**BENCH REQUEST NO. 2:** Table 3 on page 18 of Exhibit No. JH-1T zeroes out the adjustment “CG HED U#1 Refurbishment,” but Staff’s revenue requirement model and page 4 of Exhibit No. JH-5 appear to indicate that Staff’s case includes a pro forma adjustment for this project. Please clarify Staff’s position on this adjustment.

**RESPONSE:** This is an error. Staff apologizes for the confusion. Instead of reading no value, the CG HED U#1 Refurbishment project in Table 3 of Exhibit No. JH-1T should show a value of $10,855,734. Staff will file a revised page 18 reflecting this correction to Table 3 in Exhibit No. JH-1T.