SGH ____ Page 1 of 1

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON

UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WUTC v. Pacific Power (PacifiCorp)

Docket UE-140762 et al.

RESPONSE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL TO PACIFICORP DATA REQUEST NO. 1.5

Request No:	1.5
Directed to:	Simon ffitch, Senior Assistant Attorney General
Date Received:	October 28, 2014
Date Produced:	November 7, 2014
Prepared by:	Stephen G. Hill

PACIFICORP DATA REQUEST NO. 1.5 TO PUBLIC COUNSEL:

Refer to page 17, line 38 to page 18, line 1, which states, "It is important to note that, since the economic recession in 2008/2009, Value Line has continually predicted interest rate increases based on a more rapidly growing economy and rising inflation (as have most of the interest rate prognosticators), however, none of those predicted increases have materialized."

- 1.5.1 Please provide copies of all other analytical exercises that Mr. Hill has undertaken or reviewed seeking to test the reliability of Value Line's forecasts.
- 1.5.2 Is it Mr. Hill's testimony that Value Line is not a reliable forecaster?

RESPONSE:

- 1.5.1 No other studies were undertaken.
- 1.5.2 No. Mr. Hill's testimony illustrates the fact that Value Line's recent interest rate forecasts (which are typical of interest rates forecasts, generally) envision higher interest rates, and, since the 2008-2009 recession, those projections have been incorrect. Investors and investor advisory services expected an economic recovery to occur and bond yields to rise as a result. However, the economic recovery happened at a much slower rate than expected and interest rates did not rise as expected. Mr. Hill's testimony indicates that interest rates forecasts do not necessarily provide a sound basis for estimating the current cost of capital.