

Assignment Report Motor Carrier Safety

| Upload? Yes No - Reason For Not Uploading: N | ew Entrant |
|---|---|
| 1. Investigator(s): Ray Gardner J577 | 2. Assignment No.: 113153 |
| 3. Current Date:8/13/13 | 4. Date of Activity: 8/12/13 |
| 5. Carrier Name:H. I. S. International Tours NYC Inc | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 6. Permit: N/A 7. New Entrant date of authorized to the N/A 7. New Entrant date of the N/A 7. New Entrant | ority: |
| 8. MOTCAR No.: <u>7387</u> 9. Carri | ier is: Intrastate Only Interstate Only |
| 10. Industry Code: 232 | Intra and Interstate |
| 11. USDOT No.: 980029 | 12. MC No.: |
| 13. Destination Check | |
| Number of Buses/Motor Coaches inspected: 7-15 passer Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Any special emphasis placed on the destination check Describe Special Emphasis What might we do differently to increase our success at | 2 Level 3 Level 5] Yes ☐ No |
| 14. Safety Complaint | |
| ☐ Attach a copy of the Individual Safety Complaint Pla What activity did staff complete for this safety complain ☐ Compliance review ☐ Technical assistance ☐ Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 ☐ Unannounced terminal visit ☐ Other (please explain): | at: |
| 15. New Entrant – Charter, Auto Transportation | |
| Is this carrier referred by FMCSA, operating intra and in Is this carrier based in another state, requesting intrastate Is this carrier based in Washington, requesting intrastate Did staff complete the following: Inspect all vehicles between three and nine months? Number of vehicle inspections: Level 1 Level 2 Conduct a SI/SA between three and nine months? | e authority: |

Revised 9-16-2011

Revised 9-16-2011 2

20. Part B Violations:

| Part | Violations | Part | Violations | Part | Violations |
|--------|------------|------|------------|------|------------|
| 382/40 | | 383 | | 387 | |
| 390 | | 391 | | 392 | |
| 395 | | 396 | | 397 | |
| | | | | | |

| - | MC | MB 1-15 | MB 16+ | SB 1-8 | SB 9-15 | SB 16+ | VAN 1-8 | VAN 9-15 | TRK | TT | TRA |
|-----------------------|----|------------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|---------|----------|-----|----|-----|
| Inspections | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| Defective Vehicles | | | | | | | | 0 | | | |
| OOS Vehicles | | | | | | | | 0 | | | |
| Level | | | | | | | | 5 | | | |

| | MB | MB | Violations: IB | | VAN | | | | | |
|----|------|-----|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|---|
| MC | 1-15 | 16+ | SB 1-8 | SB 9-15 | SB 16+ | VAN 1-8 | 9-15 | TRK | TT | TRA |
| | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MC | | MC 1-15 16+ | MC 1-15 16+ SB 1-8 | MC 1-15 16+ SB 1-8 SB 9-15 | MC 1-15 16+ SB 1-8 SB 9-15 SB 16+ | MC 1-15 16+ SB 1-8 SB 9-15 SB 16+ VAN 1-8 | MC 1-15 16+ SB 1-8 SB 9-15 SB 16+ VAN 1-8 9-15 | MC 1-15 16+ SB 1-8 SB 9-15 SB 16+ VAN 1-8 9-15 TRK | MC 1-15 16+ SB 1-8 SB 9-15 SB 16+ VAN 1-8 9-15 TRK TT |

23. Driver Inspection Violations:

| 23 | i ilispection riola | COHO | | |
|--------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|
| Medical Card | Medical Waiver | Hours of Service | Drivers License | |
| | | | | |
| Comment: | | | | |

Revised 9-16-2011 3

| providing tours in the state of Washington. |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 25. Findings: |
| A check was done to ascertain the carriers operations and to obtain the information as to the structure of |
| the company. The company is a worldwide company. This part of the company is operated in Seattle |
| WA. The branch office for this company is located in Les Angeles CA. The Corporate office for the |
| United States is located in New York City, New York. The parent company is located in Japan. |
| Fechnical assistance was provided to cover all of the requirements in CFR Title 49 as well as the RCV |
| and WAC's. The Branch Manager is Mamoru Tokeshi. Mr. Tokeshi does speak limited English but |
| does have a employee that acts as a translator. A Level 5 inspection was performed on the one vehicle |
| that the carrier will be using in their operation. This inspection was performed using the CVSA criteria. |
| The carrier will be operating a 2010 Merz Sprinter WA. State license # ANA1679. |
| The earner will be operating a 2010 Wetz optimer with State hooms in 1114/11019. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| 26. Recommended Action: |
| No further action. |
| Notify the company in writing of the findings by providing a copy of the safety investigation |
| vehicle inspection report, safety audit or other similar document. |
| Require the company to submit a compliance plan in response to the 15-day letter requirement |
| Recheck – Safety Investigation (Date:) |
| |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) |
| |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. Stop company operations. |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. Stop company operations. 27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity? |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. Stop company operations. 27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity? Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio. |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. Stop company operations. 27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity? Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio. Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. Stop company operations. 27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity? Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio. Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory safety investigation rating in more than one of the last four safety investigations (or less than four if four are not completed) |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. Stop company operations. 27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity? Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio. Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory safety investigation rating in |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. Stop company operations. 27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity? Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio. Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory safety investigation rating in more than one of the last four safety investigations (or less than four if four are not completed) Other (please explain): |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date: |
| Revisit to recheck a specific issue (Date:) Send the company a compliance letter. Require a response: Yes No Issue administrative penalties in the amount of \$ Issue a complaint. Stop company operations. 27. Is this carrier considered a high risk carrier as a result of this activity? Carrier accident ratio is higher than aggregate ratio. Carrier had an out-of-service ratio 25% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier had a defect ratio 75% or higher at the last vehicle inspection. Carrier received more than one conditional or unsatisfactory safety investigation rating in more than one of the last four safety investigations (or less than four if four are not completed) Other (please explain): |

Revised 9-16-2011 4

| Investigator's Signature: Lan Sand | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Initial Review By: | Date: |
| Reviewer's Recommendation: | |
| | |
| Final Review By: PRATT | Date: 8-14-13 |
| Reviewer's Recommendation: | |
| HEREE WITH RECOMMENDATIONS | |
| OK TO ISSUE AUTHORITY | |
| CLOSE & FILE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | |
| | |
| · | |
| | |
| · | |
| | |
| | |
| OFFICE | USE ONLY |
| Date Closed: | By: Mcko Doton |
| Company Name: H. J. S. Litt | rustrond (UV) |
| Assignment #: | |

| Staff Assigned: | Cordion | |
|-----------------|---------|--|
| - | | |
| | | |

Revised 9-16-2011