				Exhibit No(WGJ-1T)
			•	
BEFO	RE THE WASHIN	IGTON UTILITI	ES AND TRANSPO	RTATION COMMISSION
		DOCKET NO.	UE-06	
				
		DIRECT T	ESTIMONY OF	
		WILLIAN	I G. JOHNSON	
	RE	PRESENTING A	VISTA CORPORA	TION

1		<u>I INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and present position with
3	Avista Corp	oration.
4	A.	My name is William G. Johnson. My business address is 1411 East Mission
5	Avenue, Spo	okane, Washington, and I am employed by the Company as a Senior Power
6	Supply Analy	yst in the Energy Resources Department.
7	Q.	What is your educational background?
8	A.	I graduated from the University of Montana in 1981 with a Bachelor of Arts
9	Degree in Po	olitical Science/Economics. I obtained a Master of Arts Degree in Economics
10	from the Uni	versity of Montana in 1985.
11	Q.	How long have you been employed by the Company and what are your
12	duties as a S	Senior Power Supply Analyst?
13	A.	I started working for Avista in April 1990 as a Demand Side Resource
14	Analyst. I jo	oined the Energy Resources Department as a Power Contracts Analyst in June
15	1996. My p	rimary responsibilities involve wholesale power marketing and regulatory issues.
16	Q.	What is the scope of your testimony in this proceeding?
17	A.	My testimony will describe the adjustments to the level of power supply
18	revenues and	l expenses from the amount currently in base rates, and I will also describe the
19	new base lev	vel of power supply costs for Energy Recovery Mechanism (ERM) calculation
20	purposes, usi	ing the pro forma costs proposed by the Company in this filing.
21	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits to be introduced in this proceeding?

1	A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit Nos (WGJ-2) (Power Supply Pro Forma);
2	(WGJ-3) (Description of Power Supply Adjustments); (WGJ-4) (Market Purchases
3	and Sales, Plant Generation and Fuel Cost Summary); and (WGJ-5) (Energy Recovery
4	Mechanism proposed calculations), which were prepared under my supervision and direction.
5	Q. Are other Company witnesses providing testimony regarding issues you

Q. Are other Company witnesses providing testimony regarding issues you are addressing?

A. Yes. Company witness Mr. Kalich provides detailed testimony on the AURORA model used by the Company to develop short-term power purchase expense, fuel expense and short-term power sales revenue included in my exhibits. Company witness Ms. Andrews sponsors the "Pro Forma Power Supply" adjustment for the Washington jurisdiction determined from my net system power supply adjustments.

<u>II SUMMARY</u>

- Q. Please provide an overview of your direct testimony.
- A. My testimony explains adjustments made to normalize power supply expense and revenue items in the pro forma period compared to the power supply expenses currently in base rates. This involves the determination of revenues and expenses based on normal stream flow and expected wholesale market power prices. In addition, adjustments are made to reflect contract changes in the 2007 pro forma period. The net effect of my adjustments to the power supply net expense currently in base rates is an increase of \$51,132,000 on a system basis. The Washington allocation of this adjustment of \$33,318,000 (before the

1	Retail Revenue Credit) is incorporated into the revenue requirement calculation for the
2	Washington jurisdiction by Ms. Andrews.
3	
4	III PRO FORMA POWER SUPPLY COSTS
5	<u>Overview</u>
6	Q. Please identify the specific power supply cost items that are covered by
7	your testimony and the total adjustment being proposed.
8	A. Exhibit No (WGJ-2) identifies the power supply expense and revenue
9	items that fall within the scope of my testimony. These revenue and expense items are
10	related to power purchases and sales, fuel expense, transmission expense, and other
11	miscellaneous power supply expenses and revenues.
12	Q. What is the basis for the adjustments to the power supply revenues and
13	expenses currently in base rates?
14	A. Power supply expenses currently in base rates are based on a 2006 pro forma
15	prepared for the Company's 2005 General Rate Case which used 2004 weather-adjusted
16	loads. Power supply expenses in this case are based on a 2007 pro forma period using
17	forecasted 2007 pro forma loads. Adjustments are also made to reflect contract changes
18	effective in 2007.
19	As in past rate cases, power supply expenses are based on normal weather and normal
20	stream flows. The AURORA model is used to normalize power supply revenues and
21	expenses that are dependent upon hydroelectric generation and wholesale market electricity
22	prices. The AURORA model dispatches Company resources on an hourly basis and

Direct Testimony of William G. Johnson
Avista Corporation
Docket No. UE-06

1	calculates the level of generation from the Company's thermal resources along with the short-
2	term purchases and sales necessary to serve system requirements.
3	Q. What changes has the Company made in the calculation of normal power
4	supply costs from the 2005 General Rate Case?
5	A. The primary change is the use of loads that match the pro forma period. In
6	this case, the pro forma period is calendar year 2007. In the prior case, the Company
7	calculated pro forma power supply revenues and expenses to be included in base rates based
8	on a 2006 pro forma period and historical 2004 test year loads. In this case the Company is
9	using 2007 pro forma loads to better match revenues and expenses in the 2007 pro forma
10	period.
11	Other than using pro forma loads, the process to develop the pro forma net power
12	supply expense is the same as in past rate cases. Power supply adjustments have been
13	prepared using the same methods that have been used in prior general rate cases.
14	A brief description of each adjustment is provided in Exhibit No (WGJ-3).
15	Detailed workpapers have been provided coincident with this filing to support each of the pro-
16	forma revenues and expenses. The detailed workpapers for each adjustment show the level
17	of revenue or expense currently in base retail rates, the actual revenue or expense in 2005
18	and the pro forma revenue or expense for 2007.
19	Q. What is the overall change in normalized power supply costs?
20	A. Power supply expense has increased by approximately \$33.3 million
21	(Washington allocation) from the level currently in base rates. This increase is primarily

driven by wholesale electric and natural gas costs to serve increasing load requirements. Pro

forma 2007 system loads are 72 aMW higher than the 2004 loads that current power supply				
expenses are based on. The increased power supply expense to meet this load is				
approximately \$26.2 million (Washington allocation). The remaining increase in power				
supply expenses are primarily driven by increased fuel expense. Fuel expense is \$25 million				
(Washington allocation) higher than the level currently in base rates. Some of the higher				
fuel expense is embedded in the cost of meeting load growth through the higher cost of				
market purchases that is driven by increased fuel prices. Offsetting some of the additional				
expense is an increase in wholesale sales revenue and the elimination of the Rathdrum lease				
expense because of the purchase of the plant.				

Q. Is there a revenue offset to these increased power supply costs to serve retail loads?

- A. Yes. As Ms. Andrews explains in her testimony, the power supply costs associated with the increased retail load is partially offset by additional revenue from increased retail sales. The offset (Retail Revenue Credit) is approximately \$13.6 million of the \$26.2 million increase in power supply costs associated with increased load requirements.
- Q. Why is it important to use 2007 loads instead of 2005 loads when the ERM accounts for load changes and tracks the increased cost of meeting higher load requirements?
- A. Because the marginal cost of power has become so much higher than the embedded cost, it is important to use loads that are matched with the pro forma period.
- Consider a simple example. If actual loads are 1 MWh higher than the authorized level, the ERM will credit back \$39.03 through the Retail Revenue Credit against the higher

power supply expense. This is because the Company collects \$39.03 from customers, as that is the average production and transmission cost included in the retail rate. If the cost of securing that extra MWh were \$39.03, then there would be no impact in the ERM. The increased expense of serving another MWh of load would be exactly offset by the revenue collected from the customer and credited back through the ERM.

Current circumstances are very different than this example. Instead of \$39.03 to secure an additional MWh, the cost is around \$63. This means that an additional MWh of load creates increased power supply expense of \$23.97 (\$63 marginal cost of power minus the Retail Revenue Credit of \$39.03). If this expense is multiplied by the 40 aMW difference between 2005 and 2007 loads, then annual power supply expense, all other costs being equal, will be understated by \$5.5 million (\$23.97 x 40 aMW x 8760 hrs/yr x 65.16% Washington allocation).

If the Company used 2005 historical loads instead of 2007 loads in developing the pro forma, then power supply expense would be understated by approximately \$5.5 million and the Company would be disadvantaged because the deadband structure of the ERM would require the Company to absorb \$4.8 million of that increased expense. This is not a result of the deadband itself, but rather a result of base power supply expenses not being set at the level of costs that are expected during the 2007 rate period. And while it is true that actual loads in 2007 will not be exactly at the forecasted level, there is a much higher chance that they will be closer to the forecasted level than they will be at the historical level two years earlier.

1 Long-Term Contracts

0.	How are long-term	purchase contracts	s included ir	ı the pro	forma?
----	-------------------	--------------------	---------------	-----------	--------

- A. Long-term purchase contracts are included in the pro forma by including the energy the contract provides in the AURORA model and including the cost based on the contract rates during 2007, multiplied by the energy volume.
- Q. Are there any new power purchases in this pro forma that are not in the power supply expense included in current retail rates?
- A. Yes, there are seven new long-term contracts that were not included in the proforma in the last general rate case. Four of these new contracts are 25 MW purchases that replaced four 25 MW purchases that ended in 2006.
- Q. Are any of these new contracts subject to the limitation for inclusion in the ERM that was part of the recent ERM Settlement?
- A. No. The recent ERM Settlement included limitations on cost recovery for new or renewed contracts that are greater than 50 MW and have more than a two-year term. None of the new contracts included in this pro forma meet that criteria. Only one contract exceeds 50 MW, but that contract is not longer than two years and is an exchange contract that is used to provide transmission. The other new contracts are all less than 50 MW. All of the contracts were entered into prior to the ERM Settlement.

Any new agreements that are greater than 50 MW and more than two years in length will be addressed in a general rate case. The Company will continue to provide copies of all new contracts as part of its monthly ERM Deferral reports.

Q. How are power sale contracts included in the pro forma?

A. Power sale contracts are included in the pro forma in the same way as power purchases. The obligation of the power sale is included in the AURORA model and revenue from the sale is included in the pro forma based on the 2007 contract rate and the sale volume. Most of the Company's sale contracts are for load regulation capacity and do not include the sale of energy. For these contracts, the pro forma includes the revenue based on the contracted capacity and the 2007 contract rate. The obligation is included in AURORA through an on-peak obligation coupled with an equal energy off-peak resource.

Q. Are there any new sale contracts in this pro forma?

A. Yes. A load following capacity sale to Northwestern Energy is the only new sale contract in this pro forma that is not currently in base rates. This contract provides Northwestern Energy dynamic capacity from Avista's system to balance the instantaneous differences between resources and load. A copy of the contract was provided with the November 2005 ERM Monthly Deferral Report.

Thermal Fuel Expense

Q. How are thermal fuel expenses determined in the pro forma?

A. Thermal fuel expenses include Colstrip coal costs, Kettle Falls wood-waste costs and natural gas expense for the Company's gas-fired resources, which include Coyote Springs 2, Rathdrum, Northeast, Boulder Park, and the Kettle Falls combustion turbine. Unit coal costs at Colstrip are based on the long-term coal supply and transportation agreements. Unit wood-fuel costs at Kettle Falls are based on multiple shorter-term contracts with fuel suppliers and inventory. Unit fuel costs for natural gas are based on a three-month average of

Direct Testimony of William G. Johnson Avista Corporation Docket No. UE-06_____ 2007 forward market prices consistent with the method approved in the Company's last rate case. Total fuel costs for each plant are based on the unit fuel cost and the plant's level of generation as determined by the AURORA model. Exhibit No. ____ (WGJ-4) shows the proforma fuel costs by month for each plant.

Transmission Expense

- Q. What are the differences in transmission expense in the 2007 pro forma in this case from the expense currently in base rates?
- A. Transmission expense is similar in the 2007 pro forma to the level currently in base rates. The difference represents the amount of transmission expense included in the pro forma for CS2 and the two new transmission contracts that are not currently in base rates.
 - Q. What is the difference in the transmission purchased for CS2?
- A. The Company currently purchases 222 MW of firm point-to-point (PTP) transmission from BPA and has an exchange agreement in place to meet the remaining transmission requirements for CS2. The prior case included 270 MW of firm PTP transmission, but did not include the exchange agreement. The exchange agreement, although used to provide transmission, is a purchase power expense in Account 555. The net effect is a reduction in Account 565 Transmission expense for CS2 and an increase in Account 555 Purchase Power expense.
- Q. Are there any new transmission contracts that were not included in the prior General Rate Case?
- A. Yes, there are two transmission purchases that were not included in the prior general rate case. The first, labeled Grant Transmission, is an agreement that began in 2006

Direct Testimony of Willia	m G. Johnson
Avista Corporation	
Docket No. UE-06	

1	and runs until November 2007 and has offsetting transmission revenues. The second new
2	transmission expense is labeled Sagle-Northern Lights and is for the purchase of transmission
3	from Northern Lights Utility to serve Avista customers in northern Idaho.
4	Q. How do the transmission adjustments you sponsor relate to the testimony
5	of Mr. Kinney, the Company's transmission expert witness?
6	A. My transmission adjustments represent transmission expenses related to
7	moving generation to and from our generation resources and our system. Mr. Kinney
8	sponsors testimony involving issues associated with transmission planning and transmission
9	revenues (transmission revenues are included in the ERM and noted in my testimony). I note
10	this because the FERC Standards of Conduct rule prohibits my access, as a power supply
11	employee, to certain transmission planning and revenue information.
12	Other Changes in Pro Forma
13	Q. Are there any other changes in power supply expense from what is
14	currently in base retail rates?
15	A. Yes. This pro forma removes the Rathdrum lease expense. As explained by
16	Company witness Mr. Peterson in his testimony, the Company determined that it was cost-
17	effective to terminate the lease and purchase the plant. Ms. Andrews, in her testimony,
18	explains how the Rathdrum plant is now included as part of the Company's rate base and
19	how the associated costs are included in revenue requirements. The lease payment has been
20	removed from power supply expense.
21	

Direct Testimony of William G. Johnson Avista Corporation Docket No. UE-06_____

ERM CALCULATIONS IV1 What effect will this case have on the ERM? 2 0. The only effect this case will have on the ERM is to update the authorized 3 A. expenses and revenues and the Retail Revenue Credit. ERM entries will continue to be 4 calculated in the same manner as current calculations. The final order in this case will 5 determine the new authorized level of power supply expenses, transmission expenses and 6 revenues, and Retail Revenue Credit and retail load used in the ERM calculations. 7 What are the new base numbers proposed by the Company for the ERM? 8 0. The proposed authorized level of annual power supply and transmission 9 A. expense is \$175,509,404. This is the sum of Accounts 555 (Purchased Power), 501 (Thermal 10 Fuel), 547 (Fuel), 565 (Transmission) and broker fees, less Account 447 (Sales for Resale). 11 The proposed level of transmission revenue (Account 456.100) is \$9,892,740. (Transmission 12 revenue numbers are provided by Mr. Kinney.) 13 The level of retail sales and the Retail Revenue Credit have also been updated. The 14 proposed Retail Revenue Credit is \$44.27/MWh. The proposed monthly power supply 15 expense, transmission expense and revenue, and retail sales for ERM calculation purposes is 16 shown in Exhibit No. (WGJ-5). 17

Does that conclude your pre-filed direct testimony?

18

19

Q.

A.

Yes.

Exhibit No(WGJ-2)
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
DOCKET NO. UE-06
EXHIBIT NO(WGJ-2)
WILLIAM G. JOHNSON
REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Corp.

Power Supply Pro Forma - Washington Jurisdiction

System Numbers - Authorized and 2007 Pro Forma

Line <u>No.</u>		Jan 06 - Dec 06 Authorized	Adjustment	Jan 07 - Dec 07 Normalized
	555 PURCHASED POWER		0.5040	600.004
1	Short-Term Market Purchases	\$20,917	\$15,946	\$36,864
2	Rocky Reach	1,916	276	2,192
3	Wanapum	3,534	1,220	4,754
4	Wells	1,177	156	1,333
5	Priest Rapids Products	0	2,463	2,463
6	Grant Displacement	5,512	718	6,230
7	Grant Revenue Credit	-2,690	2,690	0
8	Douglas Settlement	0	717	717
9	WNP-3	13,906	-2,278	11,628
10	Deer Lake-IP&L	4	1	5
11	Small Power	921	70	991
12	Haleywest	1,589	435	2,024
13	Spokane-Upriver	2,003	-335	1,668
14	Black Creek Index Purchase	442	-31	410
15	Contract A	6,680	110	6,789
16	Contract B	6,132	613	6,745
17	Contract C	6,132	526	6,658
18	Contract D	6,953	603	7,556
19	CS2 Exchange	0	1,533	1,533
20	TRC Purpa Purchase	0	5,124	5,124
21	PPM Wind Purchase	3,186	-477	2,709
22	Total Account 555	78,313	30,080	108,393
	556 SYSTEM CONTROL & DISPATCH	_	•	0
23	Microwave Charge	2	-2	
24	BPA Power Factor Penalty Charge	150	433	583
25	Total Account 556	152	431	583
	557 OTHER EXPENSES	78	30	108
26	Broker Commission Fees	0	0	0
27 28	Fixed-Price Gas Purchases Total Account 557	78	30	108
	501 THERMAL FUEL EXPENSE			
29	Kettle Falls - Wood Fuel	8,095	2,121	10,216
30	Colstrip - Coal	10,683	4,151	14,834
31	Colstip - Oil	188	12	200
32	Total Account 501	18,966	6,284	25,251
	547 OTHER FUEL EXPENSE	50.004	07.075	87,269
33	Coyote Springs Gas	59,394	27,875	
. 34	Gas Transportation Charge	6,240	1,915	8,155 5,419
35	Rathdrum Gas	81	5,337	5,418
36	Northeast CT Gas	0	434	434
37	Boulder Park Gas	3,238	-1,052	2,186
38	Kettle Falls CT Gas	593	-504	88
39	Rathdrum Gas Storage Fee	480	-480 33.534	0 103,551
40	Total Account 547	70,026	33,524	103,331

565 TRANSMISSION OF ELECTRICITY BY OTHERS

Pro Forma
Authorized vs Pro Forma 07
Page 1 of 2

Avista Corp. Power Supply Pro Forma - Washington Jurisdiction System Numbers - Authorized and 2007 Pro Forma

Line		Jan 06 - Dec 06	Adjustment	Jan 07 - Dec 07 Normalized
<u>No.</u>		Authorized 772	Adjustment 19	791
41	WNP-3	0	519	519
42	Grant Transmission	49	0	49
43	Black Creek Wheeling	348	-103	245
44	Wheeling for System Sales & Purchases	8,315	-677	7,638
45	PTP for Colstrip & Coyote	1,245	-72	1,173
46	BPA Townsend-Garrison Wheeling	1,689	444	2,133
47	Avista on BPA - Borderline	32	0	32
48	Kootenai for Worley	0	77	77
49	Sagle-Northern Lights	214	11	225
50	Garrison-Burke		0	643
51	PGE Firm Wheeling	643	218	13,525
52	Total Account 565	13,307	210	13,323
	536 WATER FOR POWER	750	74	681
53	Headwater Benefits Payments	752	-71	001
	550 RENTS			•
54	Rathdrum Lease Payments	6,729	-6,729	0
	549 MISC OTHER GENERATION EXPENSE			
55	Rathdrum Municipal Payment	133	106	239
56	TOTAL EXPENSE	188,457	63,873	252,330
	447 SALES FOR RESALE			
57	Short-Term Market Sales	56,332	11,144	67,476
58	Peaker (PGE) Capacity Sale	1,800	0	1,800
59	Spokane Energy Service Fee - Peaker Sale	-63	-1	-64
60	Nichols Pumping Sale	3,327	1,060	4,387
61	BPA on AVA Load Following Revenue	272	-272	0
62	Kaiser DES	69	-7	62
63	Pend Oreille DES & Spinning	324	66	390
64	Northwestern Load Following	0	1,267	1,267
65	Total Account 447	62,061	13,257	75,318
00		,	·	
	456 OTHER ELECTRIC REVENUE	48	16	64
66	Spokane Energy Scheduling Services		0	0
67	Gas Not Consumed Sales Revenue	0	16	64
68	Total Account 456	48	10	04
	453 SALES OF WATER AND WATER POWER			
69	Upstream Storage Revenue	365	-130	235
	,			
	454 MISC RENTS	24	-5	19
70	Colstrip Rents			75,636
71	TOTAL REVENUE	62,498	13,138	
72	TOTAL NET EXPENSE	125,959	50,735	176,695
73	Settlement/Compliance Filing Adjustments	-397		
74	TOTAL NET EXPENSE WITH ADJUSTMENTS	125,562	51,132	176,695

Exhibit No(WGJ-3)
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
DOCKET NO. UE-06
EXHIBIT NO(WGJ-3)
WILLIAM G. JOHNSON
REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION

Exhibit	No.	(WGJ-3)

Avista Corp. Brief Description of Power Supply Adjustments

Line No.

 Short-term Purchases - Short-term purchases are normalized through use of the AURORA Dispatch Simulation Model. The pro forma value reflects the short-term purchases during the pro forma period from the dispatch simulation study.

- 2 Rocky Reach The pro forma cost for Rocky Reach is based on Chelan PUD's budgeted expenses. Avista's costs are based on the Company's 2.9% share of total cost.
- Wanapum Pro forma costs are based on Grant County PUD's Power Cost Forecast for Wanapum. Avista's costs are based on the Company's 8.2% share of total cost.
- Wells Wells' costs are based on Douglas PUD's Power Purchaser's Pro-Forma Statement. Avista's costs are based on the Company's 3.5% share of total cost.
- Priest Rapids Products Priest Rapids pro forma costs include the purchase of a small percentage of the project output at project cost, a larger portion at market rates and a revenue credit for Avista's allocation of the project sold at market rates.
- **Grant Displacement** Grant Displacement is scheduled energy from Grant PUD that is priced at the BPA PF rate.
- 7 Grant Revenue Credit Grant Revenue Credit is included in the Priest Rapids line item.
- **Douglas Settlement -** Douglas Settlement is for a small (approx. 4 aMW) of power Avista purchases from Douglas PUD at a below-market rate.
- 9 WNP-3 Pro forma costs are based on the five-year average amount of energy and the midpoint rate for contract year 2005 through 2006 escalated at the five-year average escalation rate.
- **Deer Lake-IP&L** Pro forma expense is for power purchased from Inland Power to serve Avista customers.
- **Small Power** Pro forma costs are based on expected generation and pro forma period contract rates. (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- **Haleywest** This purchase is from the cogeneration plant at Plummer, Idaho. Pro forma costs are based on expected generation and pro forma period contract rates. This

J-3)

contract expires September 2006, but expectation is that it will be extended at avoided cost rates. (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).

- Spokane-Upriver Pro forma expense is based on the new contract effective July 2004. Pro forma expense is based on a purchase on the net of pumping (at the plant) generation at a rate equal to the eight year levelized avoided cost included in the Company's 2003 Integrated Resource Plan.
- 14 Black Creek Index Purchase Expense is for an October purchase at index prices less transmission expense and a margin.
- 15 Contract A This is a power purchase for the period January 2007 through December 2010 (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- 16 Contract B This is a power purchase for the period January 2007 through December 2010 (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- **Contract C** This is a power purchase for the period January 2007 through December 2010 (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- **Contract D** This is a power purchase for the period January 2007 through December 2010 (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- **CS2 Exchange** The CS2 exchange expense is for an arrangement where Avista has the option to deliver power at the Coyote Springs 2 bus and receive power at the Mid Columbia.
- **TRC Purpa Purchase** The TRC (Thompson River Cogen) purchase is a pending agreement to purchase power from a qualifying cogeneration facility.
- PPM-Stateline Wind Purchase Pro forma expense is for a ten-year purchase from a Northwest wind project. Expense is based on expected energy amount times the contract rate. (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- 22 Total Account 555
- 23 Microwave Charge Contract ended in 2006.
- BPA Power Factor Penalty Charge As part of its 2002 Transmission Rate Case, BPA began charging interconnected control areas for reactive power supplied by BPA. Pro forma expense is based on recent BPA charges.
- 25 Total Account 556

Exhibit No.	(WGJ-3)
-------------	---------

- **Broker Commission Fees** Pro forma expense is associated with purchases and sales of electricity and natural gas fuel.
- Fixed Cost Gas Purchases This is the expense for natural gas purchased for but not consumed for generation. Pro forma expense is \$0 because all gas purchased is assumed to be used for generation, and is included in Account 547.
- 28 Total Account 557
- Kettle Falls Wood Fuel Cost Pro forma fuel expense is based on the generation of the Kettle Falls plant in the AURORA model simulation and the unit cost of fuel.
- 30 Colstrip Coal Cost Pro forma fuel expense is based on the generation of the Colstrip plant in the AURORA model simulation and the unit cost of fuel.
- 31 Colstrip Oil Pro forma expense is for start-up oil expense.
- 32 Total Account 501
- Coyote Springs Gas Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA model simulation based on the unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- Gas Transportation Charge This expense is for transportation of natural gas from AECO to the Coyote Springs 2 plant. Pro forma expense is based on transportation charges in Canada and from the Canadian Border (Kingsgate) and for the Coyote Springs lateral.
- Rathdrum Gas Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA model simulation based on the unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- Northeast CT Gas Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA model simulation based on the unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- **Boulder Park Gas** Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA model simulation based on the unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.
- **Kettle Falls CT Gas** Pro forma expense is an output of the AURORA model simulation based on the unit cost of fuel and the dispatch of the plant, which determines the volume of fuel consumed.

Exhibit No	(WGJ-3)
------------	---------

 39 Rathdrum Gas Storage Fee - Contract ended April 2005.

40 Total Account 547

- WNP-3 Transmission Pro forma WNP-3 wheeling is based on 32.22 MW at a rate of \$2.02/kW/mo through Sep. 2007 and \$2.12/kW/mo Oct through Dec 2007.
- **Grant Transmission** Expense is for transmission purchased from Grant PUD through Oct. 2007 and is offset by transmission revenue.
- **Black Creek Wheeling -** Expense is for wheeling and shaping associated with the Black Creek power purchase.
- 44 Wheeling for System Sales and Purchases Pro forma expense is short-term transmission purchases and is based on an average of the past five years.
- BPA PTP Wheeling for Colstrip and Coyote Springs 2 This wheeling is for the transmission of 196 MW from Colstrip at the Garrison Substation and 222 MW from the Coyote Springs 2 plant to Avista's system. Pro forma expense is based on 418 MW of capacity at a rate of \$1.504/kW/mo through Sep. 2007 and \$1.579 Oct. through Dec. 2007.
- **BPA Townsend-Garrison Wheeling -** This expense is for the transmission of Colstrip power from the Townsend Substation to the Garrison Substation.
- 47 Avista on BPA Borderline This expense is to serve Avista load off of BPA transmission. Pro forma expense is based on Avista's borderline loads priced at BPA's NT transmission rates plus ancillary services cost and use of facilities charges.
- 48 Kootenai for Worley This expense is for Avista load served using Kootenai PUD's facilities.
- **Sagle-Northern Lights** Expense is for transmission purchased from Northern Light Utility to serve Avista customers in northern Idaho.
- Garrison Burke Garrison Burke wheeling is an expense for the transmission of Colstrip energy above 196 MW from the Garrison Substation over Montana Power's transmission system to the interconnection of Montana and Avista at Burke. The proforma expense is based on the five-year average expense.
- PGE Firm Wheeling PGE firm wheeling reflects the cost of transmission from the John Day Substation to COB (Intertie South) purchased from Portland General Electric. The pro forma expense is based on 100 MW at the current rate of \$.53549/kW/mo.

Total Account 565

- Headwater Benefits Expense Pro forma expense is based on the expense for contract year September 2005 through August 2006.
- Rathdrum Lease Payments Pro forma expense is \$0 because the Company purchased the plant in September 2006.
- Rathdrum Municipal Payment This includes a payment in Jan. 2007 of \$155,240 and amortization of a \$1 million payment in 1995 to the city of Rathdrum for mitigation related to the Rathdrum generating facility.
- Total Expenses Sum of Accounts 555, 556, 557, 501, 547, 656, 536, 550, 549 and 553.
- Short-Term Market Sales Short-term sales volumes and market prices are normalized through use of the AURORA model simulation. The pro forma value reflects short-term sales during the pro forma period.
- Peaker (PGE) Capacity Sale This pro forma revenue is based on 150 MW of capacity at a price of \$1/kW/mo.
- Spokane Energy Service Fee - This is an expense associated with the Peaker (PGE) Capacity Sale. The pro forma revenue reduction in Account 447 is \$63,000, of which \$48,000 is included as Spokane Energy Scheduling Service revenue in Account 456.
- Nichols Pumping Sale This is a sale of energy to other Colstrip Units 3 and 4 owners at the Mid Columbia index price. Pro forma revenue is based on 8 MW at the market price as determined by the AURORA model.
- BPA on Avista Load Following Revenue Revenue is included in transmission revenues.
- Kaiser DES This contract provides load control services to Kaiser's Trentwood plant. (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- Pend Oreille DES & Spinning Reserves - This contract provides load control and spinning reserves for Pend Oreille PUD. (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- Northwestern Load Following This contract provides load control services to Northwestern Energy. (Contract details are provided in a CONFIDENTIAL workpaper).
- **Total Account 447**

Exhibit No. (V	۷G٠	J-3)
----------------	-----	------

- Spokane Energy Scheduling Services This revenue is for scheduling services provided to Spokane Energy to serve the Peaker (PGE) Capacity Sale. This revenue (except \$15,000 retained to pay for administrative expenses related to servicing the contract) offsets the revenue reduction in Account 447.
- 67 Gas Not Consumed Sales Revenue This is the revenue for natural gas purchased for but not consumed for generation. Pro forma expense is \$0 because all gas purchased is assumed to be used for generation, and is included in Account 547.
- 68 Total Account 456
- **Upstream Storage Revenue** Pro forma revenue is based on the revenue for contract year September 2005 through August 2006.
- 70 Colstrip Rents Pro forma revenue is based on expected revenue.
- **Total Revenue** Sum of Accounts 447, 456, 453 and 454.
- 72 Total Net Expense Total expense minus total revenue.
- 73 Settlement/Compliance Filing Adjustments This is the total level of adjustments approved in Docket Nos. UE-050482 and UG-050483 (Consolidated), Order No. 5 "Approving and Adopting Settlement Agreement With Conditions" dated December 21, 2005.
- 74 Total Net Expense with Adjustments This is the total net expense including the Settlement/Compliance Filing Adjustments.

Exhibit No(WGJ-4)	
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	
DOCKET NO. UE-06	
EXHIBIT NO(WGJ-4)	
WILLIAM G. JOHNSON	
REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION	

Avista Corp. Market Purchases and Sales, Plant Generation and Fuel Cost Summary Proforma January 2007 - December 2007

		77.	Ę	ļ	ţ	;	i	i	į				
	Total	Jan-05	Feb-05	Mar-05	Apr-05	May-05	Jun-05	Jul-05	744 Aug-05	720 Sep-04	745 Oct-04	720 Nov-04	744 Dec-04
Market Sales - Dollars	-\$67,476,020	-\$3,982,867	-\$5.445.666	-\$2.791.110	-\$9.480.845	-\$10 128 139	.\$10 OBS 585	CR 669 170	62 462 087	\$4 646 416	61 328 800	100000	100
Market Sales - MWh	(1,250,811)	-49,136	-70,360	-44,683	-167,263	-257,736	-268.387	-129.496	-35.699	-59.714	-26 120	-84,043,734	-87, US, 184
Average market bases Price - V MVD	\$53.95	\$81.06		\$62.46	\$56.68	\$39.30	\$37.59	\$43.77	\$69.02	\$76.14	\$68.10	874 29	CBC 40
Market Purchases - Dougls	\$36,863,500	\$5,420,321	\$2,373,099	\$4,629,046	\$400,289	\$159,346	\$176,948	\$1,740,067	\$5,385,226	\$3,602,277	\$5,112,254	\$3,205,463	\$4.659.165
Average Market Purchase Dring . Calable	750,226	78,020	32,868	63,290	8,729	3,474	4,441	33,671	77,464	49,406	71,685	39,651	59.400
Net Market Purchases (Sales) MAN	10.0%	469.47	\$72.20	\$73.14	\$45.86	\$45.87		\$51.68	\$69.52	\$72.91	\$71.32	\$80.84	\$78.44
Net Market Purchases (Sales) and	*i / '07 /-	28,885	-37,492	18,607	-158,535	-254,262	-263,946	-95,825	41,765	-10,308	45,565	-14,780	-28.388
Average Sale and Purchase Drive - Cataly	3	8	9	52	-220	-345	-367	-129	8	4-	61	-21	ş
	\$30.03	\$73.85	\$75.74	\$68.72	\$56.15	\$39.38	\$37.63	\$45.40	\$69.36	\$74.68	\$70.46	\$77.05	\$79.61
Coistrip MWh	1,604,948	150,388	137.700	140.253	989	81 884	96 416	130 737	167 084	100,000	,	,	
Colstrip Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$9.24	\$9.24	\$9.24	\$9.24	\$9.24	\$9.24	\$9.24	89.24	20.00	107,701	7/00	157,161	153,524
Cosano Fuel Cost	\$14,834,270	\$1,390,011	\$1,272,739	\$1,296,335	\$893,594	\$756,837	\$891,155	\$1,208,383	\$1,451,897	\$1,412,133	\$1,439,764	\$1.402.425	\$1.418.997
Kettle Falls MWh	376,317	33,429	30,161	33,347	32.222	33.171	15.515	44 257	33 401	23.460	22.460	6	
Kette Falls Fuel Cost \$/MWh	\$27.15	\$24.82	\$25,15	\$26.14	\$27.60	\$27.88		\$27.89	\$27 GR	\$27.82	\$27 GB	32,309	55,451
	\$10,216,430	\$829.624	\$758,498	\$871,758	\$889,312	\$924,747	\$432,939	\$927.438	\$927,009	\$902,913	\$936,587	\$902.118	\$913.486
Coyote Springs MWh	1,390,544	146,689	119,638	116,581	92,345	40,819	57,236	90,598	142.264	152.124	146.437	137 954	147 850
Covote Springs Fuel Cost	\$02.70	\$68.27	\$69.11	\$68.24	\$60.16	\$58.73	\$59.59	\$58.05	\$57.87	\$57.95	\$59.14	\$63.03	867.68
ten on the standard on the	191,802,164	\$10,013,796	\$8,268,404	\$7,955,270	\$5,555,200	\$2,397,253	\$3,410,533	\$5,259,280	\$8,232,102	\$8,815,381	\$8,659,734	\$8,695,093	\$10,007,095
Boulder Park MWh Boulder Park Enal Cost & MWh	26,351	2,027	2,275	2,055	2,419	1,418	712	952	2,515	2.824	2.873	3.302	2 950
Boulder Park Fuel Cost	62 486 628	\$91.39	\$91.15	\$89.82	\$76.04	\$74.66	\$75.12	\$76.93	\$77.69	\$78.23	\$79.14	\$84.32	\$90.71
	94,103,340	607'091 €	\$207,375	\$184,610	\$183,937	\$105,886	\$53,459	\$73,228	\$195,354	\$220,922	\$227,373	\$280,109	\$268,417
Kette Falls CT MWh Kette Falls CT East Co. \$ 8.0001	1,089	231	188	3	149	8	4	37	162	8	g	έ.	83
Kette Fals CT Fire Cost	301.23	\$8.78	\$87.92	\$86.40	\$73.40	\$71.70	\$72.98	\$74.87	\$75.12	\$74.90	\$75.35	\$81.29	\$89.01
	00,000	\$20,349	\$16,505	\$2,656	\$10,955	\$2,564	\$517	\$2,736	\$12,191	\$7,221	\$2,940	\$2,046	\$7,780
Rathdrum MWh Rathdrum Fuel Cost \$/MWh	51,272	5,258	5,397	2,940	4,620	2,316	1,109	1,586	4,654	4.702	5.386	5.988	7.316
Rathdrum Fuel Cost	447 9ED	\$119.62	\$1.5.18	\$113.26	\$96.04	\$94.01	\$95.08	\$97.20	\$98.28	\$90.69	\$99.53	\$106.16	\$114.97
	000,714,00	996,7096	\$621,659	\$332,997	\$443,650	\$217,732	\$105,449	\$154,106	\$457,417	\$464,052	\$536,093	\$635,640	\$841,075
Northeast MWh Northeast Fuel Cost \$/MWh	3,587	551	703	151	349	132	5	9	317	245	526	500	264
Northeast Fuel Cost	\$434,145	\$71,180	\$90.888	\$19 227	\$107.94	\$105.U/	\$107.41	\$109.88	\$110.84	\$111.16	\$111.02	\$119.55	\$130.31
Total Fuel Evnance					200	2001	the contract of	cha'at	\$30,083	\$27,211	\$28,409	\$25,010	\$73,521
	\$120,446,235	\$13,118,208	\$11,236,068	\$10,662,853	\$8,014,314	\$4,418,871	\$4,899,546	\$7,631,776	\$11,311,052	\$11,849,833	\$11,830,901	\$11,942,441	\$13,530,371
Net Fuel and Purchase Expense	680 833 748												:

Page 1 of 1

Exhibit No(WGJ-5)
BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
DOCKET NO. UE-06
EXHIBIT NO(WGJ-5)
WILLIAM G. JOHNSON
REPRESENTING AVISTA CORPORATION

Avista Corp.
Energy Recovery Mechanism
Proposed Power Supply Expense, Transmission Expense and Revenue, and Retail Sales

ERM Power Supply Expense

<u>Dec</u>	093 12,123,054					18,65	751 1,087,044	671 694,824	<u> </u>	Ž	•••	410 136,136,702 300 83 076 415		332 2,270,636	371 532.962.619			0.14 5.40 600 870
Nov	10,395,093	2,321,210	•		•	18,34	1,086,751	719,671	Š		•	84 005 300		3 2,272,332	431,020,371			449,986,014
胬	10,439,209	2,393,018	10,149,072	2,400,526	0006	20,589,773	1,188,101	846,799	ğ	3	33,410,559 33,410,559	81.958 788	12,649,572	2,266,423	442,764,20	11 707 600		454,561,895
Seg	8,388,088	2,331,712	10,229,310	5,256,712	000'6	15,701,399	1,128,026	847,966	Sec	Ž	163,652,262 33,830,615	85,869,160	20,809,903	2,270,781	454,749,860 442,100,370 442,764,205	-32 463 070		409,050,391
Avg	10,271,710	2,395,573	9,626,668	3,188,392	000'6	19,114,559	1,128,320	849,420	Aug	1	33,375,227	88.099,640	22,340,857	2,279,286	454,749,860	12 501 699		401,152,109
INT.	6,651,001	2,152,488	6,190,477	6,232,363	6,000	8,770,603	1,128,320	847,669	3	i i	154,548,835 31,611,840 118,810,043	84.101,985	18,523,921	2,273,095	409,869,719	41.519.760	40E 197 799 4E1 380 470	401,000,104
unr	4,980,019	1,340,761	4,270,005	10,565,393	000'6	34,392	1,128,026	847,461	un r	ı	151,921,294 30,337,673 129 157 927	82,879,005	13,456,597	2,272,359	410,024,855	-3.897.127	406 197 798	400, 121,120
Max	5,264,016	1,698,251	3,431,840	10,638,615	9,000	-235,508	1,128,320	848,187	Max	1	162,191,414 31,046,446 123,827,406	81,334,167	8,978,574	2,274,385	409,652,392	2.308.488	414 899 385 411 960 880	200'000'
Apr	6,585,639	1,799,573	6,925,961	10,109,375	9,000	5,210,798	1,128,014	847,122	Apr		196,150,377 34,040,115 123,606,584	80,550,248	4,884,507	2,275,008	441,507,640	-26,608,255	414 899 385	anainani.
Mar	10,965,867	2,184,760	9,189,313	3,531,968	9,000	18,816,972	1,131,803	848,238	Mar		230,312,140 37,049,672 129,228,304	76,974,205	3,611,633	2,321,131	479,503,111	13,127,776		
Feb	9,405,902	2,047,904	9,899,383	6,180,698	9,000	15,181,491	1,130,923	847,889	즲		233,551,231 38,353,630 131,744,693	82,076,393	3,848,351	2,210,131	491,853,035	-26,838,248	465.014.786	
Jan	12,923,228	2,236,301	11,413,332	4,778,379	000'6	21,803,482	1,131,803	847,493	Jan	00	266,891,426 41,588,861 135,788,063	83,794,799	3,708,266	2,000,003	556,072,274	-8,150,708	547,921,566	
Total	108,392,826	25,250,700	103,550,193	75,317,764	108,000	161,983,954	13,525,450	9,892,740	Total	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	4,303,814,816 419,172,101 1,568,071,363	995,710,203	121,950,109 27 361 858	200,100,12	5,502,080,450 556,072,274 491,853,035		5,502,080,450 547,921,566 465,014,786	
	Purchaed Power - Acct 555	Thermal Fuel - Acct 501	Natural Gas Fuel - Acct 547	Sales for Resale - Acct 447	Broker Fees	Net Power Supply Expense	ERM Transmission Expense	ERM Transmission Revenue	Washington ERM Retall Sales, kWh	t en production	Schedule 11/12 Schedule 21/22	Schedule 25	Schedule 31/32 Schedules 41 - 49		Total kWhs	Adj to Calendar	Calendar 2007 PF Load	