```
1
               BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
                    TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
 2
     WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
     TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,
                                   ) DOCKET NO. UW-030496
 4
                     Complainant,
                                   ) Volume I
 5
                                     Pages 1 to 10
                                   )
               vs.
 6
     KAYAK POINT WATER COMPANY
 7
    INCORPORATED,
 8
                     Respondent.
 9
10
11
                A pre-hearing conference in the above matter
12
     was held on September 19, 2003, from 1:30 p.m to 1:40
13
     p.m., at 1300 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Room
     108, Olympia, Washington, before Administrative Law
14
15
    Judge KAREN M. CAILLE.
16
                The parties were present as follows:
17
                THE COMMISSION, by MARY M. TENNYSON, Senior
    Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen Park
18
    Drive Southwest, Post Office Box 40128, Olympia,
     Washington, 98504-0128, Telephone (360) 664-1220, Fax
19
     (360) 586-5522, E-Mail mtennyso@wutc.wa.gov; and by LISA
     WATSON, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 South Evergreen
20
     Park Drive Southwest, P.O. Box 40128, Olympia,
21
    Washington 98504-0128, Telephone (360) 664-1186, Fax
     (360) 586-5522, E-Mail lwatson@wutc.wa.gov.
22
23
24
     Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR
25
    Court Reporter
```

Τ	KAYAK POINT WATER COMPANY, INCORPORATED, BY
	RICHARD A. FINNIGAN, Attorney at Law, 2405 Evergreen
2	Park Drive Southwest, Suite B-1, Olympia, Washington
-	98502, Telephone (360) 956-7001, Fax (360) 753-6862,
3	E-mail rickfinn@ywave.com; and by SETH BAILEY, Attorney
	at Law, 2405 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Suite B-1,
4	Olympia, Washington 98502, Telephone (360) 956-7211.
_	Fax (360) 753-6268, E-mail sbailey@ywave.com.
5	
_	
6	
-	
7	
0	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
10	
17	
_ ,	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
43	
24	
- 1	
25	

1 PROCEEDINGS

- JUDGE CAILLE: We are here today for the
- 3 first pre-hearing conference in a proceeding in Docket
- 4 Number UW-030496 entitled Washington Utilities and
- 5 Transportation Commission versus Kayak Point Water
- 6 Company, Incorporated, and this matter concerns tariff
- 7 revisions designed to add language to implement a Cross
- 8 Connection Control Program and to implement charges for
- 9 inspections. The Commission suspended the operation of
- 10 the tariff revisions pending hearing concerning such
- 11 charges, and the justness and reasonableness of those
- 12 charges and changes.
- 13 My name is Karen Caille, and I am the
- 14 presiding Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding.
- 15 Today is September the 19th, and we are convened in a
- 16 hearing room in Olympia, Washington. I would like to
- 17 start today just by taking appearances, if you will --
- 18 well, everyone here knows how to do it, and let's begin
- 19 with the company.
- 20 MR. FINNIGAN: Richard A. Finnigan on behalf
- 21 of the company. The phone number is, phone number, the
- 22 address is, sure I know how to do this, the address is
- 23 2405 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Suite B-1, Olympia,
- 24 Washington 98502. The phone number is, my phone number
- 25 is (360) 956-7001, fax is (360) 753-6862, e-mail is

- 1 rickfinn@ywave.com. With me today is Seth Bailey. The
- 2 information for Mr. Bailey is the same with the
- 3 exception of the phone number, which is (360) 956-7211,
- 4 and Mr. Bailey's e-mail address, which I will let him
- 5 put on the record since I am not sure I know it.
- 6 MR. BAILEY: My e-mail address is
- 7 sbailey@ywave.com.
- 8 JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you.
- 9 And for Commission Staff.
- 10 MS. TENNYSON: Thank you, I'm Mary Tennyson,
- 11 Senior Assistant Attorney General. Address is 1400
- 12 South Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Post Office Box
- 13 40128, Olympia, Washington 98504-0128. Telephone is
- 14 (360) 664-1220, fax is (360) 586-5522, e-mail is
- 15 mtennyso@wutc.wa.gov. With me and taking over the case
- 16 if anything changes from what we plan to do today is
- 17 Assistant Attorney General Lisa Watson, and I'm going to
- 18 let her put her telephone number and e-mail in the
- 19 record.
- MS. WATSON: My telephone number is (360)
- 21 664-1186, and my e-mail is lwatson@wutc.wa.gov.
- 22 JUDGE CAILLE: All right, thank you. Let the
- 23 record reflect there are no other appearances.
- 24 It looks like there's no petitioners to
- 25 intervene.

- 1 Any motions?
- MS. TENNYSON: Not exactly a motion.
- 3 MR. FINNIGAN: I think what we would like to
- 4 do is to enter a settlement into the record and ask that
- 5 on the basis of that settlement the suspension be lifted
- 6 and the tariff be allowed to go into effect.
- 7 MS. TENNYSON: And then we would waive
- 8 initial order and go directly to final order on that.
- 9 MR. FINNIGAN: Correct.
- 10 MS. TENNYSON: And I can give you a little
- 11 bit of background on it. As you indicated in the
- 12 opening statement, this is a tariff to implement a Cross
- 13 Connection Control Program and charges for certain
- 14 aspects of that program. There are various charges
- 15 within that. It's a program that is mandated by
- 16 Department of Health requirements that all water
- 17 companies institute. The Commission has sort of a
- 18 generic tariff that most companies have implemented. In
- 19 looking at this tariff, Staff had some concerns about
- 20 some of the charges this company proposed, and one of
- 21 the charges that Staff still has concerns about is the
- 22 dollar amount of the company's charge for disconnection
- 23 and reconnection.
- 24 The Cross Connection Control Program, the
- 25 tariff rules include several circumstances in which if

- 1 the customer does not take certain actions, then the
- 2 remedy the company has is disconnection. And for
- 3 example, if the company sends out a survey to find out
- 4 if there is a need for a cross connection control device
- 5 on the customer's premises and if the customer does not
- 6 respond, the remedy is disconnection.
- 7 In looking at the tariffs and discussing it
- 8 with Mr. Finnigan this week, I realized that the charge
- 9 for disconnection is not actually part of the tariff
- 10 that is before the Commission. It is in a separate part
- 11 of the company's tariff, has not been I guess formally
- 12 reviewed by the Commission in the past because it was
- 13 part of the company's initial tariff filing.
- 14 Staff is in discussions with the company, and
- 15 we understand that they are providing information to
- 16 justify the charges or to change the charges. If that
- 17 does not happen or doesn't happen in a manner as timely
- 18 as Staff would like, Staff always has the remedy of
- 19 asking the Commission to initiate a complaint against
- 20 that part of the tariff, but that is not at issue in
- 21 this case.
- Therefore, we believe the appropriate remedy
- 23 would be to dismiss this proceeding and to allow the
- 24 tariff for the Cross Connection Control Program to go
- 25 into effect.

0007

- 1 MR. FINNIGAN: And from the company's
- 2 perspective, as you know, this water system has recently
- 3 been the subject of a purchase and sale transaction, so
- 4 technically the party before you is the old company.
- 5 But be that as it may, the new owners of the water
- 6 system agree that the tariff rate for the
- 7 reconnect/disconnect rate appears on its face to be
- 8 higher than it should be and have agreed with Staff that
- 9 they would enter into discussions to try and come up
- 10 with the appropriate rate. There has been some
- 11 information provided to Staff. Staff has asked for
- 12 additional information, and the company has agreed to
- 13 gather that information, and we hope we would be able to
- 14 reach an agreement on the appropriate rate in the very
- 15 near future.
- So this is not something the company is
- 17 resisting at all. It has agreed with Staff that yes,
- 18 the rate does appear to be higher than it should be. So
- 19 we think that's an appropriate way to resolve this
- 20 particular matter, and we were willing to put on the
- 21 record the company's commitment to work towards trying
- 22 to arrive at a rate that all parties can agree is
- 23 appropriate.
- JUDGE CAILLE: All right, sounds good. So
- 25 procedurally, were you thinking that just this oral

- 1 statement on the record would be enough to dismiss
- 2 the --
- 3 MS. TENNYSON: We're hopeful of that, because
- 4 it just essentially is not something we properly have
- 5 jurisdiction over I think is where we are.
- 6 MR. FINNIGAN: And if we hadn't reached this,
- 7 quite frankly we would be moving to dismiss the
- 8 proceedings as a matter of law. But I mean we won't --
- 9 since we have agreed, you know, with Staff that we need
- 10 to look at this issue, we thought it would be better if
- 11 we just try and cooperate and get this part of it taken
- 12 care of. In particular, since there are no interveners
- 13 and this is a program required by the Department of
- 14 Health, it doesn't make sense to have a settlement
- 15 hearing. In my mind it doesn't make sense to have a
- 16 settlement hearing or try and schedule a public hearing
- 17 to see if anybody wants to come and comment on it. So
- 18 Ms. Tennyson and I thought that by putting the
- 19 settlement agreement on the record verbally this
- 20 afternoon and then asking the Commission to act on that
- 21 might be the quickest and cleanest way to solve what is
- 22 admittedly a puzzling procedural issue.
- JUDGE CAILLE: Yes.
- 24 MS. TENNYSON: Yes, we discussed it, and then
- 25 I guess we could have asked to postpone the pre-hearing

- 1 conference so that we could take it back to the public
- 2 meeting, which would be the other avenue to proceed, but
- 3 since we had this proceeding scheduled already --
- 4 JUDGE CAILLE: Oh, I think this is a great
- 5 way of handling it, I just wanted to -- and you have
- 6 waived the initial order?
- 7 MS. TENNYSON: Yes.
- MR. FINNIGAN: Yes, the company does.
- 9 JUDGE CAILLE: Is there some need for this to
- 10 be done quickly?
- 11 MR. FINNIGAN: I don't think so.
- 12 JUDGE CAILLE: What I'm looking for is can I
- 13 wait until I get the transcript?
- MR. FINNIGAN: Yes.
- MS. TENNYSON: Yes.
- 16 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. If you wanted it
- 17 earlier, if you wanted to do a written motion to
- 18 dismiss, that would --
- 19 MR. FINNIGAN: Well, I think to save the
- 20 company some money, I think they're willing to wait
- 21 until you have time to deal with it.
- JUDGE CAILLE: All right, good.
- 23 All right, then I don't have anything else
- 24 because of this, I don't have anything else to discuss.
- 25 Is there anything further from any of the parties?

```
0010
           MS. TENNYSON: Staff has nothing.
1
 2
       MR. FINNIGAN: The company has nothing
 3 further.
             JUDGE CAILLE: All right, thank you very
 4
    much, we're off the record
 5
 6
              (Hearing adjourned at 1:40 p.m.)
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```