

1 installation option.<sup>25</sup> The Commission arrived at the lower rate by changing the  
2 time reflected in the ISC to 6 minutes, reducing the probability that an order would  
3 require manual plant line assignment to 15% and setting the common overhead  
4 factor to zero. In a subsequent phase of the docket the Commission reinstated the  
5 common overhead factor at 4.05%. In addition, the Commission ordered Qwest to  
6 develop separate rates for connection and disconnection.<sup>26</sup> The \$51.94 includes  
7 \$37.53 of cost for connection and \$14.41 for disconnection.

8 **Q. DOES QWEST'S NONRECURRING RATE IN WASHINGTON ALLOW IT**  
9 **TO RECOVER ITS COSTS FOR THE BASIC LOOP INSTALLATION**  
10 **OPTION?**

11 A. No. Qwest filed a cost for the basic loop installation option of \$100.68 per order  
12 for the first loop. This cost was based on Qwest time estimates of about 123  
13 minutes per order to process the order, including the disconnect. While Qwest has  
14 improved its processes and eliminated about 41 minutes from the time estimates  
15 contained in its original filing, the ordered mechanized rate in Washington reduces  
16 that time by an additional 20 minutes. Thus, the \$51.94 rate awarded by the  
17 Commission falls short of recovering Qwest's estimated cost for this process.

18 **Q. IS QWEST'S PROPOSED NONRECURRING RATE FOR THE BHC**  
19 **INSTALLATION OPTION LESS THAN ITS CURRENT RATE FOR THE**  
20 **BASIC LOOP INSTALLATION OPTION IN WASHINGTON?**

21 A. Yes. As discussed above, Qwest's proposed nonrecurring rate for the BHC installation  
22 option is ~~\$45.96~~[\\$31.39 per loop for installation and \\$19.69 per loop for disconnection](#)  
23 [for a total of \\$51.08](#) based on time estimates of just under 43 minutes of

24 <sup>25</sup> Twenty-sixth Supplemental Order (Phase II), (September 2000), ¶ 30.

<sup>26</sup> Eighth Supplemental Interim Order, (May 11, 1998), ¶¶ 468-473.

1 processing time. This means that Qwest's time estimate for the BHC process is  
2 approximately 52% of its current time estimate for the basic loop installation  
3 process. In addition, this represents a reduction of \$5.980.86 per order below the  
4 rate approved by the Washington Commission in Docket No. UT-960369.

5 **V. VOLUME DATA**

6 **Q. ARE YOU PROVIDING INFORMATION REGARDING ESTIMATED**  
7 **VOLUMES FOR UNE-P MIGRATIONS?**

8 A. Yes. Exhibit TKM-4 provides an estimate of the aggregate Qwest UNE-P  
9 migration volumes that would be experienced over the FCC's 27 month migration  
10 period. Exhibit TKM-5 provides an analysis of the potential UNE-L volumes in the  
11 highest volume office in Washington. I will describe each of these exhibits in more  
12 detail below, and will explain how this data should be used. The testimonies of Mr.  
13 Pappas and Ms. Barrick will draw conclusions from the data and explain how the  
14 data should be used in evaluating Qwest BHC proposal.

15 **A. QCCC Volumes**

16 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF EXHIBIT TKM-4?**

17 A. The purpose of Exhibit TKM-4 is to estimate total *incremental* UNE-L volumes  
18 that would be experienced by the Qwest CLEC Coordination Center (QCCC) over  
19 the 27 month conversion process, due to the discontinuance of UNE-P. It includes  
20 (1) the estimated volume of embedded UNE-P migrations over a 21 month  
21 conversion period, along with (2) an estimate of the growth in UNE-L that, if relief  
22 had not been granted, would have been experienced as growth in UNE-P. The