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THE JUDGE: Good morning. My name is Andrew O'Connell. I'm an administrative law judge with the Washington Utilities \& Transportation Commission. Today is December 8th, 2021, and the time is 9:38 a.m. This is Docket TE-210622. You're here because the commission issued a complaint against you based on information that your business, Nicholas Papadimas, doing business as Orion NW, is operating or advertising as a charter party or excursion service carrier without a certification from the commission.

If it's proven that you are in fact engaging in that conduct, the commission will order you to stop operating and can impose penalties of up to $\$ 5,000$ per violation.

In a moment, I'm going to ask you how you choose to proceed. You have two options.

The first option is that you agree to cease and desist all unpermitted operations. And if you choose this option, you must agree to stop providing, offering, and advertising unpermitted charter and excursion carrier services unless or until you receive a permit from the commission. If you choose this option, you will need to show what you have done to shut down your business, either completely or partially, so that you are no longer advertising, offering, or providing

1 unpermitted charter and excursion services in this
2 state. For example, you'll need to prove that you have
3 taken down or changed your website or other online unable to agree, staff can explain the recommendation,

1 but the commission will make the final decision about 2 the penalty amount.

And I will say that if you have engaged in the activity staff alleges, the commission tends to look more favorably on those who take responsibility for their actions and willingly come into compliance.

If you choose option number two -- that is, if you deny that you are operating as a charter and excursion carrier -- we will let staff present its evidence and then hear from you your testimony in response.

So, Mr. Dotson, I am going to swear you in now. Am I correct, Mr. Dotson, that you are going to be appearing on behalf of staff today?

MR. DOTSON: That's correct.
THE JUDGE: Okay. I will swear you in. Please raise your right hand.

MICHAEL DOTSON
duly sworn by the administrative law judge
THE JUDGE: Thank you. And will you please spell your name for the record.

MR. DOTSON: M-i-c-h-a-e-l, D-o-t-s-o-n.
THE JUDGE: Okay. Thank you.
And now I'll swear in a company
representative. I've heard two people on the line. Who
two?
MR. PAPADIMAS: It was kind of complicated. Pretty much I understand.

THE JUDGE: Okay. Well, how would you like to proceed today? Would you like to take option one or go option two?

MR. PAPADIMAS: I like to contest, sir.
THE JUDGE: Okay. So you'd like to challenge the allegations that staff has put forward?

MR. PAPADIMAS: Absolutely.
THE JUDGE: Okay. Okay. So representatives for both sides have been sworn in, Mr. Michael Dotson from commission staff and Mr. Papadimas from the company.

Let me now turn to staff and ask that it present its evidence and testimony that Nicholas Papadimas, doing business as Orion NW, is operating and/or advertising as a charter party or excursion services carrier.

Mr. Dotson, is it just you? Do you have an attorney present?

MR. DOTSON: It is just me.
THE JUDGE: Okay. Let me turn to you. I remind you that you are under oath, and please present staff's testimony and evidence.

MR. DOTSON: Okay. So Mr. Papadimas has had a permit -- or had a permit with the commission since 2013. There was a brief stop between now and then where he was canceled for his lack of insurance, but he reinstated the permit.

October 8th of 2020, Orion NW, Mr. Papadimas's company, filed a request to cancel the certificate, citing the economic downturn caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, and we proceeded to cancel his permit at that time.

On August 4th, I visited the company's website, which is www.orionnw.com, and under the Contact Us tab, I requested a quote for transportation for 20 passengers from Olympia to Seattle and back on August 15th, 2021.

After submitting the request, the request stated -- or the website stated "Thank you for your inquiry. We will be back within 24 hours."

On August 8th of 2021 , I received and email from orionnw.com which quoted transportation costs of $\$ 1,000$. On the 12th of August 2021, I followed up, requesting the same trip for a future date of August 26, 2021. And on August 13th, 2021, I sent a clarification and follow-up email confirming that the company was available on the 26th of 2021. The company confirmed

1 that it was available on that date.

And on the company's website, which is
Attachment $F$ of my declaration, you will see a photo of a large passenger van that would fall within the commission's regulations.

Thank you.
THE JUDGE: Okay. So I noticed that along with your declaration in the docket, you also submitted some additional documents.

Have those been shared with Mr. Papadimas and the company?

MR. DOTSON: The entire declaration has been shared with the company.

THE JUDGE: Okay.
Mr. Papadimas, is there any objection to me entering those documents into the record that Mr . Dotson has just testified to?

MR. PAPADIMAS: Yes, that's correct, sir.
THE JUDGE: So, Mr. Papadimas, I want to make sure I understand. Are you saying that the documents are correct?

MR. PAPADIMAS: They're all correct.
Absolutely.
THE JUDGE: Okay. Then I am going to admit them to the record.

Mr. Dotson, can you please explain staff's recommendation, state and explain staff's recommendation in light of your testimony.

MR. DOTSON: We recommend that it be found that he was operating as a nonpermitted carrier.

THE JUDGE: Okay. Does staff have a recommendation as to the penalty it's seeking?

MR. DOTSON: Oh, we are seeking the full penalty of $\$ 5,000$ for each charge, the advertising and offering the ride.

THE JUDGE: Okay. So $\$ 5,000$ for the advertising and $\$ 5,000$ for the offering. Okay.

Now let me turn -- well, let me ask first. Mr. Papadimas, you're going to have an opportunity to tell your story, to explain your side of it and to give your testimony. Before I ask you to --

MR. PAPADIMAS: Absolutely.
THE JUDGE: Before $I$ ask you to do that, before I ask you to speak on your own behalf, do you have any questions for Mr. Dotson about --

MR. PAPADIMAS: No.
THE JUDGE: -- any -- okay.
Then let me turn -- let me turn to you and the company, then, and I'd like to hear your testimony and any evidence you have that you are not subject to

1 regulation by the commission.

Go ahead.
MR. PAPADIMAS: You want me to tell you -tell you my story, sir?

THE JUDGE: Yes, go ahead. And I would like to remind you that you are under oath. But, yes, please explain --

MR. PAPADIMAS: Absolutely. Absolutely.
Absolutely.
I was operating the business here with five vehicles. And pretty much after 2019, we were doing very well, multi vehicles, about five vehicles, in order to get fleet insurance.

The call came in and $I$ called him to say that I cancel my certificate, I had put two vehicles for sale which belonged to the UTC. By that time, I own no vehicles. I had no opportunity to provide any business.

What Mr. Dotson did, he did half the work.
He didn't check the other department for state for hire, which permits 15 -passenger vehicles, which I was absolutely licensed and permitted with endorsement and insurance to operate the business. But he done half of the work. He should have called the for hire department to see it was fully licensed, operating.

By the time I did, I own no vehicles

1 whatsoever other than UTC, which I canceled the
2 business, but $I$ still have active business in the for

THE JUDGE: Okay.
MR. PAPIDIMAS: Other limousine -- other
limousine, $I$ was fully active. That's why $I$ respond to. THE JUDGE: Okay. Have you had the chance to discuss with staff the regulation between Department of Licensing and UTC?

MR. PAPADIMAS: I have no -- I was fully permitted to do so.

THE JUDGE: Okay.
MR. PAPADIMAS: I had no -- no -- nothing by
that time, because I had sold the UTC vehicles, I had canceled my certificate.

I can explain to you if you don't -- if it is not understood by you, sir.

THE JUDGE: No, I understand. You are
testifying that you have $15-$ passenger vehicles that are licensed with the Department of Licensing and you --

MR. PAPADIMAS: Yes, sir.
THE JUDGE: -- you believe they are regulated as for hire as a limousine.

MR. PAPADIMAS: Yes.
THE JUDGE: Is that correct?
MR. PAPADIMAS: Yes, sir. 15-passenger vehicles, yes.

THE JUDGE: Well, Mr. Papadimas, thank you.

1 I'm going to come back to you again before we conclude, 2 but I'd like to turn back to Mr. Dotson and staff.

THE JUDGE: \$10,000 with \$9,000 --
MR. DOTSON: $\$ 9,000$ of that penalty suspended for two years, with $\$ 1,000$.

Thank you.
THE JUDGE: Okay. Let me turn back -Mr. Papadimas, you heard --

MR. PAPADIMAS: Yes, sir.
THE JUDGE: -- what Mr. Dotson has reiterated in response. I think -- I want to give you the opportunity to, again, if you would like to, address Mr. Dotson's testimony about there being a larger vehicle and his request for transportation for more than 15 passengers.

MR. PAPADIMAS: Okay. It was no discussion on one or two vehicles. That's what $I$ was planning, to operate two vehicles to cover his party.

For the advertisement, $I$ don't know what he did, because it was inactive -- maybe have a picture of a luxury vehicle which was sold by that time. You can prove it either the bill of sale or the Department of Licensing.

So I had no vehicles, no opportunity whatsoever. I had nothing on my hand to go operate a 20-passenger vehicle he said. But we never discussed whether it be one or two vehicles. That's what I was

1 planning, to operate two vehicles for the group.

We never got into the details going to be one or two vehicles, which $I$ was planning to, because I thought it was a real (inaudible). So we never discussed that.

Yes, a picture on the website, which was inactive. I don't know where he get it from. It was inactive. The website was inactive. That's how -okay, it was a picture then. Yes, I did remove it, yes, I couldn't that's about it.

But I was planning to operate two vehicles to do the business, which was never got to the point to discuss. It was initial contact. That's it.

THE JUDGE: Okay.
MR. PAPADIMAS: Okay. All the -- all the transportation going to be legal, because $I$ was fully endorsed and fully licensed.

THE JUDGE: Okay. I understand. And --
(Crosstalk)
MR. PAPADIMAS: -- can find out the sale of the vehicles, like $I$ did the normal procedure, canceled my certificate, that's all the vehicles, pretty much I'm looking to retirement.

THE JUDGE: Mr. Papadimas, I understand that you stated you have not had a chance to speak with staff

1 before today about its allegations.

MR. PAPADIMAS: Okay.
THE JUDGE: I would like to ask you and Mr. Dotson, would it be beneficial to the parties if you were able to talk right now off the record and I would remove myself from this call and then return. Would that be helpful for the parties?

MR. PAPIDIMAS: Oh, absolutely.
THE JUDGE: Mr. Dotson? Staff?
MR. DOTSON: Yeah, that would be fine.
THE JUDGE: Okay. So what I'm going to do is, I'm going to exit the Zoom meeting and, Mr. Papadimas, we're going to go off the record, and I'm not going to be on the call anymore and you will be able to speak with Mr. Dotson. And I've heard testimony from both sides, and I will allow the two sides to speak, and then we'll come back together and we will conclude from there.

So let's be off the record.
(Recess 10:01 to 10:24 a.m..)
THE JUDGE: It is now 10:24 a.m.
Mr. Dotson, were you able to talk with the company and maybe perhaps reach an agreement?

MR. DOTSON: We were unable to reach an agreement.

THE JUDGE: Very good. Then we will continue with the route that we are going on.

I would like to take some last statements from -- or offer the opportunity for last statements from the parties, starting with staff, and then Mr. Papadimas, I'll come back to you and I'll give you a chance to give --

MR. PAPADIMAS: Yes, sir.
THE JUDGE: -- any last words.
So, Mr. Dotson, why don't you go ahead. And I'll remind you that you're still under oath.

MR. DOTSON: Staff has no further statements.
THE JUDGE: Okay.
Mr. Papadimas, is there anything else that you would like to say before we conclude today?

MR. PAPADIMAS: Well, I want to mention what I mentioned to Mr. Dotson just a minute ago.

I've been in a small business 41 years.
Besides paying the mortgage, the tuition, and working hard, I never had -- I've had a notice from IRS, state revenue, and from UTC. Never a single violation in my life. I never going to risk illegal business because I put on line whatever $I$ own, my house, my family and everything. So that was totally out of my line to do something -- to think about to do something like that.

Besides that, at that time, $I$ was fully licensed to operate business. On these grounds, I request to dismiss the case, please.

I never had a criminal case against me, I never had any violation my entire career here, 41 years here in Seattle. 41 years. Never had a single violation.

If $I$ came to the point, $I$ mean -- that's it. That's it.

THE JUDGE: Okay. And I have your testimony that you gave earlier, and $I$ have staff's as well.

MR. PAPADIMAS: Yes, sir.
THE JUDGE: I will consider testimony and the evidence provided by both sides and I will issue a written order in this case, and it will be served in the docket and given to both sides.

Is there any other business we need to take care of today? Staff?

MR. DOTSON: No.
MR. PAPADIMAS: Yes, that's about it.
THE JUDGE: Okay. Then with that, we are adjourned. We'll be off the record. And thank you for your appearances today.

MR. DOTSON: Thank you.
MR. PAPIDIMAS: Thank you for the time, sir.
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