

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Investigation into U S WEST Communications, Inc.'s Compliance with § 271 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996	Docket No. UT-003022
In the Matter of U S WEST Communications, Inc.'s Statement of Generally Available Terms Pursuant to Section 252(f) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996	Docket No. UT-003040 QWEST'S DEMONSTRATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH COMMISSION ORDERS AS OF OCTOBER 1, 2001 – IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 18TH SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

On September 21, 2001, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("Commission") entered the 18th Supplemental Order in this matter addressing the process for review of the remaining issues. In paragraph 18 of that order, the Commission required Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") to file a document that lists, for each ordering paragraph in the Commission's orders that required Qwest to either change its SGAT or its behavior to comply with its obligations for a checklist item, the SGAT section or other documentation that demonstrates Qwest's compliance with the orders. Attached hereto is that document.

The document is set up as a table, with three columns. As shown on the column headings, the first column identifies the requirement from either the initial or final order by paragraph number, the second column provides a brief narrative description of the requirement,

QWEST'S DEMONSTRATION OF
 COMPLIANCE WITH COMMISSION
 ORDERS AS OF OCTOBER 1, 2001

and the third column identifies the SGAT section that was changed to comply with the requirement, or other evidence of compliance if changed SGAT language was not required. For ease of reference, unless stated otherwise, all SGAT references in the attached table refer to the September 21, 2001 SGAT. However, it should be noted that many SGAT sections were modified well before September 21 in order to comply with prior orders, and that compliance language has already appeared in “SGAT lites” or the June 29, 2001 SGAT.

Qwest has included references to the ordering paragraphs from both initial and final orders, as follows. For any requirements addressed in the final order, Qwest has referenced the requirement as stated in the final order. Where the final order is silent on an issue that was addressed by the initial order, Qwest has referenced the requirement as stated in the initial order. Finally, it should be noted that Qwest has referenced the specific ordering paragraph for each requirement, not the discussion and decision paragraphs within the body of each order. This is consistent with the format required by the 18th Supplemental Order.

Dated this 1st day of October, 2001.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles W. Steese
1801 California Street, Suite 3800
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 672-2709

Lisa Anderl
1600 7th Avenue, Suite 3206
Seattle, WA 98191
(206) 343-4040

Attorneys for Qwest Corporation

QWEST'S DEMONSTRATION OF
COMPLIANCE WITH COMMISSION
ORDERS AS OF OCTOBER 1, 2001