

UE-130043/PacifiCorp
May 1, 2013
WUTC Data Request 240

WUTC Data Request 240

Requestor: Kendra A White

Allocation Factors

Please explain how to create a new allocation factor within the RAM and JAM models used in the Company's case. If it is not possible to create a new allocation factor, please explain.

Response to WUTC Data Request 240

The RAM and JAM are currently designed to allocate the factors approved in the West Control Area inter-jurisdictional allocation methodology (WCA), which was provided as Exhibit No. __ (SRM-5). Creating a new allocation factor would deviate from the Commission approved allocation methodology and would require updates to almost every tab in both the RAM and JAM, in addition to updating the defined ranges in the macros.

For the JAM, the macros used in the model would need to be edited to incorporate any changes to the defined ranges. The following tabs would require updates:

- Function
- Function 1149
- Report
- UTCR
- NRO
- ADJ
- URO
- Factors

Updates to the RAM would depend on the factor being created, but a factor allocating system-wide costs would affect the following tabs:

- REV
- OM
- NPC
- DEPR
- TAX
- RB
- ContractChange
- Results
- AdjSummary
- Valid Acct-Factor Combo
- Factors
- ExtractData
- ReportAdjData

UE-130043/PacifiCorp
May 1, 2013
WUTC Data Request 240

- AdjDatabase

PREPARER: Gary Tawwater

SPONSOR: Steven R. McDougal

UE-130043/PacifiCorp
May 29, 2013
WUTC Data Request 265

WUTC Data Request 265
Requestor: Christopher T. Mickelson

RE: Rate Design

Please re-run the Company's RAM and JAM models to reflect the 2010 Protocol, for which the other states currently use for allocation. All other adjustments should remain unrevised, if not affected.

Response to WUTC Data Request 265

The Company objects to this request as unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Without waiving these objections, the Company responds as follows:

The Company has not conducted the requested analysis nor is the Company proposing to use this methodology as part of this proceeding.

PREPARER: Bryce Dalley

SPONSOR: To Be Determined

UE-130043/PacifiCorp
August 14, 2013
WUTC Data Request 265 – 1st Supplemental

WUTC Data Request 265
Requestor: Christopher T. Mickelson

RE: Rate Design

Please re-run the Company's RAM and JAM models to reflect the 2010 Protocol, for which the other states currently use for allocation. All other adjustments should remain unrevised, if not affected.

1st Supplemental Response to WUTC Data Request 265

In preparing rebuttal testimony, the Company conducted the requested analysis as referenced in the rebuttal testimony of R. Bryce Dalley, Exhibit No. ____ (RBD-3T) at page 12. The Company is supplementing its original response with the regulatory adjustment model (RAM) and jurisdictional allocation model (JAM) used in this analysis. Please see Attachment WUTC 265 1st Supplemental.

PREPARER: R. Bryce Dalley

SPONSOR: R. Bryce Dalley

UE-130043/PacifiCorp
August 14, 2013
WUTC Data Request 286

WUTC Data Request 286

Requestor: Kendra A White

Please provide a list of the dates and attendees of all Multi-State Process meetings since the conclusion of the Washington Collaborative process in 2012. Please also provide any documentation of Washington Commission Staff being invited to or informed of those MSP meetings.

Response to WUTC Data Request 286

Please see Attachment WUTC 286-1 for a list of the dates and attendees of all Multi-State Process (MSP) meeting since October 25, 2012. Please note that additional meetings are scheduled throughout 2013, with the following schedule:

2013 MSP Meeting Schedule (stated in Pacific Time unless otherwise noted)

August 22 – 8:00 am to Noon – Conference Call

September 18 – 8:30 to 3:30 (Mountain) - In person meeting in Salt Lake City

October 24 – 8:00 am to Noon – Conference Call

November 14 – 8:00 am to 3:00 pm – In person meeting in Portland

December 12 – 8:00 am to Noon – Conference Call

In addition, the Company has requested that Kristi Wallis, who serves as the MSP Standing Committee Standing Neutral, contact Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Staff directly to discuss Staff's participation in these upcoming conference calls and meetings.

Finally, the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission was invited to the 2012 MSP Commissioners Forum. Attachments WUTC 286-2 through WUTC 286-4 contain the invitations.

The Company understands that Ms. Wallis received an email declining participation in the meeting, although Ms. Wallis provided the meetings materials by follow-up email.

PREPARER: Jana Saba/Bryce Dalley

SPONSOR: Bryce Dalley

Last Name	First Name	Entity / Company	11/17/2012	12/2/2012	1/18/2013	2/12/2013	3/12/2013	4/18/2013	5/17/2013	6/28/2013	7/30/2013
			MSP Broad Review Workgroup	MSP Broad Review Workgroup	MSP Broad Review Workgroup	MSP Broad Review Workgroup	MSP Broad Review Workgroup	MSP Broad Review Workgroup	MSP Broad Review Workgroup	MSP Broad Review Workgroup	MSP Broad Review Workgroup
Idaho											
Chaback	Teri	Idaho Public Utilities Commission	X					X			X
Earl	Matt	Idaho Public Utilities Commission	X					X			X
Grades	Bob	Monarch									
Oregon											
Compton	George	Oregon Public Utilities Commission	X								
Dash	Mike	RCS for Davison Van Cleve for Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities		X							
Earlv	Michael	Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities		X							
Hollman	Mark	Oregon Public Utilities Commission	X					X			X
Jenkins	Bob	Citizens Utility Board of Oregon	X					X			X
Jones	Jason	Oregon Department of Justice (ODOJ)	X					X			X
Kaufman	Lance	Oregon Public Utilities Commission	X					X			X
Sander	John	Davison Van Cleve for Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	X					X			X
Schoenbeck	Don	RCS for Davison Van Cleve for Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities									
Weber	Josh	Davison Van Cleve for Industrial Customers of Northwest Utilities	X								
Utah											
Baldwin	Vickie	Utah Association of Energy Users									
Beck	Michelle	Utah Office of Consumer Services						X			X
Dallon	Jamie	Utah Public Service Commission	X					X			X
Dodge	Gary	Hatch, James & Dodge for Utah Association of Energy Users (UAE)	X					X			X
Francore	Kelly	Utah Association of Energy Users (UAE)	X					X			X
Gimble	Dan	Utah Office of Consumer Services	X					X			X
Harvey	John	Utah Public Service Commission	X					X			X
Holland	Joseph	Utah Public Service Commission	X					X			X
Holland	Jerry	Utah Public Service Commission	X					X			X
Malo	Maio	Utah Public Service Commission	X					X			X
Martinez	Dan	Office of Consumer Services (OCS)	X					X			X
Murray	Cheryl	Utah Office of Consumer Services	X					X			X
Peterson	Chuck	Utah Division of Public Utilities	X					X			X
Powell	William (Artie)	Utah Division of Public Utilities	X					X			X
Revell	Carol	Utah Public Service Commission	X					X			X
Townsend	Neal	Energy Strategies for Utah Association of Energy Users	X					X			X
White	Jordan	Utah Public Service Commission	X					X			X
Wilson	Rebecca (Becky)	Utah Public Service Commission	X					X			X
Wolf	Betsy	Salt Lake Community Action Program	X					X			X
Wyoming											
Baron	Steve	Wyoming Public Services Commission	X					X			X
Bolanhan	Michelle	Wyoming Public Services Commission (WPSC)	X					X			X
Brand	Lori	Wyoming Public Services Commission (WPSC)	X					X			X
Burbidge	John	Wyoming Public Services Commission	X					X			X
Norby	Michael	Wyoming Office of Consumer Advocate (OCEC)	X					X			X
Parrish	Denise	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers (WIEC)	X					X			X
Pollack	Jeff	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers (WIEC)	X					X			X
Pomery	Bob	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers (WIEC)	X					X			X
Rundell	Sara	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers (WIEC)	X					X			X
Storiel	DK	Wyoming Industrial Energy Consumers (WIEC)	X					X			X
Winkler	Dave	Wyoming Office of Consumer Advocate (OCEC)	X					X			X
Williams	Ben	Wyoming Office of Consumer Advocate (OCEC)	X					X			X
Yates	Barbara	Wyoming Public Services Commission (WPSC)	X					X			X
Zemke	Patrell	Wyoming Public Services Commission	X					X			X
Standing Neutral											
Wallis	Kriell	Standing Neutral	X					X			X
PacificCorp											
Baumgahtner	Jeff	PacificCorp									
Brockbank	Dean	PacificCorp									
Brown	Kelsey	PacificCorp									
Chaung	Sherona	PacificCorp									
Dalley	Bryce	PacificCorp									
Dickman	Brian	PacificCorp									
Duval	Graig	PacificCorp									
Larsen	John	PacificCorp									
Larson	Jeff	PacificCorp									
Lively	Aaron	PacificCorp									
McDougal	Steve	PacificCorp									
Reed	Sarah	PacificCorp									
Saba	Jana	PacificCorp									
Tawwater	Gary	PacificCorp									
Taylor	Dave	PacificCorp									
Weston	Ted	PacificCorp									

Subject: FW: PacifiCorp MSP - March 16, 2012 Commissioners' Forum

----- Original Message -----

Subject: PacifiCorp MSP - March 16, 2012 Commissioners' Forum

Date: Thu, 16 Feb 2012 10:31:08 -0800

From: Kristi Wallis <KristiWallis@sprintmail.com>

To: jgoltz@utc.wa.gov

CC: Rebecca Wilson <rlwilson@utah.gov>, Terri Carlock <Terri.Carlock@puc.idaho.gov>, Marc Hellman <marc.hellman@state.or.us>, Darrell Zlomke <darrell.zlomke@wyo.gov>, Andrea Kelly <Andrea.Kelly@pacificorp.com>

To Utility Commissioners from the Six-State PacifiCorp Jurisdictions:

Hello, Commissioner Goltz -

On behalf of the MSP Standing Committee, I would like to invite you to a Commissioners' Forum on PacifiCorp multi-state issues.

Since the adoption of the PacifiCorp Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Protocol (2010 Protocol)* by Idaho, Oregon, Utah and Wyoming in late 2004 and early 2005, Commissions from the six-state PacifiCorp jurisdictions have been invited to an annual Commissioners' Forum to hear reports from staff in a public meeting on activities under the 2010 Protocol and to discuss matters of common interest. This year's forum will be hosted by the Public Service Commission of Utah. Commissioners from Idaho, Oregon, Utah and Wyoming plan to attend.

This year's Commissioners' Forum will be held in Salt Lake City the afternoon of March 16th from approximately 1:00 to 4:00 (Mountain Time), after the adjournment of the WECC Board Meeting.

Commissioners will hear brief reports from the staff regarding an issue relating to the 2010 Protocol's treatment of the Idaho Irrigation Load Control Program and the proposed 2012 activities of the Standing Committee (including possible preparatory work for the 2013 broad review of allocation methods for 2016 and beyond). The majority of the meeting time will be spent in discussions among Commissioners on topics of mutual interest.

Final arrangements are still being made for the meeting location, but we hope to make it as convenient to the WECC offices as possible. A conference call bridge will be provided. The agenda and meetings materials will be provided to you at least two weeks before the meeting.

Please let me know if you plan to attend the meeting. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

WA UE-130043
WUTC 286

Attachment WUTC 286-2

Kristi Wallis
MSP Standing Neutral
206-726-1699

*The 2010 Protocol is the result of extensive discussions that occurred among representatives of PacifiCorp, Commission staff members and other interested parties from Utah, Oregon, Wyoming, Idaho and Washington regarding issues arising from the Company's status as a multi-jurisdictional utility. These discussions were referred to as the Multi-State Process, or MSP. The 2010 Protocol created an MSP Standing Committee consisting of one member or delegate from each Commission. The Standing Committee convenes open meetings of interested parties at least once a year for the purpose of discussing and monitoring emerging inter-jurisdictional issues facing the Company and its customers.

Subject: FW: PacifiCorp MSP - March 16, 2012 Commissioners' Forum

----- Original Message -----

Subject: PacifiCorp MSP - March 16, 2012 Commissioners' Forum

Date: Thu, 16 Feb 2012 10:32:01 -0800

From: Kristi Wallis <KristiWallis@sprintmail.com>

To: poshie@utc.wa.gov

CC: Rebecca Wilson <rlwilson@utah.gov>, Terri Carlock <Terri.Carlock@puc.idaho.gov>, Darrell Zlomke <darrell.zlomke@wyo.gov>, Marc Hellman <marc.hellman@state.or.us>, Andrea Kelly <Andrea.Kelly@pacificorp.com>

To Utility Commissioners from the Six-State PacifiCorp Jurisdictions:

Hello, Commissioner Oshie -

On behalf of the MSP Standing Committee, I would like to invite you to a Commissioners' Forum on PacifiCorp multi-state issues.

Since the adoption of the PacifiCorp Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Protocol (2010 Protocol)* by Idaho, Oregon, Utah and Wyoming in late 2004 and early 2005, Commissions from the six-state PacifiCorp jurisdictions have been invited to an annual Commissioners' Forum to hear reports from staff in a public meeting on activities under the 2010 Protocol and to discuss matters of common interest. This year's forum will be hosted by the Public Service Commission of Utah. Commissioners from Idaho, Oregon, Utah and Wyoming plan to attend.

This year's Commissioners' Forum will be held in Salt Lake City the afternoon of March 16th from approximately 1:00 to 4:00 (Mountain Time), after the adjournment of the WECC Board Meeting.

Commissioners will hear brief reports from the staff regarding an issue relating to the 2010 Protocol's treatment of the Idaho Irrigation Load Control Program and the proposed 2012 activities of the Standing Committee (including possible preparatory work for the 2013 broad review of allocation methods for 2016 and beyond). The majority of the meeting time will be spent in discussions among Commissioners on topics of mutual interest.

Final arrangements are still being made for the meeting location, but we hope to make it as convenient to the WECC offices as possible. A conference call bridge will be provided. The agenda and meetings materials will be provided to you at least two weeks before the meeting.

Please let me know if you plan to attend the meeting. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

WA UE-130043

Kristi Wallis
MSP Standing Neutral
206-726-1699

Attachment WJTC 286-3

*The 2010 Protocol is the result of extensive discussions that occurred among representatives of PacifiCorp, Commission staff members and other interested parties from Utah, Oregon, Wyoming, Idaho and Washington regarding issues arising from the Company's status as a multi-jurisdictional utility. These discussions were referred to as the Multi-State Process, or MSP. The 2010 Protocol created an MSP Standing Committee consisting of one member or delegate from each Commission. The Standing Committee convenes open meetings of interested parties at least once a year for the purpose of discussing and monitoring emerging inter-jurisdictional issues facing the Company and its customers.

Subject: FW: PacifiCorp MSP - March 16, 2012 Commissioners' Forum

----- Original Message -----

Subject: PacifiCorp MSP - March 16, 2012 Commissioners' Forum

Date: Thu, 16 Feb 2012 10:31:42 -0800

From: Kristi Wallis <KristiWallis@sprintmail.com>

To: pjones@utc.wa.gov

CC: Rebecca Wilson <rlwilson@utah.gov>, Marc Hellman <marc.hellman@state.or.us>, Darrell Zlomke <darrell.zlomke@wyo.gov>, Terri Carlock <Terri.Carlock@puc.idaho.gov>, Andrea Kelly <Andrea.Kelly@pacificorp.com>

To Utility Commissioners from the Six-State PacifiCorp Jurisdictions:

Hello, Commissioner Jones -

On behalf of the MSP Standing Committee, I would like to invite you to a Commissioners' Forum on PacifiCorp multi-state issues.

Since the adoption of the PacifiCorp Inter-Jurisdictional Cost Allocation Protocol (2010 Protocol)* by Idaho, Oregon, Utah and Wyoming in late 2004 and early 2005, Commissions from the six-state PacifiCorp jurisdictions have been invited to an annual Commissioners' Forum to hear reports from staff in a public meeting on activities under the 2010 Protocol and to discuss matters of common interest. This year's forum will be hosted by the Public Service Commission of Utah. Commissioners from Idaho, Oregon, Utah and Wyoming plan to attend.

This year's Commissioners' Forum will be held in Salt Lake City the afternoon of March 16th from approximately 1:00 to 4:00 (Mountain Time), after the adjournment of the WECC Board Meeting.

Commissioners will hear brief reports from the staff regarding an issue relating to the 2010 Protocol's treatment of the Idaho Irrigation Load Control Program and the proposed 2012 activities of the Standing Committee (including possible preparatory work for the 2013 broad review of allocation methods for 2016 and beyond). The majority of the meeting time will be spent in discussions among Commissioners on topics of mutual interest.

Final arrangements are still being made for the meeting location, but we hope to make it as convenient to the WECC offices as possible. A conference call bridge will be provided. The agenda and meetings materials will be provided to you at least two weeks before the meeting.

Please let me know if you plan to attend the meeting. Thank you for your consideration.

WA UE-130043

WUTC 286

Best regards,

Kristi Wallis
MSP Standing Neutral
206-726-1699

*The 2010 Protocol is the result of extensive discussions that occurred among representatives of PacifiCorp, Commission staff members and other interested parties from Utah, Oregon, Wyoming, Idaho and Washington regarding issues arising from the Company's status as a multi-jurisdictional utility. These discussions were referred to as the Multi-State Process, or MSP. The 2010 Protocol created an MSP Standing Committee consisting of one member or delegate from each Commission. The Standing Committee convenes open meetings of interested parties at least once a year for the purpose of discussing and monitoring emerging inter-jurisdictional issues facing the Company and its customers.

**DERIVATION OF THE CONTROL AREA GENERATION WEST ALLOCATION FACTOR
PACIFICORP VERSUS STAFF
FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013
2013 GENERAL RATE CASE**

	a	b	c		d	e
1	<u>Company</u>					
2	CAGW =	(CAEW	* Energy Weighting)	+	(WCA 200 CP	* Demand Weighting)
3	=	22.6481%	* 62%	+	22.5913%	* 38%
4	=	14.0418%		+	8.5847%	
5	=	22.6265%				
6						
7	<u>Staff</u>					
8	CAGW =	(CAEW	* Energy Weighting)	+	(WCA 200 CP	* Demand Weighting)
9	=	22.6481%	* 73%	+	22.5913%	* 27%
10	=	16.5331%		+	6.0997%	
11	=	22.6328%				

CAEW: Control Area Energy West

b3 and b9: McDougal Exhibit No. ___ (SRM-5) at 10.

d3 and d9: McDougal Exhibit No. ___ (SRM-5) at 8.

c3 and e3: McDougal Exhibit No. ___ (SRM-5) at 11.

e9: Steward Exhibit No. ___ (JRS-7T) at 6:12-13.