272:13	natural 264:1	256:2,6 301:10,14
livable 268:24	mangled 277:12	minority 289:7	278:14,17 282:4	offer 284:9
live 264:13 266:5	market 264:23	minute 266:1	near 271:24	offering 280:13
267:23 274:16	271:17	270:19	nearby 296:11	office 259:18 299:5
280:21 288:6	Marsh 274:7,8	Missoula 281:10	nearly 274:17	299:6,9
290:16	285:18,19	Missouri 280:21	276:1 281:21	Oh 263:15
living 268:22	Martin 282:24	mistaken 274:23	necessary 258:17	okay 261:8,11,16
LLC 258:14	Mary 270:14	moderator 258:3	need 259:17 266:21	261:21 262:3,4,7
located 279:12,12	272:22,24,24	molecule 294:4	280:4 287:6,8	263:20 266:3
logistics 257:23	283:13	molecules 276:18	288:7,7,8 289:16	267:19 270:19
260:7	massive 272:1	276:19	needed 272:2	273:3 275:11,23
long-term 268:10	master's 275:25	money 284:6	284:21	277:17 283:6,18
273:13 297:16	matter 255:4	286:25 289:10	needs 276:22 285:4	285:24 286:3
longer 265:4,4,13	256:24	291:10	neither 279:16	291:24 292:12,17
272:9 273:17	maximizing 268:6	money-losing	net 258:25	292:17 298:11
longtime 296:4	McAULIFFE	272:7	neutral 296:22	Olympia 259:16
look 268:9 282:18	255:25 301:7,18	monitoring 266:9	never 297:7	267:24 270:21
288:3 295:23	McCole 283:1	Montana 258:14	new 267:6 290:6	273:6 298:24
looks 288:17	McKlusky 266:2	266:7,11,14	news 286:6	once 268:13,23
Lori 283:1	269:20,21	268:22 272:2	Newspaper 286:16	273:18
losing 289:9	mean 282:2 289:7	280:2,21 281:6	noise 276:10	ongoing 269:25
lot 278:6,16	meaning 294:13	286:17 287:11	Northwest 258:13	270:4
low 270:18 276:19	meet 258:17 265:8	294:6 297:9	258:16	online 260:5
297:7	271:2,6 281:11	Montana's 289:12	Northwestern	open 259:9 272:14
lump 290:6	289:17	Montanans 280:24	264:16,23 265:15	opener 282:19
	meeting 257:23	move 286:8	265:19 266:17	operate 293:20
M	260:7 262:16	moving 288:19	271:14 273:11	294:2
magnitude 296:14	member 290:17	MULTIPLE 263:9	280:22 281:1,2,4	operation 296:16
mail 259:19 299:2	members 292:4	mute 260:8,13,16	281:8 287:12,25	297:18
mailing 259:15	293:3 294:13	262:9 295:15	289:11 290:22,23	operational 265:17
298:24	mention 294:15	muted 260:10	293:19,19	opportunity 257:12
main 274:20	methods 259:11	262:13	note 272:12	259:6 274:15
maintenance	mic 260:8	muting 300:7	November 259:9	279:11 280:11
265:10	microphone 260:9		272:11 298:14	options 289:19,19
major 276:12	260:13 262:9	<u>N</u>	number 260:2	order 255:6 256:10
majority 289:8	295:16	name 256:22 258:1	291:6 298:23	289:17
290:24	mics 300:7	260:3 261:5,6,6,9		ordinary 267:25
	I	l	l	ĺ

				1 age 666
Oregon 286:20	272:17 273:11,16	plant's 284:20	272:17 273:7,10	279:15 280:9
outcome 277:2	278:2 287:18,20	plants 278:17	274:20 275:5	290:20 296:8
outdated 269:11	293:23 296:13	294:2	277:24 278:2,5,8	proposes 259:1
272:17	paying 268:3,4,11	please 260:13,18,19	278:14,16	286:14
outlined 269:24	277:18 282:2	261:6,7,10 262:9	powered 275:2	proposing 287:13
270:7	287:9	265:20 269:9	precious 266:11	287:20,21
owner 286:23	people 257:6 269:3	281:12 282:9,17	prefer 292:15	propping 269:11
289:7,8	270:6 278:16	290:8	prepared 281:21	protect 265:20
owners 258:11	293:15	Plus 287:12	presenters 276:5	266:11 284:8,10
266:23 272:8	percent 258:12,13	pocketbook 285:5	presenting 272:20	284:22
286:19 290:2	267:6 269:4	point 257:21	press 260:16	protecting 297:25
ownership 258:9	271:10 281:10	259:17 263:1	previous 269:22	prove 268:21
258:12 289:4,17	287:19,24 290:21	269:23 275:19	276:5 277:21	provide 257:1
230.12 209.4,17	290:22,23 291:2	287:8,17	previously 270:7	284:4 291:16
Р	296:22,23 291.2	pointed 272:11	price 259:2 268:18	294.4 291.10 298:6
P 261:24 262:5	Perfect 257:8	298:25	271:15,17 273:11	prudent 265:14
p.m 255:16 256:3	period 259:8	points 283:25	290:5 297:7,14	272:14 297:20
300:15	permits 266:8	284:16 287:1	prices 268:16	prudently 271:2
P.O 259:15 298:24	permitting 266:10	293:14 294:3	prices 208.10 pricing 279:17	PSE 258:8,11,12,17
page 259:13 298:21	person 268:2	poisoned 296:12	primarily 278:14	258:19 259:1
Pages 255:13	perspective 274:25	policy 279:13	privilege 268:3	264:22 265:9
paid 296:17 297:11	pertaining 259:7	politicians 277:3	problem 262:23	267:24 268:13,23
painter 270:14	Peter 261:24	pollute 265:4	266:20 268:3,4,10	269:10 270:8,20
272:22,24,24		296:10	268:20 276:15,23	-
283:13	petrochemical 276:2		,	271:1,7,15,16,19 271:22,25 272:3,8
Pam 263:12,15	phone 260:15,16	pollutes 272:18 polluting 289:23	problems 269:25 289:13	273:5,11,13,18
panel 276:23	295:15	pollution 266:10,15		279:12,17 280:6
paragraph 285:8	Phyllis 272:23	284:20 289:12	proceeding 270:24 299:8	281:3 283:20
part 290:1 292:24	273:3,5	ponds 270:9	produced 272:17	286:14,22 287:1,3
participate 267:14	Pickett 272:23	-	-	280.14,22 287.1,5 287:12,20 288:5
289:11	273:1,2 283:15,16	portfolio 264:17 267:3	production 296:25 297:21	293:17 296:4,9,12
participating 264:9	283:18,19			295.17 290.4,9,12 297:15,16
participation	,	portion 256:7 258:14,23	professional 275:24	PSE's 258:23 259:8
265:23 288:15	pilot 277:1	,		PSE \$ 238:23 239:8 264:15 270:22
203.23 288.13	pinpoint 292:14 plan 265:17 278:8	position 287:15 possible 267:5,8,9	profit 268:7,8 profitable 272:9	264:15 270:22 273:10 279:16
parts 276:18	289:9	275:2,6 276:24	program 279:14	284:6 296:8
297:23	planet 289:21	285:14 292:19	progress 286:8	public 255:10
party 273:25	plans 287:22	285:14 292:19 294:14		256:7,9,18,23,24
party 275.25 passes 276:11	plant 266:22 270:1		projected 271:24 pronounce 279:3	
passing 285:9	270:3 273:17,19	possibly 272:5 post-sale 273:15	-	257:11,13 258:1,4 259:24 264:5
293:16 294:7	270:3 273:17,19 277:1 278:15	post-sale 273:15 potential 287:11	pronounced 267:18 278:24	
pathway 268:24	281:5 286:23	potentially 268:15	properly 277:13	271:1,12,21 272:5 272:16 281:5
patient 282:9	281:5 286:25 287:14 288:7			
Paul 272:22 273:1		power 258:20	property 297:6,12	283:21 284:8,10 297:19 298:13
273:2 283:15,19	289:4,8,9,22 293:24 296:11	259:3 264:23,25	proposal 289:1	
pay 271:8,15 272:7	295:24 296:11 297:11	266:14,15 268:18 268:22 271:14,16	proposed 258:19 270:22 271:6,13	299:5,9 300:14 301:10
Pay 2/1.0,15 2/2.7	291.11	200.22 271:14,10	270.22 271:0,13	301.10
	1	1	1	I

Page	30	9
i ugo	00	0

				1 age 303
Puget 255:5,6,7	272:7,16 277:25	282:3 288:10	request 258:8,23	285:16,20 290:11
256:11,12 266:5	281:14 286:24	reductions 280:5	268:12 290:9	294:21 299:3
266:13,16,24	287:16,17 288:2	reference 284:1	requests 258:12	300:2
280:25 281:23,24	291:11 296:12	Referring 272:9	require 287:22	right-of-way 267:8
289:2,16 290:4,21	297:17	refers 292:7 293:2	required 264:18	rise 272:12
293:22	rates 264:24 271:5	regarding 259:2	271:8 293:19	rising 265:10
purchase 258:20	276:8 282:1,4	region's 280:1	requirements	risk 294:5
264:25 271:13	296:15	regional 280:3	258:18 265:8	rolling 278:5
275:5	RCW 270:25	regionally 285:1	289:17	Romankiewicz
purpose 266:18	293:10 294:18	registered 275:24	requires 271:16	265:24,25 266:4
284:6,7	re-mute 260:18	regulate 271:1	293:10	Romenkuitz 266:3
pursue 270:3	read 274:19 291:24	Reina 275:11,13,13	requiring 296:19	266:5
pushing 291:8	291:25,25 292:10	285:22	research 276:24	room 268:22
put 261:20 262:21	292:15,22 294:24	reintroduce 256:17	resident 280:23	Rosebud 297:13
putting 264:4	reading 286:7	reject 269:10 273:6	283:20 288:24	roster 261:20
	real 265:19 297:5,8	273:18 276:7	residential 256:25	295:22
Q	reality 268:23	reliable 263:23	297:5 299:7	routinely 281:24
Quality 297:10	realize 262:13	remainder 270:5	resource 265:11	RPR 255:25 301:18
question 297:3	really 257:12	remaining 286:21	282:4	rules 294:17 300:8
questions 257:9,11	264:20 265:14	remarks 299:11	resources 266:12	run 266:17 269:2
257:11,14 259:22	269:6 274:21	remind 298:12	267:2 280:2	279:19 281:4
259:23 264:7	293:22,24	reminder 280:4	293:12	286:24
284:12 299:8	reason 270:7	remote 301:9	respects 268:7	runs 265:4,18
quickly 275:1,6	reasonable 268:5	remotely 259:18	responsibilities	Ryan 260:23
285:14 294:14	271:5,11,19 272:3	299:1	268:6	
quite 279:5	reasons 269:24	removed 264:16	responsible 266:14	S
quote 285:7 293:10	270:6 278:20	Rendahl 256:16	285:4	sale 255:6 256:11
quoted 294:25	280:9	262:17 299:13,14	responsibly 275:1	258:17,25 259:7
	received 294:23	renewable 263:25	result 258:25	264:15 265:15,21
R	recess 256:8	267:2 268:19,24	279:20	269:10 270:3,22
R 255:25 301:1,7	recommendation	280:2 284:21	resulted 278:5	271:6,9 273:6,19
301:18	270:22	285:1 286:9	retire 270:1,2	274:21 275:6
raise 263:5	recommendations	repair 272:11	272:8	276:7 279:16,16
raised 293:14	290:8	replace 278:8	retirement 287:4	279:24 280:10
rapid 280:4	recommended	replacement 259:3	retires 266:22	284:16,19,24
ratepayer 267:24	269:9	replacing 263:23	rhetorical 264:7	285:2 287:24
268:16 270:21	recommending	278:16	rid 297:15	289:15 290:1,20
272:3 273:5	258:22	REPORTED	right 257:19	290:23 291:13
277:18,22 278:18	record 256:5 258:5	255:25	262:25 263:5,11	293:24 296:8,24
279:12 280:22	260:24 262:20,21	Reporter 301:8	263:13 264:2	sales 297:14
281:23 288:5	262:22 264:5	representative	267:12,22 270:12	Sandstrom 263:12
296:4	274:2 284:1 292:3	258:2 283:24	270:16 273:1,22	263:15 264:3,10
ratepayers 264:21	292:10,24	292:4 294:3	274:9,14 275:9,14	Sara 278:23 279:1
265:1,2,7,15,19	reduce 280:1	representing	275:16 277:10	save 286:25
266:13 270:10	285:10 294:8	264:13 299:6	278:20,20 281:17	saying 282:6
271:4,8,11,19,19	reducing 277:2	represents 256:24	281:20 283:13	283:23

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

				1 490 010
says 278:11 286:18	share 265:9,16	solution 266:21	290:9 294:16	288:19
scale 276:25	266:16 271:11	293:23	299:1	supply 272:13
schedule 265:18	287:19,25 290:23	solutions 276:24,25	stake 268:13 280:8	296:21 298:2
science 286:5	290:24 293:15	sorry 261:13,25	stake 200.15 200.0 stakeholder 268:7	support 269:7
scientific 282:12	296:8	262:14,24 295:21	stand 269:6 274:4	283:23 284:1
scientist 281:24	she'll 275:12	sorts 267:7	281:13	286:12
282:7	sheet 288:18	Sound 255:5,6,7	Standard 286:17	supposed 273:25
scientists 276:23	sheets 262:7	256:11,12 266:5	standards 271:2,7	supposed 273.23 sure 260:25 261:21
sciencists 270.23 seal 301:14	shell 284:17	266:13,16,24	standing 269:8	263:6 276:14
Seattle 297:6	shift 284:18,21	280:25 281:23,24	start 283:22	203.0 270.14 277:1 292:20,20
second 287:8	shifting 275:1	280:23 281:23,24 289:2,16 290:4,21	started 277:18	299:17
295:16	show 269:7	293:22		
	shows 286:9	sounds 274:23	starts 261:5,9,23 262:4	sustainability 268:10
Secondly 271:13 section 262:1	shuffling 289:13	275:3	state 256:18 266:8	swear 263:6
see 262:2 263:4	shut 281:12 286:23	sources 287:12 289:25	266:9 269:4 276:9	swearing 263:2 switch 268:1
282:2,2,2 288:19	287:6 288:7 289:9		276:13,22 281:14	
299:11	sign 295:11,13	speak 260:3,11,17	287:5 291:8,12,24	system 255:8
seeing 283:6	298:9	260:19,25 261:1,2	293:3,3,9 301:4,8	256:13 258:10,15
seen 278:3 297:7	sign-in 262:7	261:10,10,17	state's 279:18	T
sell 258:9,23	signed 261:1,1,2,4	262:1,5,11,13	296:21	$\overline{\mathbf{T}}$ 261:24 262:5
266:25 267:10	261:4,10,17 262:2	263:2 273:25	stated 264:5 272:10	301:1,1
268:12 272:3	274:1,9 275:16	274:16 282:24,25	273:8	take 262:7 264:6
280:25 281:7	277:10 282:23,24	283:1 288:11	states 286:20	282:10,18 289:11
282:17 286:22	283:1,9 288:18	291:22 295:6,10	297:10,23	299:1
287:7,9 289:16	295:5,8,22	295:24	Stephanie 291:18	takes 283:9
297:23	significant 277:24	speaker 295:16	Steve 275:16	Talen 258:14
selling 258:13	simply 266:20	speakers 260:20	277:10	264:16
265:8 268:20	270:1 274:25	263:9 269:22	stick 299:16	talked 296:19
271:7,22 272:6	289:13	274:18 281:22	stop 265:20 269:10	tax 276:11
273:12 278:9	sir 257:25 270:12	292:10 294:24	stopped 265:9	taxes 297:12
282:3 287:25	274:14 275:15,22	speaking 260:14	stories 286:6	Teams 260:9,21
288:8 297:4,5	277:16 278:22	262:8 269:17,19	straight 269:23	tech 270:18
sells 266:16	281:19 282:10	282:9	stressed 282:8	technical 260:22
sense 272:13 274:7	283:16 285:16	spell 257:16 261:6	strongly 279:15	technologies 277:4
282:15 288:2	288:20 298:15	spinning 282:13,18	280:9	Technology 263:18
297:15	300:6	Spokane 288:24	student 279:13	tell 263:6 283:5,5
sent 286:3	six 278:13 297:10	290:16,17	study 271:25,25	terms 271:11 278:1
September 283:24	skill 301:11	spoke 261:18	276:23	293:18
seriously 284:2	slash 260:10,12	277:21	submit 259:10,12	tested 276:25
served 289:3	Slater 274:11	spoken 269:17	279:11 280:12	testify 277:11
service 281:6	285:20	296:7	298:19,20	testimony 258:22
session 255:10	small 256:25	spot 284:3	subsection 270:25	272:19
257:1 299:25	276:17 299:7	ss 301:4	substantial 265:3	thank 257:19,25
300:14	Smith 260:23	staff 257:22 258:22	sufficient 271:5	261:22 262:15,16
set 270:8 293:21	solar 267:1	258:24 269:9	sunlight 278:6	
301:13	solidarity 281:13	270:21 272:10	supplemental	262:19 263:10
	I		I	I

				5
264:4,9,10 265:21	today 279:11	trying 266:10	294:12	VOLUME 255:12
265:22 267:12	280:12 293:5	288:9 291:2,3,7	use 260:21,22	vote 272:8
269:11,13,21	toll 260:2	297:15	267:9 281:25	
270:12,21 272:19	toll-free 298:23	turn 256:19 257:21	285:11,12 291:5	W
272:20 273:4,20	Tom 286:18	262:9,10,16	294:9,12	W-A 257:18
273:21 274:6,15	tonight 263:3 264:9	269:15,16,18	UTC 257:22 258:22	wait 260:19
275:8,9 277:6,8	265:23 267:13	280:15 299:10	258:24 259:4	want 256:17 258:5
278:21,22 279:9	277:9 288:15	Turner 270:13,15	265:12 270:21,25	260:4,5,24 269:17
280:11,13,17,18	294:25 295:6,10	270:15 283:12	272:10 273:6	269:22 278:17
281:14,16 282:20	298:8	two 286:17,21	279:15 284:7,9	286:13,23 287:5
282:21 283:18	tons 279:20		285:12 288:25	287:10 290:19,25
285:15,16 288:11	top 282:13,18	U	290:8 294:12,13	292:14,23 294:15
288:12,14 289:14	town's 296:12	U 262:6	294:16	298:12,16 299:24
290:10,11 291:14	track 279:23	UE-200115 255:4	utc.wa.gov 259:13	wanted 283:5,22
291:15,20 294:16	transactions 271:3	256:10	utilities 255:2	wants 260:25 281:4
294:20,21 295:1,3	transcript 301:9	ultimate 266:15	258:2 271:1,2	281:6 286:22,24
295:19 297:25	Transformation	uncertainty 259:2	280:6 289:3	warming 276:15,23
298:3,4 299:3,15	264:19 266:19	understanding	296:20	277:2,5 282:14,16
299:18,20,22,24	273:9 279:22	278:12 296:24	utility 276:2 281:8	Washington 255:1
300:2,6,7,8,11	289:18 294:8	understands 268:5	282:1 283:21	256:2,18 258:18
Thanks 274:4	transition 267:5	undervalued	285:3 289:8	259:16 266:6
291:21	280:6 293:9	279:25	293:11 297:10	269:4 280:23
theory 282:12,12	296:21	unethical 291:9	utility's 267:2	281:14 286:19
282:13,18	transmission 255:8	unfortunate 286:22	269:2	288:6,9 289:3
thia 289:9	256:13 258:10,15	Unfortunately	utilizing 267:4	291:8,11 293:7
thing 295:17	258:19 266:25	268:12 276:4		294:6 298:25
things 258:6	267:4,7 268:21	unit 255:7 256:12		301:4,8
277:19 278:20	270:23 271:23	258:9,11,21,25	V 255:12	Washington's
286:15 292:18	272:1,4 273:7,12	259:8 264:16	valuable 266:25	269:1 285:10
think 257:5 262:2	273:19 284:24	266:16 270:23	271:22 273:12	294:5,9
262:23 263:16	285:9 286:13	271:10 272:6,9,9	290:5	wasn't 261:13
269:14 276:22	287:9,14 288:8	272:14 276:7	value 271:10,24	279:7
277:5,21 281:3	289:5,23 290:6	279:19 287:20	273:13 287:24	waste 270:9 293:22
284:3,15 294:19	transmissions	296:8,10	290:21 297:4	watch 282:13
third 287:17	279:25	unit's 271:20	values 284:14	water 265:6 266:8
thought 277:24	Transportation	United 297:22	285:3,3	266:9,11 289:12
thoughts 281:22	255:2 258:3	units 286:19,21	Vashon 288:6	289:23 296:12
three 284:15,16	Trenton 262:1,3	297:18,21	vehemently 269:1	way 269:24 277:23
286:17 287:1	288:20,23	unmute 260:12,17	verified 276:25	287:2,12 288:3
Thursday 256:6	triple 284:11,11	unmuted 263:16	versus 278:1	291:9
Thurston 283:20	trouble 275:19	updates 286:4	Vetitooly 275:12	ways 298:17
283:21	282:9	uphold 291:2	277:12,14,17	we'll 261:3,21
Tim 275:12 277:12	trust 263:5	urge 273:6,18	video 256:20 VIDEOCONFE	263:11 we're 263:1 273:25
11 ACO 10 AFF -				
time 268:18 277:7	truth 263:6,7,7	276:6 279:15		
280:11 281:15	try 282:7 286:20	280:9 282:17	255:10	276:21 278:1,9,9
	, ,			

we've 262:22 278:3	www.utc.wa.gov	291:9 293:11	6:01 255:16 256:3
290:25 293:25	298:21	296:21 297:2	65 290:23
website 260:6	www.utc.wa.gov/	2030 281:11 296:22	
297:10	260:6	2039 266:17 291:9	7
week 286:4	Wyoming 272:2	2042 265:17 281:5	7:06 300:15
Weinstein 270:14	vv yonning 272.2	286:24 291:9	
270:16,18,20	Х	200 :21291.9 2045 296:23	8
welcome 262:10		2121 255:25 301:18	8 255:15 256:2
wenet 272:12	Y	22nd 259:9 298:14	301:10
weren't 257:6	yeah 263:16 279:10	2311 273:9	80.01.040 270:25
west 291:7	292:21	2511 275.9 25 258:12,13	85 271:10 279:20
western 297:22	years 268:22	271:10 287:19,24	287:24
WHEREOF	278:13 288:5	290:21	8th 256:6
301:13	297:7	255 255:13	
wholly 286:12		255 255.15 27th 272:11	9
wildfire 294:5	Z	27th 272:11 28th 301:14	98504-7250 259:16
wildfires 280:4	Z 262:6	2011 301.14	98504-72550
Williams 262:12,12		3	298:25
290:13,14,16	0	3 270:25 286:19	
willingness 299:16	1	296:10 297:18,21	
wind 267:1 268:22		30 290:22	
278:7	1-888-333-9882	301 255:13	
winner 265:19	259:15 260:2	31st 264:18 293:11	
winter 268:2	298:23	350 270:8 290:17	
wish 261:2 263:2	10,000 276:19	293:21	
wishes 262:5 298:9	100 267:5 269:4	360-915-3646	
	281:10 291:2	260:23	
wishing 274:10	296:22,22	200.23	
275:17 277:11 282:24,25 283:1	13 297:12	4	
,	16 283:24	4 255:7 256:12	
withdraw 274:2	19 258:8 259:5	258:9,11,21,25	
WITNESS 301:13 Woodinville	19.405 294:18	259:8 264:16	
279:12	19.405.030 293:10	266:17 270:23	
workable 286:9	2	271:10 272:6,14	
	$\frac{2}{2300:14}$	276:7 279:19	
working 259:18 299:1	2 300:14 20 292:4 297:7	286:19 287:4,20	
works 277:1		296:8,10 297:18	
	2019 272:11 2019's 269:4	297:21	
world 286:7		400 276:18	
worried 278:3 worst 287:15	2020 255:15 256:2	45 288:5	
worst 287:15 wouldn't 293:22	258:8 283:24 301:10,14	47250 259:15	
wouldn't 293:22 written 283:3	2021 259:5	298:24	
286:17,18 287:2	2021 259:5 2025 258:21 264:18		
298:13		5	
	264:24 266:19,22 270:1 278:9		
wrong 277:5 279:23	281:12 287:14	6	
217.23	201.12 207.14	6 260:16,16,18	
		1	