

NEPA Categorical Exclusion Documentation Form

Federal Aid Project Number: NEPA Start I		te:	Intent of Submittal:	
Not Yet Assigned November 1		2019	Preliminary Final Re-E	valuate
Agency:	Project Tit	le:		
City of Spokane Valley	Barker Roa	ad Widening Projec	ct	
County:				
Spokane				
Beginning terminus: Spokane River		Township(s):2		
Ending terminus:Euclid Ave			<u>5 E</u>	
Miles: <u>0.53</u>		Section(s):5	, 6, 7, 8	
Pa	rt 1 - Project D	escription (Attac	h Vicinity Map)	
2. From Spokane River to Euclid A arterial pavement section with cur improvements at Euclid Avenues (The project will also include a par	Ave: Reconstrub, gutter, and a east and west, of the tnership with S	ct and widen exi associated drain offset) and reco Euclid intersect	age improvements. Scope inclunstruction of the transition roation. for the installation of sanitary s	to a 3-lane, City udes intersection adway just north
	Part 2 – Cat	tegorical Exclusio	on & STIP	
• Identify one CE from 23 CFR 771.117	(CE Guidebook -	Appendix A) that f	its the entire project 23 CFR 771.1	L17 (d)13
• Per 23 CFR Part 452(I) identify the sul	scoguent project	nhase identified a	n the STID2 POW Construct	tion
Per 25 CFR Part 452(i) identity the sui	osequent project	. priase identined d	in the stip! Kow Construct	LIOII
Attach a copy of the STIP page to the CE documentation form.				
	NEP	PA Approval Sign	atures	
Local Agency Approving Authority	Date	Local Pr	ograms Environmental Engineer	Date
Regional Local Programs Engineer	grams Engineer Date		Highway Administration	Date
Completed by (Print Official's Name):				

Part 3 - Permits, Approvals & Right of Way (ROW)		
Yes No Permit or Approval	Yes No Permit or Approval	
□ Corps of Engineers □ Sec. 404 □ Nationwide Type □ Individual Permit No. □ Coastal Zone Management Certification □ Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) Permit □ □ Forest Practices Act Permit □ Hydraulic Project Approval □ □ Local Building or Site Development Permits □ □ Local Clearing and Grading Permit □ □ National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Baseline General for Construction □ Shoreline Permit □ State Waste Discharge Permit	Yes No Permit or Approval	
☐ ☑ Water Rights Permit		
 U.S. Coast Guard Permitting a. Does the project propose any new or modify <u>any</u> existing bridges or culverts crossing a waterway?		
Doub 4 Fundamental	tal Causidanations	
Part 4 - Environmer		
Will the project involve work in or affect any o Attach additional pages or supple		
Air Quality - Identify any anticipated air quality issues.		
Is the project exempt from Air Quality conformity requirements? a. If Yes, identify exemption – please refer to Appendix G in the CE Guidebook for a list of exemptions. Safety – Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges (no additional travel lanes) Air Quality – Bicycle and pedestrian facilities b. Is the project included in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan? If Yes, date Metropolitan Transportation Plan was adopted		
c. Is the project located in an Air Quality Non-Attainment ozone or PM 10 or PM 2.5?	Area or Maintenance Area for carbon monoxide, Yes No	
2. Critical and Sensitive Areas		
 a. Is this project within a sole source aquifer Yes No If located within a sole source aquifer, is the project exempt from EPA approval? If Yes, please list exemption: pavement reconstruction, pavement marking, pathways, utility installations, intersection improvements – No aspects of project are listed in Attachment C of the MOU between WSDOT, FHWA, and EPA as all infiltration facilities and added impervious surfaces will meet WSDOT HRM, no USTs or superfund sites in project area, no aspects of project are expected to penetrate or contaminate SSA If No, date of EPA approval: 		
	b. Will this project impact Species/Habitat other than ESA listed species? Yes No Explain your answer. Project is within a developed area lacking suitable habitat. No priority habitats mapped within project area.	

		his project within one mile of a Bald Eagle nesting territory, winter concentration area or communal roost? Yes No If Yes, the local agency must go to the US Fish & Website (http://www.fws.gov/pacific/eagle/) If work through the Do I Need a Permit? section.
		e wetlands present within the project area?
3.	Cultu	ral Resources/Historic Structures – Identify any historic, archaeological or cultural resources present within the
		's Area of Potential Effects.
		es the project fit into any of the exempt types of projects listed in Appendix J of the CE Guidebook?
		Yes No If Yes, note exemptions below.
	If N	lo: Date of DAHP concurrence: <u>February 6, 2020</u>
		Date of Tribal consultation(s) (if applicable): December 9, 2019
		Adverse effects on cultural/historic resources? 🔲 Yes 🔀 No
		If Yes, date of approved Section 106 MOA:
4	Floods	Naine and Flandrigue
4.	-	olains and Floodways Is the project located in a 100-year floodplain? Yes No
	a.	
	b.	If Yes, is the project located within a 100-year floodway? Yes No No If Yes, describe impacts.
	C.	will the project impact a 100-year noouplain:
5.	Hazar	dous and Problem Waste – Identify potential sources and type(s).
	a.	Does the project require excavation below the existing ground surface? X Yes No
	b.	Will groundwater be encountered? Yes No
	c.	Will any properties be acquired as part of this project? X Yes No
	d.	Is this site located in an undeveloped area (i.e. no buildings, parking, storage areas or agriculture? Yes
		No
	e.	Is the project located within a one-mile radius of a known Superfund Site? Yes No
	f.	Is this project located within a ½-mile radius of a site or sites listed on any of the following Department of
		Ecology databases? Yes No If Yes, check the appropriate boxes below.
		Voluntary Cleanup Program (VCP), State Cleanup Site (SCS), or Independent Cleanup Program (ICP)
		Underground Storage Tank (UST)
		Leaking Underground Storage Tank (LUST)
		Confirmed and Suspected Contaminated Sites List (CSCSL)
	g.	Has site reconnaissance (windshield survey) been performed?
	h.	Based on the information above and project specific activities, is there a potential for the project to generate, acquire or encounter contaminated soils, groundwater or surface water? Yes No

Please explain:

The project will excavate approximate 20 feet below the ground surface to install a sewer mainline under the roadway. The project is not expected to encounter groundwater, as data from a USGS groundwater survey for the nearby grade separation project (north of the project area) found groundwater at ~95.59 feet below ground surface. The project is not located within 0.5 miles of any sites within the above listed databases. The closest cleanup sites are the Spokane River Metals Barker Road North site (Cleanup Site 11587) and the Spokane River Metals Barker Road South site (Cleanup Site 11588). The Barker Road North site, a 0.5-acre site containing metal-contaminated sediments along the northern shoreline of the Spokane River, east of and adjacent to the Barker Road Bridge, was remediated through excavation and capping in the fall of 2012. The South site is not included on cleanup reports, but Ecology's site page lists the site's status as "Cleanup Complete – Active O&M/Monitoring", matching that of the north site. Neither site will be directly affected by this project, as the project will not include any work below the existing bridge elevation within the shoreline of the Spokane River.

If you responded **Yes** to any of these questions above (5A – 5F or 5H), contact your Region LPE for assistance as a "Right-Sized" HazMat Analysis Report/Memorandum most likely will be required.

		Part 4 - Environmental Considerations (continued)	
6.	Noise		
	a.	Does the project involve constructing a new roadway?	
	b.	Is there a change in the vertical or horizontal alignment of the existing roadway? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \boxtimes$ No	
	C.	Does the project increase the number of through traffic lanes on an existing roadway? Yes No	
	d.	Is there a change in the topography?	
	e.	Are there auxiliary lanes extending 1-½ miles or longer being constructed as part of this project? Yes No	
	f.	If you answered Yes to any of the preceding questions, identify and describe any potential noise receptors within	
	the project area and subsequent impacts to those noise receptors. Please attach a copy of the noise analysis if required.		
	If ir	npacts are identified, describe proposed mitigation measures.	
7.	4(f)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers,	
7.	4(f)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers, enic byways	
7.	4(f)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers,	
7.	4(f)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers, enic byways Please identify any 4(f) properties within the project limits and the areas of impacts.	
7.	4(f sce a.)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers, enic byways Please identify any 4(f) properties within the project limits and the areas of impacts. None Present	
7.	4(f sce a.)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers, enic byways Please identify any 4(f) properties within the project limits and the areas of impacts. None Present Please identify any properties within the project limits that used funds from the Land & Water Conservation	
7.	4(f sce a.)/6(f) Resources: parks, recreation areas, wildlife refuges, historic properties, wild & scenic rivers, enic byways Please identify any 4(f) properties within the project limits and the areas of impacts. None Present Please identify any properties within the project limits that used funds from the Land & Water Conservation Fund Act.	

8.	Agricultural Lands –
	a. Are there agricultural lands within 300 feet of the project limits? Yes No If Yes, describe impacts:
	b. Are impacted lands considered to be unique and prime farmland? Yes No
	If Yes, date of project review by Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS):
9.	Rivers, Streams (continuous or intermittent) or Tidal Waters
	a. Identify all waterbodies within 300 feet of the project limits or that will otherwise be impacted.
	The Spokane River flows east to west underneath the Barker Road bridge. This waterbody will not be affected
	by the project, as the project will only re-paint travel lanes on the bridge, and will not affect any property
	below the OHWM.
	b. Identify stream crossing structures by type.
	The North Barker Road – Bridge
	Part 4 - Environmental Considerations (continued)
10.	Tribal Lands – Identify whether the project will occur within any Tribal lands, including reservation, trust and fee
	lands. Please do not list usual and accustomed area.
	The project will not occur on any tribal lands.
11.	Water Quality/Stormwater
	a. Will this project's proposed stormwater treatment facility be consistent with the guidelines provided by either
	WSDOT's HRM, DOE's stormwater management manual for eastern/western Washington or a local agency equivalent
	manual? Xes No
	If No, explain proposed water quality/quantity treatment for the new and any existing pollution generating
	impervious surface associated with the proposed project.
	 b. Amount of existing pollution generating impervious surface within the project limits: 134,600 S.F. c. Net new pollution generating impervious surface to be created as a result of this project: +15,200. S.F.
	d. Amount of proposed post-project untreated pollution generating impervious surface: 0 S.F
12.	. Previous Environmental Commitments Describe previous environmental commitments that may affect or be affected by the project – if any.
	N/A
13.	Environmental Justice - Does the project meet any of the <u>exemptions</u> noted in Appendix L of the CE
	Documentation Guidebook? Yes No If Yes, please note the exemption and appropriate justification in the space below.
	in res, please note the exemption and appropriate justification in the space sclow.
	If No, are minority or low-income populations located within a 0.50-mile of the project?
	Yes No If No, attach appropriate data to support findings. If Yes, describe impacts and attach appropriate
	supporting documentation. Findings should be confirmed using at least two information sources. Please refer to the
	CE Guidebook for more information.
	The proposal for the project was selected to limit environmental impacts and impacts to the people of Spokane
	Valley while improving the corridor's safety for vehicles and non-motorized users. During construction, there will
	be short-term negative impacts including construction noise and detour routes that will impact the local
	population. Upon completion, the project will benefit the surrounding population by providing a safer route along North Barker Road. Despite low income and minority populations presences in the project vicinity, the project is
	not anticipated to have disproportionately high or adverse impacts on these populations.
	, and the second

Part 5 - Biological Assessments and EFH Evaluations					
 Do any listed species potentially occur in the project's action area and/or is any designated critical habitat present within the project's action area?					
Affected ESA Listed Species	Will any construction work occur within 0.25 mile of any of the following?	3. Does the project involve blasting, pile driving, concrete sawing, rock-drilling or rock-scaling activity within one mile of any of the following?			
Oregon Spotted Frog proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Yellow-billed Cuckoo suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Yes No			
Spotted Owl management areas, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Marbled Murrelet nest or occupied stand, designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Western Snowy Plover designated critical habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Is the project within 0.25 mile of marine waters? If Yes explain potential effects on Killer Whales and on Marbled Murrelet foraging areas.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Killer Whale designated critical habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No			
Grizzly Bear suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Gray Wolf suitable habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Canada Lynx habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Columbia White-tailed Deer suitable habitat?	Yes No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Woodland Caribou habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Streaked Horned Lark designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No			
Taylor's Checkerspot designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ☒ No			
Mazama Pocket Gopher designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Eulachon designated critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
Rockfish proposed critical habitat or suitable habitat?	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No			
A mature coniferous or mixed forest	Yes No	☐ Yes ☒ No			
stand?					
 4. Will the project involve any in-water work? 5. Will any construction work occur within 300 feet of any perennial or intermittent Waterbody that either supports or drains to waterbody supporting listed fish? 					
6. Will any construction work occur within 300 feet of any wetland, pond or lake that I Yes No is connected to any permanent or intermittent waterbody?					
7. Does the action have the potential to directly or indirectly impact designated critical Yes No					

habitat for salmonids (including adjacent ri		rer ☐ Yes ☒ No	
8. Will the project discharge treated or untrea from a waterhoody that supports or drains in			
from a waterbody that supports or drains into a listed-fish supporting waterbody? 9. Will construction occur outside the existing pavement? If Yes go to 9a. Yes No			
9a. Will construction activities occurring out	-		
grading, filling or modification of vegetation	or tree-cutting?		
10. Are there any Federally listed Threatened o		- -	
the project limits? If Yes, please attach a lis	The state of the s		
11. Does a mature coniferous or mixed forest st			
Analysis for No Effects Determination – If t		stions in Part 5, additional analysis is	
required. Attach additional sheets if needed			
A USFWS species list, prepared on November 15, 2019 found that only three listed species are potentially present within the project area: yellow-billed cuckoo (<i>Coccyzus americanus</i>), bull trout (<i>Salvelinus confluentus</i>), and water howellia (<i>Howellia aquatilis</i>). Yellow-billed cuckoo have been extirpated from Washington State and have not been confirmed to breed here since the 1930s, thus the project is not expected to affect this species. Furthermore, their preferred habitat is riparian forest stands greater than 50 acres dominated by willows and cottonwoods. No such habitat exists in the project vicinity as habitat along the banks of the Spokane River are highly developed and fragmented. Bull trout are present within the Spokane River, but the project will not involve work within or near the river's OHWM. Upon project completion, all new roadways will collect and treat stormwater runoff in accordance with Ecology's Stormwater Management Manual for Eastern Washington. Therefore, the project will have no effect on bull trout. Water howellia is a flowering plant found in ephemeral glacial ponds and former river oxbows that fill with spring moisture and dry throughout the growing season. As no such habitat exists within the project area, the project will have no effect on water howellia.			
Analysis for RRMP ESA 4(d) determination	for NMFS – A local agency must	be certified by the Regional Road	
Maintenance Forum to utilize 4(d).			
Maintenance Category (check all that apply)			
manite accepting (encode an enact apply)			
1. Roadway Surface	6 Stream Crossings	11. Emergency Slide/Washout Repair	
2. Enclosed Drainage Systems	7. Gravel Shoulders	12. Concrete	
3. Cleaning Enclosed Drainage Systems	8. Street Surface Cleaning	13. Sewer Systems	
4. Open Drainage Systems	9. Bridge Maintenance	14. Water Systems	
5. Watercourses and Streams	10. Snow and Ice Control	15. Vegetation	
Describe how the project fits in the RRMP 4(d) Program:			
Effect Determinations for ESA and EFH			
If each of the questions in the preceding section resulted in a "No" response or if any of the questions were checked "Yes," but adequate justification can be provided to support a "no effect" determination, then check "No Effect" below. If this checklist cannot be used for Section 7 compliance (i.e., adequate justification cannot be provided or a "may effect" determination is anticipated), a separate biological assessment document is required.			
NMFS	USFWS	EFH Determination	
No Effect		No Adverse Effect	
NLTAA - Date of Concurrence		Adverse Effect – Date of NMFS	
LTAA – Date BO Issued		concurrence	

RRMP 4(d)	Not Applicable
	Part 6 - FHWA Comments
	Part 6 - FRWA Comments