Docket No. TV-150223 - Vol. I

In re the Application of: Five Stars Moving & Storage, LLC

June 24, 2015



RECEIVED RECORDS MANAGEMENT

015 JUL 14 PM 4: 42

E OF WAS

ONNISSI

1325 Fourth Avenue • Suite 1840 • Seattle, Washington 98101

206.287.9066

www.buellrealtime.com

Olympia | 360.534.9066 Spokane | 509.624.3261 National | 800.846.6989

email: info@buellrealtime.com



	Page 1		Page 3
	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON	1	EXAMINATION INDEX
	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION	2	
		3	WITNESS: DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS
	In Re: Application of:)	4	Michael O'Connor 14 120 133 144
)	5	114 137
	FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE,) Docket No. TV-150223	6	
	LLC,)	7	William Trick 50 87 100 101
) for a permit to operate as a)	8 9	101, 236 240
	motor carrier of household)	10	Susie Paul 155 212 231, 233
	goods.	11	
		12	
	Volume ł	13	EXHIBIT INDEX
	Hearing Held Before	14	NUMBER: PAGE
	MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER	15	1 - 3 Official Notice Taken 12
	Administrative Law Judge	16	13 Admitted into Evidence 43
	Pages 1 - 264	17	SP-1 Admitted into Evidence 158, 175, 231
	0.00 cm 2.21 cm	18	SP-6 - 9 Admitted into Evidence 182
	9:00 a.m 3:24 p.m. June 24, 2015	19 20	SP-4 Admitted into Evidence 183
	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive S.W., Room 206	21	
	Olympia, Washington	22	
		23	
		24	
	Mary M. Paradise, CSR 2469	25	
		1	
*******	Page 2		Page 4
1	Page 2	1	Page 4
1 2	APPEARANCES	1	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015
2 3	_	1 2 3	-
2	APPEARANCES	2	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m.
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL	2 3 4 5	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell	2 3 4 5 6	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL	2 3 4 5 6 7	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division PO Box 40128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm not sure your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division PO Box 40128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. 1'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: 1'm not sure your microphone is on. Do you see a red dot?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division PO Box 40128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm not sure your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division PO Box 40128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm not sure your microphone is on. Do you see a red dot? MR. WALL: I don't. How's that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division PO Box 40128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-1. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm not sure your microphone is on. Do you see a red dot? MR. WALL: I don't. How's that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Perfect. MR. WALL: My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I, on behalf of Five Stars Moving &
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division PO Box 40128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm not sure your microphone is on. Do you see a red dot? MR. WALL: I don't. How's that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Perfect. MR. WALL: My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I, on behalf of Five Stars Moving & Storage.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A P P E A R A N C E S MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC: CHRISTOPHER T. WALL Gordon Thomas Honeywell 1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100 Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157 FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION: ANDREW J. O'CONNELL Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division PO Box 40128	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 9:00 a.m. -o0o- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and state your name, spelling your last name, and who you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, Mr. Wall. MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-1. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm not sure your microphone is on. Do you see a red dot? MR. WALL: I don't. How's that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Perfect. MR. WALL: My name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-I-I, on behalf of Five Stars Moving &

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

	Page 5	Page 7
1	Mr. O'Connell.	1 The King County web site says that that means that
2	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. My	2 he's at a moderate risk of recidivism. Movers are
3	name is Andrew J. O'Connell, O, apostrophe,	3 in people's homes, and so the rationale is that if
4	C-o-n-n-e-I-I. I'm an assistant attorney general	4 he's a risk and in people's homes, then there's a
5	for the state of Washington, and I represent	5 risk to the public, and granting the permit is not
6	commission staff in this matter.	6 in the interests of the public.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Okay. And	7 But the evidence will show that Mr. Trick
8	everybody knows the parties know, I should say,	8 is actually not at a risk of recidivism. The
9	that there are opening statements, are limited to	9 evidence will show that Mr. Trick's level was set
10	five minutes each. So we'll begin with Five Stars.	10 in 2004 when he was released, and he's not since
11	MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.	11 been re-leveled. That's not been re-examined
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You can remain seated.	12 since.
13	That's fine.	13 The score, as it was calculated in 2004,
14	MR. WALL: Okay. Your Honor, this is a	14 does not predict recidivism. We'll also learn that
15	case about redepmtion. Mr. Trick has been working	15 under the legislature's direction, the Washington
16	in the household goods moving industry for nearly a	16 Institute for Public Policy, has studied the system
17	decade now, and he's lawfully performed thousands	17 that was used to study Mr. Trick and found that,
18	of labor only in-home moves.	18 quote, the notification levels determined by the
19	The evidence will show that the UTC does	19 ESRC, the End of Sentence Review Commission, do not
20	not regulate labor only moves, and that Mr	20 classify offenders in groups that accurately
21	Mr. Trick is allowed to be in homes, boxing up	21 reflect their risk for re-offending and that the
22	household goods and packaging them, as long as he	22 notification consideration score has, quote, little
23	doesn't put them on his own truck. We'll talk	23 or no accuracy in predicting offender recidivism.
24	about the WAC provisions involved there.	And we'll learn that these findings have led to a
25	Over the past 16 years, Mr. Trick has a	25 revamping of that classification system to better
	Page 6	Page 8
1	demonstrated record of safety and no re-offenses in	1 predict recidivism.
2	the home move settings. We'll talk about	2 We'll also hear that the UTC's conclusion
3	Mr. Trick's rehabilitation. We'll learn about the	3 was based on several erroneous factual premises
4	factors that mitigate the risk of recidivism.	4 that were gleaned off the King County Sheriff's web
5	Mr. Trick is in a stable marriage with Ashley	5 site, which suggested that Mr. Trick was a coach
6	Trick, and they have two young children, Damien and	6 and a child care volunteer. Those are simply not
7	Mackenzie.	7 accurate, and we'll hear about those facts.
8	Mr. Trick is an example of success of the	8 And there's also a Google Plus listing
9	criminal justice and rehabilitation system. He's	9 that was referenced that made it look like
10	gone through intensive rehabilitation programs.	10 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full
10 11	gone through intensive rehabilitation programs. While incarcerated, he obtained higher education	
		10 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full
11	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education	10Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full11service moves without a permit, which was also not
11 12	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and	 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full service moves without a permit, which was also not the case.
11 12 13	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for	 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full service moves without a permit, which was also not the case. Finally, the implicit in the UTC's
11 12 13 14	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent	 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full service moves without a permit, which was also not the case. Finally, the implicit in the UTC's conclusion was that granting the permit will
11 12 13 14 15	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs.	 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full service moves without a permit, which was also not the case. Finally, the implicit in the UTC's conclusion was that granting the permit will increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In
11 12 13 14 15 16	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in	 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full service moves without a permit, which was also not the case. Finally, the implicit in the UTC's conclusion was that granting the permit will increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single	10Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full11service moves without a permit, which was also not12the case.13Finally, the implicit in the UTC's14conclusion was that granting the permit will15increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In16fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary17role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to18be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more19managerial role, where he'll be overseeing
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13	10Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full11service moves without a permit, which was also not12the case.13Finally, the implicit in the UTC's14conclusion was that granting the permit will15increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In16fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary17role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to18be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more19managerial role, where he'll be overseeing20employees, handling insurance, making sure that the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single	10Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full11service moves without a permit, which was also not12the case.13Finally, the implicit in the UTC's14conclusion was that granting the permit will15increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In16fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary17role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to18be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more19managerial role, where he'll be overseeing20employees, handling insurance, making sure that the21equipment is functioning properly, working on
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single reason for the UTC's notice of intent to deny was	 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full service moves without a permit, which was also not the case. Finally, the implicit in the UTC's conclusion was that granting the permit will increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more managerial role, where he'll be overseeing employees, handling insurance, making sure that the equipment is functioning properly, working on advertising, working on communications with
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single reason for the UTC's notice of intent to deny was Mr. Trick's now 16 year old criminal conviction. The rationale is not unpersuasive at a surface level. It's that Mr. Trick has a criminal	10Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full11service moves without a permit, which was also not12the case.13Finally, the implicit in the UTC's14conclusion was that granting the permit will15increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In16fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary17role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to18be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more19managerial role, where he'll be overseeing20employees, handling insurance, making sure that the21equipment is functioning properly, working on
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single reason for the UTC's notice of intent to deny was Mr. Trick's now 16 year old criminal conviction. The rationale is not unpersuasive at a surface level. It's that Mr. Trick has a criminal conviction for a sexual offense. He's currently	10Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full11service moves without a permit, which was also not12the case.13Finally, the implicit in the UTC's14conclusion was that granting the permit will15increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In16fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary17role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to18be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more19managerial role, where he'll be overseeing20employees, handling insurance, making sure that the21equipment is functioning properly, working on22advertising, working on communications with23customers, and it will actually take him out of the24home.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single reason for the UTC's notice of intent to deny was Mr. Trick's now 16 year old criminal conviction. The rationale is not unpersuasive at a surface level. It's that Mr. Trick has a criminal	 Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full service moves without a permit, which was also not the case. Finally, the implicit in the UTC's conclusion was that granting the permit will increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more managerial role, where he'll be overseeing employees, handling insurance, making sure that the equipment is functioning properly, working on advertising, working on communications with customers, and it will actually take him out of the
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	While incarcerated, he obtained higher education certificates in information technology and multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent Communication programs. We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving & Storage's permanent application. Of the 13 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single reason for the UTC's notice of intent to deny was Mr. Trick's now 16 year old criminal conviction. The rationale is not unpersuasive at a surface level. It's that Mr. Trick has a criminal conviction for a sexual offense. He's currently	10Mr. Trick had been authorizing advertising full11service moves without a permit, which was also not12the case.13Finally, the implicit in the UTC's14conclusion was that granting the permit will15increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In16fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary17role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to18be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more19managerial role, where he'll be overseeing20employees, handling insurance, making sure that the21equipment is functioning properly, working on22advertising, working on communications with23customers, and it will actually take him out of the24home.

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

	Page 9	Page 11
1	flawed premises; one, that Mr. Trick is at a	1 is to be denied if the applicant or any employee
2	moderate risk of recidivism. We'll see that that's	2 has been convicted of a crime involving sexual
3	not actually true, and number two, the premise that	3 misconduct more than five years ago and the
4	granting the permit would also increase his	4 Commission determines that the nature and extent of
5	premises in the home, and that's also not accurate.	5 that crime will likely interfere with the proper
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	6 operation of a household good moving company.
7	Mr. O'Connell.	7 It is not staff's position that a
8	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.	8 conviction for an offense, any one of the several
9	The evidence presented here today will show three	9 listed in the rule, creates a permanent bar from
10	facts. Five Stars' application is misleading.	10 receiving a permit. Instead, the rule requires the
11	Mr. Trick has misled staff in the past, and	11 Commission to conduct an analysis considering the
12	Mr. Trick's felony conviction for sexually	12 nature and extent of the crime and whether it is
13	molesting two seven-year old girls will interfere	13 likely to interfere with operating the household
14	with proper operation of Five Stars Moving &	14 good moving company.
15	Storage.	15 In this case, staff believes it does.
16	Staff recommends the denial of Five Stars	16 Staff will present its evidence through Ms. Susie
17	application for these reasons: The three main	17 Paul, who will testify to staff's investigation of
18	issues in this matter all revolve around Mr. Trick.	18 the application, the application's deficiencies,
19	The evidence staff will present today will show	19 the household good moving industry, the nature and
20	Five Stars did not include in its application any	20 extent of Mr. Trick's crime of sexual molestation,
20	information about Mr. Trick's criminal history. It	
22	did not include any information about Mr. Trick's	
22	•	22 to deny. 23 At this time, Commission staff would offer
24	business related legal proceedings. The lack of disclosures in Five Stars'	
24 25		
2.5	application reminds staff of another application	25 Five Stars Moving; Exhibit 2, the notice of intent
	Page 10	Page 12
1		-
1 2	they received two years ago that concerned	1 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing,
	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official
2	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington
2 3	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company.	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it,
2 3 4	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there
2 3 4 5	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice?
2 3 5 6 7 8	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls.	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable,	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence	1to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing,2and we would ask that the Commission take official3notice of these documents pursuant to Washington4Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it,5480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor.6JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there7any objection to taking official notice?8MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor.9JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official10notice of those three documents.11(Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official12notice.)
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things.	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302 and 305 for provisional and for permanent	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302 and 305 for provisional and for permanent authority.	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits themselves one at a time when Ms. Paul sponsors them through testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302 and 305 for provisional and for permanent authority. These rules provide that the Commission is	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits themselves one at a time when Ms. Paul sponsors them through testimony. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302 and 305 for provisional and for permanent authority. These rules provide that the Commission is to deny a permit if the application contains any	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits themselves one at a time when Ms. Paul sponsors them through testimony. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. It is 9:10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302 and 305 for provisional and for permanent authority. These rules provide that the Commission is to deny a permit if the application contains any indication of fraud, misrepresentation or erroneous	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits themselves one at a time when Ms. Paul sponsors them through testimony. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. It is 9:10 right now. I haven't heard a click to indicate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they received two years ago that concerned Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the household good moving company. The Commission found that that that that application was misleading. This application in this case is also misleading. The evidence presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls. The nature and extent of this crime is despicable, and it will interfere with the operation of the household good moving company. No evidence presented today will change these things. I want to talk briefly about the rules that govern the decision of whether to deny a permit for a household good mover. To earn an authorization, applicants must meet the fitness requirements contained in the Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302 and 305 for provisional and for permanent authority. These rules provide that the Commission is to deny a permit if the application contains any	 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing, and we would ask that the Commission take official notice of these documents pursuant to Washington Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it, 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there any objection to taking official notice? MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official notice of those three documents. (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official notice.) MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have copies for your Honor. Should I present those to you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's offered JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits themselves one at a time when Ms. Paul sponsors them through testimony. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. It is 9:10

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

	Page 13		Page 15
1	line yet, but I would imagine that shortly, he	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	would be. So we'll briefly go off the record while	2	on correctional administration and treatment, moved
3	we wait for that. Very briefly. So we'll go back	3	into treatment over time, did work in the substance
4	on the record. Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line?	4	abuse field, which is was the major focus of my
5	DR. O'CONNELL: Yes, I am.	5	master of social work training at the University of
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And we are having some	6	Washington School of Social Work.
7	difficulties with the conference bridge. It	7	I began a private practice treating
8	sounds you sound very the volume is very low.	8	mostly treating people with behavioral sexual
9	So if we could maybe have you I don't want you	9	behavior problems and sex offenders, beginning in
10	to shout, but we'll need you to speak up a bit.	10	1981. I returned to school in 1986, completed a
11	DR. O'CONNELL: Okay. I'll make a point.	11	PhD in counseling psychology at the University of
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you so much.	12	Washington, while continuing to run a private
13	And also, we are having this proceeding	13	practice, and did my dissertation at the University
14	transcribed, so if you can make sure to speak	14	of Washington on using polygraph testing to discern
15	clearly and slowly, that would help our court	15	the deviant sexual histories of sex offenders.
16	reporter immensely.	16	That that dissertation won an an
17	DR. O'CONNELL: I'll make a point.	17	international award from the Association for the
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Before we	18	Treatment of Sexual Abusers, the national-
19	begin, let me go ahead and swear you in. I can't	19	international professional organization.
20	see you, but I you have to assume that you are	20	Q. And how many years experience in the field
21	standing and that you are raising your right hand.	21	do you have?
22	DR. O'CONNELL: I am now.	22	A. Let's see. I guess it's 34 years.
23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.	23	Q. Would you also speak briefly about some of
24	Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL,	24	your the professional capacities in which you
25	was duly sworn and testified as follows:	25	served?
		<u> </u>	
	Page 14		Page 16
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.	2	A. I have been involved in the Association
3	Please be seated. Mr. Wall, you can begin.	3	for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers and the
4		4	Washington state chapter. Washington state had the
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION		-
5		5	first state chapter of that organization. I was a
6	BY MR. WALL:	6	two term president of that of the state chapter.
6 7	BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor.	6 7	two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a
6 7 8	BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell.	6 7 8	two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member.
6 7 8 9	BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning.	6 7 8 9	two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger
6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for	6 7 8 9 10	two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some
6 7 8 9 10 11	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? 	6 7 8 9 10 11	two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-l-l. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-I-I. Q. And would you tell us about your 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-l-l. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-l-l. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-l-l. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-I-I. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-I-I. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and a PhD in counseling psychology from the University 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for recidivism?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-I-I. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and a PhD in counseling psychology from the University of Washington. 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for recidivism? A. What we know about the likelihood of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-I-I. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and a PhD in counseling psychology from the University of Washington. Q. Can you tell us about the training that 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for recidivism? A. What we know about the likelihood of recidivism best studies are what I call meta-
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-I-I. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and a PhD in counseling psychology from the University of Washington. Q. Can you tell us about the training that you have, both from your education and in your 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for recidivism? A. What we know about the likelihood of recidivism best studies are what I call meta-analytic studies which which collapse a number
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-I-I. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and a PhD in counseling psychology from the University of Washington. Q. Can you tell us about the training that you have, both from your education and in your professional experience? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for recidivism best studies are what I call meta- analytic studies which which collapse a number of studies together. There have been two large
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-l-l. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and a PhD in counseling psychology from the University of Washington. Q. Can you tell us about the training that you have, both from your education and in your professional experience? A. The relevant training, I began my career 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for recidivism? A. What we know about the likelihood of recidivism best studies are what I call meta-analytic studies which which collapse a number
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good morning, Mr. O'Connell. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name and spell it for the record? A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O, apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-I-I. Q. And would you tell us about your educational background, starting with college? A. I have a bachelor of science from the University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of social work from the University of Washington, and a PhD in counseling psychology from the University of Washington. Q. Can you tell us about the training that you have, both from your education and in your professional experience? 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 two term president of that of the state chapter. I've been a board member before and since. I'm a current board member. I've been involved in the the larger organization, participating in some in some special function groups, including the group that set up standards and reviewed the process for implementing the standards for professional practice. Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the predictors of recidivism and how you predict recidivism. What are the most important factors to consider when determining someone's risk for recidivism? A. What we know about the likelihood of recidivism best studies are what I call metaanalytic studies which which collapse a number of studies together. There have been two large two large studies, one in 1999 and 2004, by Karl

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

	Page 17		Dage 10
1			Page 19
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3	You know, many, many studies collapsed them	2	drop-off at five years.
	together, and there were a number of findings.	3	And in fact, when back in 2004, when I
4 5	The most compelling is that the what we	4	was part of establishing a model policy for the
	know about the measureable factors, there are two	5	Seattle Police Department on reviewing notification
6	that stand out as increasing risk of recidivism.	6	levels, helping you know, just giving Seattle
7	One is deviant sexual interests; somebody who is	7	Police Department a a format for thinking about
8	sexually interested and aroused to kids or	8	reducing considering reducing a level 3 to a
9	fetishistic behavior or coercive sex, that's	9	level 2, and a level 2 to a level 1, we looked at
10	deviant sexual interest, and the other is a history	10	the early 2003 studies that showed, you know, the
11	of antisociology that's best measured by a measure	11	drop-off in recidivism over time, and we determined
12	of psychopathy.	12	that a five year drop-off was a you know, at
13	So those are the two major predictors of	13	that point, there was a significant reduction, and
14	sexual recidivism. In recent years, there have	14	that they would begin considering reducing risk
15	been some additional studies looking at time in the	15	levels for notification.
16	community and at risk, meaning not in custody, not	16	Q. So after 10 or 11 years without any
17	under 24-hour supervision. And there have been a	17	re-offenses, can you draw any conclusions about a
18	number of studies.	18	person's risk of recidivism?
19	Most recently, it was Hanson and Harris	19	A. Well, for somebody who is low risk to
20	and several others published last year, that showed	20	begin with, or moderate risk to begin with, at that
21	that actually reinforced earlier results that	21	point, it's essentially the same as somebody who
22	showed that people who are in the community for a	22	has never committed a sex offense, but who went to
23	long time and at risk who have not re-offended,	23	prison for some other non-sex offense crime.
24	that that risk of recidivism drops off pretty	24	Q. So if I understand what you're saying,
25	quickly over the first 1 to 5 years, and after 10	25	someone who went to prison for some non-sex offense
	Page 18	1	Page 20
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	or 12 years, flattens out.	1 2	
3	So that even high risk people, people who	3	crime, that could be any crime that you could be
4	are on actuarial instruments or seem to be at high	4	incarcerated for, is that what you're saying? A. That's right.
5	risk, if they haven't offended after 15 years, are	5	-
6	essentially at the same risk of somebody coming out	6	Q. So you're saying, even that person without a history of recidivism is at some risk of
7	of prison not having been convicted of a sex	7	recidivism?
8	offense, and the likelihood of them committing a	8	A. Nobody's at no risk of nobody's at no
9	sexual offense in the future.	9	risk of sexual offense, even somebody who has never
10	So summarizing my answer, sexual	10	committed a sex offense or has never been convicted
11	deviant sexual interest, antisociology and	10	of a sex offense is at some risk. So there is
12	psychopathy, and time back in the community after	12	
13	incarceration and not re-offending are the most	12	never no risk, but we're talking about, you know, somebody who went to prison for for car theft or
14	powerful predictors of of recidivism.	14	writing bad checks, we don't usually think of them
15	Q. Okay. And to drill down on that a little	15	as being at a meaningful risk of committing a sex
16	further, can you talk more about the time frame	16	offense, and that's the level at which somebody who
17	from the date of release from prison, what is the	17	had a low or moderate risk level coming out of
18	significance of the one year mark, the five year	18	prison at 10 or 11 years would be seen as the same
19	mark, the ten year mark?	19	as that.
20	A. Actually, sex offenses are like other	20	Q. From your experience in the field, are
21	offenses, in that people who come out of prison are	20	there any persistent myths about sex offenders?
22	most likely to recidivate in, actually, the first	21	A. Well, there's a couple. One of which is
23	several months, certainly the first year. It drops	22	there's nothing can be done about sex offenders,
24	off, you know, between the first and second year.	23	that it's an incurable condition. Once a sex
25	It drops off further. There's another sort of	25	offender, always a sex offender, and that was I
-			Shender, amaya a sox anander, and that was 1

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 21		Page 23
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	confess to having been part of a a	2	A. So I assume you're talking about the
3	communicating to to policy makers in the public	3	in-prison program at the through the Department
4	at large early on, to making that point.	4	of Corrections?
5	35 years ago, sex offenses were not on	5	Q. Yes.
6	many people's radar. In fact, when I completed my	6	A. And it's it's actually a fairly good
7	master of social work program at the University of	7	program, as these go. It's a cognitive behavioral
8	Washington in 1977, there was no mention of of	8	program, which is one of the elements that is
9	child abuse or sexual abuse that came up in any of	9	that's what's referred to as as state-of-
10	my coursework. So it just wasn't on the you	10	the-art. It isn't it isn't doing psychodynamic
11	know, it wasn't on the radar for most people.	11	or looking at self-esteem.
12	And when I started working in this field,	12	It's looking at the cognition, and people
13	one of the first one of the first clinics that	13	use the justifications and rationalizations and the
14	did outpatient treatment in Washington, we would	14	excuses people use, and the behavioral interests
15	often see not often, but it was not uncommon	15	that they bring into into their offending
16	that I would see a grandfather molesting a	16	behavior and the lead-up to that.
17	grandkid, and it was the third generation of a	17	And I was a member of their advisory
18	victim. He had molested younger siblings as a	18	committee for a number of years, so I'm pretty
19	teenager. He had molested his kids and their	19	familiar with that program. I've worked with a
20	friends, you know, in his 20s and 30s, and nothing	20	number of people coming out of that program. I've
21	had really been done.	21	worked with a number of people who went from that
22	There was maybe somebody would take him	22	program to the civil commitment program for
23	in to a priest, the principal may have talked to	23	sexually violent predators who said, gee, this is
24	him in the back as a teenager. This was a you	24	more intense, but boy, I learned this is most
25	know, a person who mowed his lawn, paid his taxes,	25	of what I'm learning here is a repeat of what I
	Page 22		Page 24
1		1	
1 2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered	2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you
2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying,		DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state
2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history.	2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good
2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this	2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program.
2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social	2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in
2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy,	2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick?
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based summary, that state-of-the-art treatment programs	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been would have been applied to him back in his release, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based summary, that state-of-the-art treatment programs basically reduce the likelihood of recidivism in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been would have been applied to him back in his release, I guess, was 2004. So yes, I've done that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based summary, that state-of-the-art treatment programs basically reduce the likelihood of recidivism in half, all things being equal. So that yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been would have been applied to him back in his release, I guess, was 2004. So yes, I've done that. I have not had access to the official records. I have not had an opportunity to talk to collateral contacts to support, you know, what he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based summary, that state-of-the-art treatment programs basically reduce the likelihood of recidivism in half, all things being equal. So that yes, something can be done. Q. You mentioned state-of-the-art treatment programs. Can you talk specifically about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been would have been applied to him back in his release, I guess, was 2004. So yes, I've done that. I have not had access to the official records. I have not had an opportunity to talk to collateral contacts to support, you know, what he says about his you know, his his adjustment
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based summary, that state-of-the-art treatment programs basically reduce the likelihood of recidivism in half, all things being equal. So that yes, something can be done. Q. You mentioned state-of-the-art treatment programs. Can you talk specifically about Washington's treatment program, and how does it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been would have been applied to him back in his release, I guess, was 2004. So yes, I've done that. I have not had access to the official records. I have not had an opportunity to talk to collateral contacts to support, you know, what he says about his you know, his his adjustment to the community since he got out of prison.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying, yes, look at the history. The point we made was, yes, you know, this was something that just because a guy is pro-social or doesn't look you know, he doesn't look seedy, it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I think that that over-hyped and over-learned, and the idea that there was nothing that could be done, and somebody who has committed a sex offense is bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend has, you know, kind of got baked into the social consciousness. So the idea that nothing can be done is not true. There are many studies that show that collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based summary, that state-of-the-art treatment programs basically reduce the likelihood of recidivism in half, all things being equal. So that yes, something can be done. Q. You mentioned state-of-the-art treatment programs. Can you talk specifically about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION learned at at Twin Rivers, which is the, you know, the foundational program for the state Department of Corrections program. A very good program. Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in this case to testify, and also, to evaluate Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate Mr. Trick? A. I had a telephone consultation with Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a it was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this. I got some background information. I heard about his offense, you know, the elements of the offense, and and what he learned in treatment. And I did some I went through the actual risk tool that he would have been would have been applied to him back in his release, I guess, was 2004. So yes, I've done that. I have not had access to the official records. I have not had an opportunity to talk to collateral contacts to support, you know, what he says about his you know, his his adjustment

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

	Page 25	Page	27
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT	
2	You said, I think, if I heard you right, the tool	2 may have been a mistake in the scoring of the	
3	that would have been applied to him at the time of	 scoring tool back in 2004, or whenever it was he 	
4	his release. Can you can you explain for us who	4 was released, and that there was a a mistake	
5	are unfamiliar with this system, when is a person's	5 made in leveling him as a level 2 rather than a	
6	offender level determined?	 6 level 1, even by the tool and the rules of the tool 	
7	A. Generally speaking, if a person has been	 a lover i, even by the too and the rules of the tool that should have applied at the time. 	
8	in prison, the the End of Sentence Review	8 Q. Okay. Let's go ahead and dive into that	
9	Committee would review them and use a scoring tool	9 topic, then. What can you tell us about what tool	
10	that was created initially in the mid '90s, and	10 was in place in 2004 in Washington when Mr. Tric	
11	then revised in '99, and that's the initial, and	11 was released from prison?	N
12	oftentimes, the only actuarial like risk assessment	12 A. The tool was it's a mouthful, so I've	
13	that was done.	13 got to get the get the tool in front of me here.	
14	Q. And so the End of Sentence Review	14 Where did it go? Here it is. It's the Washington	
15	Committee sets that level at the time a person is	15 State Sex Offender Risk Level Classification,	
16	released from prison, is that what you're saying?	16 Revised 1999. I'll say that again. Washington	
17	A. That's correct.	17 State Sex Offender Risk Level Classification,	
18	Q. Okay. After your evaluation of Mr. Trick,	18 Revised 1999.	
19	have you reached any tentative conclusions?	19 That was a revision of an earlier tool	
20	A. Well, there's there's two tentative	20 that was put together. Washington was one of the	
21	conclusions that I have come up with. Number one	21 first states to do classification and community	
22	is the fact that he has been in the community and	22 notification, based on the Community Protection Act	
23	and off supervision for a considerable amount of	23 of 1990, I believe it was. And the legislature had	
24	time.	24 the Washington Associates Association of	
25	Apparently, based on what I know, has a	25 Sheriffs and Police Chiefs come up with a scoring	
	Page 26	Page	28
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT	ION
2	social life, has a family, he's invested in a job,	2 tool.	
3	the sort of things that are referred to as	3 They originally came up they originally	
. 4	desistance from crime, and this this goes back	4 used the Minnesota Sex Offender Screening Tool,	i
5	to a theory about juvenile delinquency, and a lot	5 MMSOST. And then they revised it in 1999 and	
6	of juvenile delinquents age out of their antisocial	6 included a a in addition to that scoring	
7	and, you know, criminal behavior, that as they	7 tool, which was the state-of-the-art actuarial tool	
8	mature, as they have investment in a job or a	8 at the time. And then at the end, they added what	
9	family or a position in the community, they have	9 were called notification considerations, which	I
10	other things that they devote their energy and	10 were, on the face of it, reasonable, but basically,	I
11	attention to, and things they don't want to lose by	11 subjective judgments, which were - which the	I
12	messing up and getting in trouble.	12 whatever the scoring tool could add to sort of	
13	So you know, that's you know, that	13 override.	1
14	seems to be at work, from what I know about	14 So it was a for the time, a state-of-	
	Mr. Trick.	15 the-art emperically based actuarial tool, and then	
15		16 a kind of subjective override that was thrown in at	
16	The other thing that I did is when I went		
16 17	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the	17 the end .	
16 17 18	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what what was the outcome of my	18 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with	
16 17 18 19	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what what was the outcome of my assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking	 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with this field, what what is the difference between 	
16 17 18 19 20	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what what was the outcome of my assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking about here?	 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with this field, what what is the difference between what is an actuarial method and what is a 	
16 17 18 19 20 21	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what what was the outcome of my assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking about here? Q. Correct. Have you had a chance to have	 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with this field, what what is the difference between what is an actuarial method and what is a notifications method? 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what what was the outcome of my assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking about here? Q. Correct. Have you had a chance to have you reached any tentative conclusions regarding	 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with this field, what what is the difference between what is an actuarial method and what is a notifications method? A. So the actuarial process is the same 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what what was the outcome of my assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking about here? Q. Correct. Have you had a chance to have you reached any tentative conclusions regarding Mr. Trick?	 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with this field, what what is the difference between what is an actuarial method and what is a notifications method? A. So the actuarial process is the same process that insurance companies go through when 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what what was the outcome of my assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking about here? Q. Correct. Have you had a chance to have you reached any tentative conclusions regarding Mr. Trick? A. Yes. And the other thing that I that I	 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with this field, what what is the difference between what is an actuarial method and what is a notifications method? A. So the actuarial process is the same process that insurance companies go through when they decide whether to, you know, write an 	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	through I'm rambling on here, and I think the question is, what what was the outcome of my assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking about here? Q. Correct. Have you had a chance to have you reached any tentative conclusions regarding Mr. Trick?	 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with this field, what what is the difference between what is an actuarial method and what is a notifications method? A. So the actuarial process is the same process that insurance companies go through when 	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 29		Page 31
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	charge you for auto insurance. There's they	2	the past. It isn't an obvious it's not it's
3	have developed a database which shows that, you	3	not something obviously that ought to be
4	know, a 16-year-old boy driving a Corvette is at	4	considered, if you read these things at face value.
5	much higher likelihood of getting into an accident	5	But I actually do remember a client that I
6	and causing an insurance claim than a 45-year-old	6	worked with a number of years ago who was out in
7	woman driving a Saturn.	7	the community, he had been out for, you know, a
8	And they accumulate this data, and they	8	couple, three years. The King County Sheriff's
9	predict statistically not each individual, but,	9	Department finally got around to scoring him. He
10	you know, in the aggregate, what's the likelihood	10	didn't go to prison. So this was this was a
11	of some unfortunate events. So the unfortunate	11	scoring procedure that should have been done by the
12	event we're talking about here is a likelihood of	12	local law enforcement agency.
13	sexual re-offense.	13	And I remember that the the sheriff's
14	And there are a number of of, you know,	14	detective in this case leveled him at a higher
15	data points that that load highly on the two	15	level, because they were kind of offended at the
16	factors I was mentioning; antisociality, problems,	16	the amount of grooming that went into the offending
17	arrests, convictions, a number of a diversity of	17	against the victim in this case.
18	criminal behavior and, you know, deviant sexual	18	Q. Okay. Based on your evaluation of
19	interests. So that was the Minnesota scoring tool.	19	Mr. Trick, what is your tentative conclusion
20	So that's the empirical, just just the numbers	20	regarding Mr. Trick's current risk of recidivism?
21	basis of the Minnesota scoring tool.	21	A. Well, it looks, based on just my talking
22	And then the subjective notification	22	to him over the phone and and, you know, the
23	considerations are things like, was this behavior	23	information available to me, that he looks like he
24	of a predatory nature? Was there a particularly	24	ought to be a level 1 guy, and that the his
.25	vulnerable victim? These are things, that on the	25	connections to the community and the things that he
		\$	
	Page 30		Page 32
1	Page 30 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	Page 32 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
1 2		1 2	-
	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION		DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know,
2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense.	2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put
2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those	2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in
2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of	2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism? A. They really don't have any predictive 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally sleeping with him? Not having sex with him?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism? A. They really don't have any predictive value. In fact, they they take away predictive	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally sleeping with him? Not having sex with him? A. Oh, yes, that was that was the case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism? A. They really don't have any predictive value. In fact, they they take away predictive value from the emperically based part of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally sleeping with him? Not having sex with him? A. Oh, yes, that was that was the case. The kids came in and were sleeping with him as he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism? A. They really don't have any predictive value. In fact, they they take away predictive value from the emperically based part of the scoring tool.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally sleeping with him? Not having sex with him? A. Oh, yes, that was that was the case. The kids came in and were sleeping with him as he was as he was as he described it, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism? A. They really don't have any predictive value from the emperically based part of the scoring tool. Q. Okay. So I want to ask you a little bit more about that in just a moment here. When we talk about notification considerations, would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally sleeping with him? Not having sex with him? A. Oh, yes, that was that was the case. The kids came in and were sleeping with him as he was as he was as he described it, you know, kind of sleeping off having consumed a lot of alcohol at a party. Q. So in order to make a full and final
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism? A. They really don't have any predictive value. In fact, they they take away predictive value from the emperically based part of the scoring tool. Q. Okay. So I want to ask you a little bit more about that in just a moment here. When we talk about notification considerations, would whether or not the convict groomed their victims, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him? Not having sex with him? A. Oh, yes, that was that was the case. The kids came in and were sleeping with him as he was as he was as he described it, you know, kind of sleeping off having consumed a lot of alcohol at a party. Q. So in order to make a full and final conclusion, what more analysis do you need to do?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION surface, give people the willies, raise concerns about the injustice of it all, but really have not proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense. So there were there were four of those things that were thrown in at the end of the of the tool that what's a particularly predatory behavior? Well, that's a that's a subjective decision in its own right, and and then it was we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be asking me questions about the outcome of that, it it takes the predictive value of the scoring tool and diminishes it. Q. So do the notification scores have any predictive value of recidivism? A. They really don't have any predictive value from the emperically based part of the scoring tool. Q. Okay. So I want to ask you a little bit more about that in just a moment here. When we talk about notification considerations, would 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION is doing to keep himself busy would seem to you know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know, needs not to get drunk and and, you know, put himself in a situation like where he was in after a party where, as he described it, a couple of kids in the family were were sleeping with him, but I do not see any any red flags about about him being predatory or him having a deviant sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff happens, and this is among the things that can happen. Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say sleeping with him, are you talking literally sleeping with him? Not having sex with him? A. Oh, yes, that was that was the case. The kids came in and were sleeping with him as he was as he was as he described it, you know, kind of sleeping off having consumed a lot of alcohol at a party. Q. So in order to make a full and final

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

	Page 33		Page 35
4			-
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	know, more thorough evaluation and risk assessment,	2	whatever whatever law enforcement agency does
3	which would involve, you know, getting some, you	3	the registration for the you know, wherever they
4	know, official records, doing some more testing,	4	happen to be residing. And there's there's a
5	doing some collateral contacts with other people	5	lot of variability there. Some counties and some
6	who could confirm what he's told me about his	6	cities are are a lot more inclined to level
7	his involvement in the community and with his	7	people up. Let's just make a statement, we don't
8	family.	8	want sex offenders living in this county, and so
9	Q. So, essentially, verifying the facts?	9	we'll we'll level 1 at a level 2, we'll level 1s
10	A. As he told them to me, yes.	10	and 2s at a level 3, and that will send a message
11	Q. Okay. And do you have any plans to	11	that they're not welcome here.
12	conduct those types of further evaluations?	12	And other agencies, other law enforcement
13	A. I I understand that he intends to	13	agencies are much more committed to to using the
14	undergo an evaluation, that he wants to retain me	14	empirical tools faithfully.
15	to do that, and I'm prepared to do that.	15	Q. Let's talk about the old system of
16	Q. You testified previously that the End of	16	leveling versus the current system. How, if at
17	Sentence Review Committee sets people's levels at	17	all, has the offender leveling system changed since
18	the end of their incarceration. Is that the final	18	2004?
19	say in what their level is? Is it what the End of	19	A. The basic level, the idea of 1, 2 and 3,
20	Sentence Review Committee says?	20	and you know, level's 1s being low risk and level
21	A. That's the final say, unless the	21	3s being high risk, that remains the same. In
22 23	individual appeals to the law enforcement agency	22	gosh, it was a couple of years ago. The fact that
23	and asks for a reconsideration, and so it's	23	this Washington state risk tool, which was
24 25	entirely dependent on the individual. There's no there's no automatic or built-in review of these	24 25	originally devised in the mid '90s, and then
20	there's no automatic of built-in review of these	25	revised again in '99, was still being used you
	Page 34		Page 36
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	things over the years.	2	know, finally resulted in the legislature telling
3	So yes, somebody could come out at high	3	the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police
4	risk and well, we've been at this for 30 years	4	Chiefs to use a new emperically derived tool that
5	now. So 30 years later, you know, they could have	5	was up to speed.
6	the same the same risk score that they	6	And they started using the STATIC 99,
7	originally were released from prison with, and no	7	which actually by that time was the was getting
8	built-in review of of that.	8	superseded by a revised version. So the new tool
9	Q. So the End of Sentence Review Committee	9	that's being used is that is that STATIC 99, and
10	does not redo people's levels from time to time, is	10	the the Association of Sheriffs and Police
11	that what you're saying?	11	Chiefs is involved in a process right now of
12	A. No. In fact, if it's redone, it will be	12	updating that.
13	done by the law enforcement agency where they	13	Q. How many revisions have there been since
14	register.	14	2004?
15	Q. And is there any other entity besides the	15	A. So there has been the original STATIC
16	ESRC, the End of Sentence Review Committee, that	16	99. There was a STATIC 99 revised in '03, and
17	sets people's levels?	17	there's been two revisions in the last five years.
18	A. For people who don't go to prison, and	18	Q. What's the effect of those revisions?
19	there are people who are like, for example	19	A. The interesting thing that well,
20	there's a sex offender a special sex offender	20	there's been a couple of revisions a couple of
21	alternatives where they don't go to prison, they do	21	effects. One is that more evidence has come in
22	some jail time, they have a lengthy period of	22	about how age effects the likelihood of recidivism.
23	community supervision and they participate in	23	And they they fine-tuned the the
24	treatment.	24	originally, the STATIC 99 had an under 25, over 25,
25	In that case, the risk levels are set by	25	and there was just a very small reduction of risk

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	······		
	Page 37	Page	39
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT	ION
2	by being over 25.	2 Mr. Trick said that that what made the	
3	The new tool has an under 35, 35 to 60,	3 difference, what caused him to be at a level 2 was	
4	and over 60, and you get a substantial reduction in	4 the fact that he had an unrelated victim.	
5	risk if you're if you're over 35 and over 60,	5 And it looks like, you know, I'm I'm	
6	because evidence shows that people who are older,	6 I'm taking some logical, you know, leaps here	
7	all things being equal, have a substantial	7 without talking to Detective Gordon or having the	
8	unlikelihood of sexually recidivating. So that's	8 risk tool. It looks that, as I scored the risk	
9	that's one major change.	9 tool, the way that those scores get translated into	
10	The other major change is the risk	10 notification levels is that an assessment score of	
11	prediction scores. The early the early tools,	46 or less is is is determined to be a level	
12	the ones in the early and mid '90s, had much higher	12 1 .	
13	predicted levels of recidivism than the new the	13 And Mr Mr. Trick's score, as I did	
14	new essentially, the same tool, but they predict	14 it, came out to a 20 what was it? A 24. So	
15	much lower recidivism. And the consensus seems to	15 considerably under that that level. But you	
16	be that the atmosphere that the social and	16 could be a level 2 if you had a less score of 46 or	
17	community and political atmosphere has changed so	17 less, and one or two of these notification	
18	that people who have convictions for sex offenses	18 considerations. And there was one item on one of	
19	are, generally speaking, under more scrutiny, they	19 the notification considerations. It actually used	
20	are they know to take things more seriously,	20 another risk score, being Rapid Risk Assessment for	
21	they are more likely to have been in treatment, and	21 Sex Offenders, which was actually a predecessor to	
22	so the likelihood of them re-offending is much	the STATIC 99, and one of the one of the	
23	lower than it was 20 years ago.	23 questions there is relationship to the victim, only	
24	Q. I'm going to ask you to assume a scenario.	24 related victims, any non-related victims.	
25	If I were to take someone released from prison	25 So he he got one point for a non for	

		5	
	Page 38	Page	
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT	
2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only	
2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and	1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT 2 a non-related victim. But the score, he only 3 should have gotten a a notification	
2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that	
2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you?	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT a non-related victim. But the score, he only should have gotten a a notification consideration if the score on that on that instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even	
2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that	
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense.	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT a non-related victim. But the score, he only should have gotten a a notification consideration if the score on that on that instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even using the the notification considerations that were in place at the time, it looks to me like that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it. That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification	
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on?	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?16A. It actually does. Now, it's a small	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked about talking with Detective Matt Gordon about	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?16A. It actually does. Now, it's a small17predictive value, and the idea is that the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?16A. It actually does. Now, it's a small17predictive value, and the idea is that the18theoretical explanation for that is that somebody	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked about talking with Detective Matt Gordon about his leveling some years ago. Detective Gordon was	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?16A. It actually does. Now, it's a small17predictive value, and the idea is that the18theoretical explanation for that is that somebody	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked about talking with Detective Matt Gordon about his leveling some years ago. Detective Gordon was on the sex offender and kidnapping detail in the	1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT 2 a non-related victim. But the score, he only 3 should have gotten a a notification 4 consideration if the score on that on that 5 instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even 6 using the the notification considerations that 7 were in place at the time, it looks to me like 8 that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it. 9 That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but 10 that shouldn't have triggered a a notification 11 consideration which would have pushed him up to a 12 level 2. 13 Q. That notification consideration of an 14 unrelated victim, does that have any predictive 15 value for future risk of of recidivism? 16 A. It actually does. Now, it's a small 17 predictive value, and the idea is that the 18 theoretical explanation for that is that somebody 19 who is roaming far and wide to find child victims	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked about talking with Detective Matt Gordon about his leveling some years ago. Detective Gordon was on the sex offender and kidnapping detail in the Seattle Police Department, and he was actually a	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?16A. It actually does. Now, it's a small17predictive value, and the idea is that the18theoretical explanation for that is that somebody19who is roaming far and wide to find child victims20is more likely to re-offend than somebody who is	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked about talking with Detective Matt Gordon about his leveling some years ago. Detective Gordon was on the sex offender and kidnapping detail in the Seattle Police Department, and he was actually a member of the End of Sentence Review Committee back	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?16A. It actually does. Now, it's a small17predictive value, and the idea is that the18theoretical explanation for that is that somebody19who is roaming far and wide to find child victims20is more likely to re-offend than somebody who is21living with a victim, and boundaries break down.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked about talking with Detective Matt Gordon about his leveling some years ago. Detective Gordon was on the sex offender and kidnapping detail in the Seattle Police Department, and he was actually a member of the End of Sentence Review Committee back at the time that Mr. Trick was released.	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?16A. It actually does. Now, it's a small17predictive value, and the idea is that the18theoretical explanation for that is that somebody19who is roaming far and wide to find child victims20is more likely to re-offend than somebody who is21living with a victim, and boundaries break down.22So yes, that you know, that is a	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION today and apply the notification and actual actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and set that person's level based on those tools, would that raise any ethical concerns for you? A. Well, it would it would show them to be at higher risk than updated research would would predict. So it would it would overrate their their likelihood at re-offense. Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but I don't think I fully understand. What is that conclusion based on? A. I I talked with Mr. Trick about what he knew about the process, and he talks he talked about talking with Detective Matt Gordon about his leveling some years ago. Detective Gordon was on the sex offender and kidnapping detail in the Seattle Police Department, and he was actually a member of the End of Sentence Review Committee back at the time that Mr. Trick was released. So he was actually probably involved in	1DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINAT2a non-related victim. But the score, he only3should have gotten a a notification4consideration if the score on that on that5instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even6using the the notification considerations that7were in place at the time, it looks to me like8that's the that that was a mis-scoring of it.9That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but10that shouldn't have triggered a a notification11consideration which would have pushed him up to a12level 2.13Q. That notification consideration of an14unrelated victim, does that have any predictive15value for future risk of of recidivism?16A. It actually does. Now, it's a small17predictive value, and the idea is that the18theoretical explanation for that is that somebody19who is roaming far and wide to find child victims20is more likely to re-offend than somebody who is21living with a victim, and boundaries break down.22So yes, that you know, that is a23that is a consideration, but but the way in	

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

	Page 41		Page 43
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Q. Okay. The I sent you a couple of	2	tool we were talking about before, the sex offender
3	exhibits in my prior communications with you.	3	tool, revised 1999. So that that would have
4	Could you take a look at those?	4	been the one that was used to to screen and
5	A. I've got them here.	5	level Mr. Trick when he got released in 2004.
6	Q. Specifically, Exhibit K, which in our	6	Q. Okay. Looking at the first page of this
7	submissions here, is Exhibit 13.	7	document and the gray box that says summary on the
8	Do you have a copy of those, your Honor?	8	right-hand side?
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't.	9	A. I see that.
10	MR. WALL: May I?	10	Q. Down towards the bottom where it says, key
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. Thank you. And	11	findings?
12	I take it, too, you'll be filing these in our	12	A. Yes.
13	records center?	13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That just means
14	MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor.	14	someone else has come on the line. Please
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.	15	continue.
16	BY MR. WALL:	15	MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
17	Q. So I'm looking at what we have labeled	17	Q. The second bullet point, the notification
18	here as Exhibit 13, and what you have as Exhibit K.	18	levels determined by the ESRC do not classify sex
19	It's a copy of the Washington State Institute for	19	offenders into groups that accurately reflect their
20	Public Policies Sex Offender Sentencing?	20	risk for re-offending.
20	A. Right. And what was the date on that one?	20	A. I see that.
22	Q. Let me take a look. The top, it's dated	21	Q. Would you, in your professional opinion,
23	December 2005.	23	would you agree with that conclusion?
24	A. Okay. Because there's two there's two	24	A. Yes, that's that's very consistent with
25	of those that you gave me.	25	what I said earlier in this testimony.
23	or those that you gave me.	25	what i salu earlier in this testimony.
	Page 42		Page 44
1.	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may break	2	Q. And are you aware, did that conclusion
3	in here. Staff objects. This document is from	3	lead to any action on behalf of the Washington
4	2005. The objection is relevancy.	4	legislature or the Washington Institute for Public
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall?	5	Policy?
6	MR. WALL: If I may respond, this document	6	A. Yes. You know, the the later report
7	is an analysis of the 2004 tool that was in place	7	recommended a change of the tool, and the
8	used to level Mr. Trick. I'm happy to lay the	8	legislature later told Washington Association of
9	foundation with this witness.	9	Sheriffs and Police Chiefs to come up with another
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I would appreciate it,	10	tool, which they which they have.
11	thank you. Objection is overruled.	11	MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'd move to admit
12	BY MR. WALL:	12	Exhibit 13.
13	Q. Dr. O'Connell, are you familiar with the	13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And aside from the
14	Washington State Institute for Public Policy?	14	relevance objection, do you have any objection,
15	A. Yes, I am. It is the it's the	15	Mr. O'Connell?
16	organization that the legislator legislature	16	MR. O'CONNELL: No, still the same thing
17	created and the legislature asked it to do research	17	that it's from 2005, and we've heard testimony that
18	on issues of interest to the legislature.	18	there's a new system now.
19	Q. And have you had a chance to review this	19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And because
20	document that we're looking at?	20	this was the it's detailing the basis of the
21	A. I have.	21	initial classification of Mr. Trick, I'm going to
22	Q. It's dated December 2005. What tool is it	22	allow it.
23	analyzing? What sex offender leveling tool is it	23	(Exhibit 13 admitted into evidence.)
24	analyzing?	24	BY MR. WALL:
25	A. It is it is doing an analysis of the	25	Q. Let's go ahead and take a look at one of
			1

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

٠

	Page 45		Page 47
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	those later reports that you referenced. Would you	2	us who aren't psychologists, who aren't as familiar
3	turn to what I believe you have as Exhibit L? For	3	with all of this, when you apply the 2004 tool to
4	us following along here, it's Exhibit 14.	4	Mr. Trick, the actuarial tool now, the actuarial
5	A. That's the January 2006 report?	5	tool does have predictive value, is that right?
6	Q. That's correct.	6	A. Yes. And in that second bullet point, it
7	A. Yes, I have that.	7	says it does have it does predict felony sexual
8	Q. And have you had a chance to review this	8	recidivism with moderate accuracy.
9	document?	9	Q. And that's referring to the actuarial
10	A. I have.	10	portion of the tool?
11	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff has the	11	A. That's correct.
12	same objection for relevancy.	12	Q. So I believe it was your testimony that
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall?	13	when you applied the actuarial tool, you scored him
14	MR. WALL: And again, I'm happy to lay the	14	as a 26?
15	foundation. This is analyzing the same tool that	15	A. As a 24, yes.
16	was used to level Mr. Trick. There is a new tool	16	Q. A 24. And in order to be at a level 2, he
17	in place, and the point is that that the new	17	would have needed to score a 46?
18	tools, which more accurately predict recidivism,	18	A. That's correct.
19	have never been applied to Mr. Trick.	19	Q. So that was using the actuarial
20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So I'm confused. Does	20	instrument, and based on solely the actuarial
21	this address the new tool or the old tool?	21	portion of the test, your conclusion was that his
22	MR. WALL: This addresses the old tool	22	risk was what, high, moderate or low?
23	that was used in 2004 to level Mr. Trick.	23	A. Low.
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. I'll allow	24	Q. Low. Then the notification consideration
25	it.	25	score, which this document says has little or no
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you, your Honor. Again, here, the key findings in the summary box A. Yes. Q I'd like to take a look at the first and second bullet points. It says here, the notification consideration score has little or no accuracy in predicting sex offender recidivism.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION accuracy in predicting recidivism, I believe it was your testimony that that's what would have made him a level 2? A. That's what I have have have assumed. That's the only explanation for how he gets to a level 2. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may, I'd just like to note that it is currently five until
10	Would you agree with that conclusion?	10	10:00 o'clock.
11	A. And that's consistent with what I said	11	MR. WALL: Yes, and I
12	earlier and what the earlier report said.	12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Dr. O'Connell, you
13	Q. And the second bullet point, the risk	13	were only going to be testifying - I know you have
14	assessment score has little or no accuracy in	14	another patient at 10:00 o'clock, so are you
15	predicting sex offender recidivism, would you agree	15	needing to leave the bridge line right now?
16	with that conclusion as well?	16	A. I'm going to need to leave here pretty
17	A. Yes. I mean, in in to some extent,	17	quickly.
18	it's it's the extension of the earlier argument,	18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, then let
19	if this the notification considerations are	19	me just ask, because we haven't really finished
20	are clouding the the actuarial score. And as it	20	with direct, and we still have cross-examination
21	turns out, they show in the in the analysis, in	21	and possible redirect, and I have some
22	the body of the report, that as it turns out, as	22	clarification questions as well, if you would call
23	you apply this tool and then follow people along,	23	back, I believe you're available at noon today?
24	it doesn't predict recidivism very accurately.	24	A. That's right.
25	Q. Okay. And to clarify this, for those of	25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. If you would

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

	Page 49		Page 51
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	call back at noon, that would be much appreciated.	2	other patients.
3	A. Okay. I'll plan on doing that.	3	MR. WALL: Thank you.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, thank you.	4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we continue
5	MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I	5	with your case? Do you want to call your next
6	apologize. One thing before Mr sorry,	6	witness?
7	Dr. O'Connell leaves us. I understand that your	7	MR. WALL: Could we take a short recess
8	Honor has already ruled on the relevancy of his	8	before that?
9	testimony, and I think it's clear that he is	9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, absolutely.
10	providing relevant testimony.	10	MR. WALL: Thank you.
11	I would just like to note for the record	11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And we're on break.
12	that staff had no awareness that that	12	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
13	Dr. O'Connell was going to be testifying until two	13	(A short recess was then taken.)
14	days ago.	14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Is that true,	15	record. Mr. Wall, if you want to finish.
16	Mr. Wall?	16	MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. Five
17	MR. WALL: As soon as I identified Mr	17	Stars calls Mr. William Trick.
18	Dr. O'Connell, I I don't I don't know that it	18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Then we'll have you on
19	was two days ago, but as soon as I identified him,	19	the witness stand over here.
20	I updated sent a communication to your Honor and	20	Whereupon,
21	to counsel, updating my witness list.	21	WILLIAM TRICK,
22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Can I ask why you	22	Was duly sworn and testified as follows:
23	didn't ask for a continuance?	23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall, you can
24	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't	24	continue.
25	believe a continuance is needed. I think that we	25	DIRECT EXAMINATION
	Page 50		Page 52
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	can go forward with the case. I don't think my	2	BY MR. WALL:
3	objection is not based upon the relevancy of	3	Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your
4	Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short	4	professional background a little BIT. Could you
5	time for notice. That's all.	5	tell us about your experience in the household
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm	6	goods moving industry?
7	asking is, do you need additional time to prepare	7	A. Sure. My experience in the household good
8	for your cross-examination?	8	moving industry started some time ago, almost a
9	MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your	9	decade. I at the time, I was I was working
10	Honor.	10	I was working some construction and I decided to
11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then	11	get a side job moving. As I began to move, I I
12	we'll	12	started getting really good at it.
13	MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for	13	I started making it more often, meaning I
	the record.	14	was doing moving more than I was doing
14		15	construction, whether it was a labor only move, a
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.	1	•
15 16	We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry,	16	house a full service household moving gig or an
15 16 17	We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If	16 17	house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know,
15 16 17 18	We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated.	16 17 18	house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job
15 16 17 18 19	We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated. A. I'll do that.	16 17 18 19	house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.
15 16 17 18 19 20	We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated. A. I'll do that. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so	16 17 18 19 20	house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week. Q. Was there some when you say you got to
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated. A. I'll do that. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony.	16 17 18 19 20 21	house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week. Q. Was there some when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated. A. I'll do that. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony. A. I'll do that. Bye-bye. 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week. Q. Was there some when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical skills that are involved in it?
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated. A. I'll do that. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony. A. I'll do that. Bye-bye. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Bye-bye. It's a 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week. Q. Was there some when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical skills that are involved in it? A. Sure. Keep in mind that when you go on a
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated. A. I'll do that. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony. A. I'll do that. Bye-bye. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Bye-bye. It's a little bit unorthodox, but we'll have him brought 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week. Q. Was there some when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical skills that are involved in it? A. Sure. Keep in mind that when you go on a household goods moving gig, meaning in somebody's
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 We'll go ahead and and have Mr I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If you would, that would be much appreciated. A. I'll do that. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so much for your testimony. A. I'll do that. Bye-bye. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Bye-bye. It's a 	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 house a full service household moving gig or an office or a commercial move, I just you know, what started off as, like, a weekend side job turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week. Q. Was there some when you say you got to be really good at it, are there some technical skills that are involved in it? A. Sure. Keep in mind that when you go on a

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

			» FF
	Page 53		Page 55
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Number one, you're a complete stranger walking into	2	the in's and out's of moving. I I made it a
3	somebody's home, so you're moving their memories,	3	point and a goal to say, you know, I'm going to do
4	not their stuff. Yes.	4	this for myself. This is a this would be a
5	And and anybody I've ever worked with	5	not only do I get a different boss every day, not
6	or for, we try to instill that in them, that	6	only do I get to I believe that the crew makes
7	there's there's in's and out's of moving things,	7	the team, and I believe in teamwork.
8	you know. People's things are very valuable to	8	I can't do a move by myself. Never have,
9	them, both sentimentally sometimes and somebody	9	never will. And it you know, getting to go
10	moving into a brand new house, and they're proud of	10	travel all over the state doing different moves,
11	this brand new furniture they finally could afford,	11	getting to see people that live on the water. I've
12	and you want to take that couch through this	12	moved people with water planes out back, and
13	narrow, narrow doorway without busting it up.	13	people, when I walk into their house, they have
14	So or or, you know, a lot of houses	14	pictures of Danny DeVito and Arnold Schwarzenegger.
15 16	these days just aren't mover friendly, so I had to	15	You know, there's a lot of different aspects. I
10	over the years, made it a point to really sharpen my skills, to be able to maneuver and know	16	said, man, how could you beat this? Not to mention
17	how to do that, when I have less experienced guys.	17	it makes a great living.
19	So I kind of when I was asked to go on a move, I	18 19	Q. This company, Five Stars Moving & Storage,
20	kind of took the leadership role and just kind of	20	what is your role in the company? A. So my role, number one, obviously, aside
21	took over and and, you know, to a relief to an	20	from being a mover, it is a lot more managerial.
22	owner of a company, for somebody who could pack a	21	So making sure the ship stays on queue and make
23	truck and get in there and do customer service,	23	sure that I'm in compliance with the Commission,
24	handle money, handle the employees, keep the ball	24	making sure that our insurance is up to date,
25	rolling.	25	making sure that I have safe equipment for my
	Page 54		Page 56
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Keep in mind that I've never been on a	2	workers, making doing in-home estimates with
3	moving gig where it wasn't by the hour. Nobody	3	customers, communicating with customers,
4	likes a slow mover, but at the same time, nobody	4	ouotomore, communicating mar ouotomore,
5			communicating with employees, which inevitably will
	likes a careless mover.	5	communicating with employees, which inevitably will take me out of the homes a lot more.
6	likes a careless mover. Q. Can you talk about your interaction with		communicating with employees, which inevitably will take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out
	likes a careless mover. Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job?	5	take me out of the homes a lot more.
6	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with	5 6	take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out
6 7	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job?	5 6 7	take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more.
6 7 8	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I	5 6 7 8 9 10	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a
6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing,
6 7 9 10 11 12	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what
6 7 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars?
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck.	5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these	5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's it's I'm
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's it's I'm going to take care of you and your family, and as
6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now? What do we do now? What do we do now? So yes.	5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's it's I'm going to take care of you and your family, and as long as you take care of me, and and, you know,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now? What do we do now? What do we do now? So yes. Q. How did you get to the point where you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's it's I'm going to take care of you and your family, and as long as you take care of me, and and, you show the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now? What do we do now? What do we do now? So yes. Q. How did you get to the point where you wanted to start your own household goods moving	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's it's I'm going to take care of you and your family, and as long as you take care of me, and and, you know, when you go into somebody's house and you show the same enthusiasm that I do for each and every move.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now? What do we do now? What do we do now? So yes. Q. How did you get to the point where you wanted to start your own household goods moving company?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's it's I'm going to take care of you and your family, and as long as you take care of me, and and, you know, when you go into somebody's house and you show the same enthusiasm that I do for each and every move. That, you know, when somebody is excited
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now? What do we do now? What do we do now? So yes. Q. How did you get to the point where you wanted to start your own household goods moving company? A. It was pretty simple. As I became, you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's it's I'm going to take care of you and your family, and as long as you take care of me, and and, you know, when you go into somebody's house and you show the same enthusiasm that I do for each and every move.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Can you talk about your interaction with other workers on the job? A. Sure. I I learned right away that as I was as I began moving and doing household good moving, I I caught on right away, and I I really you know, I really took to it, and I started taking that leadership role early on, using common sense and watching as these guys were these guys that have been doing it for a while were packing a truck. So eventually, it wasn't long before these guys were sending me on moves with these unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now? What do we do now? What do we do now? So yes. Q. How did you get to the point where you wanted to start your own household goods moving company?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 take me out of the homes a lot more. By all means, it won't take me out completely, but I'll as opposed to what I've been doing, it will take me it will it will take me out of the homes significantly more. Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a leap between what you previously have been doing, whether teaching less experienced workers and what you're going to be doing at Five Stars? A. Oh, absolutely. It's it's going from application to talking about application and, you know, convincing and and letting these guys know that making it a place where they want to work, where it's not just a job. It's it's I'm going to take care of me, and and, you know, when you go into somebody's house and you show the same enthusiasm that I do for each and every move. That, you know, when somebody is excited or nervous or stressed, you know, you get in there

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

			Page 59
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	doing good. You know, that's a relief to people.	2	I couldn't undo what I did, and I told them that I
3	That's our job, taking the uncertainty out of	3	would you know, I promised them that I would do
4	moving.	4	what I could to learn and you know, do treatment
5	Q. Okay. I'd like to talk about your	5	to understand what what the thoughts and the
6	conviction.	6	feelings and behaviors that led to this offense
7	A. Okay.	7	behavior. And and then, you know, I turned back
8	Q. You entered into a plea agreement, is that	8	around, and the judge sentenced me.
9	right?	9	Q. Let's talk a little bit about your
10	A. That's correct.	10	rehabilitation. What what rehabilitation
11	Q. And how did you decide to do that, as	11	program or programs did you undergo when you were
12	opposed to contesting the charges?	12	incarcerated?
13	A. Sure. It was early on when I was first	13	A. Okay. There was about I the program
14	arrested on July 7th of 1999. I found myself in	14	that was the sex offender treatment program at Twin
15	the Kitsap County Jail. I was in the regular	15	Rivers in Monroe was the one I did about 18 months
16	population where everybody is waiting, talking to	16	prior to my release, and it was a very intensive
17	attorneys and prosecutors, and things like that.	17	five days a week, three hours a day, lay it all out
18	And they made they made me aware that	18	on the table.
19	without asking me if I was guilty or innocent,	19	We had groups, and it went over cognitive
20	they asked they let me know, they said, well,	20	thinking, cognitive behavior. Basically, what it
21	you know, if you take this to trial, you're going	21	did was it taught me a lot about myself and the
22	to put two little girls on the stand and, you know,	22	thoughts, feelings and behavior that I experienced,
23	I I had to really look at myself and say, is	23	and and the little lies I told myself to break
24	that really what I want to do? And because I knew	24	down a barrier to be able to offend against a
25	I was guilty. I was just not talking about it.	25	child.
:	Page 58		Page 60
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	And the fact that these little girls have	2	And and they gave me quite a few tools
3	already been poked and prodded and asked questions	3	that I can use to not only learn more about myself,
4	that were severely uncomfortable, I wasn't going to	4	but also, to to not re-offend. Things that I
5	add to it. So at that point, I told my attorney, I	5	could use both in prison and outside that I can
6	said, okay, let's talk about, you know, the plea	6	apply to my every day life.
7	agreement.	7	Q. Do you have any other activities or
8	Q. And I understand that this is a difficult	8	involvements that you were while you were in
9	thing to talk about, but if you would, I think it's	9	prison?
10	important. Could you tell us about the sentencing	10	A. Yes, sir. So in my opinion, there are two
11	hearing?	11	types of there are two types of prisoners. You
12	A. Sure. It's a it's a day I'll never	12	have the guy that whether it's a sex offense, a
13	forget, ever. Number one, I walked into the	13	murder, a burglary, he stole something, he gets
14	courtroom chained to about 20 other men with	14	inside and he he keeps stealing, he keeps
15	various crimes, and the I saw I saw the	15	fighting, he gambles, he tatts himself up, and
16	the mother and father of of my victims, and you	16	things like that. And eventually, when he gets
17	know, when the when the judge came up and asked	17	out, he's just a hardened criminal. That's all he
18	me if I had anything to say, and I turned around	18	was.
10	and I addressed the parents, and I said to them,	19	And then you've got the guy that takes
19		20	advantage of every program the Department of
20	you know, I I can't I can't undo what I did.		
20 21	I can't. Because believe me, I would.	21	Correction had to offer. Now, I didn't take
20 21 22	I can't. Because believe me, I would. And keeping in mind that at the time,	22	advantage of every single program, that's next to
20 21 22 23	I can't. Because believe me, I would. And keeping in mind that at the time, before pre-treatment, obviously, the impact that I	22 23	advantage of every single program, that's next to impossible, but while I was in there, I earned two
20 21 22 23 24	I can't. Because believe me, I would. And keeping in mind that at the time, before pre-treatment, obviously, the impact that I had on those on those children, I had no idea.	22 23 24	advantage of every single program, that's next to impossible, but while I was in there, I earned two degrees. I facilitated an Alternative to Violence
20 21 22 23	I can't. Because believe me, I would. And keeping in mind that at the time, before pre-treatment, obviously, the impact that I	22 23	advantage of every single program, that's next to impossible, but while I was in there, I earned two

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

1	Page 61		Page 63
		1	-
	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	program. These classes were very important to me,	2	to those individuals?
3	because it allowed me to open up to people and	3	A. No.
4	learn how to listen and to communicate to people in	4	Q. Tell me whether you felt remorse for that,
5	a way that I'm heard, and that's what I did.	5 6	or the extent to which you felt remorse?
6	I figured that the only and best advantage		A. Oh, I'll try to give you the shorter
7	that I would have of getting out and having to	7	answer, but it the remorse, regret, the feelings
8	start over would be to educated and try to keep up	8	I had, especially after treatment, once I truly
9	with the times. Whereas, when you step foot in	9	realized and understood what I put those little
10	prison, time stops. Nothing ever changes. You're	10	girls through, both during the offense and after
11	in the same routine every day. Breakfast, lunch,	11	the offense now that I have a daughter of my
12	dinner. They tell you when you go outside. They	12	own, who will be two next month, I I couldn't
13	tell you when you go to the gym.	13 14	imagine I just couldn't imagine.
14	Outside just keeps evolving, so I figured	14 15	I try putting myself in those parents'
15	my best chance would be to get as educated as I	16	shoes. I try having empathy, and I I it was just unbearable. I I it would have put every
16	could. So between community college, Ohio	17	class I ever took to the test to allow authorities
17	University, and some programs that they offered	18	
18	within the prison system, I took, and they	10 19	to handle that kind of situation, but it just I
19	definitely helped me when I got out.		couldn't I couldn't believe how many people I
20	Q. What motivated you to do all of that? A. it was obvious the decisions	20	affected by my actions and how many people I hurt, and I ensured, and still to this day ensure that
21		21 22	
22	that I had made this this decision that got	22	that's not going to happen again. Q. Turning to the UTC application in this
23	me put in prison, which was horrible, just	23	case, the application for household goods moving
24 25	unrelenting horrible, that the impact that had on so many people, I didn't ever want to, you know,	24 25	permit, can you talk about the background in
25	so many people, I didn't ever want to, you know,	20	permit, can you talk about the background in
**********	Page 62		Page 64
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	have to put anybody through that again, and I was	2	preparing that application?
3	going to do whatever I could to not do that, to	3	A. Sure. So I was very familiar with the
4	change myself.	4	application, as I was part of the application
5	I had five years, and I mapped out my five	5	process with another moving company, B&Z Moving.
6	years once I started the programs, and I said,	6	So I was very aware of how in-depth they were with
7	okay, I'm going to do this, this, this, this, then	7	the application, and to the best of my ability, did
8	this. I mapped it out to where I was in class the	8	not try to deceive or in the application, as I
9	day before I was released from prison, and which	9	knew that you know, they they they do
10	and then, of course, once I was released, I just	10	their homework once you turn that in.
11	put all those to the test, and yes.	11	So from getting in sync with all the
	Q. So just so I understand the factual	12	proper government agencies, like Labor &
	-		· · · ·
12	background, obviously, this was a serious crime.	13	Industries, Unemployment, this and that, we paid a
12 13	background, obviously, this was a serious crime, and it involved two counts of child molestation?	13 14	Industries, Unemployment, this and that, we paid a considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand
12 13 14	and it involved two counts of child molestation?		Industries, Unemployment, this and that, we paid a considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A
12 13 14 15	and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes.	14	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A
12 13 14 15 16	and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes. Q. That arose out of a single incident, is	14 15	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites,
12 13 14 15 16 17	and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes.	14 15 16	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting
12 13 14 15 16	 and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes. Q. That arose out of a single incident, is that correct? A. Yes. 	14 15 16 17	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting everything set up, the payroll accounts.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes. Q. That arose out of a single incident, is that correct?	14 15 16 17 18	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting everything set up, the payroll accounts. It was not only a considerable amount of
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes. Q. That arose out of a single incident, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you hadn't had any prior contact with the victims before that? 	14 15 16 17 18 19	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting everything set up, the payroll accounts.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes. Q. That arose out of a single incident, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you hadn't had any prior contact with 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting everything set up, the payroll accounts. It was not only a considerable amount of money, but time, effort and stress, just trying to
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes. Q. That arose out of a single incident, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you hadn't had any prior contact with the victims before that? A. No, I didn't. 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting everything set up, the payroll accounts. It was not only a considerable amount of money, but time, effort and stress, just trying to get all my ducks lined up so that I can present this to the UTC knowing that, you know, they're
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes. Q. That arose out of a single incident, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you hadn't had any prior contact with the victims before that? A. No, I didn't. Q. The contact didn't involve the use of 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting everything set up, the payroll accounts. It was not only a considerable amount of money, but time, effort and stress, just trying to get all my ducks lined up so that I can present
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 and it involved two counts of child molestation? A. Yes. Q. That arose out of a single incident, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And you hadn't had any prior contact with the victims before that? A. No, I didn't. Q. The contact didn't involve the use of threats? 	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand new truck not brand new. It was a 2008. A moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites, business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting everything set up, the payroll accounts. It was not only a considerable amount of money, but time, effort and stress, just trying to get all my ducks lined up so that I can present this to the UTC knowing that, you know, they're going to they're going to look at this.

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

	Page 65		Page 67
· 1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	A. For the most part, yes. I did have a	2	MR. WALL: This is SP-1. This is the UTC
3	little help from Tom Cook.	3	staff's exhibit. I'm sorry. This is mislabeled
4	Q. Was there some any part of the	4	here. What I'm looking for is the SP-2. I'm
5	application that you were confused by or	5	sorry.
6	A. There was. And there's actually a couple	6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So you're referring to
7	that some I just got clarification on, like	7	the notice of intent to deny application
8	insurance things. And there was a specific	8	MR. WALL: Correct
9	question that I did call the UTC. It says right on	9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: that was sent out?
10	the front page of the application, the cover page,	10	MR. WALL: Correct.
11	where it shows everything you'll be having to have.	11	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may, I
12	So that if you have any questions, call the little	12	believe Mr. Wall has indicated Exhibit SP-2?
13	the number on there, and questions could be	13	MR. WALL: SP-2.
14	answered.	14	MR. O'CONNELL: And that that is on
15	So I did that, and I talked to a man named	15	staff's exhibit list listed as order 01 in docket
16	Michael, I believe was his name, and I asked him	16	TV 130259.
17	there was a question on the application that	17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes.
18	stated, have you or anybody on this named	18	MR. O'CONNELL: Okay.
19	application, named or whatever, said violated	19	BY MR. WALL:
20	state law or Commission rules? And I wasn't sure	20	Q. Take a take a minute to look over that.
21	what they meant, so I I already knew that, you	21	A. Okay. I'm very familiar.
22	know, they knew about my prior conviction.	22	Q. All right. And what what is that
23	And so I wanted to I called the	23	document?
24	Commission, I said, well, hey, does this mean,	24	A. This is a document for the initial order
25	like, traffic violations, things like that? I	25	to intent to deny our application our household
	Page 66		Page 68
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	said and I specifically told them, I said, I	2	goods permit application for B&Z Moving LLC.
3	have a reckless driving, is that does that	3	Q. What was the did you attend the hearing
4	count? And he specifically said, no, this question	4	here at the UTC?
5	pertains to laws based around Commission rules.	5	A. I did.
6	And I said, for example and he said,	6	Q. What was the outcome of that hearing?
7	have you tried advertising Five Stars Moving &	7	A. The outcome of that hearing, at the at
8	Storage without a household goods permit? And I	8	the end of the hearing, the judge said
9	said, okay, no, I have not. And he goes, well, put	9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry, I have to
10	no on the application, then. So I did.	10	stop you for a second. What hearing are you
11	Q. When you say, they knew about your prior	11	referring to?
12	conviction, who is they?	12	MR. WALL: There was a prior application
13	A. The folks involved in the last hearing.	13	under a company called B&Z Moving.
14	So the judge, Ms. Wallace, Ms Rayne Pearson,	14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
15	those the Commission. The Commission that does	15	MR. WALL: And Mr. Trick was a part of
16	the evaluating and the investigating.	16	that company and was attended the hearing for
17	Q. When you say the prior application, what	17	that application.
18	company was that?	18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And how is that
19	A. B&Z Moving.	19	relevant to the current denial?
20	Q. And so let's go ahead and talk about that	20	MR. WALL: I believe that the staff
21	for a minute.	21	intends to well, in counsel's opening statement,
22	A. Okay.	22	he said that Mr. Trick had a history of not being
		23	forthcoming with the UTC. He's going to question
23	Q. Let's see here. This is I'd like to	1	
24	hand you an exhibit, if I could.	24	him about that.
		1	

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 69		Page 71
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Thank you.	2	social setting and having a few drinks
3	BY MR. WALL:	3	A I always have a designated driver. You
4	Q. I was asking, what was the outcome of that	4	know, there's there's no debate.
5	hearing?	5	Q. If I may, SP-1 this is Staff Exhibit
6	A. The outcome of that hearing the outcome	6	SP-1. Are you familiar with that document?
7	of that hearing was that the judge ordered Zack	7	A. I am.
8	Gripp to go ahead and reapply, and it it wasn't	8	Q. And what is that document?
9	stated at the hearing, but in the paperwork, it	9	A. This is the intent to deny Five Stars
10	said that I was to have no nothing that the	10	Moving & Storage LLC.
11	application that the permit was approved, but	11	Q. And that document makes reference to a
12	under the condition that I had no nothing to do	12	company Better Than The Rest?
13	with the business at all.	13	A. Yes.
14	Q. So Mr. Gripp was allowed to proceed with	14	Q. Can you tell us what is the company Better
15	the business, but you didn't participate?	15	Than The Rest? Are you familiar with it?
16	A. That's correct. I was told not to, so I	16	A. I am.
17	don't have much choice.	17	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I apologize.
18	Q. So at that point, what did you do?	18	Can I butt in just for a moment? I'm a little
19	A. Well, I being that I couldn't work with	19	confused about what we're looking at. Mr. Wall,
20	him, I still had to have an income, and so I	20	you stated this was SP-1.
21	continued doing labor only moves. I continued	21	MR. WALL: Correct.
22	working with different moving companies, doing full	22	MR. O'CONNELL: But Mr. Trick has
23	service moves, and I also did some construction,	23	testified that it's a notice of intent to deny. So
24	and things like that, to supplement my income.	24	I just want to make sure we're looking at the right
25	Q. Okay. I want to go back and talk about	25	thing.
	Page 70		Page 72
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	you said you had a reckless driving conviction?	2	MR. WALL: Let's take a look.
3	A. That's correct.	3	A. It does.
4	Q. Is that do you have any other	4	MR. WALL: You're right. Maybe I do have
5	convictions? We've talked about this sex offense	5	the wrong document here. What I'm looking for is
6	and reckless driving. Do you have any other	6	the staff memorandum. The wrong page. This is
7	convictions?	7	SP-1.
8	A. No, I don't.	8	A. Oh.
9	Q. Okay. What what happened with the	9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Now, I'm
10	reckless driving?	10	confused. What memorandum are you talking about?
11	A. So about I don't know, it's it's	11	MR. WALL: I'm sorry. This is SP-1. This
12	almost three years ago, because it drops off here	12 13	is the staff exhibit, which is a memorandum written
13	in November, but I was out bowling with some	13	by the staff, which was the staff's recommendation. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: 1 I don't think it
14 15	friends, and we had a few drinks, and I thought that I had waited long enough after we were done to	14	is. I think it's Five Stars Moving's application.
16	drive home, and I got pulled over, and I the end	16	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe I
17	result of that was a reckless driving.	10	need to make some clarification about the numbering
18	Q. What have you done, if anything, since	18	of staff's exhibits.
19	that time with regard to alcohol consumption?	10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
20	A. I don't even take the chance anymore. I	20	MR. O'CONNELL: The first three exhibits
21	don't I don't really even drink anymore. I'll	21	are labeled number 1, 2 and 3. Those are the
22	have wine with dinner occasionally, if my wife and	22	application, the notice of intent to deny, and the
23	I go out to eat, but it's only one of us. So if I	23	request for a hearing that your Honor has already
24	if I have a glass of wine, she drives home.	24	accepted into the record.
25	Q. So with regard to driving, if you are in a	25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.
	G : O O m arrogara to arring, in you aro in a		

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

	Page 73		Page 75
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	MR. O'CONNELL: And those are already in	2	this the name was just too long, and we came to
3	the record.	3	that conclusion when we went to go get the we
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.	4	were going to get the truck wrapped, and they said,
5	MR. O'CONNELL: Then staff started	5	this is going to cost you a fortune. Do you guys
6	renumbering its exhibits and had an S and a P in	6	want to reconsider the name? So B for Bill and Z
7	front of them for the initials stands for	7	for Zack and an ampersand in the middle, and that's
8	Ms. Paul.	8	what we did. And I never had any kind of
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And the	9	correspondence with this company. I couldn't get
10	tabs don't, so they continue to use the 1	10	ahold of them, or anything. So I thought it was
11	through	11	just gone.
12	MR. O'CONNELL: Right. So that's, I	12	Q. So the memorandum makes reference to a
13	believe, where the confusion is coming in, and I	13	Google Plus listing?
14	apologize.	14	A. Right, which I had no knowledge of. In
15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.	15	fact, I mentioned it to you, and I had written
16	MR. WALL: Okay. And I apologize if I'm	16	several e-mails to Google Plus. And then you also
17	confused.	17	sent an e-mail and informed me that that they
18	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So this is a	18	had, on the on the page, when you bring it up
19	memorandum dated February 26, 2015.	19	now, it says that this company no longer exists or
20	MR. WALL: That's what I'm trying to get	20	is closed permanently.
21	at. I apologize.	21	Q. Okay. So
22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Got you. Got you.	22	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I'm going to
23	BY MR. WALL:	23	have to object. I think Mr. Trick has made clear
24	Q. Have you had a chance to review that	24	that he doesn't have any personal knowledge about
25	document?	25	entering any interactions with Google Plus.
	_		
	Page 74		Page 76
1	Page 74 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	Page 76 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
1 2	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 2	- -
	-		WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his
2	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have.	2	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the	2 3	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove
2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document.	2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing.
2 3 4 5	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace	2 3 4 5	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I
2 3 4 5 6	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I	2 3 4 5 6	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest?	2 3 4 5 6 7	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name	2 3 5 6 7 8	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with	2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they made us this really it was horrible. It was a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they made us this really it was horrible. It was a horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up. E-mails and correspondence, what you are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they made us this really it was horrible. It was a horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web site, and it had nothing that we had wanted on it, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up. E-mails and correspondence, what you are you talking about?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they made us this really it was horrible. It was a horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web site, and it had nothing that we had wanted on it, other than a few things I wanted phrased out for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up. E-mails and correspondence, what you are you talking about? MR. O'CONNELL: Mr. Trick has testified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they made us this really it was horrible. It was a horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web site, and it had nothing that we had wanted on it, other than a few things I wanted phrased out for him, and he even did that wrong. So quickly it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up. E-mails and correspondence, what you are you talking about? MR. O'CONNELL: Mr. Trick has testified that his counsel contacted Google Plus.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they made us this really it was horrible. It was a horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web site, and it had nothing that we had wanted on it, other than a few things I wanted phrased out for him, and he even did that wrong. So quickly it was a monthly charge, so after one month, we cut it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up. E-mails and correspondence, what you are you talking about? MR. O'CONNELL: Mr. Trick has testified that his counsel contacted Google Plus. A. As did I.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they made us this really it was horrible. It was a horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web site, and it had nothing that we had wanted on it, other than a few things I wanted phrased out for him, and he even did that wrong. So quickly it was a monthly charge, so after one month, we cut it off. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up. E-mails and correspondence, what you are you talking about? MR. O'CONNELL: Mr. Trick has testified that his counsel contacted Google Plus. A. As did I. MR. O'CONNELL: And Mr. Trick is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I have. Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the reference to Better Than The Rest in that document. A. Sure. And until until Ms. Wallace called me a few months ago asking me about it, I had no idea that it even existed still. Q. Back up a second, though, because what is what is Better Than The Rest? A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little naive in the business world, we a company contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey, we'll put you on the front page of Google and this and that, and we'll make you a web site. So we jumped, and of course, they they made us this really it was horrible. It was a horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web site, and it had nothing that we had wanted on it, other than a few things I wanted phrased out for him, and he even did that wrong. So quickly it was a monthly charge, so after one month, we cut it 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. WALL: I don't think that was his testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove the listing. A. Right. I and that is what I said. I I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and he then informed me that the ad had been taken down after several e-mails and correspondence to Google Plus. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your objection? MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the content of the e-mails and the correspondence. Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any personal knowledge about what's in there. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up. E-mails and correspondence, what you are you talking about? MR. O'CONNELL: Mr. Trick has testified that his counsel contacted Google Plus. A. As did I.

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

	Page 77		Page 79
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Mr. Wall and Google Plus.	2	want to ask you about the King County web site
3	A. I'm confused.	3	where it has your registration details.
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't think he is.	4	A. Sure.
5	l honestly I what I'm hearing Mr. Trick say,	5	Q. Let me just Mr. O'Connell, I certainly
6	and you can correct me if I'm wrong, he's just	6	welcome your input in navigating through this.
7	informing the Commission that he had contacted an	7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I believe that's SP-4,
8	attorney to take the web site down. And his	8	is that correct, Mr. O'Connell?
9	attorney is actually the one that contacted Google	9	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, your Honor.
10	Plus. Is that correct, Mr. Wall?	10	A. Yes, I've got it right here.
11	MR, WALL: That's correct.	11	BY MR. WALL:
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. I think	12	Q. Okay. SP-4, can you tell us, what is that
13	we're on the same page.	13	document?
14	MR. O'CONNELL: Okay.	14	A. Yes, this document is the is a copy of
15	BY MR. WALL:	15	the page of the King County Sheriff's sex offenders
16	Q. Mr. Trick, did you ever make any effort	16	site that pops up when you type my name in.
17	with regard to Google Plus to remove the listing?	17	Q. And that information that page that
18	A. I did. I sent several e-mails, and I	18	page says has some information about coaching
19	searched and searched, and there was, like no	19	and volunteering in day cares and child overseas
20	matter what phone number they give, it never led me	20	services. Could you read that for us?
21	to anybody that did any good.	21	A. Sure. It says, William Trick sexually
22 23	Q. Were those efforts successful?	22 23	assaulted two seven-year-old females. Trick was
23 24	A. No. Q. Did you take any other action or ask	23	acquainted with the victim's mother through their employment. Trick had a history of volunteering at
24	anyone else to take any action with regard to	24	daycares and children overseas services. He had
20	anyone else to take any action with regard to	23	daycares and children overseas services. The had
	Page 78		Page 80
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Google Plus?	2	also served as a soccer and wrestling coach. If
3	A. Yes, I made you aware that I couldn't get	3	you have any questions or concerns, please contact
4	this down, and you let me know that you had some	4	the King County Sheriff's Office registered sex
5	correspondence and some e-mails with them, and that	5	offender unit at, and then the phone number.
6	they sent you something back, or or when you go	6	Q. Okay. So I want to ask you about that.
7	look at it now, it clearly states that the business	7	Soccer coach, were you ever a soccer coach?
8	is closed permanently, that there's no there's	8	A. Never a soccer coach.
9	nothing there.	9	Q. What involvement, if any, did you have
10	Q. Okay. Okay. And to the best of your	10	with soccer?
11	knowledge, is that what the Google Plus listing	11	A. From as long as I can remember, I've
12	currently reflects with regard to Better Than The	12	always played soccer, whether it was junior high,
13	Rest?	13	high school, whatnot. I refereed soccer as a high
14	A. Yes, you can look at it now, and it says	14	school person and junior high person. We were
15 16	that that business is permanently closed. Q. Just to clarify I apologize if I'm	15 16	offered they had a recreational league called the Beaver Creek Soccer Association out where I'm
17	beating a dead horse here, but with regard to	17	from in Ohio, and for a couple bucks, you could
18	Better Than The Rest, after you decided to change	18	referee games, and that's that's the extent of
19	the name, did you have any further business	19	that.
20	operations under the name Better Than The Rest?	20	Q. When you say for a couple bucks, do you
21	A. No, we did not. We didn't even have a	20	mean you had to pay to referee games?
22		1	
44	bank account with that name. That's how quickly we	22	A. NO, NO, I GOT THEE OF TOUT DUCKS a Dame.
23	bank account with that name. That's how quickly we got rid of it.	22 23	A. No, no, I got three or four bucks a game. Q. All right. And it also said something
	bank account with that name. That's how quickly we got rid of it. Q. I want to ask you about and I hope I	ŧ.	 A. No, no, I got three or four bucks a game. Q. All right. And it also said something about a wrestling coach. What involvement did you
23	got rid of it.	23	Q. All right. And it also said something

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

1	Page 81	Page 83
-		
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	A. Okay. So again, I was a pretty avid	2 of time, and you pay a little bit of money, and
3	wrestler from about sixth, seventh grade, all the	3 they do this, whether it's a bike riding tour or a
4	way up. Even in my naval career, I wrestled All	4 scuba diving tour.
5	Navy and All American Armed Forces.	5 So but they also had a program called
6	As far as coaching goes, the only coaching	6 it was kind of you you sign up and you get
7	I ever did was I kind of helped the junior when	7 to a local family may get to meet meet a
8 9	I was when I was in high school, I helped as a	8 military person for for a couple days while
9 10	junior high coach that you know, the junior high	9 they're there, and the way it worked is you sign
11	guys, because we practiced at two different times, and sometimes two different places. So I would	10 up, you apply, and you give them when you get
12	assist there and help. As far as after high	11 out onto the pier, when you pull in to port, 12 there's a big billboard, and you pull out the card.
13	school, I had no involvement in any type of	0 • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
14	wrestling coaching.	
15	Q. After your conviction in 1999, did you	y i i i i i i i i i i
16	have any involvement in soccer or wrestling	
17	coaching or anything?	 they come and get you and show you the town. So I did that when I was in Hong Kong and
18	A. No.	18 Singapore, and one in one in Singapore, there
19	Q. There's a statement there about	19 was never anything there. But in Hong Kong, I did
20	volunteering in a daycare. Have you ever	20 get some correspondence, but I was never able to
20	volunteered in any daycare?	21 get ahold of them, and so nothing ever happened.
22	A. I've never volunteered at any daycare	22 As far as the extent of any kind of interaction
23	ever.	23 with anybody overseas, other than that, it was all
24	Q. There's something about children's	24 military I hung out with.
25	overseas services. Do you have any idea where the	, , ,
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Dec. 00	
	Page 82	Page 84
1		-
1 2	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
1 2 3	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea?	1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 volunteered for this cultural exchange program?
2	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes.
2 3	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange
2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an
2 3 4 5	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an
2 3 4 5 6	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an families? A. No. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy?	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an families? A. No. No. Q. Okay. And just so that the record's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that,	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an families? A. No. No. Q. Okay. And just so that the record's
2 3 5 6 7 8 9	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an families? A. No. No. Q. Okay. And just so that the record's clear, what years were you in the Navy?
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an families? A. No. No. Q. Okay. And just so that the record's clear, what years were you in the Navy? A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an families? A. No. No. Q. Okay. And just so that the record's clear, what years were you in the Navy? A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to July 7th, 1999.
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an families? A. No. No. Q. Okay. And just so that the record's clear, what years were you in the Navy? A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to July 7th, 1999. Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port	1WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION2volunteered for this cultural exchange program?3A. Yes.4Q. And through that cultural exchange5program, did you ever end up interacting with an6families?7A. No. No.8Q. Okay. And just so that the record's9clear, what years were you in the Navy?10A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to11July 7th, 1999.12Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or13asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time,	 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION volunteered for this cultural exchange program? A. Yes. Q. And through that cultural exchange program, did you ever end up interacting with an families? A. No. No. Q. Okay. And just so that the record's clear, what years were you in the Navy? A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to July 7th, 1999. Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th information on the King County web site?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been	1WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION2volunteered for this cultural exchange program?3A. Yes.4Q. And through that cultural exchange5program, did you ever end up interacting with an6families?7A. No. No.8Q. Okay. And just so that the record's9clear, what years were you in the Navy?10A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to11July 7th, 1999.12Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or13asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th14information on the King County web site?15A. I did, I called the recorder's office or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia,	1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 volunteered for this cultural exchange program? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And through that cultural exchange 5 program, did you ever end up interacting with an 6 families? 7 A. No. No. 8 Q. Okay. And just so that the record's 9 clear, what years were you in the Navy? 10 A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to 11 July 7th, 1999. 12 Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or 13 asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th 14 information on the King County web site? 15 A. I did, I called the recorder's office or 16 you know, first, I called the King County
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia, things like that, was a program that MWR put on	1WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION2volunteered for this cultural exchange program?3A. Yes.4Q. And through that cultural exchange5program, did you ever end up interacting with an6families?7A. No. No.8Q. Okay. And just so that the record's9clear, what years were you in the Navy?10A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to11July 7th, 1999.12Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or13asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th14information on the King County web site?15A. I did, I called the recorder's office or16 you know, first, I called the King County17Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the18information on the web site is wrong, and it19clearly states and it's not shown on here, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia, things like that, was a program that MVVR put on through the Navy. It's called it stands for	1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 volunteered for this cultural exchange program? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And through that cultural exchange 5 program, did you ever end up interacting with an 6 families? 7 A. No. No. 8 Q. Okay. And just so that the record's 9 clear, what years were you in the Navy? 10 A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to 11 July 7th, 1999. 12 Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or 13 asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th 14 information on the King County web site? 15 A. I did. I called the recorder's office or 16 you know, first, I called the King County 17 Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the 18 information on the web site is wrong, and it 19 clearly states and it's not shown on here, but 20 if you have a discrepancy with what's written, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia, things like that, was a program that MWR put on through the Navy. It's called it stands for Morale Recreation and Welfare, and it was the	1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 volunteered for this cultural exchange program? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And through that cultural exchange 5 program, did you ever end up interacting with an 6 families? 7 A. No. No. 8 Q. Okay. And just so that the record's 9 clear, what years were you in the Navy? 10 A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to 11 July 7th, 1999. 12 Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or 13 asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th 14 information on the King County web site? 15 A. I did, I called the recorder's office or 16 you know, first, I called the King County 17 Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the 18 information on the web site is wrong, and it 19 clearly states and it's not shown on here, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia, things like that, was a program that MWR put on through the Navy. It's called it stands for Morale Recreation and Welfare, and it was the opportunity that they provided sailors and they	1WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION2volunteered for this cultural exchange program?3A. Yes.4Q. And through that cultural exchange5program, did you ever end up interacting with an6families?7A. No. No.8Q. Okay. And just so that the record's9clear, what years were you in the Navy?10A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to11July 7th, 1999.12Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or13asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th14information on the King County web site?15A. I did, I called the recorder's office or16 you know, first, I called the King County17Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the18information on the web site is wrong, and it19clearly states and it's not shown on here, but20if you have a discrepancy with what's written, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia, things like that, was a program that MVR put on through the Navy. It's called it stands for Morale Recreation and Welfare, and it was the opportunity that they provided sailors and they do this for all branches of the military to be	1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 volunteered for this cultural exchange program? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And through that cultural exchange 5 program, did you ever end up interacting with an 6 families? 7 A. No. No. 8 Q. Okay. And just so that the record's 9 clear, what years were you in the Navy? 10 A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to 11 July 7th, 1999. 12 Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or 13 asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th 14 information on the King County web site? 15 A. I did, I called the recorder's office or 16 you know, first, I called the King County 17 Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the 18 information on the web site is wrong, and it 19 clearly states and it's not shown on here, but 16 you have a discrepancy with what's written, you 17 shead alog of time due to basically, 18 information on they will do an investigation, which 19 clearly states an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia, things like that, was a program that MVR put on through the Navy. It's called it stands for Morale Recreation and Welfare, and it was the opportunity that they provided sailors and they do this for all branches of the military to be able to see different parts of the country that	1WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION2volunteered for this cultural exchange program?3A. Yes.4Q. And through that cultural exchange5program, did you ever end up interacting with an6families?7A. No. No.8Q. Okay. And just so that the record's9clear, what years were you in the Navy?10A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to11July 7th, 1999.12Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or13asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th14information on the King County web site?15A. I did, I called the recorder's office or16 you know, first, I called the King County17Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the18information on the web site is wrong, and it19clearly states and it's not shown on here, but20if you have a discrepancy with what's written, you21can call, and they will do an investigation, which22takes a lengthy period of time due to basically,23they're going to do an investigation and look24through every piece of paper that you ever had in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION web site may have gotten that idea? A. I have I have no idea. From initial interviews from me, when we talked a little bit about my past and sports and things like that, I Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell us about your experiences in the Navy? A. Sure. This this was something that, you know, when when we were overseas the only time I was overseas number one, I mean, it claims that I was what does it say? Volunteer in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port for no more than three to seven days at a time, number one. Number two, the only time that I really interacted with the locals in any country I've been to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia, things like that, was a program that MVR put on through the Navy. It's called it stands for Morale Recreation and Welfare, and it was the opportunity that they provided sailors and they do this for all branches of the military to be	1WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION2volunteered for this cultural exchange program?3A. Yes.4Q. And through that cultural exchange5program, did you ever end up interacting with an6families?7A. No. No.8Q. Okay. And just so that the record's9clear, what years were you in the Navy?10A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to11July 7th, 1999.12Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or13asked anyone to take any steps with regard to th14information on the King County web site?15A. I did, I called the recorder's office or16 you know, first, I called the King County17Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the18information on the web site is wrong, and it19clearly states and it's not shown on here, but20if you have a discrepancy with what's written, you21can call, and they will do an investigation, which22takes a lengthy period of time due to basically,23they're going to do an investigation and look

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Page 85 Page 87 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 or, you know, any proof. 2 trying to further my career, to give them every 3 possible opportunity to succeed in a world that is 3 So mine's under investigation, but I've heard nothing. And as much as I've tried to figure very tough, sometimes, to -- to make it in. So I 4 4 5 want to give them the best chance. it out, they -- they haven't said anything yet. 5 6 Q. Could you talk about your relationship 6 Q. Okay. I'd like to talk a little bit about 7 7 your current support network. with your family? 8 A. Yes. So my mom and dad, who still 8 A. Okav. 9 Q. Could you tell us about your relationship 9 currently live in Ohio --10 with your wife? 10 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, your Honor. A. Sure. My wife, Ashley, we've been I'm not sure of the relevance of this line of 11 11 together since 2011. She's an amazing woman. I 12 12 questioning. love her very much, an incredible person to talk 13 13 MR. WALL: Your Honor, the central issue 14 to, very supportive. She -- her and I are great 14 in this proceeding is the extent to which Mr. Trick 15 parents together. We're a great team. She knows 15 is a risk to the public, and we've heard testimony pretty much everything about me -- I mean, not to 16 today that stability of family relationships and 16 support network are important factors in mitigating 17 say everything, but quite a bit about me, and we're 17 the risk of recidivism, and I am asking Mr. Trick on the same page with a lot of things, and she's 18 18 19 just a great person. 19 about his support networks. 20 Q. Could you tell us about your kids, Damien 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. 21 and Mackenzie? You'll have a chance to obviously cross-examine 21 22 Mr. Trick and -- and -- about these social 22 A. Sure. Damien, who is my stepson, is six. He'll be seven in the October, and I have a 23 networks. Please go ahead. 23 A. Okay. So my mom and dad, and I have two 24 daughter with Ashley, who will be two on July 23rd. 24 25 brothers that also live in -- live in Ohio. My mom 25 Just amazing kids. Every -- every -- parenting is Page 86 Page 88 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 something I take very serious, and to get the 2 and dad, while they obviously don't condone or 3 reaction, whether I'm picking them up from daycare, 3 agree with what I've done, they have held me or whatever it is, it's always running to me. accountable 110 percent of the way, but also stood 4 4 5 And when we get home, we kind of have a 5 strong to let me know that they're still my mom and routine. We get up in the morning. We -- we do 6 dad and they're always there. 6 7 7 breakfast. We pick out clothes. It's fun watching They -- they have never -- we corresponded 8 my daughter doing that. I hold outfits up, and she 8 while I was inside prison, as well as my brothers, 9 -- you know, she gets to pick her own clothes. We 9 to let me know that I have some support out here. 10 get ready for school. We understand the importance 10 They would send me, you know, pictures of family 11 of school, and I instill that in my kids, the 11 functions, you know, let me know that people miss importance of safety while you're at school. 12 12 me. 13 So they go to school, and then they come 13 And they have been a great support, and 14 home, and we -- we talk about their day. We play. 14 also, played a big part in my rehabilitation, as 15 We do homework, if it's necessary. We pack our 15 talking to them and giving them full disclosures as 16 lunches the night before. Both kids help me cook 16 to what I did, why I did it, and how my cycle in dinner, being that my wife -- she -- she gets off 17 17 offending affects my lifestyle and how I can protect myself and others from myself. You know, 18 work -- she works at Children's Hospital, so she 18 19 gets off a little later. So, you know, we handle 19 so -- so they have just been an amazing support the -- we hold down the house until she gets home, 20 group. They're awesome. 20 and then include her in dinner, and we all sit down Q. The last question I would like to ask you 21 21 together. about is your business partner, Tom Cook and his 22 22 role in your life? 23 23 We're very, very family oriented. My kids A. Sure. Tom Cook has been around all my 24 are very important to me, and the success of my 24 life. Tom Cook is my mom's brother. Tom Cook -- I 25 kids are very important to me. Hence, why I'm 25

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

1	Page 89		Page 91
1	WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	-
2	have a lot of gratitude towards that man. Always	2	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. No.
3	wanted to be like him. He's an incredible	3	Q. You worked with their mother, though,
4	businessman. He's my mentor. He's one of my best	4	right?
5	friends. He just he gave me a chance. I asked	5	A. I did.
6	him, obviously, months ago, before I submitted an	6	Q. Did you use threats to trick these girls?
7	application or started writing a business plan, I	7	A. No, I didn't.
8	said, hey, I I want a moving company. I want to	8	Q. So this was an isolated incident?
9	do this.	9	A. Isolated, you mean I'm not I'm not
10	And he goes, okay. He goes, we have	10	sure what you mean by it's an isolated incident.
11	nothing to talk about without a business plan. So	11	Like, it was a one time thing? Was it a what do
12	he's very business right away, right off the get.	12	you mean?
13	And he taught me a lot of things along the way	13	Q. Was it a one-time incident?
14	about what it takes to run a successful business.	14	A. Yes, yes.
15	And he he financially backed me through all	15	Q. It was just a short time that you had
16	this, and I've thanked him several times for	16	known these girls?
17	believing in me.	17	A. Right. That night.
18	This is something I'm passionate about.	18	Q. How did you end up in bed with these
19	It's not just a job. It's not just a career. It's	19	girls?
20	my life. It's it's what's going to provide for	20	A. Sure. As the evening progressed this
21	me and my family. And he he believed in that,	21	is more or less I'm not sure if you know the
22	and he trusts me. And believe me, he and I have	22	background of the evening, but it was it was a
23	had lengthy conversations about this very thing,	23	party I was invited to by the mother, and there was
24	and he he is just his part in the business is	24	probably 50, 60, 70 people there. I'm note sure.
25	is going to be a lot of admin.	25	I mean, there was a lot.
	Page 90		Page 92
1			
1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION
1 2	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION He'll take care of all the payroll	1 2	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION And as the night progressed you know, I
		1	And as the night progressed you know, I
2	He'll take care of all the payroll	2	
2 3	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has	2 3	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe
2 3 4 5 6	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me	2 3 4	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with
2 3 4 5 6 7	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a	2 3 4 5	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe
2 3 4 5 6	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me	2 3 4 5 6	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven-	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven- year-old girls, do you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning. So I laid down, and it wasn't very long
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven- year-old girls, do you? A. I don't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning. So I laid down, and it wasn't very long that her daughters, who I was introduced to earlier
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven- year-old girls, do you? A. I don't. Q. Did you groom these girls?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning. So I laid down, and it wasn't very long that her daughters, who I was introduced to earlier in the night, came in and at first, we were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven- year-old girls, do you? A. I don't. Q. Did you groom these girls? A. No, I did not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning. So I laid down, and it wasn't very long that her daughters, who I was introduced to earlier in the night, came in and at first, we were talking. They were sitting on the edge of the bed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven- year-old girls, do you? A. I don't. Q. Did you groom these girls? A. No, I did not. Q. Did you abuse them long term?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning. So I laid down, and it wasn't very long that her daughters, who I was introduced to earlier in the night, came in and at first, we were talking. They were sitting on the edge of the bed. Then they got one laid down, and the other one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven- year-old girls, do you? A. I don't. Q. Did you groom these girls? A. No, I did not. Q. Did you abuse them long term? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning. So I laid down, and it wasn't very long that her daughters, who I was introduced to earlier in the night, came in and at first, we were talking. They were sitting on the edge of the bed. Then they got one laid down, and the other one laid down on the other side of me, and it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	He'll take care of all the payroll accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be going over some strategy with me to enhance the profitability of the company. He definitely has the staff to do it, and he his his line to me was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a couple more is not going to hurt. He he's just an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here today, I mean, I'm just I'm very grateful. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you don't deny that you sexually molested two seven- year-old girls, do you? A. I don't. Q. Did you groom these girls? A. No, I did not. Q. Did you abuse them long term?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And as the night progressed you know, I had already had a long day. I was tired, and I kept trying to influence the four guys I came with to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe heading back to base. And that's when the father, Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang out, I'd be happy to you guys can just crash here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the morning, as the base was only about five, six minutes away by driving. I said okay. I talked to the mother, I said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you know there was just so many people and it was loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while? And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the morning. So I laid down, and it wasn't very long that her daughters, who I was introduced to earlier in the night, came in and at first, we were talking. They were sitting on the edge of the bed. Then they got one laid down, and the other one

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

	Page 93		Page 95
1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	and I that's when I did it.	2	A. I don't know if this is that one, but
3	Q. So the parents trusted you to be in their	3	Q. All right. It's your testimony that some
4	home?	4	of the information on this web site is incorrect,
5	A. They did.	5	right?
6	Q. Did you mistake these girls for your	6	A. It is.
7	girlfriend?	7	Q. When is the last time you visited this web
8	A. No, I didn't.	8	page?
9	Q. Okay. So you're required to register on a	9	A. I visited this web page when I got this
10	regular basis with the sheriff's office, is that	10	information from the Commission and this paperwork.
11	correct?	11	I didn't I had so there's different ways that
12	A. Yes.	12	I can look myself up. So if I just Google myself,
13	Q. And you do that, correct?	13	you can actually it doesn't take you to the King
14	A. I I do when you say a regular basis,	14	County web site. It takes you to wherever Google,
15	I'm assuming you mean whenever I switch my location	15	you know, may show my picture.
16	of my house. That's the only time I register.	16	So I had never seen this before. So I had
17	Q. Okay. Can I direct your attention to what	17	actually not been on the King County Sheriff's web
18	Mr. Wall showed you as SP-4? It's the King County	18	site until they until they brought it up, and I
19	Sheriff's office web site?	19	looked at it, and that's when I told my attorney, I
20	A. Sure.	20	said, hey and I immediately called a detective
21	MR. WALL: Do you have another copy, so I	21	who used to check up on me, and say, hey, how do I
22	could follow along?	22	fix this.
23	MR. O'CONNELL: You can have my copy.	23	Q. Let me get back do that. Let me back up
24	A. Let me flip through this here. I'm very	24	just a second. So do you remember more
25	familiar. You can go ahead and ask your question,	25	specifically when the first time you noticed
	Page 94		Page 96
1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	though.	2	something was incorrect on this web site?
3	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	3	A. Yes. It was when I got the paperwork from
4	Q. Okay. You're aware that the sheriff's	4	the Commission.
5	office keeps is an on-line database of registered	5	Q. Was that
6	sex offenders, right?	6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry. What
7	A. Oh, yes.	_	
		7	paperwork are you referring to?
8	Q. And on this document, that is a photo of	8	paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or
8 9	Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right?	8 9	paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of
8 9 10	Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right?A. Yes, it is. Yes.	8 9 10	paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit?
8 9 10 11	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? 	8 9 10 11	paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right.
8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it 	8 9 10 11 12	paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't 	8 9 10 11 12 13	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? A. The most recent one was actually not too 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had received from the Commission.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? A. The most recent one was actually not too long ago. It was there was a detective that 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had received from the Commission. A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? A. The most recent one was actually not too long ago. It was there was a detective that comes to the house about every twice a year to 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had received from the Commission. A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the paperwork for the intent to deny. It was part of
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? A. The most recent one was actually not too long ago. It was there was a detective that comes to the house about every twice a year to make sure that I live where I say I live, and it's 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had received from the Commission. A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the paperwork for the intent to deny. It was part of the background part, which you have somewhere in
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? A. The most recent one was actually not too long ago. It was there was a detective that comes to the house about every twice a year to make sure that I live where I say I live, and it's up to them to update photos, and things like that, 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had received from the Commission. A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the paperwork for the intent to deny. It was part of the background part, which you have somewhere in here. I saw it when we were looking before.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? A. The most recent one was actually not too long ago. It was there was a detective that comes to the house about every twice a year to make sure that I live where I say I live, and it's up to them to update photos, and things like that, so that the public has a clear picture of what I 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had received from the Commission. A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the paperwork for the intent to deny. It was part of the background part, which you have somewhere in here. I saw it when we were looking before. BY MR. O'CONNELL:
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? A. The most recent one was actually not too long ago. It was there was a detective that comes to the house about every twice a year to make sure that I live where I say I live, and it's up to them to update photos, and things like that, so that the public has a clear picture of what I look like now. And so he took one in front of my 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had received from the Commission. A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the paperwork for the intent to deny. It was part of the background part, which you have somewhere in here. I saw it when we were looking before. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. So can you give me a ballpark date on the
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of you, right? A. Yes, it is. Yes. Q. Do you recall having this photo taken? A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it was. I've had several taken, so but I don't remember Q. When was the most recent one that you had taken? A. The most recent one was actually not too long ago. It was there was a detective that comes to the house about every twice a year to make sure that I live where I say I live, and it's up to them to update photos, and things like that, so that the public has a clear picture of what I 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 paperwork are you referring to? A. The intent the intent to deny, or JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of intent to deny the permit? A. Right. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. A. And it didn't show this. It just had a paragraph stating that I like, this paragraph. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just wondering what paperwork you meant that you had received from the Commission. A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the paperwork for the intent to deny. It was part of the background part, which you have somewhere in here. I saw it when we were looking before. BY MR. O'CONNELL:

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

	Page 97		Page 99
1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	Let's just look at the date on this, because I got	2	and I recently were going to buy a house, and I
3	it thereafter. I would say close to the end of	3	wondered what the process was, so that when we
4	February.	4	moved into a new neighborhood, I wouldn't have
5	Q. Okay. So you hadn't looked at the King	5	immediate fears before we even got in debt.
6	County Sheriff's Office's web site until then?	6	Q. So Mr. Trick, when did you apply to have
7	A. That's correct. That's correct.	7	your sex offender level changed?
8	Q. Okay. And when did you call to correct	8	A. 1 I talked to the detective, and the
9	the inconsistencies?	9	detective they told me that they're currently
10	A. Soon after. Well, when I consulted with	10	not lowering anybody's level due to training and a
11	the attorney, with my attorney, I you know, we	11	procedure that they're going through, and things
12	were going over a lot of things, and I I may	12	like that. So I had no opportunity.
13	have waited, I don't know, three weeks, a month,	13	Q. Have you filled out any form?
14	because he asked about it. And when I brought it	14	A. There is no form. There's there's a
15	to his attention and said, what do we need to do,	15	it's something that they take you through, and
16	and that's when I got on the ball and started,	15	and whatnot, which now, I'm going to do through
10	like, hey, obviously, you guys found something you	17	Dr. O'Connell. I'm going to he he actually
18	didn't like in there, and I want to make sure it's	18	
10 19	at least accurate.	10	sits with the same people I talk to at the End of Sentence Review Committee to lower the levels.
20		20	
20	So when I called them, they told me that	20	Q. So at this time, you haven't applied to
22	the process could take up to four months or	1	have your sex offender level reduced?
22	three to four months for the investigation. It	22 23	A. That's correct.
	depends on how backlogged they are. It's not a	1	Q. When did you get married to Ms. Brandy
24 25	high priority is basically what she told me.	24	Cunningham?
20	Q. Did you call in June?	25	A. Brandy Barnes. Brandy Cunningham is her
	Page 98		Page 100
1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	A. No, I called in I called at the end of	2	name now. She got remarried. I got married on
3	April-ish. Ish. That's rough. It could have been	3	December 1st of 2007.
4	May, April, but somewhere in there.	4	Q. And she had minor children already when
5	Q. And so have you applied to have your sex	5	you married her, correct?
6	offender level changed?	6	A. She did. She had a four and six old, or a
7	A. So yes and no. So it's not something that	7	five and seven. I'm not exactly sure how old they
8	they just come to me with and say, hey, do you want	8	were.
9	your level changed? It's something that I had been	9	Q. When did you first meet Ms. Brandy
10	talking to a detective that comes to my house, and	10	Cunningham Brandy Barnes?
11	I said, hey, what do I need to do about getting my	11	A. I met her, actually, on a on a
12	level lowered? Because the thing that brought this	12	construction job.
13	up because before, I really didn't I didn't	13	Q. And when was that job?
14	look at it that much.	14	A. Late 2005.
15	Okay. I'm a level 2 registered sex	15	Q. Now, did you put your reckless driving
16	offender, but up to that point, it really hadn't	16	conviction on the application?
17	affected me much, other than, yes, it's an	17	A. I did not.
18	inconvenience when I get fliers put out so the	18	Q. Did you put your 1999 conviction for child
19	surrounding neighborhood knows. My fear isn't that	19	molestation on the application?
	they know. My fear is that they retaliate. So I	20	A. No, I did not.
20		21	Q. You testified that you called and talked
	have children. So if you've ever read any of the		
20	have children. So if you've ever read any of the horror stories that happen; people's houses get	22	
20 21	horror stories that happen; people's houses get		to staff about the application?
20 21 22	horror stories that happen; people's houses get burned down, people have drive-by shootings. I	22 23	to staff about the application? A. I did.
20 21 22 23	horror stories that happen; people's houses get	22	to staff about the application?

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 101		 Page 103
1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	A. did.	2	A. Sure. So initially, I worked for Adams
3	Q. Could it have been a person named Megan	3	first. That's when I met Alex White, who is the
4	that you actually spoke to?	4	owner of A-Ray's Moving Solutions. He branched out
5	A. It was a man both times.	5	and got his own company roughly I I wouldn't
6	Q. And you're sure?	6	be able to give you an accurate date.
7	A. I'm positive.	7	I it's just something I don't think
8	Q. Okay. I have one more question I want to	8	about. I worked for them for a significant amount
9	ask you about. On the application, you stated you	9	of time. Especially A-Ray's, not as much Adams.
10	had nine years of moving experience?	10	But I mean, if you want to guess, I will. I would
11	A. Roughly, eight, nine years. I refer to it	11	say between 2007 and present.
12	as nearly a decade, so to be more specific.	12	Q. And you don't recall the dates for the
13	Q. Who have you worked for in the household	13	other companies either, I'm guessing?
14	good moving industry?	14	A. Those are those are kind of individual.
15	A. I have moved with a couple labor only	15	So I whenever he needed help, he'd say, hey,
16	companies. Big Foot Moving	16	Billy, are you available to work? And I would say
17	Q. Mr. Trick	17	yes or no. So it was very spotty. It could be one
18	A. Yes.	18	day a month. It could be four days a month. It
19	Q. Could you slow down, please, for the	19	could be no days. Sometimes, I have to tell these
20	stenographer?	20	guys that I am working six or seven days a week.
21	A. Okay. Companies like Big Foot Moving, I	21	Currently, I work seven days a week between
22	Heart Moving, Adams Moving & Delivery, A-Ray's	22	construction and moving.
23	Moving Solutions.	23	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't have
24	Q. And do you know, do those companies	24	any more questions.
25	conduct background checks on their employees?	25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Do you
	Page 102		
			Page 104
1	-	1	
1	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving	2	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect?
2 3	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions	2 3	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect.
2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past.	2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
2 3 4 5	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted	2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check?	2 3 4 5	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:
2 3 4 5 6	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that
2 3 4 5 6 7	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check?	2 3 4 5 6 7	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all off and on due to construction schedule. So Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all off and on due to construction schedule. So Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each of these companies? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a follow-up based on that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all off and on due to construction schedule. So Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each of these companies? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a follow-up based on that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. That's quite
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all off and on due to construction schedule. So Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each of these companies? A. Not each of them. One of them. Q. Which one? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a follow-up based on that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. That's quite unorthodox. Yes, go ahead. Go ahead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all off and on due to construction schedule. So Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each of these companies? A. Not each of them. One of them. Q. Which one? A. Adams Moving & Delivery. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a follow-up based on that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. That's quite unorthodox. Yes, go ahead. Go ahead. RECROSS EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all off and on due to construction schedule. So Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each of these companies? A. Not each of them. One of them. Q. Which one? A. Adams Moving & Delivery. Q. When can you give me a ballpark, dates, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a follow-up based on that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. That's quite unorthodox. Yes, go ahead. Go ahead. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WILLIAM TRICK - CROSS EXAMINATION A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions both know about the past. Q. But you don't know whether they conducted a background check? MR. WALL: Asked and answered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and have the witness answer that one. A. I don't know. I did fill out an application with all my information, so if they did do a background check, I don't know. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. When did you work for these companies? A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 '6, '5, '6. It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date. All the way up to now. They're all they're all off and on due to construction schedule. So Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each of these companies? A. Not each of them. One of them. Q. Which one? A. Adams Moving & Delivery. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION have any redirect? MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Counsel asked you about the work that you've done for other moving companies? A. Yes. Q. Have you also done work on your own? A. I have, where I have done labor only moves, where I I don't supply the truck. I just supply the labor. So I go in and basically load their truck up, and then we we go over to the drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new house. I've done if you want me to give a ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800. MR. WALL: Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a follow-up based on that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. That's quite unorthodox. Yes, go ahead. Go ahead. RECROSS EXAMINATION

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

	Page 105		Page 107
1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	labor only, do you go into people's homes?	2	it was wrong, and I didn't want to get caught, and
- 3	A. Yes. Yes, I do.	3	so I my thoughts were, like, what did I tell
4	MR. O'CONNELL: That is all, your Honor.	4	myself to make it okay?
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	5	These are also called little white lies,
6	MR. WALL: Nothing further.	6	also known as distortions. So I had to learn and
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. I have	7	think about the thoughts that I had that I broke
8	just a couple clarification questions.	8	down I broke down my barriers and my walls to
9	A. Sure.	9	allow myself to offend, and so that's specifically
10	INQUIRY	10	what I'm talking about.
11	BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:	11	Q. Okay. Thank you. The other thing was you
12	Q. Just give me a moment. Okay. So when you	12	took classes in while you were incarcerated?
13	were talking about your support network and then	13	A. I did.
14	your parents specifically, you mentioned that you	14	Q. And you received two different degrees?
15	learned from your thoughts and I can have the	15	A. Yes, certificates.
16	court reporter read it back. I was a little	.16	Q. Certificates?
17	confused as to what you meant. Through your	17	A. Yes, ma'am.
18	parents' support and the rehabilitation, you	18	Q. Why did you decide not to pursue
19	learned from your thoughts, behaviors and offense.	19	employment in those fields?
20	A. Oh, got you.	20	A. It's quite simple. For two reasons. One,
21	Q. I'm not sure what thoughts you're talking	21	there was no work, first of all. And two, when I
22	about.	22	first got out, I was restricted as to who and what
23	A. Okay. So in a cycle of offending, as I	23	where I could work. So one of the conditions
24	was taught in treatment, there are thoughts,	24	that the Department of Corrections had with me is
25	feelings and behaviors and circumstances that lead	25	anyplace that I worked, I had to give a full
	Page 106		Page 108
1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION		
		1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is	1 2	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION disclosure as to what happened at the time of the
2 3	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So		
3 4	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from	2 3 4	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in
3 4 5	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person.	2 3 4 5	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off
3 4 5 6	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure	2 3 4 5 6	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and
3 4 5 6 7	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure,	2 3 4 5 6 7	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I
3 4 5 6 7 8	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at the time the offense took place, what thoughts were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so I continued and continued and continued and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at the time the offense took place, what thoughts were you trying to rehabilitate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so I continued and continued and continued and continued. I was sleeping on average four hours a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at the time the offense took place, what thoughts were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so I continued and continued and continued and continued. I was sleeping on average four hours a day. I was looking for another job, and finally, I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at the time the offense took place, what thoughts were you trying to rehabilitate? A. Right. Sure. So during that process	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so I continued and continued and continued and continued. I was sleeping on average four hours a day. I was looking for another job, and finally, I was hired at QFC. QFC made me aware that I was the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at the time the offense took place, what thoughts were you trying to rehabilitate? A. Right. Sure. So during that process so the little time that I had interaction with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so I continued and continued and continued and continued. I was sleeping on average four hours a day. I was looking for another job, and finally, I was hired at QFC. QFC made me aware that I was the first sex offener they had ever hired, and that was
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at the time the offense took place, what thoughts were you trying to rehabilitate? A. Right. Sure. So during that process so the little time that I had interaction with the children during the offense, thoughts like like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so I continued and continued and continued and continued. I was sleeping on average four hours a day. I was looking for another job, and finally, I was hired at QFC. QFC made me aware that I was the first sex offener they had ever hired, and that was at the Capital Hill Harvard Market QFC.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is talking to support people about what happened. So sometimes I can get a different perspective from another person. So if I were to give you a full disclosure and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure, you would perceive it differently and say, okay, you really didn't hold yourself accountable there. I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're taught I was taught how to not minimize the crime, because that's that's horrible. You did it. I did it, and so Q. But I guess, specifically yes, specifically, what I was trying to get at was what thoughts of yours that took place at the time or the offense took place or that you were having at the time the offense took place, what thoughts were you trying to rehabilitate? A. Right. Sure. So during that process so the little time that I had interaction with the children during the offense, thoughts like like identifying what my body was doing. So I was very	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	disclosure as to what happened at the time of the interview. So I didn't want to waste my skills in that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I didn't want to just my life is nobody's business if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as employment. If I am not I just I felt that if I disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance of employment. When I first got out, I put in over 200 applications I was putting in 100 applications a day, whether it was on line or in person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube. And it was and once I got a job at Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so I continued and continued and continued and continued. I was sleeping on average four hours a day. I was looking for another job, and finally, I was hired at QFC. QFC made me aware that I was the first sex offener they had ever hired, and that was

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 109		Page 111
1 .	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	disclosure. He told me, he said, well, you sold	2	about the circumstances.
3	yourself to me. He goes, don't tell anybody,	3	A. Okay. You ask the question, and I'll
4	because when you do, it will it will go through	4	answer it for you, and then
5	162 people in an hour, and it did when it	5	Q. Okay. That's fine. So you were
6	eventually happened.	6	intoxicated when this occurred?
7	So as I continued my employment, and	7	A. I I was I was feeling good, yes. I
8	moving up in the world, those certificates kind of	8	don't necessarily know that I was obliviated drunk,
9	went went kind of on the back burner. So that's	, 9	because I was conscious in my decisions, where
10	why I didn't I didn't pursue a career in	10	where my decisions were impulsive, and obviously,
11	information technology, IT, or interactive	11	not to, you know, par, yes, of course. The alcohol
12	communication multimedia. So in retrospect	12	affected my decisions a little bit.
13	commercials, things like that, I stay out of the	13	Q. Had you had any kind of contact of this
14	I try to, you know, stay out of the limelight a	14	nature, meaning of a sexual nature, with children
15	little bit.	15	or underaged people before this?
16	Q. And so you mentioned there were	16	A. In as far as, like, a
17	initially, when you were released, there were	17	Q. I'm not talking charged. I'm talking just
18	restrictions on where you could work?	18	right now, under oath, had you ever done anything
19	A. Not where I could work, but there were	19	like this prior?
20	restrictions so they would say yes. Yes. I	20	A. No. I I did, during treatment, admit
21	mean, obviously, don't go apply to a daycare.	21	to and what I now understand it as curious play,
22	Don't go apply at a school. Don't go apply at a	22	is how my treatment provider put it put it,
23	YMCA. You know what I mean? I could have no	23	sorry I had admitted to, if you will, fooling
24	direct contact with minors.	24	around with a cousin at a birthday party. She was
25	The only contact with minors I was allowed	25	I was 12 or 13, and she was 9 or 10, 8 or 9, and
	Page 110		Page 112
1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	to have was if I were to go into a restaurant, I'd	2	that happened on with with two different
3	say a fast food and order, I could order if the	3	cousins. It was the same party. So
4	person across the counter was under 18. That's	4	Q. This was not while intoxicated?
5	what they call paper and plastic. Decide. Pick	5	A. No, no. I was 12 or 13.
6	and choose your battle.	6	Q. Okay. And since your arrest I should
7	So if I'm in a grocery store and I go down	7	say, since the incident that occurred with these
8	the aisle and there's kids running around, choose a	8	two girls, have you since had any similar
9	different aisle. I mean, it's real simple. If you	9	interactions with other children?
10	couldn't get along with the Department of	10	A. No, I have not. No. No. Q. But you do still drink?
11 12	Corrections afterwards, you have a problem. You're	11 12	A. I drink on occasion. I don't I've
	looking for problems. So	12	pretty much cut alcohol out of my life, other than,
13 14	Q. So those restrictions, are they still in place?	13	like I said, if I were to go to dinner with my wife
14 15	A. No. I have no restrictions, other than I	14	or or we're at Costco, and there's a nice
15 16	can have no contact with my victims.	15	cabernet, you know, we'll we'll buy a bottle,
16 17	Q. And are you currently on parole	17	and I'll have that bottle will last a minute.
18	A. No.	18	So no, I don't drink regularly, and I can't
10 19	Q or probation, or anything like that?	19	remember the last time I was intoxicated.
20	A. I am not. I was released from probation	20	MR. WALL: I'm sorry, if I could just jump
20 21	in 2007.	20	in. When you say last a minute, I think you
22	Q. Okay. And as much as I don't want to get	22	mean
23	into the details of what happened	23	A. Oh, that the bottle lasted a month.
24	A. Sure.	24	MR. WALL: You were using that in, like,
25	Q I do need to know a little bit more	25	the vernacular sense?

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

	Page 113		Page 115
1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	A. Sure, sure. Right. Right.	2	also over at the UW.
3	MR. WALL: Not that the bottle was	3	Because I did get pulled over right before
4	immediately gone?	4	520, Interstate 520, the floating bridge, heading
5	A. And just so you know, once you open a	5	north on I-5. So it was right there, and I did
6	bottle, it's not very good after a month.	6	blow over the legal limit and originally, and so
7	CONTINUING INQUIRY	7	they arrested me for driving under the influence,
8	BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:	8	and the end result of that was 40 hours of
9	Q. So let me just go through my notes again	9	community service and a reckless driving.
10	and see if I have any further clarification	10	Q. Do you remember what the exact calculation
11	questions.	11	of the the intoxication level?
12	A. Okay.	12	A. Right. Right. It was either a .09 or a
13	Q. With regard to the reckless driving	13	.10. It wasn't as high and the officer I
14	A. Yes, ma'am.	14	remember his name. Officer State Trooper
15	Q you said that was about two or three	15	DeFrang, who is the guy who later told me, you
16	years ago, is that right?	16	know, I'm the one who trains people for this, and
17	A. Correct. It was in fact, I'm not sure	17	he told me, he goes you know, I cooperated with
18	how they came up with the three year thing, but I	18	him. I didn't hide it. You know, he said, hey,
19	have to file an SR 22 for up to three years, and	19	unfortunately, you're just about a half a beer or a
20	that ends on November 22nd of this year.	20	beer too much. He goes, you should have waited.
21	Q. So were you intoxicated at that time?	21	And then through that process, I learned
22	A. No. I we we had a few beers, two or	22	quite a bit about that, because they make you go
23	three, and I just I had gotten a phone call from	23	through a victims impact panel, where people kill
24	Ashley, who we weren't married at the time, and	24	people, and I I got to talk with mothers who
25	asked me if I could, you know, cut it a little	25	lost their kids due to drunk drivers. And that's
	Page 114		Page 116
		6	5
1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION
1 2	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot.	1 2	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little
	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit.	1	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION
· 2	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay.	2	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip
2 3	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I	2 3	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this.
2 3 4	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off.	2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So
2 3 4 5	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys	2 3 4 5	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know,
2 3 4 5 6	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible	2 3 4 5 6	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty
2 3 4 5 6 7	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment	2 3 4 5 6 7	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? A. No. Q. Okay. So what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? A. No. Q. Okay. So what A. They they pulled me over for that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't know if we want to take a short recess, and then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? A. No. Q. Okay. So what A. They they pulled me over for that. Then when they pulled me over, they asked me if I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't know if we want to take a short recess, and then Mr. O'Connell, you'll present Ms. Paul, and we'll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? A. No. Q. Okay. So what A. They they pulled me over for that. Then when they pulled me over, they asked me if I had been drinking, and I said yes. They did a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM TRICK + RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't know if we want to take a short recess, and then Mr. O'Connell, you'll present Ms. Paul, and we'll follow from there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? A. No. Q. Okay. So what A. They they pulled me over for that. Then when they pulled me over, they asked me if I had been drinking, and I said yes. They did a field sobriety test, which I don't know if that's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't know if we want to take a short recess, and then Mr. O'CONNELL: Well, your Honor, I expect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? A. No. Q. Okay. So what A. They they pulled me over for that. Then when they pulled me over, they asked me if I had been drinking, and I said yes. They did a field sobriety test, which I don't know if that's a pass/fail. They didn't really say anything. I did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't know if we want to take a short recess, and then Mr. O'CONNELL: Well, your Honor, I expect that Ms. Paul's testimony will take more than the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot. And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit. And she goes, okay. And I had to work the next day, and so I just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off. Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible in that way, and it was a it was a bad judgment call on my part, and I I when I got on the highway and headed north, I got pulled over. Q. And why were you pulled over? A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know that I was on my way, and I got pulled over. Q. So the cell phone usage is what constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor? A. No. Q. Okay. So what A. They they pulled me over for that. Then when they pulled me over, they asked me if I had been drinking, and I said yes. They did a field sobriety test, which I don't know if that's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION when I said, you know, it's time to change a little something about this. So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know, she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens again you know, she has a six year old son. I completely agree. So it's not okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's that really is all of the clarification questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your testimony, and you're excused. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't know if we want to take a short recess, and then Mr. O'CONNELL: Well, your Honor, I expect

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

		<u> </u>	
-		-	
1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	to testify. My preference would be to not cut her	2	how that consideration score has little or no
3	off on the stand.	3	accuracy in predicting recidivism.
4	Is it can we arrange it so that we can	4	l wanted to ask you I think this is
5	take a break until Dr. O'Connell's testimony, and	5	probably the last topic. If you could turn to
6	then have Ms. Paul after Dr. O'Connell?	6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall, do you have
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we do that?	7	your mike on?
8	We'll take an early lunch, and when we come back at	8	MR. WALL: Yes. Sorry.
- 9	noon, we'll have Dr. O'Connell finish his	9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very good. Great.
10	testimony. And following that, we'll have staff's	10	MR. WALL: I'll speak into it more.
11	case. All right. We are in recess until noon.	11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
12	Thank you.	12	BY MR. WALL:
13 14	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.	13	Q. If you could turn to the exhibits that I
	MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.	14	sent you labeled I and J? And for those of us
15 16	(A recess was then taken.)	15	following along here, they are Exhibits 11 and 12.
16 17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So we'll go back on the record. We are going to proceed with the	16	And I'm not sure if your copy of Exhibit 11 got cut
17 18		17	off, but apparently, in making the PDF, I just
18 19	continuation of Dr. O'Connell's examination. However, I wanted to address Five Stars'	18	realized that the exhibit got cut off.
20	motion for lead to file its brief, a legal brief.	19	So we'll focus on Exhibit 12, then. Are
20	I am going to deny the motion. I've given the	20	you familiar with the Certificate of Restoration of
22	parties a couple of opportunities to express their	21	Opportunities Act, which is pending in the
22	legal views in both opening and closing statements,	22	legislature?
23	and in addition, I don't view this case as having	23	A. Yes, you brought that to my attention.
24	legal issues that are sufficiently complex to	24	Q. And do you know, what is what is your
25		25	understanding of it? Have you had an opportunity
*****		*********************	
	Page 118		Page 120
1	Page 118 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	Page 120 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
1 2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 2	-
		1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing.	2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it?
2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I	2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. I I read through it, and I'm familiar
2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling.	2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. I I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy
2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were	2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. I I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing
2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the	2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. I I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line?	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has
2 4 5 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one
2 4 5 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your direct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your-direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Whereupon,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have responsibilities that they're they're committed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have responsibilities that they're they're committed to doing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your-direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL, having been previously duly sworn, testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. I I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have responsibilities that they're they're committed to doing. And I think the City of Seattle has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your-direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 – I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have responsibilities that they're they're committed to doing. And I think the City of Seattle has recently they have led an ordinance, and I know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows: CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. I I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have responsibilities that they're they're committed to doing. And I think the City of Seattle has recently they have led an ordinance, and I know the state of Georgia and a couple of other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your-direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows: CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you for calling back in,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 I read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have responsibilities that they're they're committed to doing. And I think the City of Seattle has recently they have led an ordinance, and I know the state of Georgia and a couple of other jurisdictions have made it a requirement that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows: CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you for calling back in, Dr. O'Connell. I think that we had just talked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 – 1 read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have responsibilities that they're they're committed to doing. And I think the City of Seattle has recently they have led an ordinance, and I know the state of Georgia and a couple of other jurisdictions have made it a requirement that employers cannot ask about and rule out job
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION warrant legal briefing. So that, along with the declaration that I ruled on over the last couple of days that were denied, will will be my ruling. I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line? THE WITNESS: That's correct. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you were examining or finishing up your-direct examination. And you are still under oath. I just wanted to remind you. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL, having been previously duly sworn, testified further as follows: CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. WALL: Q. Thank you for calling back in,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION to read about it? A. 1 – 1 read through it, and I'm familiar with it as part of a larger public policy initiative where there is a sort of a growing appreciation that making it impossible for people who have committed offenses in the past to get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has is coming to be seen as a as an overreach as one that gets in the way of people sort of rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of desistance that I talked about earlier, you know, talking about getting getting rooted in the community and having, you know, attachments that makes them want to behave well and have responsibilities that they're they're committed to doing. And I think the City of Seattle has recently they have led an ordinance, and I know the state of Georgia and a couple of other jurisdictions have made it a requirement that employers cannot ask about and rule out job candidates based on their criminal history at the

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 121		Page 123
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	before the issue of their criminal history comes up	2	I'm not I'm not offering it as a binding legal
3	and gets gets factored into a hiring decision.	3	authority for the UTC or anything, but I think that
4	Q. If you look at page 2 of what you have as	4	it does represent a policy, and I think that policy
5	Exhibit J, what we have as Exhibit 12, and it has a	5	arguments should be considered, in addition to
6	summary of the bill explaining that if you	6	legal arguments.
7	A. This is the house bill report?	7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And I have no
8	Q. Right.	8	problem with you asking questions about it, but I
9	A. Right.	9	don't think we need to get into the substance of
10	Q. On page 2, the summary of the substitute	10	it, including the CROP, because this isn't even
11	bill?	11	part of our legislative scheme right now, and I
12	A. Yes.	12	MR. WALL: Right.
13	Q. It's talking about a Certificate of	13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I do take
14	Restoration of Opportunity, what's abbreviated	14	Mr. O'Connell's point, that this would not become
15	CROP. So as I understand it, that's a mechanism	15	an exhibit.
16	that people with a conviction can apply for. If	16	MR. WALL: Okay.
17	they obtain a CROP, then it says, no state, county	17	Q. Dr. O'Connell, do you in your
18	or municipal department essentially will deny a	18	professional opinion, is there do you perceive
19	permit or a license based solely on the applicant's	19	some irony in this area with professional licenses?
20	criminal history, if the applicant meets all the	20	A. I'm not I'm not I'm not sure of the
21	other statutory and regulatory requirements?	21	point that you're you're asking about, the irony
22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to stop you	22	piece.
23	right there. Is this still has this been	23	Q. Let me try and ask let me ask a better
24	enacted or signed?	24	question. How can the denial of a license affect
25	MR. WALL: It has not. It's pending at	25	someone's rehabilitation and reintegration into
*****	Page 122		Page 124
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	the legislature.	2	society?
3	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What is the relevance	3	A. Well, licenses, in general, and and
4	of this?	4	employment opportunities, in particular, are do
5	MR. WALL: The relevance, I think, is that	5	get in the way of people, you know, moving along
6	there's a growing awareness and I would like to	6	with their lives, establishing, you know,
7	Dr. O'Connell about this. A growing awareness in	7	connections to the community and promoting that
8	the professional field, but also, within the	8	process of desistance, which is a, you know,
9	legislature, that the denial of licenses for people	9	rehabilitative factor for most people who once
10	pursuing their chosen profession is	10	committed offenses and then go on to leave go on
11	counterproductive to their rehabilitation and	11	to live law abiding responsible lives.
12	reintegration into society.	12	On the other hand, there are certain
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?	13	perfectly reasonable restrictions on people who
14	MR. O'CONNELL: May I be heard, your	14	abuse children being in positions of authority,
15	Honor?	15	people who embezzle working in banks, that sort of
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.	16	thing.
17	MR. O'CONNELL: Staff does have an	17	MR. WALL: Thank you, Dr. O'Connell. I
18	objection on relevancy. You think see on the	18 19	don't have any further questions for you at this
19	bottom of the first page of this, it says it's not	20	
20	part of a bill that's been passed. It's not part	20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
21	of an analysis. His national of la -t-t-t		Mr. O'Connell, do you have any cross exam?
21	of an analysis. It's not part of legislation.		MR O'CONNELL: I do your Honor
22	I think Mr. Wall is free to ask	22	MR. O'CONNELL: I do, your Honor.
22 23	I think Mr. Wall is free to ask Dr. O'Connell his questions, but I don't think	22 23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
22 23 24	I think Mr. Wall is free to ask Dr. O'Connell his questions, but I don't think there's still this court should consider.	22 23 24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. CROSS EXAMINATION
22 23	I think Mr. Wall is free to ask Dr. O'Connell his questions, but I don't think	22 23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 125		Page 127
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	Q. Dr. O'Connell, can you hear me?	2	A. That's correct.
3	A. Yes, I can hear you fine.	3	Q. And the only copy of court documents that
4	Q. Okay. Can you tell me if you've met with	4	you received was the judgment and sentence for
5	Mr. Trick?	5	Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction?
6	A. I have just talked with him over the	6	A. That's correct.
7	phone.	7	Q. Have you seen the pre-sentence
8	Q. When did you speak with him?	8	investigation report from Mr. Trick's 1999
9	 It was in the last couple of days. 	9	conviction?
10	Q. Okay. So that was last week or this week?	10	A. I have not.
11,	A. You know, it it it may have been	11	Q. Have you seen the information or the
12	yesterday. In fact, I think it was yesterday.	12	certificate of probable cause for his conviction?
13	Q. How long did you speak?	13	A. No.
14	A. I guess it was Monday. And it was	14	Q. Did you look up Mr. Trick on the sex
15	well, let me see. I did make a note of that. I	15	offender registration web site?
16	made 2.1 hours. But that also included a	16	A. I didn't. Mr. Wall provided me the
17	follow-up consultation with with Attorney Wall.	17	information that was downloaded from that. The
18	So it was it was certainly over an hour, maybe	18	the and there's a not lengthy comment section.
19	an hour and a half.	19	Q. Okay. And so I guess my question for you
20	Q. Did you speak with him only that one time	20	is, going forward in your evaluation, would you
21	on the telephone?	21	like to be given all these documents with more
22	A. That's right.	22	information in order to make your evaluation?
23	Q. Okay. Were you given any materials as	23 24	A. Oh, absolutely, yes.
24 25	collateral for your evaluation? A. I was I was provided with the judgment	24	Q. Okay. Are you aware that Mr. Trick was on supervised release after prison?
20	A. Twas Twas provided with the judgment	2.5	superviseu release aller prisonr
	Page 126		Page 128
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	and sentence, the the posting on the King County	2	A. That's what I understand.
3	web site, the registration details and the notice	3	Q. All right. Can you tell me exactly what
4	of intent to deny the the license in this case.	4	Mr. Trick told you about his offense?
5	Q. Okay. And do you normally receive	5	A. I did not make extensive notes about that,
6	collateral information when you make evaluations?	6	so part of what I'm going to be saying in response
7	A. I'm sorry?	7	to your question is going to be sort of my memory
8	Q. Do you normally receive collateral	8	and impressions.
9	information when you're making an evaluation?	9	He was arrested in July of '99. He was
10	A. Oh, yes. I I would just to be	10	and I do have some notes here, so I'm I'm using
11	clear, what I'm what I'm testifying to is a	11	that as a as a to jog my memory. He was in
12	first impression, not a definitive assessment.	12	the Navy. He was stationed on an aircraft carrier.
13	Q. So your testimony today is limited only to	13	It was at drydock. He had a he had a side job
14	a preliminary determination on your behalf?	14	working at a pizza place. A co-worker at that
15	A. That's right.	15	pizza place was having a party. He went to a party
16	Q. So you would like to have more information	16 17	at her house off base. It was a large party, 50 or
17 18	and more time in order to make an evaluation, is that my understanding correct?	17	more people there, a fair amount of drugs and alcohol.
18 19	A. In order to make a more definitive	19	He got pretty intoxicated. He was invited
20	evaluation, I would want more information and more	20	to stay rather than drive back. He was sleeping in
20	time to process it.	20	a bedroom. Twin seven and a half year old girls
21	Q. So to make a full evaluation of	22	came in and slept with him. We didn't talk much
23	Mr. Trick's risk level and the risk that he	23	about the specifics of the of the details that
24	presents for re-offense, you would like more	24	happened there. The girls he was alone with
	information, is that correct?	25	them, and the door was closed. He got aroused.
25	inormation, is that correct:	25	

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

	Page 129		Page 131
1	·		-
	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	He made excuses to himself, and molested	2	while on supervision.
3	the the girls, and I have a note here that said,	3	Q. Are you aware of what Mr. Trick's
4 5	and including that I orally raped them, having oral	4	conditions were during his supervised release?
6	sex with them. I don't think it was the next	5	A. We didn't talk about them specifically. I
7	morning. Several months later, he was contacted by	6 7	I could make a guess about what they were, and I
. 8	police and was charged. So that's just a once that was a brief	8	made certain assumptions about what they were, but
. 0	discussion we had about the offense.	9	we didn't talk about them.
10	Q. So you didn't really receive a lot of	10	Q. Okay. Well, if I told you that one of his
11	details about the nature and extent of the crime,	11	conditions was that he have no contact with minor
12	is that correct?	12	children, and that a few months after his supervision ended, he married a woman with minor
13	A. Nor did I ask for them at the time.	12	
14	Q. Is that information that you would like to	14	children, would that raise any red flags to you? A. We actually did talk about the fact that
15	have in making your evaluation?	15	he had I believe it was a marriage with
16	A. Yes. That would be that would be a	16	children, and I and I said we talked about
17	normal part of the evaluation process.	17	how that could create complications, and he needed
18	Q. Okay. In your experience, do sex	18	to be thinking about that.
19	offenders often minimize their crime?	19	So I mean so yes, simplifying my
20	A. Quite often. More likely than not.	20	answer, you know, being being in contact and
21	Q. Do they try to hide their crime?	21	having, you know, control over children is a risk
22	A. Well, by definition, it's something they	22	factor that needs to be taken seriously.
23	you know, at the time, they're they're	23	Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand
24	hiding. And part of the evaluation and treatment	24	you. You are saying that you would be concerned
25	process is to break down the barriers to be able to	25	about a convicted child molester seeking out
7-TO-FE-112-2/17-20-10-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20			
	Page 130		Page 132
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	talk morbidly and candidly about that, so others	2	situations with greater exposure to children?
3	know about their potential risks and they can see	3	A. Having access to potential victims is a
4	them more clearly themselves.	4	risk factor.
5	Q. So in your experience, are sex offenders	5	Q. So in your experience, would you say that
6	good manipulators?	6	sex offenders are very truthful and forthcoming?
7	A. Well, they often are. It's sort of a	7	MR. WALL: I'm going to object to the
8	requirement of the of being in that situation.	8	question. It calls for generalizations.
9	Q. What about child molesters, in your	9	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, Mr. Wall and
10	experience, are they good at manipulating people?	10	Five Stars Moving has put forward Dr. O'Connell as
11	A. Well, it's it's difficult to make	11	an expert in psychology. I think he's qualified to
		1	
12	generalizations, but they are often good	12	give his opinion based on his experience.
12 13	generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the	13	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically
12 13 14	generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation.	13 14	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific
12 13 14 15	generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that	13 14 15	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your
12 13 14 15 16	generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to	13 14 15 16	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer?
12 13 14 15 16 17	generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults?	13 14 15 16 17	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults? A. Oh, more. 	13 14 15 16 17 18	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults? A. Oh, more. Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults? A. Oh, more. Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on supervised release after prison. I'm guessing, 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was about the specific relevance of the question asked.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults? A. Oh, more. Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on supervised release after prison. I'm guessing, since you knew about that, he shared that 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was about the specific relevance of the question asked. It calls for a generalization, and not specifically
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults? A. Oh, more. Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on supervised release after prison. I'm guessing, since you knew about that, he shared that information with you? 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was about the specific relevance of the question asked. It calls for a generalization, and not specifically applicable to Mr. Trick or his circumstances.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults? A. Oh, more. Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on supervised release after prison. I'm guessing, since you knew about that, he shared that information with you? A. I I was aware of it, and we talked 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was about the specific relevance of the question asked. It calls for a generalization, and not specifically applicable to Mr. Trick or his circumstances. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And actually, you'll
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults? A. Oh, more. Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on supervised release after prison. I'm guessing, since you knew about that, he shared that information with you? A. I I was aware of it, and we talked about it briefly. And he also talked about being 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was about the specific relevance of the question asked. It calls for a generalization, and not specifically applicable to Mr. Trick or his circumstances. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And actually, you'll have a chance to respond on redirect to any
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 generalizations, but they are often good manipulators. Again, by the nature of the requirements of the situation. Q. In your experience, would you say that children are more or less vulnerable to manipulation than adults? A. Oh, more. Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on supervised release after prison. I'm guessing, since you knew about that, he shared that information with you? A. I I was aware of it, and we talked 	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	give his opinion based on his experience. MR. WALL: My objection is specifically about the specific JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your mike closer? MR. WALL: I apologize. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was about the specific relevance of the question asked. It calls for a generalization, and not specifically applicable to Mr. Trick or his circumstances. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And actually, you'll

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

	Page 133		Page 135
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	Based on your experiences, Dr. O'Connell,	2	time, we have tried to improve the tools that we
3	you can answer the question.	3	use, including
4	A. And could you restate the question?	4	A. That's correct.
5	Because I got lost in the back and forth there.	5	Q this tool?
6	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. Yes, I can. In your experience,	7	Q. And likewise, do you think that the state
8	Dr. O'Connell, would you say that sex offenders are	8	of Washington has improved its treatment that it
9	truthful and forthcoming?	9	offers over time?
10	A. Well, again, if you're doing something	10	A. Over time, the treatment has improved.
11	you're not supposed to be doing, you're hiding it	11	Q. So the treatment today that a sex offender
12	and you're and you're denying it when when	12	would receive is better than the treatment that
13	challenged, one of the sort of core objectives of	13	they would have received back in 2004?
14	of specific sex offender treatment is to break	14	A. I would guess that it that it is, but
· 15	through that level of of denial and resistance,	15	it was pretty good back then.
16	and become more open and self-disclosing and	16	Q. So Dr. O'Connell, are you aware how the
17	transparent about that.	17	End of Sentence Review Committee now assigns
18	So it's it's it's a it's a	18	offender levels?
19	it's a need and a problem that needs to be	19	A. It's my understanding is that they're
20	addressed.	20	using the STATIC 99.
21	Q. Okay. I want to talk briefly about the	21	Q. Are you aware of whether they're using any
22	End of Sentence Review Committee, the risk levels	22	other tool?
23	that are established, how they're established, and	23	A. I know that there are other tools under
24	I want to ask you a couple questions about the	24	development. I know there was a tool being
25	testimony that you gave earlier.	25	developed by DOC specific to Washington state, but
	Page 134		Page 136
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	A. Okay.	2	I am not I'm not sure of more than that.
3	Q. Would it be a fair characterization to say	3	Q. Have you ever been part of an End of
4	that at the time Mr. Trick was released from	4	Sentence Review Committee?
5	prison, his risk level was assessed with the	5	A. I have not.
6	current and up-to-date methods of the time?	6	Q. Okay. Are you familiar at all with the
7	A. With the tool that was being used at the	7	Minnesota Sex Offender screening tool?
8	time actually, the by 2004, that tool had	8	A. Yes, I am.
9	been sort of overtaken by events and was a sort of	9	Q. Would it surprise you if the End of
10	a remnant of of an earlier it was not the	10	Sentence Review Committee used that, also?
11	latest and greatest at the time.	11	A. Well, the Minnesota Sex Offender Screening
12	It was it was it was a fair it	12	tool was embedded in the Washington State Sex
13	was a fair attempt at doing an empirical	13	Offender Risk Classification tool, and and had
14	assessment. And Washington state, at the time, was	14	been from the beginning of there being a you
15	doing lots better than most other jurisdictions,	. 15	know, an emperically-based tool back in the mid
16	but in retrospect, we know it was it it had	16	'90s.
17	some it had some flaws.	17	Q. Are you aware that the Minnesota Sex
18	Q. So at the time, it was as Washington's	18	Offender Screening tool was updated in 2012?
19	tool and Washington being a state that was doing	19	A. I am aware of that.
20	more than other states, it was state of the art?	20	Q. Dr. O'Connell, in your experience, do you
21	A. It's it's too much to say it was state	21	know, can sex offenders apply for their offender
22	of the art, but it was a it was a reasonably	22	level to be reduced?
23	good attempt at at a a fair and and	23 24	A. It's my understanding that that gets done
24 25	accurate risk assessment.	24 25	to the law enforcement jurisdiction that is you know, where they're registered, where they're
25	Q. And you'd agree that as we've gone on in	20	Know, where they re registered, where they re

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

	Page 137		Page 139
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	residing. It doesn't go through the End of	2	impressions based on the information I had
3	Sentence Review Committee. It's done on a, you	3	available.
4	know, county by county or jurisdiction by	4	Q. And would the further information that you
5	jurisdiction basis.	5	need, would that consist largely of verifying the
6	Q. Okay. Can a sex offender apply to have	6	facts, as they were given to you?
7	their level reduced?	7	A. And adding, you know, any additional
8	A. It can be done. I've been involved in	8	information that may not have come up in our
9	cases where that's happened.	9	initial conversations.
10	Q. Okay. I have one last topic I want to ask	10	Q. Okay. And assuming that the facts that
11	you about. Dr. O'Connell, is it your opinion that	11	you as they were presented to you were if you
12	Mr. Trick presents no risk to re-offend?	12	were able to verify them, and in gathering
13	A. No, I'm not saying that.	13	additional information, no new red flags came up,
14	Q. So you would agree with me if I said that	14	would your assessment, then, be in a position to be
15	the risk that Mr. Trick will re-offend is somewhere	15	finalized?
16	above zero?	16	A. Oh, I could I could make a more
17	A. And I would say that anybody, even	17	definitive assessment. These things are never rock
18	somebody who has never been convicted of a sex	18	solid. They're always, as I was saying in the
19	offense, has a percentage of risk of greater than	19	in the last round of questioning, it's always
20	zero. He would he would be somewhat higher than	20	he's in a pool of people who look like this.
21	that, but yes, he's more than zero.	21	Q. Counsel asked you about Mr. Trick's
22	Q. Are you able to quantify exactly what the	22	marriage to a woman with minor children. If
23	risk is that Mr. Trick will re-offend?	23	someone with a conviction for a sex offense has
24	A. No. And in fact, nobody can. And these	24	access to potential victims but does not re-offend,
25	risk tools do not give a precise risk factor for	25	what does that tell you about that person?
n is her is a second	Page 138		Page 140
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	any particular person. All it can do is is	2	A. It it that's consistent with the
3	compare somebody to a pool of people who are who	3	other piece of information we've been talking
4	have similar characteristics.	4	about, about time in the community and at risk
5	Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify one thing	5	without re-offending. So any time you have a
6	with you. You are aware that Mr. Trick's crime	6	period of time, you know, being in the community is
7	involved the offense against two seven-year-old	7	is it provides more opportunities for
8	children?	8	mischief than being in prison.
9	A. That's right.	9	And being in a family situation with kids
10	MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. I have no more	10	provides more opportunity than that. And someone
11	questions, your Honor.	11	who has been in those situations without
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Redirect?	12	re-offending provides some additional information
13	MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.	13	that suggests lower risk.
14		14 15	Q. Have you had experience with people with
15 16	BY MR. WALL: Q. Dr. O'Connell, I believe your testimony	15 16	criminal convictions minimizing their offenses?
16	was that you spoke Monday with Mr. Trick for	10 17	Have you experienced that in interviews with people who were convicted?
18	something less than 2.1 hours, is that right?	18	A. Absolutely.
19	A. That's correct. That's correct.	10	A. Absolutely. Q. When you were speaking, can you recognize
20	Q. And then I think you testified that you'd	20	when someone is doing that?
21	like more information to verify the facts.	20	A. One never knows for sure, but I didn't
22	Assuming but you testified previously as well	22	I didn't have a sense of that with Mr. Trick. In
23	that you were able to reach a tentative conclusion,	23	fact, he was – my sense was he was very open in
24	is that right?	24	disclosing and was providing me, if anything, more
25	A. Yes. I mean, it's a it's a first	25	information than I needed under the circumstances.

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 141		Page 143
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY
2	Q. And just to clarify, you said, I think,	2	Q. Okay. That makes sense. So what is the
3	that it would take you approximately six to eight	3	recidivism rate, if you know it, for level 2
4	weeks to conduct the further analysis that you need	4	offenders?
5	to finalize your conclusions?	5	A. Boy, I don't have that information at my
6	A. That's right.	6	fingertips. I could give you a you know, a
7	Q. Okay. And you do, in fact, have plans to	7	seat-of-the-pants guess of somewhere around 18
8	do that?	8	percent.
9	A. I understand Mr. Trick would like me to do	9	Q. And that's for level 2?
10	that, and plans to follow through.	10	A. That's just a guess. Yes. And I'm and
11	Q. Okay. I just want to ask you one last	11	I'm it's it's been a while since I've I've
12	question about the you were asked about the	12	looked at that, but
13	Minnesota Sex Offender Screening tool, which you	13	Q. And I understand it's just a guess. Maybe
14	said was embedded in the 2004 test, is that right?	14	what
15	A. That's correct.	15	A. Right.
16	Q. And is the Minnesota Sex Offender	16	Q would be helpful is if, when we're done
17	Screening tool the actuarial tool you were	17	here, and obviously, when you have a chance, maybe
18	referring to when we spoke previously about the	18	sometime today, if it turns out maybe you can
19	2004 test?	19	let counsel, Mr. Wall, know what the actual number
20	A. That's correct.	20	is.
20	Q. And that's the test that you, in fact,	20	A. Okay.
21	used with Mr. Trick, is that right?	21	Q. And then if you would also provide the
22	A. Yes. That was the one that yielded the	22	recidivism rate for level 1 offenders at that time?
23 24	score of 24 that we talked about earlier.	23 24	
24 25		24 25	A. That, I know is under 10 percent. Q. Okay. And is that after one year? After
20	Q. Okay. And that's the tool that has the	20	Q. Okay. And is that after one year? After
	Page 142		Page 144
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIR
2	level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you	2	two years? After five years?
3	need a 46 to be considered a level 2?	3	A. I think I think it's five years, but
4	A. That's right.	4	again, I would need to to do a little digging
5	MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.	5	and get that information.
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	6	Q. Sure. Just a couple of other
7	INQUIRY	7	clarification questions. So I'm going to take
8	BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:	8	advantage of your your knowledge your much
9	Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for	9	greater knowledge of psychology than I have as a
10	just a moment, because I do have a couple of	10	attorney.
11	questions for you, just clarification questions,	11	Since you were well, you didn't you
12	based on what you've the information you've	12	didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received
13	provided to both counsels.	13	treatment, would this be classified as a disorder,
14	A. Yes.	14	his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?
15	Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate	15	A. It could be, but it's not I mean, the
16	to recidivism rate?	16	disorder that you're probably referring to is
17	A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor	17	pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting
18	that you're that you're referring to.	18	out or or sexual sexually driven behavior was
19	Q. Okay. Sure. So you're using the	19	one incident, it wouldn't meet the criteria for
20	vernacular risk factor, and I guess, in legal	20	pedaphilia. You need a pattern of behavior that
21	parlance, we use recidivism rate or re-offense	21	causes problems for more than six months.
22	rate. Is it pretty much the same thing?	22 .	Now, I don't know enough about his
23	A. Okay. So risk when I'm using the term	23	about his about his larger presentation than
24	risk factors, I'm talking about elements that add	24	this limited information I have, but it it
~ 1	nok labeleto, i in talking about clemento that add		the initial internation relate, but it is
25	up to a a predicted recidivism rate.	25	occurs to me that he may not meet the criteria for

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

	Page 145		Page 147
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY
	laphilia, and that sexual interest in children,	2	that you can't cure somebody who has been who
	I know, was not a significant factor in the	3	has engaged in sexual misbehavior and committed a
	ense. It may be just a situational opportunity,	4	sex offense does not mean that that person is
	I know, boundaryless behavior with disinhibiting	5	irredeemable and can never be trusted to be
-	ects of alcohol that may have been the, you	6	outside, you know, the walls of a prison.
	w, the driving force. And youth and immaturity	7	Q. Right. But if I'm understanding
	l lack of, you know, just managing his life in a	8	correctly, it's kind of a maintenance is a lifelong
	re structured and mature way.	9	issue?
	Q. So the alcohol may have played a factor in	10	A. That's correct.
	decision to commit the crime?	11	Q. Okay. Okay. And you mentioned
	A. It may have been a factor.	12	potentially needing to talk to collateral contacts.
	Q. Okay. And then also but there are	13	What would that entail? What do you mean by
	Itiple factors that may have went into it?	14	collateral contacts?
	A. There usually are.	15	A. Well, other people who who know this
	Q. Okay. You had mentioned that individuals	16	person, evaluating in a different way than I do.
	ver have a no risk, that there's no such thing as	17	So spouse, co-workers, family friends, that sort of
	o risk individual. What I got a little bit	18	thing.
	fused about was that you also said that there's	19	Q. Okay. When you were mentioning the
	such thing as an incurable individual.	20	variability of the levels assigned, you indicated
21	So can you kind of explain the difference	21	that some law enforcement agencies or or
22 her	e between not having not having a no risk	22	departments in different counties might look at
23 ind	ividual, and yet, also being able to potentially	23	someone and assess them higher if they essentially
24 cur	e someone?	24	don't want this person in their community.
25 A	A. Right. And actually, cure is not a useful	25	You're not saying that that's what
	Page 146		Page 148
1 .	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY
	struct for thinking about this. And the the	2	happened here, though?
	del that's more useful for thinking about how to	3	A. I'm not saying that's what happened here.
	bond to somebody who has acted out sexually, so	4	Q. Okay. Okay. You also mentioned the
5 thin	k in terms of, like, substance abuse.	5	possibility, in a further evaluation, of conducting
6	There are some people who are	6	a polygraph. Is that typical?
7 phy:	siologically addicted to certain substances,	7	A. It is it it's very typical in
8 let's	say alcohol and, you know, if they take one	8	Washington. We we have well, this gets back
9 drin	k, they can't stop. There are other people who	9	to counsel's point about you can't always take what
10 hav	e misused alcohol and who have, you know, caused	10	a person who is convicted of a sex offense says at
	plems for themselves or others, and a person who	11	face value, and the polygraph testing to
	, you know, engaged in that behavior can't say,	12	corroborate their self-report of sexual behavior,
	cured, I can you know, I never have to think	13	and and and whatnot, is a a useful
	e about use of alcohol again.	14	additional source of information.
15	It's more useful to think about them as	15	Q. Are are there any other tests that
	naging their life better. If they have a	16	could use could be used by professionals to
	siological addiction, to structure their life so	17	indicate the veracity of what's being said?
-	v don't put themselves into contact with	18	A. Nothing as good as a polygraph, which has
	ohol, build social structures so that they avoid	19	its own limitations. The other potential sources
	social occasions where someone is going to hand	20	of of test information would be plethysmograph
	n a drink and they're going to feel social	21	testing to test sexual arousal, or there's a couple
	ssure to do that.	22	of other instruments that measure sexual interest.
23	So so managing managing of potential	23	So that's a way of either confirming or ruling out
	s, rather than cure, is the more useful way to	24	a deviant sexual interest as a driving force, both
25 thin	k about this. So the fact that somebody	25	in the offending behavior and in and, you know,

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 149		Page 151
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	for the predicting of future risk.	2	paraphilic disorders, which is the larger sexual
3	So somebody who is so somebody who is	3	behavior problems, and there is a section on
4	sexually interested in children, who is much more	4	pedaphilia specifically.
5	aroused to children than to adults, is you know,	5	Q. Did you use the DSM-V in your evaluation
6	that's a risk factor. And in fact, it's one of the	6	of Mr. Trick?
7	you know, the two primary sources of recidivism	7	A. I did not.
8	risk that probably you know, in the meta-	8	Q. Would you, in further evaluations?
9	analysis studies.	9	A. Probably not as such. I was I was
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. Okay. I think	10	referring to the to this based on the the
11	that that pretty much concludes the	11	judge's question about a disorder.
12	clarification questions that I had. If there's	12	Q. Okay. Would the DSM-V contain criteria
13	nothing further for the witness, I want to oh,	13	and a definition of what pedaphilic or pedaphilia
14	I'm sorry. I'm getting an indication from	14	disorder is?
15	Mr. O'Connell that there might be something	15	A. It does, yes.
16	further.	16	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have with me
17	MR. O'CONNELL: I just you brought up	17	a copy of the DSM-V, and I have copies that I can
18	the issue of the DSM sorry, of the disorder, and	18	distribute to the parties. I didn't expect this to
19	I was wondering if I could ask a couple of follow-	19	be brought up in testimony, which is why I'm only
20	up questions.	20	bringing it forward now. And I would remind the
21	A. Go ahead.	21	Court that I found out about Dr. O'Connell
22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, that's that's	22	testifying on Monday.
23	fine. I have no problem.	23	MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'd object to this
24	RECROSS EXAMINATION	24	exhibit, in that we haven't seen it. Counsel also
25	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	25	supplemented his exhibit list previously, and I'd
	D 150		Doco 152
	Page 150		Page 152
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	Q. Okay. Dr. O'Connell, do you use the DSM-V	2	further like to point out that Mr. Trick did
. 3	in your psychological evaluations?	3	undergo a polygraph and a plethysmograph, and there
4	A. I generally don't.	4	was never any diagnosis of pedaphilia made. So the
5	Q. Is it widely used in the psychological	5	those analyses have already been run through. He
6	industry?	6	does not have pedaphilia.
7	A. It's it's used in some	7	So I mean, putting that aside, reading
8	situations. In in the field of, you know,	8	into the record a bunch of stuff and admitting exhibits on pedaphilia don't have any relevance to
9 10	sexual offending, it's more likely it's most likely to be used in civil commitment proceedings,	10	this, as he's already been through the diagnosis
11	where there needs to be a mental abnormality or a	11	process.
12	personality disorder as one of the qualifying	12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: When was he tested?
13	conditions for civil commitment.	13	MR. WALL: In 2004.
14	Q. And Dr. O'Connell, for the record, can you	14	A. I sorry.
15	just tell us what the DSM and the DSM-V is?	15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No, go ahead. If
16	A. DSM is the Diagnostic and Statistical	16	you're correcting your counsel, please go ahead.
17	Manual. The five is the fifth edition, which just	17	MR. TRICK: Sure, I was actually tested
18	came out about a year ago. It's the it's the	18	twice. Once during the treatment program, where I
19	publication by the American Psychiatric	19	underwent a plethysmograph. I also underwent one
20	Association, which lays out the criteria for	20	when I went for the SOSSA evaluation.
21	diagnosing, mostly from symptoms, different mental	21	And now that I think about it, upon
22	health conditions.	22	release, and from my treatment provider, who also
23	Q. Does it contain a section on pedaphilic	23	labeled me as not having pedaphilia. I mean, it
24	disorder?	24	was updated and then updated again.
25	A. There is a there is a section on	25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So where are these

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 153		Page 155
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	records? Do you have them?	2	state that all relevant evidence is admissible, and
3	MR. WALL: I don't have them.	3	I it is it's relevant, so I would like to
4	MR. TRICK: Nor do I. I can I mean, if	4	proceed.
5	I were to contact the records department for the	5	MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may. While
6	treatment program at Twin Rivers, I can get it. I	6	relevant evidence is admissible, in this case,
7	I used to have regular contact with my treatment	7	introducing additional evidence on pedaphilia, a
8	provider inside the Twin Rivers unit, the for	8	diagnosis and a disease that Mr. Trick does not
9	the sex offender treatment program and, you know,	9	have, is more unfairly prejudicial than it is
10	she's if I asked her, I can I can probably	10	probative.
11	get copies of it, but which would also give you	11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, we don't we
12	a treatment summary demonstrating I completed the	12	don't have anything before us that says he doesn't
13	program, and progress along the way. Things like	13	have it. Until we do, I think I'm going to allow
14	that.	14	the examination, but I expect both of you to come
15	MR. WALL: And your Honor, I'd like to add	15	up with the paperwork to indicate that he has been
16	that I did submit a public records request to the	16	tested, and there has been a negative diagnosis, or
17	Department of Corrections, but I have not I have	17	he has he has passed the tests, so to speak.
18	received an acknowledgment that they received it,	18	MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor.
19	but I haven't received any of the documents. I did	19	MR. TRICK: He had mentioned that he had
20	attempt to obtain Mr. Trick's file in that regard.	20	- or they brought up the and I wasn't sure if
21	MR. TRICK: As did I. I called Monroe	21	it was the doctor or Mr. O'Connell, that had the
22	Correctional Complex on this case. I asked for all	22	I guess, both the pre-sentence investigation report
23	my well, it's not public. I have to request it,	23	as well as the SSOSA evaluation. Do you have that?
24	or law enforcement can can pull it, or a doctor,	24	MR. O'CONNELL: I did not bring up that I
25	for the reason of like a proceeding, or	25	have it. I do not have a pre-sentence
23	for the reason of like a proceeding, of	25	have it. The not have a pre-sentence
a matika manufuksi (Page 154		Page 156
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	something like that.	2	investigation report. I believe that will come up
3	So all I can do is request it. He can	3	later in testimony.
4	request it, but they won't give it to him, unless	4	MR. TRICK: Oh, okay.
5	it's on my behalf. So there might have been	5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You don't have them?
6	needed a consent form, or something like that.	6	But I take it that this was during the questioning
7	That's kind of the way she explained it to me.	7	of Dr. O'Connell, the cross-examination.
8	She says it's kind of a process, because	8	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, I wanted to know
9	it also it goes from the correctional complex,	9	if
10	also through King County Sheriff's Department, who	10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You were asking if he
11	has things you guys can't see anyway. So it's	11	had looked at these documents, and if he has them,
12	not public record.	12	is that correct?
13	MR. WALL: And I'll just add, that's the	13	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, and I apologize for
14	first that I learned of that. But yes, I submitted	14	interrupting.
15	a request for his criminal file and any records	15	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. So when
16	pertaining to it from the DOC. I'm not sure I	16	does counsel or Mr. Trick think that these
17	wasn't aware if there was something that I wouldn't	17	documents would be available? Because is a BAP
18	get back from that.	18	proceeding. Obviously, we are trying to do this on
19	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.	19	a much shorter time frame.
20	From what I'm hearing from counsel and Mr. Trick,	20	I would knowing that this is going to
21	it sounds like they have requested this	21	take a bit of time, I guess it's maybe beneficial
22	information, and that they would agree it's	22	now to ask that the parties waive the ten-day rule
23	relevant.	23	or statute, because it does not look like we'll be
24	I would just note that the Rules of	24	getting an order out within ten days.
25	Evidence for an administrative hearing like this	25	MR. TRICK: So when I talked to
		5	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

.

	Page 157		Page 159
1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	Dr. O'Connell about that very thing, and he asked	2	territory. So if he's not if he's not engaging
3	me who did my SSOSA evaluation, which is the	3	in sexual behavior or using sexual fantasies
4	alternative to prison, doing the six month in jail	4	involving minors, then there's really no need to do
5	and then the intensive outpatient treatment with	5	that other diagnostic stuff, since that pretty much
6	supervision.	6	rules it out as a current condition.
7	He told me that the chance that Dr. Judd,	7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Based on statements of
8	who was the doctor that did mine, having a 20 year	8	Mr. Trick himself, or additional information?
9	old file maybe not existed. So unless it's with	9	A. Well, and then corroborated by polygraph
10	the King County's Sheriff's Office in the	10	testing.
11	pre-sentence investigation to my sentencing, then I	11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I see. So it sounds
12	don't know I don't know if we can even get that	12	like you wouldn't be performing, necessarily, the
13	paperwork.	13	same diagnostic tests as you would as one would
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: But you indicated that	14	for the disorder of pedaphilia?
15	you had been tested twice, and then a third time as	15	A. Unless there's reason to unless there's
16	well as a follow-up. So would one of those be	16	evidence that suggests it's worth pursuing.
17	available?	17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Obviously, you're his
18	MR. TRICK: I I honestly don't know.	18	doctor. That's up to you. I'm not trying to make
19	It it seems like it's been an arm and a leg to	19	a medical determination or even request a medical
20	get anything, as far as that kind of treatment. I	20	test from you. That's that's your purview, not
21	mean, three months ago, I questioned about getting	21	mine. And it's completely up to counsel and his
22	my treatment paperwork, and I I've had no	22	client whether or not they want to go through with
23	success getting it, even when talking to the	23	that.
24	recorder's office over in Twin Rivers for the	24	I would, however, like them to attempt to
25	treatment and the files and everything. I can't	25	get at least one of the prior tests that Mr. Trick
	Page 158		Page 160
1		1	
1 2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION	1	Page 160 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test.
	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything.		DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION	2	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor?
2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I	2 3	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test.
2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release	2 3 4	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and	2 3 4 5	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had
2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone.	2 3 4 5 6	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA
2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about	2 3 4 5 6 7	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that includes the same tests and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections, that information could be offered in its stead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. And with that indication and I should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections, that information could be offered in its stead. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Dr. O'Connell,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. And with that indication and I should summarize, that you're now not interested in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections, that information could be offered in its stead. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Dr. O'Connell, would it be the same evaluation and the same, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. And with that indication and I should summarize, that you're now not interested in pursuing the line of questioning about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections, that information could be offered in its stead. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Dr. O'Connell, would it be the same evaluation and the same, I guess, pedaphilia diagnostic tests that you would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. And with that indication and I should summarize, that you're now not interested in pursuing the line of questioning about the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual V definition of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections, that information could be offered in its stead. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Dr. O'Connell, would it be the same evaluation and the same, I guess, pedaphilia diagnostic tests that you would be performing on Mr. Trick?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. And with that indication and I should summarize, that you're now not interested in pursuing the line of questioning about the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual V definition of pedaphilia. So with that, do you have any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections, that information could be offered in its stead. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Dr. O'Connell, would it be the same evaluation and the same, I guess, pedaphilia diagnostic tests that you would be performing on Mr. Trick? A. I I could do that. I wouldn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. And with that indication and I should summarize, that you're now not interested in pursuing the line of questioning about the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual V definition of pedaphilia. So with that, do you have any other MR. O'CONNELL: I have no other questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION get anything. The only thing I could have gotten, if I still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release from prison, and moving from place to place and shuffling, it's gone. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about we set a date certain to either have this in place at the Commission or a status on the availability of it at all. How long do you think would be necessary? MR. WALL: I don't know, but I I would add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to the extent that that includes the same tests and analyses, if if we're unable to obtain the information from the Department of Corrections, that information could be offered in its stead. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Dr. O'Connell, would it be the same evaluation and the same, I guess, pedaphilia diagnostic tests that you would be performing on Mr. Trick?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL - RECROSS EXAMINATION has taken, and the results from the the test. MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had mentioned and once I remembered who did my SSOSA evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being able to get ahold of that? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under oath, we don't typically have the the one of the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss some of these specifics and see when we can get the results, maybe. So we're off the record. (Discussion off the record.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the record. And with that indication and I should summarize, that you're now not interested in pursuing the line of questioning about the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual V definition of pedaphilia. So with that, do you have any other

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 161		Page 163
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	questions, Dr. O'Connell, so I would thank you for	2	Q. So do you receive regular trainings?
3	your testimony very much, and you are dismissed.	3	A. Yes, I do.
4	A. Okay. Thank you.	4	Q. How are you involved in the matter
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	5	regarding Five Stars Moving?
6	A. Bye.	6	A. I was assigned as the investigator.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Counsel, Mr. Wall,	7	Q. Have you reviewed the application by Five
8	does that conclude your case?	8	Stars Moving?
9	MR. WALL: It does. Yes, your Honor.	9	A. Yes, I have.
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.	10	Q. So when someone makes an application to
11	Mr. O'Connell, your witness.	11	operate as a household good mover, in general, what
12	MR. O'CONNELL: Staff would call Ms. Susie	12	does staff look at in its evaluation?
13	Paul.	13	A. We look to see if they're willing and able
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Great. If you want to	14	to conform to the proposed services, and that they
15	come over here.	15	can follow the applicable laws and rules, whether
16	Whereupon,	16	the company is in the interest of the public,
17	SUSIE PAUL,	17	whether a background check has been conducted, and
18	was duly sworn and testified as follows:	18	whether the application is complete, and that the
19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You can be seated.	19	answers are truthful.
20	Mr. O'Connell.	20	Q. And it's staff's recommendation in this
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION	21	case that the Commission deny the application of
22	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	22	Five Stars Moving, is that correct?
23	Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good afternoon.	23	A. Yes, that's correct.
24	Would you please state your name and spell it, for	24	Q. Can you please turn to what has been
25	the record?	25	marked for identification as SP-1?
	۱.		
	$\mathbf{D}_{2} = 1 1 2$	l	
	Page 162		Page 164
1		1	
1 2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
		2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay.
2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l.	j	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document?
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul?	2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace,
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington	2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul?	2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace,
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission.	2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and
2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position?	2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving &
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal justice, and I have ten years experience as an investigator with the state of Washington in a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does. MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal justice, and I have ten years experience as an investigator with the state of Washington in a regulatory capacity. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does. MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1. MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal justice, and I have ten years experience as an investigator with the state of Washington in a regulatory capacity. My training is I attended the Washington 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does. MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1. MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal justice, and I have ten years experience as an investigator with the state of Washington in a regulatory capacity. My training is I attended the Washington 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does. MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1. MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal justice, and I have ten years experience as an investigator with the state of Washington in a regulatory capacity. My training is I attended the Washington State Investigators, and also, the advanced 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does. MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1. MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted. Thank you. (Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal justice, and I have ten years experience as an investigator with the state of Washington in a regulatory capacity. My training is I attended the Washington State Investigators, and also, the advanced training, and I'm a member of the Pacific Northwest 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does. MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1. MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted. Thank you. (Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into evidence.) BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I'd like to turn to the application of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal justice, and I have ten years experience as an investigator with the state of Washington in a regulatory capacity. My training is I attended the Washington State Investigators, and also, the advanced training, and I'm a member of the Pacific Northwest License and Tax Fraud Association that provides 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does. MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1. MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted. Thank you. (Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into evidence.) BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I'd like to turn to the application of Five Stars Moving. When was the application for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last name is P-a-u-l. Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul? A. I'm an investigator with the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Q. How long have you been in that position? A. Just over two years. Q. And what are your duties? A. I investigate the business practices of regulated utility or transportation companies, and as part of those duties, I investigate the business practices of household good carriers. Q. What is your education and experience and training? A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal justice, and I have ten years experience as an investigator with the state of Washington in a regulatory capacity. My training is I attended the Washington State Investigators, and also, the advanced training, and I'm a member of the Pacific Northwest 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay. Q. Would you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace, assistant director of the consumer protection and communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director of transportation safety, and it's a research and recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving & Storage LLC's application for household goods permit. Q. Have you reviewed this document? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's recommendations? A. Yes, it does. MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1. MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted. Thank you. (Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into evidence.) BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. I'd like to turn to the application of

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 165		Page 167
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	received?	2	Does the application include statements of support?
3	A. That was received February 9th, 2015.	3	A. Yes, it does.
4	Q. And who are the owners?	4	Q. And how many does it include?
5	A. The owners are William Trick, as president	5	A. There were three. Statements of support
6	and CEO, and 50 percent owner of the company, and	6	with this application, which is normal.
7	also, Thomas Cook, who is the vice president and 50	7	Q. Who was writing the statements of support?
8	percent owner of the company.	8	A. Kevin Crocker, who is listed in the
9	Q. Who else is in the business?	9	business plan as a director of operations, provided
10	A. The business plan is associated with the	10	a statement of support. And he noted that
11	application lists Kevin Crocker, who is a director	11	Mr. Trick is trustworthy, reliable and safe.
12	of operations, and then two employees as yet to be	12	Q. And who else?
13	hired, which is a lead driver, laborer, and then an	13	A. Kim Gripp, who is Mr. Trick's mother-in-
14	apprentice laborer.	14	law, who also indicated that he is reliable and
15	Q. Can I have you turn to what's already been	15	trustworthy. And then Rachel Hibbs submitted a
16	accepted as Exhibit 1?	16	statement of support and wrote that Billy Trick has
17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I assume that you mean	17	been a reputable and upstanding citizen.
18	the it's not technically an exhibit. It's just	18	Q. These statements of support can be from
19	the document that we've taken official notice of,	19	anyone in the community, correct?
20	the application?	20	A. That's correct.
20	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, your Honor, the	21	Q. What is the concern about having these
22	application.	22	individuals giving statements of support?
23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.	23	A. Well, the concern is that Kevin Crocker is
23	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	24	listed as part of the company, and yet, he's
24	Q. Ms. Paul, does this contain any additional	25	providing a statement of support. And also, Kim
2.5			,
	Page 166		Page 168
1	-	1	-
1 2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application?	2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does.		SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain?	2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was	2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars	2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background.
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving.	2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about
2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that?	2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background.
2 3 5 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine years?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless driver driving, which was amended from a DUI, or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine years? A. No. Commission staff became aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless driver driving, which was amended from a DUI, or driving under the influence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine years? A. No. Commission staff became aware of Mr. Trick in 2013, and he was associated with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless driver driving, which was amended from a DUI, or driving under the influence. The statements of support raise some 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine years? A. No. Commission staff became aware of Mr. Trick in 2013, and he was associated with Better Than The Rest and with B&Z Moving, both of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless driver driving, which was amended from a DUI, or driving under the influence. The statements of support raise some concerns to Mr. Trick's claim that he had nine 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine years? A. No. Commission staff became aware of Mr. Trick in 2013, and he was associated with Better Than The Rest and with B&Z Moving, both of which were unpermitted companies at the time of his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless driver driving, which was amended from a DUI, or driving under the influence. The statements of support raise some concerns to Mr. Trick's claim that he had nine years experience in the moving industry. And also, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine years? A. No. Commission staff became aware of Mr. Trick in 2013, and he was associated with Better Than The Rest and with B&Z Moving, both of which were unpermitted companies at the time of his involvement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless driver driving, which was amended from a DUI, or driving under the influence. The statements of support raise some concerns to Mr. Trick's claim that he had nine years experience in the moving industry. And also, he did not disclose a business related legal 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine years? A. No. Commission staff became aware of Mr. Trick in 2013, and he was associated with Better Than The Rest and with B&Z Moving, both of which were unpermitted companies at the time of his involvement. Q. So does staff know aside from those two
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION information more than the just the application? A. Yes, it does. Q. What does it contain? A. It contains a business plan that was submitted with the application for Five Stars Moving. Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that? A. Yes, they did. Q. So is there anything about the application that raises a concern to staff? A. Yes, there are concerns about the application. Q. What? A. The application did not disclose the felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless driver driving, which was amended from a DUI, or driving under the influence. The statements of support raise some concerns to Mr. Trick's claim that he had nine years experience in the moving industry. And also, he did not disclose a business related legal proceeding. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Grick Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may not know Mr. Trick's background. Q. So you also mentioned something about Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did Mr. Trick include any information in the application about his amount of experience in the household good moving industry? A. He indicated that he had about nine years experience in the moving industry. Q. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick has been working in the moving industry for nine years? A. No. Commission staff became aware of Mr. Trick in 2013, and he was associated with Better Than The Rest and with B&Z Moving, both of which were unpermitted companies at the time of his involvement. Q. So does staff know aside from those two companies, does staff know, before today, and

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 169		Page 171
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	A. No, we were unaware.	2	Exhibit SP-3.
3	Q. Is that concerning to staff?	3	A. Okay.
4	A. It is concerning to staff.	4	Q. Will you please identify this document?
5	Q. Why?	5	A. Yes, this is the Washington State Patrol
6	A. Well, Mr. Trick does have a felony	6	identification and criminal history section. It's
7	conviction and a background, and permitted	7	the criminal history for William A. Trick, and it
8	companies are to provide a background check on any	8	also is the LexisNexis aggregate for government
9	of their employees.	9	comprehensive report.
10	Q. You also mention something about a	10	Q. So this contains the information from both
11	business related legal proceeding?	11	your first and second background check?
12	A. Yes.	12	A. Yes, it does.
13	Q. So I want to ask you about that. Is there	13	Q. And have you reviewed this as part of your
14	a place on the application for disclosing any	14	investigation?
15	business related legal proceeding?	15	A. Yes, I've reviewed them both.
16	A. Yes. There's a question specific to that	16	MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers SP-3.
17	that asks, have you ever had a business related	17	MR. WALL: Your Honor, I have no objection
18	legal proceeding.	18	to the first four pages of this document, but the
19	Q. And were any disclosed on the application?	19	LexisNexis report that goes on for 29 pages seems
20	A. No.	20	to contain a lot of information that is not
21	Q. Did you investigate into this?	21	relevant to this proceeding.
22 23	A. Yes, I did.	22	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't have anything
23 24	Q. Okay. So did staff conduct a background check on Mr. Trick?	23 24	past page 4.
24 25	A. Yes. Staff actually conducted two	24 25	MR. O'CONNELL: May I approach, your Honor?
25		20	
	Page 170		Page 172
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	background checks on Mr. Trick.	2	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. Thank you. So
3	Q. Okay. When was the first background	3	Mr. Wall, what is the objection to page
4	check?	4	MR. WALL: I think the first four
5	A. The first background was done in February	5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: 5 through 33?
6	2015.	6	MR. WALL: Right. I think the first four
7	Q. And when was the second background check?	7	pages are relevant, but if take a look at the
8	A. June 10th, 2015.	8	this was an exhibit that was added later, and so
9	Q. Did you request that second background	9	when I reviewed it if you look at on the bottom,
10	check yourself?	10	it says, 1 through 29, or 5 through 33. It seems
11	A. Yes, I did.	11	to have a lot of information that doesn't have any
12	Q. Why did you request a second background	12	particular relevance.
13	check?	13	It's not as if there was some additional
14	A. Well, the Commission received a new	14	criminal conviction or citation contained in there.
15	background information system, and it we just	15	It just seems like a lot of additional paperwork.
16	got it available to us on June 1st.	16	I don't see what the relevance is.
17 10	Q. So you got the new system on June 1st, but	17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?
18 19	you didn't request it until June 10th. Why not? Why did you wait so long?	18 19	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe
20	A. I was out of the office until June 8th.	20	Ms. Paul has testified that this second background
20	Q. Did this background check give you more	20 21	check contained more information, and if I'd be
22	information than the first one?	22	allowed a couple more questions, I believe the specific relevance of what Ms. Paul will testify
23	A. Yes, it did.	22	to, and specifically, the additional information
24	Q. Okay. I'd like to turn I'd like you to	24	will become clear.
25	turn to what's been marked for identification as	25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I'll allow it

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 173		Page 175
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	for now. Thank you.	2	that on a hearsay phone call with the county
3	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	3	auditor's office, but I don't see anything in the
4	Q. Ms. Paul, in review of the information	4	application materials that explain what it means to
5	contained in the second background check, did you	5	have a business related legal proceeding.
6	discover any business related legal proceedings?	6	To the extent that this is new information
7	A. Yes, I did.	7	that I was not previously provided with, I would
8	Q. What did you discover?	8	request an opportunity to reopen Mr. Trick's
9	A. I discovered a federal tax lien that was	9	testimony to ask him about this, as I had no
10	cited on April 15th, 2011, and it's against small	10	opportunity he wasn't cross examined about it
11	business owner William A. Trick in the amount of	11	either, and I had no opportunity on direct to ask
12	\$31,457.04.	12	him about this or what he understood by the term
13	Q. Would you please direct us to where in the	13	business related legal proceeding, or what this
14	exhibit this lien is referenced?	14	proceeding even was.
15	A. This is in the comprehensive report on	15	So I think that the way in which this has
16	page 7.	16	been presented leaves me without any opportunity to
17	Q. So Ms. Paul	17	rebut it.
18	A. It's the second one down on the list.	18	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe
19	Q. Okay. Ms. Paul, what is the concern	19	you'll find that in the record, on June 12th, staff
20	related to this business related legal proceeding?	20	filed an amended exhibit list containing this
21	A. Well, it is a concern, because it's	21	information. Mr. Wall was also disclosed this
22	business related. I confirmed that through the	22	information. Although staff had no obligation to
23	King County Auditor's Office, and Mr. Trick did not	23	disclose it to him before the brief adjudicated
24	disclose this on his application.	24	proceeding began, staff wanted to be forthcoming,
25	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I'd like to	25	and in the interest of full disclosure, provided
	Page 174		
-	-	1	Page 176
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration.	2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on	2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick.
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was	2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the	2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is	2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that
2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that	2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor?	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.	2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant	2 3 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this information on the 10th, noticed that through her	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul your Honor,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this information on the 10th, noticed that through her investigation of the document, that there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul your Honor, may I continue?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this information on the 10th, noticed that through her investigation of the document, that there was a business related legal proceeding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul your Honor, may I continue? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this information on the 10th, noticed that through her investigation of the document, that there was a business related legal proceeding. There's no way that staff could have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul your Honor, may I continue? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. BY MR. WALL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this information on the 10th, noticed that through her investigation of the document, that there was a business related legal proceeding. There's no way that staff could have included this on our exhibit list on which was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul your Honor, may I continue? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. BY MR. WALL: Q. Ms. Paul, about this business related
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this information on the 10th, noticed that through her investigation of the document, that there was a business related legal proceeding. There's no way that staff could have included this on our exhibit list on which was due on June 4th, because we didn't have it. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul your Honor, may I continue? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. BY MR. WALL: Q. Ms. Paul, about this business related legal proceeding, or the lien that you described
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this information on the 10th, noticed that through her investigation of the document, that there was a business related legal proceeding. There's no way that staff could have included this on our exhibit list on which was due on June 4th, because we didn't have it. And I would disagree with Mr. Wall, that staff is limited	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul your Honor, may I continue? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. BY MR. WALL: Q. Ms. Paul, about this business related legal proceeding, or the lien that you described A. Yes. Q what makes you think it's business related?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration. MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was not initially considered by the staff or in the memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is no reference to this, and this is the first that I've I've heard of this from opposing counsel. MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant to whether a business related legal proceeding was disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system was not available until June 1st. She was out of the office until the 8th. I requested this information on the 10th, noticed that through her investigation of the document, that there was a business related legal proceeding. There's no way that staff could have included this on our exhibit list on which was due on June 4th, because we didn't have it. And I would disagree with Mr. Wall, that staff is limited to what is contained in staff's memorandum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION him with this document. So he did have an opportunity to question Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has additional bases by which they are asserting that the application should be denied, those were certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going to allow it. I see that that there's a lot of information here about various proceedings, and I will allow you to reopen and further cross or further direct examination of Mr. Trick. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul your Honor, may I continue? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please. BY MR. WALL: Q. Ms. Paul, about this business related legal proceeding, or the lien that you described A. Yes. Q what makes you think it's business

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

	Page 177	Page 179
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	auditor, when they told me that it was business	2 A. Yes, he did.
3	related. It was a federal tax lien from the IRS	3 Q. Did he speak with you about the
4	for self-employed small business, William A. Trick.	4 application?
5	Q. Did it specifically say small business?	5 A. No, he did not.
6	A. Yes, it did.	6 Q. How do you know that he called, then?
7	Q. I'd like to turn to Mr. Trick's criminal	7 A. The investigative team has documentation
8	history at this time. Has you've already	8 where we can document phone calls, and there is
9	testified that staff completed a background check	9 documentation that Mr. Trick called one of the
10	on Mr. Trick. Did staff conduct a criminal	10 investigators of our team.
11	background check on everyone in the application?	11 Q. Have you seen the notes?
12	A. Yes.	12 A. Yes, I have.
13	Q. Does Mr. Trick have any recent charges or	13 Q. What guidance did Mr. Trick receive?
14	convictions?	A. The call came in in December of 2014 from
15	A. Yes. He had a conviction on August 13th,	¹⁵ Mr. Trick, and he asked about a whether he
16	2011 for driving while under the influence, and	16 needed to disclose a reckless driving offense on
17	that was amended to reckless driving.	17 the application, and he was told that to provide
18	Q. Did you investigate into this offense?	as much information as possible, and that he should
19	A. Yes, I did.	19 disclose as much as he could. The more, the
20	Q. What did you discover?	20 better.
21	A. I discovered that he received 364 days	21 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, the testimony
22	jail time with 360 days suspended. He also	22 I'm about to offer is in direct response to
23	received a \$5,000 fine, with 650 suspended, and	23 Mr. Trick's testimony about his calling staff. I
24	that he received 24 months supervision.	24 would like to present Ms. Paul with the notes that
25	Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, but that means	25 she's just now referenced that she viewed, and I
	Page 178	Page 180
-		
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350,	2 would like permission to do so.
2 3	that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision?	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to
2 3 4	that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct.	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously
2 3 4 5	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that
2 3 4 5 6	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony.
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal history, and he has not he has not disclosed 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he indicated an an individual by name that he had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal history, and he has not he has not disclosed anything on his current application, and he also 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he indicated an an individual by name that he had spoken to.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal history, and he has not he has not disclosed anything on his current application, and he also hid his identity in the B&Z Moving application. Q. Let me come back to the B&Z Moving application in a little bit. Could someone call 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he indicated an an individual by name that he had spoken to. MR. WALL: And I think the orthodox method
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal history, and he has not he has not disclosed anything on his current application, and he also hid his identity in the B&Z Moving application. Q. Let me come back to the B&Z Moving application in a little bit. Could someone call staff and ask questions about how to fill out the 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he indicated an an individual by name that he had spoken to. MR. WALL: And I think the orthodox method of impeachment would, then, be to ask him about this exhibit, but not to substantively admit it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal history, and he has not he has not disclosed anything on his current application, and he also hid his identity in the B&Z Moving application. Q. Let me come back to the B&Z Moving application in a little bit. Could someone call staff and ask questions about how to fill out the application? 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he indicated an an individual by name that he had spoken to. MR. WALL: And I think the orthodox method of impeachment would, then, be to ask him about this exhibit, but not to substantively admit it. When an exhibit even if an exhibit is solely for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal history, and he has not he has not disclosed anything on his current application, and he also hid his identity in the B&Z Moving application. Q. Let me come back to the B&Z Moving application in a little bit. Could someone call staff and ask questions about how to fill out the application? A. Yes, they can. 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he indicated an an individual by name that he had spoken to. MR. WALL: And I think the orthodox method of impeachment would, then, be to ask him about this exhibit, but not to substantively admit it. When an exhibit even if an exhibit is solely for impeachment purposes, it's not actually admitted into the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal history, and he has not he has not disclosed anything on his current application, and he also hid his identity in the B&Z Moving application. Q. Let me come back to the B&Z Moving application in a little bit. Could someone call staff and ask questions about how to fill out the application? A. Yes, they can. Q. Did Mr. Trick call staff to ask about this 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he indicated an an individual by name that he had spoken to. MR. WALL: And I think the orthodox method of impeachment would, then, be to ask him about this exhibit, but not to substantively admit it. When an exhibit even if an exhibit is solely for impeachment purposes, it's not actually admitted into the record. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350, and received 24 months of supervision? A. That's what the record states, correct. Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his application? A. No, he did not. Q. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to staff in making this recommendation for denial? A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal history, and he has not he has not disclosed anything on his current application, and he also hid his identity in the B&Z Moving application. Q. Let me come back to the B&Z Moving application in a little bit. Could someone call staff and ask questions about how to fill out the application? A. Yes, they can. 	 would like permission to do so. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously provided. It was previously known to staff that this existed, and is only now being brought forth. It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such that it would not be subject to disclosure, at least being listed on an exhibit list. MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into the phone call that he made to staff. In fact, that was part of his testimony as to why he filled out the application the way that he did. And he indicated an an individual by name that he had spoken to. MR. WALL: And I think the orthodox method of impeachment would, then, be to ask him about this exhibit, but not to substantively admit it. When an exhibit even if an exhibit is solely for impeachment purposes, it's not actually admitted into the record.

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	101		Dama 102
	Page 181		Page 183
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Mr Mr. Wall's argument.	2	MR. WALL: Your Honor, not to interrupt,
3	MR. WALL: Would you like me to restate	3	but before we begin with this, you know, if this is
4	it?	4	being read into the record, I'd ask that only the
5	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please do.	5	relevant portions be read in, and those that the
6	MR. WALL: Yes. The argument is that when	6	portions that are purely for impeachment as
7	an exhibit is purely for impeachment purposes, as	7	Mr. O'Connell stated.
8	you said it may be read from and discussed, but is	8	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So what are you
9	not admitted into the record as substantive	9	suggesting be read into the record, then?
10	evidence.	10	MR. WALL: Whatever it is Mr. O'Connell is
11	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, then I would	11	asserting impeaches prior testimony, and not
12	just ask that I allow Ms. Paul to read from it, as	12	additional information.
13	Mr. Wall has indicated.	13	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe it
14	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall?	14	all impeaches Mr. Trick's prior testimony. There's
15	MR. WALL: I would just the same	15	only two paragraphs.
16	objection as before, that I was never previously	16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we go ahead
17	provided with this document, and to the extent that	17	and read it into the record, and you can voice your
18	it goes beyond impeachment, I think it's	18	objection, considering that this is the first time
19	objectionable.	19	that you've had a chance to look at it.
20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And in the BAP, it's unfortunately or fortunately typical where we don't	20	A. Go ahead?
21 22	have pre-filed testimony or exhibits, and that's	21	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, go ahead.
22	that's the way things are conducted on a short time	22	A. This is dated December 29th, 2014. Billy
23	frame. So I'm going to allow it to be read into	23	called and left me a message asking for a call back
24	the record. I don't even have a copy of it.	24	to (206)380-6463, as he had questions about
23	the record. I don't even have a copy of it.	-25	household good permit application.
	Page 182		Page 184
	-		-
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	MR. O'CONNELL: I have a copy for you,	2	I looked up Billy's number and saw that he
3	your Honor. I wanted to apprise Mr. Wall	3	was related to B&Z Moving, but he did not receive a
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Absolutely.	4	permit, only his partner did. I called and left a
5	MR. O'CONNELL: and allow you to hear	5	message with my first name and phone number, and
6	the argument on it.	6	asked for a call back. Billy called me back, and he had questions about his permit application.
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Absolutely, but it	7 8	transferred him to Tina, but she is out until
8	will not be entered as admitted as an exhibit.	q	January 6th.
9 10	I should say, for clarification purposes, that I did rule on SP-3, and that will be admitted in its	10	I then went to talk to Suzanne, and Dave
11	entirety.	11	Pratt was also there, as Billy had applied for a
12	(Staff Exhibit SP-3 admitted into	12	permit before, but staff had recommended his
13	evidence.)	13	application be denied. I just let them know that
14	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, may I	14	he had application guestions. Then he said I could
15	approach?	15	answer his questions and to just respond that he
	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.	16	needs to answer fully and thoroughly as possible.
1 16		17	I asked them about the CDL requirement,
16 17	A. Read the whole thind? Ukay.	10	only to have only that they have to do drug and
17	A. Read the whole thing? Okay. BY MR. O'CONNELL:	18	Unity to have Only that they have to do drug and
	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	1	alcohol testing in CDL trucks. I then called Billy
17 18		1	• • • •
17 18 19	BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, would you please read the note	19	alcohol testing in CDL trucks. I then called Billy
17 18 19 20	BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, would you please read the note that you referred to?	19 20	alcohol testing in CDL trucks. I then called Billy back and was and told him that I will try to answer his questions, as Tina is gone until next week.
17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, would you please read the note that you referred to? A. Yes. You want me to read the entire e-mail? Q. I think it would be appropriate for you to	19 20 21	alcohol testing in CDL trucks. I then called Billy back and was and told him that I will try to answer his questions, as Tina is gone until next week. He wanted to know if he needed to include
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, would you please read the note that you referred to? A. Yes. You want me to read the entire e-mail?	19 20 21 22 23 24	alcohol testing in CDL trucks. I then called Billy back and was and told him that I will try to answer his questions, as Tina is gone until next week. He wanted to know if he needed to include a reckless driving ticket from three years ago, and
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, would you please read the note that you referred to? A. Yes. You want me to read the entire e-mail? Q. I think it would be appropriate for you to	19 20 21 22 23	alcohol testing in CDL trucks. I then called Billy back and was and told him that I will try to answer his questions, as Tina is gone until next week. He wanted to know if he needed to include

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 and it is usually better to give more information. 3 A rey ou there? 4 It was only if CDL trucks, and that I had checked A rey ou there? 6 The then asked about the CDL requirement, and i said A rey ou there? 7 He then asked about the cold to put his business name and then sign his name. I said that should be the, but if B that in connection with Mr. Trick's 9 B the is concerned, he can always put his name doig B that in connection with Mr. Trick's 9 B the is opheably a limited lability C Have you reviewed this as part of your 10 The has bouch be is registered, as an LLC. Then he is probably a limited lability C and the is about the legal name and the right and the data and the registered, as and the regal name on the first appe of the application. I said the legal name is how they are registered. C Akay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 1 A Yes, it is. C Akay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 1 A Yes, it is. C Akay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 2 Mr. Wall. I'now The procence and paragraph the gat and application. I said the legal name is how they are registered. C Akay. 1 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Page 185</th><th>Page 187</th></t<>		Page 185	Page 187
2 and it's usually better to give more information. 2 A. Okay. 3 He then asked about the GDL requerement, and I said 3 Q. Are you there? 4 A. Yes. Carey ou there? 6 on that. G. Will you please identify this document? 7 applicant name at the end of the application, and he had been toid to put his business name and then 9 sign his name. Is if the soched to know if he was an LLC or 11 business as business name. 10 12 He then wasted to know if he was an LLC or 14 13 a partnership. I said if depended on how he was 13 14 epistered with Washington state. He said he was 14 15 or LC. Then he is probably a limited liability 14 16 registered. 14 17 A Yes. 14 18 registered. 15 Q. Des this document contain details about 18 registered. 16 Q. Okay. 'I like to direct your attention 19 then asid he trade name would be anything else 16 Q. Willy ou please identify this document? 11 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMI	. 1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	
3 He then asked about the CDL trucks, and that I had hecked 9 0. Are 'pou there? 4 He then asked about the CDL trucks, and that I had hecked 4 A Yes. 6 He then also had a question about the applicatin name at the end of the application, and hen had been told to put his business name and then sight his name. I said that should be there, but if 7 Information and centificate of probable cause for 12 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or a partnership. I said it depended on how he was an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability corrisorith, but he should check how he is registered. 9 Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? 13 Investigation? 1 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. 14 registered. 1 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. 15 on LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability corrison, but he should check how he is registered. 1 A. Yes. 1 A. Yes. 16 the then asked about the legal name and the rask the trade name would be application. 1 5 Q. Okay. Pid like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just statement of defendant on plea of guilty for William A. Trick. 1 2 18 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION M. G. C			
4 it was only if COL trucks, and that i had checked 4 A Yes, ' 9 what. G Will you please identify this document? 6 He then also had a question about the application, and applicant name at the end of the application, and sign his name. Isaid that should be fine, but if the is concerned, he can always put his name doing the was an LLC or a partnership. I said it depended on how he was an LLC. So I said, that is how the's registered, as an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability corporation, but he should check how he is registered. 1 2. He then asked about the legal name and the first page of the application. I said the legal name is how they are registered. 2. Q. Have you reviewed this as part of your investigation? 7 corporation, but he should check how he is registered. 1 G. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. A. Okay. 7 said the legal name is how they are registered. 2. Q. Will you please identify this document? 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just is relevant? 2. Q. Will you please identify this document? 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION to relevant information. 2. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION to relevant information. 2. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? 1 SUSI	3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5 on that. 5 Q. Will you please identify this document? 6 he had been told to put his business name and then sign his name. Isaid that shoul be line, but if 6 A. This is the Kitsap County Superior Court information and certificate of probable cause for 10 he had been told to put his business name and then is sign his name. Isaid that shoul be fine, but if 7 Mill you please identify this document? 11 A. Tosis is the Kitsap County Superior Court 10 1999 conviction? 12 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or 13 1999 conviction? 13 an LLC. So I said, that is ow he's registered, as an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability corporation, but he should check how he is 7 A. Yes. 14 He then asked about the legal name and the legal name and the legal name and the tage name would be anything else 10 A. Yes. 10 15 registered. 1 A. Ves. 11 A. Okay. 14 Berge 186 C. Will you please identify this document? A. Ves. 11 15 stop. Is any of his relevant? A. Okay. 22 A. Yes. 12 15 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 24	4		
6 He then also had a question about the he and the end of the application, and the nend of the application, and the nend of the application, and the six oncemed, the can always put his name doing business as business name. 6 A. This is the Kitsap County Superior Court William A. Trick. 9 9 C. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? 11 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or a partnership. I said it depended on how he was an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability corporation, but he should check how he is "registered." 10 19 So conviction? 12 A. The sis the Kitsap County Superior Court the nature and extinticate of the crime? A. Yes. 13 a partnership. I said it depended on how he was an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered. 10 14 A. Yes. Q. Does this document contain details about the registered. 15 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered. 10 16 He hen asked about the legal name and the rade name would be anything else 10 17 A. Yes. 11 18 registered. 12 19 UDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 12 10 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 11 Susof the reasanity been covered. 9	5	-	4
7 applicant name at the end of the application, and he had been told to put his business name and then sign his name. I said that should be fine, but if 7 information and certificate of probable cause for 9 sign his name. I said that should be fine, but if 9 Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 10 he is concerned, he can always put his name doing 1 A. Yes, it is. 12 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or a partnership. Isaid it depended on how he was 1 A. Yes, it is. 12 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, and the the nasked about the legal name and trade name on the first page of the application. I 3 A. Yes, it does. 12 the name on the first page of the application. I 0 A. Yes, it does. 6 13 instruction? A. Ves, it is. 7 A. Yes, it is. 7 14 registered. 1 Corporation, but he should check how he is registered. 1 Corporation, but he should be application. I 0 A. Yes, it is. 6 A. Yes, it is. 7 15 regulation mame and 1 Track. 1 Track. 7 A. Yes, it is. 16 UDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 3 SuSIE PAUL - DIRECT EX	6	He then also had a guestion about the	
8 he had been told to put his business name and then 8 9 sign his name. 0. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 11 business as business name. 10 12 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or 11 A. Yes, it is. 13 a partnership. I said it depended on how he was 11 A. Yes, it is. 14 a partnership. I said it depended on how he was 11 A. Yes, it is. 15 an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability C. Thave you reviewed this as part of your 16 the then wante is how they are registered. 12 17 A. Yes, it does. 0. Ocas this document contain details about 18 registered. 12 19 He then asked about the legal name and 12 10 He therade name would be anything else 12 11 A. Yes, it does. 0. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention 11 statement of defindant on plea of guilty for 121 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I 2 13 apologize, that second paragraph toas get into 1 14 stareleplate astexhibit SP-8. A. Yes, It is. <th>7</th> <th></th> <th></th>	7		
 sign his name. I said that should be fine, but if he is concerred, he can always put his name doing business as business name. He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or a pattership. I said it depended on how he was registered with Washington state. He said he was an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as registered. registered. registered. asaid the legal name and then asked about the legal name and the said the trade name would be anything else they go by. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just so USIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Will are you fine aday beac novered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes get into in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes get into in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes get into in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes get into in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes get into in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes get into in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes get into in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes get into in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes in the remaining half of the second paragraph toes in the remaining half of theses in the remaining half of theses as the second paragraph toes in the remaining half of theses to the the second paragraph toes in the remaining half of theses to the the second paragraph toes in the remaining half of theses to the there? in the remaining half of	8		
10 he is concerned, he can always put his name doing 10 1999 conviction? 11 business as business name. 10 1999 conviction? 12 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or 11 11 13 a partnership. I said it depended on how he was 11 11 11 11 14 Tregistered with Washington state. He said he was 11 <t< th=""><th>9</th><th>sign his name. I said that should be fine, but if</th><th>1</th></t<>	9	sign his name. I said that should be fine, but if	1
12 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or 12 A. Have you reviewed this as part of your 13 a patnership. I said it depended on how he was 13 investigation? 14 a patnership. I said it depended on how he was 13 investigation? 15 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as 14 17 16 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as 15 Q. Does this document contain details about 16 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as 16 Q. Does this document contain details about 17 corporation, but he should check how he is 16 A. Yes, it does. 18 registered. 18 Q. Okay. Pid like to direct your attention 17 trade name on the first page of the application. I 20 A. Yes, it is 18 Torks to page of the application. I 20 A. Yes, This is Kitsap County Superior Count 18 the yag by. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 21 Statement of defendant on plea of guilty for 19 gapologize, that second paragraph does get into 18 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 10 mR. O'CONNELL: No, You Phonor. And I	10	he is concerned, he can always put his name doing	5
13 a partnership. I said it depended on how he was 13 investigation? 14 registered with Washington state. He said he was 14 A. Yes. 15 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as 16 Corporation, but he should check how he is 17 corporation, but he should check how he is 16 C. Does this document contain details about 18 negistered. 16 C. Does this document contain details about 19 The then asked about the legal name and 16 C. Okay. 17 19 the name on the first page of the application. I 17 A. Yes. C. Okay. A. Ves. 21 then saked about the legal name and 19 10 Corportion, but he should check how he is 10 A. Yes. N. Okay. A. Wes. A. Yes. This is Kitasp County Superior Court 22 statement of defendant on plea of guilty for 20 William A. Trick. 20 Explore Page 186 23 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 A. Yes. This is also the paplication? A. Yes. This is also the paplication? </th <th>11</th> <th>business as business name.</th> <th>11 A. Yes, it is.</th>	11	business as business name.	11 A. Yes, it is.
13 a pathership. I said it depended on how he was 13 investigation? 14 registered with Washington state. He said he was 13 investigation? 14 registered with Washington state. He said he was 14 A. Yes. 15 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as 16 Does this document contain details about 15 corporation, but he should check how he is 16 He man asked about the legal name and 16 16 the name on the first page of the application. I 20 A. Yes. A. Okay. 17 the rade name on the first page of the application. I 20 A. Yes. This is Kitsap County Superior Court 18 they go by. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just statement of defendant on plea of guilly for 19 the rade name on the first page of the application. I 20 Will you please identify this document? 2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just statement of defendant on plea of guilly for 21 2 Will you please identify this document? A. Yes. This is also the plea ogene to the second paragraph that 16 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior <tr< th=""><th>12</th><th>He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or</th><th>12 Q. Have you reviewed this as part of your</th></tr<>	12	He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or	12 Q. Have you reviewed this as part of your
15 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as 15 Q. Does this document contain details about 16 an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability 16 the nature and extent of the crime? 17 corporation, but he should check how he is 16 Q. Okay. 'I di like to direct your attention 18 Q. Okay. 'I di like to direct your attention 16 the nature and extent of the crime? 19 He then asked about the legal name and 19 To what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 21 said the tage name is how they are registered. I 20 A. Yes, it does. 22 said the tage name is how they are registered. I 21 A. Yes. This is Kitsp County Superior Court 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 25 Q. Is this connected to Mr. Trick's 1999 24 Williguan A. Trick. 25 Q. Is this connected to Mr. Trick's 1999 24 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 25 G. Suste paul DiRECT EXAMINATION 1 A. Yes, it is. 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything 6	13	a partnership. I said it depended on how he was	
16 an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability 16 the nature and extent of the crime? 17 corporation, but he should check how he is 17 A. Yes, it does. 19 He then asked about the legal name and 19 the maxe on the first page of the application. I 20 trade name on the first page of the application. I 20 A. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention 21 then said the legal name is how they are registered. I 20 A. Okay. 23 the said the trade name would be anything else 20 A. Yes, This is Kitsep County Superior Court 23 statement of defendant on plea of guilty for 21 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 25 Q. Is this connected to Mr. Trick's 1999 25 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 26 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I 2 A. Yes, Tis. 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 3 A. Yes, Tis. 3 relevant tory our attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? Q. Okay. 'I like to direct your attention 4 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that 3 A. Yes, Tis is also Kitsap County Superior			
17 corporation, but he should check how he is registered. 17 A. Yes, it does. 18 registered. 18 Q. Okay. 'I dilke to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 20 trade name on the first page of the application. I said the legal name is how they are registered. I 20 Q. Okay. 'I dilke to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 21 said the legal name on the first page of the application. I said the trade name would be anything else they go by. Q. Will you please identify this document? 23 then said the trade name would be anything else they go by. Q. Will you please identify this document? 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just stop. Is any of this relevant? 20 25 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 26 Page 186 Page 188 27 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 28 Q. Okay. 'I dilke to direct your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 3 39 information has already been covered. 9 A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court stant in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 29 MR. WALL: Yes. 10 Q. Chay. 'I dilke to direct your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick's 1999 31 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>15 Q. Does this document contain details about</th></td<>			15 Q. Does this document contain details about
18 registered. 18 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention 19 He then asked about the legal name and 19 to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now. 21 said the legal name is how they are registered. I 20 A. Okay. Q. Will you please identify this document? 22 then said the trade name would be anything else 21 A. Okay. Q. Will you please identify this document? 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 22 A. Yes. This is Kitsap County Superior Court 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 25 24 25 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 26 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I 2 A. Yes, it is. 3 apologize, that second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 3 A. Yes, It is. 3 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And 10 G. Can you please identify that document? 4 Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? 11 A. Okay. A. Okay. 11 Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? 12 A. Okay. Q. Gany ou please identify that document? 12 M. Wall, are you fine			
19 He then asked about the legal name and trade name on the first page of the application. I said the legal name is how they are registered. I then said the trade name would be anything else they go by. 10 Column 1 21 the said the trade name would be anything else they go by. 20 Will you please identify this document? 23 they go by. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just stop. Is any of this relevant? 20 Will you please identify this document? 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just stop. Is any of this relevant? 20 William A. Trick. 25 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION conviction? 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information has already been covered. 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION conviction? 4 M. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. 2 A. Yes. 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? 1 10 11 BY MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that first the plea agreement for William A. Trick's 1999 1 12 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I and right. 10 2 A. Yes. 11 MR. O'CONNEL			
20 trade name on the first page of the application. I 20 A. Okay. 21 said the legal name is how they are registered. I 20 A. Okay. 21 then said the trade name would be anything else 22 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 23 25 stop. Is any of this relevant? 24 Page 186 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. Gover the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 6 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And 11 Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? 12 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.		•	
21 said the legal name is how they are registered. I 21 Q. Will you please identify this document? 22 A. Yes. This is Kitsap County Superior Court 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 25 stop. Is any of this relevant? 26 Page 186 27 R. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 3 information. 3 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything 6 inte remaining half of the second paragraph that 7 is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 7 MR. C'CONNELL: No, I believe that 9 information has already been covered. 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And 10 Mr. Wall.: Yes. 11 Mr. Wall.: Yes. 12 Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit 13 nyou restimany. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 16 investigation? 17 Ms. Wall.: Yes. 18 Mr. Wall.: Yes. 19 ortrick's 1999 offense was a felony conviction of			
22 then said the trade name would be anything else 22 A. Yes. This is Kitsap County Superior Court 23 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 23 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 24 25 stop. Is any of this relevant? 24 26 Page 186 Page 186 27 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I 1 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 1 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 3 4 irrelevant information. 3 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything 3 6 in the remaining half of the second paragraph that 3 6 information has already been covered. 9 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. 7 7 Q. Chay. I'd like to direct your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 7 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that 9 9 information has already been covered. 9 11 Mr. Wall. are you fine with that? 11 12 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that 10 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Al			
23 they go by. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 23 statement of defendant on plea of guilty for 24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just 24 William A. Trick. 25 Q. Is this connected to Mr. Trick's 1999 Page 186 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, it is. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything investigation? A. Yes, it is. Good paragraph that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Q. May W			
24 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just stop. Is any of this relevant? 24 William A. Trick. 25 Susie pay of this relevant? 25 Q. Is this connected to Mr. Trick's 1999 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 Page 186 2 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 1 A. Yes, it is. 7 Is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 7 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. 9 information has already been covered. 9 A. Yes. 11 Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? 11 A. Yes. 12 MR. WALL: Yes. 12 Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. 13 Trick. 99 14 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 14 15 199 A. Yes. 16 in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999			
25 stop. Is any of this relevant? 25 Q. Is this connected to Mr. Trick's 1999 Page 186 Page 186 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 1 A. Yes, it is. 4 irrelevant information. 3 A. Yes, it is. 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything 6 A. Yes. 6 in the remaining half of the second paragraph that 6 A. Yes. 7 G. OKay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. 9 information has already been covered. 9 A. Okay. 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. 10 Q. Can you please identify that document? 11 Mr. Wall.; are you fine with that? 11 A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior 12 Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit 13 Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 14 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 0. M. Have you reviewed it as part of yo			
Page 186 Page 186 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I 2 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 irrelevant information. 2 A. Yes, it is. 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything 6 in the remaining half of the second paragraph that 6 6 in the remaining half of the second paragraph that 6 A. Yes. 7 7 is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? 7 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention 8 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that 8 6 A. Yes. 9 information has already been covered. 9 A. Okay. 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. 11 A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior 11 Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? 11 A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior 12 MR. WALL: Yes. 12 Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. 13 Trick. 14 BY MR. O'CONNELL: 14 Q. Is sthat in			
1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I3apologize, that second paragraph does get into4irrelevant information.5JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything6in the remaining half of the second paragraph that7is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?8MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that9information has already been covered.9JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?12MR. O'CONNELL:14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199917conviction fl18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of19two counts of child molestation in the first20Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?22A. Yes, I did.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for	25	stop. is any of this relevant?	25 Q. Is this connected to Mr. Frick's 1999
2MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I2conviction?3apologize, that second paragraph does get into3A. Yes, it is.4irrelevant information.4Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your5JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything5in the remaining half of the second paragraph that6in the remaining half of the second paragraph that6A. Yes.7is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?7Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention8MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that8to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8.9information has already been covered.9A. Okay.10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior12MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:149A. Yes, it is.16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Kay. I'd like to direct your attention18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of181999 conviction?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to2321Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to <th></th> <th>Page 186</th> <th>Page 188</th>		Page 186	Page 188
2MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I2conviction?3apologize, that second paragraph does get into3A. Yes, it is.4irrelevant information.4Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your5JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything5in the remaining half of the second paragraph that6in the remaining half of the second paragraph that6A. Yes.7is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?7Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention8MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that8to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8.9information has already been covered.9A. Okay.10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior12MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:149A. Yes, it is.16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Kay. I'd like to direct your attention18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of181999 conviction?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to2321Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to <th>1</th> <th></th> <th></th>	1		
4irrelevant information.4Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your5JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anythingin the remaining half of the second paragraph that6A. Yes.7is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?7Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention8MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that8to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8.9information has already been covered.9A. Okay.10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10Q. Can you please identify that document?11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior12MR. WALL: Yes.12Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Kay. I'd like to direct your attention18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that? <th></th> <th>SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION</th> <th>1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION</th>		SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
4irrelevant information.4Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your5JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything5investigation?6in the remaining half of the second paragraph that6A. Yes.7is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?7Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention8MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that8to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8.9information has already been covered.9A. Okay.10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10Q. Can you please identify that document?11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior12MR. WALL: Yes.12Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21A. Did you investigate into that offense?21Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention22A. Yes, I did.22A. Yes, I did.2223Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please i	2		
5JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?5investigation? A. Yes.7is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?7Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attempt on to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8.9information has already been covered.9A. Okay.10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10Q. Can you please identify that document?11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention two counts of child molestation in the first1914A. Yes, I did.22A. Yes.Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to 2312Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to 2423A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?		MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I	2 conviction?
7is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?7Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention8MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that8to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8.9information has already been covered.9A. Okay.10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10Q. Can you please identify that document?11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior12MR. WALL: Yes.12Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18Investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into	 2 conviction? 3 A. Yes, it is.
8MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered.8to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8.9information has already been covered.9A. Okay.10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10Q. Can you please identify that document?11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior12MR. WALL: Yes.12Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21Coway. I'd like to direct your attention23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything	 2 conviction? 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your
9information has already been covered.9A. Okay.10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10Q. Can you please identify that document?11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior12MR. WALL: Yes.12Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that	 2 conviction? 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your 5 investigation?
10JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And10Q. Can you please identify that document?11Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?11A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior12MR. WALL: Yes.12Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 6 7	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention
InMr. Wall, are you fine with that?InA. Yes. This is also Kitsap County SuperiorImage: MR. WALL: Yes.Image: Count, and it's the plea agreement for William A.Image: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.Image: Count, and it's the plea agreement for William A.Image: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.Image: Count, and it's the plea agreement for William A.Image: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.Image: Count, and it's the plea agreement for William A.Image: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.Image: Count, and it's the plea agreement for William A.Image: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.Image: Count, and it's the plea agreement for William A.Image: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.Image: Junce All right.Image: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.Image: Junce All right.Image: JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: JUDGE	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention
12MR. WALL: Yes.12Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered.	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay.
13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.13Trick.14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.23A. Okay.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document?
14BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior
15Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit151999 conviction?16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes.	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.
16in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 199916A. Yes, it is.17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.	 2 conviction? 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your 5 investigation? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention 8 to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. 9 A. Okay. 10 Q. Can you please identify that document? 11 A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior 12 Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. 13 Trick.
17conviction. What was that offense?17Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL:	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's
18A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of18investigation?19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction?
19two counts of child molestation in the first19A. Yes.20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is.
20degree.20Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. What was that offense?	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your
21Q. Did you investigate into that offense?21to what's been labeled for identification as22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. What was that offense? A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation?
22A. Yes, I did.22Exhibit SP-9.23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. What was that offense? A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of two counts of child molestation in the first	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes.
23Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to23A. Okay.24what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for24Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. What was that offense? A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of two counts of child molestation in the first degree.	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention
24 what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for 24 Q. Will you please identify that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. WALL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. What was that offense? A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of two counts of child molestation in the first degree. Q. Did you investigate into that offense?	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled for identification as
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. What was that offense? A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of two counts of child molestation in the first degree. Q. Did you investigate into that offense? A. Yes, I did. 	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled for identification as Exhibit SP-9.
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. What was that offense? A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of two counts of child molestation in the first degree. Q. Did you investigate into that offense? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to 	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled for identification as Exhibit SP-9. A. Okay.
	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I apologize, that second paragraph does get into irrelevant information. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything in the remaining half of the second paragraph that is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick? MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that information has already been covered. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And Mr. Wall, are you fine with that? MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction. What was that offense? A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of two counts of child molestation in the first degree. Q. Did you investigate into that offense? A. Yes, I did. Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for 	 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8. A. Okay. Q. Can you please identify that document? A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A. Trick. Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction? A. Yes, it is. Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your investigation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention to what's been labeled for identification as Exhibit SP-9. A. Okay. Q. Will you please identify that?

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 189	Page 191
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Court, and it's the judgment and sentence for	2 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
3	William A. Trick.	3 Q. Ms. Paul, I want to ask you about the
4	Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's	4 nature and extent of the crime. Who were the
5	1999 conviction?	5 victims?
6	A. Yes, it is.	6 A. The victims were two seven-year-old twin
7	Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your	7 sisters, and they were Mr. Trick was acquainted
8	investigation?	8 with the girls through their mother, who he had
9	A. Yes.	9 a working relationship with their mother.
10	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers	10 Q. Where did Mr. Trick work?
11	Exhibits SP-6, 7, 8 and 9.	11 A. Mr. Trick was in the Navy at the time of
12	MR. WALL: No objection to these exhibits.	12 his offense.
13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted.	13 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object
14	(Staff Exhibits 6 through 9 admitted into	14 to this line of testimony, only in that it's
15	evidence.)	15 cumulative, and we've been over this several times
16	BY MR. O'CONNELL:	16 previously.
17	Q. Ms. Paul, I'd also like you to turn to	17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?
18	what's been labeled for identification as Exhibit	18 MR. O'CONNELL: I don't understand the bar
19	SP-4. It's already been dealt with in prior	19 on not allowing Ms. Paul to testify about her
20	testimony.	20 investigation into the details of the crime. I
21	A. Okay.	don't think it's cumulative.
22	Q. Will you please identify that document?	22 MR. WALL: The two I mean, the two 23 statements just made or the two guestions just
23 24	A. Yes. This is the King County Sheriff's	23statements just made or the two questions just24asked were two details that we've already heard
24 25	Office Offender Sex Offender web site, and it shows William A. Trick.	25 previously.
2.5	Shows William A. Thek.	
	Page 190	Page 192
1	2	
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation?	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed?	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what
2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime?	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details,	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct?	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No. Q. Do the other exhibits contain more	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.17Q. What happened to his naval service after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No. Q. Do the other exhibits contain more information?	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.17Q. What happened to his naval service after18his conviction?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No. Q. Do the other exhibits contain more information? A. Yes, there is more information.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.17Q. What happened to his naval service after18his conviction?19A. Mr. Trick received an other than honorable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No. Q. Do the other exhibits contain more information? A. Yes, there is more information. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.17Q. What happened to his naval service after18his conviction?19A. Mr. Trick received an other than honorable20discharge because of the felony sex offense, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No. Q. Do the other exhibits contain more information? A. Yes, there is more information. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers SP-4.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.17Q. What happened to his naval service after18his conviction?19A. Mr. Trick received an other than honorable20discharge because of the felony sex offense, and21he's also listed as RE-4, which means he is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No. Q. Do the other exhibits contain more information? A. Yes, there is more information. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers SP-4. MR. WALL: No objections.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.17Q. What happened to his naval service after18his conviction?19A. Mr. Trick received an other than honorable20discharge because of the felony sex offense, and21he's also listed as RE-4, which means he is not22eligible to reapply into the Navy, and also, he has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No. Q. Do the other exhibits contain more information? A. Yes, there is more information. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers SP-4.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.17Q. What happened to his naval service after18his conviction?19A. Mr. Trick received an other than honorable20discharge because of the felony sex offense, and21he's also listed as RE-4, which means he is not22eligible to reapply into the Navy, and also, he has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of your investigation? A. Yes, I have. Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? A. Yes. Q. When is the last time you visited that web page? A. I reviewed it this morning. Q. Does this document contain information about the nature and extent of the crime? A. Yes, it does. Q. But it doesn't contain all the details, correct? A. No. Q. Do the other exhibits contain more information? A. Yes, there is more information. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers SP-4. MR. WALL: No objections. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow3it. I think we haven't actually heard about the4full details of what happened. I don't think we'll5be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think6that it's been entirely discussed about what7Ms. Paul what went into Ms. Paul's investigation8and her understanding of the crimes that were9committed.10MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your11Honor?12JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.13BY MR. O'CONNELL:14Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the15Navy at the time of the offense?16A. Yes.17Q. What happened to his naval service after18conviction?19A. Mr. Trick received an other than honorable20discharge because of the felony sex offense, and21he's also listed as RE-4, which means he is not22eligible to reapply into the Navy, and also, he has23a lifetime ban from entering the base. So

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

	Page 193	Page 195
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	talked about Mr. Trick and felony sex offenders,	2 of guilty.
3	and they said that there is a lifetime ban on	3 A. Okay.
4	Mr. Trick from entering the base, either to or	4 Q. Would you please turn to page 5?
5	from. So if allowed a permit to perform household	5 A. Okay .
6	goods, he would not be able to go onto the base.	6 Q. Would you please read line 7 at the top of
7	Q. Did you ask specifically about Mr. Trick?	7 the page?
8	A. Yes, I did.	8 A. I plead guilty to the crime of child
9	MR. WALL: Objection, calls for hearsay.	9 molestation in the first degree, two counts, as
10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: How is that hearsay?	10 charged in the information.
11	MR. WALL: I think the question is about	11 Q. Would you please move down to the middle
12	the well, to the extent that the question calls	12 of the page where a checked box has an X on it?
13	for hearsay, in that it's asking about what	13 Would you please read the line next to the checked
14	Ms. Paul information Ms. Paul elicited from	14 box?
15	another party that's not another entity that's	A. Yes. The defendant had previously read
16	not been called or isn't presenting.	16 the entire statement above, and the defendant
17	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?	17 understood it in full.
18	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have several	18 Q. I would like you to turn to Exhibit SP-6,
19	responses. First of all, this is an administrative	19 the information and certificate of probable cause
20	proceeding, and hearsay is permissible.	20 for Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction.
21	Second, this is offered not only for the	21 A. Okay.
22	truth in the matter asserted, but also, as part of	22 Q. Does this document contain details of the
23	Ms. Paul's investigation into what happened. And I	23 nature and extent of the crime?
24	believe it would be admissible even if hearsay was	A. Yes, it does.
25	objectionable in an administrative hearing.	25 Q. Would you please turn to the last page?
	Page 194	Page 196
. 1	-	-
1 2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
	-	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.
2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it.	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Okay. I'm there. Q. I want to draw your attention to the
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul?	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Okay. I'm there. Q. I want to draw your attention to the
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL:	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Okay. I'm there. Q. I want to draw your attention to the paragraphs in the middle of the page.
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did.	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Okay. I'm there. Q. I want to draw your attention to the paragraphs in the middle of the page. A. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick?	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Okay. I'm there. Q. I want to draw your attention to the paragraphs in the middle of the page. A. Okay. MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base?	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. Okay. I'm there. 3 Q. I want to draw your attention to the 4 paragraphs in the middle of the page. 5 A. Okay. 6 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I 7 do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm 8 going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record 9 details about the nature and extent of the crime,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago?	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.14MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.14MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that15the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children?	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.14MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that15the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul16reading it into the record is cumulative and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.14MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that15the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul16reading it into the record is cumulative and17unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It indicates that he had a history of volunteering at	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.14MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that15the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul16reading it into the record is cumulative and17unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which18Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It indicates that he had a history of volunteering at daycares and children overseas services, and also,	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.14MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that15the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul16reading it into the record is cumulative and17unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which18Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in19this proceeding. I don't think that the details
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It indicates that he had a history of volunteering at daycares and children overseas services, and also, that he served as a soccer and wrestling coach.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.14MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that15the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul16reading it into the record is cumulative and17unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which18Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in19this proceeding. I don't think that the details20need to be any further explained than they already
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It indicates that he had a history of volunteering at daycares and children overseas services, and also, that he served as a soccer and wrestling coach. Q. Did he plead guilty in his 1999	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. Okay. I'm there. 3 Q. I want to draw your attention to the paragraphs in the middle of the page. 5 A. Okay. 6 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I 7 do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm 8 going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record 9 details about the nature and extent of the crime, 10 because I believe it's relevant in a determination 11 as to whether the nature and the extent it will 12 interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household 13 good moving industry company. 14 MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that 15 the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul 16 reading it into the record is cumulative and 17 unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which 18 Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in 19 this proceeding. I don't think that the details 20 need to be any further explained than they already 21 previously have been.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It indicates that he had a history of volunteering at daycares and children overseas services, and also, that he served as a soccer and wrestling coach. Q. Did he plead guilty in his 1999 conviction?	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Okay. I'm there.3Q. I want to draw your attention to the4paragraphs in the middle of the page.5A. Okay.6MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I7do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm8going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record9details about the nature and extent of the crime,10because I believe it's relevant in a determination11as to whether the nature and the extent it will12interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household13good moving industry company.14MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that15the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul16reading it into the record is cumulative and17unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which18Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in19this proceeding. I don't think that the details20previously have been.21JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It indicates that he had a history of volunteering at daycares and children overseas services, and also, that he served as a soccer and wrestling coach. Q. Did he plead guilty in his 1999 conviction? A. Yes, he did.	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. Okay. I'm there. 3 Q. I want to draw your attention to the 4 paragraphs in the middle of the page. 5 A. Okay. 6 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I 7 do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm 8 going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record 9 details about the nature and extent of the crime, 10 because I believe it's relevant in a determination 11 as to whether the nature and the extent it will 12 interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household 13 good moving industry company. 14 MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that 15 the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul 16 reading it into the record is cumulative and 17 unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which 18 Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in 19 this proceeding. I don't think that the details 19 previously have been. 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to agree 23 with that. We've already admitted it into the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It indicates that he had a history of volunteering at daycares and children overseas services, and also, that he served as a soccer and wrestling coach. Q. Did he plead guilty in his 1999 conviction? A. Yes, he did. Q. Okay. I'd like you to turn to what's been	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. Okay. I'm there. 3 Q. I want to draw your attention to the 4 paragraphs in the middle of the page. 5 A. Okay. 6 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I 7 do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm 8 going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record 9 details about the nature and extent of the crime, 10 because I believe it's relevant in a determination 11 as to whether the nature and the extent it will 12 interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household 13 good moving industry company. 14 MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that 15 the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul 16 reading it into the record is cumulative and 17 unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which 18 Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in 19 this proceeding. I don't think that the details 20 need to be any further explained than they already 21 previously have been. 22 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to agree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it. Ms. Paul? BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire about Mr. Trick? A. Yes, I did. Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the naval base? A. That is correct. Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though his conviction was 15 years ago? A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony sex offender for life from the base. Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being around children? A. On the King County web site, yes. It indicates that he had a history of volunteering at daycares and children overseas services, and also, that he served as a soccer and wrestling coach. Q. Did he plead guilty in his 1999 conviction? A. Yes, he did.	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. Okay. I'm there. 3 Q. I want to draw your attention to the 4 paragraphs in the middle of the page. 5 A. Okay. 6 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I 7 do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm 8 going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record 9 details about the nature and extent of the crime, 10 because I believe it's relevant in a determination 11 as to whether the nature and the extent it will 12 interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household 13 good moving industry company. 14 MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that 15 the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul 16 reading it into the record is cumulative and 17 unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which 18 Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in 19 this proceeding. I don't think that the details 19 previously have been. 20 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to agree 23 with that. We've already admitted it into the

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Page 197 Page 197 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 3 Usite PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 4 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 5 Usite PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 6 A response of the vicinity. 1 7 MR OCONNELL: Okay. So to be clear, 7 7 MR OCONNELL: Okay. So to be clear, 7 7 MR OCONNELL: Okay. So to be clear, 7 7 MR OCONNELL: Okay. 0 7 MR OCONNELL: Okay. 0 7 MR OCONNELL: Okay. 0 7 A reactive set offender lear. 0 7 A reactive set offender lear. 0 7 A reactive set offender lear. 0 9 A reactive set offender lear. 0 9 A reactive set offender lear. 0 9 A reactive set offender lear. 0 10 Dear lear set offender lear. 0 11 A reactive set offender lear. 0				
2 To the extent that Ms. Paul needs to 2 that the offender may use threats to commit the 3 discuss certain aspects of it in relation to it in nature. They use a position of trust to commit 4 the offender may use threats to commit the 5 carrier's business, that's fine, but we don't need to go into this kind of detail. 7 MR. O'CONNELL: OKay. So to be clear, G. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to commit the crime? 7 MR. O'CONNELL: OKay. But details if it 9 O. CONNELL: OKay. But details if it 9 A. Yes, he did. G. How so? 10 Q. How so? A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, 11 need to read the entire thing into the record. 10 12 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, 13 Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to 14 prison time? A. He was areleased? 15 A. He received six to seven months for this 16 Q. And when was he released? 17 Q. He you investigate what this sex offender 18 Offender. 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex 10		Page 197		Page 199
3 discuss certain aspects off in relation to it 3 crime. They groom theri votions. It's predatory 4 interfering with – with operating a household good carrier's business, that's fine, but we don't need 6 to go into this kind of detail. appreciate the damage done to the victims. 7 Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to commit the orime? 8 Ns. Paul will be able to address the details if it comms the victims. 9 A. Yes, he did. Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to commit the orime? 9 A. Yes, he did. Q. How so? 10 MR. Poul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to prison time? 11 A. He was a calculation ship. and twas a sense of trust between that 12 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. G. Are you familiar with how sex offender 13 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. G. So you re not an expert on how these sex 14 In reviewed as a level 2 sex Gender G. Did you investigate what this sex offender 14 In reviewed the King County Sheriff's web Susite PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I did. 15 Versi I did with was the last time you viewed this web sites apart of what's beare marked B. Ureviewed tase the offender levels. <th>1</th> <th>SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION</th> <th>1</th> <th>SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION</th>	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
4 interfering with – with operating a household good 4 in nature. They use a position of trust to commit 6 to go into this kind of detail. 6 appreciate the damage done to the victims. 7 MR. OCONNELL: Okay. So to be clear, 6 appreciate the damage done to the victims. 7 MR. OCONNELL: Okay. 6 appreciate the damage done to the victims. 7 MR. OCONNELL: Okay. 7 A. Yes, he did. 10 UDGE FREIDANDER: Exactly. But we don't need to read the entire thing into the record. 7 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 11 A. Mer, OCONNELL: Okay. 10 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 12 A. He was neleased? 11 A. He was neleased? 12 A. Yes, I am. 13 Offender. 13 C. Ane you ray experienced in this? 14 G. So you're not an expert on how these sex offender level? 24 A. Yes, I am. 25 15 16 A. Yes, I am. 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 28 28 28 26 26	2	To the extent that Ms. Paul needs to	2	that the offender may use threats to commit the
5 carrier's business, that's fine, but we don't need to go into thisk ind of detail. 5 the orime. And typically, the offender does not appreciate the damage done to the victims. 6 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. So to be clear, MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 7 0. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to comme up in her evaluation? 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't need to read the entire thing into the record. 10 A. Yes, he did. 11 A. Me. Yas, a was. 11 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 12 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 11 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 13 or, Ms. Paul, was as the released? 12 13 14 14 A. Yes, he did. 13 14 14 15 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 16 A. Yes, I, ann. 17 16 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 20 21 A. The tis correct? 21 A. That is correct? 22 A. That is correct? 23 16 A. Yes, I, did. 25 22 24 A. Yes, I, did. 25 26 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 26 24 A. Yes, I did.	3	discuss certain aspects of it in relation to it	3	crime. They groom their victims. It's predatory
6 to go into this kind of detail. 6 appreciate the damage done to the victims. 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. So to be clear. 7 Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 7 Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 7 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, 11 need to read the entire thing into the record. 11 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, 12 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 12 and twas a sense of trust between that 13 Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to 14 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, 14 Prison time? 15 16 A. Yes, he was. 16 14 Prison time? 16 A. Yes, in am. 17 A. He received six to seven months for this 15 offense. 17 A. He was released? 18 17 A. No, I'm not. 16 Q. Mult was released? A. He was released? 20 Offender 23 18 Traits correct. 16 Did you investigate what this sex offender 23 24 A. Yes. J. Idd. 24 A. Y	4	interfering with with operating a household good	4	in nature. They use a position of trust to commit
7 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. So to be clear. 7 Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to commit his crime? 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't in need to read the enfire thing into the record. A. Yes, he did. 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't in need to read the enfire thing into the record. A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that in and it was a sense of trust between that in the record. 11 A. Me was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that in in the record. 12 A. He was in the released? 13 13 A. He vas acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that in in the record. 14 Q. Mow so? 15 Heves in the sense the released? 14 16 A. He vas neleased? 15 17 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 16 18 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 10 24 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 20 25 Isele means? 21 26 Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 27 Q. What id you do? 23 18 A. Treviewed statue 7:03:345, which gives in eleased?	5	carrier's business, that's fine, but we don't need	5	the crime. And typically, the offender does not
7 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. So to be clear. 7 Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to commit his crime? 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't in need to read the enfire thing into the record. A. Yes, he did. 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't in need to read the enfire thing into the record. A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that in and it was a sense of trust between that in the record. 11 A. Me was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that in in the record. 12 A. He was in the released? 13 13 A. He vas acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that in in the record. 14 Q. Mow so? 15 Heves in the sense the released? 14 16 A. He vas neleased? 15 17 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 16 18 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 10 24 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 20 25 Isele means? 21 26 Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 27 Q. What id you do? 23 18 A. Treviewed statue 7:03:345, which gives in eleased?	6	to go into this kind of detail.	6	appreciate the damage done to the victims.
9 comes up in her evaluation? 3 A. Yes, he did. 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't 10 Q. How so? 11 need to read the entire thing into the record. 11 A. He was a capuainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 12 Q. Mos. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to prison time? 11 12 and it was a sense of trust between that 13 Q. Mos Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to prison time? 13 A. He was released to a start sentenced to of trust between that 14 Q. Are you any experienced in this? A. Yes, I am. 16 Q. And when was he released? 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex 17 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 20 Offender. 21 18 He was registered as a level 2 sex 16 A. Yes, I did. 22 19 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 Q. What did you do? 23 24 A. Yes, I did. 24 A. Yes, I did. 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 26 26 27 27 A. That is correct. 23 24 A. Yes, I did. 24 A. Yes, I did. 26 26<	7		7	Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to
10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't 10 G. How so? 11 need to read the entire thing into the record. 11 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 13 G. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to 11 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 14 Prison time? 11 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 15 Prison time? 12 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother, and it was a sense of trust between that 16 Q. Mow long? 13 relationship. 17 A. He received six to seven months for this 17 A. Yes, I am. 18 Offender Q. Sor you're not an expert on how these sex 20 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 20 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 22 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 22 30 Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 22 Page 198 Page 200 23 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 Q. What did you do?	8	Ms. Paul will be able to address the details if it	8	commit his crime?
11 A. He was acquaited with the girls' mother, 12 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. and it was a sense of trust between that 13 Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to prison time? 14 Prison time? and it was a sense of trust between that 15 A. Yes, Ie was. 11 A. He was acquaited with the girls' mother, 16 A. Yes, Ie was. 12 and it was a sense of trust between that 16 A. Yes, Ie was. 16 A. Yes, Jeam. 17 A. He received six to seven months for this 16 A. Yes, Ie min. 18 O. How tong? 16 A. Yes, Ie min. 19 Q. And when was he released? 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex 21 A. He was received six to seven fornder level? 21 A. That is correct? 24 A. Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 Q. What did you do? 24 D. Did you investigate what this sex offender 23 Ievels are set? 24 A. Yes, I aive. 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 25 Site for definition of sex offender levels. 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION <tr< th=""><th>9</th><th>comes up in her evaluation?</th><th>9</th><th>A. Yes, he did.</th></tr<>	9	comes up in her evaluation?	9	A. Yes, he did.
12 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. 12 and it was a sense of trust between that relationship. 13 Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to prison time? 13 13 relationship. 14 A. Yes, he was. 13 13 relationship. 15 Q. How long? 14 13 relationship. 16 Q. How long? 16 A. Yes, he was. 17 17 A. He received six to seven months for this 17 A. Are you very experienced in this? 18 A. No, I'm not. Q. Soy ou're not an expert on how these sex offender levels are set, correct? 19 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 23 offender. 22 Q. But did you research at all how these level means? 23 level means? 23 levels are set? 24 A. Yes, I did. 24 A Yes, I did. 25 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 A. Ireviewed statute 72.09.345, which gives 3 G. What is his frequently asked questions. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this 1	10	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't	10	Q. How so?
13 Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to prison time? 13 relationship. 14 Q. Are you familiar with how sex offender levels in this state are determined? 15 A. Yes, haw. 16 16 Q. How long? 16 17 A. He received six to seven months for this offense. 17 18 Offense. 18 19 Q. And when was he released? 19 20 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 20 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 22 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 20 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 22 A. He was released? 21 23 Offender. Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 24 A. Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 25 Q. What did you do? 23 26 What did you do? 11 27 A. I reviewed the King County Sheriffs web site for definition of sex offender levels, and 11 36 Q. What did you do? 12 41 A Yes. Inaw. 11 12 <t< th=""><th>11</th><th>need to read the entire thing into the record.</th><th>11</th><th>A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother,</th></t<>	11	need to read the entire thing into the record.	11	A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother,
14 prison time? 14 15 A. Yes, he was. 14 16 A. Working? 15 17 A. He received six to seven months for this 17 18 offense. 17 19 Q. And when was he released? 18 20 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 19 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 24 23 offender. 22 24 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 22 30 Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 4 Did you investigate what this sex offender 24 25 level means? 24 A. Yes, Idid. 26 Q. What did you do? 24 A. Ireviewed statute 72.09.345, which gives 36 Q. What did you do? 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 27 A. Ireviewed the King County Sheriffs web 16 16 Uie you to turn to what's been marked 37 Q. What did you do? 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 27 A. Yes, I did.	12		12	and it was a sense of trust between that
15 A. Yes, he was. 15 levels in this state are determined? 16 Q. How long? A. Yes, lam. 17 A. He received six to seven months for this 16 A. Yes, lam. 19 Q. And when was he released? 18 A. No, I'm not. 19 Q. May hen was he released? 18 A. No, I'm not. 10 Q. What is his sex offender level? 20 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 21 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 20 Gender levels are set, correct? 22 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 20 But did you research at all how these 23 offender. 23 But did you do? 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 Q. What did you do? 25 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 26 A. Ireviewed the King County Sheriffs web 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 26 A. Ireviewed the King County Sheriffs web 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 27 A. Stay. 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 28 Iteviewed the King County Sheriffs web 1 </th <th>13</th> <th>Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to</th> <th>13</th> <th>relationship.</th>	13	Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to	13	relationship.
15 A. Yes, he was. 15 levels in this state are determined? 16 Q. How long? 16 A. Yes, lam. 17 A. He received six to seven months for this 16 A. Yes, lam. 19 Q. And when was he released? 18 A. No, I'm not. 19 Q. And when was he released? 18 A. No, I'm not. 20 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these esx 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 A. That is correct. 21 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 23 But did you research at all how these 23 offender. 23 But did you do? 24 24 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 25 Q. What did you do? A. I reviewed the King County Sheriffs web 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 26 Q. What did you do? A. I reviewed the King County Sheriffs web 1 the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority 3 A. Ves, I did. 20 A. I'reviewed the King County Sheriffs web 1 the asthore offender is before the	14		14	Q. Are you familiar with how sex offender
16 Q. How long? 16 A. Yes, I am. 17 A. He received six to seven months for this 17 Q. Are you very experienced in this? 18 offense. 17 Q. Are you very experienced in this? 19 Q. And when was he released? 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex 20 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 20 Offender levels are set, correct? 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? A. That is correct. Q. But did you research at all how these 23 offender. 22 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 22 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 22 3 O. Did you investigate what this sex offender 23 A. Yes, I did. 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 2 Page 198 Page 200 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 A. I reviewed statute 72.09.345, which gives 3 3 the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority to assign sex levels to sex offender is released from prison. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this 3 A. Ves., I am. 10 MR. WALL: No objections. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted. 9 Q. What did you verei	15	A. Yes, he was.	15	
17 A. He received six to seven months for this 17 Q. Are you very experienced in this? 18 offense. 18 A. No, Im not. 19 Q. And when was he released? 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex 20 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex 20 A. That is correct. 21 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 Q. But did you research at all how these 23 offender. Q. What did you investigate what this sex offender 24 Q. What did you do? 24 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 25 Q. What did you do? 25 Juit did you do? A. Yes, I did. 26 Page 200 26 Q. What did you do? A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 26 Q. What did you do? 3 theeride sex offender levels. 1 4 10 A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web 1 10 A. I reviewed the commission take official 10 10 A. Nexture Commission take official 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <	16		16	
18 offense. 18 A. No, I'm not. 19 Q. And when was he released May 21st, 2004. Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex 20 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? A. That is correct. 22 A. He vias released May 21st, 2004. Q. But did you research at all how these 23 offender. Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 24 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 24 25 Isusie PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I did. 26 Q. What did you do? A. Ireviewed the King County Sheriff's web 3 Q. Wil you please identify this document? A. Okay. 3 A. Okay. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this 4 your investigation? MR. Walt. No bipections. 11 JUGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted. 12 MR was the last time you viewed? 13 Q. When was the last time you viewed? 14 your investigation? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately 16 Q.	17	-	17	
19 Q. And when was he released? 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex offender levels are set, correct? 20 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex offender. 20 A. That is correct. 21 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 A. That is correct. 23 offender. 22 Q. But did you research at all how these 23 offender. 22 Q. But did you do? 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 26 25 Page 198 Page 200 26 What did you do? 26 3 Q. What did you do? 27 4 A. Yes, I did. 28 3 Q. What did you do? 3 4 A. Yes, I did. 29 5 site for definition of sex offender levels. 5 6 Q. Will you please identify this document? 7 7 A. Yes, I have. 7 10 A. Yes, I have. 7 11 What are the different sex offender levels, and that the Commission take official notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to 12 What do they mean. 7	18		18	
20 A. He was released May 21st, 2004. 20 offender levels are set, correct? 21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 A. That is correct. 22 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 22 Q. But did you research at all how these 23 offender. 21 A. That is correct. Q. But did you research at all how these 23 Ievel means? 22 Q. What did you do? 24 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 Q. What did you do? 25 Q. What did you do? A. I reviewed the King County Sheriffs web 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 26 Q. What did you do? A. I reviewed the King County Sheriffs web 1 He ind of Sentence Review Committee the authority 27 A. Okay. 29 1 Will you please identify this document? 10 28 What are the different sex offender levels, and 10 Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495, 29 Q. Will you please identify this document? 10 MR. WALL: No objections. 20 MW at ore the preat. 20 MR. WALL: No objections. 21 Mrat are the different sex offender levels, and <t< th=""><th>19</th><th>Q. And when was he released?</th><th>19</th><th>Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex</th></t<>	19	Q. And when was he released?	19	Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex
21 Q. What is his sex offender level? 21 A. That is correct. 22 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex offender. 22 Q. But did you research at all how these levels are set? 24 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender levels. 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 Page 198 Page 200 Page 198 Page 200 Page 198 Page 200 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. Yes, I did. 2 A. Treviewed the King County Sheriffs web 5 site for definition of sex offender levels. 3 6 Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked 3 7 A. Okay. MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this 9 Q. Will you please identify this document? MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this 10 A. Yes, I have. 10 11 what do they mean. 11 12 MR. WALL: No objections. 11 13 Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of your investigation? MR. WALL: No objections. 14 BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee assign the risk level? A. Yes, I have.	20	A. He was released May 21st, 2004.	20	
23 offender. 23 levels are set? 24 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 level means? 24 A. Yes, I did. 26 Q. What did you do? Q. What did you do? 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 A. Yes, I did. 2 3 Q. What did you do? A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web 5 site for definition of sex offender levels. 1 4 A. Okay. 7 9 Q. Will you please identify this document? 7 10 A. Yes, I have. 10 11 What are the different sex offender levels, and what do they mean. 10 12 What are the different sex offender levels, and what do they mean. 10 13 Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of your investigation? 10 14 Mey ou reviewed this web page that you viewed? 13 15 A. Yes, I have. 15 16 Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately depict the web page that you viewed? 14 17 A. Treviewed this web page this morning. Q	21	• •	21	•
23 offender. 23 levels are set? 24 Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 level means? 23 levels are set? 24 A. Yes, I did. 25 Q. What did you do? 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 A. Yes, I did. 2 A. Yes, I did. 2 A. Yes, I did. 2 A. Yes, I did. 2 A. Yes, I did. 2 A. Yes, I did. 2 A. I reviewed statute 72.09.345, which gives 3 the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority to assign sex levels to sex offenders or risk 4 A. Okay. 7 Berkhibit SP-5 for identification. 7 A. Okay. 7 R. Will you please identify this document? 9 Q. What are the different sex offender levels, and 10 NR. WALL: No objections. 11 What are the different sex offender levels, and 11 Subsection 2. 12 what do they mean. 12 MR. WALL: No objections. 13 Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of 13 JUGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.	22	A. He is registered as a level 2 sex	22	Q. But did you research at all how these
25 level means? 25 Q. What did you do? 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. Yes, I did. 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 Q. What did you do? 1 A. I reviewed tatute 72.09.345, which gives 4 A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web 3 the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority 5 site for definition of sex offender levels. 3 The end of Sentence Review Committee the authority 6 Q. Will you please identify this document? 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this 7 MA are the different sex offender levels, and 7 MR. WALL: No objections. 11 What are the different sex offender levels, and 7 MR. WALL: No objections. 12 What are the different sex offender levels, and 7 MR. WALL: No objections. 13 Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of 7 MR. WALL: No objections. 14 your investigation? 1 MR. WALL: No objections. 15 A. Yes. Q. When was the last time you viewed? 1 16 Q. When was the last time you viewed? <	23	-	23	
25 level means? 25 Q. What did you do? 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 A. Yes, I did. 1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 Q. What did you do? 1 A. I reviewed tatute 72.09.345, which gives 4 A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web 3 the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority 5 site for definition of sex offender levels. 3 The end of Sentence Review Committee the authority 6 Q. Will you please identify this document? 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this 7 MA are the different sex offender levels, and 7 MR. WALL: No objections. 11 What are the different sex offender levels, and 7 MR. WALL: No objections. 12 What are the different sex offender levels, and 7 MR. WALL: No objections. 13 Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of 7 MR. WALL: No objections. 14 your investigation? 1 MR. WALL: No objections. 15 A. Yes. Q. When was the last time you viewed? 1 16 Q. When was the last time you viewed? <	24	Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender	24	A. Yes, I did.
Page 198Page 2001SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION12A. Yes, I did.23Q. What did you do?34A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web35site for definition of sex offender levels.36Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked37as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.78A. Okay.79Q. Will you please identify this document?10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.11What are the different sex offender levels, and12what do they mean.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of14your investigation?15A. Yes.16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately17depict the web page that you viewed?18A. I reviewed this web page this morning.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19A. I reviewed this web page this morning.20A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend	25	÷ –	25	
1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2A. Yes, I did.3Q. What did you do?4A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web5site for definition of sex offender levels.6Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7A. Okay.9Q. Will you please identify this document?10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.11What are the different sex offender levels, and12what do they mean.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of14your investigation?15A. Yes. I have.16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately17depict the web page that you viewed?18A. Yes.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web20page?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Mell, level 2 sex offender risk level24Mell, level 2 sex offender risk level24Mell, level 2 sex offender risk level24Mell sevel risk level risk level24Mell sevel risk level risk level24Mell sevel risk level risk level25A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level26So what does a risk level risk level <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>				
2A. Yes, I did.2A. I reviewed statute 72.09.345, which gives3Q. What did you do?3the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority4A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web4to assign sex levels to sex offenders or risk5site for definition of sex offender levels.5levels to sex offenders before the offender is6Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked6released from prison.7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this8A. Okay.8time would ask that the Commission take official9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes. I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16asign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web1920page?A. I reviewed this web		Page 198		Page 200
2A. Yes, I did.2A. I reviewed statute 72.09.345, which gives3Q. What did you do?3the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority4A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web4to assign sex levels to sex offenders or risk5site for definition of sex offender levels.5levels to sex offenders before the offender is6Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked6released from prison.7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this8A. Okay.8time would ask that the Commission take official9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes. I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16asign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?7A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web1920page?A. I reviewed this web p	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
3Q. What did you do?3the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority4A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web4to assign sex levels to sex offenders or risk5site for definition of sex offender levels.5levels to sex offenders before the offender is6Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked5levels to sex offenders before the offender is7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this8A. Okay.8time would ask that the Commission take official9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the isk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.19Q. And what records and information do they20page?A. I reviewed this web page this morning.2121A. Ireviewed this web page this morning. <th></th> <th></th> <th>2</th> <th></th>			2	
4A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web4to assign sex levels to sex offenders or risk5site for definition of sex offender levels.5levels to sex offenders before the offender is6Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked6released from prison.7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this8A. Okay.7MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yees. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately1617depict the web page that you viewed?1718A. Yes.19Q. And what records and information do they19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records, and that includes the20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22<	3	· · · ·		
5site for definition of sex offender levels.5levels to sex offenders before the offender is released from prison.7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this time would ask that the Commission take official notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web1920page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the pre-se	4		4	
7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this8A. Okay.8time would ask that the Commission take official9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to	5		5	levels to sex offenders before the offender is
7as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.7MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this8A. Okay.8time would ask that the Commission take official9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to	6	Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked	6	released from prison.
8A. Okay.8time would ask that the Commission take official notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495, subsection 2.11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to	7		7	
9Q. Will you please identify this document?9notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?2223A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			8	
10A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.10Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?222323A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level232324means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to		•	9	
11What are the different sex offender levels, and11subsection 2.12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level2323A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to				
12what do they mean.12MR. WALL: No objections.13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?222223A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			11	
13Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of your investigation?13JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20A. I reviewed this web page this morning.2121A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level2324means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			12	MR. WALL: No objections.
14your investigation?14BY MR. O'CONNELL:15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20A. I reviewed this web page this morning.2121A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			13	
15A. Yes, I have.15Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			14	-
16Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately16assign the risk level?17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			15	Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee
17depict the web page that you viewed?17A. They assign the level before the offender18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			16	
18A. Yes.18is released from prison.19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			17	•
19Q. When was the last time you viewed this web19Q. And what records and information do they20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level2324means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			18	
20page?20have access to when they're setting that level?21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to			19	
21A. I reviewed this web page this morning.21A. According to the statute, they have access22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to		-		
22Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?22to all relevant records, and that includes the23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to				
23A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level23pre-sentence investigation report.24means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend24Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to				
24 means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend 24 Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to				
		1	25	

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 201		Page 203
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	part of your evaluation and investigation?	2	A. No.
3	A. No, I was not.	3	Q. Does staff have any say in what his risk
4	Q. Why not?	4	level is?
5	A. It was sealed.	5	A. No.
6	Q. But is that a record that the review	6	Q. So after Mr. Trick was released from
7	committee would have access to before assigning a	7	prison, was he on supervised release?
8	risk level?	8	A. Yes, he was on supervision for
9	A. Yes, they would review that before they	9	approximately three years. He had been released
10	assign the level.	10	from prison May 21st, 2004, and he was released
11	Q. How do you know that they would?	11	from supervision on April 3rd, 2007.
12	A. It says it says in the statute that	12	Q. Were there conditions on his release?
13	they will review all relevant records.	13	A. Yes, there were conditions.
14	Q. And is the pre-sentence investigation	14	Q. What were they?
15	report specifically named in the statute?	15	A. No contact with minors. He is required to
16	A. Yes, it is.	16	complete the sexual offender treatment program.
17	Q. Do you know anything about what diagnostic	17	Also, no alcohol or controlled substance abuse,
18	tools the review committee uses?	18	counseling, and to obey all laws.
19	A. Yes, I do.	19	Q. Did Mr. Trick get married after his
20	Q. What do they they use?	20	supervised release ended?
21	A. Since April of 2009, they use the Minneeta Say Offender teel and the STATIC 00 to	21	A. He did. He was released from supervision
22 23	Minnesota Sex Offender tool and the STATIC 99 to	22 23	in April 2007, and then married a woman with, I
23 24	assign risk levels to sex offenders prior to offenders being released from prison.	23	believe, two young children months after his release from supervision.
24 25	Previously, the committee had used an	24	Q. Does this timing raise a concern to staff?
23	Freviously, the committee had used an	25	W. Does this thing raise a concern to start:
	Page 202		Page 204
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	assessment guide called the Washington State Sex	2	A. Yes.
3	Offender Risk Level Classification, revised 1999,	3	Q. Why?
4	instrument. A big, long name.	4	A. It appears that Mr. Trick put himself in a
5	Q. How did you learn that they used these	5	position of risk. His supervision for three years
6	tools?	6	had been not to be around minors. And yet, he had
7	A. I contacted a Department of Corrections	7	a relationship with a woman, in fact, did marry
8	End of Sentence Review Committee member.	8	her, and she had small children.
9	Q. Do you know when do you know anything	9	Q. We learned today from Mr. Trick that he
10	else about these tools?	10	had met this woman in 2005. Does that contribute
11	A. No.	11	at all to your evaluation?
12	Q. Do you know when the Minnesota tool was	12	A. It really is a concern to Commission
13	last updated?	13 14	staff, because he it appears that he had a
14			
14	A. Yes, that was updated in 2012.		relationship and was around minor children when he
15	Q. Do you know anything else about these?	15	was on supervision and should not have been around
15 16	Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No.	15 16	was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors.
15 16 17	 Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No. Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, 	15 16 17	was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors. Q. Do you know for sure that he was around
15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No. Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, correct? 	15 16 17 18	was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors. Q. Do you know for sure that he was around those children during the supervised release?
15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No. Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, correct? A. That is correct. 	15 16 17 18 19	was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors. Q. Do you know for sure that he was around those children during the supervised release? A. No, I don't know for sure. However, he
15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No. Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, correct? A. That is correct. Q. And the review committee assigned that to 	15 16 17 18 19 20	 was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors. Q. Do you know for sure that he was around those children during the supervised release? A. No, I don't know for sure. However, he did marry her within months of his supervision.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No. Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, correct? A. That is correct. Q. And the review committee assigned that to him? 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors. Q. Do you know for sure that he was around those children during the supervised release? A. No, I don't know for sure. However, he did marry her within months of his supervision. Q. Okay. I want to change gears a little bit
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No. Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, correct? A. That is correct. Q. And the review committee assigned that to him? A. The review committee assigned that to him 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors. Q. Do you know for sure that he was around those children during the supervised release? A. No, I don't know for sure. However, he did marry her within months of his supervision. Q. Okay. I want to change gears a little bit and ask you about household good movers.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No. Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, correct? A. That is correct. Q. And the review committee assigned that to him? A. The review committee assigned that to him before he was released from prison. 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors. Q. Do you know for sure that he was around those children during the supervised release? A. No, I don't know for sure. However, he did marry her within months of his supervision. Q. Okay. I want to change gears a little bit and ask you about household good movers. A. Okay.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you know anything else about these? A. No. Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender, correct? A. That is correct. Q. And the review committee assigned that to him? A. The review committee assigned that to him 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was on supervision and should not have been around children or minors. Q. Do you know for sure that he was around those children during the supervised release? A. No, I don't know for sure. However, he did marry her within months of his supervision. Q. Okay. I want to change gears a little bit and ask you about household good movers.

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 205		Page 207
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	A. It means that the company is willing and	2	would not know that.
3	table to perform the services proposed and to	3	Q. But they're capable of going on line and
4	conform to applicable laws and rules. The company	4	searching, correct?
5	must be in the interest of the public. They must	5	A. If they had a desire to do so and if they
6	be able to pass a background check, and the	6	knew the person's name fully.
7	application must be complete and no false or	7	Q. Okay. I want to talk about whether I
8	misleading statements provided.	8	want to ask you about whether Mr. Trick's
9	Q. Okay. But what is expected of a household	9	conviction would interfere with the proper
10	goods mover?	10	operation of a household good moving company. What
11	A. To be a household good mover, you have to	11	is Mr. Trick's role with Five Stars Moving?
12	be trustworthy. You are going into people's homes	12	A. Mr. Trick's role is as the president and
13	and handling customers' personal property, often	13	CEO of the company.
14	with very little oversight from the owner.	14	Q. And who is listed in the materials that
15	It also means the company must pass	15	Five Stars submitted as the primary company
16	background checks, and they also must request	16	contact?
17	background checks on any employees. And they are	17	A. Mr. Trick.
18	trusted to hire employees with a satisfactory	18	Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted
19	background. They're trusted to correctly document	19	indicate whether Mr. Trick would be in homes moving
20	hours on the job, employees' breaks, travel rates,	20	things?
21	minimum hour rates.	21	A. Yes, it does.
22	There's just so many documents that are	22	Q. What does it say?
23	required to successfully perform a household goods	23	A. It says that he will fill in for all and
24	move. And household good movers are trusted to	24	any roles, as needed, for the company.
25	interact not only with the customer, but with any	25	Q. You testified earlier that Five Stars has

	Page 206		` Page 208
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that	2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children.	2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the	2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer.
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a	2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover?	2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which
2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the	2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company. In fact, UTC not only issues the permits	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company. In fact, UTC not only issues the permits for household good carriers, but they also provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that the president will fill in for all or any roles, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company. In fact, UTC not only issues the permits for household good carriers, but they also provide training. Advertising by the UTC always says, hire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that the president will fill in for all or any roles, as needed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company. In fact, UTC not only issues the permits for household good carriers, but they also provide training. Advertising by the UTC always says, hire a permitted company only for your own personal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that the president will fill in for all or any roles, as needed. He indicated that it's his job to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company. In fact, UTC not only issues the permits for household good carriers, but they also provide training. Advertising by the UTC always says, hire a permitted company only for your own personal safety and the safety of your belongings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that the president will fill in for all or any roles, as needed. He indicated that it's his job to make every customer feel comfortable with complete
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company. In fact, UTC not only issues the permits for household good carriers, but they also provide training. Advertising by the UTC always says, hire a permitted company only for your own personal safety and the safety of your belongings. Q. So when someone in the community hires a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that the president will fill in for all or any roles, as needed. He indicated that it's his job to make every customer feel comfortable with complete strangers moving their memories, and that lots of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company. In fact, UTC not only issues the permits for household good carriers, but they also provide training. Advertising by the UTC always says, hire a permitted company only for your own personal safety and the safety of your belongings. Q. So when someone in the community hires a household good mover, how would they know if a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that the president will fill in for all or any roles, as needed. He indicated that it's his job to make every customer feel comfortable with complete strangers moving their memories, and that lots of companies are more interested in immediate revenue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION friends and family that may be present, and that may include children. Q. So what is the significance of the Commission granting a permit for someone to be a household good mover? A. It means that the company has met the safety standards and service standards, and also, that they have adequate insurance. It means that they have an acceptable background. And for the customer, really, it's a stamp of approval that the Commission has thoroughly looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good to hire this company. And the customer feels secure in hiring a permitted household good company. In fact, UTC not only issues the permits for household good carriers, but they also provide training. Advertising by the UTC always says, hire a permitted company only for your own personal safety and the safety of your belongings. Q. So when someone in the community hires a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION a president, a vice president, a director of operations and a lead laborer or will hire a lead laborer and an apprentice laborer. From the materials that Five Stars submitted, did you make any conclusion about which roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for? A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for all of those roles. Q. Do the materials Five Stars submitted indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for interacting with the community and establishing credibility? A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on his in his business plan, and one of them is, we are here for the moving needs of every customer, big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that the president will fill in for all or any roles, as needed. He indicated that it's his job to make every customer feel comfortable with complete strangers moving their memories, and that lots of

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

	Page 209		Page 211
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	Q. Does the application identify who in the	2	and possibly young children that may be present,
3	company will be responsible for ensuring compliance	3	and there is very little oversight. It's hectic on
4	with state laws and Commission rules?	4	a move. You know, the owners are are moving
5	A. Yes. That's William Trick.	5	around.
6	Q. Are there regular filings that household	6	Mr. Trick has a history of using a
7	good movers are expected to submit to the	7	position of trust. He developed a work
8	Commission?	8	relationship, and abused this woman's children. He
9	A. Yes, household good movers are expected to	9	uses a position of trust to get close to his
10	submit annual reports.	10	victims. If granted a household goods permit, it
11	Q. And what is the Commission's expectations	11	would offer Mr. Trick numerous opportunities to
12	about the contents of those filings?	12	develop relationships, and he would be in a
13	A. Well, the Commission depends on those	13	position of trust.
14	reports to determine the regulatory fees.	14	It's also a concern that he did marry a
15	Household good movers are required to submit maybe	15	woman months after his release from supervision
16	how many moves they have made, how many trucks they	16	when he was to not have contact with minors, which
17	have. There's just a lot of information that is	17	it shows that he has some poor decision making and
18	required from the company to send in.	18	maybe some unclear judgment on who he develops
19	Q. Does the Commission rely upon those	19	relationships with. He again married another
20	reports being truthful and forthcoming?	20	woman, also with a small child.
21	A. Yes, it absolutely needs to be truthful.	21	The Navy and Mr. Trick, an other than
22	Q. So who, telling from Five Stars'	22	honorable discharge, and they have banned him from
23	application and materials, would be responsible for	23	the base forever. He can't go on there. And yet,
24	submitting the annual reports and filings like that	24	Mr. Trick expects the Commission to grant him an
25	with the Commission?	25	application to go into people's homes, be around
	Dara 210		
	Page 210		Page 212
1		1	
1 2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
		1 2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised.
2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case?	2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been	2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit	2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been	2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to	2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application.
2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making	2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving?	2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick.	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving company? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he could.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving company? A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he could. Q. What is your understanding of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving company? A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender with a moderate risk of re-offending, as shown on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he could. Q. What is your understanding of that process?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving company? A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender with a moderate risk of re-offending, as shown on the King County web site, and there is no time 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he could. Q. What is your understanding of that process? A. It's actually the End of Sentence Review
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving company? A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender with a moderate risk of re-offending, as shown on the King County web site, and there is no time limit on that risk level. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he could. Q. What is your understanding of that process? A. It's actually the End of Sentence Review Committee assigns the risk level before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving company? A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender with a moderate risk of re-offending, as shown on the King County web site, and there is no time limit on that risk level. The concerns are that household good 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he could. Q. What is your understanding of that process? A. It's actually the End of Sentence Review Committee assigns the risk level before the offender is released from prison, and then but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving company? A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender with a moderate risk of re-offending, as shown on the King County web site, and there is no time limit on that risk level. The concerns are that household good movers are trusted to go to interact not only 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he could. Q. What is your understanding of that process? A. It's actually the End of Sentence Review Committee assigns the risk level before the offender is released from prison, and then but it is a recommendation, and the law enforcement can
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION A. William Trick. Q. Does that concern staff in this case? A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been misleading to the Commission on this permit application and on the previous application in 2013 for B&Z Moving. Q. Okay. I want to I want to come back to B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving? A. Mr. Trick. Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take? A. Mr. Trick. Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating Five Stars Moving as a household good moving company? A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender with a moderate risk of re-offending, as shown on the King County web site, and there is no time limit on that risk level. The concerns are that household good 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION families and children, and unsupervised. What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick attempted to hide his his identity, really, with B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did not include any information about him, and he did not disclose any of his convictions on his current application. Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in just a moment. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level reduced? A. I'm sorry, can you can you say that again? Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered? A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he could. Q. What is your understanding of that process? A. It's actually the End of Sentence Review Committee assigns the risk level before the offender is released from prison, and then but

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 213	Page 21	15
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	
2	Q. And when someone wants to have the risk	2 permit. However, though, Mr. Trick would not be	
3	level reduced, who makes that determination?	3 allowed to have any part of the company.	
4	A. That would be the law enforcement agency.	4 Q. Can I have you turn to what's been mark	ed
5	So it would be King County	5 for identification as Exhibit SP-2? It's been	
6	Q. And	6 discussed in prior testimony.	
7	A or Mr. Trick.	7 A. Okay.	
8	Q do you know what tools they would use	8 Q. Will you please identify that document?	
9	in assessing that reduction?	9 A. Yes. This is the notice of intent to deny	
10	A. I believe they use the same tools, the	10 application for permanent authority for Five Stars	
11	Minnesota I forgot the name. Minnesota Sex	11 Moving & Storage LLC.	
12	Offender tool and the STATIC 99.	12 Q. Have you reviewed this as part of your	
13	Q. And those are the current tools	13 investigation?	
14	A. Yes.	14 A. Yes, I have.	
15	Q that the state uses?	15 Q. Okay. So Ms. Paul, how does this order	
16	A. Yes.	16 affect staff's recommendation in this case?	
17	Q. So you mentioned it a couple times. So I	A. Well, the attempt to mislead is is	
18	want to ask you about B&Z Moving.	18 still present by Mr. Trick, because he did not	
19	A. Okay.	19 disclose his felony offense. He did not disclose	
20	Q. Is staff familiar with Mr. Trick from	20 his driving under the influence, which was amende	ea
21	other applications for a household good moving	21 to a reckless driving. He did not disclose his	
22	company?	 business related legal proceeding. It was just obvious that he he does not want Commission 	
23	A. Yes, staff became aware of Mr. Trick in	23 obvious that he he does not want commission 24 staff to be aware of his background.	
24 25	2013 through B&Z Moving. Q. And how was Mr. Trick connected with that	24 start to be aware of his background. 25 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff would	
25	Q. And now was Mr. Inck connected with that	23 MR. O'CONNELL. Four Honor, stan would	
	D	$D_{-} \sim 2^{2}$	
	Pade ZI4	Page Z.	16
	Page 214		16
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	16
2	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application?	1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 ask that the Commission take official notice of the	16
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION ask that the Commission take official notice of the administrative order that Ms. Paul has just 	16
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION ask that the Commission take official notice of the administrative order that Ms. Paul has just identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative 	16
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION ask that the Commission take official notice of the administrative order that Ms. Paul has just identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking 	16
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION ask that the Commission take official notice of the administrative order that Ms. Paul has just identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking official notice of administrative orders. 	16
2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION ask that the Commission take official notice of the administrative order that Ms. Paul has just identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking official notice of administrative orders. MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking 	16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners.	 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION ask that the Commission take official notice of the administrative order that Ms. Paul has just identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking official notice of administrative orders. MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's 	16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not	16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.	
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the company.	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?18MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the company. There was a hearing, and the Commission	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?18MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the company. There was a hearing, and the Commission determined that B&Z did stand for Billy Trick and	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?18MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the19order from B&Z Moving. The docket number that y20cited is correct. The the numbering issue with	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the company. There was a hearing, and the Commission determined that B&Z did stand for Billy Trick and Zack Gripp, and that the Commission noted a lack of	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?18MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the19order from B&Z Moving. The docket number that y	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the company. There was a hearing, and the Commission determined that B&Z did stand for Billy Trick and Zack Gripp, and that the Commission noted a lack of integrity on the application for not being truthful	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?18MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the19order from B&Z Moving. The docket number that y20cited is correct. The the numbering issue with21the exhibits, I apologize for. I thought it was	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the company. There was a hearing, and the Commission determined that B&Z did stand for Billy Trick and Zack Gripp, and that the Commission noted a lack of	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?18MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the19order from B&Z Moving. The docket number that y20cited is correct. The the numbering issue with21the exhibits, I apologize for. I thought it was22SP-2.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the company. There was a hearing, and the Commission determined that B&Z did stand for Billy Trick and Zack Gripp, and that the Commission noted a lack of integrity on the application for not being truthful on the application. The permit was denied because	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?18MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the19order from B&Z Moving. The docket number that y20cited is correct. The the numbering issue with21the exhibits, I apologize for. I thought it was22SP-2.23JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION application? A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was operating as a household good company without the required permit. Staff set up a move, and Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming the move, and identified himself as one of the company owners. Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z Moving and told them to cease operations as a household goods mover until they came in and got the appropriate permit to operate. The application did come in, and staff found it to be misleading, because there was no mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick was attempting to hide his involvement with the company. There was a hearing, and the Commission determined that B&Z did stand for Billy Trick and Zack Gripp, and that the Commission noted a lack of integrity on the application for not being truthful on the application. The permit was denied because of the attempt to mislead staff of ownership, and	1SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION2ask that the Commission take official notice of the3administrative order that Ms. Paul has just4identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative5Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking6official notice of administrative orders.7MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking8notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's9investigation. I'd only note that it's not10precedential or binding on this.11JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I12can clarify, because I think you may have13referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,14and this is actually the initial order denying15application for permanent authority in docket16TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving17LLC, is that correct?18MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the19order from B&Z Moving. The docket number that y20cited is correct. The the numbering issue with21the exhibits, I apologize for. I thought it was22SP-2.23JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes.24MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. That is the	

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

	Page 217		Page 219
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	someone had mentioned that this was the notice of	2	Q. Could staff support a plan where Mr. Trick
3	intent to deny in the current docket, and that's	3	promised to be involved only as an administrator
4	not the case.	4	for the company?
5	MR. O'CONNELL: Correct. That is not the	5	A. No.
6		6	
7	notice of intent. It is the B&Z Moving case JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.	7	Q. Why not?
8	MR. O'CONNELL: initial order.		A. Because he's already stated in his
9		8	business plan that he will fill in for any and all
1	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Then I'll take	9	roles of the company, and it's not a big company.
10 11	official notice of that. Thank you. BY MR. O'CONNELL:	10	He has, you know, unhired staff and maybe one other
12		11	person to help him with a move, and if the move is
	Q. Ms. Paul, how long ago was B&Z Moving's	12	large, in order to have his business successful, he
13	application denied?	13	would need to go and go into people's homes and
14	A. That was in 2013. So probably just	14	help out with the move.
15	just over two years.	15	Q. Okay. So Ms. Paul, just let me ask you,
16	Q. What is the public concern if a household	16	why is staff recommending denial of this
17 18	good mover is characterized as misleading or has a	17	application?
1	lack of integrity?	18	A. Staff is recommending denial because,
19	A. It means that the company hides things,	19	number one, the nature and extent of the 1999
20	that they you know, they didn't disclose	20	felony conviction of two counts of child
21	important information. They're not willing to	21	molestation in the first degree.
22 23	follow the laws and the rules. It's it's like a	22	Also, that he is a current registered sex
	trust for the company to go into their their homes.	23	offender, a level 2, with a with a risk a
24 25	It's a potential risk to customers, and the company	24	moderate risk to re-offend, and moderate risk is
25	cannot be trusted to do the right thing at the	25	simply just too much risk.
	Page 218		Page 220
1	SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	right time.	2	And additionally, Mr. Trick has not been
3	Q. Did you use Facebook at all to investigate	3	willing to disclose his criminal history, and it
4	into Mr. Trick?	4	was surprising, really, on the second application,
5	A. Yes, I did.	5	on the current one for Five Stars, because he knew
6	Q. Mr. Trick had testified today information	6	that we knew about the 1999 sex offense and child
7	about his drinking habits. Did you notice anything	7	molestation, yet he failed to include that on his
8	on Facebook that indicated information to you about	8	application.
9	his drinking habits?	9	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't have
10	A. Yes, I did. I noticed three different	10	any more questions for Mr. Paul.
11	photos of him with alcohol in in his hand	11	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Cross,
12	drinking a beer, and I believe he testified that if	12	Mr. Wall?
13	he drinks, his wife, Ashley, doesn't drink. But in	13	MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
14	one of the photos, both of them have a beer in	14	CROSS EXAMINATION
15	their hand.	15	BY MR. WALL:
16	Q. Okay. Are there any conditions that the	16	Q. Ms. Paul, were you involved in the staff
17	Commission could impose on this license to operate	17	recommendation in the B&Z Moving matter?
18	that would mitigate the risks that Mr. Trick	18	A. No.
19	presents?	19	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move I'm
20	A. No.	20	sorry, could you move your microphone closer to
21	Q. Why not?	21	you?
22	A. Well, Mr. Trick has shown time and time	22	MR. WALL: Sure. I apologize.
23	again that he hides things, that he is attempts	23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's okay.
24	to mislead Commission staff on his background, and	24	BY MR. WALL:
25	that he is not willing or able to follow the rules.	25	Q. I'm sorry, what was your answer?

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Page 221	Page 223
1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2 A. No.	2 absolute bar?
3 Q. I want to look back at that SP I	3 A. No, it can go longer than five years, I
4 believe it's SP-2. I'm looking at the initial	4 believe. I'd have to look at the rule. I think
5 order denying the application for a permanent	5 it's 480-15-302, but I don't have it in front of
6 authority in B&Z Moving.	6 me.
7 A. SP-2. Okay.	7 Q. I don't have 480-15-302 in front of me
8 Q. And if you turn to page 4, paragraph 18,	8 either, but would it sound accurate to you that if
9 the second sentence says, however, because	9 a crime had been one of the specified crimes had
10 Mr. Trick subsequently decided to prioritize his	10 been committed within the last five years, the UTC
11 career options as a union construction laborer,	11 will deny authority?
12 Mr. Gripp is now pursuing the moving business a	
13 sole proprietor.	13 Q. And if it's been longer, then they will
14 Were you aware of that?	14 only deny authority when they determine that it
A. I I see that in the report, yes.	15 would likely interfere with the proper operation of
16 Q. And then if you skip on to page 6,	16 a household goods moving company?
17 paragraph 29, disqualifying criminal record.	17 A. Yes.
18 There's a discussion about whether Mr. Trick's	18 Q. Do you have any firsthand knowledge as to
19 criminal record disqualifies the company, and the	· · ·
if you see the block quote there, and that's the	20 differentiation there? Do you have any
21 last paragraph on the page, and that's a quotation	
22 of the Commission rules.	conviction within the last five years or an older
23It says, the Commission will not grant	23 conviction?
24 provisional authority if any named person has,	24 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, relevance.
25 within the past five years, italicized, been	25 MR. WALL: I think it's the it goes to
	5 004
Page 222	Page 224
1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary,	 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION the heart of the issue here, which is whether the
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et 	 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION the heart of the issue here, which is whether the conviction will likely interfere with the proper
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you 	 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION the heart of the issue here, which is whether the conviction will likely interfere with the proper operation of a household goods moving company, and
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. 	 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION the heart of the issue here, which is whether the conviction will likely interfere with the proper operation of a household goods moving company, and the statute contemplates that older convictions be
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission 	 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION the heart of the issue here, which is whether the conviction will likely interfere with the proper operation of a household goods moving company, and the statute contemplates that older convictions be treated differently.
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than fill 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a convictionwell 13would interfere with the proper operation.
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted c a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than fi years? 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction13would interfere with the proper operation.14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than five A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and since then, the rule has been changed. Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules, 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction13would interfere with the proper operation.14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected15to the form of the question, because that's not16what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.17JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than five years? A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and since then, the rule has been changed. Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules, is there a differentiation between convictions in 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected15to the form of the question, because that's not16what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.17JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you18rephrase?
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than five years? A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and since then, the rule has been changed. Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules, is there a differentiation between convictions in the last five years and convictions longer than 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction13would interfere with the proper operation.14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected15to the form of the question, because that's not16what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.17JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you18rephrase?19MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than five years? A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and since then, the rule has been changed. Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules, is there a differentiation between convictions in the last five years? 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected15to the form of the question, because that's not16what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.17JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you18rephrase?19MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.20Q. How does it affect your determination
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than five years? A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and since then, the rule has been changed. Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules, is there a differentiation between convictions in the last five years? A. Yes. It says that some some criminal 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction13would interfere with the proper operation.14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected15to the form of the question, because that's not16what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.17JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you18rephrase?19MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.20Q. How does it affect your determination21about whether a crime will likely interfere with
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than five years? A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and since then, the rule has been changed. Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules, is there a differentiation between convictions in the last five years and convictions longer than five years? A. Yes. It says that some some criminal history is basically exempt from getting a permit, 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction13would interfere with the proper operation.14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected15to the form of the question, because that's not16what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.17JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you18rephrase?19MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.20Q. How does it affect your determination21about whether a crime will likely interfere with22the proper operation of a household goods moving
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted c a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than five years? A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and since then, the rule has been changed. Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules, is there a differentiation between convictions in the last five years? A. Yes. It says that some some criminal history is basically exempt from getting a permit, depending on the nature and extent of the crime. 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction13would interfere with the proper operation.14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected15to the form of the question, because that's not16what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.17JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you18rephrase?19MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.20Q. How does it affect your determination21about whether a crime will likely interfere with22company when the crime is older than five years?
 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary, sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028. Then it goes on to say, the Commission will not grant permanent authority if any employ has, within the past five years, been convicted of a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and the permanent authority. Are you aware of the difference in the UTC rules between convictions within the last five years and convictions that are longer out than five years? A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and since then, the rule has been changed. Q. In the current iteration of the UTC rules, is there a differentiation between convictions in the last five years and convictions longer than five years? A. Yes. It says that some some criminal history is basically exempt from getting a permit, 	1SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION2the heart of the issue here, which is whether the3conviction will likely interfere with the proper4operation of a household goods moving company, and5the statute contemplates that older convictions be6treated differently.7MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does8Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules9changing have to do with that?10MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her11understanding of the rules and how they apply in12this case to her determination that a conviction14MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected15to the form of the question, because that's not16what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.17JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you18rephrase?19MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.20Q. How does it affect your determination21about whether a crime will likely interfere with22the proper operation of a household goods moving23company when the crime is older than five years?24A. Well, I looked at the nature and extent of

56 (Pages 221 to 224)

	Page 225		Page 227
1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	would review that any time that someone applies for	2	conviction is so old, that there haven't been any
3	an application and their criminal history is less	3	re-offenses of that nature, Mr. Trick would be
4	than stellar.	4	eligible?
5	Q. Turning back to SP-2 for a moment, if you	5	A. I can't really answer that, because if
6	look at paragraph 31. It says there's no ambiguity	6	this permit is denied and Mr. Trick applied again
7	in the Commission's current rule.	7	for a household goods permit, it would get the same
8	A. I'm sorry, what page did you say?	8	review as this one had, and staff would look at the
9	Q. The same page we were on previously, page	9	nature and extent of the crime and would consider
10	7.	10	that and how the application is filled out, whether
11	A. Okay.	11	it's complete, whether it's, you know, truthful in
12	Q. Paragraph 31.	12	its response.
13	A. Okay.	13	Q. You're familiar with the UTC WACs,
14	Q. If you just take a moment to read it.	14	correct?
15	A. Okay.	15	A. I don't know them by heart, but yes.
16	Q. There's no ambiguity in the Commission's	16	Q. Are you familiar with the term labor only
17	current rule that bars convicted criminals guilty	17	moves?
18	of specified crimes from receiving household goods		A. Yes.
19 20	permits for only a period of five years. Staff's argument that a sex offender is	19	Q. I'm going to talk to you about WAC
20	permanently ineligible to hold a household goods	20	480-15-181. These are operations that do not
22	permit or be employed by a moving company is	21	require a permit. It says, a company's operations
23	unsupported by the Commission's currently	22	do not require a permit from the Commission when
24	applicable rules.	23	the company, one, moves commercial or office goods,
25	Were you aware that in B&Z, despite the	24	except with part of a household goods move.
		25	Two, transports goods that are packed and
	Page 226		Page 228
1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	text of the UTC WACs, the staff had taken a	2	loaded on the vehicle and unloaded by the customer.
3	position that Mr. Trick should be permanently	3	Three, transports goods which are loaded
4	barred from obtaining a household goods moving	4	and customer packed and sealed in self-storage type
5	permit?	5	containers in conjunction with storage when no
6	MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, relevance.	6	accessorial services are provided by the company.
7	We're here in 2015 talking about this application,	7	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have an
8	not the 2013 rationale by staff.	8	objection. We're talking about the denial of a
9	MR. WALL: Your Honor, the B&Z docket was	9	permit. I'm not sure that it's relevant to discuss
10	introduced by counsel for staff, and he questioned	10	operations of, you know, labor only moves.
11 12	Ms. Paul about it. I'd like an opportunity to	11 12	MR. WALL: Okay. The objection is
12	cross-examine on that point. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't have a problem	12	relevance? The question that I'd like to ask about are these WACs and their applications to
14	with that, to the extent that you're dealing with	$13 \\ 14$	Mr. Trick's business, and the extent to which he's
15	issues and topics that he actually cross examined	14	permitted to do the conduct, which the staff is
16	or I'm sorry, examined her on directly. I	16	concerned with preventing, which is conducting
17	believe Ms. Paul has already stated that she was	17	moves in the home.
18	not involved in that case.	18	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, we're here for
19	MR. WALL: All right.	19	the denial of a permit.
20	Q. Is it the staff's position in this	20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I would ask how
21	proceeding that Mr. Trick cannot be involved in any	21	this relates, since you've already indicated that
22	moving business?	22	this is – this WAC provision is essentially saying
23	A. Yes, that's the recommendation.	23	we don't have jurisdiction over these certain
24	Q. Is there some point at which the some	24	moves.
25	point in time at which, in the staff's view, an old	25	MR. WALL: Right. And I'd like to ask

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

			D 001
	Page 229		Page 231
1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	about the reasons for the non-assertion of	2	Q. And you don't have any expertise
3	jurisdiction over moves if it you know, if it's	3	predicting the risk of recidivism?
4	a concern that Mr. Trick is in the home performing	4	A. No, I don't.
5	moves, if there is some reason why the UTC doesn't	5	Q. Other than the on-line research and the
6	regulate those moves.	6	phone calls you described, have you done any
7	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I don't know as	7	examination of Mr. Trick to determine his risk of
8	Ms. Paul would be qualified to answer that, so I'm	8	recidivism?
9	going to I'm going to sustain the objection.	9	A. No, I haven't.
10	BY MR. WALL:	10	Q. You said that and correct me if I'm
11	Q. Let's turn to SP-4. This is the King	11	misstating your testimony, but I believe you
12	County I believe, unless I've got the numbering	12	testified that based on this web site, which says
13	wrong, this the King County Sheriff's	13	that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk, I
14	A. Yes, it is.	14	believe you said that moderate risk was too much
15	Q listing? Thank you. Where it says	15	A. Yes.
16	that Mr. Trick was a coach and a volunteer, I think	16	Q is that accurate?
17	you previously testified that you took that into	17	A. For a household goods permit, I believe
18	consideration in concluding that Mr. Trick had	18	that is too much of a risk.
19	abused positions of trust, is that accurate?	19	Q. How much risk is moderate risk?
20	A. Yes, that's accurate.	20	A. Any risk is too much risk.
21	Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to verify	21	Q. You were present in the courtroom when
22	the information on the King County Sheriff's web	22	Dr. O'Connell testified that no one is at zero risk
23	site?	23	of offending?
24	A. Well, I don't know what else I could do to	24	A. Yes, I was.
25	verify that. I did call King County and confirmed	25	Q. But certainly, you don't mean any risk
	Page 230		D 020
	1490 100		Page 232
1	-	1	-
1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1 2	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes.	2	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly,
2 3	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost	2 3	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no
2	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County	2	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right?
2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions.	2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is
2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down.	2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the
2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions.	2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is
2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay.	2 3 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk?
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes. Q. So you relied on this document. And my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper. If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes. Q. So you relied on this document. And my question was, were there any other documents that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper. If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I don't know.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes. Q. So you relied on this document. And my question was, were there any other documents that you relied on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper. If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I don't know. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes. Q. So you relied on this document. And my question was, were there any other documents that you relied on? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper. If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I don't know. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow this and allow Ms. Paul to state what she
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes. Q. So you relied on this document. And my question was, were there any other documents that you relied on? A. No. Q. And I think you testified previously that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper. If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I don't know. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow this and allow Ms. Paul to state what she understands a moderate risk to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes. Q. So you relied on this document. And my question was, were there any other documents that you relied on? A. No. Q. And I think you testified previously that you don't have any expertise on how these levels	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper. If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I don't know. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow this and allow Ms. Paul to state what she understands a moderate risk to be. A. A moderate risk is that the offender may
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes. Q. So you relied on this document. And my question was, were there any other documents that you relied on? A. No. Q. And I think you testified previously that you don't have any expertise on how these levels are calculated?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper. If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I don't know. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow this and allow Ms. Paul to state what she understands a moderate risk to be. A. A moderate risk is that the offender may re-offend.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION that this information is as it is, yes. Q. And if we turn to I've completely lost it in the numbering, but it's the King County Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions. Let's see. Maybe I can track it down. MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's SP-5. MR. WALL: Thank you. A. Okay. BY MR. WALL: Q. Were there any other documents besides SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk? A. Well, this is the accepted description of level 2 offenders that I took into consideration, yes. Q. So you relied on this document. And my question was, were there any other documents that you relied on? A. No. Q. And I think you testified previously that you don't have any expertise on how these levels	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly, there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no one would be able to get a permit, right? A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and that's what I looked at. Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate risk? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's I'm sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on something she doesn't have any knowledge of how it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul MR. WALL: The question is not improper. If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I don't know. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow this and allow Ms. Paul to state what she understands a moderate risk to be. A. A moderate risk is that the offender may

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

	D 000		
	Page 233		Page 235
1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	Q. When you were present today in connection	2	offender, which was assigned by End of Sentence
3	with this proceeding and learned that Mr. Trick has	3	Review Committee, and also upheld by King County
4	been around children for many years now without any	4	law enforcement. And it's not my role to assign a
5	incident of recidivism, correct?	5	risk level for any sex offender.
6	A. I do not know that.	6	Q. If a new evaluation were done with more
7	Q. But you were present when the testimony	7	accurate predictive value, would that in any way
8	was that he's been present around children	8	affect your assessment?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. Again, it would be reviewed if
10	Q without any recidivism? Does that in	10	Mr. Trick's level changed and he reapplied for a
11	any way effect your conclusion with regard to	11	permit, that would be reviewed just as this permit
12	Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism?	12	was reviewed, and the nature and extent of any
13	A. The red flag for me is that Mr. Trick has	13	criminal history would be reviewed in detail.
14	continued to place himself in a risky position.	14	Q. I understand that it would be reviewed.
15	Months after his release from supervision, when he	15	My question was whether it would change your
16	had no contact with minors, he did marry a woman	16	assessment?
17	with small children, and then he again married a	17	A. I can't answer that until, you know, the
18	woman with small children with a small child.	18	situation arises.
19	Q. So the risks that you've identified him	19	Q. We looked at this at this
20	placing himself into were getting into long term	20	memorialization of a phone call that was had
21	committed relationships, is that correct?	21	A. Yes.
22	A. Yes, that he has placed himself in	22	Q with Mr. Trick to UTC staff. And that
23	situations of potential risk.	23	memorialization confirmed that Mr. Trick did, in
24	Q. Are you aware that a committed long term	24	fact, call the UTC to ask about his reckless
25	relationship is actually a mitigating factor in	25	driving?
	Page 234		Page 236
	1		
1 1		1	_
1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
2	recidivism?	2	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes.
2 3	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor,	2 3	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in
2 3 4	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't	2 3 4	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the
2 3	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are	2 3 4 5	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right?
2 3 4 5	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set.	2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he
2 3 4 5 6	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of.	2 3 4 5 6 7	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information
2 3 4 5 6 7	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender	2 3 4 5 6	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it.	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation.	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial notice of, or it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of recidivism, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial notice of, or it's a A. I think I have it. I have it here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of recidivism, correct? A. I was present, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial notice of, or it's a A. I think I have it. I have it here. Q. Thank you. Let me see if I have it. A. It's Exhibit 1.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of recidivism, correct? A. I was present, yes. Q. Did that new information in any way affect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial notice of, or it's a A. I think I have it. I have it here. Q. Thank you. Let me see if I have it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of recidivism, correct? A. I was present, yes. Q. Did that new information in any way affect your determination of Mr. Trick's risk of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial notice of, or it's a A. I think I have it. I have it here. Q. Thank you. Let me see if I have it. A. It's Exhibit 1. Q. I don't have it. My Exhibit 16. The
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of recidivism, correct? A. I was present, yes. Q. Did that new information in any way affect your determination of Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial notice of, or it's a A. I think I have it. I have it here. Q. Thank you. Let me see if I have it. A. It's Exhibit 1. Q. I don't have it. My Exhibit 16. The questions that are at issue, I believe, are on page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of recidivism, correct? A. I was present, yes. Q. Did that new information in any way affect your determination of Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial notice of, or it's a A. I think I have it. I have it here. Q. Thank you. Let me see if I have it. A. It's Exhibit 1. Q. I don't have it. My Exhibit 16. The questions that are at issue, I believe, are on page 6 of the application. Would you turn to that page?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	recidivism? MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor, Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are set. MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of. She did some investigation into the sex offender leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of it. If she's not, she's not. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it. A. I did not look into recidivism as the scope of my investigation. BY MR. WALL: Q. You were present in the courtroom when Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of recidivism, correct? A. I was present, yes. Q. Did that new information in any way affect your determination of Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism? A. No. Q. Why not?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION A. Yes. Q. So although he didn't disclose it in written form, he did disclose it orally over the phone, is that right? A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he was told that he should provide as much information as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the Commission. Q. At the time that he was speaking over the phone, though, in that in the course just in the context of that conversation, he disclosed it, correct? A. Yes. Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars application. That's what's been taken judicial notice of, or it's a A. I think I have it. I have it here. Q. Thank you. Let me see if I have it. A. It's Exhibit 1. Q. I don't have it. My Exhibit 16. The questions that are at issue, I believe, are on page 6 of the application. Would you turn to that page? A. I'm there.

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Page 237		Page 239
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION
		A. Mr. Trick went to prison for his 1999 sex
•		offense.
		Q. And there was never a citation issued to
		him?
		A. Okay. But he did go to jail.
	7	Q. That's true. The question here asks, has
	8	any person named in the application been cited for
	9	violation of state laws or Commission rules?
	10	A. Yes, it does.
	11	Q. You discussed previously that Mr. Trick
have disclosed that.	12	was the individual named to ensure compliance with
Q. So it's your testimony that there is	13	the UTC rules and the annual filings?
	14	A. Yes.
find information or a definition of business	15	Q. The fact that he is the sole individual
related legal proceedings?	16	named on the application doesn't in any way preven
A. No. I can tell you that they should call	17	him from contacting others for assistance, hire an
	18	attorney, or consulting with any other individuals
	19	who are involved in the business, does it?
	20	A. No.
any person named in this application, within the	21	MR. WALL: No further questions, your
past five years, been convicted of any crime	22	Honor.
	23	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
one is sexual misconduct, and it asks the applicant	24	This might be a good time to take a break. So how
to answer yes or no, whether anyone named in the	25	about we take a break for five, and then come back
-		
Page 238		Page 240
SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION
application has been convicted within the past five	2	with redirect.
years.	3	MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. Thank you, your
In answering that question, it's true that	4	Honor.
Mr. Trick would not need to check the yes box for	5	(A short recess was then taken.)
his that question was answered accurately,	6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record.
correct?	7	Mr. O'Connell, you were going to continue or
A. His conviction was outside of the five	8	start your redirect.
years, yes.	9	MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. Thank you, your
Q. Are you aware that a criminal charge can	10	Honor. At this time, just for the record, staff
be made and that a person can be convicted of a	11	wanted to offer SP-1, staff's memorandum.
crime without a citation?	12	MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor.
A. I believe that a citation is a legal	13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And you're
proceeding, whether or not it's before a court.	14	offering it for admission, not official notice?
Q. If I were to tell you that that, in	15	MR. O'CONNELL: Correct.
fact, you can have a criminal charge and a	16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And so
proceeding and all the way to conviction and	17	admitted. Thank you.
sentencing without a citation, would that be new	18	(Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into
information to you?	19	evidence.)
A. Yes.	20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
Q. Are you aware that Mr. Trick was, in fact,	21	BY MR. O'CONNELL:
charged, arrested and pled guilty, but that there	22	Q. Ms. Paul, has Mr. Trick re-offended
was never a citation issued to him?	23	against any children?
	23 24 25	against any children? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. How would you know if he did?
	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION have or have you ever had a business related legal proceeding against you in Washington or in any other state? A. Yes. Q. Can you point me to the page on this application where it explains what the term business related legal proceeding means? A. Well, any no, I can't. I mean, it's a business related legal proceeding. So if Mr. Trick had a business related legal proceeding, he should have disclosed that. Q. So it's your testimony that there is nowhere else on this application where you could find information or a definition of business related legal proceedings? A. No. I can tell you that they should call the Commission if there is any questions about the permit when they fill it out. Q. Going onto the next question, it says, has any person named in this application, within the past five years, been convicted of any crime involving there's a number of crimes listed, and one is sexual misconduct, and it asks the applicant to answer yes or no, whether anyone named in the Page 238 SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION application has been convicted within the past five years. In answering that question, it's true that Mr. Trick would not need to check the yes box for his that question was outside of the five years, yes. Q. Are you aware that a criminal charge can be made and that a person can be convicted of a crime without a citation? A. I believe that a citation is a legal proceeding, whether or not it's before a court. Q. If I were to tell you that that, in fact, you can have a criminal charge and a proceeding and all the way to conviction and sentencing without a citation, would that be new information to you? A. Yes.	SUSIE PAUL - CROSS EXAMINATION1have or have you ever had a business related legal proceeding against you in Washington or in any other state?2A. Yes.5Q. Can you point me to the page on this application where it explains what the term business related legal proceeding means?8A. Well, any - no, I can't. I mean, it's a business related legal proceeding. So if Mr. Trick had a business related legal proceeding, he should have disclosed that.12Q. So it's your testimony that there is nowhere else on this application where you could find information or a definition of business related legal proceedings?16A. No. I can tell you that they should call the Commission if there is any questions about the permit when they fill it out.20Q. Going onto the next question, it says, has any person named in this application, within the past five years, been convicted of any crime involving - there's a number of crimes listed, and one is sexual misconduct, and it asks the applicant to answering that question, it's true that Mr. Trick would not need to check the yes box for his - that question was outside of the five years, yes.3D. A. His conviction was outside of the five years, yes.9Q. A rey ou aware that a criminal charge can be made and that a person can be convicted of a trime without a citation?12Page 23811Descrete the tell you that that, in fact, you can have a criminal charge and a proceeding, whether or not it's before a court.13Proceeding and all the way to conviction and sentencing without a citation, would that be new information to you?14Page 238 <th< th=""></th<>

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

1	Page 241		Page 243
-	SUSIE PAUL - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	SUSIE PAUL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY
2	A. I wouldn't know, unless he was arrested.	2	Q. You mentioned that in 2013, staff became
3	Q. Okay. Did you review the entirety of the	3	aware of a company conducting illegal moves
	cord of documents before us today as part of your		
4		4	A. Yes.
5	investigation into the nature and extent of	5	Q or unauthorized moves, I should say,
6	Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction?	6	called B&Z?
7	A. Yes, I did.	7	A. Yes.
8	Q. And does that inform your recommendation	8	Q. And I thought I heard you testify that
9	to the court to the Commission?	9	Mr. Trick himself was involved?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yes. He testified in the hearing at B&Z
11	Q. I want to talk briefly about this issue of	11	that he had been the person that had been
12	what cite means.	12	operating, and sent staff e-mails on a setup move,
13	A. Okay.	13	identified himself as owner of the company.
14	Q. On the application, it asks it asks, as	14	Q. Do you know if he participated in the move
15	Mr. Wall read, I believe, has any person named in	15	itself?
16	this application been cited for violation of state	16	A. Well, it was set up, so the move never
17	laws or Commission rules. Prior to today, have you	17	happened.
18	reviewed the definition of cite?	18	Q. I see. Okay.
19	A. Yes, I did.	19	A. It was undercover
20	Q. And in your review, what does cite mean?	20	Q. Got you.
21	A. It was a notification of a violation	21	A. An undercover move.
22	and/or legal proceeding requesting presence	22	Q. I'm a little fuzzy on the time frame about
23	requesting the person's presence.	23	regarding staff's knowledge of the reckless
24	Q. And do you recall where you read that?	24	driving conviction. When did staff first become
25	A. I read that in a law book, Black's Law	25	aware of the reckless driving conviction?
	·		j
terrandoserisien alkalistista kaika	Page 242		Page 244
1	SUSIE PAUL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY	1	SUSIE PAUL - JUDGE'S INQUIRY
2	book, I believe.	2	A. When I received the background check. It
	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, at this time,		
1 3		3	
3		3 4	was listed on both the watch report from the
4	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the	4	was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive
4 5	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a	4 5	was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis.
4 5 6	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your	4 5 6	was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the
4 5 6 7	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice	4 5 6 7	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of
4 5 6 7 8	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite.	4 5 7 8	was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application
4 5 7 8 9	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any	4 5 7 8 9	was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No.
4 5 7 8 9 10	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition	4 5 7 8 9 10	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out?
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No.
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's anything further, you are excused. Thank you for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's anything further, you are excused. Thank you for your testimony.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor, I don't have any more questions for Ms. Paul.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's anything further, you are excused. Thank you for your testimony. A. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor, I don't have any more questions for Ms. Paul. INQUIRY	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's anything further, you are excused. Thank you for your testimony. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Now, does staff have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor, I don't have any more questions for Ms. Paul. INQUIRY BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's anything further, you are excused. Thank you for your testimony. A. Okay.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor, I don't have any more questions for Ms. Paul. INQUIRY	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's anything further, you are excused. Thank you for your testimony. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Now, does staff have
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor, I don't have any more questions for Ms. Paul. INQUIRY BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's anything further, you are excused. Thank you for your testimony. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Now, does staff have any other witnesses that it wishes to offer?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I guess it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the definition of a legal court, because she's not a lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your Honor and your legal training take official notice of the definition of the word cite. MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any objection to taking legal notice of the definition of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just ask that it be the definition that's in a current, up to date version of Black's Law Dictionary. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank you. MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor, I don't have any more questions for Ms. Paul. INQUIRY BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Q. Okay. Thank you. I just have maybe one	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was listed on both the watch report from the Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive report that we are now using from LexisNexis. Q. Okay. So did staff know about the reckless driving conviction at the time of recommendation to deny the permit application A. No. Q went out? A. No. Q. Okay. So it was after that? A. Right. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's all the questions that I have. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's anything further, you are excused. Thank you for your testimony. A. Okay. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Now, does staff have any other witnesses that it wishes to offer? MR. O'CONNELL: No, staff rests, your

61 (Pages 241 to 244)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

			7
	Page 245	Page 24	1
1	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
2	discussed allowing Mr. Trick the very limited	2 Q. How did you become aware of the lien?	
3	opportunity to testify on the comprehensive report	3 A. I became aware, because my wife and I were	
4	and potential business violations	4 trying to buy a house, and when my mortgage broke	r
5	MR. WALL: Right.	5 pulled my credit, he said, hey, you know, you have	
6	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: business related	6 liens against your name. And I said, oh, my gosh.	
7	information.	7 So I called immediately called the IRS and asked	
8	MR. WALL: Right.	8 how much I owed. I said, what do I need to do?	
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Mr. Trick, if you	9 She goes, well, you already satisfied the lien.	
10	want to approach the witness stand.	10 So they e-mailed me a form to fill out,	
11	A. Yes, ma'am.	asking to remove the liens. Literally, 10 days	
12	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And you are still	12 later, 12 days later, it was gone, and they were no	
13	under oath, so you can go ahead and be seated.	13 longer on my credit report. If you look on my	
14	Mr. Wall, if you'll conduct direct.	14 credit report now, in any file, it says, tax lien	
15	Whereupon,	15 is satisfied.	
16	WILLIAM TRICK,	16 Q. And did you ever have was there ever	
17	having previsouly been duly sworn, testified	17 any proceeding? Did you ever have to go to a	
18	further as follows:	18 courtroom	
19	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	19 A. No.	
20	BY MR. WALL:	20 Q or have a hearing?	
21	Q. Thank you, your Honor. You testified	A. No, I did nothing. All I did was file my	
22	previously that you completed Five Stars'	22 taxes, and that was it. I didn't I didn't I	
23	application.	23 didn't even know I had a lien until they pulled my	
24	When you looked at this question of a	credit, and they said nobody's going to lend me any	
25	business related legal proceeding, did you	25 money from the IRS a tax lien from the IRS. So	
	Page 246	Page 24	Q
			0 1
-	-		0
1	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	0
2	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS?	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 	0
2 3	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. 	0
2 3 4	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. 	0
2 3 4 5	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case.	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full 	0
2 3 4 5 6	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? 	0
2 3 4 5 6 7	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS?	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. 	0
2 3 5 6 7 8	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 	0
2 3 4 5 7 8 9	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. 	0
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money,	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 	0
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the the question, which was brought up for the first 	0
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have 	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the the question, which was brought up for the first time in this new newly produced evidence of the 	0
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when 	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the the question, which was brought up for the first time in this new newly produced evidence of the phone call record regarding the reckless driving 	0
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant 	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the the question, which was brought up for the first time in this new newly produced evidence of the phone call record regarding the reckless driving incident. 	0
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the 	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the the question, which was brought up for the first time in this new newly produced evidence of the phone call record regarding the reckless driving incident. MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. 	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the the question, which was brought up for the first time in this new newly produced evidence of the phone call record regarding the reckless driving incident. MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money in what we did he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. 	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION I took care of it. That was it. That's what that was about. Q. I just have one one other question. You were aware that the UTC conducts full background checks, correct? A. Oh, yes. MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside the scope of the limited amount of questions. MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the the question, which was brought up for the first time in this new newly produced evidence of the phone call record regarding the reckless driving incident. MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was for. 	
$ \begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ \end{array} $	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money in what we did have taxes. It was kind of the same thing. It was half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over 	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 was about. 4 Q. I just have one one other question. 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 background checks, correct? 7 A. Oh, yes. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 time in this new newly produced evidence of the 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 incident. 15 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 17 for. 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\end{array}$	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. It was kind of the same thing. It was half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over \$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a 	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 was about. 4 Q. I just have one one other question. 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 background checks, correct? 7 A. Oh, yes. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 time in this new newly produced evidence of the 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 incident. 15 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 17 for. 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to 19 discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. It was kind of the same thing. It was half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over \$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a tax lien on me. And recently and it's been 	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 was about. 4 Q. I just have one one other question. 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 background checks, correct? 7 A. Oh, yes. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 time in this new newly produced evidence of the 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 incident. 15 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 17 for. 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to 19 discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed 20 evidence.	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ \end{array}$	 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. It was kind of the same thing. It was half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over \$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a tax lien on me. And recently and it's been removed, because I satisfied the lien by bringing 	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 was about. 4 Q. I just have one one other question. 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 background checks, correct? 7 A. Oh, yes. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 time in this new newly produced evidence of the 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 incident. 15 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 17 for. 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to 19 discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed 20 evidence. 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you ask th	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. It was kind of the same thing. It was half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over \$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a tax lien on me. And recently and it's been removed, because I satisfied the lien by bringing the total down to under \$25,000. So any lien that	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 was about. 4 Q. I just have one one other question. 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 background checks, correct? 7 A. Oh, yes. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 time in this new newly produced evidence of the 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 incident. 15 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 17 for. 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to 19 discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed 20 evidence. 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you ask th 22 question, and then I'll decide if it's relating to	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. It was kind of the same thing. It was half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over \$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a tax lien on me. And recently and it's been removed, because I satisfied the lien by bringing the total down to under \$25,000. So any lien that was in my name, or judgment, has been removed	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 was about. 4 Q. I just have one one other question. 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 background checks, correct? 7 A. Oh, yes. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 time in this new newly produced evidence of the 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 incident. 15 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 17 for. 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to 19 discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed 20 evidence. 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you ask th 22 question, and then I'll decide if it's relating to 23 the limited testimony.	
$\begin{array}{c} 2\\ 3\\ 4\\ 5\\ 6\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 11\\ 12\\ 13\\ 14\\ 15\\ 16\\ 17\\ 18\\ 19\\ 20\\ 21\\ 22\\ 23\\ 24\\ \end{array}$	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. It was kind of the same thing. It was half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over \$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a tax lien on me. And recently and it's been removed, because I satisfied the lien by bringing the total down to under \$25,000. So any lien that was in my name, or judgment, has been removed because of that. But there was no business	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 was about. 4 Q. I just have one one other question. 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 background checks, correct? 7 A. Oh, yes. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 time in this new newly produced evidence of the 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 incident. 15 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 17 for. 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to 19 discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed 20 evidence. 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you ask th 22 question, and then I'll decide if it's relating to 23 the limited testimony. 24	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION understand that to encompass the IRS? A. I did not. I looked at it as a did I own a business that went into some sort of legal case. Q. This was the first that I had learned of it. What happened with the IRS? A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife and I made a significant amount of money in what we did, and I wasn't used to making that much money, and therefore, on our on our taxes, as we claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant amount of money, and kind of let that go to the next year. It was kind of the same thing. It was half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over \$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a tax lien on me. And recently and it's been removed, because I satisfied the lien by bringing the total down to under \$25,000. So any lien that was in my name, or judgment, has been removed	1 WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that 3 was about. 4 Q. I just have one one other question. 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full 6 background checks, correct? 7 A. Oh, yes. 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions. 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the 11 the question, which was brought up for the first 12 time in this new newly produced evidence of the 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving 14 incident. 15 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was 17 for. 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to 19 discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed 20 evidence. 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you ask th 22 question, and then I'll decide if it's relating to 23 the limited testimony.	

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

	D 040		D 051
	Page 249		Page 251
1	WILLIAM TRICK - REDIRECT EXAMINATION	1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	that the UTC conducts full background checks of the	2	to him having various violations or not having
3	people listed on the application?	3	various violations of the law and getting his
4	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What do you mean by	4	application denied, then I don't see how it's going
5	full background checks?	5	to be relevant.
6	MR. WALL: You can strike the adjective	6	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe the
7	full. I just mean background checks. Criminal	7	relevance is that it's a business related legal
8	background checks, I guess.	8	proceeding that we're talking about.
9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I'll allow it.	9	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, I'm going to deny
10	A. Yes, I do. The first application in	10	it.
		11	•
11	made that very clear. I put my photo	f	MR. O'CONNELL: Okay.
12	identification in there, knowing that they were	12	Q. Mr. Trick, the IRS filed a tax lien
13	going to do a background check. There was no	13	against you, is that correct?
14	hiding.	14	A. Yes.
15	BY MR. WALL:	15	Q. And you did not disclose that tax lien on
16	Q. When you called them regarding the	16	the application with the UTC, is that correct?
17	reckless driving, was it your intent to conceal	17	A. That's correct. I
18	that from them?	18	MR. O'CONNELL: I have no more questions,
19	A. No.	19	your Honor.
20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And actually, that's	20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.
21	that's beyond the scope.	21	You're dismissed, thank you. All right. So if I'm
22	MR. WALL: All right. No further	22	correct, that ends all the testimony and we're
- 23	questions. Thank you, your Honor.	23	ready to move into closing.
24	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. I	24	MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor.
25	have no further questions, so you're dismissed.	25	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall? Maximum,
	Page 250		Page 252
1	WILLIAM TRICK - RECROSS EXAMINATION	-	1490 202
1			fine anti-interesting the state of the state
2		1	five minutes, by the way.
2	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask	2	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a
3	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related	2 3	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes?
3 4	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding?	2 3 4	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the
3 4 5	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited,	2 3 4 5	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record.
3 4 5 6	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.)
3 4 5 6 7	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record.
3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of	2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make?	2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what he testified.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career. Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what he testified.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career. Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place at that time is antiquated, and that it would be unethical to apply it now because it overstates the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what he testified. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Except that we're here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career. Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place at that time is antiquated, and that it would be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what he testified. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Except that we're here for a denial of an application.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career. Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place at that time is antiquated, and that it would be unethical to apply it now because it overstates the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what he testified. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Except that we're here for a denial of an application. MR. O'CONNELL: Which includes I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career. Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place at that time is antiquated, and that it would be unethical to apply it now because it overstates the risk. We heard from Mr. Trick, that there are a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what he testified. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Except that we're here for a denial of an application. MR. O'CONNELL: Which includes I'm sorry, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career. Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place at that time is antiquated, and that it would be unethical to apply it now because it overstates the risk. We heard from Mr. Trick, that there are a number of mitigating factors which reduces risk of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what he testified. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Except that we're here for a denial of an application. MR. O'CONNELL: Which includes I'm sorry, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No, that's okay. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career. Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place at that time is antiquated, and that it would be unethical to apply it now because it overstates the risk. We heard from Mr. Trick, that there are a number of mitigating factors which reduces risk of recidivism. For example, he's in a stable, long term
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask cross-examination questions of the business related legal proceeding? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited, considering there were maybe two answers. MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. O'CONNELL: Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of money in that year. How much money did you make? MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the relevance of this question? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he made during a certain period of time? MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates directly to how much money he made, and that's what he testified. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Except that we're here for a denial of an application. MR. O'CONNELL: Which includes I'm sorry, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No, that's okay. I'm just saying, unless you can state how how much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a very brief recess just to collect the notes? JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the record. (A short recess was taken.) JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record. Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with your closing. MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some point, people with convictions should be able to move on with their lives, earn a living and contribute fully to our society and Washington state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual who deserves an opportunity to move on with his life and pursue his chosen career. Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place at that time is antiquated, and that it would be unethical to apply it now because it overstates the risk. We heard from Mr. Trick, that there are a number of mitigating factors which reduces risk of recidivism.

63 (Pages 249 to 252)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Down 250		
	Page 253		Page 255
1 .	recidivism. He has a job that he's committed to,	1	was, quote, a permanent bar. But then when? When
2	and the grant of this professional license would	2	is Mr. Trick going to be able put this behind him
3	only further stabilize his work life and	3	and move on with his chosen career? It's been 16
4	professional scene.	4	years since his conviction. Will it be 20 years?
5	The UTC's WACs, 480-15-181 do not regulate	5	30? 50? At what point does this conviction stop
6	labor only moves. Mr. Trick is currently legally	6	becoming a permanent stain that prevents him from
7	allowed to go into someone's home, box up all their	7	pursuing his chosen application?
8	things for them, and move them onto a truck, so	8	Counsel also said that Mr. Trick's crime
9	long as it's not a truck that he owns. He's also	9	was despicable, and it is a despicable crime.
10	allowed to bring his truck, so long as someone else	10	That's exactly what it is. Counsel wants to
11	boxes up the stuff.	11	portray as Mr. Trick as a despicable criminal and
12	So he can do the moving of the goods and	12	nothing more, but the reality is that Mr. Trick
13	he can do the packing and loading of the goods. He	13	made this horrible mistake, as he explained, the
14	just can't do both. He has been operating in the	14	inhibitions that normally prevent you and me and
15	labor only context for nearly a decade now. He's	15 16	everyone else from committing crimes broke down,
16	also been employed by full service move companies,	16	they failed him in that moment.
17 10	and he's received positive ratings, and he hasn't	18	He made this one horrible, despicable
18 10	had any negative incidents.	18 19	mistake, but that's not all that he is. That's not the man who testified here today. The man who
19 20	Pursuing this permit and this business is	20	testified here today is a man who is remorseful,
20 21	the next logical step in his professional development. There is no risk, as the staff	20	who stands here humbled. He's sincere. He's an
21 22	development. There is no risk, as the staff perceived, of him being in a home and having some	21	incredibly hard worker, and it takes a lot of
22	• • • •	22	courage. It took a lot of courage for him to admit
23 24	negative incident, and that's been proven over a nine year track record. He's going to continue to	23	the extremely delicate and extremely sensitive
24 25	do labor only moves, as he's allowed to do by law,	24	things openly and honestly, and discuss those
20	to labor only moves, as he s allowed to do by law,	20	annys openny and nonesuy, and discuss those

	Page 254		Page 256
1	Page 254	1	Page 256
1	regardless of the outcome of this permit	1	things.
2	regardless of the outcome of this permit application.	2	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis,
2 3	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things	2 3	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a
2 3 4	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that	2 3 4	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative
2 3 4 5	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis,	2 3 4 5	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly
2 3 4 5 6	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and	2 3 4 5 6	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things.
2 3 4 5 6 7	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with	2 3 4 5 6 7	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving	2 3 4 5 6	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest. While that analysis is understandable,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they have done something terribly wrong that they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest. While that analysis is understandable, it's very surface level and cursory. What we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they have done something terribly wrong that they have to live with for the rest of their life, and make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest. While that analysis is understandable, it's very surface level and cursory. What we're asking for here is a more nuanced, a more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they have done something terribly wrong that they have to live with for the rest of their life, and make a commitment to themselves, their families, society,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest. While that analysis is understandable, it's very surface level and cursory. What we're asking for here is a more nuanced, a more individualized exercise of discretion to look	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they have done something terribly wrong that they have to live with for the rest of their life, and make a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest. While that analysis is understandable, it's very surface level and cursory. What we're asking for here is a more nuanced, a more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they have done something terribly wrong that they have to live with for the rest of their life, and make a commitment to themselves, their families, society, that they are going to use their time in prison to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest. While that analysis is understandable, it's very surface level and cursory. What we're asking for here is a more nuanced, a more individualized exercise of discretion to look specifically at Mr. Trick and his specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they have done something terribly wrong that they have to live with for the rest of their life, and make a commitment to themselves, their families, society, that they are going to use their time in prison to better themselves. And Mr. Trick did that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	regardless of the outcome of this permit application. I want to come back to a couple of things that counsel has said in his opening. He said that the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis, conduct an analysis of whether the nature and extent of the crimes would likely interfere with proper operation of a household goods moving company. Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to look at the frequently asked questions and see that level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude that because movers are in the home and there's a moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public interest. While that analysis is understandable, it's very surface level and cursory. What we're asking for here is a more nuanced, a more individualized exercise of discretion to look specifically at Mr. Trick and his specific circumstances.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	things. Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis, although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a tentative evaluation and come to some tentative conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly forthcoming and incredibly open about these things. I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor to analyze in this proceeding. I want to address a few more things. We heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of prisoners; people who go to prison and become more enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened criminals. And then there are individuals like Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime and face the really difficult reality that they have done something terribly wrong that they have to live with for the rest of their life, and make a commitment to themselves, their families, society, that they are going to use their time in prison to better themselves. And Mr. Trick did that. He obtained two certificates. He was a

64 (Pages 253 to 256)

	Page 257		Page 259
1			-
1	his parole, and he moved his way up from Jiffy Lube	1	Staff is familiar with Mr. Trick. His
2	and QFC and finally got to the point where he's in	2	current application is misleading. He does not
3	a long term stable marriage with kids of his own	3	disclose a business related legal proceeding, his
4	and wants to start a business, and that's the	4	tax lien by the IRS. He did not disclose his
5	position that we find Mr. Trick in today, 16 years	5	reckless driving on the application. Mr. Wall is
6	away from this horrible event.	6	making a semantic argument about what it means to
7	The UTC staff, I think, and counsel	7	be cited for a violation of state law.
8	portrayed Mr. Trick as being not forthcoming in his	8	I think the application was very clear
9	application because Mr. Trick checked the wrong box	9	that Mr. Trick should have disclosed his 1999
10	in his application. But Mr. Trick testified that	10	conviction, especially considering that he spoke
11	he knew, from his prior B&Z application, that the	11	with staff regarding the application and received
12	UTC staff does a full background check. He called	12	the information and the guidance that he should
13	and asked, what should I do about my reckless	13	disclose as much information as possible, be as
14	driving? These are not the actions of a man who is	14	complete as possible.
15	trying to conseal something. Did he perfectly fill	15	Staff is also familiar with Mr. Trick from
16	it out? No.	16	the 2013 case, B&Z Moving. And in that
17	But then again, the UTC's permit	17	application, again, Mr. Trick didn't fail to check
18	application is not perfectly precise in its	18	the right box in that case. He failed to include
19	language. If you look at the phrase, business	19	himself entirely on that application. This is
20 21	related proceeding, in this case, there wasn't a	20	consistent with staff's experience with Mr. Trick.
	proceeding. There was a lien. And it asks about	21	Dr. O'Connell testified that sex offenders
22	citations for violations of Washington law. In the	22	minimize their crime, they try to hide their crime,
23 24	case at hand, there wasn't a citation. So I think	23	they're good manipulators. Mr. Trick has been
24 25	that if we're going to hold people to these legal	24	trying to manipulate Commission staff by not
25	standards, there needs to be some precision in the	25	disclosing all of this. His 1999 conviction is a
2/109/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04009/ar-04	Page 258		Page 260
1	language.	1	
			despicable act against two seven-year-old girls
		1	despicable act against two seven-year-old girls. That interferes with him properly
2 3	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to	2	That interferes with him properly
3	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license,	2 3	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the
3 4	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their	2 3 4	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with.
3 4 5	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a	2 3 4 5	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their
3 4 5 6	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill	2 3 4 5 6	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their
3 4 5 6 7	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation,	2 3 4 5 6 7	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls
3 4 5 6	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that
3 4 5 7 8	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that	2 3 4 5 6 7	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a
3 4 5 7 8 9 10	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it.
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission,
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'Connell?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but also, other vulnerable people in society, because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but also, other vulnerable people in society, because staff's experience with Mr. Trick is that he is not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. Well, first, Counsel and Mr. Trick have made it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but also, other vulnerable people in society, because staff's experience with Mr. Trick is that he is not forthcoming, that his ability to be truthful and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. Well, first, Counsel and Mr. Trick have made it abundantly clear that he will continue to operate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but also, other vulnerable people in society, because staff's experience with Mr. Trick is that he is not
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. Well, first, Counsel and Mr. Trick have made it abundantly clear that he will continue to operate his business with or without Commission approval in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but also, other vulnerable people in society, because staff's experience with Mr. Trick is that he is not forthcoming, that his ability to be truthful and disclose things fully has not been demonstrated to staff.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. Well, first, Counsel and Mr. Trick have made it abundantly clear that he will continue to operate his business with or without Commission approval in this permit, and all the three things that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but also, other vulnerable people in society, because staff's experience with Mr. Trick is that he is not forthcoming, that his ability to be truthful and disclose things fully has not been demonstrated to staff. Dr. O'Connell has met over the telephone
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Finally, I'll just say that with regard to the grant of a permit and a professional license, which allows someone the ability to pursue their chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a license that allows that person to further fulfill their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation, pursuing their career. We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that it's the person's investments in their family and in their jobs that gives them something that's worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is investing in his family, is investing in his career, already has a lot to lose. I would ask your Honor to grant the permit and give him more more to live for. Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Mr. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. Well, first, Counsel and Mr. Trick have made it abundantly clear that he will continue to operate his business with or without Commission approval in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	That interferes with him properly operating a household good industry. He knew the girls through their mother, whom he worked with. He had a work contact with their mother. Their parents trusted him to spend the night in their home and invited him to stay there. The girls trusted him, after having just met him that evening, and went into the same room and in the same bed with him, and he took advantage of that trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a work relationship to do it. If he is given a permit by the Commission, he will establish many more work relationships as the owner of a household good moving company. That provides him many more opportunities to take advantage of relationships that he forms. The concern is not only for families and children, but also, other vulnerable people in society, because staff's experience with Mr. Trick is that he is not forthcoming, that his ability to be truthful and disclose things fully has not been demonstrated to staff.

65 (Pages 257 to 260)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	Page 261		Page 263
1	He spoke with him for just over an hour, and by	1	rate. He indicated it was approximately 10 percent
2	Mr. O'Connell's own testimony, his evaluation is	2	for level 1, and 18 percent for level 2, but was
3	extremely preliminary. He cannot make a definitive	3	going to check on that and inform counsel. I would
4	evaluation of Mr. Trick. He hasn't had time to.	4	appreciate that information by the end of the week.
5	He hasn't had all the court documents. The court	5	MR. WALL: Absolutely.
6	documents that were disclosed was only the judgment		JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And if both parties
7	and sentence. He doesn't have access to the	6 7	are amenable, I would prefer that the deadline be
8	pre-sentence information sorry. Pre-sentence	8	waived for this order to be out until ten days
9	investigation report.	9	after receiving the transcript. Ten business days,
10	Staff doesn't even have access to that.	10	I should say.
11	Staff is not responsible for Mr. Trick's risk of	11	MR. WALL: On behalf of Five Stars, we'll
12	re-offending. Staff does not have any control in	12	waive the requirement.
13	what his risk level is. That is established by the	13	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
14	End of Sentence Review Committee. That is	14	MR, O'CONNELL: Staff waives the
15	established by a legislatively appointed body.	15	requirement as well.
16	That is not staff and not the Commission to	16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Great. So if
17	determine what Mr. Trick's risk level is.	17	there is nothing further, this hearing is
18	His risk level remains a level 2. That's	18	adjourned. Thank you.
19	a moderate risk. He could have done something to	19	MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
20	have that amended. It appears from his testimony	20	MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
21	today that he is now taking those steps, and many	21	(The hearing concluded at 3:24 p.m.)
22	others, but he has not up to this point, and it's	22	
23	been 11 years since his release.	23	
24	He notes problems with the web site, the	24	
25	King County Sheriff's Office web site, yet he	25	
	Page 262		Page 264
1	hadn't noted those inconsistencies with the	1 1	
2	sheriff's office until just a couple months ago.	2	
3	He's had 11 years to do so, and only now does he	3	
4	try to explain that the information in there is	4	CERTIFICATE
5	incorrect.	5	
6	Staff did its investigation into the	6	STATE OF WASHINGTON
7	nature and extent of Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction,	7	COUNTY OF KING
8	and as demonstrated through the testimony that it	8	
9	will interfere with his operating a household good	9	I, Mary M. Paradise, a Certified Shorthand
10	moving company. In addition, Mr. Trick has a track	10	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do
11	record with staff of being less than forthcoming,	11	hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
12	in 2013 with B&Z Moving and with this application	12	final hearing in re: the Application of Five Stars
13	today.	13	Moving, LLC on June 24, 2015, is true and accurate
14	Staff asks that your Honor denies the	14	to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
15	application for Five Stars Moving. Thank you.	15	
16	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Okay. Are	16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
17	there any other procedural issues that we need to	17	hand this 9th day of July, 2015.
18	address?	18	
19	MR. WALL: No, your Honor.	19	
20	JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. As I stated off	20	
21	the record, I have requested the parties waive the	21	May M. Pacaden
22	ten-day order deadline for this BAP proceeding.	22	MARY M. PARADISE, CSR
23	I would also indicate that I remembered,	23	
2,4	during the closing statements, that we do still	24	
25	need to hear from Dr. O'Connell on the recidivism	25	

66 (Pages 261 to 264)

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

SEATTLE 206.287.9066 OLYMPIA 360.534.9066 SPOKANE 509.624.3261 NATIONAL 800.846.6989

.

······································	·			1 uge 200
A	accident 29:5	added 28:8 172:8	180:22 181:9	44:25 50:16 66:20
<u>A</u>	account 78:22	addicted 146:7	180:22 181.9	69:8 87:23 93:25
\$25,000 246:19,22	accountable 88:4	addiction 146:17	189:13,14 190:24	102:8 104:22,22
\$31,457.04 173:12	106:9,10	adding 139:7	190:25 196:15,23	149:21 152:15,16
\$350 178:2	accounts 64:18	addition 28:6	240:17,18	
\$5,000 177:23	90:3	117:24 123:5	admitting 152:8	183:16,20,21 245:13 252:8
A-Ray's 101:22	accumulate 29:8	262:10	adults 130:17 149:5	
102:3 103:4,9				ahold 75:10 83:21
a.m 1:19 4:2	accuracy 7:23 46:9	additional 17:15	advanced 162:22	160:8
abbreviated 121:14	46:14 47:8 48:2	50:7 139:7,13	advantage 60:20,22	aircraft 128:12
abiding 124:11	119:3	140:12 148:14	61:6 144:8 260:10	aisle 110:8,9
ability 64:7 258:4	accurate 8:7 9:5	155:7 159:8	260:17	alcohol 32:21 70:19
260:21 264:14	97:19 103:6	165:25 172:13,15	advertise 76:6	111:11 112:13
able 53:17 59:24	134:24 223:8	172:23 176:6	advertising 8:10,22	128:18 145:6,10
82:24 83:20 103:6	229:19,20 231:16	183:12 248:16	66:7 206:19	146:8,10,14,19
129:25 137:22	235:7 264:13	additionally 220:2	advisory 23:17	184:19 203:17
138:23 139:12	accurately 7:20	address 45:21	affect 108:9 123:24	218:11
145:23 160:8	43:19 45:18 46:24	117:19 196:7	215:16 224:20	Alex 103:3
163:13 193:6	190:5 198:16	197:8 256:11	234:20 235:8	allow 44:22 45:24
197:8 200:24	238:6	262:18	afford 53:11	63:17 87:20 107:9
205:6 218:25	acknowledgment	addressed 58:19	afforded 90:10	132:25 155:13
232:4 252:11	153:18	133:20	afternoon 161:23	172:25 176:11,13
255:2	acquainted 79:23	addresses 45:22	age 26:6 36:22	181:12,24 182:5
abnormality	191:7 199:11	adequate 206:9	agencies 35:12,13	192:2 194:2
150:11	act 27:22 119:21	adjective 249:6	64:12 147:21	232:20,21 234:11
absolute 223:2	260:1	adjourned 263:18	agency 31:12 33:22	249:9
absolutely 51:9	acted 146:4	adjudicated 175:23	34:13 35:2 213:4	allowed 5:21 61:3
56:14 127:23	acting 144:17	adjustment 24:23	aggregate 29:10	69:14 109:25
140:18 182:4,7	action 44:3 77:24	admin 89:25 90:3	171:8	172:21 193:5
209:21 263:5	77:25	administration	ago 10:1 11:3 21:5	194:8 215:3 253:7
abundantly 258:22	actions 63:20	15:2	31:6 35:22 37:23	253:10,25
abuse 15:4 21:9,9	257:14	administrative	38:18 49:14,19	allowing 191:19
90:23 124:14	activities 60:7	1:16 2:3 4:7	52:8 70:12 74:6	245:2
146:5 203:17	actual 24:17 38:2	10:19 12:4 154:25	89:6 94:18,24	allows 258:4,6
	143:19	193:19,25 200:10	113:16 150:18	alter 212:25
abused 211:8 229:19	actuarial 18:4	216:3,4,6	157:21 184:24	alternative 6:14
	25:12 28:7,15,20	administrator	194:12 217:12	60:24 157:4
Abusers 15:18 16:3	28:22 38:3 46:20	219:3	262:2	256:24
acceptable 206:10	47:4,4,9,13,19,20	admissible 155:2,6	agree 43:23 46:10	alternatives 34:21
232:3	141:17	193:24	46:15 88:3 116:11	amazing 85:12,25
accepted 72:24	ad 76:9	admission 240:14	134:25 137:14	88:19 90:9
165:16 194:25	Adams 101:22	admit 44:11 111:20	154:22 196:22	
230:15	102:2,23 103:2,9	180:20 255:23		ambiguity 225:6,16
access 24:20 132:3			agreement 57:8	amenable 263:7
139:24 200:20,21	add 28:12 58:5	admitted 3:16,17	58:7 188:12	amended 166:17
201:7 261:7,10	142:24 153:15	3:18,19 44:23	ahead 4:11,12	175:20 177:17
accessorial 228:6	154:13 158:13	111:23 164:18,20	12:19 13:19 27:8	215:20 261:20
			· · · · · ·	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
American 81:5	anybody's 99:10	178:18,20,22,25	apprise 182:3	132:20 139:21
150:19	anymore 70:20,21	179:4,17 180:15	approach 171:24	141:12 153:10,22
amount 25:23	anyplace 107:25	183:25 184:7,13	182:15 245:10	157:2 179:15
31:16 64:19 103:8	anyway 154:11	185.25 184.7,15	appropriate 182:23	184:6,17 185:3,19
128:17 168:10	apologize 49:6	205:7 209:2,23	214:12	191:24 198:10
	71:17 73:14,16,21	210:6,6 211:25		230:5 236:6 247:7
173:11 246:9,15		-	approval 206:12 258:23	250:5 250:6 247.7
248:9	78:16 132:17,19	212:5,8 214:2,13		
ampersand 75:7	156:13 186:3	214:22,23 215:10	approved 69:11	asking 30:11 50:7
analyses 152:5	216:21 220:22	216:15,16 217:13	approximately	57:19 69:4 74:6
158:16	apostrophe 5:3	219:17 220:4,8	141:3 203:9 263:1	87:18 123:8,21
analysis 11:11	14:13	221:5 225:3 226:7	April 98:4 173:10	156:10 183:23
32:23 42:7,25	apparently 25:25	227:10 236:16,23	201:21 203:11,22	193:13 224:10,16
46:21 122:21	119:17	237:7,14,21 238:2	April-ish 98:3	232:13 234:7,9
141:4 149:9 254:5	appeals 33:22	239:8,16 241:14	area 123:19	247:11 254:20
254:6,10,18 256:2	appearances 4:12	241:16 244:8	argument 46:18	asks 33:23 169:17
analytic 16:22	appears 40:24	245:23 249:3,10	181:2,6 182:6	237:24 239:7
analyze 256:10	204:4,13 261:20	250:20 251:4,16	225:20 259:6	241:14,14 257:21
analyzing 42:23,24	applicable 132:22	254:2 255:7 257:9	arguments 123:5,6	262:14
45:15	163:15 205:4	257:10,11,18	arises 235:18	aspects 55:15 197:3
and/or 241:22	225:24	259:2,5,8,11,17	arm 157:19	assaulted 79:22
Andrew 2:13 5:3	applicant 11:1	259:19 262:12,15	Armed 81:5	asserted 193:22
annual 209:10,24	120:25 121:20	264:12	Arnold 55:14	asserting 176:6
239:13	185:7 237:24	application's 11:18	arose 62:16	183:11
answer 18:10 63:7	applicant's 121:19	applications	arousal 148:21	assess 147:23
102:9 111:4	applicants 10:17	108:14,15 213:21	aroused 17:8	assessed 134:5
131:20 133:3	application 1:4	228:13	128:25 149:5	assessing 213:9
184:15,16,21	6:18 9:10,17,20	applied 24:18 25:3	arrange 117:4	assessment 25:12
220:25 227:5	9:25,25 10:2,6,6	27:7 40:24 45:19	arrest 112:6 166:16	26:19 33:2 39:10
229:8 232:18	10:23,25 11:18,24	47:13 98:5 99:20	arrested 57:14	39:20 46:14
235:17 237:25	56:15,15 63:23,24	184:11 227:6	115:7 128:9	126:12 134:14,24
answered 65:14	64:2,4,4,7,8,25	applies 225:2	238:22 241:2	139:14,17 202:2
102:7 238:6	65:5,10,17,19	apply 38:2 46:23	arrests 29:17	235:8,16
answering 238:4	66:10,17 67:7,25	47:3 60:6 83:10	art 134:20,22	assign 200:4,16,17
answers 163:19	68:2,12,17 69:11	99:6 109:21,22,22	Ashley 6:5 85:11	201:10,23 235:4
250:6	72:15,22 89:7	121:16 136:21	85:24 113:24	assigned 147:20
antiquated 252:19	100:16,19,22	137:6 212:11	218:13	163:6 202:20,22
antisocial 26:6	101:9 102:11	224:11 252:20	Asia 82:18	235:2
32:10	163:7,10,18,21	appointed 261:15	aside 44:13 55:20	assigning 201:7
antisociality 29:16	164:9,23,24	appreciate 42:10	152:7 168:22	202:24
antisociology 17:11	165:11,20,22	199:6 263:4	asked 42:17 53:19	assigns 135:17
18:11	166:2,6,10,13,15	appreciated 49:2	57:20 58:3,17	212:22
anxious 106:25	167:2,6 168:10	50:18	65:16 76:3 84:13	assist 81:12
anybody 53:5 62:2	169:14,19 173:24	appreciation 120:6	84:17 89:5 97:14	assistance 239:17
65:18 77:21 83:23	175:4 176:7	apprentice 165:14	102:7 104:7	assistant 2:14 5:4
109:3 137:17	177:11 178:6,9,17	208:4	113:25 114:2,21	164:5,6
				· · · · · · ·
1	1	1	I	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

				1 490 201
associated 165:10	authority 10:21	214:3,9,20 216:16	bad 20:14 114:9	beat 55:16
168:18	123:3 124:14	216:19 217:6,12	baked 22:13	beating 78:17
Associates 27:24	200:3 215:10	220:17 221:6	ball 53:24 97:16	Beaver 80:16
Association 15:17	216:15 221:6,24	225:25 226:9	ballpark 96:23	becoming 255:6
16:2 27:24 36:3	222:7,10 223:11	243:6,10 257:11	102:24 104:17	bed 91:18 92:22
36:10 44:8 80:16	223:14	259:16 262:12	ban 192:23 193:3	260:10
150:20 162:24	authorization	bachelor 14:16	194:11,13	bedroom 128:21
assume 13:20 23:2	10:17 164:25	bachelors 162:16	bank 78:22	beer 115:19,20
37:24 165:17	authorizing 8:10	back 13:3 18:12	banks 124:15	116:5 218:12,14
assumed 48:6	autio 29:2	19:3 21:24 24:18	banned 211:22	beers 113:22
assuming 93:15	automatic 33:25	24:25 26:4 27:3	BAP 156:17 181:20	began 14:24 15:7
138:22 139:10	availability 158:9	38:10,21 48:23	262:22	52:11 54:9 175:24
174:24	available 31:23	49:2 50:17,25	bar 11:9 191:18	
assumptions 131:7	48:23 103:16	51:14 55:12 58:25	223:2 255:1	beginning 4:14 15:9 136:14
atmosphere 37:16	139:3 156:17	59:7 69:25 74:8	Barnes 99:25	182:24
37:17	157:17 170:16	76:18 78:6 92:6	100:10	behalf 4:23 44:3
attachments	174:14			
120:14	Avenue 2:8	95:23,23 105:16	barred 226:4	126:14 154:5
attempt 134:13,23		109:9 117:8,16	barrier 59:24	263:11
153:20 159:24	average 108:20 avid 81:2	118:10,22 128:20	barriers 107:8	behave 120:15
186:7 214:24		130:19 133:5	129:25	behavior 15:9 17:9
	avoid 146:19	135:13,15 136:15	bars 225:17	23:16 26:7 29:18
215:17	award 15:17	148:8 154:18	base 92:6,9,10	29:23 30:8 59:7
attempted 212:4	aware 44:2 57:18	160:17 178:19	128:16 192:23	59:20,22 106:2
attempting 214:17	64:6 78:3 94:4	183:23 184:6,6,20	193:4,6 194:9,14	144:18,20 145:5
attempts 218:23	108:22 127:24	186:15 194:8	211:23	146:12 148:12,25
258:7	130:23 131:3	210:8 212:9 221:3	based 8:3 25:25	151:3 159:3
attend 68:3	135:16,21 136:17	225:5 239:25	27:22 28:15 30:18	behavioral 15:8
attended 68:16	136:19 138:6	240:6 252:7 254:3	31:18,21 38:4,14	23:7,14
162:20	154:17 168:14,17	backed 89:15	47:20 50:3,4 66:5	behaviors 59:6
attention 26:11	213:23 215:24	background 14:15	104:20 120:23	105:19,25
93:17 97:15	221:14 222:11	24:13 52:4 62:13	121:19 132:12	believe 27:23 38:11
119:23 187:18	225:25 233:24	63:25 91:22 96:20	133:2 139:2	45:3 47:12 48:2
188:7,20 196:3	234:7,9 238:10,21	101:25 102:6,12	142:12 151:10	48:23 49:25 55:6
attorney 2:14 5:4	243:3,25 247:2,3	163:17 168:6	159:7 231:12	55:7 58:21 63:19
58:5 76:8 77:8,9	248:5,25	169:7,8,23 170:2	bases 176:6	65:16 67:12 68:20
95:19 97:11,11	awareness 49:12	170:3,5,7,9,12,15	basic 35:19	72:16 73:13 79:7
106:7 125:17	122:6,7	170:21 171:11	basically 22:19	89:22 114:8 118:6
144:10 239:18	awesome 88:20	172:19 173:5	28:10 59:20 84:22	118:10 131:15
attorneys 57:17	<u> </u>	177:9,11 205:6,16	97:24 104:13	138:16 156:2
auditor 177:2		205:17,19 206:10	222:22	172:18,21 174:11
auditor's 173:23	B 3:13 75:6	215:24 218:24	basing 174:25	175:18 183:13
175:3	B&Z 64:5 66:19	244:2 248:6 249:2	basis 29:21 44:20	186:8 193:24
August 177:15	68:2,13 74:12	249:5,7,8,13	93:10,14 137:5	196:10 203:23
Australia 82:18	168:19 178:18,19	257:12	180:4	213:10 218:12
authorities 63:17	184:3 210:7,9	backlogged 97:23	battle 110:6	221:4 223:4
	212:5,9 213:18,24			
	-	-	•	

1 age 200				
224.14.16.226.17	196.15 204.21	huidan 12.7 49.15	172.20.22.174.12	84:16 95:20 97:20
224:14,16 226:17	186:15 204:21	bridge 13:7 48:15	173:20,22 174:12	
229:12 231:11,14	210:9	115:4	174:18,25 175:5	98:2,2 100:21
231:17 236:22	Black's 241:25	brief 117:20,20	175:13 176:20,23	107:5 153:21
238:13 241:15	242:11,15	129:8 175:23	177:2,4,5 185:8	179:6,9 183:23
242:2 244:25	block 221:20	242:12 252:3	185:11,11 197:5	184:4,6,19 193:16
248:15 251:6	blow 114:25 115:6	briefing 118:2	208:15 215:22	202:2 243:6 247:7
believed 89:21	board 16:7,8	briefly 10:14 13:2,3	219:8,12 221:12	247:7 249:16
believes 11:15	body 46:22 106:24	15:23 130:24	226:22 228:14	257:12
believing 89:17	261:15	133:21 241:11	237:2,8,10,11,15	calling 118:22
belongings 206:21	book 241:25 242:2	bring 23:15 75:18	239:19 245:4,6,25	179:23
beneficial 156:21	boss 55:5	155:24 253:10	246:4,24 250:3	calls 51:17 132:8
best 16:21 17:11	bottle 112:16,17,23	bringing 151:20	251:7 253:19	132:21 179:8
61:6,15 64:7	113:3,6	246:21	257:4,19 258:23	193:9,12 231:6
78:10 87:5 89:4	bottom 43:10	broad-based 22:17	259:3 263:9	Canada 16:25
264:14	122:19 172:9	broke 107:7,8	businessman 89:4	candidates 120:23
better 7:25 71:12	bound 22:12	255:15	busting 53:13	candidly 130:2
71:14 74:4,9,10	boundaries 40:21	broker 247:4	busy 32:2	capable 207:3
76:6 78:12,18,20	boundaryless	brother 88:25	butt 71:18	capacities 15:24
123:23 134:15	145:5	brothers 87:25	buy 99:2 112:16	capacity 162:19
135:12 146:16	bowling 70:13	88:8	247:4	capital 14:13
168:19 179:20	114:2	brought 50:24	Bye 161:6	108:24
185:2 256:22	box 2:15 43:7 46:4	95:18 97:14 98:12	Bye-bye 50:22,23	car 20:13
beyond 181:18	195:12,14 238:5	119:23 149:17	Bye bye 50.22,25	card 83:12
249:21	253:7 257:9	151:19 155:20	C	cards 64:17
big 83:12 88:14	259:18	180:6 248:11	C 2:1 264:4,4	care 8:6 56:19,20
101:16,21 202:4	boxes 253:11	BS-ing 114:3	C-o-n-n-e-l-l 5:4	90:2 248:2
208:17 219:9		bucks 80:17,20,22	14:13	career 14:24 81:4
	boxing 5:21	· · ·	cabernet 112:16	87:2 89:19 109:10
bike 83:3	boy 23:24 29:4	build 146:19	cabor net 112:10 cahoots 116:7	
bill 75:6 121:6,7,11	143:5	built-in 33:25 34:8	calculated 7:13	221:11 252:16
122:20	branched 103:4	bullet 43:17 46:7	230:24	255:3 258:5,8,14
billboard 83:12	branches 82:23	46:13 47:6	calculation 115:10	careless 54:5
Billy 103:16 167:16	· ·	bunch 152:8	call 16:21 48:22	cares 79:19
183:22 184:6,11	64:14,15	burglary 60:13		carrier 1:10 128:12
184:19 214:20	Brandy 99:23,25	222:2	49:2 50:17 51:5	carrier's 197:5
Billy's 184:2	99:25 100:9,10	buried 176:9	65:9,12 84:21	carriers 162:13
binding 123:2	bravely 196:18	burned 98:23	97:8,25 110:5	206:18
216:10	break 40:21 42:2	burner 109:9	113:23 114:10	case 5:15 8:12 10:7
birthday 111:24	51:11 59:23 117:5	business 9:23 64:17	161:12 175:2	11:15 24:7 31:14
bit 13:10 30:20	129:25 133:14	69:13,15 74:13	178:20,24 179:14	31:17 32:17 34:25
50:24 52:4 59:9	239:24,25	78:7,15,19 88:22	180:13 183:23	40:24 50:2 51:5
82:4 83:2 85:6,17	breakfast 61:11	89:7,11,12,14,24	184:6 229:25	63:24 84:25
109:15 110:25	86:7	108:8 162:10,12	235:20,24 237:17	117:11,24 126:4
111:12 114:3	breaks 205:20	165:9,10 166:5,22	248:13	153:22 155:6
115:22 145:18	breathalyzer	167:9 169:11,15	called 28:9 65:23	161:8 163:21
156:21 178:20	114:25	169:17 173:6,11	68:13 74:6 80:15	210:3 215:16
			82:20 83:5 84:15	
	1	I .	I	I

Page 26	;9
---------	----

				1 age 203
217:4,6 224:12	78:18 116:2	220:6 233:18	claim 29:6 166:20	194:20 229:16
226:18 246:5	204:21 235:15	children 6:6 58:24	claimed 246:12	coaching 79:18
257:20,23 259:16	changed 35:17	79:25 98:21 100:4	claims 82:11	81:6,6,14,17
259:18	37:17 98:6,9 99:7	106:23 111:14	clarification 48:22	Code 10:19 12:4
cases 137:9 206:25	222:16 235:10	112:9 124:14		
			65:7 72:17 105:8	200:10 216:5
caught 54:10 107:2	changes 61:10	130:16 131:11,13	113:10 116:13	coercive 17:9
cause 127:12 187:7	changing 224:9	131:16,21 132:2	142:11 144:7	cognition 23:12
195:19	chapter 16:4,5,6	138:8 139:22	149:12 160:25	cognitive 23:7
caused 39:3 146:10	characteristics	145:2 149:4,5	182:9	59:19,20
causes 144:21	138:4	194:16,19 203:23	clarify 32:14 46:25	collapse 16:22
causing 29:6	characterization	204:8,14,16,18	78:16 138:5 141:2	collapsed 17:2
CDL 184:17,19	134:3	206:3 211:2,8	216:12	collapsing 22:17
185:3,4	characterized	212:2 233:4,8,17	class 62:8 63:17	collateral 24:22
cease 214:9,10	217:17	233:18 240:23	classes 61:2 107:12	33:5 125:24 126:6
cell 114:13,16	charge 29:2 74:23	260:18	classification 7:25	126:8 147:12,14
center 41:13	238:10,16	children's 81:24	27:15,17,21 44:21	collect 252:3
central 87:13	charged 111:17	82:12 86:18	136:13 202:3	college 14:15 61:16
CEO 165:6 207:13	129:7 195:10	choice 69:17	classified 144:13	come 18:21 25:21
certain 124:12	238:22	choose 110:6,8	classify 7:20 43:18	27:25 34:3 36:21
131:7 146:7 158:8	charges 57:12	chose 236:8	clear 49:9 75:23	43:14 44:9 83:16
197:3 228:23	177:13	chosen 122:10	84:9 94:22 122:25	86:13 98:8 117:8
250:15	check 95:21 102:6	252:16 255:3,7	126:11 172:24	139:8 155:14
certainly 18:23	102:12 163:17	258:5	197:7 249:11	156:2 161:15
79:5 125:18 176:8	169:8,24 170:4,7	Christopher 2:6	258:22 259:8	178:19 210:8
196:24 231:25	170:10,13,21	4:17,22	clearly 13:15 78:7	212:9 214:13
232:2	171:11 172:20	circle 38:10	84:19 130:4	239:25 254:3
certificate 119:20	173:5 177:9,11	circumstances	click 12:24	256:4,14
121:13 127:12	185:17 205:6	105:25 111:2	client 31:5 159:22	comes 94:19 98:10
187:7 195:19	238:5 244:2	132:22 140:25	clinics 21:13	121:2 197:9
certificates 6:12	249:13 257:12	254:23	clip 164:2	comfortable 208:21
107:15,16 109:8	259:17 263:3	citation 172:14	clocks 58:25	coming 6:17 18:6
256:23	checked 185:4	222:4 238:12,13	close 97:3 211:9	20:17 23:20 73:13
Certified 264:9	195:12,13 257:9	· · · · ·	closed 75:20 78:8	
		238:18,23 239:4 257:23		120:9
certify 264:11 cetera 222:3,4,4	checks 20:14 101:25 170:2	citations 257:22	78:15 128:25	comment 127:18
, ,			closer 132:16	232:13
chained 58:14	205:16,17 248:6	cite 241:12,18,20	220:20	commercial 52:17
challenged 133:13	249:2,5,7,8	242:8,11	closing 117:23	227:23
chance 24:8 26:21	Chiefs 27:25 36:4	cited 173:10 216:20	251:23 252:9	commercials
42:19 45:8 61:15	36:11 44:9	239:8 241:16	262:24	109:13
70:20 73:24 87:5	child 8:6 21:9	259:7	clothes 86:7,9	commission 1:2
87:21 89:5 108:12	40:19 59:25 62:14	cities 35:6	clouding 46:20	2:12 4:9 5:6 7:19
132:24 143:17	79:19 100:18	citizen 167:17	co-worker 128:14	10:5,22 11:4,11
157:7 183:19	130:9 131:25	City 120:18	co-workers 147:17	11:23 12:2 55:23
change 10:13 37:9	186:19 195:8	civil 23:22 150:10	coach 8:5 62:25	65:20,24 66:5,15
37:10 44:7 62:4	211:20 219:20	150:13	80:2,7,7,8,24 81:9	66:15 77:7 95:10
	I	l	•	I

Tage 210	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
96:4,17 158:9	6:15 49:20 60:25	company's 227:21	conclusion 8:2,14	213:25
162:6 163:21	109:12 256:25	compare 138:3	31:19 32:23,25	connection 187:9
168:17 170:14	communications	compelling 17:4	38:14 43:23 44:2	188:14 189:4
178:15 200:8	8:22 41:3 76:25	complete 53:2	46:10,16 47:21	233:2
204:12 206:5,12	164:6	163:18 184:25	75:3 138:23 208:6	connections 31:25
209:4,8,13,19,25	community 17:16	203:16 205:7	233:11	124:7
210:5 211:24	17:22 18:12 24:24	208:21 227:11	conclusions 19:17	conscious 111:9
214:19,21 215:23	25:22 26:9 27:21	259:14	25:19,21 26:22	consciousness
216:2 218:17,24	27:22 31:7,25	completed 15:10	141:5 256:5	22:14
221:22,23 222:6	33:7 34:23 37:17	21:6 153:12 177:9	condition 20:24	conseal 257:15
227:22 236:9	61:16 115:9	245:22 256:25	69:12 159:6	consensus 37:15
237:18 239:9	120:14 124:7	completely 56:7	conditions 107:23	consent 154:6
241:9,17 242:6	140:4,6 147:24	116:11 159:21	131:4,10 150:13	consider 16:18
258:23 259:24	167:19 206:22	230:3	150:22 203:12,13	122:24 227:9
260:13 261:16	208:12	complex 117:25	218:16	considerable 25:23
Commission's	community-based	153:22 154:9	condone 88:2	64:14,19
209:11 225:7,16	130:25	compliance 55:23	conduct 11:11	considerably 39:15
225:23	companies 28:23	209:3 239:12	33:12 101:25	consideration 7:22
commit 145:11	69:22 101:16,21	complications	141:4 144:14	30:24 40:4,11,13
199:2,4,8	101:24 102:14,20	131:17	169:23 177:10	40:23 46:8 47:24
commitment 23:22	102:25 103:13	comprehensive	228:15 245:14	119:2 174:2
150:10,13 256:20	104:8 162:11	171:9 173:15	254:5,6 256:3	229:18 230:16
committed 19:22	168:20,23 169:8	244:4 245:3	conducted 102:5	considerations
20:10 22:11 35:13	208:23 253:16	conceal 249:17	163:17 169:25	28:9 29:23 30:22
120:7,16 124:10	company 10:4,12	concern 166:11	181:23	39:18,19 40:6
147:3 192:9	11:6,14 53:22	167:21,23 173:19	conducting 148:5	46:19
222:25 223:10	54:23 55:18,19	173:21 178:11,13	228:16 243:3	considered 22:2
233:21,24 234:16	64:5 66:18 68:13	203:25 204:12	conducts 248:5	31:4 123:5 142:3
253:1	68:16 71:12,14	210:3 211:14	249:2	174:5
committee 23:18	74:13,14 75:9,19	217:16 229:4	conference 13:7	considering 11:11
25:9,15 33:17,20	89:8 90:5 103:5	260:18	confess 21:2	19:8,14 183:18
34:9,16 38:21	163:16 165:6,8	concerned 10:1	confirm 33:6	250:6 259:10
99:19 133:22	167:24 196:13	131:24 185:10	confirmed 173:22	consist 139:5
135:17 136:4,10	205:2,4,15 206:7	228:16	229:25 235:23	consistent 43:24
137:3 200:3,15	206:13,14,16,20	concerning 169:3,4	confirming 148:23	46:11 140:2
201:7,18,25 202:8	207:10,13,15,24	212:3	214:6	259:20
202:20,22 212:22	209:3,18 210:18	concerns 30:2 38:5	conform 163:14	constitutes 114:17
235:3 261:14	213:22 214:4,8,18	80:3 166:12,20	205:4	construct 146:2
committing 18:8	215:3 217:19,23	210:23	confused 45:20	construction 52:10
20:15 255:15	217:24 219:4,9,9	conclude 161:8	65:5 71:19 72:10	52:15 69:23
common 54:13	221:19 223:16	254:14	73:17 77:3 105:17	100:12 102:18
communicate 61:4	224:4,23 225:22	concluded 38:12	145:19	103:22 221:11
communicating	227:23 228:6	263:21	confusion 73:13	consultation 24:10
21:3 56:3,4	243:3,13 254:9	concludes 149:11	conjunction 228:5	125:17
communication	260:15 262:10	concluding 229:18	connected 187:25	consulted 97:10
	•	•	•	•

consulting 239:18	continue 43:15	convictions 29:17	Correction 60:21	county 6:25 7:1 8:4
consumed 32:20	51:4,24 68:25	37:18 70:5,7	correctional 15:2	31:8 35:8 57:15
consumer 164:5	73:10 176:17	140:15 177:14	16:25 153:22	79:2,15 80:4
consumption 70:19	192:10 240:7	178:8 212:7	154:9	84:14,16 93:18
CONT 118:1 119:1	253:24 258:22	222:12,13,18,19	Corrections 23:4	95:14,17 97:6
120:1 121:1 122:1	continued 69:21,21	224:5 252:11	24:4 107:24	121:17 126:2
123:1	108:19,19,19,20	convincing 56:16	110:11 153:17	137:4,4 154:10
contact 62:19,22	109:7 233:14	cook 65:3 86:16	158:17 202:7	173:23 175:2
76:6 80:3 83:15	continuing 15:12	88:22,24,25,25	correctly 147:8	176:25 187:6,22
83:15 109:24,25	113:7 118:20	165:7	205:19	188:11,25 189:23
110:16 111:13	162:25	cooperated 115:17	corresponded 88:7	194:17 198:4
131:10,20 146:18	contribute 204:10	copies 12:14 151:17	correspondence	210:21 213:5
153:5,7 203:15	252:13	153:11	75:976:10,15,19	229:12,13,22,25
207:16 211:16	control 131:21	copy 41:8,19 79:14	78:5 83:20	230:4 235:3
233:16 260:5	261:12	93:21,23 119:16	corroborate 148:12	261:25 264:7
contacted 74:14	controlled 203:17	127:3 151:17	corroborated 159:9	County's 157:10
76:22 77:7,9	conversation	158:4 181:25	Corvette 29:4	couple 20:22 31:8
129:6 176:25	236:12	182:2	cost 75:5	32:6 35:22 36:20
192:25 202:7	conversations	cord 241:4	Costco 112:15	36:20 41:2 52:25
contacting 239:17	89:23 139:9	core 133:13	couch 53:12	65:6 80:17,20
contacts 24:22 33:5	convict 30:23	corporation 185:17	counsel 49:21	83:8 90:8 94:24
147:12,14	convicted 11:2 18:7	correct 25:17 26:21	76:22 104:7	101:15 105:8
contain 150:23	20:10 131:25	45:6 47:11,18	139:21 143:19	117:22 118:4
151:12 165:25	137:18 140:17	57:10 62:17 67:8	151:24 152:16	120:20 125:9
166:4 171:20	148:10 222:2,8	67:10 69:16 70:3	154:20 156:16	133:24 142:10
187:15 190:11,14	225:17 237:22	71:21 77:6,10,11	159:21 160:12	144:6 148:21
190:17 195:22	238:2,11	79:8 93:11,13	161:7 174:8,24	149:19 172:21
contained 10:18	conviction 6:21,24	97:7,7,8 99:22	226:10 254:4,24	213:17 254:3
172:14,20 173:5	9:12 10:8 11:8	100:5 113:17	255:8,10 257:7	262:2
174:23	57:6 65:22 66:12	118:8 126:18,25	258:21 263:3	courage 255:23,23
containers 228:5	70:2 81:15 100:16	127:2,6 129:12	counsel's 68:21	256:7
containing 175:20	100:18 121:16	135:4,6 138:19,19	148:9	course 62:10 74:17
contains 10:23	127:5,9,12 139:23	141:15,20 147:10	counseling 14:19	111:11 236:11
166:5 171:10	169:7 172:14	156:12 163:22,23	15:11 203:18	coursework 21:10
contemplates 224:5	177:15 186:17,18	167:19,20 177:25	counsels 142:13	court 13:15 105:16
content 76:15	187:10 188:2,15	178:4 190:15	count 66:4	122:24 127:3
contents 209:12	189:5 192:18	194:10 199:20,21	counter 110:4	151:21 187:6,22
contesting 57:12	194:12,22 195:20	202:18,19 207:4	counterproductive	188:12 189:2
context 142:17	207:9 210:15	216:17,20 217:5	122:11	238:14 241:9
182:24 236:12	219:20 223:22,23	227:14 231:10	counties 35:5	242:5 261:5,5
253:15	224:3,12 227:2	233:5,21 234:18	147:22	courtroom 58:14
continuance 49:23	238:8,17 241:6	236:13 238:7	country 82:17,24	231:21 234:15
49:25	243:24,25 244:7	240:15 248:6	counts 62:14	247:18
continuation	254:25 255:4,5	251:13,16,17,22	186:19 195:9	cousin 111:24
117:18	259:10,25 262:7	correcting 152:16	219:20	cousins 112:3
		I		

	······································			
cover 65:10 158:25	144:19,25 150:20	213:13 217:3	Dave 164:6 184:10	210:10
covered 186:9	151:12	219:22 220:5	day 55:5 58:12	declaration 118:3
crash 92:8	Crocker 165:11	222:17 225:7,17	59:17 60:6 61:11	defendant 187:23
create 131:17	167:8,23	242:15 259:2	62:9 63:21 79:19	195:15,16
created 25:10	CROP 121:15,17	currently 6:24 8:16	86:14 92:3 103:18	Defense 192:25
42:17	123:10	48:9 78:12 87:9	108:15,21 114:5	deficiencies 11:18
creates 11:9	cross 3:3 90:1,15	99:9 103:21	264:17	definitely 61:19
credibility 208:13	91:1 92:1 93:1	110:17 225:23	daycare 81:20,21	90:5
credit 247:5,13,14	94:1 95:1 96:1	253:6	81:22 86:3 109:21	definition 129:22
247:24	97:1 98:1 99:1	cursory 254:19	daycares 79:25	151:13 160:21
Creek 80:16	100:1 101:1 102:1	custody 17:16	194:19	198:5 232:7
crew 55:6	103:1 124:1,21,24	customer 53:23	days 49:14,19	237:15 241:18
crime 10:10 11:2,5	125:1 126:1 127:1	205:25 206:11,14	52:19 53:15 59:17	242:5,8,10,14
11:12,20 19:23	128:1 129:1 130:1	208:16,21,25	82:14 83:8 103:18	definitive 32:25
20:2,2 26:4 62:13	131:1 132:1 133:1	208:10,21,25	103:19,20,21	126:12,19 139:17
,	134:1 135:1 136:1	customers 8:23	118:4 125:9	261:3
106:13 129:11,19 129:21 138:6	134:1155:1150:1	56:3,3 205:13	156:24 177:21,22	DeFrang 115:15
145:11 187:16	176:13 220:1,11	210:25 217:24	178:2 247:11,12	degree 162:16
190:12 191:4,20	220:14 221:1	cut 74:23 112:13	263:8,9	186:20 195:9
190:12 191:4,20	220:14 221:1 222:1 223:1 224:1	113:25 117:2	dead 78:17	219:21
· · · ·			deadline 262:22	
199:3,5,8 222:2,9	225:1 226:1,15	119:16,18		degrees 60:24 107:14
222:23,24 223:9	227:1 228:1 229:1	cycle 88:16 105:23	263:7	delicate 255:24
224:21,23,25	230:1 231:1 232:1	D	deal 12:19	
227:9 237:22	233:1 234:1 235:1	$\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{3:1,13}$	dealing 226:14	delinquency 26:5
238:12 255:8,9	236:1 237:1 238:1	dad 87:8,24 88:2,6	dealt 189:19	delinquents 26:6
256:14,16 259:22	239:1	damage 199:6	debate 71:4	Delivery 101:22
259:22	cross-examination	Damien 6:6 85:20	debt 99:5 246:18	102:3,23
crimes 58:15 192:8	48:20 50:8 156:7	85:22	decade 5:17 52:9	demonstrated 6:1
223:9 225:18	250:3	dangerous 22:3	101:12 253:15	260:22 262:8
237:23 254:7	cross-examine	Danny 55:14	deceive 64:8	demonstrating
255:15	87:21 226:12	dare 164:2	December 41:23	153:12
criminal 6:9,21,23	CSR 1:25 264:22	Darryl 92:7	42:22 100:3	denial 9:16 68:19
9:21 26:7 29:18	cultural 84:2,4		179:14 183:22	122:9 123:24
60:17 120:23	cumulative 191:15	data 29:8,15 database 29:3 94:5	decide 28:24,25	133:15 178:12
121:2,20 140:15	191:21 196:16		57:11 107:18	219:16,18 228:8
154:15 162:16	Cunningham 99:24	date 18:17 41:21	110:5 248:22	228:19 250:20
171:6,7 172:14	99:25 100:10	55:24 96:23 97:2	decided 52:10	denied 11:1 118:5
177:7,10 178:15	cure 145:24,25	102:16 103:6	78:18 221:10	176:7 184:13
220:3 221:17,19	146:24 147:2	158:8 242:15	deciding 210:12	214:23 217:13
222:21 225:3	cured 146:13	dated 41:22 42:22	decision 10:15 30:9	227:6 251:4
235:13 238:10,16	curious 111:21	73:19 183:22	38:25 61:22 121:3	denies 262:14
249:7 255:11	current 16:8 31:20	dates 102:24	145:11 196:25	deny 6:17,20 10:15
criminals 225:17	35:16 68:19 85:7	103:12	211:17	10:23 11:22 12:1
256:15	134:6 159:6	daughter 63:11	decisions 61:21	67:7,25 71:9,23
criteria 6:19	178:17 212:7	85:24 86:8	111:9,10,12	72:22 90:18 96:8
		daughters 92:20		
1	•	•	•	-

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

		1		
96:10,19 117:21	192:4 195:22	81:11 82:24 95:11	123:1 161:1,21	disclosed 10:3
121:18 126:4	196:9,19 197:8	106:4 107:14	162:1 163:1 164:1	108:12 169:19
163:21 174:6	detective 31:14	110:9 112:2	165:1 166:1 167:1	174:13 175:21
215:9 216:13	38:17,18 39:7	147:16,22 150:21	168:1 169:1 170:1	176:8 178:16
217:3 223:11,14	94:18 95:20 98:10	198:11 218:10	171:1 172:1 173:1	236:12 237:12
244:8 251:9	99:8,9	differentiation	173:13 174:1	248:19 259:9
denying 133:12	determination	222:18 223:20	175:1,11 176:1,14	261:6
216:14 221:5	126:14 159:19	differently 106:8	177:1 178:1 179:1	disclosing 140:24
department 19:5,7	196:10 213:3	224:6	179:22 180:1	169:14 178:15
23:3 24:4 31:9	224:12,20 234:21	difficult 58:8	181:1 182:1 183:1	259:25
38:20 60:20	determine 209:14	130:11 256:17	184:1 185:1 186:1	disclosure 106:6,7
107:24 110:10	223:14 231:7	difficulties 13:7	187:1,18 188:1,7	108:2 109:2
121:18 153:5,17	261:17	digging 144:4	188:20 189:1	175:25 176:5
154:10 158:17	determined 7:18	diminishes 30:13	190:1 191:1 192:1	178:11 180:8
192:25 202:7	19:11 22:12 25:6	dinner 61:12 70:22	193:1 194:1 195:1	disclosures 9:24
departments	39:11 43:18	86:17,21 112:14	196:1 197:1 198:1	88:15
147:22	199:15 214:16,20	direct 3:3 14:1,5	199:1 200:1 201:1	discover 173:6,8
depended 185:13	determines 11:4	15:1 16:1 17:1	202:1 203:1 204:1	177:20
dependent 33:24	determining 16:18	18:1 19:1 20:1	205:1 206:1 207:1	discovered 173:9
depending 222:23	230:13	21:1 22:1 23:1	208:1 209:1 210:1	177:21 214:3
depends 97:23	develop 211:12	24:1 25:1 26:1	211:1 212:1 213:1	discrepancy 84:20
209:13	developed 29:3	27:1 28:1 29:1	214:1 215:1 216:1	discretion 254:21
depict 190:6 198:17	135:25 211:7	30:1 31:1 32:1	217:1 218:1 219:1	discuss 160:13
derived 36:4	development	33:1 34:1 35:1	245:14	197:3 228:9
described 32:6,19	135:24 253:21	36:1 37:1 38:1	direction 7:15	248:19 255:25
176:21 231:6	develops 211:18	39:1 40:1 41:1	directly 226:16	discussed 181:8
describes 168:4	deviant 15:15 17:7	42:1 43:1 44:1	250:17,25	192:6 208:17
description 230:15	17:10 18:11 29:18	45:1 46:1 47:1	director 164:5,6	215:6 239:11
deserves 252:15	32:9 148:24	48:1,20 49:1 50:1	165:11 167:9	245:2
designated 71:3	devised 35:24	51:1,25 52:1 53:1	208:2	discussion 129:9
desire 207:5	DeVito 55:14	54:1 55:1 56:1	dirty 24:12	160:16 221:18
desist 214:9	devote 26:10	57:1 58:1 59:1	disagree 174:22	disease 155:8
desistance 26:4	diagnosing 150:21	60:1 61:1 62:1	180:10	disinhibiting 145:5
120:12 124:8	diagnosis 152:4,10	63:1 64:1 65:1	discern 15:14	dismissed 161:3
despicable 10:10	155:8,16	66:1 67:1 68:1	discharge 192:20	249:25 251:21
255:9,9,11,17	diagnostic 150:16	69:1 70:1 71:1	211:22	disorder 144:13,14
260:1	158:21 159:5,13	72:1 73:1 74:1	disclose 108:6	144:16 149:18
despite 225:25	160:21 201:17	75:1 76:1 77:1	166:15,22 173:24	150:12,24 151:11
detail 38:19 192:5	Dictionary 242:12	78:1 79:1 80:1	175:23 178:5,8	151:14 159:14
197:6 235:13	242:16	81:1 82:1 83:1	179:16,19 212:7	disorders 151:2
detailing 44:20	difference 28:19	84:1 85:1 86:1	215:19,19,21	disqualifies 221:19
details 79:3 110:23	39:3 145:21	87:1 88:1 89:1	217:20 220:3	disqualifying
126:3 128:23	222:11 223:21	93:17 109:24	236:3,4,6,8	221:17
129:11 187:15	different 55:5,10	118:1,11,20 119:1	251:15 259:3,4,13	dissertation 15:13
190:14 191:20,24	55:15 69:22 81:10	120:1 121:1 122:1	260:22	15:16
L				

				<u> </u>
distortions 107:6	185:10	258:9 259:21	due 84:22 99:10	either 103:13
distribute 151:18	door 128:25	260:24 262:25	102:18 115:25	115:12 116:6
dive 27:8	doorway 53:13	draw 19:17 196:3	174:21	148:23 158:8
diversity 29:17	dot 4:19	drill 18:15	DUI 166:17	175:11 193:4
diving 83:4	downloaded	drink 70:21 112:11	duly 13:25 51:22	223:8
Division 2:14	127:17	112:12,18 146:9	118:18 161:18	elements 23:8
DOC 135:25	Dr 12:25 13:4,5,11	146:21 218:13	245:17	24:14 142:24
154:16	13:17,22 14:1	drinking 114:22	duties 162:9,12	elicited 193:14
docket 1:6 67:15	15:1 16:1 17:1	218:7,9,12		eligible 192:22
216:15,19 217:3	18:1 19:1 20:1	drinks 70:14 71:2	<u> </u>	227:4
226:9	21:1 22:1 23:1	116:6 218:13	E 1:15 2:1,1,3 3:1,1	embedded 136:12
doctor 153:24	24:1 25:1 26:1	drive 1:21 70:16	3:13,13 264:4,4	141:14
155:21 157:8	27:1 28:1 29:1	116:5 128:20	e-mail 75:17	embezzle 124:15
159:18 160:3,13	30:1 31:1 32:1	drive-by 98:23	182:22	empathy 63:15
document 42:3,6	33:1 34:1 35:1	driven 144:18	e-mailed 214:6	emperically 28:15
42:20 43:7 45:9	36:1 37:1 38:1	driver 71:3 165:13	247:10	30:18 36:4
47:25 67:23,24	39:1 40:1 41:1	166:17	e-mails 75:16 76:10	emperically-based
71:6,8,11 72:5	42:1,13 43:1 44:1	drivers 115:25	76:15,19 77:18	136:15
73:25 74:4 79:13	45:1 46:1 47:1	drives 70:24	78:5 243:12	empirical 29:20
79:14 94:8 164:3	48:1,12 49:1,7,13	driving 29:4,7 66:3	earlier 17:21 27:19	35:14 134:13
164:11 165:19	49:18 50:1,4,17	70:2,6,10,17,25	43:25 46:12,12,18	employed 120:8
171:4,18 174:4,17	99:17 116:25	92:11 100:15	92:20 120:12	225:22 253:16
176:2 179:8	117:5,6,9,18	113:13 114:17	133:25 134:10	employee 11:1
181:17 187:5,15	118:1,6,23 119:1	115:7,9 145:7	141:24 142:2	222:7
187:21 188:10	120:1 121:1 122:1	148:24 166:17,18	207:25	employees 8:20
189:22 190:11	122:7,23 123:1,17	177:16,17 179:16	early 19:10 21:4	53:24 56:4 90:7
195:22 198:9	124:1,17 125:1,2	184:24 215:20,21	37:11,11,12 54:12	101:25 165:12
205:19 215:8	126:1 127:1 128:1	235:25 243:24,25	57:13 117:8	169:9 205:17,18
230:18	129:1 130:1 131:1	244:7 248:13	earn 10:16 252:12	205:20
documentation	132:1,10 133:1,2	249:17 257:14	earned 60:23	employers 120:22
179:7,9	133:8 134:1 135:1	259:5	eat 70:23	employment 79:24
documents 12:3,10	135:16 136:1,20	drop-off 19:2,11,12	economy 252:14	107:19 108:10,13
127:3,21 153:19	137:1,11 138:1,16	104:15	edge 92:22	109:7 124:4
156:11,17 205:22	139:1 140:1 141:1	drops 17:24 18:23	edition 150:17	enacted 121:24
230:12,19 241:4	142:1,9 143:1	18:25 70:12	educated 61:8,15	223:19
261:5,6	144:1 145:1 146:1	drug 184:18	education 6:11	encompass 246:2
doing 23:10 32:2	147:1 148:1 149:1	drugs 128:17	14:22 162:14,25	ended 131:12
33:4,5 42:25 49:3	150:1,2,14 151:1	drunk 32:4 111:8	educational 14:15	203:20
52:14,14 54:9,14	151:21 152:1	115:25	effect 36:18 194:11	ends 113:20 251:22
55:10 56:2,8,11	153:1 154:1 155:1	drydock 128:13	233:11	energy 26:10
56:13 57:2 69:21	156:1,7 157:1,2,7	DSM 149:18	effects 36:21,22	enforcement 31:12
69:22 86:8 106:24	158:1,13,19 159:1	150:15,16	145:6	33:22 34:13 35:2
120:17 133:10,11	160:1,5,10 161:2	DSM-V 150:2,15	effort 64:20 77:16	35:12 136:24
134:13,15,19	231:22 234:16	151:5,12,17	efforts 77:22	147:21 153:24
140:20 157:4	252:18 256:2	ducks 64:21	eight 101:11 141:3	212:24 213:4
			158:14	
	•	•	•	-

æ

Page 275

F • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1 age 215
235:4	evaluating 66:16	exam 124:21	137:1 138:1,14	excited 56:23
engaged 146:12	147:16	examination 14:1,5	139:1 140:1 141:1	excused 116:17
147:3	evaluation 25:18	15:1 16:1 17:1	149:1,24 150:1	244:18
engaging 159:2	31:18 33:2,14	18:1 19:1 20:1	151:1 152:1 153:1	excuses 23:14
enhance 90:4	38:10 125:24	21:1 22:1 23:1	154:1 155:1,14	129:2
enmeshed 256:14	126:9,17,20,22	24:1 25:1 26:1	156:1 157:1 158:1	exempt 222:22
ensure 63:21	127:20,22 129:15	27:1 28:1 29:1	159:1 160:1 161:1	exercise 254:21
239:12	129:17,24 148:5	30:1 31:1 32:1	161:21 162:1	exhibit 11:24,25
ensured 63:21	151:5 152:20	33:1 34:1 35:1	163:1 164:1 165:1	12:1,18 41:6,7,18
ensuring 209:3	155:23 157:3	36:1 37:1 38:1	166:1 167:1 168:1	
entail 147:13	158:14,20 160:7	39:1 40:1 41:1		41:18 44:12,23
entered 57:8 182:8	163:12 197:9		169:1 170:1 171:1	45:3,4 66:24,25
		42:1 43:1 44:1	172:1 173:1 174:1	67:3,12,15 71:5
entering 75:25	201:2 204:11	45:1 46:1 47:1	175:1 176:1,14	72:12 119:16,18
192:23 193:4	235:6 256:4 261:2	48:1 49:1 50:1	177:1 178:1 179:1	119:19 121:5,5
enthusiasm 56:22	261:4	51:1,25 52:1 53:1	180:1 181:1 182:1	123:15 151:24,25
entire 182:21	evaluations 33:12	54:1 55:1 56:1	183:1 184:1 185:1	164:16,20 165:16
195:16 197:11	126:6 150:3 151:8	57:1 58:1 59:1	186:1 187:1 188:1	165:18 171:2
entirely 33:24	evening 91:20,22	60:1 61:1 62:1	189:1 190:1 191:1	172:8 173:14
192:6 259:19	260:9	63:1 64:1 65:1	192:1 193:1 194:1	174:2,20 175:20
entirety 182:11	event 29:12 196:17	66:1 67:1 68:1	195:1 196:1 197:1	176:9 180:4,9,20
241:3	257:6	69:1 70:1 71:1	198:1 199:1 200:1	180:21,21 181:7
entity 34:15 193:15	events 29:11 134:9	72:1 73:1 74:1	201:1 202:1 203:1	182:8,12 186:24
equal 22:20 37:7	238:24,25	75:1 76:1 77:1	204:1 205:1 206:1	187:19 188:8,22
equate 142:15	eventually 54:16	78:1 79:1 80:1	207:1 208:1 209:1	189:18 190:25
equipment 8:21	60:16 109:6	81:1 82:1 83:1	210:1 211:1 212:1	194:25 195:18
55:25	Evergreen 1:21	84:1 85:1 86:1	213:1 214:1 215:1	196:15 198:7
erroneous 8:3	everybody 5:8	87:1 88:1 89:1	216:1 217:1 218:1	215:5 236:20,21
10:24	57:16	90:1,15 91:1 92:1	219:1 220:1,14	240:18
especially 63:8	evidence 3:16,17	93:1 94:1 95:1	221:1 222:1 223:1	exhibits 11:21
103:9 259:10	3:18,19 5:19 7:7,9	96:1 97:1 98:1	224:1 225:1 226:1	12:11,18,19 41:3
ESRC 7:19 34:16	9:9,19 10:7,12	99:1 100:1 101:1	227:1 228:1 229:1	72:18,20 73:6
43:18	11:16 12:15 36:21	102:1 103:1 104:1	230:1 231:1,7	119:13,15 152:9
essentially 8:25	37:6 44:23 154:25	104:5,23 105:1	232:1 233:1 234:1	181:22 189:11,12
18:6 19:21 33:9	155:2,6,7 159:16	106:1 107:1 108:1	235:1 236:1 237:1	189:14 190:17
37:14 121:18	164:21 181:10	109:1 110:1 111:1	238:1 239:1 240:1	216:21
147:23 228:22	182:13 189:15	112:1 113:1 114:1	240:20 241:1	existed 74:7 157:9
establish 260:14	190:25 240:19	115:1 116:1 117:1	245:1,19 246:1	180:6
established 133:23	248:12,20	117:18 118:1,12	247:1 248:1 249:1	exists 75:19
133:23 261:13,15	evolving 61:14	118:20 119:1	250:1,8 251:1	expect 116:23
establishing 19:4	ex-wife 246:8	120:1 121:1 122:1	examined 175:10	151:18 155:14
124:6 208:12	exact 102:16	123:1 124:1,24	226:15,16	expectations
estimates 56:2	115:10	125:1 126:1 127:1	examining 118:11	209:11
et 222:3,3,4	exactly 100:7 128:3	128:1 129:1 130:1	example 6:8 34:19	expected 205:9
ethical 38:5	137:22 197:10	131:1 132:1 133:1	66:6 252:24	209:7,9
evaluate 24:7,8	255:10 258:5	134:1 135:1 136:1	exchange 84:2,4	expects 211:24
	200110 20010	15 111 15511 15011		~~p~~~~~
	l (I	l

*

Page 276

Faye 270		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
experience 14:23	extremely 255:24	familiar 23:19	field 15:4,20 20:20	fingertips 143:6
15:20 20:20 52:5	255:24 261:3	42:13 47:2 64:3	21:12 28:19	finish 51:15 117:9
52:7 101:10	255.24 201.5	67:21 71:6,15	114:23 122:8	finished 48:19
129:18 130:5,10	F	93:25 119:20	150:8	finishing 118:11
130:15 132:5,12	F 264:4	120:3 136:6	fields 107:19	first 16:5 17:25
130:13 132:3,12	face 28:10 31:4	199:14 213:20	fifth 150:17	18:22,23,24 21:13
140:14 162:14,17	148:11 256:17	227:13,16 259:1	fighting 60:15	21:13 27:21 43:6
	Facebook 218:3,8	259:15	figure 85:4	46:6 57:13 72:20
166:21 168:8,10 168:13 259:20	facilitated 60:24	families 84:6 212:2	figured 61:6,14	84:16 92:21 95:25
	facilitator 6:13	256:20 260:18	file 113:19 117:20	96:24 100:9 103:3
260:20	256:24		153:20 154:15	107:21,22 108:13
experienced 53:18	fact 8:16 19:3 21:6	family 26:2,9 32:7 33:8 56:19 83:7		107.21,22 108.13
56:12 59:22	25:22 30:17 34:12		157:9 247:14,21 filed 175:20 246:14	108.23 120.24
140:16 199:17	35:22 39:4 58:2	83:14 86:23 87:7		138:25 154:14
experiences 82:7	75:15 90:10	87:16 88:10 89:21	246:19 251:12	
133:2	113:17 125:12	106:11 140:9	files 157:25	170:3,5,22 171:11 171:18 172:4,6
expert 132:11	131:14 137:24	147:17 206:2	filing 41:12	
199:19	140:23 141:7,21	210:25 258:10,13	filings 209:6,12,24 239:13	174:7 183:18
expertise 230:23	146:25 149:6	fantasies 159:3		184:5 185:20
231:2 234:5	168:5 180:13	far 40:19,25 81:6	fill 102:10 178:21	186:19 193:19
explain 25:4 145:21	204:7 206:17	81:12 83:22 108:9	207:23 208:8,18	195:9 210:19
175:4 196:7 262:4	235:24 238:16,21	111:16 157:20	219:8 237:19	219:21 222:25
explained 154:7	239:15	fast 110:3	247:10 257:15	243:24 246:6
196:20 255:13	factor 124:9 131:22	father 58:16 92:6	filled 99:13 180:14	248:11 249:10
explaining 121:6	132:4 137:25	fear 98:19,20	214:15 227:10	258:21
explains 237:7	142:15,17,20	fears 99:5	filling 208:7	firsthand 223:18
explanation 40:18	142.13,17,20	February 73:19	final 32:22 33:18	fitness 10:17
48:6	233:25	97:4 165:3 170:5	33:21 264:12	five 1:6 2:5 4:14,23
exposure 132:2	factored 121:3	federal 173:9 177:3	finalize 141:5	5:10,10 6:17 9:10
express 117:22	factors 6:4 16:17	feedback 106:11	finalized 139:15	9:14,16,20,24
extension 46:18	17:5 29:16 87:17	feel 56:10 146:21	finally 8:13 31:9	11:3,25 18:18
extensive 128:5	142:24 145:14	208:21	36:2 53:11 108:16	19:2,12 36:17
extent 10:10 11:4	234:17 252:22	feeling 111:7	108:21 257:2	48:9 51:16 55:18
11:12,20 46:17	facts 8:7 9:10 33:9	feelings 59:6,22	258:2	56:13 59:17 62:5
63:5 80:18 83:22		63:7 105:25	financially 89:15	62:5 66:7 71:9
87:14 129:11	138:21 139:6,10 for stars 19:2 (2:12)	feels 206:14	find 40:19 83:13	72:15 92:10 100:7
158:15 175:6	factual 8:3 62:12	fees 209:14	175:19 237:15	117:19 132:10
181:17 187:16	fail 259:17	felony 9:12 47:7	257:5	144:2,3 150:17
190:12 191:4	failed 220:7 255:16	166:16 169:6	findings 7:24 17:3	163:5,7,22 164:8
193:12 195:23	259:18	186:18 192:20	43:11 46:4 118:25	164:24 166:6,8
196:9,11,14 197:2	fair 128:17 134:3	193:2 194:13	fine 5:13 111:5	207:11,15,18,25
219:19 222:23	134:12,13,23	210:14 215:19	125:3 149:23	208:5,10 209:22
224:24 226:14	fairly 23:6 190:5	219:20	156:15 177:23	210:10,17 215:10
227:9 228:14	198:16	felt 63:4,5 108:11	185:9 186:11	220:5 221:25
235:12 241:5	faithfully 35:14	females 79:22	197:5 242:17	222:8,12,13,19,20
254:7 262:7	fall 78:25	fetishistic 17:9	fine-tuned 36:23	222:25 223:3,10
	false 205:7			
La su de la seconda de la s	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Page 277
		(0, 0, 1, 4, 10, 0, 5		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
223:22 224:23	format 19:7	68:9,14,18,25	245:6,9,12 248:21	<u> </u>
225:19 236:15	forms 260:17	72:9,14,19,25	249:4,9,20,24	gambles 60:15
237:22 238:2,8	formulating 196:25	73:4,9,15,18,22	250:5,14,19,23	game 80:22
239:25 245:22	forth 133:5 180:6	76:12,18 77:4,12	251:9,20,25 252:4	games 80:18,21
252:1 262:15	forthcoming 68:23	79:7 87:20 90:13	252:7 258:18	gangs 256:14
263:11 264:12	132:6 133:9	96:6,9,12,15	262:16,20 263:6	gathering 139:12
fix 95:22	175:24 178:14	102:8 103:25	263:13,16	gears 204:21
flag 178:13 233:13	196:18 209:20	104:4,21 105:5,7	friendly 53:15	gee 23:23
flags 32:8 131:13	256:6 257:8	105:11 113:8	friends 21:20 70:14	general 2:14 5:4
139:13	260:21 262:11	116:12,16,19	89:5 147:17 206:2	124:3 163:11
flattens 18:2	fortunately 181:21	117:7,16 118:9,15	210:25	generalization
flawed 9:1	fortune 75:5	119:6,9,11 121:22	front 27:13 65:10	132:21
flaws 134:17	forward 50:2	122:3,13,16 123:7	73:7 74:15 94:23	generalizations
fliers 98:18	127:20 132:10	123:13 124:20,23	223:5,7	130:12 132:8,25
flip 93:24	151:20	132:15,18,23	fulfill 258:6	generally 25:7
floating 115:4	found 7:17 10:5	138:12 142:6,8	full 8:10 32:22	37:19 150:4
flyers 64:17	57:14 97:17	149:10,22 152:12	52:16 69:22 88:15	generation 21:17
focus 14:25 15:4	151:21 214:14	152:15,25 155:11	106:6,7 107:25	Georgia 120:20
119:19	foundation 42:9	156:5,10,15	108:25 126:22	getting 26:12 29:5
folks 22:3 66:13	45:15	157:14 158:7,19	175:25 176:5	33:3 36:7 52:12
follow 46:23 93:22	foundational 24:3	159:7,11,17 160:4	192:4 195:17	55:9,11 61:7
116:22 141:10	four 30:5 80:22	160:9,17,24 161:5	248:5 249:2,5,7	64:11,17 98:11
149:19 163:15	92:4 97:21,22	161:7,10,14,19	253:16 257:12	120:13,13 149:14
217:22 218:25	100:6 103:18	164:18 165:17,23	fully 38:13 180:25	156:24 157:21,23
follow-up 104:20	108:20 171:18	171:22 172:2,5,17	184:16 207:6	222:22 233:20
125:17 130:25	172:4,6 178:2	172:25 174:10	252:13 260:22	251:3
157:16	frame 18:16 156:19	176:10,18 180:12	fun 86:7	gig 52:16,24 54:3
following 45:4	181:24 243:22	180:24 181:5,14	function 16:11	girlfriend 93:7
117:10 119:15	fraud 10:24 162:24	181:20 182:4,7,16	functioning 8:21	girls 9:13 10:9
follows 13:25 51:22	free 122:22	183:8,16,21	functions 88:11	57:22 58:2 63:10
118:19 161:18	frequently 198:10	185:24 186:5,10	furniture 53:11	90:19,21,25 91:6
245:18	230:5 254:13	186:13 189:13	further 18:16,25	91:16,19 93:6
food 110:3	Friedlander 1:15	190:23 191:17	33:12 78:19 87:2	112:8 128:21,24
fooling 111:23	2:3 4:5,7,18,21,25	192:2,12 193:10	105:6 113:10	129:3 191:8
foot 61:9 101:16,21	5:7,12 9:6 12:6,9	193:17 194:2	118:19 124:18	199:11 210:16
footnote 222:5	12:17,23 13:6,12	196:22 197:10	139:4 141:4 148:5	260:1,4,7,11
force 145:7 148:24	13:18,23 14:2	200:13 216:11,23	149:13,16 151:8	give 30:2 32:24
Forces 81:5	41:9,11,15 42:5	216:25 217:7,9	152:2 176:13,14	63:6 77:20 83:10
foregoing 264:11	42:10 43:13 44:13	220:11,19,23	196:20 239:21	87:2,5 92:9 96:23
forever 211:23	44:19 45:13,20,24	224:17 226:13	244:18 245:18	102:24 103:6
forget 58:13	48:12,18,25 49:4	228:20 229:7	249:22,25 253:3	104:16 105:12
forgot 213:11	49:15,22 50:6,11	232:20 234:11	258:6 263:17	106:6,7 107:25
form 99:13,14	50:15,20,23 51:4	239:23 240:6,13	future 18:9 40:15	132:12 137:25
154:6 224:15	51:9,11,14,18,23	240:16 242:17,22	149:2	143:6 153:11
236:4 247:10	66:25 67:6,9,17	244:14,17,21,25	fuzzy 243:22	154:4 170:21
L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	•

Docket No. TV-150223 - Vol. I - 6/24/2015

Page 278

1 age 270				·
182:24 185:2	181:18 222:6	207:10 209:7,9,15	85:15,19 88:13	154:11
258:16	223:25 247:9	210:17,23 213:21	119:9 161:14	gym 61:13
given 117:21	248:10	210:17,23 213:21	263:16	gym 01.15
125:23 127:21	going 4:10 30:10	239:24 259:23	greater 132:2	H
	37:24 44:21 48:13	260:3,15 262:9	137:19 144:9	H 3:13
139:6 260:13		,		habits 218:7,9
gives 200:2 258:11	48:16 49:13 55:3	goods 1:11 5:16,22	greatest 134:11 Grick 168:2	half 22:20 115:19
giving 19:6 88:15	56:10,13,14,19	52:6,24 54:22		125:19 128:21
167:22	57:21 58:4 62:3,7	63:24 66:8 68:2	Gripp 69:8,14	186:6 246:18
glass 70:24 116:5	63:22 64:23,23	164:9 193:6	74:11 167:13	hand 13:21 66:24
gleaned 8:4	68:23 75:4,5,22	205:10,23 211:10	168:2 214:16,21	124:12 146:20
go 4:5,11,12 12:19	84:23 87:20 89:20	214:11 223:16	214:25 221:12	218:11,15 257:23
13:2,3,19 23:7	89:25 90:4,8	224:4,22 225:18	grocery 110:7	264:17
27:8,14 28:23	97:12 99:2,11,16	225:21 226:4	groom 90:21 199:3	handle 53:24,24
31:10 34:18,21	99:17 106:10	227:7,23,24,25	groomed 30:23	63:18 64:24 86:19
44:25 50:2,16	114:6 117:17,21	228:3 231:17	grooming 31:16	
51:14 52:23 53:19	121:22 127:20	253:12,13 254:8	grounds 174:4	handling 8:20
55:9 56:21 61:12	128:6,7 132:7,25	Google 8:8 74:15	group 16:11 88:20	205:13
61:13 66:20 69:8	144:7 146:20,21	75:13,16,25 76:6	groups 7:20 16:11	hang 92:7 114:7
69:25 70:23 75:3	155:13 156:20	76:10,22 77:2,9	43:19 59:19	Hanson 16:25
78:6 86:13 87:23	176:10 181:24	77:17 78:2,11	growing 120:5	17:19
93:25 102:8	191:13 192:2,5	95:12,14	122:6,7	happen 32:13 35:4
104:13,14,22,22	194:2 196:8,22	Gordon 2:7 38:17	guess 15:22 24:19	63:22 98:22
105:2 109:4,21,22	205:12 207:3	38:18 39:7	103:10 106:15	happened 70:9
109:22 110:2,7	227:19 229:9,9	gosh 35:22 56:25	125:14 127:19	83:21 106:3 108:2
112:14 113:9	232:20 237:20	247:6	131:6 135:14	109:6 110:23
115:22 117:16	240:7 247:24	gotten 40:3 82:2	142:20 143:7,10	112:2 128:24
124:10,10 130:19	249:13 251:4,9	113:23 158:3	143:13 155:22	137:9 148:2,3
137:2 149:21	253:24 255:2	govern 10:15	156:21 158:21	192:4,17 193:23
152:15,16 159:22	256:21 257:24	government 64:12	242:4 249:8	243:17 246:7
160:13,17 180:12	263:3	171:8	guessing 30:10	happens 32:12
183:16,20,21	good 4:6,16 10:4,12	grade 81:3	103:13 130:20	116:9
185:23 186:15	10:16 11:6,14,19	grandfather 21:16	guidance 179:13	happy 42:8 45:14
193:6 194:8 197:6	14:8,9 23:6 24:4	grandkid 21:17	259:12	92:8 116:8
210:24 211:23,25	52:7,12,21 54:9	grant 211:24	guide 202:2	hard 255:22
217:23 219:13,13	57:2 77:21 101:14	221:23 222:7	guilty 57:19,25	hardened 60:17
223:3 239:6	111:7 113:6 119:9	248:16 253:2	187:23 194:21	256:14
245:13 246:15	130:6,10,12	258:3,5,15	195:2,8 225:17	Harris 17:19
247:17 252:8	134:23 135:15	granted 8:18	238:22	Harvard 108:24
253:7 256:13	148:18 161:23	211:10	guy 22:6 31:24 32:3	he'll 8:19 85:23
goal 55:3	162:13 163:11	granting 7:5 8:14	60:12,19 115:15	90:2,3
God 56:25	164:25 168:11	9:4 206:5	guys 53:18 54:13	headed 114:11
goes 26:4 66:9 81:6	183:25 196:13	grateful 90:11	54:14,17,18 56:16	heading 92:6 115:4
89:10,10 109:3	197:4 204:22,25	gratitude 89:2	56:25 75:5 81:10	health 150:22
114:4 115:17,20	205:11,24 206:6	gray 43:7	92:4,7,8 97:17	hear 6:16,19 8:2,7
154:9 171:19	206:13,15,18,23	great 55:17 85:14	103:20 114:6,7	125:2,3 182:5
			ŕ	262:25
	1	I .	I	I

				Page 279
heard 12:24 24:13	133:11 249:14	219:13	256:9 258:15,17	254:8 260:3,15
25:2 44:17 61:5	high 18:3,4 22:3	homework 64:10	258:20 262:14,19	262:9
85:4 87:15 122:14	34:3 35:21 47:22	86:15	263:19,20	houses 53:14 98:22
174:8 191:24	80:12,13,13,14	honestly 77:5	Honor's 248:16	How's 4:20
192:3 243:8	81:8,9,9,12 97:24	157:18 255:25	honorable 192:19	humbled 255:21
252:17,21 256:12	115:13	Honeywell 2:7	211:22	hung 83:24
258:9	higher 6:11 29:5	Hong 83:17,19	hope 78:24	hurt 63:20 90:8
hearing 1:14 12:1	31:14 37:12 38:7	Honor 4:16 5:2,11	horrible 61:23,24	
58:11 66:13 68:3	137:20 147:23	5:14 9:8 12:5,8,13	74:18,19 106:13	<u> </u>
68:6,7,8,10,16	highly 29:15	12:14 14:7 41:8	255:13,17 257:6	I-5 115:5
69:5,6,7,9 72:23	highway 114:11	41:14 42:2 43:16	horror 98:22	idea 22:10,15 35:19
77:5 154:20,25	Hill 108:24	44:11 45:11 46:3	horse 78:17	40:17 58:24 74:7
193:25 214:19	hinder 108:12	48:8 49:5,8,20,24	Hospital 86:18	81:25 82:2,3
243:10 247:20	hire 205:18 206:14	50:10 51:12,16	hour 54:3 109:5	identification
263:17,21 264:12	206:19 208:3	67:11 71:17 72:16	125:18,19 205:21	163:25 170:25
hearsay 175:2	239:17	72:23 75:22 79:9	261:1	171:6 186:25
193:9,10,13,20,24	hired 108:16,22,23	87:10,13 90:12	hours 59:17 108:20	188:21 189:18
heart 101:22	165:13	103:23 104:19	115:8 125:16	198:7 215:5
106:25 224:2	hires 206:22	105:4 116:23	138:18 205:20	249:12
227:15	hiring 121:3 206:15	117:13,14 122:15	house 52:16 53:10	identified 49:17,19
hectic 211:3	210:10	122:25 124:22	55:13 56:21 86:20	214:7 216:4
held 1:14 88:3	histories 15:15	132:9 138:11,13	93:16 94:19,24	233:19 243:13
help 13:15 65:3	history 9:21 17:10	151:16,23 153:15	98:10 99:2 104:16	identify 164:3
81:12 86:16	20:6 22:4 68:22	154:19 155:5,18	121:7 128:16	171:4 187:5,21
103:15 219:11,14	79:24 120:23	161:9,23 164:17	247:4	188:10,24 189:22
230:7	121:2,20 158:25	165:21 171:17,25	household 1:10	198:9 209:2 215:8
helped 61:19 81:7,8	171:6,7 177:8	172:18 173:25	5:16,22 10:4,12	identifying 106:24
helpful 143:16	178:16 194:15,18	174:9 175:18	10:16 11:6,13,19	identity 178:18
helping 19:6	211:6 220:3	176:4,15,16	52:5,7,16,24 54:9	212:4 222:3
hereunto 264:16	222:22 225:3	179:21 180:3	54:22 63:24 66:8	illegal 243:3
hey 54:19 65:24	235:13	181:11 182:3,14	67:25 101:13	imagine 13:1 63:13
74:14,25 84:17	hold 86:8,20 106:9	183:2,13 186:2	162:13 163:11	63:13
89:8 92:5,7,13	106:10 225:21	189:10 190:20	164:9,25 168:11	immaturity 145:7
95:20,21 97:17	257:24	191:13 192:11	183:25 193:5	immediate 99:5
98:8,11 103:15	home 6:2 8:15,24	193:18 196:6,14	196:12 197:4	208:23
114:6 115:18	9:5 52:25 53:3	200:7 215:25	204:22,25 205:9	immediately 95:20
247:5	70:16,24 86:5,14	220:9,13 224:7,19	205:11,23,24	113:4 247:7
Hibbs 167:15 168:4	86:20 93:4 116:8	226:9 228:7,18	206:6,15,18,23	immensely 13:16
hid 178:18	228:17 229:4	234:3 239:22	207:10 209:6,9,15	impact 58:23 61:24
hide 115:18 129:21	253:7,22 254:15	240:4,10,12 242:3	210:17,23 211:10	115:23
212:4 214:17	260:7	242:7,9,13,19	213:21 214:4,11	impeach 186:7
259:22	homes 5:21 7:3,4	244:24 245:21	217:16 223:16	impeaches 183:11
hides 217:19	56:5,9 105:2	248:10 249:23	224:4,22 225:18	183:14
218:23	205:12 207:19	250:2,22 251:6,19	225:21 226:4	impeachment
hiding 129:24	211:25 217:23	251:24 252:2,10	227:7,24 231:17	180:19,22 181:7
-				181:18 183:6
	1	l I	l l	l

implementing	includes 158:15	52:6,8 101:14	informed 75:17	71:9,23 72:22
16:13	200:22 250:21			96:8,8,10,19
implicit 8:13	including 16:11	168:8,11,13,15	informing 77:7	126:4 174:6 215:9
importance 86:10	123:10 129:4	196:13 260:3	inhibitions 255:14	216:13 217:3,6
86:12	135:3	ineligible 225:21	initial 25:11 44:21	249:17
important 16:17	income 69:20,24	inevitably 22:12	67:24 82:3 139:9	interact 205:25
58:10 61:2 86:24	inconsistencies	56:4	216:14 217:8	210:24
86:25 87:17	97:9 262:1	influence 92:4	221:4	interacted 82:17
217:21	inconvenience	115:7 166:18	initially 25:10	interacting 84:5
impose 218:17	98:18	177:16 215:20	103:2 109:17	208:12
impossible 60:23	incorrect 95:4 96:2	inform 241:8 263:3	174:5	interaction 54:6
120:6	96:24 262:5	information 6:12	initials 73:7	83:22 106:22
impression 126:12	increase 8:15 9:4	9:21,22 10:25	initiative 120:5	interactions 75:25
impressions 128:8	increasing 17:6	24:13 31:23 79:17	injustice 30:3	112:9
139:2	incredible 85:13	79:18 83:15 84:14	innocent 57:19	interactive 109:11
improper 232:16	89:3	84:18 95:4,10	input 79:6	interest 17:10
232:17	incredibly 255:22	102:11 109:11	inquire 194:5	18:11 32:10 42:18
improve 135:2	256:5,6	126:6,9,16,20,25	inquiring 98:25	145:2 148:22,24
improved 135:8,10	incurable 20:24	127:11,17,22	INQUIRY 105:10	163:16 175:25
impulsive 111:10	145:20	129:14 130:22	113:7 142:1,7	176:5 205:5
in's 53:7 55:2	indicate 12:24	138:21 139:2,4,8	143:1 144:1 145:1	254:17
in-depth 64:6	148:17 155:15	139:13 140:3,12	146:1 147:1 148:1	interested 17:8
in-home 5:18 8:17	207:19 208:11	140:25 142:12	242:1,21 243:1	149:4 160:19
56:2	262:23	143:5 144:5,24	244:1	208:23
in-prison 23:3	indicated 67:12	148:14,20 154:22	inside 60:14 88:8	interesting 36:19
inappropriate	147:20 157:14	158:17,18 159:8	153:8	interests 7:6 17:7
242:4	167:14 168:12	166:2 168:9	instill 53:6 86:11	23:14 29:19
incarcerated 6:11	180:16 181:13	170:15,22 171:10	Institute 7:16 41:19	interfere 9:13
20:3 59:12 107:12	208:8,20 218:8	171:20 172:11,20	42:14 44:4 118:24	10:11 11:5,13
incarceration	228:21 263:1	172:23 173:4	instrument 40:5	196:12 207:9
18:13 33:18	indicates 194:18	174:16 175:6,21	47:20 202:4	210:16 223:15
incident 62:16 91:8		175:22 176:12	instruments 18:4	224:3,13,21 254:7
91:10,13 112:7	149:14 160:18	179:18 183:12	148:22	262:9
144:19 233:5	individual 29:9	185:2 186:4,9	insurance 8:20	interferes 260:2
248:14 253:23	33:22,24 103:14	187:7 190:11,18	28:23,25,25 29:2	interfering 197:4
incidents 253:18	145:18,20,23	190:19 192:24	29:6 55:24 64:14	international 15:17
inclined 35:6	180:16 239:12,15	193:14 195:10,19	65:8 206:9	15:19
242:13	252:14 258:12	200:19 209:17	integrity 214:22	interrupt 183:2
include 9:20,22	individualized	212:6 217:21	217:18	interrupting
86:21 167:2,4	254:21	218:6,8 229:22	intends 33:13 68:21	156:14
168:9 184:23	individuals 63:2	230:2 234:20	intense 23:24	Interstate 115:4
206:3 212:6 220:7	145:16 167:22	236:7 237:15	intensive 6:10	interview 108:3,25
259:18	239:18 256:15	238:19 245:7	59:16 157:5	120:25
included 28:6	Industries 64:13	259:12,13 261:8	intent 6:17,20	interviews 82:4
125:16 174:20	industry 5:16 11:19	262:4 263:4	11:21,25 67:7,25	140:16

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
intoxicated 111:6	80:9,24 81:13,16	jog 128:11	183:8,16,21	81:7,9,9	
112:4,19 113:21	168:21 214:17	Judd 157:7	185:24 186:5,10	jurisdiction 136:24	
128:19	involvements 60:8	judge 1:16 2:3 4:5	186:13 189:13	137:4,5 228:23	
intoxication 115:11	involving 11:2	4:8,18,21,25 5:7	190:23 191:17	229:3	
introduced 92:20	159:4 222:2	5:12 9:6 12:6,9,17	192:2,12 193:10	jurisdictions	
226:10	237:23	12:23 13:6,12,18	193:17 194:2	120:21 134:15	
introducing 155:7	irony 123:19,21	13:23 14:2 41:9	196:22 197:10	justice 6:9 162:17	
invested 26:2	irredeemable 147:5	41:11,15 42:5,10	200:13 216:11,23	justifications 23:13	
investigate 162:10	irrelevant 186:4	43:13 44:13,19	216:25 217:7.9	juvenile 26:5,6	
162:12 169:21	IRS 177:3 246:2,7	45:13,20,24 48:12	220:11,19,23	Juvenne 20.5,0	
177:18 186:21	246:18 247:7,25	48:18,25 49:4,15	224:17 226:13	K	
197:24 218:3	247:25 250:16	49:22 50:6,11,15	228:20 229:7	K 41:6,18	
investigating 66:16	251:12 259:4	50:20,23 51:4,9	232:20 234:11	Karl 16:24	
investigating 00.10	Ish 98:3	51:11,14,18,23	239:23 240:6,13	keep 32:2 52:23	
84:21,23 85:3	isolated 91:8,9,10	58:17 59:8 66:14	240:16 242:17,22	53:24 54:2 61:8	
97:22 127:8	issue 87:13 121:2	66:25 67:6,9,17	240.10 242.17,22	keeping 58:22	
155:22 156:2	147:9 149:18	68:8,9,14,18,25	245:6,9,12 248:21	keeps 60:14,14	
157:11 171:14	216:20 224:2	69:7 72:9,14,19	249:4,9,20,24	61:14 94:5	
174:17 187:13	236:22 241:11	72:25 73:4,9,15	250:5,14,19,23	kept 92:4	
188:5,18 189:8	256:9	73:18,22 76:12,18	251:9,20,25 252:4	Kevin 165:11 167:8	
190:3 191:20	issued 238:23 239:4	77:4,12 79:7	252:7 258:18	167:23	
190:3 191:20	issues 9:18 42:18	87:20 90:13 96:6	262:16,20 263:6	key 43:10 46:4	
198:14 200:23,25	117:25 206:17	96:9,12,15 102:8	263:13,16	256:9	
201:2,14 215:13	226:15 248:19	103:25 104:4,21	judge's 142:1 143:1	kidnapping 38:19	
216:9 234:8,13	262:17	105:5,7,11 113:8	144:1 145:1 146:1	kids 17:8 21:19	
241:5 261:9 262:6	italicized 221:25	116:12,16,19	147:1 148:1	32:7,18 85:20,25	
investigative 179:7	item 39:18	117:7,16 118:9,15	151:11 242:1	86:11,16,23,25	
investigator 162:5	iteration 222:17	119:6,9,11 121:22	243:1 244:1 98:24 110:8 114:3		
162:18,21 163:6		122:3,13,16 123:7	judgment 114:9	115:25 140:9	
investigators	J	123:13 124:20.23	125:25 127:4	252:25 257:3	
162:22,25 179:10	J 2:13 5:3 119:14	132:15,18,23	189:2 211:18 kill 115:23		
investing 258:13,13	121:5	138:12 142:6,8	246:23 261:6 Kim 167:13,25		
investment 26:8	jail 34:22 57:15	149:10,22 152:12	judgments 28:11 168:2		
investments 258:10	157:4 177:22	152:15,25 155:11	judicial 236:16 kind 22:2,13 24:12		
invited 91:23	178:2 239:6	156:5,10,15	July 57:14 84:11	28:16 31:15 32:20	
128:19 260:7	January 45:5 184:9	157:14 158:7,19	85:24 128:9 53:19,20,20 63:18		
involve 33:3 62:22	Jiffy 108:16,18	159:7,11,17 160:4	264:17	75:8 81:7 83:6,22	
involved 5:24 16:2	257:1	160:9,17,24 161:5	jump 112:20	86:5 103:14	
16:9 36:11 38:23	job 26:2,8 52:11,18	161:7,10,14,19	jumped 74:17	106:10 109:8,9	
52:22 62:14 66:13	54:7 56:18 57:3	164:18 165:17,23	June 1:20 4:1 84:10	111:13 114:3	
137:8 138:7 163:4	89:19 100:12,13	171:22 172:2,5,17	96:25 97:25 170:8	116:7 145:21	
219:3 220:16	108:17,21 120:22	172:25 174:10	170:16,17,18,20	147:8 154:7,8	
226:18,21 239:19	120:25 128:13	176:10,18 180:12	174:14,21 175:19	157:20 197:6	
243:9 246:25	205:20 208:20	180:24 181:5,14	264:13	246:15,17	
involvement 33:7	253:1	181:20 182:4,7,16	junior 80:12,14	King 6:25 7:1 8:4	
	jobs 210:12 258:11		J	31:8 79:2,15 80:4	
	-	l	I	ĺ	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

			<u></u>	
84:14,16 93:18	92:2,5,14,17	223:18 232:12,12	253:25 257:22	169:11,15,18
95:13,17 97:5	94:12 95:2,15	232:18 232:12,12	259:7	173:6,20 174:12
126:2 154:10	97:11,13 98:20	243:23 264:14	lawfully 5:17	173.0,20 174.12
157:10 173:23	101:24 102:2,4,5	known 91:16 107:6	lawn 21:25	175:13 176:21
176:25 189:23	101:24 102:2,4,5	180:5	laws 66:5 163:15	185:19,21 215:22
194:17 198:4	102.10,12 108.12	knows 5:8 85:15	203:18 205:4	,
	1 '	98:19 140:21	209:4 217:22	237:2,8,10,11,16 238:13 241:22
210:21 213:5	111:8,11 112:16			
229:11,13,22,25	113:5,25 114:14	Kong 83:17,19	239:9 241:17	242:5,7,10 245:25
230:4 235:3	114:23 115:16,17		lawyer 242:6	246:4 250:4 251:7
261:25 264:7	115:18 116:2,7,10	$\overline{\mathbf{L}}$	lay 42:8 45:14	257:24 259:3
Kitsap 57:15 187:6	116:20 119:24	labeled 41:17 72:21	59:17 92:16	legally 253:6
187:22 188:11,25	120:12,14,19,24	119:14 152:23	lays 150:20	legislation 122:21
knew 38:16 57:24	124:5,6,8 125:11		lead 44:3 105:25	legislative 123:11
64:9 65:21,22	129:23 130:3	186:24 187:19	117:20 165:13	legislatively 261:15
66:11 106:25	131:20,21 134:16	188:8,21 189:18	208:3,4	legislator 42:16
130:21 207:6	135:23,24 136:15	labor 5:18,20 52:15	lead-up 23:16	legislature 27:23
220:5,6 257:11	136:21,25 137:4	64:12 69:21	leadership 53:20	36:2 42:16,17,18
260:3	139:7 140:6 143:3	101:15 104:11,13	54:12	44:4,8 119:22
know 5:8 16:20	143:6,19,24	105:2 227:16	leads 168:5	122:2,9
17:2,5 18:24 19:6	144:22 145:3,5,7	228:10 253:6,15	league 80:15	legislature's 7:15
19:10,12 20:12	145:8 146:8,10,12	253:25	leap 56:11	lend 247:24
21:11,20,25 22:5	146:13 147:6,15	laborer 165:13,14	leaps 39:6	lengthy 34:22
22:7,13 24:3,14	148:25 149:5,7,8	208:3,4,4 221:11	learn 6:3 7:14,24	84:22 89:23
24:22,23 25:25	150:8 153:9 156:8	lack 9:24 145:8	59:4 60:3 61:4	127:18
26:7,13,13,14	157:12,12,18	178:11 214:21	107:6 192:24	let's 4:5,11 15:22
28:24 29:4,10,14	158:12,25 160:5	217:18 232:11	202:5	27:8 35:7,15
29:18 30:10 31:7	168:6,22,23 176:9	laid 92:19,23,24	learned 23:24 24:2	44:25 58:6 59:9
31:22 32:3,3,4,11	179:6 183:3	language 257:19	24:15 54:8 105:15	66:20,23 72:2
32:19,24 33:2,3,4	184:13,23 185:12	258:1	105:19 115:21	92:5 97:2 102:8
34:5 35:3,20 36:2	201:11,17 202:9,9	large 16:23,24 21:4	154:14 204:9	146:8 160:9,13
37:20 39:5,6	202:12,15 204:17	128:16 219:12	233:3 246:6	229:11 230:6
40:22 44:6 48:13	204:19 206:23	largely 139:5	learning 23:25	236:15
49:18 52:17 53:8	207:2 211:4 213:8	larger 16:9 120:4	54:25	letter 83:13
53:14,17,21 54:11	217:20 219:10	144:23 151:2	leave 48:15,16	letting 56:16
54:25 55:3,9,15	224:25 227:11,15	lasted 112:23	124:10	114:14
56:16,16,20,23,24	228:10 229:3,7,24	Late 100:14	leaves 49:7 175:16	level 6:23,25 7:9
57:2,20,21,22	232:18,19 233:6	latest 134:11	led 7:24 59:6 77:20	19:8,9,9,9 20:16
58:6,17,20 59:3,4	235:17 240:25	law 1:16 2:3 4:7	120:19	20:17 25:6,15
59:7 61:25 64:9	241:2 243:14	31:12 33:22 34:13	left 83:14 183:23	27:5,6,15,17
64:22 65:22 70:11	244:6 247:5,23	35:2,12 65:20	184:4	31:15,24 33:19
71:4 76:8 78:4	knowing 64:22	124:11 136:24	leg 157:19	35:6,9,9,9,10,19
81:9 82:9 84:16	156:20 249:12	147:21 153:24	legal 9:23 115:6	35:20 38:4 39:3
85:2 86:9,19 88:5	knowledge 75:14	167:14 212:24	117:20,23,25	39:11,15,16 40:12
88:9,10,11,11,18	75:24 76:8,17	213:4 235:4	118:2 123:2,6	42:8 43:5 45:16
90:7,25 91:21	78:11 144:8,9	241:25,25 242:11	142:20 166:22	45:23 47:16 48:4
		242:15 251:3		
	I	1	I	I

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Ρ	ag	е	2	83	8

				1 uge 200
48:7 98:6,9,12,15	lien 173:9,14	237:23 244:3	logical 39:6 253:20	lose 26:11 258:14
99:7,10,21 115:11	176:21 177:3	249:3	long 5:22 17:23	losing 258:12
120:24 126:23	246:19,20,21,22	listen 61:4	54:16 56:20 70:15	lost 115:25 133:5
133:15 134:5	247:2,9,14,23,25	listing 8:8 75:13	75:2 80:11 90:23	230:3
136:22 137:7	250:16 251:12,15	76:4 77:17 78:11	90:25 92:3,19	lot 22:17 26:5
142:2,3 143:3,9	257:21 259:4	229:15	94:18 125:13	32:20 35:5,6
143:23 197:21,22	liens 247:6,11	lists 165:11	158:10 162:7	53:14 55:15,21
197:25 198:22,23	lies 59:23 107:5	literally 32:15	170:19 197:16	56:5 59:21 64:14
197.23 198.22,23	life 26:2 28:25 60:6	247:11	202:4 217:12	
200:20 201:8,10	88:23,25 89:20	little 7:22 18:15	233:20,24 234:16	85:18 89:2,13,25 91:25 92:25 97:12
200:20 201:8,10	108:8 112:13	30:20 46:8,14	252:24 253:9,10	129:10 171:20
· · ·		47:25 50:24 52:4	257:3	
206:24 210:19,22	145:8 146:16,17 194:14 252:16	57:22 58:2 59:9		172:11,15 176:11
212:11,16,22,25			longer 75:19	192:5 209:17
213:3 219:23	253:3 256:19	59:23 63:9 65:3	222:13,19 223:3	250:10 255:22,23
230:13,16 231:13	lifelong 147:8	65:12 71:18 74:12	223:13 247:13	256:7 258:14
232:3,6,7 234:25	lifestyle 88:17	82:4 83:2 85:6	look 8:9 22:4,7,7	lots 134:15 208:22
235:5,10 254:12	lifetime 192:23	86:19 105:16	41:4,22 44:25	loud 92:15
254:14,19 261:13	193:3	106:22 107:5	46:6 57:23 64:23	love 85:13 90:9
261:17,18,18	likelihood 16:20	109:15 110:25	67:20 72:2 78:7	low 13:8 19:19
263:2,2	18:8 22:19 29:5	111:12 113:25	78:14 84:23 94:23	20:17 32:3 35:20
level's 35:20	29:10,12 36:22	114:3,25 116:2	95:12 97:2 98:14	47:22,23,24
leveled 6:25 31:14	37:22 38:9	119:2 144:4	121:4 127:14	lower 37:15,23
252:17 254:12	likes 54:4,5	145:18 178:20	139:20 147:22	99:19 140:13
leveling 27:5 35:16	likewise 135:7	186:15 204:21	156:23 163:12,13	lowered 98:12
35:17 38:18,25	limelight 109:14	205:14 210:9	172:7,9 183:19	212:16
42:23 234:9	limit 115:6 210:22	211:3 243:22	221:3 222:5 223:4	lowering 99:10
levels 7:18 19:6,15	limitations 148:19	live 55:11 87:9,25	225:6 227:8	Lube 108:16,18
33:17 34:10,17,25	limited 5:9 126:13	87:25 94:20,20	234:12 236:15	257:1
37:13 39:10 43:18	144:24 174:22	124:11 256:19	247:13 254:11,13	lunch 61:11 117:8
99:19 118:25	185:16 245:2	258:16	254:21 257:19	lunches 86:16
133:22 135:18	248:9,23 250:5	lives 124:6,11	looked 19:9 54:18	M
147:20 198:5,11	line 13:1,4 43:14	252:12	95:19 97:5 143:12	
199:15,20,23	48:15 87:11 90:6	living 35:8 40:21	156:11 184:2	M 1:25 3:1 264:9
200:4,5 201:23	108:15 118:7	55:17 252:12	206:13 224:24	264:22
230:23 234:5	120:11 142:9	LLC 1:7 2:5 68:2	232:8 235:19	ma'am 107:17
LexisNexis 171:8	160:20 191:14	71:10 185:12,15	245:24 246:3	113:14 245:11
171:19 244:5	195:6,13 207:3	185:16 215:11	looking 17:15	Mackenzie 6:7
liability 185:16	lined 64:21	216:17 264:13	23:11,12 41:17	85:21
license 121:19	list 12:18 49:21	LLC's 164:9	42:20 43:6 67:4	main 9:17
123:24 126:4	67:15 151:25	load 29:15 104:13	71:19,24 72:5	maintenance 147:8
162:24 218:17	173:18 174:20	loaded 228:2,3	96:21 108:21	major 15:4 17:13
253:2 258:3,6	175:20 180:9	loading 253:13	110:12 221:4	37:9,10
licenses 120:8	listed 11:9 67:15	local 31:12 83:7	looks 26:25 31:21	makers 21:3
122:9 123:19	167:8,24 180:9	locals 82:17	31:23 32:3 39:5,8	making 8:20 21:4
124:3	192:21 207:14	location 93:15	40:7	52:13 55:22,24,25
				56:2,17 119:17
		I		1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

- 1 uge 20-1				· · ·· ·
120:6 126:9	125:23 175:4	Megan 101:3	20:1 21:1 22:1	29:19,21 136:7,11
120:0 120.9	207:14,18 208:5	member 16:7,8	23:1 24:1 25:1	136:17 141:13,16
210:9 211:17	208:10 209:23	23:17 38:21	26:1 27:1 28:1	201:22 202:12
246:10 259:6	Matt 38:17	162:23 202:8	29:1 30:1 31:1	213:11,11
			32:1 33:1 34:1	minor 100:4 131:10
man 55:16 65:15	matter 5:6 9:18	memo 164:4 174:6		
89:2 90:9 101:5	77:20 116:4 163:4	memorandum 72:6	35:1 36:1 37:1	131:12 139:22
255:19,19,20	193:22 220:17	72:10,12 73:19	38:1 39:1 40:1	204:14
257:14	mature 26:8 145:9	75:12 174:23	41:1 42:1 43:1	minors 109:24,25
managerial 8:19	Maximum 251:25	240:11	44:1 45:1 46:1	159:4 203:15
55:21	mean 22:8 46:17	memorialization	47:1 48:1 49:1	204:6,16 211:16
managing 145:8	65:24 80:21 82:10	235:20,23	50:1 65:16 100:25	233:16
146:16,23,23	85:16 90:11 91:9	memories 53:3	118:1,17 119:1	minute 24:25 66:21
maneuver 53:17	91:10,12,25 93:15	208:22	120:1 121:1 122:1	67:20 112:17,21
manipulate 259:24	94:12 103:10	memory 128:7,11	123:1 124:1 125:1	minutes 5:10 92:11
manipulating	109:21,23 110:9	men 58:14	126:1 127:1 128:1	92:16 116:25
130:10	112:22 131:19	mental 150:11,21	129:1 130:1 131:1	252:1
manipulation	138:25 144:15	mention 21:8 55:16	132:1 133:1 134:1	mis-scoring 40:8
130:17	147:4,13 152:7,23	169:10 214:15	135:1 136:1 137:1	misbehavior 147:3
manipulators	153:4 157:21	mentioned 22:22	138:1 139:1 140:1	mischief 140:8
130:6,13 259:23	165:17 191:22	75:15 105:14	141:1 142:1 143:1	misconduct 11:3
Manual 150:17	198:12,22 204:25	109:16 145:16	144:1 145:1 146:1	222:3 237:24
160:21	231:25 232:2	147:11 148:4	147:1 148:1 149:1	238:25
mapped 62:5,8	237:9 241:20	155:19 160:6	150:1 151:1 152:1	misdemeanor
Marguerite 1:15	249:4,7	166:24 168:7	153:1 154:1 155:1	114:17
2:3 4:7	meaning 17:16	186:16 192:14	156:1 157:1 158:1	mislabeled 67:3
mark 18:18,19,19	52:13,24 111:14	213:17 217:2	159:1 160:1	mislead 214:24
marked 163:25	meaningful 20:15	243:2 250:10	252:18	215:17 218:24
170:25 198:6	means 7:1 43:13	258:25	microphone 4:19	misleading 9:10
215:4	56:6 175:4 177:25	mentioning 29:16	220:20	10:6,7 205:8
Market 108:24	192:21 197:25	147:19	mid 25:10 35:24	210:5 214:14
marriage 6:5	198:24,25 205:2	mentor 89:4	37:12 136:15	217:17 259:2
131:15 139:22	205:15 206:7,9	mentorship 54:19		misled 9:11
252:25 257:3	217:19 237:8	message 35:10	196:4	misrepresentation
married 99:23	241:12 259:6	183:23 184:5	mike 119:7 132:16	10:24
100:2,5 113:24	meant 65:21 96:16	messing 26:12	military 82:23 83:8	misstating 231:11
114:7 131:12	105:17	met 100:11 103:3	83:24	mistake 27:2,4
203:19,22 211:19	measure 17:11	125:4 204:10	mind 52:23 54:2	38:12 93:6 255:13
203.19,22 211.19	148:22	206:7 260:8,11,24	58:22	255:18
marry 204:7,20	measureable 17:5	meta 16:21 149:8	mine 157:8 159:21	misused 146:10
211:14 233:16	measured 17:11	method 28:20,21	mine's 85:3	mitigate 6:4 218:18
Mary 1:25 264:9	mechanism 121:15	180:18	minimize 106:12	mitigating 87:17
264:22	medical 159:19,19	methods 38:3 134:6		233:25 234:17
master 14:17 15:5		Michael 3:4 13:24	minimizing 140:15	252:22
21:7	meet 10:17 83:7,7		minimizing 140.15 minimum 205:21	MMSOST 28:5
materials 11:24	100:9 144:19,25	14:1,12 15:1 16:1	Minnesota 28:4	model 19:4 146:3
materials 11:24	meets 121:20	*17:1 18:1 19:1	winnesota 28:4	mouel 19:4 140:5
	l	I	I	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				Faye 200
moderate 7:2 9:2	177:24 178:3	210:24 254:15	mowed 21:25	128:12 191:11
19:20 20:17 47:8	197:17 203:23	moves 5:18,20 8:11	multimedia 6:13	192:15,22 211:21
47:22 198:24	204:20 211:15	54:17 55:10 69:21	109:12	nearly 5:16 101:12
210:20 219:24,24	233:15 262:2	69:23 104:12,25	multiple 145:14	253:15
230:14 231:13,14	Morale 82:21	209:16 227:17,23	municipal 121:18	necessarily 111:8
230:14 231:15,14	morbidly 130:2	228:10,17,24	municipal 121.18 murder 60:13	159:12
231:19 252:7,9,22	morning 4:6,16	229:3,5,6 243:3,5	MWR 82:19	
261:19	14:8,9 86:6 92:10	253:6,25		necessary 86:15 158:11
molestation 11:20	92:18 129:6	moving 1:6 2:5	myths 20:21	
62:14 100:19	190:10 198:21	4:23 5:16 6:17	N	need 13:10 32:23
186:19 195:9			N 2:1 3:1,1,1,13	48:16 50:7 72:17
219:21 220:7	mortgage 247:4 mother 58:16 79:23	9:14 10:4,12 11:6	naive 74:13	97:15 98:11
		11:14,19,25 52:6	name 4:6,13,13,17	110:25 123:9
molested 21:18,19	91:3,23 92:12	52:8,11,14,16,24	4:22 5:3 14:10,12	133:19 139:5
90:18 129:2	191:8,9 199:11	53:3,7,10 54:3,9	65:16 74:10 75:2	141:4 142:3 144:4
molester 131:25	260:4,5	54:10,22,25 55:2	75:6 78:19,20,22	144:20 159:4
molesters 130:9	mother-in 167:13	55:18 57:4 63:24	79:16 83:13 100:2	196:20 197:5,11
molesting 9:13 10:9	mother-in-law	64:5,5,16 66:7,19	115:14 161:24	219:13 238:5
21:16 210:15	168:2	68:2,13 69:22		247:8 262:17,25
mom 87:8,24,25	mothers 115:24	71:10 74:10,12	162:2,3 180:16	needed 47:17 49:25
88:5	motion 117:20,21	76:7 89:8 101:10	184:5 185:7,8,9	103:15 131:17
mom's 88:25	motivated 61:20	101:14,16,21,22	185:10,11,19,20	140:25 154:6
moment 30:21	motor 1:10	101:22,23 102:2,3	185:21,22 202:4	179:16 184:23
71:18 105:12	mouthful 27:12	102:23 103:4,22	207:6 213:11	207:24 208:19
142:10 212:10	move 6:2 8:18	104:8 109:8 124:5	246:23 247:6	needing 48:15
225:5,14 255:16	44:11 52:11,15,17	132:10 158:5	named 65:15,18,19	147:12
Monday 125:14	53:19 55:8 56:22	163:5,8,22 164:8	100:25 101:3	needs 32:4 131:22
138:17 151:22	132:15 195:11	164:24 166:7,8,21	201:15 221:24	133:19 150:11
260:25	205:24 211:4	168:11,13,15,19	237:21,25 239:8	184:16 197:2
money 53:24 64:14	214:5,7 219:11,11	178:18,19 184:3	239:12,16 241:15	208:16 209:21
64:20 83:2 246:9	219:14 220:19,20	196:13 207:10,11	narrow 53:13,13	257:25
246:10,15 247:25	227:24 243:12,14	207:19 208:16,22	national 15:18	negative 155:16
250:11,11,14,17	243:16,21 251:23	210:7,9,10,17,17	nature 10:10 11:4	253:18,23
250:25	252:12,15 253:8	211:4 212:5,9	11:12,19 29:24	neighborhood
Monroe 59:15	253:16 255:3	213:18,21,24	111:14,14 129:11	98:19 99:4
153:21	moved 15:2 55:12	214:3,10 215:11	130:13 187:16	neighbors 22:2
month 63:12 74:23	99:4 101:15 257:1	216:16,19 217:6	190:12 191:4	nervous 56:24
97:13 103:18,18	mover 8:17 10:16	220:17 221:6,12	195:23 196:9,11	network 85:7 87:17
112:23 113:6	53:15 54:4,5	223:16 224:4,22	199:4 219:19	105:13
157:4	55:21 163:11	225:22 226:4,22	222:23 224:24	networks 87:19,23
monthly 74:23	164:25 204:25	253:12 254:8	227:3,9 235:12	never 19:22 20:9
months 18:23	205:10,11 206:6	259:16 260:15	241:5 254:6 262:7	20:10,12 45:19
59:15 74:6 89:6	206:23,24 214:11	262:10,12,15	naval 81:4 192:17	54:2 55:8,9 58:12
94:24 97:21,22	217:17	264:13	194:9	75:8 77:20 80:8
129:6 131:11	movers 7:2 204:22	Moving's 72:15	navigating 79:6	81:22 83:19,20
144:21 157:21	205:24 209:7,9,15	217:12	Navy 81:5 82:6,7	88:7 95:16 137:18
			82:13,20 84:9,10	
	I	I	l	1

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

T uge 200				
139:17 140:21	256:2,5 262:1	O 3:1 5:3 14:12	138:16 139:1	117:5,18 123:14
145:17 146:13	notes 113:9 128:5	o'clock 48:10,14	140:1 141:1 142:1	261:2
147:5 152:4	128:10 179:11,24	O'Connell 2:13 5:1	140.1 141.1 142.1	O'Connor 3:4
	252:3 261:24	5:2,3 9:7,8 12:13	142.9 143.1 144.1	000 4:3
181:16 238:23	notice 3:15 6:20			oath 111:18 118:12
239:4 243:16		12:22,25 13:4,5	148:1 149:1,15,17	160:11 245:13
new 36:4,8 37:3,13	11:21,25 12:3,7	13:11,17,22,24	149:25 150:1,2,14	
37:14 44:18 45:16	12:10,12,15 50:5	14:1,8,12 15:1	151:1,16,21 152:1	obey 203:18
45:17,21 53:10,11	67:7 71:23 72:22	16:1 17:1 18:1	153:1 154:1,19	object 75:23 132:7
64:15,15,16 99:4	96:9 126:3 165:19	19:1 20:1 21:1	155:1,21,24 156:1	151:23 180:3
104:15 139:13	200:9 215:9 216:2	22:1 23:1 24:1	156:7,8,13 157:1	191:13
170:14,17 175:6	216:6,8,13 217:2	25:1 26:1 27:1	157:2 158:1,13,19	objected 224:14
234:20 235:6	217:6,10 218:7	28:1 29:1 30:1	159:1 160:1,5,10	objection 12:7,8
238:18 248:12	236:17 240:14	31:1 32:1 33:1	160:23 161:2,11	42:4,11 44:14,14
newly 248:12,19	242:7,10,13	34:1 35:1 36:1	161:12,20,22	45:12 50:3 76:13
nice 112:15	noticed 95:25 96:24	37:1 38:1 39:1	164:16,22 165:21	76:14 87:10
night 86:16 91:17	174:16 218:10	40:1 41:1 42:1,2	165:24 171:16,24	122:18 132:13,19
92:2,21 260:6	notification 7:18,22	42:13 43:1 44:1	172:17,18 173:3	164:17 171:17
nine 101:10,11	19:5,15 27:22	44:15,16 45:1,11	173:25 174:9,11	172:3 174:3
166:20 168:12,15	28:9 29:22 30:14	46:1 47:1 48:1,8	175:18 176:16	181:16 183:18
253:24	30:22,24 38:2	48:12 49:1,5,7,13	179:21 180:10,24	189:12 193:9
nobody's 20:8,8	39:10,17,19 40:3	49:18,24 50:1,9	180:25 181:11	216:7 223:24
108:8 247:24	40:6,10,13 43:17	50:13,17 51:12	182:2,5,14,18	226:6 228:8,11
non 39:25	46:8,19 47:24	67:11,14,18 71:17	183:7,10,13 186:2	229:9 232:11
non-assertion	118:25 241:21	71:22 72:16,20	186:8,14 189:10	234:3 240:12
229:2	notifications 28:21	73:2,5,12 75:22	189:16 190:20	242:10 248:8
non-related 39:24	November 70:13	76:14,21,24 77:14	191:2,17,18	objectionable
40:2	113:20	79:5,8,9 87:10	192:10,13 193:17	181:19 193:25
non-sex 19:23,25	nuanced 254:20	90:14,16 93:23	193:18 194:4	objections 190:22
Non-Violent 6:14	number 3:14 9:3	94:3 96:22 99:17	196:6 197:7,12	200:12
60:25 256:25	16:22 17:3,18	102:13 103:23	200:7,14 215:25	objectives 133:13
noon 48:23 49:2	23:18,20,21 25:21	104:19,24 105:4	216:18,24 217:5,8	objects 42:3
50:17 117:9,11	29:14,17 31:6	116:21,23,25	217:11 220:9	obligation 175:22
normal 129:17	53:2 55:20 58:13	117:6,9,13 118:1	223:24 224:7,14	obliviated 111:8
167:6	65:13 72:21 77:20	118:6,17,23 119:1	226:6 228:7,18	obtain 121:17
normally 126:5,8	80:5 82:10,15,16	120:1 121:1 122:1	230:7 231:22	153:20 158:16
255:14	143:19 184:2,5	122:7,13,14,17,23	232:11 234:3,16	200:25
north 114:11 115:5	216:19 219:19	123:1,17 124:1,17	240:3,7,9,15,21	obtained 6:11
Northwest 162:23	237:23 252:22	124:21,22,25	242:3,19 244:23	256:23
note 48:9 49:11	numbering 72:17	125:1,2 126:1	248:8,15 250:2,7	obtaining 226:4
91:24 125:15	78:25 216:20	127:1 128:1 129:1	250:9,16,21 251:6	obvious 31:2 61:21
129:3 154:24	229:12 230:4	130:1 131:1 132:1	251:11,18 252:18	215:23
182:19 200:24	numbers 29:20	132:9,10 133:1,2	256:2 258:9,19,20	obviously 31:3
216:9	numerous 208:14	133:6,8 134:1	259:21 260:24	55:20 58:23 62:13
noted 50:13 167:10	211:11	135:1,16 136:1,20	262:25 263:14,20	87:21 88:2 89:6
200:13 214:21		137:1,11 138:1,10	O'Connell's 50:4	97:17 106:25
	O	,,		
	I	I	l	I

109:21 111:10	201:23,24 230:16	189:24 227:23	116:11,12,15,18	100:6,7 116:10
143:17 156:18	259:21	261:25 262:2	118:9,14 123:16	128:21 157:9
159:17	offending 23:15	Office's 97:6	124:23 125:4,10	226:25 227:2
occasion 112:12	31:16 88:17	officer 115:13,14	125:23 126:5	older 37:6 223:22
occasionally 70:22	105:23 148:25	official 3:15 12:2,7	127:19,24 129:18	224:5,23
occasions 146:20	150:9 231:23	12:9,11 24:20	131:9,23 133:21	Olympia 1:22 2:16
occupation 162:4	offener 108:23	33:4 165:19 200:8	134:2 136:6 137:6	4:1
occurred 111:6	offense 6:24 11:8	216:2,6 217:10	137:10 138:5,10	on-line 94:5 231:5
112:7	18:8,9 19:22,23	240:14 242:7	139:10 141:7,11	once 20:24 62:6,10
occurs 144:25	19:25 20:9,10,11	oftentimes 25:12	141:25 142:5,19	63:8 64:10 108:17
October 85:23	20:16 22:11 24:14	oh 32:17 56:14,25	142:23 143:2,21	113:5 124:9 129:8
odds 160:7	24:14 59:6 60:12	63:6 72:8 94:7	143:25 145:13,16	152:18 160:6
offend 59:24 107:9	63:10,11 70:5	105:20 112:23	147:11,11,19	one-time 91:13
offended 18:5	105:19 106:2,18	126:10 127:23	148:4,4 149:10	ones 37:12
31:15	106:19,23 128:4	130:18 139:16	150:2 151:12	open 61:3 113:5
offender 7:23 20:25	129:9 137:19	149:13 156:4	156:4 161:4 164:2	133:16 140:23
20:25 25:6 27:15	138:7 139:23	247:6 248:7	164:18 165:23	256:6
27:17 28:4 34:20	145:4 147:4	Ohio 61:16 80:17	166:24 169:23	opening 4:10 5:9
34:20 35:17 38:19	148:10 166:16	87:9,25	170:3,24 171:3	68:21 117:23
41:20 42:23 43:2	177:18 178:5	okay 5:7,14 13:11	172:25 173:19	254:4 258:25
46:9,15 59:14	179:16 186:17,18	13:23 14:2 18:15	176:10 182:17,25	openly 255:25
80:5 98:6,16 99:7	186:21 191:12	24:6 25:18 27:8	186:23 187:2,18	operate 1:9 163:11
99:21 127:15	192:15,20 197:18	30:20 31:18 32:14	187:20 188:7,9,20	164:25 204:25
133:14 135:11,18	215:19 220:6	33:11 41:2,15,24	188:23 189:13,21	214:12 218:17
136:7,11,13,18,21	239:3	43:6 46:25 48:18	194:24 195:3,5,21	258:22
137:6 141:13,16	offenses 18:20,21	48:25 49:3,4	196:2,5 197:7,12	operating 11:13
153:9 189:24,24	21:5 37:18 120:7	50:20 57:5,7 58:6	198:8 200:13,24	196:12 197:4
194:14 197:21,23	124:10 140:15	59:13 62:7 66:9	204:21,23 205:9	210:16 214:4
197:24 198:5,11	offer 11:23 60:21	66:22 67:18,21	207:7 210:8 212:9	243:12 253:14
198:23 199:2,5,14	174:2 179:22	68:14,18,25 69:25	213:19 215:7,15	260:3 262:9
199:20 200:5,17	211:11 240:11	70:9 72:9,19	216:24 217:9	operation 9:14
201:22 202:3,17	244:22	73:15,16 75:21	218:16 219:15	10:11 11:6 207:10
203:16 206:24	offered 12:16 61:17	76:18 77:14 78:10	220:23 221:7	223:15 224:4,13
210:19 212:16,23	80:15 158:18	78:10 79:12 80:6	225:11,13,15	224:22 254:8
213:12 219:23	193:21	81:2 84:8,12 85:6	228:11 229:21	operations 78:20
225:20 230:14	offering 123:2	85:8 87:24 89:10	230:10 239:6,23	165:12 167:9
231:13 232:6,23	240:14	92:12 93:9,17	240:13,16 241:3	208:3 214:10
234:8 235:2,5	offers 135:9 164:16	94:4,25 96:9,12	241:13 242:23,25	227:20,21 228:10
offenders 7:20 15:9	171:16 189:10	96:18 97:5,8	243:18 244:6,12	opinion 43:22
15:15 20:21,23	190:20	98:15 101:8,21	244:14,14,16,20	60:10 108:9
35:8 39:21 43:19	office 52:17 80:4	102:19 104:21	248:25 249:9,24	123:18 132:12
79:15 94:6 129:19	84:15,17 93:10,19	105:12,23 106:8	250:23 251:11	137:11
130:5 132:6 133:8	94:5 157:10,24	107:4,11 110:22	262:16,20 263:16	opportunities
136:21 143:4,23	170:20 173:23	111:3,5 112:6	old 6:21 9:13 10:9	117:22 119:21
193:2 200:4,5	174:15 175:3	113:12 114:4,19	35:15 45:21,22	124:4 140:7
	·			

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

P	а	a	е	2	8	8
		3	-	_	_	-

1 uge 200				
211:11 260:16	outside 60:5 61:12	176:9,9 185:20	189:7 190:2	176:1,16,20 177:1
opportunity 24:21	61:14 147:6 238:8	190:6,9 195:4,7	193:22 198:13	178:1 179:1,24
82:22 87:3 90:10	248:8	195:12,25 196:4	201:2 215:3,12	180:1 181:1,12
99:12 119:25	over-hyped 22:9	198:17,20,21	201.2 213.3,12	180:1 181:1,12
	over-learned 22:9	221:8,16,21 225:8	participate 34:23	184:1 185:1 186:1
121:14 140:10		225:9,9 236:22,23	69:15	184.1 185.1 180.1
145:4 175:8,10,11	overrate 38:8	, ,	participated	188:1 189:1,17
175:16 176:3	overreach 120:9	236:25 237:6	A A	,
226:11 245:3	override 28:13,16	pages 1:17 171:18	243:14	190:1 191:1,3,19
252:15 256:3	overruled 42:11	171:19 172:7	participating 16:10	192:1,7 193:1,14
opposed 56:7 57:12	overseas 79:19,25	paid 21:25 64:13	particular 124:4	193:14 194:1,3,5
208:24	81:25 82:9,10,12	panel 115:23	138:2 172:12	195:1 196:1,8,15
opposing 174:8	82:13 83:23	paper 84:24 110:5	250:25	197:1,2,8,13
opposite 8:16	194:19	paperwork 69:9	particularly 29:24	198:1 199:1 200:1
options 221:11	overseeing 8:19	95:10 96:3,7,16	30:7	200:15 201:1
oral 129:4	oversight 205:14	96:19 155:15	parties 5:8 117:22	202:1 203:1 204:1
orally 129:4 236:4	211:3	157:13,22 172:15	151:18 156:22	204:24 205:1
order 32:22,24	overstates 252:20	par 111:11	160:12 262:21	206:1 207:1 208:1
47:16 67:15,24	overtaken 134:9	Paradise 1:25	263:6	209:1 210:1,14
110:3,3 126:17,19	owed 246:14 247:8	264:9,22	partner 88:22	211:1 212:1 213:1
127:22 156:24	owner 10:3 53:22	paragraph 96:14	184:4	214:1 215:1,15
214:9 215:15	103:4 165:6,8	96:14 186:3,6	partnership 185:13	216:1,3 217:1,12
216:3,14,19 217:8	173:11 205:14	221:8,17,21 225:6	parts 82:24	218:1 219:1,15
219:12 221:5	243:13 260:15	225:12	party 32:6,21 91:23	220:1,10,16 221:1
222:15 262:22	owners 165:4,5	paragraphs 183:15	111:24 112:3	222:1 223:1 224:1
263:8	211:4 214:8	196:4	128:15,15,16	225:1 226:1,11,17
ordered 69:7	ownership 214:24	paraphilic 151:2	193:15	227:1 228:1 229:1
orders 216:6	owns 253:9	parenting 85:25	pass 205:6,15	229:8 230:1 231:1
ordinance 120:19		parents 58:19	pass/fail 114:24	232:1,13,16,21
ordinarily 158:24	<u> </u>	63:14 85:15 93:3	passed 122:20	233:1 234:1,4
organization 15:19	P 2:1,1 73:6	105:14,18 260:6	155:17	235:1 236:1 237:1
16:5,10 42:16	P-a-u-l 162:3	Park 1:21	passionate 89:18	238:1 239:1 240:1
oriented 86:23	p.m 1:19 263:21	parlance 142:21	patient 48:14	240:22 241:1
original 36:15	Pacific 2:8 162:23	parole 110:17	patients 51:2	242:1,4,20 243:1
originally 28:3,3	pack 53:22 86:15	257:1	Patrol 171:5 244:4	244:1 254:10
34:7 35:24 36:24	packaging 5:22	part 10:3 19:4 21:2	pattern 144:20	Paul's 116:24 192:7
74:11 115:6	packed 227:25	30:18 64:4 65:2,4	Paul 3:10 11:17	193:23 216:8
orthodox 180:18	228:4	68:15 88:14 89:24	12:20 73:8 116:21	224:8
ought 31:3,24	packing 54:15	96:18,19,20 106:2	117:6 161:1,13,17	pay 80:21 83:2
out's 53:7 55:2	253:13	114:10 120:4	162:1,2,4 163:1	payroll 64:18 90:2
outcome 26:18	page 3:14 43:6	122:20,20,21	164:1 165:1,25	90:3
30:11 68:6,7 69:4	65:10,10 72:6	123:11 128:6	166:1 167:1 168:1	PDF 119:17
69:6,6 254:1	74:15 75:18 77:13	129:17,24 136:3	169:1 170:1 171:1	Pearson 66:14
outfits 86:8	79:15,17,18 85:18	162:12 167:24	172:1,19,22 173:1	pedaphilia 144:17
outpatient 21:14	95:8,9 121:4,10	171:13 180:14	173:4,17,19 174:1	144:20 145:2
157:5	122:19 171:23	187:12 188:4,17	174:13 175:1	151:4,13 152:4,6
10710	172:3 173:16			
	1	I	I	l

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Page	289
. ~ 9 0	

				1 uge 200
152:9,23 155:7	perform 193:5	108:16 110:4	pier 83:11	75:25 76:6,11,22
158:21 159:14	205:3,23	138:2 139:25	pizza 128:14,15	77:2,10,17 78:2
160:22	performed 5:17	146:11 147:4,16	place 27:10 38:3	78:11
pedaphilic 150:23	performing 158:22	147:24 148:10	40:7 42:7 45:17	PO 2:15
151:13	159:12 229:4	219:11 221:24	56:17 92:15	point 13:11,17
pending 119:21	period 34:22 82:25	237:21 238:11	106:17,18,19	19:13,21 21:4
121:25	84:22 140:6	239:8 241:15	110:14 128:14,15	22:5 39:25 43:17
Pennsylvania	225:19 250:15	243:11 258:6	158:5,5,8 169:14	45:17 46:13 47:6
14:17	permanent 6:18	person's 19:18 25:5	233:14 252:18	52:19 53:16 54:21
people 15:8 17:22	10:20 11:9 215:10	38:4 207:6 241:23	placed 233:22	55:3 58:5 69:18
18:3,3,21 21:11	216:15 221:5	258:10		
			places 81:11	98:16 123:14,21
23:12,14,20,21	222:7,10 255:1,6	personal 75:24	placing 233:20	148:9 152:2
30:2 33:5 34:18	permanently 75:20	76:17 205:13	plan 49:3 89:7,11	226:12,24,25
34:19 35:7 37:6	78:8,15 225:21	206:20 208:24	165:10 166:5	237:6 252:11
37:18 46:23 55:11	226:3	224:8 232:12	167:9 208:15	255:5 257:2
55:12,13 57:2	permissible 193:20	personality 150:12	219:2,8	261:22
61:3,4,25 63:19	permission 180:2	personally 64:24	planes 55:12	points 29:15 46:7
63:20 88:11 91:24	permit 1:9 7:5 8:11	perspective 106:4	plans 33:11 141:7	poked 58:3
92:14 98:23 99:18	8:14,17 9:4 10:16	pertaining 154:16	141:10	police 19:5,7 27:25
106:3 109:5	10:23 11:10 63:25	pertains 66:5	plastic 110:5	36:3,10 38:20
111:15 115:16,23	66:8 68:2 69:11	PhD 14:19 15:11	play 86:14 111:21	44:9 129:7
115:24 120:7,10	96:10 121:19	phone 31:22 77:20	played 80:12 88:14	Policies 41:20
121:16 122:9	164:10 183:25	80:5 113:23	145:10	policy 7:16 19:4
124:5,9,13,15	184:4,7,12 193:5	114:14,16 125:7	plea 57:8 58:6	21:3 42:14 44:5
128:17 130:10	206:5 210:5	175:2 179:8	187:23 188:12	118:24 120:4
138:3 139:20	211:10 214:5,12	180:13 184:5	194:25	123:4,4 194:13
140:14,16 146:6,9	214:23 215:2	231:6 235:20	plead 194:21 195:8	political 37:17
147:15 249:3	222:22 225:22	236:5,11 248:13	please 14:3 43:14	polygraph 15:14
252:11 256:13	226:5 227:6,7,21	photo 94:8,11,12	80:3 87:23 101:19	148:6,11,18 152:3
257:24 260:19	227:22 228:9,19	249:11	122:16 142:9	158:24 159:9
people's 7:3,4 21:6	231:17 232:4	photos 94:21	152:16 160:4	pool 138:3 139:20
33:17 34:10,17	235:11,11 237:19	218:11,14	161:24 163:24	poor 211:17
53:8 98:22 105:2	244:8 253:19	phrase 257:19	164:3 171:4	pops 79:16
205:12 211:25	254:1 257:17	phrased 74:21	173:13 174:10	population 57:16
219:13	258:3,15,24	physiological	176:18 181:5	port 82:13 83:11
perceive 106:8	260:13	146:17	182:16,19 186:23	portion 47:10,21
123:18	permits 206:17	physiologically	187:5,21 188:10	portions 183:5,6
perceived 253:22	225:19	146:7	188:24 189:22	portray 255:11
percent 88:4 143:8	permitted 169:7	pick 86:7,9 110:5	192:12 195:4,6,11	portrayed 257:8
143:24 165:6,8	206:15,20 228:15	picking 86:3	195:13,25 198:9	position 11:7 26:9
263:1,2	persistent 20:21	picture 94:22 95:15	204:24 215:8	139:14 162:7
percentage 137:19	person 20:5 21:25	pictures 55:14	pled 238:22	199:4,7 204:5
Perfect 4:21	25:7,15 80:14,14	88:10	plethysmograph	211:7,9,13 226:3
perfectly 124:13	83:8 85:13,19	piece 84:24 123:22	148:20 152:3,19	226:20 233:14
257:15,18	101:3 106:5	140:3	Plus 8:8 75:13,16	254:25 257:5
201110,10	10112 10012	1,010		201.20 201.0

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
positions 124:14	142:25	85:16 112:13	157:4 158:5	245:25 247:17
229:19	predicting 7:23	114:7 116:8	197:14 200:6,18	250:4 251:8
positive 101:7	46:9,15 48:2	128:19 135:15	201:24 202:23	256:10 257:20,21
-	40:9,13 48:2			259:3 262:22
253:17		142:22 149:11	203:7,10 212:23	
possibility 148:5	prediction 37:11	159:5	239:2 256:13,21	proceedings 9:23
possible 48:21 87:3	predictive 30:4,12	prevent 239:16	prisoners 60:11	150:10 173:6
179:18 184:16,25	30:15,16,17 40:14	255:14	256:13	176:12 237:16
236:8 259:13,14	40:17 47:5 235:7	preventing 228:16	private 15:7,12	process 16:12
possibly 211:2	predictors 16:16	prevents 255:6	pro-social 22:6	28:22,23 36:11
posting 126:2	17:13 18:14	previous 210:6	probable 127:12	38:16 64:5 97:21
potential 130:3	prefer 263:7	previously 33:16	187:7 195:19	99:3 106:21
132:3 139:24	preference 117:2	56:11 118:18	probably 38:23	115:21 124:8
146:23 148:19	prejudice 168:3	138:22 141:18	91:24 119:5	126:21 129:17,25
217:24 233:23	prejudicial 155:9	151:25 175:7	144:16 149:8	152:11 154:8
245:4	preliminary 126:14	180:4,5 181:16	151:9 153:10	212:20
potentially 145:23	261:3	191:16,25 195:15	158:25 168:3	prodded 58:3
147:12	premise 9:3	196:21 201:25	185:16 217:14	produced 248:12
powerful 18:14	premises 8:3 9:1,5	225:9 229:17	probation 108:6	profession 122:10
practice 15:7,13	preparation 64:25	230:22 239:11	110:19,20	professional 14:23
16:14	prepare 50:7	245:22	probative 155:10	15:19,24 16:13
practiced 81:10	prepared 33:15	previsouly 245:17	problem 78:25	43:22 52:4 122:8
practices 162:10,13	preparing 64:2	priest 21:23	110:11 123:8	123:18,19 253:2,4
Pratt 164:6 184:11	presence 8:15	primary 8:16 149:7	133:19 149:23	253:20 258:3
pre-filed 12:18	241:22,23	207:15	226:13	professionals
181:22	present 9:19 11:16	principal 21:23	problems 15:9	148:16
pre-sentence 127:7	12:14 22:8 64:21	printout 190:5	29:16 110:12	profitability 90:5
155:22,25 157:11	103:11 116:21	198:16	144:21 146:11	program 21:7
200:23,25 201:14	179:24 206:2	prior 41:3 59:16	151:3 261:24	22:24 23:3,7,8,19
261:8,8	211:2 215:18	62:19 65:22 66:11	procedural 262:17	23:20,22,22 24:3
pre-treatment	231:21 233:2,7,8	66:17 68:12	procedure 31:11	24:4,5 59:11,13
58:23	234:15,19	111:19 159:25	1 -	
		111.19 139.23	99:11	59:14 60:20,22,25
precedential	,			59:14 60:20,22,25 61:2 82:19 83:5
precedential 216:10	presentation	183:11,14 189:19	proceed 69:14	61:2 82:19 83:5
216:10	presentation 144:23	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25
	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11	proceed 69:14	61:2 82:19 83:5
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18	presentation 144:23	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23 predatory 29:24	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16 presents 126:24	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21 19:23,25 20:13,18	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18 171:21 173:20	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15 22:18,23,25 59:11 61:17 62:6 256:25
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23 predatory 29:24 30:7 32:9 199:3	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16 presents 126:24 137:12 218:19	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21 19:23,25 20:13,18 24:24 25:8,16	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18 171:21 173:20 174:12,18,25	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15 22:18,23,25 59:11 61:17 62:6 256:25 progress 153:13
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23 predatory 29:24 30:7 32:9 199:3 predecessor 39:21	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16 presents 126:24 137:12 218:19 president 16:6	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21 19:23,25 20:13,18 24:24 25:8,16 27:11 31:10 34:7	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18 171:21 173:20 174:12,18,25 175:5,13,14,24	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15 22:18,23,25 59:11 61:17 62:6 256:25 progress 153:13 progressed 91:20
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23 predatory 29:24 30:7 32:9 199:3 predecessor 39:21 predict 7:14 8:1	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16 presents 126:24 137:12 218:19 president 16:6 165:5,7 207:12	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21 19:23,25 20:13,18 24:24 25:8,16 27:11 31:10 34:7 34:18,21 37:25	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18 171:21 173:20 174:12,18,25 175:5,13,14,24 176:21 193:20	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15 22:18,23,25 59:11 61:17 62:6 256:25 progress 153:13 progressed 91:20 92:2
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23 predatory 29:24 30:7 32:9 199:3 predecessor 39:21 predict 7:14 8:1 16:16 29:9 37:14	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16 presents 126:24 137:12 218:19 president 16:6 165:5,7 207:12 208:2,2,18	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21 19:23,25 20:13,18 24:24 25:8,16 27:11 31:10 34:7 34:18,21 37:25 60:5,9 61:10,18	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18 171:21 173:20 174:12,18,25 175:5,13,14,24 176:21 193:20 196:19 215:22	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15 22:18,23,25 59:11 61:17 62:6 256:25 progress 153:13 progressed 91:20 92:2 promised 59:3
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23 predatory 29:24 30:7 32:9 199:3 predecessor 39:21 predict 7:14 8:1 16:16 29:9 37:14 38:8 45:18 46:24	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16 presents 126:24 137:12 218:19 president 16:6 165:5,7 207:12 208:2,2,18 pressure 146:22	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21 19:23,25 20:13,18 24:24 25:8,16 27:11 31:10 34:7 34:18,21 37:25 60:5,9 61:10,18 61:23 62:9 88:8	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18 171:21 173:20 174:12,18,25 175:5,13,14,24 176:21 193:20 196:19 215:22 226:21 233:3	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15 22:18,23,25 59:11 61:17 62:6 256:25 progress 153:13 progressed 91:20 92:2 promised 59:3 219:3
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23 predatory 29:24 30:7 32:9 199:3 predecessor 39:21 predict 7:14 8:1 16:16 29:9 37:14 38:8 45:18 46:24 47:7	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16 presents 126:24 137:12 218:19 president 16:6 165:5,7 207:12 208:2,2,18 pressure 146:22 pretty 17:24 23:18	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21 19:23,25 20:13,18 24:24 25:8,16 27:11 31:10 34:7 34:18,21 37:25 60:5,9 61:10,18 61:23 62:9 88:8 127:25 130:20	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18 171:21 173:20 174:12,18,25 175:5,13,14,24 176:21 193:20 196:19 215:22 226:21 233:3 237:3,8,10,11	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15 22:18,23,25 59:11 61:17 62:6 256:25 progress 153:13 progressed 91:20 92:2 promised 59:3 219:3 promoting 124:7
216:10 precise 137:25 257:18 precision 257:25 predators 23:23 predatory 29:24 30:7 32:9 199:3 predecessor 39:21 predict 7:14 8:1 16:16 29:9 37:14 38:8 45:18 46:24	presentation 144:23 presented 9:9 10:8 10:13 139:11 175:16 presenting 193:16 presents 126:24 137:12 218:19 president 16:6 165:5,7 207:12 208:2,2,18 pressure 146:22	183:11,14 189:19 201:23 215:6 241:17 257:11 prioritize 221:10 priority 97:24 prison 18:7,17,21 19:23,25 20:13,18 24:24 25:8,16 27:11 31:10 34:7 34:18,21 37:25 60:5,9 61:10,18 61:23 62:9 88:8	proceed 69:14 117:17 155:4 proceeding 13:13 87:14 153:25 156:18 166:23 169:11,15,18 171:21 173:20 174:12,18,25 175:5,13,14,24 176:21 193:20 196:19 215:22 226:21 233:3	61:2 82:19 83:5 84:2,5 130:25 152:18 153:6,9,13 203:16 programs 6:10,15 22:18,23,25 59:11 61:17 62:6 256:25 progress 153:13 progressed 91:20 92:2 promised 59:3 219:3

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
proper 9:14 11:5	15:11 132:11	108:14 152:7	230:5 236:22	re-examined 7:11
64:12 207:9	144:9	100.14 152.7	237:18 239:21	re-leveled 7:11
223:15 224:3,13	psychopathy 17:12	0	242:20 244:15	re-offend 22:12
224:22 254:8	18:12	QFC 108:22,22,24	248:9 249:23,25	40:20 60:4 137:12
properly 8:21	public 7:5,6,16	257:2	250:3 251:18	137:15,23 139:24
204:25 260:2	21:3 41:20 42:14	qualified 132:11	250:5 251:18	198:24 219:24
property 205:13	44:4 87:15 94:22	229:8	queue 55:22	232:24
proposed 163:14	118:24 120:4	qualifying 150:12	quick 4:12 24:12	re-offended 17:23
205:3	153:16,23 154:12	quality 24:12	quickly 17:25	240:22
proprietor 221:13	163:16 205:5	quantify 137:22	48 :17 74:22 78:22	re-offending 7:21
prosecutors 57:17	217:16 254:16	question 26:18 65:9	quite 60:2 85:17	18:13 37:22 43:20
protect 88:18	publication 150:19	65:17 66:4 68:23	104:21 107:20	
protection 27:22	published 17:20	88:21 93:25 101:8	115:22 129:20	140:5,12 210:20
164:5	pull 83:11,12,14	104:3 111:3		261:12
proud 53:10	153:24	123:24 127:19	quotation 221:21	re-offense 29:13
proven 30:4 253:23	pulled 70:16	128:7 132:8,20	quote 7:18,22	30:4 38:9 126:24
provide 10:22,25	114:11,12,13,15	133:3,4 141:12	221:20 254:14	142:21
89:20 143:22	114:11,12,13,13	151:11 169:16	255:1	re-offenses 6:1
164:13 169:8	247:5,23	176:3 185:6	quoted 242:12	19:17 227:3
179:17 206:18	purely 180:10	193:11,12 224:15	R	reach 138:23
236:7		228:12 230:19	R 2:1 264:4	reached 25:19
	181:7 183:6	232:9,16,17	Rachel 167:15	26:22
provided 82:22	purposes 180:7,22	235:15 237:20	168:4	reaction 86:3
125:25 127:16	181:7 182:9 216:8	238:4,6 239:7		read 31:4 79:20
142:13 167:9	pursuant 12:3	245:24 248:4,11	racing 106:25	98:21 105:16
175:7,25 180:5	200:9 216:4	248:22,25 250:13	radar 21:6,11 raise 30:2 38:5	120:2,3 181:8,12
181:17 205:8	pursue 107:18	· ·		181:24 182:17,19
228:6	109:10 252:16	questioned 157:21 226:10	131:13 166:19	182:21 183:4,5,9
provider 111:22	258:4		203:25	183:17 195:6,13
152:22 153:8	pursuing 120:11	questioning 87:12	raises 166:11	195:15 196:8,24
provides 140:7,10	122:10 159:16	139:19 156:6	raising 13:21	197:11 225:14
140:12 162:24	160:20 221:12	160:12,20	rambling 26:17	241:15,24,25
260:16	253:19 255:7	questions 30:11	raped 129:4	reading 152:7
providing 49:10	258:8	39:23 48:22 58:3	Rapid 39:20	196:16
140:24 167:25	purview 159:20	65:12,13 80:3	rarely 116:6	ready 86:10 251:23
provision 228:22	pushed 40:11	90:17 103:24	rate 142:16,21,22	real 110:9
provisional 10:20	put 5:23 27:20 32:4	105:8 113:11	142:25 143:3,23	reality 255:12
221:24 222:9	57:22 61:23 62:2	116:13 122:23	263:1	256:17
provisions 5:24	62:11 63:9,16	123:8 124:18	rates 205:20,21	realize 256:16
Psychiatric 150:19	66:9 74:15 82:19	133:24 138:11	ratings 253:17	realized 63:9
psychodynamic	98:18 100:15,18	142:11,11 144:7	rationale 6:16,22	119:18
23:10	108:13 111:22,22	149:12,20 160:23	7:3 226:8	really 21:21 30:3
psychological	132:10 146:18	160:24 161:2	rationalizations	30:16 48:19 52:12
150:3,5	185:8,10 204:4	172:21 178:21	23:13	52:21 53:16 54:11
psychologists 47:2	249:11 255:2	183:24 184:7,14	Rayne 66:14	54:11,25,25 57:23
psychology 14:19	putting 63:14	184:15,21 191:23	RCW 200:9	57:24 70:21 74:18
		198:10 220:10	RE-4 192:21	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
92.16 02.25 09.12	10.11 19 20.6 7	119.10 150.14	redone 34:12	regret 63:7
82:16 92:25 98:13	19:11,18 20:6,7	118:10 150:14 152:8 154:12	reduce 22:19	regular 57:15
98:16,25 106:9	22:19 30:15 31:20			93:10,14 153:7
114:24 116:13	36:22 37:13,15	160:13,15,16,18	reduced 99:21	163:2 209:6
129:10 159:4	40:15 45:18 46:9	161:25 175:19	136:22 137:7	
204:12 206:11	46:15,24 47:8	178:4 180:23	212:12,16 213:3	regularly 112:18
212:3,4 220:4	48:2 87:18 119:3	181:9,25 183:4,9	reduces 252:22	regulate 5:20 229:6
227:5 256:9,17	142:16,21,25	183:17 196:8,16	reducing 19:8,8,14	253:5
reapplied 235:10	143:3,23 149:7	196:24 197:11	reduction 19:13	regulated 162:11
reapply 69:8	231:3,8 233:5,10	201:6 221:17,19	36:25 37:4 213:9	regulatory 121:21
192:22 214:25	233:12 234:2,5,12	240:6,10 248:13	refer 12:4 101:11	162:19 209:14
reason 6:20 153:25	234:18,22 252:23	252:5,7 253:24	referee 80:18,21	rehabilitate 106:20
159:15 229:5	253:1 256:8	262:11,21	refereed 80:13	rehabilitating
reasonable 28:10	262:25	record's 84:8	reference 71:11	120:11
124:13	reckless 66:3 70:2	recorder's 84:15	74:4 75:12 174:7	rehabilitation 6:3,9
reasonably 134:22	70:6,10,17 100:15	157:24	referenced 8:9 45:2	6:10 59:10,10
reasons 9:17	113:13 115:9	records 24:21 33:4	173:14 179:25	88:14 105:18
107:20 229:2	166:16 177:17	41:13 153:2,5,16	216:13	106:2 122:11
rebut 175:17	179:16 184:24	154:15 200:19,22	referred 23:9 26:3	123:25 258:7
rebuttal 180:7,11	215:21 235:24	201:13	182:20	rehabilitative
recall 94:11 103:12	243:23,25 244:7	Recreation 82:21	referring 47:9 67:6	124:9
241:24	248:13 249:17	recreational 80:15	68:11 96:7 141:18	reinforced 17:21
receive 126:5,8	257:13 259:5	RECROSS 3:3	142:18 144:16	reintegration
129:10 135:12	recognize 140:19	104:1,23 105:1	151:10 216:18	122:12 123:25
163:2 179:13	recognized 74:14	106:1 107:1 108:1	reflect 7:21 43:19	258:7
184:3	recollection 224:8	109:1 110:1 111:1	reflects 78:12	related 9:23 39:24
received 10:1 96:17	recommendation	112:1 113:1 114:1	regard 62:25 70:19	166:22 169:11,15
107:14 127:4	72:13 163:20	115:1 116:1 117:1	70:25 77:17,25	169:17 173:6,20
135:13 144:12	164:8 178:12	149:1,24 150:1	78:12,17 84:13	173:20,22 174:12
153:18,18,19	212:24 215:16	151:1 152:1 153:1	113:13 153:20	174:18,25 175:5
165:2,3 170:14	220:17 226:23	154:1 155:1 156:1	233:11 258:2	175:13 176:20,24
177:21,23,24	241:8 244:8	157:1 158:1 159:1	regarding 26:22	177:3 184:3
178:3 192:19	recommendations	160:1 250:1,8	31:20 118:25	215:22 237:2,8,10
197:17 244:2	164:14	251:1	163:5 164:8	237:11,16 245:6
253:17 259:11	recommended 44:7	red 4:19 32:8	243:23 248:13	245:25 248:19
receiving 11:10	184:12	131:13 139:13	249:16 259:11	250:3 251:7
225:18 263:9	recommending	178:13 233:13	regardless 254:1	257:20 259:3
recess 51:7,13	219:16,18	redepmtion 5:15	register 34:14 93:9	relates 228:21
116:20 117:11,15	recommends 9:16	redirect 3:3 48:21	93:16	250:16,25
240:5 252:3,6	reconsider 75:6	104:2,3,5 132:24	registered 80:4	relating 248:22
recidivate 18:22	í de la companya de la company	138:1,12,14 139:1	94:5 98:15 136:25	relating 248.22 relation 197:3
	reconsideration 33:23	138:1,12,14 139:1	185:14,15,18,21	relationship 39:23
recidivating 37:8			185:14,15,18,21	85:9 87:6 191:9
recidivism 6:4 7:2	record 4:6 6:1 13:2	240:2,8,20 241:1		
7:8,14,23 8:1 9:2	13:4 14:11 49:11	245:1,19 246:1	232:6	199:13 204:7,14
16:16,17,19,21	50:14 51:15 72:24	247:1 248:1 249:1	registration 35:3	208:24 211:8
17:6,14,24 18:14	73:3 117:17	redo 34:10	79:3 126:3 127:15	233:25 260:12
				1

		·····		1 490 200
relationships 87:16	261:18	241:23	result 70:17 115:8	ride 92:9
211:12,19 233:21	remarried 100:2	require 227:21,22	resulted 36:2	riding 83:3
234:17 260:14,17	remember 31:5,13	required 93:9	results 17:21 160:2	right 4:5 12:23,24
release 18:17 24:18	80:11 94:14 95:24	162:21 203:15	160:15	13:21 20:4 25:2
25:4 59:16 127:25	112:19 115:10,14	205:23 209:15,18	retain 33:14	30:9 36:11 41:21
130:20 131:4	remembered 160:6	214:5	retained 24:6	44:19 45:24 47:5
152:22 158:4	262:23	requirement	retaliate 98:20	48:15,24 50:6,11
203:7,12,20,24	remind 118:13	120:21 130:8	retrospect 109:12	50:15 54:8,10
204:18 211:15	151:20	184:17 185:3	134:16	57:9 65:9 67:22
233:15 261:23	reminds 9:25	263:12,15	returned 15:10	71:24 72:4,25
released 7:10 25:16	remnant 134:10	requirements	revamping 7:25	73:4,9,12 75:14
27:4,11 34:7	remorse 63:4,5,7	10:18 121:21	revenue 208:23	76:5 77:12 79:10
37:25 38:22 43:5	remorseful 255:20	130:14	review 7:19 25:8,9	80:23 89:12,12
62:9,10 109:17	remove 76:3 77:17	requires 11:10	25:14 33:17,20,25	91:4,17 94:6,9
110:20 134:4	247:11	246:19	34:8,9,16 38:21	
197:19,20 200:6	removed 246:21,23	research 38:7		95:3,5 96:11,11
200:18 201:24	renew 174:3		38:24 42:19 45:8	96:15 106:21
		42:17 164:7	73:24 99:19	111:18 113:2,2,16
202:23 203:6,9,10	renumbering 73:6	199:22 231:5	133:22 135:17	115:3,5,12,12
203:21 212:23	reopen 175:8	residing 35:4 137:2	136:4,10 137:3	117:11 121:8,9,23
relevance 44:14	176:13	resistance 133:15	173:4 200:3,15	123:7,11,12
87:11 122:3,5	repeat 23:25	respond 42:6	201:6,9,13,18	125:22 126:15
132:20 152:9	rephrase 224:18	132:24 146:4	202:8,20,22	128:3 138:9,18,24
172:12,16,22	report 44:6 45:5	174:9 184:15	212:21 225:2	141:6,14,22 142:4
174:4 223:24	46:12,22 121:7	responded 214:6	227:8 235:3 241:3	143:15 145:25
226:6 228:12	127:8 155:22	response 128:6	241:20 261:14	147:7 149:10
250:12,13 251:7	156:2 171:9,19	179:22 227:12	reviewed 16:12	161:10 172:6
relevancy 42:4	173:15 200:23,25	responses 193:19	163:7 164:11	186:10,13 216:11
45:12 49:8 50:3	201:15 221:15	responsibilities	171:13,15 172:9	217:7,25 218:2
122:18	244:3,5 245:3	120:16	187:12 188:4,17	226:19 228:25
relevant 14:24	247:13,14 261:9	responsible 114:8	189:7 190:10	232:4 236:5
49:10 68:19	reporter 13:16	124:11 208:11	198:4,13,21 200:2	244:13 245:5,8
154:23 155:2,3,6	105:16 264:10	209:3,23 261:11	215:12 224:25	249:22 251:20,21
171:21 172:7	reports 45:2 209:10	rest 71:12,15 74:4,9	232:5 235:9,11,12	259:18
174:11 183:5	209:14,20,24	74:10 76:7 78:13	235:13,14 241:18	right-hand 43:8
185:25 186:7	represent 4:14 5:5	78:18,20 168:19	reviewing 19:5	risk 6:4 7:2,4,5,8
196:10 200:22	123:4	256:19	revised 25:11 27:16	7:21 9:2 16:18
201:13 228:9	reputable 167:17	restate 133:4 181:3	27:18 28:5 35:25	17:6,16,23,24
251:5	request 12:1 72:23	restaurant 110:2	36:8,16 43:3	18:3,5,6 19:14,18
reliable 167:11,14	153:16,23 154:3,4	Restoration 119:20	202:3	19:19,20 20:6,8,9
relied 230:13,18,20	154:15 159:19	121:14	revision 27:19	20:11,12,15,17
relief 53:21 57:2	170:9,12,18 175:8	restricted 107:22	revisions 36:13,17	22:3,8 24:17
rely 209:19	205:16	restrictions 109:18	36:18,20	25:12 27:15,17
remain 5:12 258:25	requested 154:21	109:20 110:13,15	revisits 196:17	31:20 32:3 33:2
remaining 186:6	174:15 262:21	124:13	revolve 9:18	34:4,6,25 35:20
remains 35:21	requesting 241:22	rests 244:23	rid 78:23	35:21,23 36:25

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

37:5,10 38:7 39:8 room 1:21 260:9 says 7:1 24:23 scuba 83:4 setf-esteem 23:11 39:8,20,20 40:13 rooted 120:13 33:20 43:7,10 scuba 83:4 scuba 83:4 setf-steem 23:11 43:20 46:13 47:22 rough 98:3 102:16 46:7 47:7,25 65:9 scurhed 77:19,19 setf-steem 22:8:4 37:5,10 38:7 39:8 round 139:19 79:17 18:14 7:15 setf-steem 23:11 setf-steem 23:11 132:24 133:22 round 139:19 79:12 12:17 setf-steem 23:11 setf-steem 23:11 134:5,24 136:13 round 139:19 122:19 148:10 setf-steem 23:11 setf-steem 23:11 134:5,24 136:13 round 139:19 122:19 148:10 setf-steem 23:11 setf-steem 23:11 134:5,24 10:4 165:22 18:20 172:10 201:12,12 161:19 245:13 sending 54:17 142:20,23,24 225:7,17 225:6 227:21 second 18:24 43:17 sensitive 255:24 149:2,6,8 198:22 ruled 99:8 118:4 229:15 231:12 46:7,13 47:6 setf-steem 27:17:18 149:2,6,8 198:22 ruled 91:18:4 229:12 226:4 221 setf-steem 17:19:19 setf-steem 17:19:19	1 490 201				
39:8,20,20 40:15 rooted 120:13 53:20 43:7,10 scaled 201:5 228:4 self-report 148:12 43:20 46:13 47:22 rough 98: 102:16 46:7 47:7,25 65:9 scarched 77:19,19 self-storage 228:4 126:23 131:21 103:5 104:17 79:21 121:17 sear-6-the-pants sending 54:17 134:52 41 33:22 round 139:19 122:19 148:10 143:7 seared 51:12 14:3 sending 54:17 137:12,15,19,23 rule 11:9,10 120:22 172:10 201:12,12 161:19 245:13 sense 54:13 108:5 140:13 (42:15,17 222:16 223:4 221:9,23 22:22:1 sectod 51:2 14:3 sensitive 255:24 142:20,23,24 225:7,17 225:6 227:21 second 18:24 43:17 sensitive 255:24 149:2,6,8 198:22 rules 10:4,22 27:6 236:25 237:20 68:10 74:8 95:24 67:79 75:17 77:18 200:16 20:18,23 154:24 159:6 scenario 37:24 170:79,12 177:11 78:61 19:14 214:9 201:2 10:20.22 209:4 217:22 scheduled 110:218 section 127:18 34:9,16 38:21 204:3 210:20,22 22:18;17 224:4 schedule 110:21 section 127:18 34:9,16 38:21 <t< td=""><td>37.5 10 38.7 39.8</td><td>room 1.21 260.9</td><td>savs 7.1 24.23</td><td>scuba 83·4</td><td>self-esteem 23:11</td></t<>	37.5 10 38.7 39.8	room 1.21 260.9	savs 7.1 24.23	scuba 83·4	self-esteem 23:11
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $,				
87:15,18 126:23 roughly 101:11 75:19 78:14 79:18 searching 207:4 semantic 259:6 126:23 131:21 103:5 104:17 79:21 121:17 set-of-the-pants send 35:10 88:10 132:4 133:22 round 139:19 122:19 148:10 143:7 send 35:10 88:10 134:5,24 136:13 routine 61:11 86:6 154:8 155:12 seated 5:12 14:3 send 35:10 88:10 137:22,52 5140:24 122:25 140:22 122:10 20:12 20:22 38:20 120:18 send 45:11 99:12 140:13 142:15,17 22:16 223:4 221:9,23 22:22:1 38:20 120:18 send 41:2 49:20 149:26,8 198:22 rules 10:14,22 27:6 236:25 237:20 68:10 74:8 95:24 67:9 75:17 77:18 198:23,24 200:4 32:11 65:20 66:5 247:14 170:7,9,12 171:11 78:6 119:14 214:9 200:16 201:8,23 154:24 159:6 scenario 37:24 172:19 173:5,18 243:12 202:32 203:3 154:25 221:22 schedule 102:18 section 127:18 34:9,16 38:21 213:20,20,22 209:4 217:22 schedule 116:25 sections 10:19 34:9,16 38:21 231:14,18,19,19 ruling 118:5 148:23 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>· · · · ·</td> <td></td> <td>-</td>			· · · · ·		-
126:23 131:21 103:5 104:17 79:21 121:17 seat-of-the-pants send 35:10 88:10 132:24 133:22 round 139:19 122:19 143:7 209:18 209:18 134:5,24 136:13 rule (1:9,10 120:22 172:10 201:12,12 161:19 245:13 sending 54:17 137:25,25 140:13 142:20,23,24 222:16 220:16 221:9,23 222:12 38:20 120:21 sending 54:17 142:20,23,24 222:16 223:7,17 225:6 227:21 secund 18:24 43:17 sentitive 255:24 67:975:17 77:18 sentitive 255:24 67:975:17 77:18 sentitive 255:24 67:975:17 77:18 sentitive 25:24 57:975:17 77:18 243:12 25:14 33:17.20 198:23,22 10:20,22 20:42 20:16,23:21 163:15 20:54 scenario 37:24 172:19 173:5,18 243:12 scenter 7:19 25:8 20:16 20:12,22 21:162:20:42 schedule 116:25 section 127:18 34:9,16 38:21 25:14 33:17.20 21:11,12,2,25 21:82:52 221:17			,	,	Ŭ,
132:4 133:22 round 139:19 122:19 148:10 143:7 209:18 134:5,24 136:13 routine 61:11 86:6 154:8 155:12 seated 5:12 14:3 sending 54:17 137:12,15,19,23 rule 11:9,10 120:22 172:10 201:12,12 161:19 245:13 sense 54:13 108:5 137:25,25 140:4 122:16 223:4 221:9,23 222:21 38:20 120:18 143:2 199:12 142:20,23,24 225:7,17 225:6 227:21 second 18:24 43:17 sensitive 255:24 149:2,6,8 198:22 rules 10:14,22 27:6 236:25 237:20 68:10 74:8 95:24 67:9 75:17 77:18 198:23,24 200:4 32:11 65:20 66:5 247:14 170:7,9 12 17:11 78:6 119:14 214:9 200:16 201:8,23 154:24 159:6 scenario 37:24 172:19 173:51.18 243:12 204:5 210:20,22 209:4 217:22 schedule 102:18 220:4 221:9 25:14 33:17,20 211:1,22,25 218:25 221:22 schedule 116:25 section 127:18 34:9,16 38:21 213:20,20,22,25 ruin 51:12 89:14 109:22 sciton 127:18 34:9,16 38:21 231:20,20,22,25 ruin 51:12 89:14 109:22	,			U	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				· •	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					÷ i
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$, , , ,	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2			,	· · · ·
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-		,		
149:2,6,8 198:22 rules 10:14,22 27:6 236:25 237:20 68:10 74:8 95:24 67:9 75:17 77:18 198:23,24 200:4 32:11 65:20 66:5 247:14 170:7,9,12 171:11 78:6 119:14 214:9 200:16 201:8,23 154:24 159:6 scenario 37:24 172:19 173:5,18 243:12 202:3,25 203:3 163:15 205:4 scenario 37:24 172:19 173:5,18 243:12 204:5 210:20,22 209:4 217:22 schedule 102:18 scentor 27:19 173:5,18 243:17,20 212:11,22,25 218:25 221:22 schedule 116:25 section 127:18 34:9,16 38:21 213:2 217:24 222:12,17 224:8 school 15:6,10 173:6 200:10 133:22 135:17 230:14 231:3,7,13 239:9,13 241:17 80:13,14 81:8,10 sections 10:19 136:4,10 137:3 231:20,20,22,25 run 15:12 89:14 109:22 scene 234:13 248:9 science 14:16 55:14 55:11 66:23 82:24 178:2 197:13 234:17,18,19 runs 86:4 110:8 science 14:16 55:11 66:23 82:24 178:2 197:13 sentencing 41:20 254:14,16,16 S.W 1:21 safety 61:18,21,22 40:2,4 46:8,14,20 159:11 160:14 sentencing 41:20 256:8 261:11,13					
198:23,24 200:4 200:16 201:8,2332:11 65:20 66:5 154:24 159:6 scenario 37:24247:14 170:7,9,12 171:1178:6 119:14 214:9 243:12200:16 201:8,23 200:25 203:3154:24 159:6 154:24 159:6scenario 37:24 scene 253:4172:19 173:5,18 243:12243:12 sentence 7:19 25:8 setion 127:18204:5 210:20,22 209:4 21:9209:4 21:22 218:25 221:22schedule 01:6:25 schedule 116:25scene 253:4 setion 127:18186:3,6 193:21 24:21:9setion 27:18 34:9,16 38:21213:2 217:24 222:12,17 224:8222:12,17 224:8 schedule 21:11schedule 116:25 setion 127:18setion 127:18 150:23,25 151:399:19 126:2 127:4 99:19 126:2 127:4230:14 231:3,7,13 230:14 43:13,7,13239:9,13 241:17 ruling 118:5 148:23 run 15:12 89:1480:13,14 81:8,13 8e:10,11,12,13seetions 10:19 steer 206:15133:22 135:17 189:2 200:3 202:8231:20,20,22,25 223:23,37,9,10,22run 15:12 89:14 109:22109:22see 4:19 9:2 13:20 212:21 221:9122:12 12:19 213:20232:23,7,9,10,22 234:14,16,1655:14 S2:1 73:6Science 14:16 science 14:16 science 14:16 sertence 459:8sentenced 59:8 science 14:16 sertence 459:8249:11 risky 33:14safe 55:25 167:11 safe 55:25 167:11 safe 55:25 167:11 safe 55:25 167:11 safe 55:25 167:1239:10,13,16,20 40:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16 130:3 154:11 238:18 serious 62:13 86:2 serious 62:13 86:2153:6,8 157:24 rok 139:17 rok 139:17 rok 139:17 rok 139:17 satisfied 246:21 satisfied 246:21 satisfied 246:21 satisfied 246:21 satisfied 246:21 satisfy 76:1239:9<				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
200:16 201:8,23154:24 159:6scenario 37:24172:19 173:5,18243:12202:3,25 203:3163:15 205:4scene 253:4186:3,6 193:21sentence 7:19 25:8204:5 210:20,22209:4 217:22schedule 10:18220:4 221:925:14 33:17,20212:11,22,25218:25 221:22schedule 116:25section 127:18349:16 38:21213:2 217:24222:12,17 224:8schedule 116:25section 127:18349:16 38:21230:14 231:3,7,13239:9,13 241:1780:13,14 81:8,13section 127:18349:16 38:21231:20,20,22,25run 15:12 89:14109:22see 4:19 9:2 13:20212:21 221:9232:2,3,7,9,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 21:15,16235:2 261:7,14232:2,3,79,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 21:15,16235:2 261:7,14232:2,3,79,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 11:3:10sentenced 59:8234:17,21 235:5Scince 14:1655:1432:8 43:9,21sentencing 41:20254:14,16,16S2:1 73:6249:21122:18 125:1558:10 157:11256:8 261:11,13SwH 121score 7:13,22 34:6130:3 154:11238:18261:17,18,19safe 55:25 167:1139:10,13,16,20159:11 160:14sentencing 41:20178:8 130:3 146:24safefy 6:1 86:1240:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16serious 53:3 66:218:18 233:19risifactory 205:18score 30:14 37:11236:19 243:18serieus 62:13 86:2153:6,8 157:24satisfied 246:21score 30:14 37:11236:19 243:18serieus 6		,			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	<i>'</i>				
204:5 210:20,22 212:11,22,25209:4 217:22 218:25 221:22schedule 102:18 scheduled 116:25220:4 221:9 section 127:1825:14 33:17,20 34:9,16 38:21213:2 217:24 219:3,24,24,25222:12,17 224:8 224:11 225:24scheduled 116:25 scheme 123:11section 127:18 150:23,25 151:399:19 126:2 127:4 171:6 200:10230:14 231:3,7,13 231:14,18,19,19 231:14,18,19,19 231:20,20,22,25ruling 118:5 148:23 runing 86:4 110:8school 15:6,10 86:10,11,12,13171:6 200:10 sections 10:19133:22 135:17 136:2,103:20 212:21 221:9232:23,37,9,10,22 232:23,37,9,10,22runing 86:4 110:8 55:14sceure 206:15 science 14:16 science 1	· · ·				
212:11,22,25218:25 221:22scheduled 116:25section 127:1834:9,16 38:21213:2 217:24222:12,17 224:8222:12,17 224:8scheme 123:11150:23,25 151:399:19 126:2 127:4219:23,24,24,25224:11 225:24school 15:6,10171:6 200:10133:22 135:17230:14 231:3,7,13239:9,13 241:1780:13,14 81:8,13sections 10:19136:4,10 137:3231:20,20,22,25run 15:12 89:1480:10,11,12,13sec 4:19 9:2 13:20212:21 221:9232:2,3,7,9,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 21:15,16235:2 261:7,14232:2,3,7,9,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 21:15,16235:2 261:7,14232:2,3,7,9,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 113:10sentencid 59:8234:17,21 235:5S2:1 73:6S2:1 73:6score 7:13,22 34:6130:3 154:11238:18252:21,22 253:21Safety 6:1 86:1240:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16sentencing 41:20254:14,16,16S2:1 73:639:10,13,16,20159:11 160:14sentimentally 53:9261:17,18,19safety 6:1 86:1240:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16serious 62:13 86:2218:18 233:19164:7 206:8,21,2147:17,25 119:2175:3 176:11serious 92:13 86:2risky 233:14satisfactory 205:18satisfactory 205:18scored 39:8 47:13236:19 243:18service 6:13 15:25solor 139:17satisfy 76:12satisfy 76:1239:9seed 20:18 30:25si:23 69:23 115:9risky 23:14satisfy 76:12satisfy 76:1239:9seed 20:1	· · · ·			-	
213:2 217:24222:12,17 224:8scheme 123:11150:23,25 151:399:19 126:2 127:4219:23,24,24,25224:11 225:24school 15:6,10171:6 200:10133:22 135:17230:14 231:3,7,13239:9,13 241:1780:13,14 81:8,13sections 10:19136:4,10 137:3231:20,20,22,25run 15:12 89:14109:22see 4:19 9:2 13:20212:21 221:9232:23 233:12,23running 86:4 110:8Schwarzenegger15:22 21:15,16235:2 261:7,14232:24,24,25science 14:16sentencing 41:2032:8 43:9,21sentencing 41:20232:23 233:12,23safe 55:25 167:11safe 55:25 167:11sere 7:13,22 34:630:3 154:11238:1825:11,7,18,19safe 55:25 167:11safe 55:25 167:11serimentally 53:9serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14safisfed 246:2140:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14satisfied 246:21score 30:8 47:13236:19 243:18serious 62:13 86:2roaming 40:19247:9,15satisfied 246:2139:9seed y 22:7serious 62:13 86:2rok 817,19 53:20satisfy 76:12score 30:14 37:1139:9seed y 22:7serice 8:11 52:1654:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12satisfy 76:1229:19,21 30:12,1995:16 120:9 127:753:23 69:23 115:954:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12satisfy 76:1229:19,21 30:12,1995:16 120:9 127:7253:1654:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12satisfy 76:12seed y 22:7section 21:15:2554:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12se					,
219:23,24,24,25224:11 225:24school 15:6,10171:6 200:10133:22 135:17230:14 231:3,7,13239:9,13 241:1780:13,14 81:8,13sections 10:19136:4,10 137:3231:14,18,19,19ruling 118:5 148:2386:10,11,12,13secure 206:15189:2 200:3 202:8231:20,20,22,25run 15:12 89:14109:22see 4:19 9:2 13:20212:21 221:9232:23,37,9,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 21:15,16235:2 261:7,14232:23 233:12,23running 86:4 110:855:1432:8 43:9,21sentenced 59:8234:17,21 235:5scione 14:16scione 234:13 248:982:25 113:10sentencing 41:20254:14,16,16S 2:1 73:6249:21122:18 125:1558:10 157:11256:8 261:11,13S.W 1:21score 7:13,22 34:6130:3 154:11238:18261:17,18,19safety 6:1 86:1239:10,13,16,20159:11 160:14sentimentally 53:9risks 130:3 146:24safety 6:1 86:1240:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14satisfactory 205:18satisfactory 205:18scored 39:8 47:1326:19 243:18served 6:13 15:25roek 139:17satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16roek 139:17satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seely 22:7service 8:11 52:16sk:17,19 53:20satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seely 22:7service 8:11 52:16sk:23 202:24184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25					
230:14 231:3,7,13239:9,13 241:1780:13,14 81:8,13sections 10:19136:4,10 137:3231:14,18,19,19ruling 118:5 148:2386:10,11,12,13secure 206:15189:2 200:3 202:8231:20,20,22,25run 15:12 89:14109:22sec 4:19 9:2 13:20212:21 221:9232:23,23,7,9,10,22152:5running 86:4 110:855:1432:8 43:9,21sentenced 59:8234:17,21 235:5Sscience 14:1655:11 66:23 82:24178:2 197:13252:21,22 253:21Sscience 14:1655:11 66:23 82:24178:2 197:13254:14,16,16S.W 1:21score 7:13,22 34:6130:3 154:11238:18261:17,18,19safe 55:25 167:1139:10,13,16,20159:11 160:14sentimentally 53:9risks 130:3 146:24safety 6:1 86:1240:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16serious 62:13 86:2153:6,8 157:24sailors 82:22141:24221:15,20 230:6131:22risky 233:14satisfied 246:21scores 30:14 37:11251:4 254:12,1380:2 194:20roek 139:17satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7served 6:13 15:2554:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seely 22:7service 8:11 52:1654:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seely 22:7service 8:11 52:1654:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seely 22:7service 8:11 52:1654:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12satisfy 76:12service 8:11 52:16service 8:11 52:1654:12 55:19,20		,		, i	
231:14,18,19,19 231:20,20,22,25ruling 118:5 148:23 run 15:12 89:1486:10,11,12,13 109:22secure 206:15 sce 4:19 9:2 13:20 212:21 221:9189:2 200:3 202:8 212:21 221:9232:23,23,7,9,10,22 232:23 233:12,23152:5 running 86:4 110:855:14 55:1432:8 43:9,21 32:8 43:9,21235:2 261:7,14 sentenced 59:8234:17,21 235:5 252:21,22 253:21S S 254:14,16,16S 2:1 73:6 S 2:1 73:6Science 14:16 science 14:1655:11 66:23 82:24 122:18 125:15sentencid 59:8 58:10 157:11256:8 261:11,13 261:17,18,19S.W 1:21 safe 55:25 167:11 safe 55:25 167:11 safety 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,21 risky 233:14safety 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,21 40:2,4 46:8,14,20 40:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16 163:13 172:16sentimentally 53:9 serious 62:13 86:2 serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14 roaming 40:19satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfied 246:21 247:9,1539:9 scoreg 30:14 37:11 39:9sectore 30:14 37:11 39:9251:4 254:12,13 seedy 22:7 seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16 siz3 69:23 115:9roak 139:17 rok 139:17 satisfy 76:12Saturn 29:7 satisfy 76:12 Saturn 29:7 satisfy 76:1227:25 28:6,12 29:19,21 30:12,19 31:9,11 38:24,24service 14:25service 8:11,55Saturn 29:7 25:16 120:9 127:7 25:16services 14:25	219:23,24,24,25	224:11 225:24	school 15:6,10	171:6 200:10	
231:20,20,22,25run 15:12 89:14109:22see 4:19 9:2 13:20212:21 221:9232:23,23,7,9,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 21:15,16235:2 261:7,14232:23,23,7,9,10,22152:5science 14:1632:8 43:9,21sentenced 59:8234:17,21 235:5Sscience 14:1655:1432:8 43:9,21sentencid 59:8252:21,22 253:21Sscience 14:1655:11 66:23 82:24178:2 197:13254:14,16,16S 2:1 73:6S.W 1:21score 7:13,22 34:6130:3 154:11238:18261:17,18,19safe 55:25 167:11sore 7:13,22 34:6130:3 154:11238:18218:18 233:19164:7 206:8,21,2140:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14saifsted 246:2147:17,25 119:2175:3 176:11serious 62:13 86:28isfed 246:21satisfied 246:21score 30:14 37:11236:19 243:18served 6:13 15:25roaming 40:19247:9,15soring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16rok 139:17satisfied 246:21soring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:1654:12 55:19,20satisf 76:12soring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:1654:12 55:19,20satisf,51,5 96:2129:19,21 30:12,1995:16 120:9 127:7253:1688:23 202:24184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25	230:14 231:3,7,13	239:9,13 241:17	80:13,14 81:8,13	sections 10:19	136:4,10 137:3
232:2,3,7,9,10,22152:5Schwarzenegger15:22 21:15,16235:2 261:7,14232:23 233:12,23running 86:4 110:855:1432:8 43:9,21sentenced 59:8234:17,21 235:5SScience 14:1655:11 66:23 82:24178:2 197:13252:21,22 253:21SS:21 73:6scope 234:13 248:982:25 113:10sentencing 41:20254:14,16,16S.W 1:21safe 55:25 167:11safe 55:25 167:1130:3 154:11238:18261:17,18,19safe 55:25 167:11safe 55:25 167:1139:10,13,16,20159:11 160:14sentimentally 53:9risks 130:3 146:24safety 6:1 86:12164:7 206:8,21,2140:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16serious 62:13 86:2153:6,8 157:24satisfactory 205:18satisfied 246:21247:9,15score 30:14 37:11236:19 243:18served 6:13 15:25roaming 40:19247:9,15soring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16sories 20:13 80:25si2:3 69:23 115:9rok 139:17satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16si2:2 69:23 115:9s4:12 55:19,20sat 58:15,15 96:2129:19,21 30:12,1995:16 120:9 127:7253:1688:23 202:24184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25	231:14,18,19,19	ruling 118:5 148:23	86:10,11,12,13	secure 206:15	189:2 200:3 202:8
232:23 233:12,23 running 86:4 110:8 55:14 32:8 43:9,21 sentenced 59:8 234:17,21 235:5 S science 14:16 55:11 66:23 82:24 178:2 197:13 254:14,16,16 S 2:1 73:6 scope 234:13 248:9 82:25 113:10 sentenced 59:8 256:8 261:11,13 S.W 1:21 scope 234:13 248:9 82:25 113:10 58:10 157:11 266:17,18,19 safe 55:25 167:11 safety 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,21 40:2,4 46:8,14,20 163:13 172:16 sentimentally 53:9 risks 130:3 146:24 sailors 82:22 164:7 206:8,21,21 47:17,25 119:2 175:3 176:11 serious 62:13 86:2 risky 233:14 sailors 82:22 satisfactory 205:18 scored 39:8 47:13 236:19 243:18 served 6:13 15:25 153:6,8 157:24 satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 39:9 seedy 22:7 service 8:11 52:16 roaming 40:19 247:9,15 satisfy 76:12 scoring 25:9 27:2,3 seeking 131:25 53:23 69:23 115:9 54:12 55:19,20 satisfy 76:12 satisfy 76:12 scoring 25:9 27:2,3 seeking 131:25 53:23 69:23 115:9 54:12 55:19,20 satisfy 76:12 satisfy 76:12 scoring 25:9 27:2,3 <td< td=""><td>231:20,20,22,25</td><td>run 15:12 89:14</td><td>109:22</td><td>see 4:19 9:2 13:20</td><td>212:21 221:9</td></td<>	231:20,20,22,25	run 15:12 89:14	109:22	see 4:19 9:2 13:20	212:21 221:9
234:17,21 235:5 252:21,22 253:21science 14:16 scope 234:13 248:955:11 66:23 82:24 82:25 113:10178:2 197:13 sentencing 41:20254:14,16,16 256:8 261:11,13S.W 1:21 safe 55:25 167:11S.W 1:21 safe 55:25 167:11score 7:13,22 34:6 39:10,13,16,20130:3 154:11 130:3 154:11238:18 sentimentally 53:9risks 130:3 146:24 218:18 233:19safe ty 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,2140:2,4 46:8,14,20 40:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16 163:13 172:16sentimentally 53:9 serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14 roaming 40:19satisfactory 205:18 247:9,15scored 39:8 47:13 39:9236:19 243:18 served 6:13 15:25served 6:13 15:25 sitisfied 246:21 39:9rock 139:17 s4:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12 satisfy 76:1239:9 27:25 28:6,12 29:19,21 30:12,19seedy 22:7 95:16 120:9 127:7 253:16service 8:11 52:16 23:21 53:2688:23 202:24184:2 18:2131:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25	232:2,3,7,9,10,22	152:5	Schwarzenegger	15:22 21:15,16	235:2 261:7,14
234:17,21 235:5 252:21,22 253:21science 14:16 scope 234:13 248:955:11 66:23 82:24 82:25 113:10178:2 197:13 sentencing 41:20254:14,16,16 256:8 261:11,13S.W 1:21 safe 55:25 167:11S.W 1:21 safe 55:25 167:11score 7:13,22 34:6 39:10,13,16,20130:3 154:11 130:3 154:11238:18 sentimentally 53:9risks 130:3 146:24 218:18 233:19safe ty 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,2140:2,4 46:8,14,20 40:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16 163:13 172:16sentimentally 53:9 serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14 roaming 40:19satisfactory 205:18 247:9,15scored 39:8 47:13 39:9236:19 243:18 served 6:13 15:25served 6:13 15:25 sitisfied 246:21 39:9rock 139:17 s4:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12 satisfy 76:1239:9 27:25 28:6,12 29:19,21 30:12,19seedy 22:7 95:16 120:9 127:7 253:16service 8:11 52:16 23:21 53:2688:23 202:24184:2 18:2131:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25	232:23 233:12,23	running 86:4 110:8	55:14	32:8 43:9,21	sentenced 59:8
252.21,22253.21Serice (11,2)Serice (11,2)254:14,16,16S 2:1 73:6249:21122:18 125:1558:10 157:11256:8 261:11,13S.W 1:21safe 55:25 167:11safe 55:25 167:1139:10,13,16,20159:11 160:14sentimentally 53:9risks 130:3 146:24safety 6:1 86:1240:2,4 46:8,14,20163:13 172:16serious 62:13 86:2218:18 233:19164:7 206:8,21,2147:17,25 119:2175:3 176:11serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14sailors 82:2247:17,25 119:2175:3 176:11serious 937:20risky 233:14satisfied 246:21247:9,15score 30:14 37:11236:19 243:18serious 937:20153:6,8 157:24satisfied 246:21scores 30:14 37:11251:4 254:12,1380:2 194:20roaming 40:19247:9,1539:9seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16role 8:17,19 53:20Saturn 29:7scoring 25:9 27:2,3seeking 131:2553:23 69:23 115:954:12 55:19,20saw 58:15,15 96:2129:19,21 30:12,1995:16 120:9 127:7253:1688:23 202:24184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25	234:17,21 235:5		science 14:16	55:11 66:23 82:24	178:2 197:13
254:14,16,16 256:8 261:11,13S.2:1 73:6 S.W 1:21 safe 55:25 167:11 safe 55:25 167:11249:21 score 7:13,22 34:6 39:10,13,16,20122:18 125:15 130:3 154:1158:10 157:11 238:18 sentimentally 53:9 serious 62:13 86:2risks 130:3 146:24 218:18 233:19safe 55:25 167:11 safety 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,21 satisfactory 205:18 satisfied 246:21 roaming 40:19247:06:8,21,21 satisfied 246:21 247:9,15141:24 score 39:8 47:13 score 30:14 37:11 39:9152:14 254:12 seedy 22:7serious 62:13 86:2 serious 62:13 86:2roaming 40:19 s4:12 55:19,20247:9,15 satisfy 76:12score 30:14 37:11 39:9236:19 243:18 seedy 22:7served 6:13 15:25 seedy 22:7satisfy 76:12 s4:12 55:19,20Saturn 29:7 satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3 27:25 28:6,12seedy 22:7 seen 20:18 30:25service 8:11 52:16 sight 31:2588:23 202:24184:2 184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25	252:21,22 253:21		scope 234:13 248:9	82:25 113:10	sentencing 41:20
256:8 261:11,13 261:17,18,19S.W 1:21 safe 55:25 167:11 safe 55:25 167:12 satisfied 246:21 satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:12score 7:13,22 34:6 40:2,4 46:8,14,20 47:17,25 119:2 141:24130:3 154:11 159:11 160:14 163:13 172:16 163:13 172:16 163:13 172:16238:18 serious 62:13 86:2 serious 937:20 131:22Rivers 24:2 59:15 153:6,8 157:24 roaming 40:19 rock 139:17 role 8:17,19 53:20 54:12 55:19,20satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:12 Saturn 29:7 satisfy 76:12 Saturn 29:7 satisfy 76:12 Saturn 29:7 satisfy 76:12 Satur 29:7 satisfy 76:12 Satur 29:7 satisfy 76:12 Satur 29:7 satisfy 76:12 satisfy 76:12 Satur 29:7 satisfy 76:12 satisfy 7	1 7	S 2:1 73:6	-	122:18 125:15	58:10 157:11
261:17,18,19 risks 130:3 146:24 218:18 233:19safe 55:25 167:11 safety 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,21 sailors 82:2239:10,13,16,20 40:2,4 46:8,14,20159:11 160:14 163:13 172:16sentimentally 53:9 serious 62:13 86:2 serious 62:13 86:2risky 233:14 Rivers 24:2 59:15 153:6,8 157:24 roaming 40:19 rock 139:17satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:1239:10,13,16,20 40:2,4 46:8,14,20159:11 160:14 163:13 172:16sentimentally 53:9 serious 62:13 86:2 serious 62:13 86:2role 8:17,19 53:20 54:12 55:19,20satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:1239:9 scoring 25:9 27:2,3 27:25 28:6,12seeking 131:25 seeking 131:25service 8:11 52:16 53:23 69:23 115:9satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:1239:9 27:25 28:6,12service 13:15:25satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:1239:9 27:25 28:6,12seedy 22:7 27:25 28:6,12service 8:11 52:16 25:16 120:9 127:7set 139:17 88:23 202:24184:2 10 24 20 2,5139:11 38:24,24127:11 151:24	<i></i>	S.W 1:21	score 7:13,22 34:6		238:18
risks 130:3 146:24 218:18 233:19 risky 233:14safety 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,21 sailors 82:22 satisfactory 205:18 satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 rock 139:17 role 8:17,19 53:20 54:12 55:19,20safety 6:1 86:12 164:7 206:8,21,21 satisfy 76:1240:2,4 46:8,14,20 47:17,25 119:2 141:24163:13 172:16 175:3 176:11 221:15,20 230:6 236:19 243:18 236:19 243:18 251:4 254:12,13 seedy 22:7serious 62:13 86:2 seriously 37:20 131:22roaming 40:19 rock 139:17 stisfy 76:12247:9,15 satisfy 76:12scored 39:8 47:13 39:9236:19 243:18 251:4 254:12,13 seedy 22:7served 6:13 15:25 80:2 194:20 53:23 69:23 115:9rol 8:17,19 53:20 88:23 202:24Saturn 29:7 184:2scoring 25:9 27:2,3 29:19,21 30:12,19seeking 131:25 95:16 120:9 127:7service 8:11 52:16 53:23 69:23 115:9services 14:25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	safe 55:25 167:11			sentimentally 53:9
218:18 233:19164:7 206:8,21,2147:17,25 119:2175:3 176:11seriously 37:20risky 233:14sailors 82:2247:17,25 119:2175:3 176:11seriously 37:20Rivers 24:2 59:15satisfactory 205:18satisfied 246:21236:19 243:18served 6:13 15:25153:6,8 157:24satisfied 246:21247:9,15scores 30:14 37:11251:4 254:12,13served 6:13 15:25roaming 40:19247:9,15satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16role 8:17,19 53:20Saturn 29:727:25 28:6,12seen 20:18 30:25192:17 206:854:12 55:19,20saw 58:15,15 96:2129:19,21 30:12,1995:16 120:9 127:7253:1688:23 202:24184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25		safety 6:1 86:12			-
risky 233:14sailors 82:22141:24221:15,20 230:6131:22Rivers 24:2 59:15satisfactory 205:18scored 39:8 47:13236:19 243:18served 6:13 15:25153:6,8 157:24satisfied 246:21scores 30:14 37:11251:4 254:12,1380:2 194:20roaming 40:19247:9,15scores 30:14 37:11251:4 254:12,1380:2 194:20rock 139:17satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16role 8:17,19 53:20Saturn 29:727:25 28:6,12seen 20:18 30:25192:17 206:854:12 55:19,20184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25		164:7 206:8,21,21	· · ·		
Rivers 24:2 59:15 153:6,8 157:24 roaming 40:19satisfactory 205:18 satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:12scored 39:8 47:13 scores 30:14 37:11 39:9236:19 243:18 251:4 254:12,13 seedy 22:7 seedy 22:7served 6:13 15:25 80:2 194:20 service 8:11 52:16rok 139:17 rok 8:17,19 53:20 54:12 55:19,20 88:23 202:24satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:12scored 39:8 47:13 scores 30:14 37:11 39:9236:19 243:18 251:4 254:12,13 seedy 22:7served 6:13 15:25 80:2 194:20 seedy 22:7satisfy 76:12 54:12 55:19,20satisfy 76:12 saw 58:15,15 96:21 184:2scoring 25:9 27:2,3 27:25 28:6,12seeking 131:25 seen 20:18 30:25service 8:11 52:16 53:23 69:23 115:9satisfy 76:12 54:12 55:19,20saw 58:15,15 96:21 184:229:19,21 30:12,19 31:9,11 38:24,2495:16 120:9 127:7 127:11 151:24253:16 services 14:25		sailors 82:22			•
153:6,8 157:24 roaming 40:19 rock 139:17satisfied 246:21 247:9,15 satisfy 76:12scores 30:14 37:11 39:9251:4 254:12,13 seedy 22:780:2 194:20 service 8:11 52:16role 8:17,19 53:20 54:12 55:19,20 88:23 202:24satisfy 76:12 satisfy 76:21scoring 25:9 27:2,3 27:25 28:6,12seeking 131:25 seen 20:18 30:25soring 25:9 27:2,3 192:17 206:888:23 202:24184:2 10 24 20 2 531:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25		satisfactory 205:18		-	
roaming 40:19247:9,1539:9seedy 22:7service 8:11 52:16rock 139:17satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seeking 131:2553:23 69:23 115:9role 8:17,19 53:20Saturn 29:727:25 28:6,12seen 20:18 30:25192:17 206:854:12 55:19,20saw 58:15,15 96:2129:19,21 30:12,1995:16 120:9 127:7253:1688:23 202:24184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25		-			
rock 139:17satisfy 76:12scoring 25:9 27:2,3seeking 131:2553:23 69:23 115:9role 8:17,19 53:20Saturn 29:727:25 28:6,12seeking 131:2553:23 69:23 115:954:12 55:19,20saw 58:15,15 96:2129:19,21 30:12,1995:16 120:9 127:7253:1688:23 202:24184:231:9,11 38:24,24127:11 151:24services 14:25	-	247:9.15			
role 8:17,19 53:20 Saturn 29:7 27:25 28:6,12 seen 20:18 30:25 192:17 206:8 54:12 55:19,20 saw 58:15,15 96:21 29:19,21 30:12,19 95:16 120:9 127:7 253:16 88:23 202:24 184:2 31:9,11 38:24,24 127:11 151:24 services 14:25	<u> </u>	, ·			
54:12 55:19,20 88:23 202:24		-			
88:23 202:24 184:2 31:9,11 38:24,24 127:11 151:24 services 14:25	-		,		
00.25 202.24 S1.9,11 50.24,24 I27.11 151.24 Stivites 11.25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
$1 - 207 \cdot 11 + 12 - 222 \cdot 15 = 1 - 88 \times 109 = 19224 = 2023 \cdot 2 - 1 - 100 \cdot 11 = 1 - 16 \cdot 25 - 70 \cdot 20 \cdot 25 = 1$		saying 19:24 20:3,5	screen 43:4	127.11 131.24	16:25 79:20,25
	·		· ·		-
			9	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
	· · ·		,		
rolling 53:25 147:25 148:3 scrutiny 37:19 177:4 set 7:9 16:12 34:25	rolling 53:25		scrutiny 37:19	1//:4	set 7:9 10:12 34:23
		220.22 2J0.27			

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
38:4 64:18 158:8	198:23 199:14,19	82:25 91:15	site 6:25 7:1 8:5	80:12,13,16 81:16
199:20,23 214:5	200:4,4,5 201:22	116:20 181:23	74:16,19,20 77:8	194:20
232:15,16 234:6	201:23 202:2,17	240:5 252:6	79:2,16 82:2	social 14:18,25
243:16 264:16	206:24 210:19	shortened 74:12	84:14,18 93:19	15:5,6 21:7 22:13
sets 25:15 33:17	212:16 213:11	shorter 63:6 156:19	95:4,14,18 96:2	26:2 37:16 71:2
34:17	219:22 220:6	Shorthand 264:9	97:6 114:25 126:3	87:22 146:19,20
setting 71:2 200:20	225:20 232:6	shortly 13:1	127:15 189:24	146:21
settings 6:2	234:8,25 235:5	shout 13:10	190:2 194:17	society 122:12
setup 243:12	239:2 259:21	show 5:19 7:7,9 9:9	198:5,13 210:21	124:2 252:13
seven 52:19 82:14	sexual 6:24 11:2,20	9:19 10:8 22:16	229:23 230:5	256:20 260:19
85:23 90:18 100:7	15:8,15,18 16:3	38:6 46:21 56:21	231:12 254:11	sold 109:2
103:20,21 128:21	17:7,10,14 18:9	83:16 95:15 96:13	261:24,25	sole 221:13 239:15
197:17	18:10,11 20:9	showed 17:20,22	sites 64:16	solely 47:20 121:19
seven-year 9:13	21:9 29:13,18	19:10 93:18	sits 99:18	180:7,21
10:9	30:4 32:10 47:7	shown 84:19	sitting 92:22	solid 139:18
seven-year-old	111:14 144:17,18	210:20 218:22	situation 32:5	Solutions 101:23
79:22 138:7 191:6	145:2 147:3	shows 29:3 37:6	63:18 130:8,14	102:3 103:4
210:16 260:1	148:12,21,22,24	65:11 189:25	140:9 235:18	somebody 17:7
seventh 81:3	150:9 151:2	211:17	situational 145:4	18:6 19:19,21
severely 58:4	158:24 159:3,3	shuffling 158:6	situations 132:2	20:9,13,16 21:22
severity 256:16	203:16 222:3	siblings 21:18	140:11 150:8	22:11 34:3 40:18
sex 15:9,15 17:9	237:24 238:25	side 43:8 52:11,18	233:23	40:20 53:9,22
18:7,20 19:22	sexually 9:12 10:9	92:24 128:13	six 85:22 92:10	56:23 137:18
20:10,11,15,21,23	17:8 23:23 37:8	200:24	100:6 103:20	138:3 146:4,25
20:24,25 21:5	79:21 90:18	sign 83:6,9 185:9	116:10 141:3	147:2 149:3,3
22:11 27:15,17	144:18 146:4	signed 121:24	144:21 157:4	somebody's 52:24
28:4 32:16 34:20	149:4 210:15	significance 18:18	158:14 197:17	53:3 56:21
34:20 35:8 37:18	shared 130:21	206:4	sixth 81:3	someone's 16:18
38:19 39:21 41:20	Sharon 164:4	significant 19:13	skill 264:14	123:25 253:7
42:23 43:2,18	sharpen 53:17	103:8 145:3 246:9	skills 52:22 53:17	someplace 92:13
46:9,15 59:14	sheriff's 8:4 31:8	246:14	108:4	somewhat 137:20
60:12 70:5 79:15	31:13 79:15 80:4	significantly 56:9	skip 221:16	son 116:10
80:4 94:6 98:5,15	84:17 93:10,19	similar 112:8 138:4	sleeping 32:7,15,16	soon 49:17,19
99:7,21 108:23	94:4 95:17 97:6	simple 54:24	32:18,20 108:20	97:10
127:14 129:5,18	154:10 157:10	107:20 110:9	128:20	sorry 12:25 49:6
130:5 132:6 133:8	189:23 198:4	simplifying 131:19	slept 128:22	50:16 67:3,5 68:9
133:14 135:11	229:13,22 230:5	simply 8:6 219:25	slow 54:4 101:19	72:11 96:6 111:23
136:7,11,12,17,21	254:11 261:25	sincere 255:21	slowly 13:15	112:20 119:8
137:6,18 139:23	262:2	Singapore 83:18,18	small 36:25 40:16	126:7 149:14,18
141:13,16 147:4	Sheriffs 27:25 36:3	single 6:19 60:22	173:10 177:4,5	152:14 212:13
148:10 153:9	36:10 44:9	62:16	204:8 208:17	220:20,25 225:8
166:16 189:24	ship 55:22	sip 116:4	211:20 233:17,18	226:16 232:13
192:20 193:2	shoes 63:15	sir 60:10	233:18	250:22 261:8
194:14 197:21,22	shootings 98:23	sisters 191:7	sobriety 114:23	sort 18:25 26:3
197:24 198:5,11	short 50:4 51:7,13	sit 86:21	soccer 80:2,7,7,8,10	28:12 120:5,10
Í	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
		I		

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
124:15 128:7	169:16 172:22	174:5,19,22	11:25 51:17 55:18	242:11 262:20
130:7 133:13	254:22	175:19,22,24	56:13 66:7 71:9	statement 35:7
134:9,9 147:17	specifically 22:23	176:5 177:9,10	72:15 117:19	68:21 81:19
246:4	41:6 66:2,4 95:25	178:12,21,24	132:10 163:5,8,22	167:10,16,25
SOSSA 152:20	105:14 106:15,16	179:23 180:5,13	164:8,24 166:6,8	187:23 195:16
sound 13:8 223:8	107:9 131:5	182:12 184:12	207:11,15,18,25	statements 4:11 5:9
sounds 13:8 154:21	132:13,21 151:4	189:10,14 190:20	208:5,10 209:22	117:23 159:7
159:11	172:23 177:5	190:25 200:7	210:10,17 215:10	166:19,25 167:2,5
source 148:14	193:7 194:5	203:3,25 204:13	220:5 236:15	167:7,18,22
sources 148:19	201:15 254:22	210:3 213:20,23	245:22 262:15	191:23 205:8
149:7	specifics 128:23	214:3,5,6,9,13,16	263:11 264:12	208:14 262:24
South 1:21	160:14	214:24 215:24,25	start 4:10 54:22	states 27:21 78:7
Southeast 82:18	specified 223:9	218:24 219:2,10	61:8 102:16	84:19 134:20
SP 221:3	225:18	219:16,18 220:16	182:24 240:8	178:4 246:18
SP-1 3:17 67:2 71:5	speed 36:5	226:2,8,10 227:8	257:4	STATIC 36:6,9,15
71:6,20 72:7,11	spell 14:10 161:24	228:15 235:22	started 21:12 36:6	36:16,24 39:22
163:25 164:16,20	spelling 4:13	240:10,18 243:2	52:8,12,13,18	135:20 201:22
240:11,18	spend 260:6	243:12,24 244:6	54:12 62:6 73:5	213:12
SP-2 67:4,12,13	spoke 101:4 138:17	244:21,23 253:21	89:7 97:16 98:25	stating 96:14
215:5 216:22	141:18 259:10	254:25 257:7,12	starting 14:15	stationed 128:12
221:4,7 225:5	261:1	259:1,11,15,24	state 4:13 5:5 14:10	Statistical 150:16
SP-3 171:2,16	spoken 180:17	260:23 261:10,11	16:4,4,5,6 24:3	160:21
174:2 182:10,12	sponsors 12:20	261:12,16 262:6	27:15,17 35:23	statistically 29:9
SP-4 3:19 79:7,12	sports 82:5	262:11,14 263:14	41:19 42:14 55:10	status 158:9
93:18 189:19	spotty 103:17	staff's 11:7,17 67:3	65:20 115:14	statute 156:23
190:21 229:11	spouse 147:17	67:15 72:13,18	120:20 121:17	200:2,9,21 201:12
SP-5 198:7 230:8	SR 113:19	117:10 163:20	134:14,19,20,21	201:15 224:5
230:13	SSOSA 155:23	164:13 174:23	135:7,25 136:12	statutory 6:19
SP-6 3:18 186:24	157:3 160:6	215:16 225:20	155:2 161:24	121:21
189:11 195:18	stability 87:16	226:20,25 240:11	162:18,21,22	stay 109:13,14
SP-7 187:19 194:25	stabilize 253:3	243:23 259:20	171:5 185:14	128:20 142:9
SP-8 188:8	stable 6:5 252:24	260:20	199:15 202:2	260:7
SP-9 188:22	257:3	stain 255:6	209:4 213:15	stays 55:22
speak 13:10,14	stack 22:25	stamp 206:11	232:21 237:4	stead 158:18
15:23 119:10	staff 5:6 9:11,16,19	stand 17:6 51:19	239:9 241:16	stealing 60:14
125:8,13,20	9:25 11:15,16,23	57:22 117:3	244:4 250:24	stellar 225:4
155:17 179:3	42:3 45:11 49:12	214:20 245:10	259:7 264:6,10	stenographer
speaking 25:7	68:20 71:5 72:6	standards 16:12,13	state's 252:14	101:20
37:19 140:19	72:12,13 73:5	206:8,8 257:25	state-of 23:9 28:14	step 61:9 253:20
236:10	90:6 100:22	standing 13:21	state-of-the-art	steps 84:12,13
speaks 256:7	122:17 161:12	stands 73:7 82:20	22:18,22 28:7	261:21
special 16:11 34:20	163:12 164:16,20	255:21	stated 65:18 69:9	stepson 85:22
specific 65:8	166:11 168:14,17	Stars 1:6 2:5 4:14	71:20 100:24	stole 60:13
101:12 132:14,20	168:22,23 169:3,4	4:23 5:10 6:17	101:9 183:7 219:7	stood 88:4
133:14 135:25	169:23,25 171:16	9:10,14,16,20,24	226:17 234:16,25	stop 68:10 121:22
	•	•	•	•

			Tacoma 2:9	
substance 15:3	support 11:21	177:1 178:1 179:1	tabs 73:10	160:3 184:10
221:10	supply 104:12,13	174:1 175:1 176:1	table 59:18 205:3	133:21 147:12
subsequently	151:25	171:1 172:1 173:1	264:4	130:2 131:5,8,14
216:5	supplemented	168:1 169:1 170:1	T 2:6 3:1,13 264:4	115:24 128:22
subsection 200:11	supplement 69:24	165:1 166:1 167:1	<u> </u>	92:25 99:18 108:7
submitting 209:24	211:15 233:15	162:2 163:1 164:1		86:14 87:6 89:11
208:6,10 212:5	204:5,15,20	161:1,12,17 162:1	234:9	69:25 85:6,13
167:15 207:15,18	203:8,11,21,24	Susie 3:10 11:16	170:15,17 174:13	59:9 63:25 66:20
89:6 154:14 166:6	177:24 178:3	surrounding 98:19	44:18 61:18	54:6 57:5 58:6,9
submitted 11:24	131:12 157:6	surprising 220:4	25:5 35:15,16,17	30:22 35:15 52:3
166:8 209:7,10,15	25:23 34:23 131:2	surprise 136:9	system 6:9 7:16,25	18:16 22:23 24:21
submit 153:16	supervision 17:17	254:19	sync 64:11	talk 5:23 6:2 10:14
submissions 41:7	203:7,20 204:18	surface 6:23 30:2	symptoms 150:21	255:22 256:7
29:22 30:8	130:20 131:4	240:3 246:8 250:7		84:22 89:14 95:14
subjective 28:11,16	supervised 127:25	220:22 228:9	245:17	takes 30:12 60:19
subject 180:8	superseded 36:8	155:20 204:17,19	118:18 161:18	252:6
159:5 253:11	188:11,25	152:17 154:16	sworn 13:25 51:22	240:5 246:13
104:15 152:8	Superior 187:6,22	142:17,19 144:6	switch 93:15	226:2 236:16
stuff 32:11 53:4	164:13	136:2 140:21	swear 13:19	160:2 165:19
study 7:17	121:10 153:12	123:20 131:23	Sustain 229.9 Suzanne 184:10	117:15 131:22
149:9	43:7 46:4 121:6	113:2,2,17 119:16	sustain 229:9	94:11,13,16
19:10 22:16,17	summary 22:18	106:21 110:24	177:23	51:13 76:9 84:12
16:24 17:2,15,18	summarizing 18:10	103:2 105:9,21	suspended 177:22	taken 3:15 12:11
studies 16:21,22,23	160:19	101:6 102:16	243:1 244:1	260:16
studied 7:16	summarize 8:25	96:25 97:18 100:7	240:1 241:1 242:1	242:7,13 252:2
structures 146:19	Suite 2:8	91:24 93:20 94:20	237:1 238:1 239:1	236:15 239:24,25
structured 145:9	159:16	88:24 91:10,20,21	234:1 235:1 236:1	217:9 225:14
structure 146:17	suggests 140:13	85:11,22 87:11	231:1 232:1 233:1	210:12 216:2
strong 88:5	suggesting 183:9	79:21 82:8 84:25	228:1 229:1 230:1	164:2 172:7 200:8
strike 249:6	suggested 8:5	71:24 74:5 79:4	225:1 226:1 227:1	156:21 158:14
stressed 56:24	sufficiently 117:25	58:12 64:3 65:20	222:1 223:1 224:1	146:8 148:9 156:6
stress 64:20	successfully 205:23	55:23,24,25 57:13	219:1 220:1 221:1	142:15 144:7
strategy 90:4	89:14 219:12	52:23 54:8 55:22	216:1 217:1 218:1	123:13 141:3
strangers 208:22	successful 77:22	12:22 13:14 52:7	213:1 214:1 215:1	116:20,24 117:5,8
stranger 53:2	157:23	sure 4:18 8:20	210:1 211:1 212:1	97:21 99:15 114:6
stories 98:22	success 6:8 86:24	254:5	207:1 208:1 209:1	86:2 90:2 95:13
store 110:7	succeed 87:3	supposed 133:11	204:1 205:1 206:1	77:8,24,25 84:13
Storage's 6:18	substitute 121:10	supportive 85:14	201:1 202:1 203:1	67:20 70:20 72:2
215:11 228:5	180:20	219:2	198:1 199:1 200:1	57:21 60:21 67:20
66:8 71:10 164:9	substantively	167:18,22,25	195:1 196:1 197:1	56:5,6,8,9,19,20
4:24 9:15 55:18	substantive 181:9	167:2,5,7,10,16	192:1 193:1 194:1	46:6 51:7 53:12
storage 1:6 2:5	substantial 37:4,7	108:18 166:19,25	189:1 190:1 191:1	41:4,12,22 44:25
stops 61:10	substances 146:7	105:13,18 106:3	186:1 187:1 188:1	30:17 37:20,25
255:5	123:9 146:5 203:17	24:22 85:7 87:17 87:19 88:9,13,19	180:1 181:1 182:1 183:1 184:1 185:1	take 4:12 8:23 12:2 12:9,15 21:22
146:9 185:25				

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

1 age 200				
207:7 227:19	52:5 58:10 61:12	testify 11:17 24:7	118:9,15,22	99:11 109:13
241:11	61:13 63:4 71:14	117:2 172:22	119:11 124:17,20	139:17 153:13
talked 21:23 38:15	79:12 82:6 85:9	191:19 243:8	132:18 138:12,13	159:17 155:15
38:16 65:15 70:5	85:20 103:19	245:3	142:5,6 154:19	207:20 217:19
82:4 92:12 99:8	107:3 109:3 125:4	testifying 48:13	160:25 161:2,4,5	218:23 253:8
100:21,24 118:23	128:3 139:25	49:13 76:25	161:10,23 164:19	254:3 255:25
120:12 125:6	150:15 204:24	126:11 151:22	165:23 172:2	256:1,6,11 258:24
130:23,24 131:16	237:17 238:15	testimony 12:21	173:2 176:15	260:22
141:24 142:2	telling 36:2 209:22	43:25 44:17 47:12	190:23 217:10	think 8:25 20:14
156:25 193:2	ten 18:19 156:24	48:3 49:9,10 50:4	220:11,13 224:19	22:9 25:2 26:17
talking 20:12 23:2	162:17 263:8,9	50:21 76:3 83:25	229:15 230:9	38:13 49:9,25
26:19 29:12 31:21	ten-day 156:22	87:15 95:3 116:17	236:19 239:23	50:2,9 58:9 72:14
32:15 38:17 39:7	262:22	116:24 117:5,10	240:3,9,17 242:17	72:15 75:23 76:2
43:2 56:15 57:16	tentative 25:19,20	126:13 133:25	240:3,9,17 242:17	77:4,12 92:5
57:25 72:10 76:20	26:22 31:19	120.13 133.23	242:23 244:18	103:7 107:7
88:15 92:22 98:10	138:23 256:4,4	156:3 161:3	251:20,21 252:10	112:21 118:23
105:13,21 106:3	term 16:6 90:23	168:24 175:9	258:16,18,20	112:21 110:25
107:10 111:17,17	142:23 175:12	179:21,23 180:11	262:15,16 263:13	122:5,18,22,23
114:14 120:13	227:16 233:20,24	180:14 181:22	263:18,19,20	122:3,18,22,23
121:13 140:3	234:16 237:7	180:14 181:22	thanked 89:16	129:5 132:11
142:24 157:23	252:24 257:3	189:20 191:14	the-art 23:10 28:15	135:7 138:20
226:7 228:8 251:8	terms 146:5	215:6 231:11	theft 20:13 222:2,3	141:2 144:3,3
talks 38:16	terribly 256:18	233:7 237:13	theoretical 40:18	146:5,13,15,25
tatts 60:15	territory 159:2	244:19 248:16,23	theory 26:5	149:10 152:21
taught 59:21 89:13	test 47:21 62:11	251:22 258:9	thing 26:16,24	155:13 156:16
105:24 106:12,12	63:17 114:23	261:2,20 262:8	36:19 44:16 49:6	158:10 160:7
tax 162:24 173:9	141:14,19,21	testing 15:14 33:4	58:9 71:25 89:23	172:4,6 175:15
177:3 246:19,20	148:20,21 159:20	148:11,21 159:10	91:11 98:12	176:23 180:18
247:14,25 250:16	140:20,21 159:20	184:19	107:11 113:18	181:18 182:23
251:12,15 259:4	tested 152:12,17	tests 148:15 155:17	124:16 138:5	191:21 192:3,4,5
taxes 21:25 246:11	155:16 157:15	158:15,21 159:13	142:22 145:17,20	193:11 196:19
246:12,14 247:22	testified 13:25	159:25	147:18 157:2	216:12,25 223:4
teacher 62:25	33:16 38:11 51:22	text 226:2	158:3 182:17	223:25 229:16
teaching 56:12	71:23 76:16,21	thank 4:25 5:2,7,11	197:11 217:25	230:22 236:18
team 55:7 85:15	100:21 118:18	9:6,8 12:5,6 13:12	246:17	256:7 257:7,23
179:7,10	138:20,22 161:18	13:18,23 14:2,7	things 10:13 22:20	259:8
teamwork 55:7	172:19 174:13	16:15 24:6 41:11	26:3,10,11 29:23	thinking 19:7
technical 52:21	177:9 207:25	41:15 42:11 43:16	29:25 30:6 31:4	59:20 131:18
technically 165:18	212:17 218:6,12	46:3 49:4 50:15	31:25 32:12 34:2	146:2,3
technology 6:12	229:17 230:22	50:20 51:3,10,12	37:7,20 52:25	third 21:17 157:15
109:11	231:12,22 234:4	51:16 56:25 69:2	53:7,8 57:17 60:4	Thomas 2:7 165:7
teenager 21:19,24	243:10 245:17,21	90:12,13 103:25	60:16 65:8,25	thorough 33:2
telephone 24:10	250:18 254:11	104:4,18 105:5,7	69:24 74:21 82:5	thoroughly 184:16
125:21 260:24	255:19,20 257:10	107:11 116:16,19	82:19 84:25 85:18	206:12
tell 14:14,21 27:9	259:21	117:12,13,14	89:13 94:21 97:12	thought 70:14
		117.12,13,17	07.15 7 7.21 77.12	
	1	!	l	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Page 299
75:10 216:21	190:8 191:11	20.8 0 42.7 22 22	traumatic 106.17	04.1 05.1 06.1
243:8 248:18	190:8 191:11	39:8,9 42:7,22,23 43:2,3 44:7,10	traumatic 196:17 travel 55:10 205:20	94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1,6
thoughts 59:5,22	192.13 197.14	45:15,16,21,21,22	treat 144:12	100:1 101:1,17
105:15,19,21,24	210:21 218:2,22	46:23 47:3,4,5,10	treated 224:6	100:1 101:1,17
105.15,19,21,24	218:22 225:2	47:13 134:7,8,19		102:1 103:1 104:1
	226:25 236:10		treating 15:7,8	
107:3,7 thousands 5:17	239:24 240:10	135:5,22,24 136:7	treatment 15:2,3 15:18 16:3 21:14	106:1 107:1 108:1
threats 62:23 91:6	242:3 243:22	136:12,13,15,18		109:1 110:1 111:1
199:2	242:3 243:22	141:13,17,17,25 201:22 202:12	22:18,22,24,25	112:1 113:1 114:1
			24:15 34:24 37:21	115:1 116:1 117:1
three 9:9,17 12:10	250:15 252:19	213:12 252:18	59:4,14 63:8	125:5 127:14,24
31:8 59:17 70:12	256:21 260:25	tools 35:14 37:11	105:24 111:20,22	128:4 130:19
72:20 80:22 82:14	261:4	38:4 45:18 60:2	129:24 130:25	132:22 134:4
97:13,22 113:15	times 61:9 81:10	135:2,23 137:25	133:14 135:8,10	137:12,15,23
113:18,19,23	89:16 101:5	201:18 202:6,10	135:11,12 144:13	138:17 140:22
157:21 167:5	191:15 213:17	213:8,10,13	152:18,22 153:6,7	141:9,22 144:12
184:24 203:9	timing 203:25	top 41:22 195:6	153:9,12 157:5,20	144:12 151:6
204:5 218:10	Tina 184:8,21	236:25	157:22,25 203:16	152:2,17 153:4,21
228:3 258:24	tired 92:3,13	topic 27:9 119:5	trial 57:21	154:20 155:8,19
thrown 28:16 30:6	today 9:9,19 10:13	137:10	trick 3:7 5:15,21,25	156:4,16,25
ticket 184:24	38:2 48:23 50:17	topics 226:15	6:5,6,8,23 7:7,17	157:18 158:22
time 11:23 12:20	87:16 90:11	total 246:22	8:5,10,18 9:1,11	159:8,25 160:3,5
15:3 17:15,23	126:13 135:11	tough 87:4	9:18 10:2,3,8 24:8	165:5 167:11,16
18:12,16 19:11	143:18 168:23	tour 83:3,4	24:9,11 25:18	168:3,4,9,14,18
25:3,15,24 27:7	204:9 212:17	town 83:16	26:15,19,23 27:10	169:6,24 170:2
28:8,14 34:10,10	218:6 233:2 241:4	track 230:6 253:24	31:19 38:11,15,22	171:7 173:11,23
34:22 36:7 38:22	241:17 255:19,20	262:10	39:2 42:8 43:5	176:3,14 177:4,10
40:7 50:5,7 52:8,9	257:5 261:21	trade 185:20,22	44:21 45:16,19,23	177:13 178:5,14
54:4 58:22 61:10	262:13	traffic 65:25	47:4 51:1,17,21	178:24 179:9,13
64:20 70:19 82:10	told 33:6,10 44:8	training 14:21,24	52:1,3 53:1 54:1	179:15 180:12
82:14,16 83:2	58:5 59:2,23 66:2	15:5 99:10 162:15	55:1 56:1 57:1	186:7 187:8,24
84:22 91:11,15	69:16 95:19 97:20	162:20,21,23	58:1 59:1 60:1	188:13 189:3,25
93:16 95:7,25	97:24 99:9 109:2	206:19 242:7	61:1 62:1 63:1	191:7,10,11
96:24 99:20 103:9	114:6 115:15,17	trainings 163:2	64:1 65:1 66:1	192:14,19 193:2,4
106:17,19,22	128:4 131:9 157:7	trains 115:16	67:1 68:1,15,22	193:7 194:6,15
108:2 112:19	177:2 179:17	transcribed 13:14	69:1 70:1 71:1,22	196:18 197:13
113:21,24 116:2	184:20 185:8	transcript 263:9	72:1 73:1 74:1	199:7 203:6,19
124:19 125:20	214:10,25 236:7	264:11	75:1,23 76:1,16	204:4,9 207:17,19
126:17,21 129:13	Tom 65:3 88:22,24	transferred 184:8	76:21,24 77:1,5	208:7,11,14 209:5
129:23 134:4,6,8	88:25,25	translated 39:9	77:16 78:1 79:1	210:2,4,11,13
134:11,14,18	tool 24:17 25:2,9	transparent 133:17	79:21,22,24 80:1	211:6,11,21,24
135:2,9,10 140:4	27:3,6,6,9,12,13	transportation 1:2	81:1 82:1 83:1	212:3,11,15,17
140:5,6 143:23	27:19 28:2,4,7,7	2:11 4:9 162:6,11	84:1 85:1 86:1	213:7,20,23,25
156:19,21 157:15	28:12,15 29:19,21	164:7	87:1,14,18,22	214:6,15,16,20
168:20 177:8,22	30:7,13,19 35:23	transports 227:25	88:1 89:1 90:1,17	215:2,18 218:4,6
181:23 183:18	36:4,8 37:3,14	228:3	91:1,6 92:1 93:1	218:18,22 219:2
	,		,	
	l	I	I I	

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

r				
220:2 221:10	Trooper 115:14	215:4 221:8	typically 160:11	unethical 252:20
226:3,21 227:3,6	trouble 26:12	229:11 230:3	199:5	unexperienced
229:4,16,18 231:7	truck 5:23 53:23	236:23	177.5	54:18
232:5 233:3,13	54:15 64:15,16	turned 52:19 58:18	U	unfair 168:3
234:25 235:22,23	75:4 104:12,14	59:7	ultimatum 116:9	unfairly 155:9
237:10 238:5,21	253:8,9,10	Turning 63:23	unable 158:16	unfamiliar 25:5
239:2,11 240:22	trucks 184:19	225:5	unauthorized	28:18
243:9 245:1,2,9	185:4 209:16	turns 46:21,22	243:5	unfortunate 29:11
245:16 246:1	true 8:16 9:3 22:16	143:18	unaware 169:2	29:11
247:1 248:1 249:1	49:15 238:4 239:7	TV 67:16	unbearable 63:16	unfortunately
250:1,10 251:1,12	258:25 264:13	TV-130259 216:16	uncertainty 57:3	115:19 181:21
252:14,17,21	truly 63:8	TV-150223 1:6	unclear 211:18	unhired 219:10
253:6 254:12,22	trust 199:4,7,12	twice 94:19 146:14	uncomfortable	uniforms 64:17
255:2,11,12 256:5	211:7,9,13 217:23	152:18 157:15	58:4	union 221:11
256:12,16,22	229:19 260:11	twin 24:2 59:14	uncommon 21:15	unit 80:5 153:8
257:5,8,9,10	trusted 93:3 147:5	128:21 153:6,8	underaged 111:15	University 14:17
258:12,21 259:1,9	205:18,19,24	157:24 191:6	undercover 243:19	14:18,19 15:5,11
259:15,17,20,23	210:24 217:25	two 6:6 8:25 9:3,13	243:21	15:13 21:7 61:17
260:20,25 261:4	260:6,8	10:1,9 16:6,23,24	undergo 33:14	unlikelihood 37:8
262:10	trusts 89:22	17:5.13 25:20	59:11 152:3	unload 104:15
Trick's 6:3,21 7:9	trustworthy 167:11	29:15 36:17 39:17	understand 19:24	unloaded 228:2
8:15 9:12,21,22	167:15 205:12	41:24,24 49:13,19	33:13 38:13 49:7	unnecessarily
11:20 31:20 39:13	truth 193:22	57:22 60:10,11,23	52:25 58:8 59:5	196:17
126:23 127:5,8	truthful 132:6	62:14 63:12 79:22	62:12 83:25 86:10	unorthodox 50:24
131:3 138:6	133:9 163:19	81:10,11 82:16	111:21 121:15	104:22
139:21 153:20	209:20,21 214:22	85:24 87:24 90:18	128:2 131:23	unpermitted
166:20 167:13	227:11 260:21	107:14,20,21	141:9 143:13	168:20
168:6,8,24 175:8	try 53:6 61:8 63:6	112:2,8 113:15,22	180:25 191:18	unpersuasive 6:22
177:7 179:23	63:14,15 64:8	138:7 144:2 149:7	235:14 246:2	unrelated 39:4 40:9
180:11 183:14	83:15 109:14	162:8 165:12	understandable	40:14
186:16 187:9,25	123:23 129:21	168:22 169:25	50:25 254:18	unrelenting 61:24
188:14 189:4	184:20 259:22	183:15 186:19	understanding	unsupervised
194:25 195:20	262:4	191:6,22,22,23,24	119:25 126:18	212:2
196:12 202:17	trying 64:20 73:20	195:9 203:23	135:19 136:23	unsupported
207:8,11,12	87:2 92:4 106:16	210:15 217:15	147:7 192:8	225:23
210:14 221:18	106:20 156:18	219:20 227:25	212:10,15,19	up-to-date 134:6
228:14 232:12	159:18 247:4	242:24 250:6	223:21 224:11	update 94:21
233:12 234:21	257:15 259:24	256:12,23 260:1	understands	updated 38:7 49:20
235:10 241:6	turn 45:3 58:25	two-page 74:19	232:22	136:18 152:24,24
254:25 255:8	64:10 119:5,13	type 79:16 81:13	understood 63:9	202:13,14
256:8 261:11,17	163:24 164:23	228:4	175:12 195:17	updating 36:12
262:7	165:15 170:24,25	types 33:12 60:11	underwent 152:19	49:21
tried 66:7 85:4	177:7 186:23	60:11 256:12	152:19	upheld 235:3
135:2	189:17 194:24	typical 148:6,7	undo 58:20 59:2	upstanding 167:17
triggered 40:10	195:4,18,25 198:6	181:21	Unemployment	168:5
			64:13	
	1	l	I	1

F	'ag	е	30	11

				Faye Jul
usage 114:16	vehicle 228:2	79:24 81:20	121:25 122:5,22	51:15 53:12 56:17
use 23:13,14 25:9	veracity 148:17	194:18	122:25 123:12,16	57:24 61:25 69:25
36:4 60:3,5 62:22	verify 138:21	vulnerable 29:25	124:17 125:17	71:24 75:6 78:24
73:10 91:6 135:3	139:12 229:21,25	130:16 260:19	127:16 132:7,9,13	79:2 80:6 87:5
142:21 146:14	verifying 33:9		132:17,19 138:13	89:8,8 92:7 97:18
148:16 150:2	139:5	W	138:15 142:5	98:8,24 101:8
151:5 199:2,4,7	vernacular 112:25	W-a-l-l 4:17,23	143:19 151:23	103:10 104:16
201:20,21 213:8	142:20	WAC 5:24 10:19	152:13 153:3,15	107:2 108:4,8
213:10 218:3	versed 54:25	12:4 227:19	154:13 155:5,18	110:22 116:20
256:21	version 36:8 242:15	228:22	158:12 161:7,9	120:15 126:20
useful 145:25 146:3	versus 35:16	WACs 226:2	164:17 171:17	130:19 131:23
146:15,24 148:13	vice 165:7 208:2	227:13 228:13	172:3,4,6 174:3	133:21,24 137:10
uses 201:18 211:9	victim 21:18 29:25	253:5	174:22,24 175:21	141:11 147:24
213:15	31:17 39:4,23	wait 13:3 108:5	176:4,15,19 180:3	149:13 159:22
usually 20:14	40:2,9,14,21	170:19	180:18 181:3,6,13	161:14 169:13
145:15 185:2	98:24 198:25	waited 70:15 97:13	181:14,15 182:3	182:21 186:15
UTC 2:14 5:19	victim's 79:23	115:20	183:2,10 186:11	191:3 196:3,7
63:23 64:22 65:9	victims 30:23 39:24	waiting 57:16	186:12 189:12	204:21 207:7,8
67:2 68:4,23	39:24 40:19 58:16	waive 156:22	190:22 191:13,22	210:8,8 213:18
123:3 174:6	62:20 110:16	262:21 263:12	193:9,11 196:14	215:23 221:3
206:17,19 222:11	115:23 132:3	waived 263:8	200:12 216:7	241:11 245:10
222:17 223:10,19	139:24 191:5,6	waives 263:14	220:12,13,15,22	252:8 254:3
226:2 227:13	199:3,6 211:10	walk 55:13	220:24 223:25	256:11
229:5 235:22,24	view 117:24 226:25	walked 58:13	224:10,16,19	wanted 50:13 54:22
239:13 248:5	viewed 179:25	walking 53:2	226:9,19 228:11	65:23 74:3,20,21
249:2 251:16	190:2,6 198:17,19	Wall 2:6 4:15,16,17	228:25 229:10	89:3 108:5 117:19
254:5 257:7,12	views 117:23	4:17,20,22,22,23	230:9,11 232:13	118:13 119:4
UTC's 6:16,20 8:2	violated 65:19	5:11,14 12:8 14:3	232:17,25 234:7	138:5 156:8
8:13 253:5 254:25	violation 239:9	14:6 41:10,14,16	234:14 239:21	175:24 182:3
257:17	241:16,21 259:7	42:5,6,12 43:16	240:12 241:15	184:23 185:12
Utilities 1:2 2:11	violations 65:25	44:11,24 45:13,14	242:9 245:5,8,14	240:11
4:8 162:6	245:4 251:2,3	45:22 46:2 48:11	245:20 248:10,18	wants 33:14 213:2
utility 162:11	257:22	49:16,17 51:3,7	248:24 249:6,15	255:10 257:4
UW 115:2	Violence 6:14	51:10,15,16,23	249:22 250:12	warrant 118:2
	60:24 256:24	52:2 67:2,8,10,12	251:24,25 252:2,8	Washington 1:1,22
V	violent 23:23	67:13,19 68:12,15	252:10 259:5	2:9,11,16 4:1,8
V160:21	visited 95:7,9 190:8	68:20 69:3 71:19	262:19 263:5,11	5:5 7:15 10:18
valuable 53:8	voice 183:17	71:21 72:2,4,11	263:19	12:3 14:18,20
value 30:12,15,17	volume 1:13 13:8	73:16,20,23 76:2	Wall's 181:2	15:6,12,14 16:4,4
30:18 31:4 40:15	volumes 256:8	77:2,10,11,15	Wallace 66:14 74:5	21:8,14 27:10,14
40:17 47:5 148:11	volunteer 8:6 82:11	79:11 87:13 90:12	164:4	27:16,20,24 35:23
235:7	229:16	93:18,21 102:7	walls 107:8 147:6	36:3 41:19 42:14
variability 35:5	volunteered 81:21	104:3,6,18 105:6	wans 107.8 147.0 want 10:14 13:9	44:3,4,8 118:24
147:20	81:22 84:2	112:20,24 113:3	26:11 30:20 32:25	134:14,19 135:8
various 58:15	volunteering 79:19	117:14 118:10,21	35:8 38:10 51:5	135:25 136:12
176:12 251:2,3	, oranicor ing (2.1)	119:6,8,10,12	55.0 50.10 51.5	133.43 130.14

				1
148:8 162:5,18,20	160:17 192:4	109:9 128:15	177:4 187:8,24	worker 255:22
162:25 171:5	263:11	145:14 152:20	188:12 189:3,25	workers 54:7 56:2
185:14 200:10	we're 4:10 20:12	184:10 192:7	209:5 210:2 245:1	56:12
202:2 216:4 237:3	26:19 29:12 42:20	239:2 244:10	245:16 246:1	working 5:15 8:21
244:4 252:13	51:11 71:19,24	246:4 260:9	247:1 248:1 249:1	8:22 21:12 52:9
257:22 264:6,10	77:13 85:15,17	weren't 22:2	250:1 251:1	52:10 69:22
Washington's	86:23 112:15	113:24	willies 30:2	103:20 124:15
22:24 134:18	114:3,8 116:7	whatnot 80:13	willing 163:13	128:14 168:15,25
wasn't 21:10,11	143:16 158:16	99:16 114:2 120:8	205:2 217:21	191:9
54:3,16 58:4	160:15 226:7	148:13	218:25 220:3	works 86:18
65:20 69:8 92:19	228:8,18 250:19	WHEREOF	wine 70:22,24	world 74:13 87:3
108:18 115:13	251:8,22 252:4	264:16	116:5	109:8
116:8 154:17	254:19 257:24	white 103:3 107:5	wishes 244:22	worth 159:16
155:20 175:10	we've 34:4 44:17	wide 40:19	withheld 246:12	258:12
180:4 246:10	70:5 85:11 87:15	widely 150:5	witness 3:3 42:9	wouldn't 99:4
257:20,23	134:25 140:3	wife 70:22 85:10,11	49:21 51:6,19	103:5 144:19
waste 108:4	165:19 191:15,24	86:17 98:25	102:9 118:8,14	154:17 158:23
watch 244:3	196:23	112:14 114:14	149:13 161:11	159:12 241:2
watching 54:13	web 6:25 7:1 8:4	116:6 218:13	245:10 264:16	wrap 64:16
86:7	64:16 74:16,19,19	247:3	witnesses 244:22	wrapped 75:4
water 55:11,12	77:8 79:2 82:2	William 3:7 51:1	woman 29:7 85:12	wreckless 114:17
way 32:10 39:9	84:14,18 93:19	51:17,21 52:1	131:12 139:22	wrestled 81:4
40:23 61:5 81:4	95:4,7,9,14,17	53:1 54:1 55:1	203:22 204:7,10	wrestler 81:3
83:9 88:4 89:13	96:2 97:6 126:3	56:1 57:1 58:1	211:15,20 233:16	wrestling 80:2,24
102:17 114:9,15	127:15 189:24	59:1 60:1 61:1	233:18	80:25 81:14,16
120:10 124:5	190:2,6,8 194:17	62:1 63:1 64:1	woman's 211:8	194:20
145:9 146:24	198:4,13,17,19,21	65:1 66:1 67:1	won 15:16	write 28:24
147:16 148:23	210:21 229:22	68:1 69:1 70:1	wondered 99:3	writing 20:14 89:7
153:13 154:7	230:5 231:12	71:1 72:1 73:1	wondering 96:16	167:7
174:19 175:15	254:11 261:24,25	74:1 75:1 76:1	149:19	written 72:12 75:15
180:15 181:23	week 24:11 52:19	77:1 78:1 79:1,21	word 242:8,11	84:20 236:4
233:11 234:20	59:17 103:20,21	80:1 81:1 82:1	work 14:18 15:3,5	wrong 72:5,6 74:22
235:7 238:17	125:10,10 184:22	83:1 84:1 85:1	15:6 21:7 26:14	77:6 84:18 107:2
239:16 252:1	263:4	86:1 87:1 88:1	56:17 69:19 86:18	177:25 229:13
257:1	weekend 52:18	89:1 90:1 91:1	102:14,19 103:16	256:18 257:9
ways 95:11	weeks 97:13 141:4	92:1 93:1 94:1	103:21 104:7,10	wrote 167:16
we'll 5:10,23 6:2,3	158:14	95:1 96:1 97:1	107:21,23 109:18	
6:16,19 7:14,24	weight 40:25	98:1 99:1 100:1	109:19 114:5	<u>X</u>
8:2,7 9:2 13:2,3	welcome 35:11	101:1 102:1 103:1	191:10 211:7	X 3:1,1,13,13
13:10 35:9,9,9	79:6	104:1 105:1 106:1	253:3 260:5,12,14	195:12
50:12,16,24 51:14	Welfare 82:21	107:1 108:1 109:1	worked 23:19,21	Y
51:18 74:15,16	went 19:22,25	110:1 111:1 112:1	31:6 53:5 83:9	
112:16,16 116:21	20:13 23:21 24:11	113:1 114:1 115:1	91:3 101:13	year 6:21 17:20
117:8,9,10,16	24:16 26:16 31:16	116:1 117:1 165:5	102:25 103:2,8	18:18,18,19,23,24 19:12 94:19
119:19 156:23	59:19 75:3 109:9	171:7 173:11	107:25 260:4	19.12 94.19
				115.10,20 110.10
1				

				Page 303
100 01 142 05	01 (71 15	150 2 17	1 105 1 (100 10	
128:21 143:25	01 67:15	158 3:17	2.1 125:16 138:18	236 3:8
150:18 157:8	03 36:16	15th 173:10	2:00 92:17	23rd 85:24
246:13,16 250:11 250:25 253:24	09 115:12	16 5:25 6:21 236:21	20 37:23 39:14	24 1:20 4:1 39:14
1	1	255:3 257:5	58:14 157:8 255:4	47:15,16 141:24
year-old 90:19	1 1:17 3:15 11:24	16-year-old 29:4 162 109:5	200 108:14	177:24 178:3
years 5:25 10:1	12:11 17:25 19:9	175 3:17	2003 19:10	264:13
11:3 15:20,22	27:6 31:24 35:9		2004 7:10,13 16:24	24-hour 17:17
17:14,25 18:2,5	35:19 39:12 40:5	18 59:15 110:4	19:3 24:19 27:3	240 3:8
19:2,16 20:18	72:21 73:10	143:7 221:8 263:2	27:10 35:18 36:14	2469 1:25
21:5 23:18 31:6,8	143:23 165:16	182 3:18	38:3 42:7 43:5	25 36:24,24 37:2
34:2,4,5 35:22	172:10 236:20	183 3:19	45:23 47:3 134:8	26 47:14 73:19
36:17 37:23 38:18	263:2	1977 21:8	135:13 141:14,19	264 1:17
53:16 62:5,6	1:00 92:17	1981 15:10	152:13 197:20	29 171:19 172:10
70:12 84:9 101:10	10 17:25 19:16	1986 15:10	203:10 252:17	176:9 221:17
101:11 102:25	20:18 111:25	1990 27:23	2005 41:23 42:4,22	29th 183:22
113:16,19 144:2,2	115:13 143:24	1996 84:10	44:17 100:14	2s 35:10 254:14
144:3 162:8,17	247:11 263:1	1999 16:24 27:16	204:10	3
166:21 168:12,16	10:00 48:10,14	27:18 28:5 43:3	2006 45:5 102:15	3 3:15 12:1,11 19:8
184:24 194:12	10.00 48.10,14 100 3:7 108:14	57:14 81:15 84:11	2007 100:3 103:11	35:10,19 72:21
203:9 204:5	101 3:7.8	100:18 127:5,8	110:21 203:11,22	3,700 90:7
217:15 221:25	10th 170:8,18	186:16,18 187:10	2008 64:15 246:8	3:24 1:19 263:21
222:8,13,14,19,20	174:16	187:25 188:15	2009 201:21 246:8	
222:25 223:3,10	11 19:16 20:18	189:5 194:21	2011 85:12 173:10	30 34:4,5 255:5
223:22 224:23		195:20 202:3	177:16	305 10:20
225:19 233:4	119:15,16 261:23 262:3	210:15 219:19	2012 136:18 202:14	30s 21:20
237:22 238:3,9	110 88:4	220:6 239:2 241:6	2013 168:18 210:6	31 225:6,12
252:25 255:4,4	110 38.4 114 3:5	259:9,25 262:7	213:24 217:14	33 172:5,10 34 15:22
257:5 261:23	114 5.5 12 3:15 18:2 111:25	1s 35:9,20	222:15 226:8	
262:3		1st 100:3 170:16,17	243:2 259:16	35 21:5 37:3,3,5
yesterday 125:12	112:5 119:15,19 121:5 247:12	174:14	262:12	360 177:22
125:12		2	2014 179:14 183:22	364 177:21 3rd 203:11
yielded 141:23	120 3:4 1201 2:8	2 6:25 11:25 19:9,9	2015 1:20 4:1 73:19	3rd 203:11 3s 35:21
YMCA 109:23	1201 2:8 12th 175:19	27:5 35:9,19 39:3	165:3 170:6,8	38 33:21
young 6:6 203:23	13 3:16 6:18 41:7	39:16 40:12 47:16	226:7 264:13,17	4
211:2			206 1:21	4 40:5 171:23
younger 21:18	41:18 44:12,23 111:25 112:5	48:4,7 72:21	206)380-6463	190:25 221:8
youth 145:7		98:15 121:4,10	183:24	40 115:8 116:25
Z	1300 1:21 130259 67:16	142:3 143:3,9	20s 21:20	401128 2:15
$\overline{\mathbf{Z}75:6}$	130259 07:10 133 3:4	197:22 198:22,23 200:11 202:17	2100 2:8	43 3:16
Z 75:6 Zack 69:7 74:11,25	135 3:4 137 3:5	200:11 202:17 206:24 210:19	212 3:10	45-year-old 29:6
· · · · · ·	137 5:5 13th 177:15		21st 197:20 203:10	46 39:11,16 47:17
75:7 214:16,21	13th 177:15 14 3:4 45:4	216:5 219:23	22 113:19	142:2,3
zero 137:16,20,21		230:14,16 231:13	22nd 113:20	480-07-495 12:5
231:22	144 3:4 15 18:5 104:12	232:6,7 234:25	231 3:10,17	216:5
0	15 18:5 194:12	254:12 261:18 263:2	233 3:10	480-15-181 227:20
	155 3:10	263:2		400-10-101 227.20

BUELL REALTIME REPORTING, LLC

Pag	е	304	

Page 304	- <u>-</u>			
253:5	98504-0128 2:16			
480-15-302 10:19	99 25:11 35:25 36:6			
223:5,7	36:9,16,16,24			
480-15-3028 222:5	39:22 128:9			
480.07.495 200:10	135:20 201:22			
4th 174:21	213:12			
4111 1 / 4.21	9th 165:3 264:17			
5	9th 105.5 204.17			
5 17:25 102:15				
172:5,10 195:4				
50 3:7 91:24 128:16				
165:6,7 255:5				
520 115:4,4				
5th 84:10				
6				
6 40:5 102:15,15				
189:14 221:16				
236:23				
60 37:3,4,5 91:24				
650 177:23				
6th 184:9				
<u> </u>				
7				
7 102:15 173:16				
176:9 189:11				
195:6 225:10				
70 91:24				
72.09.345 200:2,9				
7th 57:14 84:11				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
8				
8 111:25 189:11				
222:5				
800 104:17,17			· · · · ·	
87 3:7		i		
8th 170:20 174:15				
otii 170.20 17 4 .15				
9				
9 3:18 111:25,25				
189:11,14				
9:00 1:19 4:2				
9:10 12:23				
90s 25:10 35:24				
37:12 136:16			,	
98401-1157 2:9				
	I	I	1	I