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P R O C E E D I N G S
$$

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Let's be on the record. Good morning. Today is Thursday, December 20th, 2018. The time is approximately 9:40 a.m. We're here today for a prehearing conference regarding Pacific Power \& Light Company's petition for a Commission order approving a change in the company's depreciation rates for electric property, Docket UE-180778.

My name is Andrew O'Connell. I am an administrative law judge with the Utilities and Transportation Commission. I will be presiding in this matter along with the Commissioners. After this hearing, $I$ will prepare an order outlining the procedure going forward in this case.

To begin, $I$ want to take brief appearances and address the petitions for intervention. Let's begin with Pacific Power.

MR. MCVEE: Good morning, Your Honor. Matt McVee for Pacific Power.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: And, Mr. Pepple?
MR. PEPPLE: Oh, there's no power. Tyler
Pepple for the Alliance of Western Energy Consumers.

MS. SUETAKE: Nina Suetake, AAG for Public Counsel.

MS. BROWN: Sally Brown, Senior Assistant Attorney General appearing on behalf of Commission Staff along with my AAG colleagues, Christopher Casey and Nash Callahan.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: And on the phone?
MR. GERHART: Matthew Gerhart, appearing on behalf of Sierra Club, Your Honor.

MR. PEPPLE: Your -- sorry, I apologize, Your Honor. I entered my appearance, it's actually, I'm representing Boise White paper in this docket. I apologize.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Thank you for that. MS. BROWN: That could be important. MR. PEPPLE: It's not the first time that's happened.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: So I would like to note as a couple of you mentioned, we -- we have lost power to the building. We are running on battery for the transcript, and that will be available. Additionally, because there is no bridge line available, we have made a phone line available for Mr. Gerhart, who is the only person or party that the Commission was aware of who wanted to participate who is not in this room.

## Page 6

To the extent that there were other individuals or entities wishing to participate in this hearing who are unable to access the bridge line because of the power outage to make their petition for intervention, $I$ am going to issue a notice in the docket explaining that the power was out and allowing a brief amount of time for entities to enter petitions to intervene, which I will entertain on a shortened scheduled.

To the other petitions for intervention, are there petitions for intervention other than the ones that have been filed in the hearing? Hearing none and seeing no one in the courtroom who is unexpected, we will proceed.

I have read the two petitions to intervene; one on behalf of Boise White Paper and one on behalf of the Sierra Club. I am unaware of any written objections to the petitions to intervene. Are there any objections?

MR. MCVEE: No objections, Your Honor.
MS. SUETAKE: No objections.
MS. BROWN: No.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Hearing no objections, the petitions to intervene will be granted. Mr. McVee, is there a need for a protective

1 order in this case, and if so, would a -- the
2 Commission's standard protective order suffice?

MR. MCVEE: We believe it will. There's a possibility that we'll be asked. We are happy to file a motion if we get a request for information that we consider confidential.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: So would you prefer to wait until you get such a request?

MR. MCVEE: Actually, yes. We would like to move to have a standard protective order issued.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Then $I$ will issue a protective order in the coming days. Well, first let me ask, is there any opposing perspective to issuing a protective order?

MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
MS. SUETAKE: No, Your Honor.
MR. PEPPLE: No.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: Then $I$ will issue a protective order in the coming days.

Briefly I want to explain the electronic filing and electronic service here at the Commission. We have new Commission procedural rules. They provide that all filing with the Commission will be electronic and that service of all documents in the case will be electronic from the Commission to the parties and also
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1 from parties to parties.

1 there is consensus on this procedural schedule; is that 2 correct?

MR. PEPPLE: I believe that's correct, Your Honor. Would you mind --

MS. BROWN: I didn't misrepresent anything or change the date.

MR. PEPPLE: I wasn't sure if you gave him the one that we just agreed to.

MS. BROWN: Yes, I did.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: So I have a copy of it and I'm going to briefly read it into the record because as I note, there have been some changes to the parties' preferences.

Starting with the first date, Staff and intervenor response testimony will be May 16, 2019; settlement conference, May 21st, 2019; Company's rebuttal or reply testimony and Staff and intervenor cross-answering testimony, June 27th, 2019; settlement conference, July 11th, 2019; discovery deadline, the last day to issue data requests, July 15th, 2019; cross-examination, exhibits, witness list, and time estimates, July 23rd, 2019; evidentiary hearing, August 1st, 2019; simultaneous opening briefs, August 23rd, 2019; simultaneous response briefs, September 10th, 2019.
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I have reviewed the Commission's calendar and the availability of the Commissioners as to this hearing date, and to my knowledge right now, we -- the Commission is available. I will confirm the rest of the schedule with the Commission's calendar, and then I will incorporate it into the prehearing conference order.

MR. MCVEE: Your Honor?
JUDGE O'CONNELL: Yes.
MR. MCVEE: May I ask for one clarification? Just for the -- the June 27 th date for the Company rebuttal or reply, I think that would be just Company rebuttal testimony and then Staff and intervenor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I will make that edit on the procedural schedule that $I$ will issue.

MR. MCVEE: Thank you.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: I have one other item that I'm aware of before we close this proceeding. During cases at the Commission, I'm aware that parties often request that other parties copy them and -- on data requests and any responses to data requests. I would make this easier on the parties by making that requirement in the prehearing conference order so that you don't have to issue those data requests at the outset. Is there an objection to me including that requirement?

MS. BROWN: No.
MS. SUETAKE: No, Your Honor.
MR. MCVEE: No, no objection.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. I will include that in my prehearing conference order.

Is there anything else that we need to address today?

MR. PEPPLE: Your Honor, just one -- one quick thing. I guess we didn't talk about it, but there was an agreement to do a five-business-day turnaround for discovery responses. That was actually identified after the Company's surrebuttal testimony in a five-round option. I guess I would propose that that five-business-day turnaround apply to after the rebuttal and cross-answering testimony.

MR. MCVEE: The Company has no objection to that.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: The other parties?
MS. SUETAKE: No objection.
MS. BROWN: Okay. That's acceptable.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: And, Mr. Gerhart?
MR. GERHART: No objections, Your Honor.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. With that, I will include the five-day -- five-business-day turnaround for responding to data requests after June 27 th when the
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1 Company rebuttal testimony and Staff and intervenor
2 cross answering testimony is due. Is --

MR. MCVEE: One other thing, Your Honor. Also, for the settlement, is it possible to note that the parties may agree to modify the date for settlement discussions without changing the procedural schedule?

JUDGE O'CONNELL: I believe that already under the rules the parties can agree to do that.

MR. MCVEE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. BROWN: Are you saying that because you contemplate or anticipate the slippage of the date? Because the idea is to have a firm date and then gather on that specific day, because if it moves and then it can interfere with preparation of testimony or anything else so...

MR. MCVEE: I'm just trying to protect flexibility in the scheduling. There may be something that comes up, and it would be nice to be able to have --

MS. BROWN: There's always that option. JUDGE O'CONNELL: And my expectation is that the parties would provide notice to myself and the Commission that they're moving the date of the settlement conference for whatever conflict may arise. MR. MCVEE: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE O'CONNELL: Okay. Is there anything else we should discuss? Okay. Hearing nothing, then we will be adjourned today. Thank you for appearing here and dealing with the lack of power. I appreciate it.

Mr. Gerhart, thank you for your patience and willingness to be available on the phone.

MR. GERHART: Of course. Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. BROWN: Thank you.
JUDGE O'CONNELL: With that, we'll be off the record.
(Adjourned at 9:51 a.m.)
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