1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON							
2	UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION							
3								
4	In Re: Application of:)							
5)							
6	FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE,) Docket No. TV-150223							
7	LLC,							
8)							
9	for a permit to operate as a)							
10	motor carrier of household)							
11	goods.)							
12								
13	Volume I							
14	Hearing Held Before							
15	MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER							
16	Administrative Law Judge							
17	Pages 1 - 264							
18								
19	9:00 a.m 3:24 p.m.							
20	June 24, 2015							
21	1300 South Evergreen Park Drive S.W., Room 206							
22	Olympia, Washington							
23								
24								
25	Mary M. Paradise, CSR 2469							

0002							
1	APPEARANCES						
2							
3	MARGUERITE E. FRIEDLANDER, Administrative Law Judge						
4							
5	FOR FIVE STARS MOVING & STORAGE, LLC:						
6	CHRISTOPHER T. WALL						
7	Gordon Thomas Honeywell						
8	1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 2100						
9	Tacoma, Washington 98401-1157						
10							
11	FOR WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION						
12	COMMISSION:						
13	ANDREW J. O'CONNELL						
14	Assistant Attorney General, UTC Division						
15	PO Box 40128						
16	Olympia, Washington 98504-0128						
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							

Т	E A	AMII	NAII	ON IND	L A
2					
3	WITNESS:	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT I	RECROSS
4	Michael O'Connor	14	120	133	144
5		114		137	
6					
7	William Trick	50	87	100	101
8				101, 236	240
9					
10	Susie Paul	155	212	231, 233	
11					
12					
13	ЕХН	I B I 7	r i N	D E X	
14	NUMBER:				PAGE
15	1 - 3 Official	Notice	Taken		12
16	13 Admitted	into E	vidence		43
17	SP-1 Admitted	into E	vidence	158, 17	5, 231
18	SP-6 - 9 Admitted	into E	vidence		182
19	SP-4 Admitted	into E	vidence		183
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

25

Storage.

1 OLYMPIA, WASHINGTON; JUNE 24, 2015 2 9:00 a.m. 3 -000-4 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Let's go on the record. Good morning. My name is 6 7 Marguerite Friedlander. I'm the administrative law judge for the Washington Utilities and 8 9 Transportation Commission. 10 We're going to start out with opening statements, but before we do that, let's go ahead 11 12 and take quick appearances. Just go ahead and 13 state your name, spelling your last name, and who 14 you represent. Beginning with Five Stars, 15 Mr. Wall. 16 MR. WALL: Good morning, your Honor. My 17 name is Christopher Wall. Wall is W-a-1-1. 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm not sure your 19 microphone is on. Do you see a red dot? MR. WALL: I don't. How's that? 20 21 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Perfect. 22 MR. WALL: My name is Christopher Wall. 23 Wall is W-a-l-l, on behalf of Five Stars Moving &

JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. And

- 1 Mr. O'Connell.
- 2 MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor. My
- 3 name is Andrew J. O'Connell, O, apostrophe,
- 4 C-o-n-n-e-l-l. I'm an assistant attorney general
- 5 for the state of Washington, and I represent
- 6 commission staff in this matter.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Okay. And
- 8 everybody knows -- the parties know, I should say,
- 9 that there are opening statements, are limited to
- 10 five minutes each. So we'll begin with Five Stars.
- 11 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You can remain seated.
- 13 That's fine.
- 14 MR. WALL: Okay. Your Honor, this is a
- 15 case about redepmtion. Mr. Trick has been working
- 16 in the household goods moving industry for nearly a
- 17 decade now, and he's lawfully performed thousands
- 18 of labor only in-home moves.
- 19 The evidence will show that the UTC does
- 20 not regulate labor only moves, and that Mr. --
- 21 Mr. Trick is allowed to be in homes, boxing up
- 22 household goods and packaging them, as long as he
- 23 doesn't put them on his own truck. We'll talk
- 24 about the WAC provisions involved there.
- Over the past 16 years, Mr. Trick has a

- 1 demonstrated record of safety and no re-offenses in
- 2 the home move settings. We'll talk about
- 3 Mr. Trick's rehabilitation. We'll learn about the
- 4 factors that mitigate the risk of recidivism.
- 5 Mr. Trick is in a stable marriage with Ashley
- 6 Trick, and they have two young children, Damien and
- 7 Mackenzie.
- 8 Mr. Trick is an example of success of the
- 9 criminal justice and rehabilitation system. He's
- 10 gone through intensive rehabilitation programs.
- 11 While incarcerated, he obtained higher education
- 12 certificates in information technology and
- 13 multimedia. He also served as a facilitator for
- 14 the Alternative to Violence and Non-Violent
- 15 Communication programs.
- 16 We'll hear about the UTC's rationale in
- 17 coming to an intent to deny Five Stars Moving &
- 18 Storage's permanent application. Of the 13
- 19 statutory criteria, we'll hear that the single
- 20 reason for the UTC's notice of intent to deny was
- 21 Mr. Trick's now 16 year old criminal conviction.
- The rationale is not unpersuasive at a
- 23 surface level. It's that Mr. Trick has a criminal
- 24 conviction for a sexual offense. He's currently
- 25 leveled at a level 2 on a King County web site.

- 1 The King County web site says that that means that
- 2 he's at a moderate risk of recidivism. Movers are
- 3 in people's homes, and so the rationale is that if
- 4 he's a risk and in people's homes, then there's a
- 5 risk to the public, and granting the permit is not
- 6 in the interests of the public.
- 7 But the evidence will show that Mr. Trick
- 8 is actually not at a risk of recidivism. The
- 9 evidence will show that Mr. Trick's level was set
- 10 in 2004 when he was released, and he's not since
- 11 been re-leveled. That's not been re-examined
- 12 since.
- The score, as it was calculated in 2004,
- 14 does not predict recidivism. We'll also learn that
- 15 under the legislature's direction, the Washington
- 16 Institute for Public Policy, has studied the system
- 17 that was used to study Mr. Trick and found that,
- 18 quote, the notification levels determined by the
- 19 ESRC, the End of Sentence Review Commission, do not
- 20 classify offenders in groups that accurately
- 21 reflect their risk for re-offending and that the
- 22 notification consideration score has, quote, little
- 23 or no accuracy in predicting offender recidivism.
- 24 And we'll learn that these findings have led to a
- 25 revamping of that classification system to better

- 1 predict recidivism.
- 2 We'll also hear that the UTC's conclusion
- 3 was based on several erroneous factual premises
- 4 that were gleaned off the King County Sheriff's web
- 5 site, which suggested that Mr. Trick was a coach
- 6 and a child care volunteer. Those are simply not
- 7 accurate, and we'll hear about those facts.
- 8 And there's also a Google Plus listing
- 9 that was referenced that made it look like
- 10 Mr. Trick had been authorizing -- advertising full
- 11 service moves without a permit, which was also not
- 12 the case.
- 13 Finally, the -- implicit in the UTC's
- 14 conclusion was that granting the permit will
- 15 increase Mr. Trick's presence in the home. In
- 16 fact, the opposite is true. Currently, his primary
- 17 role is as an in-home mover. If the permit were to
- 18 be granted, Mr. Trick would move into a more
- 19 managerial role, where he'll be overseeing
- 20 employees, handling insurance, making sure that the
- 21 equipment is functioning properly, working on
- 22 advertising, working on communications with
- 23 customers, and it will actually take him out of the
- 24 home.
- 25 So I think to summarize, essentially, two

- 1 flawed premises; one, that Mr. Trick is at a
- 2 moderate risk of recidivism. We'll see that that's
- 3 not actually true, and number two, the premise that
- 4 granting the permit would also increase his
- 5 premises in the home, and that's also not accurate.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 7 Mr. O'Connell.
- 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 9 The evidence presented here today will show three
- 10 facts. Five Stars' application is misleading.
- 11 Mr. Trick has misled staff in the past, and
- 12 Mr. Trick's felony conviction for sexually
- 13 molesting two seven-year old girls will interfere
- 14 with proper operation of Five Stars Moving &
- 15 Storage.
- 16 Staff recommends the denial of Five Stars
- 17 application for these reasons: The three main
- 18 issues in this matter all revolve around Mr. Trick.
- 19 The evidence staff will present today will show
- 20 Five Stars did not include in its application any
- 21 information about Mr. Trick's criminal history. It
- 22 did not include any information about Mr. Trick's
- 23 business related legal proceedings.
- 24 The lack of disclosures in Five Stars'
- 25 application reminds staff of another application

- 1 they received two years ago that concerned
- 2 Mr. Trick. In that application, it was not
- 3 disclosed that Mr. Trick was a part owner of the
- 4 household good moving company.
- 5 The Commission found that that -- that
- 6 that application was misleading. This application
- 7 in this case is also misleading. The evidence
- 8 presented will show that Mr. Trick has a conviction
- 9 for sexually molesting two seven-year old girls.
- 10 The nature and extent of this crime is despicable,
- 11 and it will interfere with the operation of the
- 12 household good moving company. No evidence
- 13 presented today will change these things.
- I want to talk briefly about the rules
- 15 that govern the decision of whether to deny a
- 16 permit for a household good mover. To earn an
- 17 authorization, applicants must meet the fitness
- 18 requirements contained in the Washington
- 19 Administrative Code, or WAC, sections 480-15-302
- 20 and 305 for provisional and for permanent
- 21 authority.
- These rules provide that the Commission is
- 23 to deny a permit if the application contains any
- 24 indication of fraud, misrepresentation or erroneous
- 25 information. They also provide that an application

- 1 is to be denied if the applicant or any employee
- 2 has been convicted of a crime involving sexual
- 3 misconduct more than five years ago and the
- 4 Commission determines that the nature and extent of
- 5 that crime will likely interfere with the proper
- 6 operation of a household good moving company.
- 7 It is not staff's position that a
- 8 conviction for an offense, any one of the several
- 9 listed in the rule, creates a permanent bar from
- 10 receiving a permit. Instead, the rule requires the
- 11 Commission to conduct an analysis considering the
- 12 nature and extent of the crime and whether it is
- 13 likely to interfere with operating the household
- 14 good moving company.
- In this case, staff believes it does.
- 16 Staff will present its evidence through Ms. Susie
- 17 Paul, who will testify to staff's investigation of
- 18 the application, the application's deficiencies,
- 19 the household good moving industry, the nature and
- 20 extent of Mr. Trick's crime of sexual molestation,
- 21 and the exhibits that support the notice of intent
- 22 to deny.
- 23 At this time, Commission staff would offer
- 24 Exhibit 1, the application materials submitted by
- 25 Five Stars Moving; Exhibit 2, the notice of intent

- 1 to deny, and Exhibit 3, the request for a hearing,
- 2 and we would ask that the Commission take official
- 3 notice of these documents pursuant to Washington
- 4 Administrative Code, or WAC, as I'll refer to it,
- 5 480-07-495. Thank you, your Honor.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Is there
- 7 any objection to taking official notice?
- 8 MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We will take official
- 10 notice of those three documents.
- 11 (Exhibits 1 through 3 taken official
- 12 notice.)
- MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I have
- 14 copies for your Honor. Should I present those to
- 15 you when you take notice, so if any evidence that's
- 16 offered --
- 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I actually have
- 18 your pre-filed exhibit list and the exhibits. So
- 19 why don't we go ahead and deal with the exhibits
- 20 themselves one at a time when Ms. Paul sponsors
- 21 them through testimony.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Sure.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. It is 9:10
- 24 right now. I haven't heard a click to indicate
- 25 that Mr. -- I'm sorry, Dr. O'Connell is on the

- 1 line yet, but I would imagine that shortly, he
- 2 would be. So we'll briefly go off the record while
- 3 we wait for that. Very briefly. So we'll go back
- 4 on the record. Dr. O'Connell, are you on the line?
- 5 DR. O'CONNELL: Yes, I am.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And we are having some
- 7 difficulties with the conference bridge. It
- 8 sounds -- you sound very -- the volume is very low.
- 9 So if we could maybe have you -- I don't want you
- 10 to shout, but we'll need you to speak up a bit.
- DR. O'CONNELL: Okay. I'll make a point.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you so much.
- 13 And also, we are having this proceeding
- 14 transcribed, so if you can make sure to speak
- 15 clearly and slowly, that would help our court
- 16 reporter immensely.
- DR. O'CONNELL: I'll make a point.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Before we
- 19 begin, let me go ahead and swear you in. I can't
- 20 see you, but I you have to assume that you are
- 21 standing and that you are raising your right hand.
- DR. O'CONNELL: I am now.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
- Whereupon, MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL,
- 25 was duly sworn and testified as follows:

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
- 3 Please be seated. Mr. Wall, you can begin.

- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. WALL:
- 7 Q. Thank you, your Honor.
- 8 Good morning, Mr. O'Connell.
- 9 A. Good morning.
- 10 Q. Would you state your name and spell it for
- 11 the record?
- 12 A. Michael A. O'Connell. The last name is O,
- 13 apostrophe, capital C-o-n-n-e-l-l.
- Q. And would you tell us about your
- 15 educational background, starting with college?
- 16 A. I have a bachelor of science from the
- 17 University of Pennsylvania. I have a master of
- 18 social work from the University of Washington, and
- 19 a PhD in counseling psychology from the University
- 20 of Washington.
- 21 Q. Can you tell us about the training that
- 22 you have, both from your education and in your
- 23 professional experience?
- 24 A. The relevant training, I began my career
- 25 in the social services with a -- with a focus on --

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 on correctional administration and treatment, moved
- 3 into treatment over time, did work in the substance
- 4 abuse field, which is -- was the major focus of my
- 5 master of social work training at the University of
- 6 Washington School of Social Work.
- 7 I began a private practice treating --
- 8 mostly treating people with behavioral -- sexual
- 9 behavior problems and sex offenders, beginning in
- 10 1981. I returned to school in 1986, completed a
- 11 PhD in counseling psychology at the University of
- 12 Washington, while continuing to run a private
- 13 practice, and did my dissertation at the University
- 14 of Washington on using polygraph testing to discern
- 15 the deviant sexual histories of sex offenders.
- 16 That -- that dissertation won an -- an
- 17 international award from the Association for the
- 18 Treatment of Sexual Abusers, the national-
- 19 international professional organization.
- 20 Q. And how many years experience in the field
- 21 do you have?
- 22 A. Let's see. I guess it's 34 years.
- 23 Q. Would you also speak briefly about some of
- 24 your -- the professional capacities in which you
- 25 served?

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. I have been involved in the Association
- 3 for the Treatment of Sexual Abusers and the
- 4 Washington state chapter. Washington state had the
- 5 first state chapter of that organization. I was a
- 6 two term president of that -- of the state chapter.
- 7 I've been a board member before and since. I'm a
- 8 current board member.
- 9 I've been involved in the -- the larger
- 10 organization, participating in some -- in some
- 11 special function groups, including the group that
- 12 set up standards and reviewed the process for
- 13 implementing the standards for professional
- 14 practice.
- 15 Q. Thank you. I'd like to ask you about the
- 16 predictors of recidivism and how you predict
- 17 recidivism. What are the most important factors to
- 18 consider when determining someone's risk for
- 19 recidivism?
- 20 A. What we know about the likelihood of
- 21 recidivism best studies are what I call meta-
- 22 analytic studies which -- which collapse a number
- 23 of studies together. There have been two large --
- 24 two large studies, one in 1999 and 2004, by Karl
- 25 Hanson out of the correctional services of Canada.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 You know, many, many studies collapsed them
- 3 together, and there were a number of findings.
- 4 The most compelling is that the -- what we
- 5 know about the measureable factors, there are two
- 6 that stand out as increasing risk of recidivism.
- 7 One is deviant sexual interests; somebody who is
- 8 sexually interested and aroused to kids or
- 9 fetishistic behavior or coercive sex, that's
- 10 deviant sexual interest, and the other is a history
- of antisociology that's best measured by a measure
- 12 of psychopathy.
- 13 So those are the two major predictors of
- 14 sexual recidivism. In recent years, there have
- 15 been some additional studies looking at time in the
- 16 community and at risk, meaning not in custody, not
- 17 under 24-hour supervision. And there have been a
- 18 number of studies.
- 19 Most recently, it was Hanson and Harris
- 20 and several others published last year, that showed
- 21 -- that actually reinforced earlier results that
- 22 showed that people who are in the community for a
- 23 long time and at risk who have not re-offended,
- 24 that -- that risk of recidivism drops off pretty
- 25 quickly over the first 1 to 5 years, and after 10

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 or 12 years, flattens out.
- 3 So that even high risk people, people who
- 4 are on actuarial instruments or seem to be at high
- 5 risk, if they haven't offended after 15 years, are
- 6 essentially at the same risk of somebody coming out
- 7 of prison not having been convicted of a sex
- 8 offense, and the likelihood of them committing a
- 9 sexual offense in the future.
- 10 So summarizing my answer, sexual --
- 11 deviant sexual interest, antisociology and
- 12 psychopathy, and time back in the community after
- 13 incarceration and not re-offending are the most
- 14 powerful predictors of -- of recidivism.
- 15 Q. Okay. And to drill down on that a little
- 16 further, can you talk more about the time frame
- 17 from the date of release from prison, what is the
- 18 significance of the one year mark, the five year
- 19 mark, the ten year mark?
- 20 A. Actually, sex offenses are like other
- 21 offenses, in that people who come out of prison are
- 22 most likely to recidivate in, actually, the first
- 23 several months, certainly the first year. It drops
- 24 off, you know, between the first and second year.
- 25 It drops off further. There's another sort of

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 drop-off at five years.
- 3 And in fact, when -- back in 2004, when I
- 4 was part of establishing a model policy for the
- 5 Seattle Police Department on reviewing notification
- 6 levels, helping -- you know, just giving Seattle
- 7 Police Department a -- a format for thinking about
- 8 reducing -- considering reducing a level 3 to a
- 9 level 2, and a level 2 to a level 1, we looked at
- 10 the early 2003 studies that showed, you know, the
- 11 drop-off in recidivism over time, and we determined
- 12 that a five year drop-off was a -- you know, at
- 13 that point, there was a significant reduction, and
- 14 that they would begin considering reducing risk
- 15 levels for notification.
- Q. So after 10 or 11 years without any
- 17 re-offenses, can you draw any conclusions about a
- 18 person's risk of recidivism?
- 19 A. Well, for somebody who is low risk to
- 20 begin with, or moderate risk to begin with, at that
- 21 point, it's essentially the same as somebody who
- 22 has never committed a sex offense, but who went to
- 23 prison for some other non-sex offense crime.
- Q. So if I understand what you're saying,
- 25 someone who went to prison for some non-sex offense

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 crime, that could be any crime that you could be
- 3 incarcerated for, is that what you're saying?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 Q. So you're saying, even that person without
- 6 a history of recidivism is at some risk of
- 7 recidivism?
- 8 A. Nobody's at no risk of -- nobody's at no
- 9 risk of sexual offense, even somebody who has never
- 10 committed a sex offense or has never been convicted
- 11 of a sex offense is at some risk. So there is
- 12 never no risk, but we're talking about, you know,
- 13 somebody who went to prison for -- for car theft or
- 14 writing bad checks, we don't usually think of them
- 15 as being at a meaningful risk of committing a sex
- 16 offense, and that's the level at which somebody who
- 17 had a low or moderate risk level coming out of
- 18 prison at 10 or 11 years would be seen as the same
- 19 as that.
- 20 Q. From your experience in the field, are
- 21 there any persistent myths about sex offenders?
- 22 A. Well, there's a couple. One of which is
- 23 there's nothing can be done about sex offenders,
- 24 that it's an incurable condition. Once a sex
- 25 offender, always a sex offender, and that was -- I

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 confess to having been part of a -- a --
- 3 communicating to -- to policy makers in the public
- 4 at large early on, to making that point.
- 5 35 years ago, sex offenses were not on
- 6 many people's radar. In fact, when I completed my
- 7 master of social work program at the University of
- 8 Washington in 1977, there was no mention of -- of
- 9 child abuse or sexual abuse that came up in any of
- 10 my coursework. So it just wasn't on the -- you
- 11 know, it wasn't on the radar for most people.
- 12 And when I started working in this field,
- 13 one of the first -- one of the first clinics that
- 14 did outpatient treatment in Washington, we would
- 15 often see -- not often, but it was not uncommon
- 16 that I would see a grandfather molesting a
- 17 grandkid, and it was the third generation of a
- 18 victim. He had molested younger siblings as a
- 19 teenager. He had molested his kids and their
- 20 friends, you know, in his 20s and 30s, and nothing
- 21 had really been done.
- 22 There was -- maybe somebody would take him
- 23 in to a priest, the principal may have talked to
- 24 him in the back as a teenager. This was a -- you
- 25 know, a person who mowed his lawn, paid his taxes,

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 was kind to his neighbors. They weren't considered
- 3 dangerous or high risk folks. And we were saying,
- 4 yes, look at the history.
- 5 The point we made was, yes, you know, this
- 6 was something that just because a guy is pro-social
- 7 or doesn't look -- you know, he doesn't look seedy,
- 8 it doesn't mean that he doesn't present a risk, I
- 9 think that -- that over-hyped and over-learned, and
- 10 the idea that there was nothing that could be done,
- 11 and somebody who has committed a sex offense is
- 12 bound and determined and will inevitably re-offend
- 13 has, you know, kind of got baked into the social
- 14 consciousness.
- So the idea that nothing can be done is
- 16 not true. There are many studies that show that
- 17 collapsing a lot of studies into a broad-based
- 18 summary, that state-of-the-art treatment programs
- 19 basically reduce the likelihood of recidivism in
- 20 half, all things being equal. So that yes,
- 21 something can be done.
- Q. You mentioned state-of-the-art treatment
- 23 programs. Can you talk specifically about
- 24 Washington's treatment program, and how does it
- 25 stack up to other treatment programs?

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. So I assume you're talking about the
- 3 in-prison program at the -- through the Department
- 4 of Corrections?
- 5 Q. Yes.
- 6 A. And it's -- it's actually a fairly good
- 7 program, as these go. It's a cognitive behavioral
- 8 program, which is one of the elements that is --
- 9 that's what's referred to as -- as state-of-
- 10 the-art. It isn't -- it isn't doing psychodynamic
- 11 or looking at self-esteem.
- 12 It's looking at the cognition, and people
- 13 use the justifications and rationalizations and the
- 14 excuses people use, and the behavioral interests
- 15 that they bring into -- into their offending
- 16 behavior and the lead-up to that.
- 17 And I was a member of their advisory
- 18 committee for a number of years, so I'm pretty
- 19 familiar with that program. I've worked with a
- 20 number of people coming out of that program. I've
- 21 worked with a number of people who went from that
- 22 program to the civil commitment program for
- 23 sexually violent predators who said, gee, this is
- 24 more intense, but boy, I learned -- this is -- most
- 25 of what I'm learning here is a repeat of what I

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 learned at -- at Twin Rivers, which is the, you
- 3 know, the foundational program for the state
- 4 Department of Corrections program. A very good
- 5 program.
- 6 Q. Okay. Thank you. You've been retained in
- 7 this case to testify, and also, to evaluate
- 8 Mr. Trick. Have you had a chance to evaluate
- 9 Mr. Trick?
- 10 A. I had a telephone consultation with
- 11 Mr. Trick in the last week. I went over a -- it
- 12 was a kind of a quick and dirty quality about this.
- 13 I got some background information. I heard about
- 14 his offense, you know, the elements of the offense,
- 15 and -- and what he learned in treatment.
- 16 And I did some -- I went through the
- 17 actual risk tool that he would have been -- would
- 18 have been applied to him back in his release, I
- 19 guess, was 2004. So yes, I've done that.
- I have not had access to the official
- 21 records. I have not had an opportunity to talk to
- 22 collateral contacts to support, you know, what he
- 23 says about his -- you know, his -- his adjustment
- 24 to the community since he got out of prison.
- 25 Q. Let me -- let me back up for a minute.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 You said, I think, if I heard you right, the tool
- 3 that would have been applied to him at the time of
- 4 his release. Can you -- can you explain for us who
- 5 are unfamiliar with this system, when is a person's
- 6 offender level determined?
- 7 A. Generally speaking, if a person has been
- 8 in prison, the -- the End of Sentence Review
- 9 Committee would review them and use a scoring tool
- 10 that was created initially in the mid '90s, and
- 11 then revised in '99, and that's the initial, and
- 12 oftentimes, the only actuarial like risk assessment
- 13 that was done.
- 14 O. And so the End of Sentence Review
- 15 Committee sets that level at the time a person is
- 16 released from prison, is that what you're saying?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Okay. After your evaluation of Mr. Trick,
- 19 have you reached any tentative conclusions?
- 20 A. Well, there's -- there's two tentative
- 21 conclusions that I have come up with. Number one
- 22 is the fact that he has been in the community and
- 23 -- and off supervision for a considerable amount of
- 24 time.
- 25 Apparently, based on what I know, has a

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 social life, has a family, he's invested in a job,
- 3 the sort of things that are referred to as
- 4 desistance from crime, and this -- this goes back
- 5 to a theory about juvenile delinquency, and a lot
- 6 of juvenile delinquents age out of their antisocial
- 7 and, you know, criminal behavior, that as they
- 8 mature, as they have investment in a job or a
- 9 family or a position in the community, they have
- 10 other things that they devote their energy and
- 11 attention to, and things they don't want to lose by
- 12 messing up and getting in trouble.
- So you know, that's -- you know, that
- 14 seems to be at work, from what I know about
- 15 Mr. Trick.
- 16 The other thing that I did is when I went
- 17 through -- I'm rambling on here, and I think the
- 18 question is, what -- what was the outcome of my
- 19 assessment of Mr. Trick, is that what we're talking
- 20 about here?
- 21 Q. Correct. Have you had a chance to -- have
- 22 you reached any tentative conclusions regarding
- 23 Mr. Trick?
- 24 A. Yes. And the other thing that I -- that I
- 25 came up with was that it looks to me as if there

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 may have been a mistake in the scoring of the
- 3 scoring tool back in 2004, or whenever it was he
- 4 was released, and that there was a -- a mistake
- 5 made in leveling him as a level 2 rather than a
- 6 level 1, even by the tool and the rules of the tool
- 7 that should have applied at the time.
- 8 Q. Okay. Let's go ahead and dive into that
- 9 topic, then. What can you tell us about what tool
- 10 was in place in 2004 in Washington when Mr. Trick
- 11 was released from prison?
- 12 A. The tool was -- it's a mouthful, so I've
- 13 got to get the -- get the tool in front of me here.
- 14 Where did it go? Here it is. It's the Washington
- 15 State Sex Offender Risk Level Classification,
- 16 Revised 1999. I'll say that again. Washington
- 17 State Sex Offender Risk Level Classification,
- 18 Revised 1999.
- 19 That was a revision of an earlier tool
- 20 that was put together. Washington was one of the
- 21 first states to do classification and community
- 22 notification, based on the Community Protection Act
- 23 of 1990, I believe it was. And the legislature had
- 24 the Washington Associates -- Association of
- 25 Sheriffs and Police Chiefs come up with a scoring

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 tool.
- 3 They originally came up -- they originally
- 4 used the Minnesota Sex Offender Screening Tool,
- 5 MMSOST. And then they revised it in 1999 and
- 6 included a -- a -- in addition to that scoring
- 7 tool, which was the state-of-the-art actuarial tool
- 8 at the time. And then at the end, they added what
- 9 were called notification considerations, which
- 10 were, on the face of it, reasonable, but basically,
- 11 subjective judgments, which were -- which the --
- 12 whatever the scoring tool could add to sort of
- 13 override.
- So it was a -- for the time, a state-of-
- 15 the-art emperically based actuarial tool, and then
- 16 a kind of subjective override that was thrown in at
- 17 the end.
- 18 Q. So for those of us who are unfamiliar with
- 19 this field, what -- what is the difference between
- 20 -- what is an actuarial method and what is a
- 21 notifications method?
- 22 A. So the actuarial process is the same
- 23 process that insurance companies go through when
- 24 they decide whether to, you know, write an
- 25 insurance -- a life insurance or decide how much to

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 charge you for auto insurance. There's -- they
- 3 have developed a database which shows that, you
- 4 know, a 16-year-old boy driving a Corvette is at
- 5 much higher likelihood of getting into an accident
- 6 and causing an insurance claim than a 45-year-old
- 7 woman driving a Saturn.
- 8 And they accumulate this data, and they
- 9 predict statistically -- not each individual, but,
- 10 you know, in the aggregate, what's the likelihood
- 11 of some unfortunate events. So the unfortunate
- 12 event we're talking about here is a likelihood of
- 13 sexual re-offense.
- 14 And there are a number of -- of, you know,
- 15 data points that -- that load highly on the two
- 16 factors I was mentioning; antisociality, problems,
- 17 arrests, convictions, a number of -- a diversity of
- 18 criminal behavior and, you know, deviant sexual
- 19 interests. So that was the Minnesota scoring tool.
- 20 So that's the empirical, just -- just the numbers
- 21 basis of the Minnesota scoring tool.
- 22 And then the subjective notification
- 23 considerations are things like, was this behavior
- 24 of a predatory nature? Was there a particularly
- 25 vulnerable victim? These are things, that on the

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 surface, give people the willies, raise concerns
- 3 about the injustice of it all, but really have not
- 4 proven to be predictive of sexual re-offense.
- 5 So there were -- there were four of those
- 6 things that were thrown in at the end of the -- of
- 7 the tool that -- what's a particularly predatory
- 8 behavior? Well, that's a -- that's a subjective
- 9 decision in its own right, and -- and then it was
- 10 -- we now know, and I'm guessing you're going to be
- 11 asking me questions about the outcome of that, it
- 12 -- it takes the predictive value of the scoring
- 13 tool and diminishes it.
- 14 Q. So do the notification scores have any
- 15 predictive value of recidivism?
- 16 A. They really don't have any predictive
- 17 value. In fact, they -- they take away predictive
- 18 value from the emperically based part of the
- 19 scoring tool.
- 20 Q. Okay. So I want to ask you a little bit
- 21 more about that in just a moment here. When we
- 22 talk about notification considerations, would
- 23 whether or not the convict groomed their victims,
- 24 would that be a notification consideration?
- 25 A. That -- I've actually seen that used in

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 the past. It isn't an obvious -- it's not -- it's
- 3 not something obviously that ought to be
- 4 considered, if you read these things at face value.
- 5 But I actually do remember a client that I
- 6 worked with a number of years ago who was out in
- 7 the community, he had been out for, you know, a
- 8 couple, three years. The King County Sheriff's
- 9 Department finally got around to scoring him. He
- 10 didn't go to prison. So this was -- this was a
- 11 scoring procedure that should have been done by the
- 12 local law enforcement agency.
- 13 And I remember that the -- the sheriff's
- 14 detective in this case leveled him at a higher
- 15 level, because they were kind of offended at the --
- 16 the amount of grooming that went into the offending
- 17 against the victim in this case.
- 18 Q. Okay. Based on your evaluation of
- 19 Mr. Trick, what is your tentative conclusion
- 20 regarding Mr. Trick's current risk of recidivism?
- 21 A. Well, it looks, based on just my talking
- 22 to him over the phone and -- and, you know, the
- 23 information available to me, that he looks like he
- 24 ought to be a level 1 guy, and that the -- his
- 25 connections to the community and the things that he

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 is doing to keep himself busy would seem to -- you
- 3 know, he looks like a low risk guy who, you know,
- 4 needs not to get drunk and -- and, you know, put
- 5 himself in a situation like where he was in --
- 6 after a party where, as he described it, a couple
- 7 of kids in the family were -- were sleeping with
- 8 him, but I do not see any -- any red flags about --
- 9 about him being predatory or him having a deviant
- 10 sexual interest or him being antisocial in a way
- 11 that, you know, if there are no rules, stuff
- 12 happens, and this is among the things that can
- 13 happen.
- 14 Q. Okay. Let me clarify. When you say
- 15 sleeping with him, are you talking literally
- 16 sleeping with him? Not having sex with him?
- 17 A. Oh, yes, that was -- that was the case.
- 18 The kids came in and were sleeping with him as he
- 19 was -- as he was -- as he described it, you know,
- 20 kind of sleeping off having consumed a lot of
- 21 alcohol at a party.
- 22 Q. So in order to make a full and final
- 23 conclusion, what more analysis do you need to do?
- 24 A. In order to give a -- you know, a more
- 25 definitive conclusion, I would want to do a, you

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 know, more thorough evaluation and risk assessment,
- 3 which would involve, you know, getting some, you
- 4 know, official records, doing some more testing,
- 5 doing some collateral contacts with other people
- 6 who could confirm what he's told me about his --
- 7 his involvement in the community and with his
- 8 family.
- 9 Q. So, essentially, verifying the facts?
- 10 A. As he told them to me, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And do you have any plans to
- 12 conduct those types of further evaluations?
- 13 A. I -- I understand that he intends to
- 14 undergo an evaluation, that he wants to retain me
- 15 to do that, and I'm prepared to do that.
- 16 Q. You testified previously that the End of
- 17 Sentence Review Committee sets people's levels at
- 18 the end of their incarceration. Is that the final
- 19 say in what their level is? Is it what the End of
- 20 Sentence Review Committee says?
- 21 A. That's the final say, unless the
- 22 individual appeals to the law enforcement agency
- 23 and asks for a reconsideration, and so it's
- 24 entirely dependent on the individual. There's no
- 25 -- there's no automatic or built-in review of these

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 things over the years.
- 3 So yes, somebody could come out at high
- 4 risk and -- well, we've been at this for 30 years
- 5 now. So 30 years later, you know, they could have
- 6 the same -- the same risk score that they
- 7 originally were released from prison with, and no
- 8 built-in review of -- of that.
- 9 O. So the End of Sentence Review Committee
- 10 does not redo people's levels from time to time, is
- 11 that what you're saying?
- 12 A. No. In fact, if it's redone, it will be
- done by the law enforcement agency where they
- 14 register.
- 15 Q. And is there any other entity besides the
- 16 ESRC, the End of Sentence Review Committee, that
- 17 sets people's levels?
- 18 A. For people who don't go to prison, and
- 19 there are people who are -- like, for example
- 20 there's a sex offender -- a special sex offender
- 21 alternatives where they don't go to prison, they do
- 22 some jail time, they have a lengthy period of
- 23 community supervision and they participate in
- 24 treatment.
- In that case, the risk levels are set by

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 whatever -- whatever law enforcement agency does
- 3 the registration for the -- you know, wherever they
- 4 happen to be residing. And there's -- there's a
- 5 lot of variability there. Some counties and some
- 6 cities are -- are a lot more inclined to level
- 7 people up. Let's just make a statement, we don't
- 8 want sex offenders living in this county, and so
- 9 we'll -- we'll level 1 at a level 2, we'll level 1s
- 10 and 2s at a level 3, and that will send a message
- 11 that they're not welcome here.
- 12 And other agencies, other law enforcement
- 13 agencies are much more committed to -- to using the
- 14 empirical tools faithfully.
- 15 Q. Let's talk about the old system of
- 16 leveling versus the current system. How, if at
- 17 all, has the offender leveling system changed since
- 18 2004?
- 19 A. The basic level, the idea of 1, 2 and 3,
- 20 and you know, level's 1s being low risk and level
- 21 3s being high risk, that remains the same. In --
- 22 gosh, it was a couple of years ago. The fact that
- 23 this Washington state risk tool, which was
- 24 originally devised in the mid '90s, and then
- 25 revised again in '99, was still being used -- you

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 know, finally resulted in the legislature telling
- 3 the Washington Association of Sheriffs and Police
- 4 Chiefs to use a new emperically derived tool that
- 5 was up to speed.
- 6 And they started using the STATIC 99,
- 7 which actually by that time was the -- was getting
- 8 superseded by a revised version. So the new tool
- 9 that's being used is that -- is that STATIC 99, and
- 10 the -- the Association of Sheriffs and Police
- 11 Chiefs is involved in a process right now of
- 12 updating that.
- 13 Q. How many revisions have there been since
- 14 2004?
- 15 A. So there has been -- the original STATIC
- 16 99. There was a STATIC 99 revised in '03, and
- 17 there's been two revisions in the last five years.
- 18 Q. What's the effect of those revisions?
- 19 A. The interesting thing that -- well,
- 20 there's been a couple of revisions -- a couple of
- 21 effects. One is that more evidence has come in
- 22 about how age effects the likelihood of recidivism.
- 23 And they -- they fine-tuned the -- the --
- 24 originally, the STATIC 99 had an under 25, over 25,
- 25 and there was just a very small reduction of risk

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 by being over 25.
- 3 The new tool has an under 35, 35 to 60,
- 4 and over 60, and you get a substantial reduction in
- 5 risk if you're -- if you're over 35 and over 60,
- 6 because evidence shows that people who are older,
- 7 all things being equal, have a substantial
- 8 unlikelihood of sexually recidivating. So that's
- 9 -- that's one major change.
- 10 The other major change is the risk
- 11 prediction scores. The early -- the early tools,
- 12 the ones in the early and mid '90s, had much higher
- 13 predicted levels of recidivism than the new -- the
- 14 new -- essentially, the same tool, but they predict
- 15 much lower recidivism. And the consensus seems to
- 16 be that the atmosphere that the social and
- 17 community and political atmosphere has changed so
- 18 that people who have convictions for sex offenses
- 19 are, generally speaking, under more scrutiny, they
- 20 are -- they know to take things more seriously,
- 21 they are more likely to have been in treatment, and
- 22 so the likelihood of them re-offending is much
- 23 lower than it was 20 years ago.
- Q. I'm going to ask you to assume a scenario.
- 25 If I were to take someone released from prison

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 today and apply the notification and actual --
- 3 actuarial methods that were in place in 2004 and
- 4 set that person's level based on those tools, would
- 5 that raise any ethical concerns for you?
- 6 A. Well, it would -- it would show them to be
- 7 at higher risk than updated research would -- would
- 8 predict. So it would -- it would overrate their --
- 9 their likelihood at re-offense.
- 10 Q. I want to circle back to your evaluation
- 11 of Mr. Trick, because I believe you testified that
- 12 you concluded that there was likely a mistake, but
- 13 I don't think I fully understand. What is that
- 14 conclusion based on?
- 15 A. I -- I talked with Mr. Trick about what he
- 16 knew about the process, and he talks -- he talked
- 17 about -- talking with Detective Matt Gordon about
- 18 his leveling some years ago. Detective Gordon was
- 19 on the sex offender and kidnapping detail in the
- 20 Seattle Police Department, and he was actually a
- 21 member of the End of Sentence Review Committee back
- 22 at the time that Mr. Trick was released.
- 23 So he was actually probably involved in
- 24 the scoring -- at least the review of the scoring
- 25 of -- of his -- of his leveling decision. And

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Mr. Trick said that -- that what made the
- 3 difference, what caused him to be at a level 2 was
- 4 the fact that he had an unrelated victim.
- 5 And it looks like, you know, I'm -- I'm --
- 6 I'm taking some logical, you know, leaps here
- 7 without talking to Detective Gordon or having the
- 8 risk tool. It looks that, as I scored the risk
- 9 tool, the way that those scores get translated into
- 10 notification levels is that an assessment score of
- 11 46 or less is -- is -- is determined to be a level
- 12 1.
- 13 And Mr. -- Mr. Trick's score, as I did
- 14 it, came out to a 20 -- what was it? A 24. So
- 15 considerably under that -- that level. But you
- 16 could be a level 2 if you had a less score of 46 or
- 17 less, and one or two of these notification
- 18 considerations. And there was one item on one of
- 19 the notification considerations. It actually used
- 20 another risk score, being Rapid Risk Assessment for
- 21 Sex Offenders, which was actually a predecessor to
- 22 the STATIC 99, and one of the -- one of the
- 23 questions there is relationship to the victim, only
- 24 related victims, any non-related victims.
- So he -- he got one point for a non -- for

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 a non-related victim. But the score, he only
- 3 should have gotten a -- a notification
- 4 consideration if the score on that -- on that
- 5 instrument was a 4 or 6. He got a 1. So even
- 6 using the -- the notification considerations that
- 7 were in place at the time, it looks to me like
- 8 that's the -- that that was a mis-scoring of it.
- 9 That yes, he had an unrelated victim, but
- 10 that shouldn't have triggered a -- a notification
- 11 consideration which would have pushed him up to a
- 12 level 2.
- 13 Q. That notification consideration of an
- 14 unrelated victim, does that have any predictive
- 15 value for future risk of of recidivism?
- 16 A. It actually does. Now, it's a small
- 17 predictive value, and the idea is that -- the
- 18 theoretical explanation for that is that somebody
- 19 who is roaming far and wide to find child victims
- 20 is more likely to re-offend than somebody who is
- 21 living with a victim, and boundaries break down.
- 22 So yes, that -- you know, that is a --
- 23 that is a consideration, but -- but the way in
- 24 which it appears to have been applied in this case
- 25 gave it far more weight than it should have.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. Okay. The -- I sent you a couple of
- 3 exhibits in my prior communications with you.
- 4 Could you take a look at those?
- 5 A. I've got them here.
- 6 Q. Specifically, Exhibit K, which in our
- 7 submissions here, is Exhibit 13.
- 8 Do you have a copy of those, your Honor?
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't.
- MR. WALL: May I?
- 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. Thank you. And
- 12 I take it, too, you'll be filing these in our
- 13 records center?
- MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor.
- 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 BY MR. WALL:
- 17 Q. So I'm looking at what we have labeled
- 18 here as Exhibit 13, and what you have as Exhibit K.
- 19 It's a copy of the Washington State Institute for
- 20 Public Policies Sex Offender Sentencing?
- 21 A. Right. And what was the date on that one?
- 22 Q. Let me take a look. The top, it's dated
- 23 December 2005.
- A. Okay. Because there's two -- there's two
- 25 of those that you gave me.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may break
- 3 in here. Staff objects. This document is from
- 4 2005. The objection is relevancy.
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall?
- 6 MR. WALL: If I may respond, this document
- 7 is an analysis of the 2004 tool that was in place
- 8 used to level Mr. Trick. I'm happy to lay the
- 9 foundation with this witness.
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I would appreciate it,
- 11 thank you. Objection is overruled.
- 12 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. Dr. O'Connell, are you familiar with the
- 14 Washington State Institute for Public Policy?
- 15 A. Yes, I am. It is the -- it's the
- 16 organization that the legislator -- legislature
- 17 created and the legislature asked it to do research
- 18 on issues of interest to the legislature.
- 19 Q. And have you had a chance to review this
- 20 document that we're looking at?
- 21 A. I have.
- Q. It's dated December 2005. What tool is it
- 23 analyzing? What sex offender leveling tool is it
- 24 analyzing?
- 25 A. It is -- it is doing an analysis of the

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 tool we were talking about before, the sex offender
- 3 tool, revised 1999. So that -- that would have
- 4 been the one that was used to -- to screen and
- 5 level Mr. Trick when he got released in 2004.
- 6 Q. Okay. Looking at the first page of this
- 7 document and the gray box that says summary on the
- 8 right-hand side?
- 9 A. I see that.
- 10 Q. Down towards the bottom where it says, key
- 11 findings?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That just means
- 14 someone else has come on the line. Please
- 15 continue.
- MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 17 Q. The second bullet point, the notification
- 18 levels determined by the ESRC do not classify sex
- 19 offenders into groups that accurately reflect their
- 20 risk for re-offending.
- 21 A. I see that.
- 22 Q. Would you, in your professional opinion,
- 23 would you agree with that conclusion?
- 24 A. Yes, that's -- that's very consistent with
- 25 what I said earlier in this testimony.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. And are you aware, did that conclusion
- 3 lead to any action on behalf of the Washington
- 4 legislature or the Washington Institute for Public
- 5 Policy?
- 6 A. Yes. You know, the -- the later report
- 7 recommended a change of the tool, and the
- 8 legislature later told Washington Association of
- 9 Sheriffs and Police Chiefs to come up with another
- 10 tool, which they -- which they have.
- 11 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'd move to admit
- 12 Exhibit 13.
- 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And aside from the
- 14 relevance objection, do you have any objection,
- 15 Mr. O'Connell?
- MR. O'CONNELL: No, still the same thing
- 17 that it's from 2005, and we've heard testimony that
- 18 there's a new system now.
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And because
- 20 this was the -- it's detailing the basis of the
- 21 initial classification of Mr. Trick, I'm going to
- 22 allow it.
- 23 (Exhibit 13 admitted into evidence.)
- 24 BY MR. WALL:
- 25 Q. Let's go ahead and take a look at one of

0045

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 those later reports that you referenced. Would you
- 3 turn to what I believe you have as Exhibit L? For
- 4 us following along here, it's Exhibit 14.
- 5 A. That's the January 2006 report?
- 6 O. That's correct.
- 7 A. Yes, I have that.
- 8 Q. And have you had a chance to review this
- 9 document?
- 10 A. I have.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff has the
- 12 same objection for relevancy.
- 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall?
- 14 MR. WALL: And again, I'm happy to lay the
- 15 foundation. This is analyzing the same tool that
- 16 was used to level Mr. Trick. There is a new tool
- 17 in place, and the point is that -- that the new
- 18 tools, which more accurately predict recidivism,
- 19 have never been applied to Mr. Trick.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So I'm confused. Does
- 21 this address the new tool or the old tool?
- MR. WALL: This addresses the old tool
- 23 that was used in 2004 to level Mr. Trick.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. I'll allow
- 25 it.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. WALL:
- 3 Q. Thank you, your Honor. Again, here, the
- 4 key findings in the summary box --
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. -- I'd like to take a look at the first
- 7 and second bullet points. It says here, the
- 8 notification consideration score has little or no
- 9 accuracy in predicting sex offender recidivism.
- 10 Would you agree with that conclusion?
- 11 A. And that's consistent with what I said
- 12 earlier and what the earlier report said.
- 13 Q. And the second bullet point, the risk
- 14 assessment score has little or no accuracy in
- 15 predicting sex offender recidivism, would you agree
- 16 with that conclusion as well?
- 17 A. Yes. I mean, in -- in -- to some extent,
- 18 it's -- it's the extension of the earlier argument,
- 19 if this -- the notification considerations are --
- 20 are clouding the -- the actuarial score. And as it
- 21 turns out, they show in the -- in the analysis, in
- 22 the body of the report, that as it turns out, as
- 23 you apply this tool and then follow people along,
- 24 it doesn't predict recidivism very accurately.
- Q. Okay. And to clarify this, for those of

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 us who aren't psychologists, who aren't as familiar
- 3 with all of this, when you apply the 2004 tool to
- 4 Mr. Trick, the actuarial tool -- now, the actuarial
- 5 tool does have predictive value, is that right?
- 6 A. Yes. And in that second bullet point, it
- 7 says it does have -- it does predict felony sexual
- 8 recidivism with moderate accuracy.
- 9 Q. And that's referring to the actuarial
- 10 portion of the tool?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. So I believe it was your testimony that
- 13 when you applied the actuarial tool, you scored him
- 14 as a 26?
- 15 A. As a 24, yes.
- 16 Q. A 24. And in order to be at a level 2, he
- 17 would have needed to score a 46?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. So that was using the actuarial
- 20 instrument, and based on solely the actuarial
- 21 portion of the test, your conclusion was that his
- 22 risk was what, high, moderate or low?
- 23 A. Low.
- 24 O. Low. Then the notification consideration
- 25 score, which this document says has little or no

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 accuracy in predicting recidivism, I believe it was
- 3 your testimony that that's what would have made him
- 4 a level 2?
- 5 A. That's what I have -- have -- have
- 6 assumed. That's the only explanation for how he
- 7 gets to a level 2.
- 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may, I'd
- 9 just like to note that it is currently five until
- 10 10:00 o'clock.
- MR. WALL: Yes, and I. --
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Dr. O'Connell, you
- 13 were only going to be testifying -- I know you have
- 14 another patient at 10:00 o'clock, so are you
- 15 needing to leave the bridge line right now?
- 16 A. I'm going to need to leave here pretty
- 17 quickly.
- 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, then let
- 19 me just ask, because we haven't really finished
- 20 with direct, and we still have cross-examination
- 21 and possible redirect, and I have some
- 22 clarification questions as well, if you would call
- 23 back, I believe you're available at noon today?
- 24 A. That's right.
- 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. If you would

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 call back at noon, that would be much appreciated.
- 3 A. Okay. I'll plan on doing that.
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay, thank you.
- 5 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, I
- 6 apologize. One thing before Mr. -- sorry,
- 7 Dr. O'Connell leaves us. I understand that your
- 8 Honor has already ruled on the relevancy of his
- 9 testimony, and I think it's clear that he is
- 10 providing relevant testimony.
- I would just like to note for the record
- 12 that staff had no awareness that -- that
- 13 Dr. O'Connell was going to be testifying until two
- 14 days ago.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Is that true,
- 16 Mr. Wall?
- 17 MR. WALL: As soon as I identified Mr. --
- 18 Dr. O'Connell, I -- I don't -- I don't know that it
- 19 was two days ago, but as soon as I identified him,
- 20 I updated -- sent a communication to your Honor and
- 21 to counsel, updating my witness list.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Can I ask why you
- 23 didn't ask for a continuance?
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't
- 25 believe a continuance is needed. I think that we

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 can go forward with the case. I don't think -- my
- 3 objection is not based upon the relevancy of
- 4 Dr. O'Connell's testimony, but based upon the short
- 5 time for notice. That's all.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. What I'm
- 7 asking is, do you need additional time to prepare
- 8 for your cross-examination?
- 9 MR. O'CONNELL: I do not think so, your
- 10 Honor.
- 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Then
- 12 we'll --
- 13 MR. O'CONNELL: I just wanted it noted for
- 14 the record.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.
- 16 We'll go ahead and -- and have Mr. -- I'm sorry,
- 17 Dr. O'Connell call us back around noon today. If
- 18 you would, that would be much appreciated.
- 19 A. I'll do that.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you so
- 21 much for your testimony.
- 22 A. I'll do that. Bye-bye.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Bye-bye. It's a
- 24 little bit unorthodox, but we'll have him brought
- 25 back before us. It's understandable that he has

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 other patients.
- 3 MR. WALL: Thank you.
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we continue
- 5 with your case? Do you want to call your next
- 6 witness?
- 7 MR. WALL: Could we take a short recess
- 8 before that?
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, absolutely.
- MR. WALL: Thank you.
- 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And we're on break.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 13 (A short recess was then taken.)
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the
- 15 record. Mr. Wall, if you want to finish.
- MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. Five
- 17 Stars calls Mr. William Trick.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Then we'll have you on
- 19 the witness stand over here.
- Whereupon,
- 21 WILLIAM TRICK,
- 22 Was duly sworn and testified as follows:
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall, you can
- 24 continue.
- 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. Mr. Trick, I'd like to talk about your
- 4 professional background a little BIT. Could you
- 5 tell us about your experience in the household
- 6 goods moving industry?
- 7 A. Sure. My experience in the household good
- 8 moving industry started some time ago, almost a
- 9 decade. I -- at the time, I was -- I was working
- 10 -- I was working some construction and I decided to
- 11 get a side job moving. As I began to move, I -- I
- 12 started getting really good at it.
- I started making it more often, meaning I
- 14 was doing moving more than I was doing
- 15 construction, whether it was a labor only move, a
- 16 house -- a full service household moving gig or an
- 17 office or a commercial move, I just -- you know,
- 18 what started off as, like, a weekend side job
- 19 turned out to be, at some point, seven days a week.
- 20 Q. Was there some -- when you say you got to
- 21 be really good at it, are there some technical
- 22 skills that are involved in it?
- 23 A. Sure. Keep in mind that when you go on a
- 24 household goods moving gig, meaning in somebody's
- 25 home, you have to understand a couple things.

0053

```
1 WILLIAM TRICK - DIRECT EXAMINATION
```

- 2 Number one, you're a complete stranger walking into
- 3 somebody's home, so you're moving their memories,
- 4 not their stuff. Yes.
- 5 And -- and anybody I've ever worked with
- 6 or for, we try to instill that in them, that
- 7 there's -- there's in's and out's of moving things,
- 8 you know. People's things are very valuable to
- 9 them, both sentimentally sometimes and somebody
- 10 moving into a brand new house, and they're proud of
- 11 this brand new furniture they finally could afford,
- 12 and you want to take that couch through this
- 13 narrow, narrow doorway without busting it up.
- So -- or -- or, you know, a lot of houses
- 15 these days just aren't mover friendly, so I had to
- 16 -- over the years, made it a point to really
- 17 sharpen my skills, to be able to maneuver and know
- 18 how to do that, when I have less experienced guys.
- 19 So I kind of -- when I was asked to go on a move, I
- 20 kind of took the leadership role and just kind of
- 21 took over and -- and, you know, to a relief to an
- 22 owner of a company, for somebody who could pack a
- 23 truck and get in there and do customer service,
- 24 handle money, handle the employees, keep the ball
- 25 rolling.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Keep in mind that I've never been on a
- 3 moving gig where it wasn't by the hour. Nobody
- 4 likes a slow mover, but at the same time, nobody
- 5 likes a careless mover.
- 6 Q. Can you talk about your interaction with
- 7 other workers on the job?
- 8 A. Sure. I -- I learned right away that as I
- 9 was -- as I began moving and doing household good
- 10 moving, I -- I caught on right away, and I -- I
- 11 really -- you know, I really took to it, and I
- 12 started taking that leadership role early on, using
- 13 common sense and watching as these guys were --
- 14 these guys that have been doing it for a while were
- 15 packing a truck.
- So eventually, it wasn't long before these
- 17 guys were sending me on moves with these
- 18 unexperienced guys, and they looked to me for the
- 19 mentorship. And then hey, what do we get now?
- 20 What do we do now? What do we do now? So yes.
- 21 Q. How did you get to the point where you
- 22 wanted to start your own household goods moving
- 23 company?
- 24 A. It was pretty simple. As -- I became, you
- 25 know, really, really versed in moving and learning

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 the in's and out's of moving. I -- I made it a
- 3 point and a goal to say, you know, I'm going to do
- 4 this for myself. This is a -- this would be a --
- 5 not only do I get a different boss every day, not
- 6 only do I get to -- I believe that the crew makes
- 7 the team, and I believe in teamwork.
- I can't do a move by myself. Never have,
- 9 never will. And it -- you know, getting to go
- 10 travel all over the state doing different moves,
- 11 getting to see people that live on the water. I've
- 12 moved people with water planes out back, and
- 13 people, when I walk into their house, they have
- 14 pictures of Danny DeVito and Arnold Schwarzenegger.
- 15 You know, there's a lot of different aspects. I
- 16 said, man, how could you beat this? Not to mention
- 17 it makes a great living.
- 18 Q. This company, Five Stars Moving & Storage,
- 19 what is your role in the company?
- 20 A. So my role, number one, obviously, aside
- 21 from being a mover, it is a lot more managerial.
- 22 So making sure the ship stays on queue and make
- 23 sure that I'm in compliance with the Commission,
- 24 making sure that our insurance is up to date,
- 25 making sure that I have safe equipment for my

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 workers, making -- doing in-home estimates with
- 3 customers, communicating with customers,
- 4 communicating with employees, which inevitably will
- 5 take me out of the homes a lot more.
- 6 By all means, it won't take me out
- 7 completely, but I'll -- as opposed to what I've
- 8 been doing, it will take me -- it will -- it will
- 9 take me out of the homes significantly more.
- 10 Q. Do you feel like there's going to be a
- 11 leap between what you previously have been doing,
- 12 whether teaching less experienced workers and what
- 13 you're going to be doing at Five Stars?
- 14 A. Oh, absolutely. It's -- it's going from
- 15 application to talking about application and, you
- 16 know, convincing and -- and letting these guys know
- 17 that -- making it a place where they want to work,
- 18 where it's not just a job. It's -- it's -- I'm
- 19 going to take care of you and your family, and as
- 20 long as you take care of me, and -- and, you know,
- 21 when you go into somebody's house and you show the
- 22 same enthusiasm that I do for each and every move.
- 23 That, you know, when somebody is excited
- 24 or nervous or stressed, you know, you get in there
- 25 and, like, oh, my gosh, thank God you guys are

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 doing good. You know, that's a relief to people.
- 3 That's our job, taking the uncertainty out of
- 4 moving.
- 5 Q. Okay. I'd like to talk about your
- 6 conviction.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. You entered into a plea agreement, is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And how did you decide to do that, as
- 12 opposed to contesting the charges?
- 13 A. Sure. It was early on when I was first
- 14 arrested on July 7th of 1999. I found myself in
- 15 the Kitsap County Jail. I was in the regular
- 16 population where everybody is waiting, talking to
- 17 attorneys and prosecutors, and things like that.
- 18 And they made -- they made me aware that
- 19 -- without asking me if I was guilty or innocent,
- 20 they asked -- they let me know, they said, well,
- 21 you know, if you take this to trial, you're going
- 22 to put two little girls on the stand and, you know,
- 23 I -- I had to really look at myself and say, is
- 24 that really what I want to do? And because I knew
- 25 I was guilty. I was just not talking about it.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 And the fact that these little girls have
- 3 already been poked and prodded and asked questions
- 4 that were severely uncomfortable, I wasn't going to
- 5 add to it. So at that point, I told my attorney, I
- 6 said, okay, let's talk about, you know, the plea
- 7 agreement.
- 8 O. And I understand that this is a difficult
- 9 thing to talk about, but if you would, I think it's
- 10 important. Could you tell us about the sentencing
- 11 hearing?
- 12 A. Sure. It's a -- it's a day I'll never
- 13 forget, ever. Number one, I walked into the
- 14 courtroom chained to about 20 other men with
- 15 various crimes, and the -- I saw -- I saw the --
- 16 the mother and father of -- of my victims, and you
- 17 know, when the -- when the judge came up and asked
- 18 me if I had anything to say, and I turned around
- 19 and I addressed the parents, and I said to them,
- 20 you know, I -- I can't -- I can't undo what I did.
- 21 I can't. Because believe me, I would.
- 22 And keeping in mind that at the time,
- 23 before pre-treatment, obviously, the impact that I
- 24 had on those -- on those children, I had no idea.
- 25 But I couldn't turn back the clocks. I couldn't --

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 I couldn't undo what I did, and I told them that I
- 3 would -- you know, I promised them that I would do
- 4 what I could to learn and -- you know, do treatment
- 5 to understand what -- what the thoughts and the
- 6 feelings and behaviors that led to this offense
- 7 behavior. And -- and then, you know, I turned back
- 8 around, and the judge sentenced me.
- 9 Q. Let's talk a little bit about your
- 10 rehabilitation. What -- what rehabilitation
- 11 program or programs did you undergo when you were
- 12 incarcerated?
- 13 A. Okay. There was about -- I -- the program
- 14 that was the sex offender treatment program at Twin
- 15 Rivers in Monroe was the one I did about 18 months
- 16 prior to my release, and it was a very intensive
- 17 five days a week, three hours a day, lay it all out
- 18 on the table.
- 19 We had groups, and it went over cognitive
- 20 thinking, cognitive behavior. Basically, what it
- 21 did was it taught me a lot about myself and the
- 22 thoughts, feelings and behavior that I experienced,
- 23 and -- and the little lies I told myself to break
- 24 down a barrier to be able to offend against a
- 25 child.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 And -- and they gave me quite a few tools
- 3 that I can use to not only learn more about myself,
- 4 but also, to -- to not re-offend. Things that I
- 5 could use both in prison and outside that I can
- 6 apply to my every day life.
- 7 Q. Do you have any other activities or
- 8 involvements that you were -- while you were in
- 9 prison?
- 10 A. Yes, sir. So in my opinion, there are two
- 11 types of -- there are two types of prisoners. You
- 12 have the guy that -- whether it's a sex offense, a
- 13 murder, a burglary, he stole something, he gets
- 14 inside and he -- he keeps stealing, he keeps
- 15 fighting, he gambles, he tatts himself up, and
- 16 things like that. And eventually, when he gets
- 17 out, he's just a hardened criminal. That's all he
- 18 was.
- 19 And then you've got the guy that takes
- 20 advantage of every program the Department of
- 21 Correction had to offer. Now, I didn't take
- 22 advantage of every single program, that's next to
- 23 impossible, but while I was in there, I earned two
- 24 degrees. I facilitated an Alternative to Violence
- 25 program as well as a Non-Violent Communication

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 program. These classes were very important to me,
- 3 because it allowed me to open up to people and
- 4 learn how to listen and to communicate to people in
- 5 a way that I'm heard, and that's what I did.
- I figured that the only and best advantage
- 7 that I would have of getting out and having to
- 8 start over would be to educated and try to keep up
- 9 with the times. Whereas, when you step foot in
- 10 prison, time stops. Nothing ever changes. You're
- 11 in the same routine every day. Breakfast, lunch,
- 12 dinner. They tell you when you go outside. They
- 13 tell you when you go to the gym.
- Outside just keeps evolving, so I figured
- 15 my best chance would be to get as educated as I
- 16 could. So between community college, Ohio
- 17 University, and some programs that they offered
- 18 within the prison system, I took, and they
- 19 definitely helped me when I got out.
- Q. What motivated you to do all of that?
- 21 A. I -- I -- it was obvious the decisions
- 22 that I had made -- this -- this decision that got
- 23 me put in prison, which was horrible, just
- 24 unrelenting horrible, that the impact that had on
- 25 so many people, I didn't ever want to, you know,

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 have to put anybody through that again, and I was
- 3 going to do whatever I could to not do that, to
- 4 change myself.
- 5 I had five years, and I mapped out my five
- 6 years once I started the programs, and I said,
- 7 okay, I'm going to do this, this, this, then
- 8 this. I mapped it out to where I was in class the
- 9 day before I was released from prison, and which --
- 10 and then, of course, once I was released, I just
- 11 put all those to the test, and -- yes.
- 12 Q. So just so I understand the factual
- 13 background, obviously, this was a serious crime,
- 14 and it involved two counts of child molestation?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. That arose out of a single incident, is
- 17 that correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you hadn't had any prior contact with
- 20 the victims before that?
- 21 A. No, I didn't.
- 22 Q. The contact didn't involve the use of
- 23 threats?
- A. No, it didn't.
- 25 Q. Were you a teacher or a coach with regard

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 to those individuals?
- 3 A. No.
- Q. Tell me whether you felt remorse for that,
- 5 or the extent to which you felt remorse?
- 6 A. Oh, I'll try to give you the shorter
- 7 answer, but it -- the remorse, regret, the feelings
- 8 I had, especially after treatment, once I truly
- 9 realized and understood what I put those little
- 10 girls through, both during the offense and after
- 11 the offense -- now that I have a daughter of my
- 12 own, who will be two next month, I -- I couldn't
- 13 imagine -- I just couldn't imagine.
- I try putting myself in those parents'
- 15 shoes. I try having empathy, and I -- I -- it was
- 16 just unbearable. I -- I -- it would have put every
- 17 class I ever took to the test to allow authorities
- 18 to handle that kind of situation, but it just -- I
- 19 couldn't -- I couldn't believe how many people I
- 20 affected by my actions and how many people I hurt,
- 21 and I ensured, and still to this day ensure that
- 22 that's not going to happen again.
- 23 Q. Turning to the UTC application in this
- 24 case, the application for household goods moving
- 25 permit, can you talk about the background in

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 preparing that application?
- 3 A. Sure. So I was very familiar with the
- 4 application, as I was part of the application
- 5 process with another moving company, B&Z Moving.
- 6 So I was very aware of how in-depth they were with
- 7 the application, and to the best of my ability, did
- 8 not try to deceive or -- in the application, as I
- 9 knew that -- you know, they -- they -- they do
- 10 their homework once you turn that in.
- 11 So from getting in sync with all the
- 12 proper government agencies, like Labor &
- 13 Industries, Unemployment, this and that, we paid a
- 14 considerable lot of money for insurance, a brand
- 15 new truck -- not brand new. It was a 2008. A
- 16 moving truck, new to me. A wrap for it, web sites,
- 17 business cards, flyers, uniforms. Just getting
- 18 everything set up, the payroll accounts.
- 19 It was not only a considerable amount of
- 20 money, but time, effort and stress, just trying to
- 21 get all my ducks lined up so that I can present
- 22 this to the UTC knowing that, you know, they're
- 23 going to -- they're going to look at this.
- Q. And did you personally handle the
- 25 preparation of the application?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. For the most part, yes. I did have a
- 3 little help from Tom Cook.
- 4 Q. Was there some -- any part of the
- 5 application that you were confused by or --
- 6 A. There was. And there's actually a couple
- 7 that -- some I just got clarification on, like
- 8 insurance things. And there was a specific
- 9 question that I did call the UTC. It says right on
- 10 the front page of the application, the cover page,
- 11 where it shows everything you'll be having to have.
- 12 So that if you have any questions, call the little
- 13 -- the number on there, and questions could be
- 14 answered.
- So I did that, and I talked to a man named
- 16 Michael, I believe was his name, and I asked him --
- 17 there was a question on the application that
- 18 stated, have you or anybody on this named
- 19 application, named or whatever, said -- violated
- 20 state law or Commission rules? And I wasn't sure
- 21 what they meant, so I -- I already knew that, you
- 22 know, they knew about my prior conviction.
- 23 And so I wanted to -- I called the
- 24 Commission, I said, well, hey, does this mean,
- 25 like, traffic violations, things like that? I

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 said -- and I specifically told them, I said, I
- 3 have a reckless driving, is that -- does that
- 4 count? And he specifically said, no, this question
- 5 pertains to laws based around Commission rules.
- 6 And I said, for example -- and he said,
- 7 have you tried advertising Five Stars Moving &
- 8 Storage without a household goods permit? And I
- 9 said, okay, no, I have not. And he goes, well, put
- 10 no on the application, then. So I did.
- 11 Q. When you say, they knew about your prior
- 12 conviction, who is they?
- 13 A. The folks involved in the last hearing.
- 14 So the judge, Ms. Wallace, Ms. -- Rayne Pearson,
- 15 those -- the Commission. The Commission that does
- 16 the evaluating and the investigating.
- 17 Q. When you say the prior application, what
- 18 company was that?
- 19 A. B&Z Moving.
- 20 Q. And so let's go ahead and talk about that
- 21 for a minute.
- 22 A. Okay.
- Q. Let's see here. This is -- I'd like to
- 24 hand you an exhibit, if I could.
- 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Which exhibit is this?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. WALL: This is SP-1. This is the UTC
- 3 staff's exhibit. I'm sorry. This is mislabeled
- 4 here. What I'm looking for is the SP-2. I'm
- 5 sorry.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So you're referring to
- 7 the notice of intent to deny application --
- 8 MR. WALL: Correct
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: -- that was sent out?
- 10 MR. WALL: Correct.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, if I may, I
- 12 believe Mr. Wall has indicated Exhibit SP-2?
- MR. WALL: SP-2.
- MR. O'CONNELL: And that that is on
- 15 staff's exhibit list listed as order 01 in docket
- 16 TV 130259.
- 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. WALL:
- 20 Q. Take a -- take a minute to look over that.
- 21 A. Okay. I'm very familiar.
- 22 Q. All right. And what -- what is that
- 23 document?
- 24 A. This is a document for the initial order
- 25 to intent to deny our application -- our household

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 goods permit application for B&Z Moving LLC.
- 3 Q. What was the -- did you attend the hearing
- 4 here at the UTC?
- 5 A. I did.
- Q. What was the outcome of that hearing?
- 7 A. The outcome of that hearing, at the -- at
- 8 the end of the hearing, the judge said --
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry, I have to
- 10 stop you for a second. What hearing are you
- 11 referring to?
- 12 MR. WALL: There was a prior application
- 13 under a company called B&Z Moving.
- 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- MR. WALL: And Mr. Trick was a part of
- 16 that company and was -- attended the hearing for
- 17 that application.
- 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And how is that
- 19 relevant to the current denial?
- 20 MR. WALL: I believe that the staff
- 21 intends to -- well, in counsel's opening statement,
- 22 he said that Mr. Trick had a history of not being
- 23 forthcoming with the UTC. He's going to question
- 24 him about that.
- 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Continue, then.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. I was asking, what was the outcome of that
- 5 hearing?
- 6 A. The outcome of that hearing -- the outcome
- 7 of that hearing was that the judge ordered Zack
- 8 Gripp to go ahead and reapply, and it -- it wasn't
- 9 stated at the hearing, but in the paperwork, it
- 10 said that I was to have no -- nothing -- that the
- 11 application -- that the permit was approved, but
- 12 under the condition that I had no -- nothing to do
- 13 with the business at all.
- Q. So Mr. Gripp was allowed to proceed with
- 15 the business, but you didn't participate?
- 16 A. That's correct. I was told not to, so I
- 17 don't have much choice.
- 18 Q. So at that point, what did you do?
- 19 A. Well, I -- being that I couldn't work with
- 20 him, I still had to have an income, and so I
- 21 continued doing labor only moves. I continued
- 22 working with different moving companies, doing full
- 23 service moves, and I also did some construction,
- 24 and things like that, to supplement my income.
- 25 Q. Okay. I want to go back and talk about --

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 you said you had a reckless driving conviction?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Is that -- do you have any other
- 5 convictions? We've talked about this sex offense
- 6 and reckless driving. Do you have any other
- 7 convictions?
- 8 A. No, I don't.
- 9 Q. Okay. What -- what happened with the
- 10 reckless driving?
- 11 A. So about -- I don't know, it's -- it's
- 12 almost three years ago, because it drops off here
- in November, but I was out bowling with some
- 14 friends, and we had a few drinks, and I thought
- 15 that I had waited long enough after we were done to
- 16 drive home, and I got pulled over, and I -- the end
- 17 result of that was a reckless driving.
- 18 Q. What have you done, if anything, since
- 19 that time with regard to alcohol consumption?
- 20 A. I don't even take the chance anymore. I
- 21 don't -- I don't really even drink anymore. I'll
- 22 have wine with dinner occasionally, if my wife and
- 23 I go out to eat, but it's only one of us. So if I
- 24 -- if I have a glass of wine, she drives home.
- 25 Q. So with regard to driving, if you are in a

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 social setting and having a few drinks --
- 3 A. -- I always have a designated driver. You
- 4 know, there's -- there's no debate.
- 5 Q. If I may, SP-1 -- this is Staff Exhibit
- 6 SP-1. Are you familiar with that document?
- 7 A. I am.
- 8 O. And what is that document?
- 9 A. This is the intent to deny Five Stars
- 10 Moving & Storage LLC.
- 11 Q. And that document makes reference to a
- 12 company Better Than The Rest?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Can you tell us what is the company Better
- 15 Than The Rest? Are you familiar with it?
- 16 A. I am.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I apologize.
- 18 Can I butt in just for a moment? I'm a little
- 19 confused about what we're looking at. Mr. Wall,
- 20 you stated this was SP-1.
- MR. WALL: Correct.
- MR. O'CONNELL: But Mr. Trick has
- 23 testified that it's a notice of intent to deny. So
- 24 I just want to make sure we're looking at the right
- 25 thing.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. WALL: Let's take a look.
- 3 A. It does.
- 4 MR. WALL: You're right. Maybe I do have
- 5 the wrong document here. What I'm looking for is
- 6 the staff memorandum. The wrong page. This is
- 7 SP-1.
- 8 A. Oh.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Now, I'm
- 10 confused. What memorandum are you talking about?
- MR. WALL: I'm sorry. This is SP-1. This
- 12 is the staff exhibit, which is a memorandum written
- 13 by the staff, which was the staff's recommendation.
- 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I -- I don't think it
- is. I think it's Five Stars Moving's application.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe I
- 17 need to make some clarification about the numbering
- 18 of staff's exhibits.
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- MR. O'CONNELL: The first three exhibits
- 21 are labeled number 1, 2 and 3. Those are the
- 22 application, the notice of intent to deny, and the
- 23 request for a hearing that your Honor has already
- 24 accepted into the record.
- 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.

0073

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. O'CONNELL: And those are already in
- 3 the record.
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.
- 5 MR. O'CONNELL: Then staff started
- 6 renumbering its exhibits and had an S and a P in
- 7 front of them for -- the initials stands for
- 8 Ms. Paul.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And the
- 10 tabs don't, so they continue to use the 1
- 11 through --
- MR. O'CONNELL: Right. So that's, I
- 13 believe, where the confusion is coming in, and I
- 14 apologize.
- 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- MR. WALL: Okay. And I apologize if I'm
- 17 confused.
- 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So this is a
- 19 memorandum dated February 26, 2015.
- 20 MR. WALL: That's what I'm trying to get
- 21 at. I apologize.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Got you. Got you.
- 23 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. Have you had a chance to review that
- 25 document?

0074

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Yes, I have.
- 3 Q. What I wanted to ask you about was the
- 4 reference to Better Than The Rest in that document.
- 5 A. Sure. And until -- until Ms. Wallace
- 6 called me a few months ago asking me about it, I
- 7 had no idea that it even existed still.
- 8 Q. Back up a second, though, because what is
- 9 -- what is Better Than The Rest?
- 10 A. Better Than The Rest Moving was the name
- 11 that Zack Gripp and I had originally came up with
- 12 before we shortened it to B&Z Moving. So a little
- 13 naive in the business world, we -- a company
- 14 contacted us, recognized our company and said, hey,
- 15 we'll put you on the front page of Google and this
- 16 and that, and we'll make you a web site.
- So we jumped, and of course, they -- they
- 18 made us this really -- it was horrible. It was a
- 19 horrible web site. It was a one to two-page web
- 20 site, and it had nothing that we had wanted on it,
- 21 other than a few things I wanted phrased out for
- 22 him, and he even did that wrong. So quickly -- it
- 23 was a monthly charge, so after one month, we cut it
- 24 off.
- 25 Zack and I got together and said, hey,

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 this -- the name was just too long, and we came to
- 3 that conclusion when we went to go get the -- we
- 4 were going to get the truck wrapped, and they said,
- 5 this is going to cost you a fortune. Do you guys
- 6 want to reconsider the name? So B for Bill and Z
- 7 for Zack and an ampersand in the middle, and that's
- 8 what we did. And I never had any kind of
- 9 correspondence with this company. I couldn't get
- 10 ahold of them, or anything. So I thought it was
- 11 just gone.
- 12 Q. So the memorandum makes reference to a
- 13 Google Plus listing?
- 14 A. Right, which I had no knowledge of. In
- 15 fact, I mentioned it to you, and I had written
- 16 several e-mails to Google Plus. And then you also
- 17 sent an e-mail and informed me that -- that they
- 18 had, on the -- on the page, when you bring it up
- 19 now, it says that this company no longer exists or
- 20 is closed permanently.
- 21 Q. Okay. So --
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I'm going to
- 23 have to object. I think Mr. Trick has made clear
- 24 that he doesn't have any personal knowledge about
- 25 entering -- any interactions with Google Plus.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. WALL: I don't think that was his
- 3 testimony. He just said that he asked me to remove
- 4 the listing.
- 5 A. Right. I -- and that is what I said. I
- 6 -- I didn't contact Google Plus to advertise Better
- 7 Than The Rest Moving. And so when it came to my
- 8 knowledge that I did, I let my attorney know, and
- 9 he then informed me that the ad had been taken down
- 10 after several e-mails and correspondence to Google
- 11 Plus.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Does that satisfy your
- 13 objection?
- MR. O'CONNELL: The objection is about the
- 15 content of the e-mails and the correspondence.
- 16 Mr. Trick has testified he doesn't have any
- 17 personal knowledge about what's in there.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Back up.
- 19 E-mails and correspondence, what you are you
- 20 talking about?
- 21 MR. O'CONNELL: Mr. Trick has testified
- 22 that his counsel contacted Google Plus.
- A. As did I.
- MR. O'CONNELL: And Mr. Trick is
- 25 testifying as to the communications between

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Mr. Wall and Google Plus.
- 3 A. I'm confused.
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't think he is.
- 5 I honestly -- I -- what I'm hearing Mr. Trick say,
- 6 and you can correct me if I'm wrong, he's just
- 7 informing the Commission that he had contacted an
- 8 attorney to take the web site down. And his
- 9 attorney is actually the one that contacted Google
- 10 Plus. Is that correct, Mr. Wall?
- 11 MR. WALL: That's correct.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. I think
- 13 we're on the same page.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Okay.
- 15 BY MR. WALL:
- 16 Q. Mr. Trick, did you ever make any effort
- 17 with regard to Google Plus to remove the listing?
- 18 A. I did. I sent several e-mails, and I
- 19 searched and searched, and there was, like -- no
- 20 matter what phone number they give, it never led me
- 21 to anybody that did any good.
- Q. Were those efforts successful?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Did you take any other action or ask
- 25 anyone else to take any action with regard to

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Google Plus?
- 3 A. Yes, I made you aware that I couldn't get
- 4 this down, and you let me know that you had some
- 5 correspondence and some e-mails with them, and that
- 6 they sent you something back, or -- or when you go
- 7 look at it now, it clearly states that the business
- 8 is closed permanently, that there's no -- there's
- 9 nothing there.
- 10 Q. Okay. Okay. And to the best of your
- 11 knowledge, is that what the Google Plus listing
- 12 currently reflects with regard to Better Than The
- 13 Rest?
- 14 A. Yes, you can look at it now, and it says
- 15 that that business is permanently closed.
- 16 Q. Just to clarify -- I apologize if I'm
- 17 beating a dead horse here, but with regard to
- 18 Better Than The Rest, after you decided to change
- 19 the name, did you have any further business
- 20 operations under the name Better Than The Rest?
- 21 A. No, we did not. We didn't even have a
- 22 bank account with that name. That's how quickly we
- 23 got rid of it.
- Q. I want to ask you about -- and I hope I
- 25 don't fall into the same numbering problem, but I

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 want to ask you about the King County web site
- 3 where it has your registration details.
- 4 A. Sure.
- 5 Q. Let me just -- Mr. O'Connell, I certainly
- 6 welcome your input in navigating through this.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I believe that's SP-4,
- 8 is that correct, Mr. O'Connell?
- 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, your Honor.
- 10 A. Yes, I've got it right here.
- 11 BY MR. WALL:
- 12 Q. Okay. SP-4, can you tell us, what is that
- 13 document?
- 14 A. Yes, this document is the -- is a copy of
- 15 the page of the King County Sheriff's sex offenders
- 16 site that pops up when you type my name in.
- 17 Q. And that information -- that page -- that
- 18 page says -- has some information about coaching
- 19 and volunteering in day cares and child overseas
- 20 services. Could you read that for us?
- 21 A. Sure. It says, William Trick sexually
- 22 assaulted two seven-year-old females. Trick was
- 23 acquainted with the victim's mother through their
- 24 employment. Trick had a history of volunteering at
- 25 daycares and children overseas services. He had

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 also served as a soccer and wrestling coach. If
- 3 you have any questions or concerns, please contact
- 4 the King County Sheriff's Office registered sex
- 5 offender unit at, and then the phone number.
- 6 Q. Okay. So I want to ask you about that.
- 7 Soccer coach, were you ever a soccer coach?
- 8 A. Never a soccer coach.
- 9 Q. What involvement, if any, did you have
- 10 with soccer?
- 11 A. From -- as long as I can remember, I've
- 12 always played soccer, whether it was junior high,
- 13 high school, whatnot. I refereed soccer as a high
- 14 school person and junior high person. We were
- 15 offered -- they had a recreational league called
- 16 the Beaver Creek Soccer Association out where I'm
- 17 from in Ohio, and for a couple bucks, you could
- 18 referee games, and that's -- that's the extent of
- 19 that.
- 20 Q. When you say for a couple bucks, do you
- 21 mean you had to pay to referee games?
- 22 A. No, no, I got three or four bucks a game.
- 23 Q. All right. And it also said something
- 24 about a wrestling coach. What involvement did you
- 25 ever have in wrestling?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Okay. So again, I was a pretty avid
- 3 wrestler from about sixth, seventh grade, all the
- 4 way up. Even in my naval career, I wrestled All
- 5 Navy and All American Armed Forces.
- 6 As far as coaching goes, the only coaching
- 7 I ever did was I kind of helped the junior -- when
- 8 I was -- when I was in high school, I helped as a
- 9 junior high coach that -- you know, the junior high
- 10 guys, because we practiced at two different times,
- 11 and sometimes two different places. So I would
- 12 assist there and help. As far as after high
- 13 school, I had no involvement in any type of
- 14 wrestling coaching.
- 15 Q. After your conviction in 1999, did you
- 16 have any involvement in soccer or wrestling
- 17 coaching or anything?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. There's a statement there about
- 20 volunteering in a daycare. Have you ever
- 21 volunteered in any daycare?
- 22 A. I've never volunteered at any daycare
- 23 ever.
- Q. There's something about children's
- 25 overseas services. Do you have any idea where the

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 web site may have gotten that idea?
- 3 A. I have -- I have no idea. From initial
- 4 interviews from me, when we talked a little bit
- 5 about my past and sports and things like that, I --
- 6 Q. So when you were in the Navy, can you tell
- 7 us about your experiences in the Navy?
- 8 A. Sure. This -- this was something that,
- 9 you know, when -- when we were overseas -- the only
- 10 time I was overseas -- number one, I mean, it
- 11 claims that I was -- what does it say? Volunteer
- 12 in overseas children's services. Yes, I've been
- 13 overseas with the Navy, and we were only in port
- 14 for no more than three to seven days at a time,
- 15 number one.
- Number two, the only time that I really
- 17 interacted with the locals in any country I've been
- 18 to, whether it was in Australia, Southeast Asia,
- 19 things like that, was a program that MWR put on
- 20 through the Navy. It's called -- it stands for
- 21 Morale Recreation and Welfare, and it was the
- 22 opportunity that they provided sailors -- and they
- 23 do this for all branches of the military -- to be
- 24 able to see different parts of the country that
- 25 they may not otherwise see in that short a period

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 of time, and you pay a little bit of money, and
- 3 they do this, whether it's a bike riding tour or a
- 4 scuba diving tour.
- 5 So -- but they also had a program called
- 6 -- it was kind of -- you -- you sign up and you get
- 7 to -- a local family may get to meet -- meet a
- 8 military person for -- for a couple days while
- 9 they're there, and the way it worked is you sign
- 10 up, you apply, and you give them -- when you get
- 11 out onto the pier, when you pull in to port,
- 12 there's a big billboard, and you pull out the card,
- 13 that you find your -- the letter to your last name,
- 14 and you pull it out, and if a family left their
- 15 contact information, you try to contact them, and
- 16 they come and get you and show you the town.
- 17 So I did that when I was in Hong Kong and
- 18 Singapore, and one -- in one -- in Singapore, there
- 19 was never anything there. But in Hong Kong, I did
- 20 get some correspondence, but I was never able to
- 21 get ahold of them, and so nothing ever happened.
- 22 As far as the extent of any kind of interaction
- 23 with anybody overseas, other than that, it was all
- 24 military I hung out with.
- 25 Q. So if I understand your testimony, you

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 volunteered for this cultural exchange program?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And through that cultural exchange
- 5 program, did you ever end up interacting with any
- 6 families?
- 7 A. No. No.
- 8 Q. Okay. And just so that the record's
- 9 clear, what years were you in the Navy?
- 10 A. I was in the Navy from June 5th, 1996 to
- 11 July 7th, 1999.
- 12 Q. Okay. So have you taken any steps or
- 13 asked anyone to take any steps with regard to the
- information on the King County web site?
- 15 A. I did. I called the recorder's office or
- 16 -- you know, first, I called the King County
- 17 Sheriff's Office and asked them, I said, hey, the
- 18 information on the web site is wrong, and it
- 19 clearly states -- and it's not shown on here, but
- 20 if you have a discrepancy with what's written, you
- 21 can call, and they will do an investigation, which
- 22 takes a lengthy period of time due to -- basically,
- 23 they're going to do an investigation and look
- 24 through every piece of paper that you ever had in
- 25 your case to make sure it doesn't say these things

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 or, you know, any proof.
- 3 So mine's under investigation, but I've
- 4 heard nothing. And as much as I've tried to figure
- 5 it out, they -- they haven't said anything yet.
- 6 Q. Okay. I'd like to talk a little bit about
- 7 your current support network.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Could you tell us about your relationship
- 10 with your wife?
- 11 A. Sure. My wife, Ashley, we've been
- 12 together since 2011. She's an amazing woman. I
- 13 love her very much, an incredible person to talk
- 14 to, very supportive. She -- her and I are great
- 15 parents together. We're a great team. She knows
- 16 pretty much everything about me -- I mean, not to
- 17 say everything, but quite a bit about me, and we're
- on the same page with a lot of things, and she's
- 19 just a great person.
- 20 Q. Could you tell us about your kids, Damien
- 21 and Mackenzie?
- 22 A. Sure. Damien, who is my stepson, is six.
- 23 He'll be seven in the October, and I have a
- 24 daughter with Ashley, who will be two on July 23rd.
- 25 Just amazing kids. Every -- every -- parenting is

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 something I take very serious, and to get the
- 3 reaction, whether I'm picking them up from daycare,
- 4 or whatever it is, it's always running to me.
- 5 And when we get home, we kind of have a
- 6 routine. We get up in the morning. We -- we do
- 7 breakfast. We pick out clothes. It's fun watching
- 8 my daughter doing that. I hold outfits up, and she
- 9 -- you know, she gets to pick her own clothes. We
- 10 get ready for school. We understand the importance
- 11 of school, and I instill that in my kids, the
- importance of safety while you're at school.
- So they go to school, and then they come
- 14 home, and we -- we talk about their day. We play.
- 15 We do homework, if it's necessary. We pack our
- 16 lunches the night before. Both kids help me cook
- 17 dinner, being that my wife -- she -- she gets off
- 18 work -- she works at Children's Hospital, so she
- 19 gets off a little later. So, you know, we handle
- 20 the -- we hold down the house until she gets home,
- 21 and then include her in dinner, and we all sit down
- 22 together.
- We're very, very family oriented. My kids
- 24 are very important to me, and the success of my
- 25 kids are very important to me. Hence, why I'm

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 trying to further my career, to give them every
- 3 possible opportunity to succeed in a world that is
- 4 very tough, sometimes, to -- to make it in. So I
- 5 want to give them the best chance.
- 6 Q. Could you talk about your relationship
- 7 with your family?
- 8 A. Yes. So my mom and dad, who still
- 9 currently live in Ohio --
- 10 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, your Honor.
- 11 I'm not sure of the relevance of this line of
- 12 questioning.
- MR. WALL: Your Honor, the central issue
- 14 in this proceeding is the extent to which Mr. Trick
- is a risk to the public, and we've heard testimony
- 16 today that stability of family relationships and
- 17 support network are important factors in mitigating
- 18 the risk of recidivism, and I am asking Mr. Trick
- 19 about his support networks.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it.
- 21 You'll have a chance to obviously cross-examine
- 22 Mr. Trick and -- and -- about these social
- 23 networks. Please go ahead.
- A. Okay. So my mom and dad, and I have two
- 25 brothers that also live in -- live in Ohio. My mom

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 and dad, while they obviously don't condone or
- 3 agree with what I've done, they have held me
- 4 accountable 110 percent of the way, but also stood
- 5 strong to let me know that they're still my mom and
- 6 dad and they're always there.
- 7 They -- they have never -- we corresponded
- 8 while I was inside prison, as well as my brothers,
- 9 to let me know that I have some support out here.
- 10 They would send me, you know, pictures of family
- 11 functions, you know, let me know that people miss
- 12 me.
- 13 And they have been a great support, and
- 14 also, played a big part in my rehabilitation, as
- 15 talking to them and giving them full disclosures as
- 16 to what I did, why I did it, and how my cycle in
- 17 offending affects my lifestyle and how I can
- 18 protect myself and others from myself. You know,
- 19 so -- so they have just been an amazing support
- 20 group. They're awesome.
- 21 Q. The last question I would like to ask you
- 22 about is your business partner, Tom Cook and his
- 23 role in your life?
- A. Sure. Tom Cook has been around all my
- 25 life. Tom Cook is my mom's brother. Tom Cook -- I

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 have a lot of gratitude towards that man. Always
- 3 wanted to be like him. He's an incredible
- 4 businessman. He's my mentor. He's one of my best
- 5 friends. He just -- he gave me a chance. I asked
- 6 him, obviously, months ago, before I submitted an
- 7 application or started writing a business plan, I
- 8 said, hey, I -- I want a moving company. I want to
- 9 do this.
- 10 And he goes, okay. He goes, we have
- 11 nothing to talk about without a business plan. So
- 12 he's very business right away, right off the get.
- 13 And he taught me a lot of things along the way
- 14 about what it takes to run a successful business.
- 15 And he -- he financially backed me through all
- 16 this, and I've thanked him several times for
- 17 believing in me.
- This is something I'm passionate about.
- 19 It's not just a job. It's not just a career. It's
- 20 my life. It's -- it's what's going to provide for
- 21 me and my family. And he -- he believed in that,
- 22 and he trusts me. And believe me, he and I have
- 23 had lengthy conversations about this very thing,
- 24 and he -- he is just -- his part in the business is
- 25 -- is going to be a lot of admin.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 He'll take care of all the payroll
- 3 accounts, payroll, anything admin. He'll also be
- 4 going over some strategy with me to enhance the
- 5 profitability of the company. He definitely has
- 6 the staff to do it, and he -- his -- his line to me
- 7 was, you know, I have 3,700 employees already, a
- 8 couple more is not going to hurt. He -- he's just
- 9 an amazing man, and I love him very much. And the
- 10 fact that he afforded me the opportunity to be here
- 11 today, I mean, I'm just -- I'm very grateful.
- MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 14 Mr. O'Connell.
- 15 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 17 Q. I have a few questions. Mr. Trick, you
- 18 don't deny that you sexually molested two seven-
- 19 year-old girls, do you?
- 20 A. I don't.
- Q. Did you groom these girls?
- 22 A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you abuse them long term?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Did you know these girls very long?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. You worked with their mother, though,
- 4 right?
- 5 A. I did.
- Q. Did you use threats to trick these girls?
- 7 A. No, I didn't.
- 8 O. So this was an isolated incident?
- 9 A. Isolated, you mean -- I'm not -- I'm not
- 10 sure what you mean by it's an isolated incident.
- 11 Like, it was a one time thing? Was it a -- what do
- 12 you mean?
- Q. Was it a one-time incident?
- 14 A. Yes, yes.
- 15 Q. It was just a short time that you had
- 16 known these girls?
- 17 A. Right. That night.
- 18 Q. How did you end up in bed with these
- 19 girls?
- 20 A. Sure. As the evening progressed -- this
- 21 is more or less -- I'm not sure if you know the
- 22 background of the evening, but it was -- it was a
- 23 party I was invited to by the mother, and there was
- 24 probably 50, 60, 70 people there. I'm note sure.
- 25 I mean, there was a lot.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 And as the night progressed -- you know, I
- 3 had already had a long day. I was tired, and I
- 4 kept trying to influence the four guys I came with
- 5 to, hey, you know, let's maybe think about maybe
- 6 heading back to base. And that's when the father,
- 7 Darryl, said, well, hey, if you guys want to hang
- 8 out, I'd be happy to -- you guys can just crash
- 9 here, and I'll give you a ride to base in the
- 10 morning, as the base was only about five, six
- 11 minutes away by driving.
- 12 I said okay. I talked to the mother, I
- 13 said, hey, I'm just tired. Is there someplace, you
- 14 know -- there was just so many people and it was
- 15 loud, and I was, like, is there any place I can
- 16 just lay down for a few minutes, or for a while?
- 17 And this was about, I don't know, 1:00, 2:00 in the
- 18 morning.
- 19 So I laid down, and it wasn't very long
- 20 that her daughters, who I was introduced to earlier
- 21 in the night, came in and -- at first, we were
- 22 talking. They were sitting on the edge of the bed.
- 23 Then they got -- one laid down, and the other one
- 24 laid down on the other side of me, and it was --
- 25 and I didn't really -- we didn't talk about a lot,

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 and I -- that's when I did it.
- 3 Q. So the parents trusted you to be in their
- 4 home?
- 5 A. They did.
- 6 Q. Did you mistake these girls for your
- 7 girlfriend?
- 8 A. No, I didn't.
- 9 Q. Okay. So you're required to register on a
- 10 regular basis with the sheriff's office, is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And you do that, correct?
- 14 A. I -- I do -- when you say a regular basis,
- 15 I'm assuming you mean whenever I switch my location
- 16 of my house. That's the only time I register.
- 17 Q. Okay. Can I direct your attention to what
- 18 Mr. Wall showed you as SP-4? It's the King County
- 19 Sheriff's office web site?
- 20 A. Sure.
- 21 MR. WALL: Do you have another copy, so I
- 22 could follow along?
- MR. O'CONNELL: You can have my copy.
- A. Let me flip through this here. I'm very
- 25 familiar. You can go ahead and ask your question,

0094

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 though.
- 3 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- Q. Okay. You're aware that the sheriff's
- 5 office keeps is an on-line database of registered
- 6 sex offenders, right?
- 7 A. Oh, yes.
- 8 Q. And on this document, that is a photo of
- 9 you, right?
- 10 A. Yes, it is. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do you recall having this photo taken?
- 12 A. Well, I mean, I don't know which photo it
- 13 was. I've had several taken, so -- but I don't
- 14 remember --
- Q. When was the most recent one that you had
- 16 taken?
- 17 A. The most recent one was actually not too
- 18 long ago. It was -- there was a detective that
- 19 comes to the house about every -- twice a year to
- 20 make sure that I live where I say I live, and it's
- 21 up to them to update photos, and things like that,
- 22 so that the public has a clear picture of what I
- 23 look like now. And so he took one in front of my
- 24 house just a couple months ago.
- 25 Q. Okay. So --

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. I don't know if this is that one, but --
- 3 Q. All right. It's your testimony that some
- 4 of the information on this web site is incorrect,
- 5 right?
- 6 A. It is.
- 7 Q. When is the last time you visited this web
- 8 page?
- 9 A. I visited this web page when I got this
- 10 information from the Commission and this paperwork.
- 11 I didn't -- I had -- so there's different ways that
- 12 I can look myself up. So if I just Google myself,
- 13 you can actually -- it doesn't take you to the King
- 14 County web site. It takes you to wherever Google,
- 15 you know, may show my picture.
- So I had never seen this before. So I had
- 17 actually not been on the King County Sheriff's web
- 18 site until they -- until they brought it up, and I
- 19 looked at it, and that's when I told my attorney, I
- 20 said, hey -- and I immediately called a detective
- 21 who used to check up on me, and say, hey, how do I
- 22 fix this.
- 23 Q. Let me get back do that. Let me back up
- 24 just a second. So do you remember more
- 25 specifically when the first time you noticed

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 something was incorrect on this web site?
- 3 A. Yes. It was when I got the paperwork from
- 4 the Commission.
- 5 O. Was that --
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm sorry. What
- 7 paperwork are you referring to?
- 8 A. The intent -- the intent to deny, or --
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. The notice of
- 10 intent to deny the permit?
- 11 A. Right. Right.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- 13 A. And it didn't show this. It just had a
- 14 paragraph stating that I -- like, this paragraph.
- 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. I was just
- 16 wondering what paperwork you meant that you had
- 17 received from the Commission.
- 18 A. Okay. Yes, so it was part of the
- 19 paperwork for the intent to deny. It was part of
- 20 the background part, which you have somewhere in
- 21 here. I saw it when we were looking before.
- 22 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 23 Q. So can you give me a ballpark date on the
- 24 first time you noticed something was incorrect?
- 25 A. What is this, June? So they -- sure.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 Let's just look at the date on this, because I got
- 3 it thereafter. I would say close to the end of
- 4 February.
- 5 Q. Okay. So you hadn't looked at the King
- 6 County Sheriff's Office's web site until then?
- 7 A. That's correct. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. And when did you call to correct
- 9 the inconsistencies?
- 10 A. Soon after. Well, when I consulted with
- 11 the attorney, with my attorney, I -- you know, we
- 12 were going over a lot of things, and I -- I may
- 13 have waited, I don't know, three weeks, a month,
- 14 because he asked about it. And when I brought it
- 15 to his attention and said, what do we need to do,
- 16 and that's when I got on the ball and started,
- 17 like, hey, obviously, you guys found something you
- 18 didn't like in there, and I want to make sure it's
- 19 at least accurate.
- 20 So when I called them, they told me that
- 21 the process could take up to four months or --
- 22 three to four months for the investigation. It
- 23 depends on how backlogged they are. It's not a
- 24 high priority is basically what she told me.
- Q. Did you call in June?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. No, I called in -- I called at the end of
- 3 April-ish. Ish. That's rough. It could have been
- 4 May, April, but somewhere in there.
- 5 Q. And so have you applied to have your sex
- 6 offender level changed?
- 7 A. So yes and no. So it's not something that
- 8 they just come to me with and say, hey, do you want
- 9 your level changed? It's something that I had been
- 10 talking to a detective that comes to my house, and
- I said, hey, what do I need to do about getting my
- 12 level lowered? Because the thing that brought this
- 13 up -- because before, I really didn't -- I didn't
- 14 look at it that much.
- Okay. I'm a level 2 registered sex
- 16 offender, but up to that point, it really hadn't
- 17 affected me much, other than, yes, it's an
- 18 inconvenience when I get fliers put out so the
- 19 surrounding neighborhood knows. My fear isn't that
- 20 they know. My fear is that they retaliate. So I
- 21 have children. So if you've ever read any of the
- 22 horror stories that happen; people's houses get
- 23 burned down, people have drive-by shootings. I
- 24 don't want my kids to be a victim of that.
- 25 So I started really inquiring when my wife

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 and I recently were going to buy a house, and I
- 3 wondered what the process was, so that when we
- 4 moved into a new neighborhood, I wouldn't have
- 5 immediate fears before we even got in debt.
- 6 Q. So Mr. Trick, when did you apply to have
- 7 your sex offender level changed?
- 8 A. I -- I talked to the detective, and the
- 9 detective -- they told me that they're currently
- 10 not lowering anybody's level due to training and a
- 11 procedure that they're going through, and things
- 12 like that. So I had no opportunity.
- 13 Q. Have you filled out any form?
- 14 A. There is no form. There's -- there's a --
- 15 it's something that they take you through, and --
- 16 and whatnot, which now, I'm going to do through
- 17 Dr. O'Connell. I'm going to -- he -- he actually
- 18 sits with the same people I talk to at the End of
- 19 Sentence Review Committee to lower the levels.
- 20 Q. So at this time, you haven't applied to
- 21 have your sex offender level reduced?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. When did you get married to Ms. Brandy
- 24 Cunningham?
- 25 A. Brandy Barnes. Brandy Cunningham is her

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 name now. She got remarried. I got married on
- 3 December 1st of 2007.
- 4 Q. And she had minor children already when
- 5 you married her, correct?
- 6 A. She did. She had a four and six old, or a
- 7 five and seven. I'm not exactly sure how old they
- 8 were.
- 9 Q. When did you first meet Ms. Brandy
- 10 Cunningham -- Brandy Barnes?
- 11 A. I met her, actually, on a -- on a
- 12 construction job.
- Q. And when was that job?
- 14 A. Late 2005.
- 15 Q. Now, did you put your reckless driving
- 16 conviction on the application?
- 17 A. I did not.
- 18 Q. Did you put your 1999 conviction for child
- 19 molestation on the application?
- 20 A. No, I did not.
- Q. You testified that you called and talked
- 22 to staff about the application?
- 23 A. I did.
- Q. And you stated that you talked to someone
- 25 named Michael?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. I did.
- 3 Q. Could it have been a person named Megan
- 4 that you actually spoke to?
- 5 A. It was a man both times.
- Q. And you're sure?
- 7 A. I'm positive.
- 8 Q. Okay. I have one more question I want to
- 9 ask you about. On the application, you stated you
- 10 had nine years of moving experience?
- 11 A. Roughly, eight, nine years. I refer to it
- 12 as nearly a decade, so to be more specific.
- 13 Q. Who have you worked for in the household
- 14 good moving industry?
- 15 A. I have moved with a couple labor only
- 16 companies. Big Foot Moving --
- 17 O. Mr. Trick --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Could you slow down, please, for the
- 20 stenographer?
- 21 A. Okay. Companies like Big Foot Moving, I
- 22 Heart Moving, Adams Moving & Delivery, A-Ray's
- 23 Moving Solutions.
- Q. And do you know, do those companies
- 25 conduct background checks on their employees?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. I don't know if they do, but Adams Moving
- 3 & Delivery, as well as A-Ray's Moving Solutions
- 4 both know about the past.
- 5 Q. But you don't know whether they conducted
- 6 a background check?
- 7 MR. WALL: Asked and answered.
- 8 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Let's go ahead and
- 9 have the witness answer that one.
- 10 A. I don't know. I did fill out an
- 11 application with all my information, so if they did
- 12 do a background check, I don't know.
- 13 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 14 Q. When did you work for these companies?
- 15 A. Anywhere from 2006, '7 -- '6, '5, '6.
- 16 It's rough. I'm not sure of the exact start date.
- 17 All the way up to now. They're all -- they're all
- 18 off and on due to construction schedule. So --
- 19 Q. Okay. So do you still do work with each
- of these companies?
- 21 A. Not each of them. One of them.
- Q. Which one?
- 23 A. Adams Moving & Delivery.
- Q. When -- can you give me a ballpark, dates,
- 25 years when you worked for the other companies?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Sure. So initially, I worked for Adams
- 3 first. That's when I met Alex White, who is the
- 4 owner of A-Ray's Moving Solutions. He branched out
- 5 and got his own company roughly -- I -- I wouldn't
- 6 be able to give you an accurate date.
- 7 I -- it's just something I don't think
- 8 about. I worked for them for a significant amount
- 9 of time. Especially A-Ray's, not as much Adams.
- 10 But I mean, if you want to guess, I will. I would
- 11 say between 2007 and present.
- 12 Q. And you don't recall the dates for the
- 13 other companies either, I'm guessing?
- 14 A. Those are -- those are kind of individual.
- 15 So I -- whenever he needed help, he'd say, hey,
- 16 Billy, are you available to work? And I would say
- 17 yes or no. So it was very spotty. It could be one
- 18 day a month. It could be four days a month. It
- 19 could be no days. Sometimes, I have to tell these
- 20 guys that I am working six or seven days a week.
- 21 Currently, I work seven days a week between
- 22 construction and moving.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't have
- 24 any more questions.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Do you

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 have any redirect?
- 3 MR. WALL: Just one question on redirect.
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. WALL:
- 7 Q. Counsel asked you about the work that
- 8 you've done for other moving companies?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Have you also done work on your own?
- 11 A. I have, where I have done labor only
- 12 moves, where I -- I don't supply the truck. I just
- 13 supply the labor. So I go in and basically load
- 14 their truck up, and then we -- we go over to the
- 15 drop-off, and I unload their stuff into their new
- 16 house. I've done -- if you want me to give a
- 17 ballpark, I would say 800 of those, roughly 800.
- MR. WALL: Thank you.
- 19 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask a
- 20 follow-up based on that?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. That's quite
- 22 unorthodox. Yes, go ahead. Go ahead.
- 23 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 25 Q. Mr. Trick, on those moves where you do

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 labor only, do you go into people's homes?
- 3 A. Yes. Yes, I do.
- 4 MR. O'CONNELL: That is all, your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 6 MR. WALL: Nothing further.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. I have
- 8 just a couple clarification questions.
- 9 A. Sure.
- 10 INQUIRY
- 11 BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:
- 12 Q. Just give me a moment. Okay. So when you
- 13 were talking about your support network and then
- 14 your parents specifically, you mentioned that you
- 15 learned from your thoughts -- and I can have the
- 16 court reporter read it back. I was a little
- 17 confused as to what you meant. Through your
- 18 parents' support and the rehabilitation, you
- 19 learned from your thoughts, behaviors and offense.
- A. Oh, got you.
- 21 Q. I'm not sure what thoughts you're talking
- 22 about.
- 23 A. Okay. So in a cycle of offending, as I
- 24 was taught in treatment, there are thoughts,
- 25 feelings and behaviors and circumstances that lead

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 to an offense behavior. Part of rehabilitation is
- 3 talking to support people about what happened. So
- 4 sometimes I can get a different perspective from
- 5 another person.
- 6 So if I were to give you a full disclosure
- 7 and I were to give my attorney a full disclosure,
- 8 you would perceive it differently and say, okay,
- 9 you really didn't hold yourself accountable there.
- 10 I'm going to hold you accountable. So that's kind
- of the feedback I got from my family. Where you're
- 12 taught -- I was taught how to not minimize the
- 13 crime, because that's -- that's horrible. You did
- 14 it. I did it, and so --
- 15 Q. But I guess, specifically -- yes,
- 16 specifically, what I was trying to get at was what
- 17 thoughts of yours that took place at the time or
- 18 the offense took place or that you were having at
- 19 the time the offense took place, what thoughts were
- 20 you trying to rehabilitate?
- 21 A. Right. Sure. So during that process --
- 22 so the little time that I had interaction with the
- 23 children during the offense, thoughts like -- like
- 24 identifying what my body was doing. So I was very
- 25 anxious. My heart was racing. Obviously, I knew

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 it was wrong, and I didn't want to get caught, and
- 3 so I -- my thoughts were, like, what did I tell
- 4 myself to make it okay?
- 5 These are also called little white lies,
- 6 also known as distortions. So I had to learn and
- 7 think about the thoughts that I had that I broke
- 8 down -- I broke down my barriers and my walls to
- 9 allow myself to offend, and so that's specifically
- 10 what I'm talking about.
- 11 Q. Okay. Thank you. The other thing was you
- 12 took classes in -- while you were incarcerated?
- 13 A. I did.
- Q. And you received two different degrees?
- 15 A. Yes, certificates.
- 16 Q. Certificates?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q. Why did you decide not to pursue
- 19 employment in those fields?
- 20 A. It's quite simple. For two reasons. One,
- 21 there was no work, first of all. And two, when I
- 22 first got out, I was restricted as to who and what
- 23 -- where I could work. So one of the conditions
- 24 that the Department of Corrections had with me is
- 25 anyplace that I worked, I had to give a full

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 disclosure as to what happened at the time of the
- 3 interview.
- 4 So I didn't want to waste my skills in
- 5 that sense, so I wanted to wait until I was off
- 6 probation where I didn't have to disclose that, and
- 7 if it came up, then I could talk about it. But I
- 8 didn't want to just -- my life is nobody's business
- 9 if it doesn't affect them, in my opinion, as far as
- 10 employment.
- If I am not -- I just -- I felt that if I
- 12 disclosed that, you know, it might hinder my chance
- 13 of employment. When I first got out, I put in over
- 14 200 applications -- I was putting in 100
- 15 applications a day, whether it was on line or in
- 16 person, before I finally got hired at Jiffy Lube.
- 17 And it was -- and once I got a job at
- 18 Jiffy Lube, it wasn't enough to support myself, so
- 19 I continued and continued and continued and
- 20 continued. I was sleeping on average four hours a
- 21 day. I was looking for another job, and finally, I
- 22 was hired at QFC. QFC made me aware that I was the
- 23 first sex offener they had ever hired, and that was
- 24 at the Capital Hill Harvard Market QFC.
- During the interview, I gave him a full

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 disclosure. He told me, he said, well, you sold
- 3 yourself to me. He goes, don't tell anybody,
- 4 because when you do, it will -- it will go through
- 5 162 people in an hour, and it did when it
- 6 eventually happened.
- 7 So as I continued my employment, and
- 8 moving up in the world, those certificates kind of
- 9 went -- went kind of on the back burner. So that's
- 10 why I didn't -- I didn't pursue a career in
- 11 information technology, IT, or interactive
- 12 communication multimedia. So in retrospect --
- 13 commercials, things like that, I stay out of the --
- 14 I try to, you know, stay out of the limelight a
- 15 little bit.
- Q. And so you mentioned there were --
- initially, when you were released, there were
- 18 restrictions on where you could work?
- 19 A. Not where I could work, but there were
- 20 restrictions -- so they would say -- yes. Yes. I
- 21 mean, obviously, don't go apply to a daycare.
- 22 Don't go apply at a school. Don't go apply at a
- 23 YMCA. You know what I mean? I could have no
- 24 direct contact with minors.
- 25 The only contact with minors I was allowed

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 to have was if I were to go into a restaurant, I'd
- 3 say a fast food and order, I could order if the
- 4 person across the counter was under 18. That's
- 5 what they call paper and plastic. Decide. Pick
- 6 and choose your battle.
- 7 So if I'm in a grocery store and I go down
- 8 the aisle and there's kids running around, choose a
- 9 different aisle. I mean, it's real simple. If you
- 10 couldn't get along with the Department of
- 11 Corrections afterwards, you have a problem. You're
- 12 looking for problems. So --
- 13 Q. So those restrictions, are they still in
- 14 place?
- 15 A. No. I have no restrictions, other than I
- 16 can have no contact with my victims.
- Q. And are you currently on parole --
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. -- or probation, or anything like that?
- 20 A. I am not. I was released from probation
- 21 in 2007.
- Q. Okay. And as much as I don't want to get
- 23 into the details of what happened --
- 24 A. Sure.
- 25 Q. -- I do need to know a little bit more

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 about the circumstances.
- 3 A. Okay. You ask the question, and I'll
- 4 answer it for you, and then --
- 5 Q. Okay. That's fine. So you were
- 6 intoxicated when this occurred?
- 7 A. I -- I was -- I was feeling good, yes. I
- 8 don't necessarily know that I was obliviated drunk,
- 9 because I was conscious in my decisions, where --
- 10 where my decisions were impulsive, and obviously,
- 11 not to, you know, par, yes, of course. The alcohol
- 12 affected my decisions a little bit.
- 13 Q. Had you had any kind of contact of this
- 14 nature, meaning of a sexual nature, with children
- or underaged people before this?
- 16 A. In -- as far as, like, a --
- 17 Q. I'm not talking charged. I'm talking just
- 18 right now, under oath, had you ever done anything
- 19 like this prior?
- 20 A. No. I -- I did, during treatment, admit
- 21 to -- and what I now understand it as curious play,
- 22 is how my treatment provider put it -- put it,
- 23 sorry -- I had admitted to, if you will, fooling
- 24 around with a cousin at a birthday party. She was
- 25 -- I was 12 or 13, and she was 9 or 10, 8 or 9, and

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 that happened on -- with -- with two different
- 3 cousins. It was the same party. So --
- 4 Q. This was not while intoxicated?
- 5 A. No, no. I was 12 or 13.
- 6 Q. Okay. And since your arrest -- I should
- 7 say, since the incident that occurred with these
- 8 two girls, have you since had any similar
- 9 interactions with other children?
- 10 A. No, I have not. No. No.
- 11 Q. But you do still drink?
- 12 A. I drink on occasion. I don't -- I've
- 13 pretty much cut alcohol out of my life, other than,
- 14 like I said, if I were to go to dinner with my wife
- or -- or we're at Costco, and there's a nice
- 16 cabernet, you know, we'll -- we'll buy a bottle,
- 17 and I'll have -- that bottle will last a minute.
- 18 So no, I don't drink regularly, and I can't
- 19 remember the last time I was intoxicated.
- 20 MR. WALL: I'm sorry, if I could just jump
- 21 in. When you say last a minute, I think you
- 22 mean --
- 23 A. Oh, that the bottle lasted a month.
- MR. WALL: You were using that in, like,
- 25 the vernacular sense?

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Sure, sure. Right. Right.
- 3 MR. WALL: Not that the bottle was
- 4 immediately gone?
- 5 A. And just so you know, once you open a
- 6 bottle, it's not very good after a month.
- 7 CONTINUING INOUIRY
- 8 BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:
- 9 Q. So let me just go through my notes again
- 10 and see if I have any further clarification
- 11 questions.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. With regard to the reckless driving --
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. -- you said that was about two or three
- 16 years ago, is that right?
- 17 A. Correct. It was -- in fact, I'm not sure
- 18 how they came up with the three year thing, but I
- 19 have to file an SR 22 for up to three years, and
- 20 that ends on November 22nd of this year.
- 21 Q. So were you intoxicated at that time?
- 22 A. No. I -- we -- we had a few beers, two or
- 23 three, and I just -- I had gotten a phone call from
- 24 Ashley, who -- we weren't married at the time, and
- 25 asked me if I could, you know, cut it a little --

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 she asked me if we were done bowling, and whatnot.
- 3 And I said, yes, we're kind of BS-ing a little bit.
- 4 And she goes, okay.
- 5 And I had to work the next day, and so I
- 6 just told the guys, hey, I'm going to take off.
- 7 Hence, I hang out with pretty much married guys
- 8 with kids, so I believe that we're very responsible
- 9 in that way, and it was a -- it was a bad judgment
- 10 call on my part, and I -- I -- when I got on the
- 11 highway and headed north, I got pulled over.
- 12 Q. And why were you pulled over?
- 13 A. I was pulled over because I was on my cell
- 14 phone. I was talking to my wife, letting her know
- 15 that I was on my way, and I got pulled over.
- 16 Q. So the cell phone usage is what
- 17 constitutes the wreckless driving misdemeanor?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. So what --
- 20 A. They -- they pulled me over for that.
- 21 Then when they pulled me over, they asked me if I
- 22 had been drinking, and I said yes. They did a
- 23 field sobriety test, which I don't know if that's a
- 24 pass/fail. They didn't really say anything. I did
- 25 blow into their little breathalyzer on site and

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 also over at the UW.
- 3 Because I did get pulled over right before
- 4 520, Interstate 520, the floating bridge, heading
- 5 north on I-5. So it was right there, and I did
- 6 blow over the legal limit and -- originally, and so
- 7 they arrested me for driving under the influence,
- 8 and the end result of that was 40 hours of
- 9 community service and a reckless driving.
- 10 Q. Do you remember what the exact calculation
- 11 of the -- the intoxication level?
- 12 A. Right. Right. It was either a .09 or a
- 13 .10. It wasn't as high -- and the officer -- I
- 14 remember his name. Officer -- State Trooper
- 15 DeFrang, who is the guy who later told me, you
- 16 know, I'm the one who trains people for this, and
- 17 he told me, he goes -- you know, I cooperated with
- 18 him. I didn't hide it. You know, he said, hey,
- 19 unfortunately, you're just about a half a beer or a
- 20 beer too much. He goes, you should have waited.
- 21 And then through that process, I learned
- 22 quite a bit about that, because they make you go
- 23 through a victims impact panel, where people kill
- 24 people, and I -- I got to talk with mothers who
- 25 lost their kids due to drunk drivers. And that's

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 when I said, you know, it's time to change a little
- 3 something about this.
- 4 So now, it doesn't matter if I have a sip
- 5 of beer or a glass of wine, I don't drive. That's
- 6 it. My wife very rarely drinks at all either. So
- 7 we're kind of in cahoots with that and, you know,
- 8 she wasn't too happy when I got home, so she pretty
- 9 much gave me an ultimatum. So if this ever happens
- 10 again -- you know, she has a six year old son. I
- 11 completely agree. So it's not okay.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And that's --
- 13 that really is all of the clarification questions
- 14 that I have.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So thank you for your
- 17 testimony, and you're excused.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So I don't
- 20 know if we want to take a short recess, and then
- 21 Mr. O'Connell, you'll present Ms. Paul, and we'll
- 22 follow from there.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Well, your Honor, I expect
- 24 that Ms. Paul's testimony will take more than the
- 25 40 minutes we have until Dr. O'Connell is scheduled

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 to testify. My preference would be to not cut her
- 3 off on the stand.
- 4 Is it -- can we arrange it so that we can
- 5 take a break until Dr. O'Connell's testimony, and
- 6 then have Ms. Paul after Dr. O'Connell?
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we do that?
- 8 We'll take an early lunch, and when we come back at
- 9 noon, we'll have Dr. O'Connell finish his
- 10 testimony. And following that, we'll have staff's
- 11 case. All right. We are in recess until noon.
- 12 Thank you.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 15 (A recess was then taken.)
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So we'll go back on
- 17 the record. We are going to proceed with the
- 18 continuation of Dr. O'Connell's examination.
- 19 However, I wanted to address Five Stars'
- 20 motion for lead to file its brief, a legal brief.
- 21 I am going to deny the motion. I've given the
- 22 parties a couple of opportunities to express their
- 23 legal views in both opening and closing statements,
- 24 and in addition, I don't view this case as having
- 25 legal issues that are sufficiently complex to

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 warrant legal briefing.
- 3 So that, along with the declaration that I
- 4 ruled on over the last couple of days that were
- 5 denied, will -- will be my ruling.
- I believe, Dr. O'Connell, are you on the
- 7 line?
- 8 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. We
- 10 are back on the record. Mr. Wall, I believe you
- 11 were examining -- or finishing up your direct
- 12 examination. And you are still under oath. I just
- 13 wanted to remind you.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 16 Whereupon,
- 17 MICHAEL A. O'CONNELL,
- 18 having been previously duly sworn, testified
- 19 further as follows:
- 20 CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. WALL:
- 22 Q. Thank you for calling back in,
- 23 Dr. O'Connell. I think that we had just talked
- 24 about the Washington Institute of Public Policy and
- 25 its findings regarding the notification levels and

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 how that consideration score has little or no
- 3 accuracy in predicting recidivism.
- I wanted to ask you -- I think this is
- 5 probably the last topic. If you could turn to --
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall, do you have
- 7 your mike on?
- 8 MR. WALL: Yes. Sorry.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very good. Great.
- 10 MR. WALL: I'll speak into it more.
- 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 12 BY MR. WALL:
- 13 Q. If you could turn to the exhibits that I
- 14 sent you labeled I and J? And for those of us
- 15 following along here, they are Exhibits 11 and 12.
- 16 And I'm not sure if your copy of Exhibit 11 got cut
- 17 off, but apparently, in making the PDF, I just
- 18 realized that the exhibit got cut off.
- 19 So we'll focus on Exhibit 12, then. Are
- 20 you familiar with the Certificate of Restoration of
- 21 Opportunities Act, which is pending in the
- 22 legislature?
- 23 A. Yes, you brought that to my attention.
- Q. And do you know, what is -- what is your
- 25 understanding of it? Have you had an opportunity

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 to read about it?
- 3 A. I -- I read through it, and I'm familiar
- 4 with it as part of a larger public policy
- 5 initiative where there is a sort of a growing
- 6 appreciation that -- making it impossible for
- 7 people who have committed offenses in the past to
- 8 get employed, to get licenses, and whatnot, has --
- 9 is coming to be seen as a -- as an overreach as one
- 10 that gets in the way of people sort of
- 11 rehabilitating themselves and pursuing that line of
- 12 desistance that I talked about earlier, you know,
- 13 talking about getting -- getting rooted in the
- 14 community and having, you know, attachments that
- 15 makes them want to behave well and have
- 16 responsibilities that they're -- they're committed
- 17 to doing.
- 18 And I think the City of Seattle has
- 19 recently -- they have led an ordinance, and I know
- 20 the state of Georgia and a couple of other
- 21 jurisdictions have made it a requirement that
- 22 employers cannot ask about and rule out job
- 23 candidates based on their criminal history at the
- 24 first level. So that, you know, you get to -- a
- 25 job applicant gets to at least have an interview

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 before the issue of their criminal history comes up
- 3 and gets -- gets factored into a hiring decision.
- Q. If you look at page 2 of what you have as
- 5 Exhibit J, what we have as Exhibit 12, and it has a
- 6 summary of the bill explaining that if you --
- 7 A. This is the house bill report?
- 8 Q. Right.
- 9 A. Right.
- 10 Q. On page 2, the summary of the substitute
- 11 bill?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. It's talking about a Certificate of
- 14 Restoration of Opportunity, what's abbreviated
- 15 CROP. So as I understand it, that's a mechanism
- 16 that people with a conviction can apply for. If
- 17 they obtain a CROP, then it says, no state, county
- 18 or municipal department essentially will deny a
- 19 permit or a license based solely on the applicant's
- 20 criminal history, if the applicant meets all the
- 21 other statutory and regulatory requirements?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to stop you
- 23 right there. Is this still -- has this been
- 24 enacted or signed?
- 25 MR. WALL: It has not. It's pending at

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 the legislature.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What is the relevance
- 4 of this?
- 5 MR. WALL: The relevance, I think, is that
- 6 there's a growing awareness -- and I would like to
- 7 Dr. O'Connell about this. A growing awareness in
- 8 the professional field, but also, within the
- 9 legislature, that the denial of licenses for people
- 10 pursuing their chosen profession is
- 11 counterproductive to their rehabilitation and
- 12 reintegration into society.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?
- MR. O'CONNELL: May I be heard, your
- 15 Honor?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
- 17 MR. O'CONNELL: Staff does have an
- 18 objection on relevancy. You think see on the
- 19 bottom of the first page of this, it says it's not
- 20 part of a bill that's been passed. It's not part
- 21 of an analysis. It's not part of legislation.
- I think Mr. Wall is free to ask
- 23 Dr. O'Connell his questions, but I don't think
- 24 there's still -- this court should consider.
- MR. WALL: Your Honor, just to make clear,

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CONT. DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 I'm not -- I'm not offering it as a binding legal
- 3 authority for the UTC or anything, but I think that
- 4 it does represent a policy, and I think that policy
- 5 arguments should be considered, in addition to
- 6 legal arguments.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And I have no
- 8 problem with you asking questions about it, but I
- 9 don't think we need to get into the substance of
- 10 it, including the CROP, because this isn't even
- 11 part of our legislative scheme right now, and I --
- MR. WALL: Right.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: -- I do take
- 14 Mr. O'Connell's point, that this would not become
- 15 an exhibit.
- MR. WALL: Okay.
- Q. Dr. O'Connell, do you -- in your
- 18 professional opinion, is there -- do you perceive
- 19 some irony in this area with professional licenses?
- 20 A. I'm not -- I'm not -- I'm not sure of the
- 21 point that you're -- you're asking about, the irony
- 22 piece.
- 23 Q. Let me try and ask -- let me ask a better
- 24 question. How can the denial of a license affect
- 25 someone's rehabilitation and reintegration into

0124

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 society?
- 3 A. Well, licenses, in general, and -- and
- 4 employment opportunities, in particular, are -- do
- 5 get in the way of people, you know, moving along
- 6 with their lives, establishing, you know,
- 7 connections to the community and promoting that
- 8 process of desistance, which is a, you know,
- 9 rehabilitative factor for most people who once
- 10 committed offenses and then go on to leave -- go on
- 11 to live law abiding responsible lives.
- 12 On the other hand, there are certain
- 13 perfectly reasonable restrictions on people who
- 14 abuse children being in positions of authority,
- 15 people who embezzle working in banks, that sort of
- 16 thing.
- 17 MR. WALL: Thank you, Dr. O'Connell. I
- 18 don't have any further questions for you at this
- 19 time.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 21 Mr. O'Connell, do you have any cross exam?
- MR. O'CONNELL: I do, your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay.
- 24 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. O'CONNELL:

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- Q. Dr. O'Connell, can you hear me?
- 3 A. Yes, I can hear you fine.
- 4 Q. Okay. Can you tell me if you've met with
- 5 Mr. Trick?
- 6 A. I have just talked with him over the
- 7 phone.
- 8 Q. When did you speak with him?
- 9 A. It was in the last couple of days.
- 10 Q. Okay. So that was last week or this week?
- 11 A. You know, it -- it -- it may have been
- 12 yesterday. In fact, I think it was yesterday.
- 13 Q. How long did you speak?
- 14 A. I guess it was Monday. And it was --
- 15 well, let me see. I did make a note of that. I
- 16 made -- 2.1 hours. But that also included a
- 17 follow-up consultation with -- with Attorney Wall.
- 18 So it was -- it was certainly over an hour, maybe
- 19 an hour and a half.
- 20 Q. Did you speak with him only that one time
- 21 on the telephone?
- 22 A. That's right.
- Q. Okay. Were you given any materials as
- 24 collateral for your evaluation?
- 25 A. I was -- I was provided with the judgment

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 and sentence, the -- the posting on the King County
- 3 web site, the registration details and the notice
- 4 of intent to deny the -- the license in this case.
- 5 Q. Okay. And do you normally receive
- 6 collateral information when you make evaluations?
- 7 A. I'm sorry?
- 8 Q. Do you normally receive collateral
- 9 information when you're making an evaluation?
- 10 A. Oh, yes. I -- I would -- just to be
- 11 clear, what I'm -- what I'm testifying to is a
- 12 first impression, not a definitive assessment.
- 13 Q. So your testimony today is limited only to
- 14 a preliminary determination on your behalf?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. So you would like to have more information
- 17 and more time in order to make an evaluation, is
- 18 that -- my understanding correct?
- 19 A. In order to make a more definitive
- 20 evaluation, I would want more information and more
- 21 time to process it.
- Q. So to make a full evaluation of
- 23 Mr. Trick's risk level and the risk that he
- 24 presents for re-offense, you would like more
- 25 information, is that correct?

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And the only copy of court documents that
- 4 you received was the judgment and sentence for
- 5 Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Have you seen the pre-sentence
- 8 investigation report from Mr. Trick's 1999
- 9 conviction?
- 10 A. I have not.
- 11 Q. Have you seen the information or the
- 12 certificate of probable cause for his conviction?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Did you look up Mr. Trick on the sex
- 15 offender registration web site?
- 16 A. I didn't. Mr. Wall provided me the
- 17 information that was downloaded from that. The --
- 18 the -- and there's a not lengthy comment section.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so I guess my question for you
- 20 is, going forward in your evaluation, would you
- 21 like to be given all these documents with more
- 22 information in order to make your evaluation?
- 23 A. Oh, absolutely, yes.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that Mr. Trick was on
- 25 supervised release after prison?

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. That's what I understand.
- 3 Q. All right. Can you tell me exactly what
- 4 Mr. Trick told you about his offense?
- 5 A. I did not make extensive notes about that,
- 6 so part of what I'm going to be saying in response
- 7 to your question is going to be sort of my memory
- 8 and impressions.
- 9 He was arrested in July of '99. He was --
- 10 and I do have some notes here, so I'm -- I'm using
- 11 that as a -- as a -- to jog my memory. He was in
- 12 the Navy. He was stationed on an aircraft carrier.
- 13 It was at drydock. He had a -- he had a side job
- 14 working at a pizza place. A co-worker at that
- 15 pizza place was having a party. He went to a party
- 16 at her house off base. It was a large party, 50 or
- 17 more people there, a fair amount of drugs and
- 18 alcohol.
- 19 He got pretty intoxicated. He was invited
- 20 to stay rather than drive back. He was sleeping in
- 21 a bedroom. Twin seven and a half year old girls
- 22 came in and slept with him. We didn't talk much
- 23 about the specifics of the -- of the details that
- 24 happened there. The girls -- he was alone with
- 25 them, and the door was closed. He got aroused.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 He made excuses to himself, and molested
- 3 the -- the girls, and I have a note here that said,
- 4 and including that I orally raped them, having oral
- 5 sex with them. I don't think it was the next
- 6 morning. Several months later, he was contacted by
- 7 police and was charged.
- 8 So that's just a once -- that was a brief
- 9 discussion we had about the offense.
- 10 Q. So you didn't really receive a lot of
- 11 details about the nature and extent of the crime,
- 12 is that correct?
- 13 A. Nor did I ask for them at the time.
- 14 Q. Is that information that you would like to
- 15 have in making your evaluation?
- 16 A. Yes. That would be -- that would be a
- 17 normal part of the evaluation process.
- 18 Q. Okay. In your experience, do sex
- 19 offenders often minimize their crime?
- 20 A. Quite often. More likely than not.
- Q. Do they try to hide their crime?
- 22 A. Well, by definition, it's something they
- 23 -- you know, at the time, they're -- they're
- 24 hiding. And part of the evaluation and treatment
- 25 process is to break down the barriers to be able to

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 talk morbidly and candidly about that, so others
- 3 know about their potential risks and they can see
- 4 them more clearly themselves.
- 5 Q. So in your experience, are sex offenders
- 6 good manipulators?
- 7 A. Well, they often are. It's sort of a
- 8 requirement of the -- of being in that situation.
- 9 Q. What about child molesters, in your
- 10 experience, are they good at manipulating people?
- 11 A. Well, it's -- it's difficult to make
- 12 generalizations, but they are often good
- 13 manipulators. Again, by the nature of the
- 14 requirements of the situation.
- 15 Q. In your experience, would you say that
- 16 children are more or less vulnerable to
- 17 manipulation than adults?
- 18 A. Oh, more.
- 19 Q. So I want to go back to Mr. Trick being on
- 20 supervised release after prison. I'm guessing,
- 21 since you knew about that, he shared that
- 22 information with you?
- 23 A. I -- I was aware of it, and we talked
- 24 about it briefly. And he also talked about being
- 25 in the follow-up community-based treatment program

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 while on supervision.
- 3 Q. Are you aware of what Mr. Trick's
- 4 conditions were during his supervised release?
- 5 A. We didn't talk about them specifically. I
- 6 -- I could make a guess about what they were, and I
- 7 made certain assumptions about what they were, but
- 8 we didn't talk about them.
- 9 Q. Okay. Well, if I told you that one of his
- 10 conditions was that he have no contact with minor
- 11 children, and that a few months after his
- 12 supervision ended, he married a woman with minor
- 13 children, would that raise any red flags to you?
- 14 A. We actually did talk about the fact that
- 15 he had -- I believe it was a marriage with
- 16 children, and I -- and I said -- we talked about
- 17 how that could create complications, and he needed
- 18 to be thinking about that.
- 19 So I mean -- so yes, simplifying my
- 20 answer, you know, being -- being in contact and
- 21 having, you know, control over children is a risk
- 22 factor that needs to be taken seriously.
- Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand
- 24 you. You are saying that you would be concerned
- 25 about a convicted child molester seeking out

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 situations with greater exposure to children?
- 3 A. Having access to potential victims is a
- 4 risk factor.
- 5 Q. So in your experience, would you say that
- 6 sex offenders are very truthful and forthcoming?
- 7 MR. WALL: I'm going to object to the
- 8 question. It calls for generalizations.
- 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, Mr. Wall and
- 10 Five Stars Moving has put forward Dr. O'Connell as
- 11 an expert in psychology. I think he's qualified to
- 12 give his opinion based on his experience.
- MR. WALL: My objection is specifically
- 14 about the specific --
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move your
- 16 mike closer?
- 17 MR. WALL: I apologize.
- 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 19 MR. WALL: I apologize. My objection was
- 20 about the specific relevance of the question asked.
- 21 It calls for a generalization, and not specifically
- 22 applicable to Mr. Trick or his circumstances.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And actually, you'll
- 24 have a chance to respond on redirect to any
- 25 generalizations, so I'm going to allow it.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- Based on your experiences, Dr. O'Connell,
- 3 you can answer the question.
- 4 A. And could you restate the question?
- 5 Because I got lost in the back and forth there.
- 6 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 7 Q. Yes, I can. In your experience,
- 8 Dr. O'Connell, would you say that sex offenders are
- 9 truthful and forthcoming?
- 10 A. Well, again, if you're doing something
- 11 you're not supposed to be doing, you're hiding it
- 12 and you're -- and you're denying it when -- when
- 13 challenged, one of the sort of core objectives of
- 14 -- of specific sex offender treatment is to break
- 15 through that level of -- of denial and resistance,
- 16 and become more open and self-disclosing and
- 17 transparent about that.
- 18 So it's -- it's a -- it's a --
- 19 it's a need and a problem that needs to be
- 20 addressed.
- 21 Q. Okay. I want to talk briefly about the
- 22 End of Sentence Review Committee, the risk levels
- 23 that are established, how they're established, and
- 24 I want to ask you a couple questions about the
- 25 testimony that you gave earlier.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Would it be a fair characterization to say
- 4 that at the time Mr. Trick was released from
- 5 prison, his risk level was assessed with the
- 6 current and up-to-date methods of the time?
- 7 A. With the tool that was being used at the
- 8 time -- actually, the -- by 2004, that tool had
- 9 been sort of overtaken by events and was a sort of
- 10 a remnant of -- of an earlier -- it was not the
- 11 latest and greatest at the time.
- 12 It was -- it was a fair -- it
- 13 was a fair attempt at doing an empirical
- 14 assessment. And Washington state, at the time, was
- doing lots better than most other jurisdictions,
- 16 but in retrospect, we know it was -- it -- it had
- 17 some -- it had some flaws.
- Q. So at the time, it was -- as Washington's
- 19 tool and Washington being a state that was doing
- 20 more than other states, it was state of the art?
- 21 A. It's -- it's too much to say it was state
- 22 of the art, but it was a -- it was a reasonably
- 23 good attempt at -- at a -- a fair and -- and
- 24 accurate risk assessment.
- 25 Q. And you'd agree that as we've gone on in

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 time, we have tried to improve the tools that we
- 3 use, including --
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 O. -- this tool?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And likewise, do you think that the state
- 8 of Washington has improved its treatment that it
- 9 offers over time?
- 10 A. Over time, the treatment has improved.
- 11 Q. So the treatment today that a sex offender
- 12 would receive is better than the treatment that
- 13 they would have received back in 2004?
- 14 A. I would guess that it -- that it is, but
- 15 it was pretty good back then.
- Q. So Dr. O'Connell, are you aware how the
- 17 End of Sentence Review Committee now assigns
- 18 offender levels?
- 19 A. It's -- my understanding is that they're
- 20 using the STATIC 99.
- 21 Q. Are you aware of whether they're using any
- 22 other tool?
- 23 A. I know that there are other tools under
- 24 development. I know there was a tool being
- 25 developed by DOC specific to Washington state, but

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 I am not -- I'm not sure of more than that.
- 3 Q. Have you ever been part of an End of
- 4 Sentence Review Committee?
- 5 A. I have not.
- 6 Q. Okay. Are you familiar at all with the
- 7 Minnesota Sex Offender screening tool?
- 8 A. Yes, I am.
- 9 Q. Would it surprise you if the End of
- 10 Sentence Review Committee used that, also?
- 11 A. Well, the Minnesota Sex Offender Screening
- 12 tool was embedded in the Washington State Sex
- 13 Offender Risk Classification tool, and -- and had
- 14 been from the beginning of there being a -- you
- 15 know, an emperically-based tool back in the mid
- 16 '90s.
- 17 Q. Are you aware that the Minnesota Sex
- 18 Offender Screening tool was updated in 2012?
- 19 A. I am aware of that.
- Q. Dr. O'Connell, in your experience, do you
- 21 know, can sex offenders apply for their offender
- level to be reduced?
- 23 A. It's my understanding that that gets done
- 24 to the law enforcement jurisdiction that is -- you
- 25 know, where they're registered, where they're

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 residing. It doesn't go through the End of
- 3 Sentence Review Committee. It's done on a, you
- 4 know, county by county or jurisdiction by
- 5 jurisdiction basis.
- 6 Q. Okay. Can a sex offender apply to have
- 7 their level reduced?
- 8 A. It can be done. I've been involved in
- 9 cases where that's happened.
- 10 Q. Okay. I have one last topic I want to ask
- 11 you about. Dr. O'Connell, is it your opinion that
- 12 Mr. Trick presents no risk to re-offend?
- 13 A. No, I'm not saying that.
- 14 Q. So you would agree with me if I said that
- 15 the risk that Mr. Trick will re-offend is somewhere
- 16 above zero?
- 17 A. And I would say that anybody, even
- 18 somebody who has never been convicted of a sex
- 19 offense, has a percentage of risk of greater than
- 20 zero. He would -- he would be somewhat higher than
- 21 that, but yes, he's more than zero.
- Q. Are you able to quantify exactly what the
- 23 risk is that Mr. Trick will re-offend?
- A. No. And in fact, nobody can. And these
- 25 risk tools do not give a precise risk factor for

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 any particular person. All it can do is -- is
- 3 compare somebody to a pool of people who are -- who
- 4 have similar characteristics.
- 5 Q. Okay. I just wanted to clarify one thing
- 6 with you. You are aware that Mr. Trick's crime
- 7 involved the offense against two seven-year-old
- 8 children?
- 9 A. That's right.
- 10 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. I have no more
- 11 questions, your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Redirect?
- MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. Dr. O'Connell, I believe your testimony
- 17 was that you spoke Monday with Mr. Trick for
- 18 something less than 2.1 hours, is that right?
- 19 A. That's correct. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And then I think you testified that you'd
- 21 like more information to verify the facts.
- 22 Assuming -- but you testified previously as well
- 23 that you were able to reach a tentative conclusion,
- 24 is that right?
- 25 A. Yes. I mean, it's a -- it's a first

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 impressions based on the information I had
- 3 available.
- 4 Q. And would the further information that you
- 5 need, would that consist largely of verifying the
- 6 facts, as they were given to you?
- 7 A. And adding, you know, any additional
- 8 information that may not have come up in our
- 9 initial conversations.
- 10 Q. Okay. And assuming that the facts that
- 11 you -- as they were presented to you were -- if you
- 12 were able to verify them, and in gathering
- 13 additional information, no new red flags came up,
- 14 would your assessment, then, be in a position to be
- 15 finalized?
- 16 A. Oh, I could -- I could make a more
- 17 definitive assessment. These things are never rock
- 18 solid. They're always, as I was saying in the --
- 19 in the last round of questioning, it's always --
- 20 he's in a pool of people who look like this.
- Q. Counsel asked you about Mr. Trick's
- 22 marriage to a woman with minor children. If
- 23 someone with a conviction for a sex offense has
- 24 access to potential victims but does not re-offend,
- 25 what does that tell you about that person?

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. It -- it -- that's consistent with the
- 3 other piece of information we've been talking
- 4 about, about time in the community and at risk
- 5 without re-offending. So any time you have a
- 6 period of time, you know, being in the community is
- 7 -- is -- it provides more opportunities for
- 8 mischief than being in prison.
- 9 And being in a family situation with kids
- 10 provides more opportunity than that. And someone
- 11 who has been in those situations without
- 12 re-offending provides some additional information
- 13 that suggests lower risk.
- Q. Have you had experience with people with
- 15 criminal convictions minimizing their offenses?
- 16 Have you experienced that in interviews with people
- 17 who were convicted?
- 18 A. Absolutely.
- 19 Q. When you were speaking, can you recognize
- when someone is doing that?
- 21 A. One never knows for sure, but I didn't --
- 22 I didn't have a sense of that with Mr. Trick. In
- 23 fact, he was -- my sense was he was very open in
- 24 disclosing and was providing me, if anything, more
- 25 information than I needed under the circumstances.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- Q. And just to clarify, you said, I think,
- 3 that it would take you approximately six to eight
- 4 weeks to conduct the further analysis that you need
- 5 to finalize your conclusions?
- 6 A. That's right.
- 7 Q. Okay. And you do, in fact, have plans to
- 8 do that?
- 9 A. I understand Mr. Trick would like me to do
- 10 that, and plans to follow through.
- 11 Q. Okay. I just want to ask you one last
- 12 question about the -- you were asked about the
- 13 Minnesota Sex Offender Screening tool, which you
- 14 said was embedded in the 2004 test, is that right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And is the Minnesota Sex Offender
- 17 Screening tool the actuarial tool you were
- 18 referring to when we spoke previously about the
- 19 2004 test?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that's the test that you, in fact,
- 22 used with Mr. Trick, is that right?
- 23 A. Yes. That was the one that yielded the
- 24 score of 24 that we talked about earlier.
- Q. Okay. And that's the tool that has the

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 level 46 that we talked about earlier, where you
- 3 need a 46 to be considered a level 2?
- 4 A. That's right.
- 5 MR. WALL: Okay. Thank you.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 7 INOUIRY
- 8 BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:
- 9 Q. Dr. O'Connell, please stay on the line for
- 10 just a moment, because I do have a couple of
- 11 questions for you, just clarification questions,
- 12 based on what you've -- the information you've
- 13 provided to both counsels.
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. So I take it that risk factor would equate
- 16 to recidivism rate?
- 17 A. I'm not sure the context of risk factor
- 18 that you're -- that you're referring to.
- 19 Q. Okay. Sure. So you're using the
- 20 vernacular risk factor, and I guess, in legal
- 21 parlance, we use recidivism rate or re-offense
- 22 rate. Is it pretty much the same thing?
- 23 A. Okay. So risk -- when I'm using the term
- 24 risk factors, I'm talking about elements that add
- 25 up to a -- a predicted recidivism rate.

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- Q. Okay. That makes sense. So what is the
- 3 recidivism rate, if you know it, for level 2
- 4 offenders?
- 5 A. Boy, I don't have that information at my
- 6 fingertips. I could give you a -- you know, a
- 7 seat-of-the-pants guess of somewhere around 18
- 8 percent.
- 9 O. And that's for level 2?
- 10 A. That's just a guess. Yes. And I'm -- and
- 11 I'm -- it's -- it's been a while since I've -- I've
- 12 looked at that, but --
- Q. And I understand it's just a guess. Maybe
- 14 what --
- 15 A. Right.
- 16 Q. -- would be helpful is if, when we're done
- 17 here, and obviously, when you have a chance, maybe
- 18 sometime today, if it turns out -- maybe you can
- 19 let counsel, Mr. Wall, know what the actual number
- 20 is.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. And then if you would also provide the
- 23 recidivism rate for level 1 offenders at that time?
- 24 A. That, I know is under 10 percent.
- Q. Okay. And is that after one year? After

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 two years? After five years?
- 3 A. I think -- I think it's five years, but
- 4 again, I would need to -- to do a little digging
- 5 and get that information.
- 6 Q. Sure. Just a couple of other
- 7 clarification questions. So I'm going to take
- 8 advantage of your -- your knowledge -- your much
- 9 greater knowledge of psychology than I have as an
- 10 attorney.
- 11 Since you were -- well, you didn't -- you
- 12 didn't treat Mr. Trick. Since Mr. Trick received
- 13 treatment, would this be classified as a disorder,
- 14 his conduct, or the symptom of a disorder?
- 15 A. It could be, but it's not -- I mean, the
- 16 disorder that you're probably referring to is
- 17 pedaphilia. And if, indeed, his only sexual acting
- 18 out or -- or sexual -- sexually driven behavior was
- 19 one incident, it wouldn't meet the criteria for
- 20 pedaphilia. You need a pattern of behavior that
- 21 causes problems for more than six months.
- Now, I don't know enough about his --
- 23 about his -- about his larger presentation than
- 24 this limited information I have, but it -- it
- 25 occurs to me that he may not meet the criteria for

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 pedaphilia, and that sexual interest in children,
- 3 you know, was not a significant factor in the
- 4 offense. It may be just a situational opportunity,
- 5 you know, boundaryless behavior with disinhibiting
- 6 effects of alcohol that may have been the, you
- 7 know, the driving force. And youth and immaturity
- 8 and lack of, you know, just managing his life in a
- 9 more structured and mature way.
- 10 Q. So the alcohol may have played a factor in
- 11 his decision to commit the crime?
- 12 A. It may have been a factor.
- 13 Q. Okay. And then also -- but there are
- 14 multiple factors that may have went into it?
- 15 A. There usually are.
- 16 Q. Okay. You had mentioned that individuals
- 17 never have a no risk, that there's no such thing as
- 18 a no risk individual. What I got a little bit
- 19 confused about was that you also said that there's
- 20 no such thing as an incurable individual.
- 21 So can you kind of explain the difference
- 22 here between not having -- not having a no risk
- 23 individual, and yet, also being able to potentially
- 24 cure someone?
- 25 A. Right. And actually, cure is not a useful

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 construct for thinking about this. And the -- the
- 3 model that's more useful for thinking about how to
- 4 respond to somebody who has acted out sexually, so
- 5 think in terms of, like, substance abuse.
- 6 There are some people who are
- 7 physiologically addicted to certain substances,
- 8 let's say alcohol and, you know, if they take one
- 9 drink, they can't stop. There are other people who
- 10 have misused alcohol and who have, you know, caused
- 11 problems for themselves or others, and a person who
- 12 has, you know, engaged in that behavior can't say,
- 13 I'm cured, I can -- you know, I never have to think
- 14 twice about use of alcohol again.
- 15 It's more useful to think about them as
- 16 managing their life better. If they have a
- 17 physiological addiction, to structure their life so
- 18 they don't put themselves into contact with
- 19 alcohol, build social structures so that they avoid
- 20 the social occasions where someone is going to hand
- 21 them a drink and they're going to feel social
- 22 pressure to do that.
- 23 So -- so managing -- managing of potential
- 24 risks, rather than cure, is the more useful way to
- 25 think about this. So the fact that somebody --

- DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 that you can't cure somebody who has been -- who
- 3 has engaged in sexual misbehavior and committed a
- 4 sex offense does not mean that that person is
- 5 irredeemable and can never be trusted to be
- 6 outside, you know, the walls of a prison.
- 7 Q. Right. But if I'm understanding
- 8 correctly, it's kind of a maintenance is a lifelong
- 9 issue?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Okay. Okay. And you mentioned
- 12 potentially needing to talk to collateral contacts.
- 13 What would that entail? What do you mean by
- 14 collateral contacts?
- 15 A. Well, other people who -- who know this
- 16 person, evaluating in a different way than I do.
- 17 So spouse, co-workers, family friends, that sort of
- 18 thing.
- 19 Q. Okay. When you were mentioning the
- 20 variability of the levels assigned, you indicated
- 21 that some law enforcement agencies or -- or
- 22 departments in different counties might look at
- 23 someone and assess them higher if they essentially
- 24 don't want this person in their community.
- 25 You're not saying that that's what

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 happened here, though?
- 3 A. I'm not saying that's what happened here.
- 4 Q. Okay. Okay. You also mentioned the
- 5 possibility, in a further evaluation, of conducting
- 6 a polygraph. Is that typical?
- 7 A. It is -- it -- it's very typical in
- 8 Washington. We -- we have -- well, this gets back
- 9 to counsel's point about you can't always take what
- 10 a person who is convicted of a sex offense says at
- 11 face value, and the polygraph testing to
- 12 corroborate their self-report of sexual behavior,
- 13 and -- and -- and whatnot, is a -- a useful
- 14 additional source of information.
- Q. Are -- are there any other tests that
- 16 could use -- could be used by professionals to
- indicate the veracity of what's being said?
- 18 A. Nothing as good as a polygraph, which has
- 19 its own limitations. The other potential sources
- 20 of -- of test information would be plethysmograph
- 21 testing to test sexual arousal, or there's a couple
- 22 of other instruments that measure sexual interest.
- 23 So that's a way of either confirming or ruling out
- 24 a deviant sexual interest as a driving force, both
- 25 in the offending behavior and in -- and, you know,

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 for the predicting of future risk.
- 3 So somebody who is -- so somebody who is
- 4 sexually interested in children, who is much more
- 5 aroused to children than to adults, is -- you know,
- 6 that's a risk factor. And in fact, it's one of the
- 7 -- you know, the two primary sources of recidivism
- 8 risk that probably -- you know, in the meta-
- 9 analysis studies.
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. Okay. I think
- 11 that -- that pretty much concludes the
- 12 clarification questions that I had. If there's
- 13 nothing further for the witness, I want to -- oh,
- 14 I'm sorry. I'm getting an indication from
- 15 Mr. O'Connell that there might be something
- 16 further.
- 17 MR. O'CONNELL: I just -- you brought up
- 18 the issue of the DSM -- sorry, of the disorder, and
- 19 I was wondering if I could ask a couple of follow-
- 20 up questions.
- 21 A. Go ahead.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, that's -- that's
- 23 fine. I have no problem.
- 24 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. O'CONNELL:

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- Q. Okay. Dr. O'Connell, do you use the DSM-V
- 3 in your psychological evaluations?
- 4 A. I generally don't.
- 5 Q. Is it widely used in the psychological
- 6 industry?
- 7 A. It's -- it's -- it's used in some
- 8 situations. In -- in the field of, you know,
- 9 sexual offending, it's more likely -- it's most
- 10 likely to be used in civil commitment proceedings,
- 11 where there needs to be a mental abnormality or a
- 12 personality disorder as one of the qualifying
- 13 conditions for civil commitment.
- Q. And Dr. O'Connell, for the record, can you
- just tell us what the DSM and the DSM-V is?
- 16 A. DSM is the Diagnostic and Statistical
- 17 Manual. The five is the fifth edition, which just
- 18 came out about a year ago. It's the -- it's the
- 19 publication by the American Psychiatric
- 20 Association, which lays out the criteria for
- 21 diagnosing, mostly from symptoms, different mental
- 22 health conditions.
- Q. Does it contain a section on pedaphilic
- 24 disorder?
- 25 A. There is a -- there is a section on

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 paraphilic disorders, which is the larger sexual
- 3 behavior problems, and there is a section on
- 4 pedaphilia specifically.
- 5 Q. Did you use the DSM-V in your evaluation
- 6 of Mr. Trick?
- 7 A. I did not.
- 8 Q. Would you, in further evaluations?
- 9 A. Probably not as such. I was -- I was
- 10 referring to the -- to this based on the -- the
- 11 judge's question about a disorder.
- 12 Q. Okay. Would the DSM-V contain criteria
- 13 and a definition of what pedaphilic or pedaphilia
- 14 disorder is?
- 15 A. It does, yes.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have with me
- 17 a copy of the DSM-V, and I have copies that I can
- 18 distribute to the parties. I didn't expect this to
- 19 be brought up in testimony, which is why I'm only
- 20 bringing it forward now. And I would remind the
- 21 Court that I found out about Dr. O'Connell
- 22 testifying on Monday.
- 23 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'd object to this
- 24 exhibit, in that we haven't seen it. Counsel also
- 25 supplemented his exhibit list previously, and I'd

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 further like to point out that Mr. Trick did
- 3 undergo a polygraph and a plethysmograph, and there
- 4 was never any diagnosis of pedaphilia made. So the
- 5 those analyses have already been run through. He
- 6 does not have pedaphilia.
- 7 So I mean, putting that aside, reading
- 8 into the record a bunch of stuff and admitting
- 9 exhibits on pedaphilia don't have any relevance to
- 10 this, as he's already been through the diagnosis
- 11 process.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: When was he tested?
- 13 MR. WALL: In 2004.
- 14 A. I -- sorry.
- 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No, go ahead. If
- 16 you're correcting your counsel, please go ahead.
- 17 MR. TRICK: Sure, I was actually tested
- 18 twice. Once during the treatment program, where I
- 19 underwent a plethysmograph. I also underwent one
- 20 when I went for the SOSSA evaluation.
- 21 And now that I think about it, upon
- 22 release, and from my treatment provider, who also
- 23 labeled me as not having pedaphilia. I mean, it
- 24 was updated and then updated again.
- 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So where are these

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 records? Do you have them?
- 3 MR. WALL: I don't have them.
- 4 MR. TRICK: Nor do I. I can -- I mean, if
- 5 I were to contact the records department for the
- 6 treatment program at Twin Rivers, I can get it. I
- 7 -- I used to have regular contact with my treatment
- 8 provider inside the Twin Rivers unit, the -- for
- 9 the sex offender treatment program and, you know,
- 10 she's -- if I asked her, I can -- I can probably
- 11 get copies of it, but -- which would also give you
- 12 a treatment summary demonstrating I completed the
- 13 program, and progress along the way. Things like
- 14 that.
- MR. WALL: And your Honor, I'd like to add
- 16 that I did submit a public records request to the
- 17 Department of Corrections, but I have not -- I have
- 18 received an acknowledgment that they received it,
- 19 but I haven't received any of the documents. I did
- 20 attempt to obtain Mr. Trick's file in that regard.
- 21 MR. TRICK: As did I. I called Monroe
- 22 Correctional Complex on this case. I asked for all
- 23 my -- well, it's not public. I have to request it,
- 24 or law enforcement can -- can pull it, or a doctor,
- 25 for the reason of -- like a proceeding, or

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 something like that.
- 3 So all I can do is request it. He can
- 4 request it, but they won't give it to him, unless
- 5 it's on my behalf. So there might have been --
- 6 needed a consent form, or something like that.
- 7 That's kind of the way she explained it to me.
- 8 She says it's kind of a process, because
- 9 it also -- it goes from the correctional complex,
- 10 also through King County Sheriff's Department, who
- 11 has things -- you guys can't see anyway. So it's
- 12 not public record.
- MR. WALL: And I'll just add, that's the
- 14 first that I learned of that. But yes, I submitted
- 15 a request for his criminal file and any records
- 16 pertaining to it from the DOC. I'm not sure -- I
- wasn't aware if there was something that I wouldn't
- 18 get back from that.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 20 From what I'm hearing from counsel and Mr. Trick,
- 21 it sounds like they have requested this
- 22 information, and that they would agree it's
- 23 relevant.
- I would just note that the Rules of
- 25 Evidence for an administrative hearing like this

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 state that all relevant evidence is admissible, and
- 3 I -- it is -- it's relevant, so I would like to
- 4 proceed.
- 5 MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may. While
- 6 relevant evidence is admissible, in this case,
- 7 introducing additional evidence on pedaphilia, a
- 8 diagnosis and a disease that Mr. Trick does not
- 9 have, is more unfairly prejudicial than it is
- 10 probative.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, we don't -- we
- don't have anything before us that says he doesn't
- 13 have it. Until we do, I think I'm going to allow
- 14 the examination, but I expect both of you to come
- 15 up with the paperwork to indicate that he has been
- 16 tested, and there has been a negative diagnosis, or
- 17 he has -- he has passed the tests, so to speak.
- 18 MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor.
- 19 MR. TRICK: He had mentioned that he had
- 20 -- or they brought up the -- and I wasn't sure if
- 21 it was the doctor or Mr. O'Connell, that had the --
- 22 I guess, both the pre-sentence investigation report
- 23 as well as the SSOSA evaluation. Do you have that?
- MR. O'CONNELL: I did not bring up that I
- 25 have it. I do not have a pre-sentence

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 investigation report. I believe that will come up
- 3 later in testimony.
- 4 MR. TRICK: Oh, okay.
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You don't have them?
- 6 But I take it that this was during the questioning
- 7 of Dr. O'Connell, the cross-examination.
- 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, I wanted to know
- 9 if --
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You were asking if he
- 11 had looked at these documents, and if he has them,
- 12 is that correct?
- MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, and I apologize for
- 14 interrupting.
- 15 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. So when
- 16 does counsel or Mr. Trick think that these
- 17 documents would be available? Because is a BAP
- 18 proceeding. Obviously, we are trying to do this on
- 19 a much shorter time frame.
- 20 I would -- knowing that this is going to
- 21 take a bit of time, I guess it's maybe beneficial
- 22 now to ask that the parties waive the ten-day rule
- 23 or statute, because it does not look like we'll be
- 24 getting an order out within ten days.
- 25 MR. TRICK: So when I talked to

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 Dr. O'Connell about that very thing, and he asked
- 3 me who did my SSOSA evaluation, which is the
- 4 alternative to prison, doing the six month in jail
- 5 and then the intensive outpatient treatment with
- 6 supervision.
- 7 He told me that the chance that Dr. Judd,
- 8 who was the doctor that did mine, having a 20 year
- 9 old file maybe not existed. So unless it's with
- 10 the King County's Sheriff's Office in the
- 11 pre-sentence investigation to my sentencing, then I
- 12 don't know -- I don't know if we can even get that
- 13 paperwork.
- 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: But you indicated that
- 15 you had been tested twice, and then a third time as
- 16 well as a follow-up. So would one of those be
- 17 available?
- 18 MR. TRICK: I -- I honestly don't know.
- 19 It -- it seems like it's been an arm and a leg to
- 20 get anything, as far as that kind of treatment. I
- 21 mean, three months ago, I questioned about getting
- 22 my treatment paperwork, and I -- I've had no
- 23 success getting it, even when talking to the
- 24 recorder's office over in Twin Rivers for the
- 25 treatment and the files and everything. I can't

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 get anything.
- 3 The only thing I could have gotten, if I
- 4 still had it, is I had a copy of it upon my release
- 5 from prison, and moving from place to place and
- 6 shuffling, it's gone.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, then how about
- 8 we set a date certain to either have this in place
- 9 at the Commission or a status on the availability
- 10 of it at all. How long do you think would be
- 11 necessary?
- 12 MR. WALL: I don't know, but I -- I would
- 13 add that Dr. O'Connell has informed me that his
- 14 evaluation would take six to eight weeks, and to
- 15 the extent that that includes the same tests and
- 16 analyses, if -- if we're unable to obtain the
- 17 information from the Department of Corrections,
- 18 that information could be offered in its stead.
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And Dr. O'Connell,
- 20 would it be the same evaluation and the same, I
- 21 guess, pedaphilia diagnostic tests that you would
- 22 be performing on Mr. Trick?
- 23 A. I -- I could do that. I wouldn't
- 24 ordinarily do that, because a polygraph and sexual
- 25 history would probably, you know, cover the same

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 territory. So if he's not -- if he's not engaging
- 3 in sexual behavior or using sexual fantasies
- 4 involving minors, then there's really no need to do
- 5 that other diagnostic stuff, since that pretty much
- 6 rules it out as a current condition.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Based on statements of
- 8 Mr. Trick himself, or additional information?
- 9 A. Well, and then corroborated by polygraph
- 10 testing.
- 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I see. So it sounds
- 12 like you wouldn't be performing, necessarily, the
- 13 same diagnostic tests as you would -- as one would
- 14 for the disorder of pedaphilia?
- 15 A. Unless there's reason to -- unless there's
- 16 evidence that suggests it's worth pursuing.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Obviously, you're his
- 18 doctor. That's up to you. I'm not trying to make
- 19 a medical determination or even request a medical
- 20 test from you. That's -- that's your purview, not
- 21 mine. And it's completely up to counsel and his
- 22 client whether or not they want to go through with
- 23 that.
- I would, however, like them to attempt to
- 25 get at least one of the prior tests that Mr. Trick

- 1 DR. MICHAEL O'CONNELL RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 has taken, and the results from the -- the test.
- 3 MR. TRICK: Can I talk to the doctor?
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
- 5 MR. TRICK: Dr. O'Connell, you know, I had
- 6 mentioned -- and once I remembered who did my SSOSA
- 7 evaluation, what do you think the odds are of being
- 8 able to get ahold of that?
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let's do
- 10 this. Because while Dr. O'Connell is still under
- oath, we don't typically have the -- the -- one of
- 12 the parties, who is not a counsel, questioning the
- 13 doctor. So let's go off the record and discuss
- 14 some of these specifics and see when we can get the
- 15 results, maybe. So we're off the record.
- 16 (Discussion off the record.)
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: We'll go back on the
- 18 record. And with that indication -- and I should
- 19 summarize, that you're now not interested in
- 20 pursuing the line of questioning about the
- 21 Diagnostic and Statistical Manual V definition of
- 22 pedaphilia. So with that, do you have any other --
- MR. O'CONNELL: I have no other questions.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No other questions.
- 25 Thank you. And I have no other clarification

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 questions, Dr. O'Connell, so I would thank you for
- 3 your testimony very much, and you are dismissed.
- 4 A. Okay. Thank you.
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 6 A. Bye.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Counsel, Mr. Wall,
- 8 does that conclude your case?
- 9 MR. WALL: It does. Yes, your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.
- 11 Mr. O'Connell, your witness.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Staff would call Ms. Susie
- 13 Paul.
- 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Great. If you want to
- 15 come over here.
- 16 Whereupon,
- 17 SUSIE PAUL,
- 18 was duly sworn and testified as follows:
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: You can be seated.
- 20 Mr. O'Connell.
- 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- Q. Thank you, your Honor. Good afternoon.
- 24 Would you please state your name and spell it, for
- 25 the record?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Yes. My name is Susie Paul, and the last
- 3 name is P-a-u-1.
- Q. And what is your occupation, Ms. Paul?
- 5 A. I'm an investigator with the Washington
- 6 Utilities and Transportation Commission.
- 7 Q. How long have you been in that position?
- 8 A. Just over two years.
- 9 Q. And what are your duties?
- 10 A. I investigate the business practices of
- 11 regulated utility or transportation companies, and
- 12 as part of those duties, I investigate the business
- 13 practices of household good carriers.
- 14 Q. What is your education and experience and
- 15 training?
- 16 A. I have a bachelors degree in criminal
- 17 justice, and I have ten years experience as an
- 18 investigator with the state of Washington in a
- 19 regulatory capacity.
- 20 My training is I attended the Washington
- 21 State Investigator training that's required of
- 22 state investigators, and also, the advanced
- 23 training, and I'm a member of the Pacific Northwest
- 24 License and Tax Fraud Association that provides
- 25 continuing education for Washington investigators.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. So do you receive regular trainings?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. How are you involved in the matter
- 5 regarding Five Stars Moving?
- 6 A. I was assigned as the investigator.
- 7 Q. Have you reviewed the application by Five
- 8 Stars Moving?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. So when someone makes an application to
- 11 operate as a household good mover, in general, what
- 12 does staff look at in its evaluation?
- 13 A. We look to see if they're willing and able
- 14 to conform to the proposed services, and that they
- 15 can follow the applicable laws and rules, whether
- 16 the company is in the interest of the public,
- 17 whether a background check has been conducted, and
- 18 whether the application is complete, and that the
- 19 answers are truthful.
- O. And it's staff's recommendation in this
- 21 case that the Commission deny the application of
- 22 Five Stars Moving, is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Can you please turn to what has been
- 25 marked for identification as SP-1?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Do I dare take this clip off? Okay.
- 3 Q. Would you please identify this document?
- 4 A. Yes. This is a memo from Sharon Wallace,
- 5 assistant director of the consumer protection and
- 6 communications, to Dave Pratt, assistant director
- 7 of transportation safety, and it's a research and
- 8 recommendation regarding Five Stars Moving &
- 9 Storage LLC's application for household goods
- 10 permit.
- 11 Q. Have you reviewed this document?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Does it provide a summary of staff's
- 14 recommendations?
- 15 A. Yes, it does.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers Exhibit SP-1.
- MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor.
- 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted.
- 19 Thank you.
- 20 (Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into
- 21 evidence.)
- 22 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- Q. I'd like to turn to the application of
- 24 Five Stars Moving. When was the application for
- 25 authorization to operate as a household good mover

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 received?
- 3 A. That was received February 9th, 2015.
- 4 Q. And who are the owners?
- 5 A. The owners are William Trick, as president
- 6 and CEO, and 50 percent owner of the company, and
- 7 also, Thomas Cook, who is the vice president and 50
- 8 percent owner of the company.
- 9 Q. Who else is in the business?
- 10 A. The business plan is associated with the
- 11 application lists Kevin Crocker, who is a director
- of operations, and then two employees as yet to be
- 13 hired, which is a lead driver, laborer, and then an
- 14 apprentice laborer.
- 15 Q. Can I have you turn to what's already been
- 16 accepted as Exhibit 1?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I assume that you mean
- 18 the -- it's not technically an exhibit. It's just
- 19 the document that we've taken official notice of,
- 20 the application?
- MR. O'CONNELL: Yes, your Honor, the
- 22 application.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- Q. Ms. Paul, does this contain any additional

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 information more than the -- just the application?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.
- 4 Q. What does it contain?
- 5 A. It contains a business plan that was
- 6 submitted with the application for Five Stars
- 7 Moving.
- 8 Q. Did Five Stars Moving submit that?
- 9 A. Yes, they did.
- 10 Q. So is there anything about the application
- 11 that raises a concern to staff?
- 12 A. Yes, there are concerns about the
- 13 application.
- 14 O. What?
- 15 A. The application did not disclose the
- 16 felony sex offense or the arrest for the reckless
- 17 driver -- driving, which was amended from a DUI, or
- 18 driving under the influence.
- 19 The statements of support raise some
- 20 concerns to Mr. Trick's claim that he had nine
- 21 years experience in the moving industry. And also,
- 22 he did not disclose a business related legal
- 23 proceeding.
- Q. Okay. You mentioned something about
- 25 statements of support. Let me ask you about that.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Does the application include statements of support?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.
- 4 Q. And how many does it include?
- 5 A. There were three. Statements of support
- 6 with this application, which is normal.
- 7 Q. Who was writing the statements of support?
- 8 A. Kevin Crocker, who is listed in the
- 9 business plan as a director of operations, provided
- 10 a statement of support. And he noted that
- 11 Mr. Trick is trustworthy, reliable and safe.
- 12 Q. And who else?
- 13 A. Kim Gripp, who is Mr. Trick's mother-in-
- 14 law, who also indicated that he is reliable and
- 15 trustworthy. And then Rachel Hibbs submitted a
- 16 statement of support and wrote that Billy Trick has
- 17 been a reputable and upstanding citizen.
- 18 Q. These statements of support can be from
- 19 anyone in the community, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. What is the concern about having these
- 22 individuals giving statements of support?
- 23 A. Well, the concern is that Kevin Crocker is
- 24 listed as part of the company, and yet, he's
- 25 providing a statement of support. And also, Kim

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Grick -- Kim Gripp, his mother-in-law, would have a
- 3 prejudice towards Mr. Trick. Probably unfair. And
- 4 then Rachel Hibbs describes Mr. Trick as
- 5 upstanding, and it leads to the fact that she may
- 6 not know Mr. Trick's background.
- 7 Q. So you also mentioned something about
- 8 Mr. Trick's experience in the industry. Did
- 9 Mr. Trick include any information in the
- 10 application about his amount of experience in the
- 11 household good moving industry?
- 12 A. He indicated that he had about nine years
- 13 experience in the moving industry.
- 0. And has staff been aware that Mr. Trick
- 15 has been working in the moving industry for nine
- 16 years?
- 17 A. No. Commission staff became aware of
- 18 Mr. Trick in 2013, and he was associated with
- 19 Better Than The Rest and with B&Z Moving, both of
- 20 which were unpermitted companies at the time of his
- 21 involvement.
- 22 Q. So does staff know -- aside from those two
- 23 companies, does staff know, before today, and
- 24 before Mr. Trick's testimony, who he had been
- 25 working for?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. No, we were unaware.
- 3 Q. Is that concerning to staff?
- 4 A. It is concerning to staff.
- 5 Q. Why?
- 6 A. Well, Mr. Trick does have a felony
- 7 conviction and a background, and permitted
- 8 companies are to provide a background check on any
- 9 of their employees.
- 10 Q. You also mention something about a
- 11 business related legal proceeding?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So I want to ask you about that. Is there
- 14 a place on the application for disclosing any
- 15 business related legal proceeding?
- 16 A. Yes. There's a question specific to that
- 17 that asks, have you ever had a business related
- 18 legal proceeding.
- 19 Q. And were any disclosed on the application?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Did you investigate into this?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. So did staff conduct a background
- 24 check on Mr. Trick?
- 25 A. Yes. Staff actually conducted two

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 background checks on Mr. Trick.
- 3 Q. Okay. When was the first background
- 4 check?
- 5 A. The first background was done in February
- 6 2015.
- 7 Q. And when was the second background check?
- 8 A. June 10th, 2015.
- 9 Q. Did you request that second background
- 10 check yourself?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. Why did you request a second background
- 13 check?
- 14 A. Well, the Commission received a new
- 15 background information system, and it -- we just
- 16 got it available to us on June 1st.
- 17 Q. So you got the new system on June 1st, but
- 18 you didn't request it until June 10th. Why not?
- 19 Why did you wait so long?
- 20 A. I was out of the office until June 8th.
- 21 Q. Did this background check give you more
- 22 information than the first one?
- 23 A. Yes, it did.
- Q. Okay. I'd like to turn -- I'd like you to
- 25 turn to what's been marked for identification as

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Exhibit SP-3.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Will you please identify this document?
- 5 A. Yes, this is the Washington State Patrol
- 6 identification and criminal history section. It's
- 7 the criminal history for William A. Trick, and it
- 8 also is the LexisNexis aggregate for government
- 9 comprehensive report.
- 10 Q. So this contains the information from both
- 11 your first and second background check?
- 12 A. Yes, it does.
- 13 Q. And have you reviewed this as part of your
- 14 investigation?
- 15 A. Yes, I've reviewed them both.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Staff offers SP-3.
- 17 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I have no objection
- 18 to the first four pages of this document, but the
- 19 LexisNexis report that goes on for 29 pages seems
- 20 to contain a lot of information that is not
- 21 relevant to this proceeding.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't have anything
- past page 4.
- MR. O'CONNELL: May I approach, your
- 25 Honor?

```
1 SUSIE PAUL - DIRECT EXAMINATION
```

- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. Thank you. So
- 3 Mr. Wall, what is the objection to page --
- 4 MR. WALL: I think the first four --
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: -- 5 through 33?
- 6 MR. WALL: Right. I think the first four
- 7 pages are relevant, but if take a look at the --
- 8 this was an exhibit that was added later, and so
- 9 when I reviewed it -- if you look at on the bottom,
- 10 it says, 1 through 29, or 5 through 33. It seems
- 11 to have a lot of information that doesn't have any
- 12 particular relevance.
- 13 It's not as if there was some additional
- 14 criminal conviction or citation contained in there.
- 15 It just seems like a lot of additional paperwork.
- 16 I don't see what the relevance is.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?
- 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe
- 19 Ms. Paul has testified that this second background
- 20 check contained more information, and if I'd be
- 21 allowed a couple more questions, I believe the
- 22 specific relevance of what Ms. Paul will testify
- 23 to, and specifically, the additional information
- 24 will become clear.
- 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I'll allow it

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 for now. Thank you.
- 3 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 4 Q. Ms. Paul, in review of the information
- 5 contained in the second background check, did you
- 6 discover any business related legal proceedings?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. What did you discover?
- 9 A. I discovered a federal tax lien that was
- 10 cited on April 15th, 2011, and it's against small
- 11 business owner William A. Trick in the amount of
- 12 \$31,457.04.
- 13 Q. Would you please direct us to where in the
- 14 exhibit this lien is referenced?
- 15 A. This is in the comprehensive report on
- 16 page 7.
- 17 O. So Ms. Paul --
- 18 A. It's the second one down on the list.
- 19 Q. Okay. Ms. Paul, what is the concern
- 20 related to this business related legal proceeding?
- 21 A. Well, it is a concern, because it's
- 22 business related. I confirmed that through the
- 23 King County Auditor's Office, and Mr. Trick did not
- 24 disclose this on his application.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I'd like to

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 offer exhibit SP-3 again for your consideration.
- 3 MR. WALL: I would renew my objection on
- 4 the grounds of relevance. Also, this document was
- 5 not initially considered by the staff or in the
- 6 memo or in the UTC in its intent to deny. There is
- 7 no reference to this, and this is the first that
- 8 I've -- I've heard of this from opposing counsel.
- 9 MR. O'CONNELL: May I respond, your Honor?
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
- 11 MR. O'CONNELL: I do believe it's relevant
- 12 to whether a business related legal proceeding was
- 13 disclosed, and Ms. Paul testified that this system
- 14 was not available until June 1st. She was out of
- 15 the office until the 8th. I requested this
- 16 information on the 10th, noticed that through her
- 17 investigation of the document, that there was a
- 18 business related legal proceeding.
- 19 There's no way that staff could have
- 20 included this on our exhibit list on -- which was
- 21 due on June 4th, because we didn't have it. And I
- 22 would disagree with Mr. Wall, that staff is limited
- 23 to what is contained in staff's memorandum.
- MR. WALL: Counsel is assuming that this
- 25 is a business related legal proceeding, and basing

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 that on a hearsay phone call with the county
- 3 auditor's office, but I don't see anything in the
- 4 application materials that explain what it means to
- 5 have a business related legal proceeding.
- 6 To the extent that this is new information
- 7 that I was not previously provided with, I would
- 8 request an opportunity to reopen Mr. Trick's
- 9 testimony to ask him about this, as I had no
- 10 opportunity -- he wasn't cross examined about it
- 11 either, and I had no opportunity on direct to ask
- 12 him about this or what he understood by the term
- 13 business related legal proceeding, or what this
- 14 proceeding even was.
- So I think that the way in which this has
- 16 been presented leaves me without any opportunity to
- 17 rebut it.
- 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe
- 19 you'll find that in the record, on June 12th, staff
- 20 filed an amended exhibit list containing this
- 21 information. Mr. Wall was also disclosed this
- 22 information. Although staff had no obligation to
- 23 disclose it to him before the brief adjudicated
- 24 proceeding began, staff wanted to be forthcoming,
- 25 and in the interest of full disclosure, provided

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 him with this document. So he did have an
- 3 opportunity to question Mr. Trick.
- 4 MR. WALL: Your Honor, if I may, if it's
- 5 in the interest of full disclosure, if staff has
- 6 additional bases by which they are asserting that
- 7 the application should be denied, those were
- 8 certainly not disclosed to me, other than, you
- 9 know, buried on page 7 of a 29 page exhibit.
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Well, I'm going
- 11 to allow it. I see that -- that there's a lot of
- 12 information here about various proceedings, and I
- 13 will allow you to reopen and further cross -- or
- 14 further direct examination of Mr. Trick.
- MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Ms. Paul -- your Honor,
- 17 may I continue?
- 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
- 19 BY MR. WALL:
- 20 Q. Ms. Paul, about this business related
- 21 legal proceeding, or the lien that you described --
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. -- what makes you think it's business
- 24 related?
- 25 A. It is -- when I contacted the King County

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 auditor, when they told me that it was business
- 3 related. It was a federal tax lien from the IRS
- 4 for self-employed small business, William A. Trick.
- 5 Q. Did it specifically say small business?
- 6 A. Yes, it did.
- 7 Q. I'd like to turn to Mr. Trick's criminal
- 8 history at this time. Has -- you've already
- 9 testified that staff completed a background check
- 10 on Mr. Trick. Did staff conduct a criminal
- 11 background check on everyone in the application?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Does Mr. Trick have any recent charges or
- 14 convictions?
- 15 A. Yes. He had a conviction on August 13th,
- 16 2011 for driving while under the influence, and
- 17 that was amended to reckless driving.
- 18 Q. Did you investigate into this offense?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What did you discover?
- 21 A. I discovered that he received 364 days
- 22 jail time with 360 days suspended. He also
- 23 received a \$5,000 fine, with 650 suspended, and
- 24 that he received 24 months supervision.
- Q. So correct me if I'm wrong, but that means

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 that he was sentenced to four days in jail, \$350,
- 3 and received 24 months of supervision?
- A. That's what the record states, correct.
- 5 Q. Did Mr. Trick disclose this offense on his
- 6 application?
- 7 A. No, he did not.
- 8 Q. Did he disclose any convictions on his
- 9 application?
- 10 A. No, he did not.
- 11 O. Is the lack of disclosure of concern to
- 12 staff in making this recommendation for denial?
- 13 A. It is a concern. It's a red flag that
- 14 Mr. Trick is not being forthcoming to the
- 15 Commission. He is not disclosing his criminal
- 16 history, and he has not -- he has not disclosed
- 17 anything on his current application, and he also
- 18 hid his identity in the B&Z Moving application.
- 19 Q. Let me come back to the B&Z Moving
- 20 application in a little bit. Could someone call
- 21 staff and ask questions about how to fill out the
- 22 application?
- 23 A. Yes, they can.
- Q. Did Mr. Trick call staff to ask about this
- 25 application?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Yes, he did.
- 3 Q. Did he speak with you about the
- 4 application?
- 5 A. No, he did not.
- 6 Q. How do you know that he called, then?
- 7 A. The investigative team has documentation
- 8 where we can document phone calls, and there is
- 9 documentation that Mr. Trick called one of the
- 10 investigators of our team.
- 11 Q. Have you seen the notes?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- 13 Q. What guidance did Mr. Trick receive?
- 14 A. The call came in in December of 2014 from
- 15 Mr. Trick, and he asked about a -- whether he
- 16 needed to disclose a reckless driving offense on
- 17 the application, and he was told that -- to provide
- 18 as much information as possible, and that he should
- 19 disclose as much as he could. The more, the
- 20 better.
- 21 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, the testimony
- 22 I'm about to offer is in direct response to
- 23 Mr. Trick's testimony about his calling staff. I
- 24 would like to present Ms. Paul with the notes that
- 25 she's just now referenced that she viewed, and I

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 would like permission to do so.
- 3 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I would object to
- 4 this exhibit on the basis that it wasn't previously
- 5 provided. It was previously known to staff that
- 6 this existed, and is only now being brought forth.
- 7 It's not solely for rebuttal purposes such
- 8 that it would not be subject to disclosure, at
- 9 least being listed on an exhibit list.
- 10 MR. O'CONNELL: I disagree. It's purely
- 11 for rebuttal of Mr. Trick's testimony.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Trick did go into
- 13 the phone call that he made to staff. In fact,
- 14 that was part of his testimony as to why he filled
- out the application the way that he did. And he
- 16 indicated an -- an individual by name that he had
- 17 spoken to.
- 18 MR. WALL: And I think the orthodox method
- 19 of impeachment would, then, be to ask him about
- 20 this exhibit, but not to substantively admit it.
- 21 When an exhibit -- even if an exhibit is solely for
- 22 impeachment purposes, it's not actually admitted
- 23 into the record.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?
- 25 MR. O'CONNELL: I don't fully understand

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Mr. -- Mr. Wall's argument.
- 3 MR. WALL: Would you like me to restate
- 4 it?
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please do.
- 6 MR. WALL: Yes. The argument is that when
- 7 an exhibit is purely for impeachment purposes, as
- 8 you said it may be read from and discussed, but is
- 9 not admitted into the record as substantive
- 10 evidence.
- 11 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, then I would
- 12 just ask that I allow Ms. Paul to read from it, as
- 13 Mr. Wall has indicated.
- 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall?
- MR. WALL: I would -- just the same
- 16 objection as before, that I was never previously
- 17 provided with this document, and to the extent that
- 18 it goes beyond impeachment, I think it's
- 19 objectionable.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And in the BAP, it's
- 21 unfortunately or fortunately typical where we don't
- 22 have pre-filed testimony or exhibits, and that's --
- 23 that's the way things are conducted on a short time
- 24 frame. So I'm going to allow it to be read into
- 25 the record. I don't even have a copy of it.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. O'CONNELL: I have a copy for you,
- 3 your Honor. I wanted to apprise Mr. Wall --
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Absolutely.
- 5 MR. O'CONNELL: -- and allow you to hear
- 6 the argument on it.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Absolutely, but it
- 8 will not be entered as -- admitted as an exhibit.
- 9 I should say, for clarification purposes, that I
- 10 did rule on SP-3, and that will be admitted in its
- 11 entirety.
- 12 (Staff Exhibit SP-3 admitted into
- 13 evidence.)
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, may I
- 15 approach?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
- 17 A. Read the whole thing? Okay.
- 18 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 19 Q. Ms. Paul, would you please read the note
- 20 that you referred to?
- 21 A. Yes. You want me to read the entire
- 22 e-mail?
- 23 Q. I think it would be appropriate for you to
- 24 give the context, so start at the beginning.
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. WALL: Your Honor, not to interrupt,
- 3 but before we begin with this, you know, if this is
- 4 being read into the record, I'd ask that only the
- 5 relevant portions be read in, and those that -- the
- 6 portions that are purely for impeachment as
- 7 Mr. O'Connell stated.
- 8 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So what are you
- 9 suggesting be read into the record, then?
- MR. WALL: Whatever it is Mr. O'Connell is
- 11 asserting impeaches prior testimony, and not
- 12 additional information.
- 13 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe it
- 14 all impeaches Mr. Trick's prior testimony. There's
- 15 only two paragraphs.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't we go ahead
- 17 and read it into the record, and you can voice your
- 18 objection, considering that this is the first time
- 19 that you've had a chance to look at it.
- 20 A. Go ahead?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, go ahead.
- 22 A. This is dated December 29th, 2014. Billy
- 23 called and left me a message asking for a call back
- 24 to (206) 380-6463, as he had questions about
- 25 household good permit application.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- I looked up Billy's number and saw that he
- 3 was related to B&Z Moving, but he did not receive a
- 4 permit, only his partner did. I called and left a
- 5 message with my first name and phone number, and
- 6 asked for a call back. Billy called me back, and
- 7 he had questions about his permit application. I
- 8 transferred him to Tina, but she is out until
- 9 January 6th.
- 10 I then went to talk to Suzanne, and Dave
- 11 Pratt was also there, as Billy had applied for a
- 12 permit before, but staff had recommended his
- 13 application be denied. I just let them know that
- 14 he had application questions. Then he said I could
- answer his questions and to just respond that he
- 16 needs to answer fully and thoroughly as possible.
- 17 I asked them about the CDL requirement,
- 18 only to have -- only that they have to do drug and
- 19 alcohol testing in CDL trucks. I then called Billy
- 20 back and was -- and told him that I will try to
- 21 answer his questions, as Tina is gone until next
- 22 week.
- 23 He wanted to know if he needed to include
- 24 a reckless driving ticket from three years ago, and
- 25 I said that he should be as complete as possible,

0185

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 and it is usually better to give more information.
- 3 He then asked about the CDL requirement, and I said
- 4 it was only if CDL trucks, and that I had checked
- 5 on that.
- 6 He then also had a question about the
- 7 applicant name at the end of the application, and
- 8 he had been told to put his business name and then
- 9 sign his name. I said that should be fine, but if
- 10 he is concerned, he can always put his name doing
- 11 business as business name.
- 12 He then wanted to know if he was an LLC or
- 13 a partnership. I said it depended on how he was
- 14 registered with Washington state. He said he was
- 15 an LLC. So I said, that is how he's registered, as
- 16 an LLC. Then he is probably a limited liability
- 17 corporation, but he should check how he is
- 18 registered.
- 19 He then asked about the legal name and
- 20 trade name on the first page of the application. I
- 21 said the legal name is how they are registered. I
- 22 then said the trade name would be anything else
- 23 they go by.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Actually, let me just
- 25 stop. Is any of this relevant?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. O'CONNELL: No, your Honor. And I
- 3 apologize, that second paragraph does get into
- 4 irrelevant information.
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So is there anything
- 6 in the remaining half of the second paragraph that
- 7 is relevant to your attempt to impeach Mr. Trick?
- 8 MR. O'CONNELL: No, I believe that
- 9 information has already been covered.
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. And
- 11 Mr. Wall, are you fine with that?
- MR. WALL: Yes.
- 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right.
- 14 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- Q. Ms. Paul, I want to go back a little bit
- 16 in your testimony. You mentioned Mr. Trick's 1999
- 17 conviction. What was that offense?
- 18 A. 1999 offense was a felony conviction of
- 19 two counts of child molestation in the first
- 20 degree.
- Q. Did you investigate into that offense?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. I'd like you to please turn to
- 24 what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-6 for
- 25 identification.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Are you there?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Will you please identify this document?
- 6 A. This is the Kitsap County Superior Court
- 7 information and certificate of probable cause for
- 8 William A. Trick.
- 9 Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's
- 10 1999 conviction?
- 11 A. Yes, it is.
- 12 Q. Have you reviewed this as part of your
- 13 investigation?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Does this document contain details about
- 16 the nature and extent of the crime?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- 18 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention
- 19 to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-7 now.
- 20 A. Okay.
- Q. Will you please identify this document?
- 22 A. Yes. This is Kitsap County Superior Court
- 23 statement of defendant on plea of guilty for
- 24 William A. Trick.
- Q. Is this connected to Mr. Trick's 1999

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 conviction?
- 3 A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your
- 5 investigation?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention
- 8 to what's been labeled as Exhibit SP-8.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Can you please identify that document?
- 11 A. Yes. This is also Kitsap County Superior
- 12 Court, and it's the plea agreement for William A.
- 13 Trick.
- O. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's
- 15 1999 conviction?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your
- 18 investigation?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Okay. I'd like to direct your attention
- 21 to what's been labeled for identification as
- 22 Exhibit SP-9.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Will you please identify that?
- 25 A. Yes. This is Kitsap County Superior

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Court, and it's the judgment and sentence for
- 3 William A. Trick.
- 4 Q. Is that in connection with Mr. Trick's
- 5 1999 conviction?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. Have you reviewed it as part of your
- 8 investigation?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers
- 11 Exhibits SP-6, 7, 8 and 9.
- MR. WALL: No objection to these exhibits.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So admitted.
- 14 (Staff Exhibits 6 through 9 admitted into
- 15 evidence.)
- 16 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- Q. Ms. Paul, I'd also like you to turn to
- 18 what's been labeled for identification as Exhibit
- 19 SP-4. It's already been dealt with in prior
- 20 testimony.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. Will you please identify that document?
- 23 A. Yes. This is the King County Sheriff's
- 24 Office Offender -- Sex Offender web site, and it
- 25 shows William A. Trick.

- SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. Have you viewed that web site as part of
- 3 your investigation?
- 4 A. Yes, I have.
- 5 Q. Does that printout fairly and accurately
- 6 depict the web page that you viewed?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. When is the last time you visited that web
- 9 page?
- 10 A. I reviewed it this morning.
- 11 Q. Does this document contain information
- 12 about the nature and extent of the crime?
- 13 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. But it doesn't contain all the details,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 O. Do the other exhibits contain more
- 18 information?
- 19 A. Yes, there is more information.
- 20 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff offers
- 21 SP-4.
- MR. WALL: No objections.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. So
- 24 admitted.
- 25 (Staff Exhibit 4 admitted into evidence.)

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 3 Q. Ms. Paul, I want to ask you about the
- 4 nature and extent of the crime. Who were the
- 5 victims?
- A. The victims were two seven-year-old twin
- 7 sisters, and they were -- Mr. Trick was acquainted
- 8 with the girls through their mother, who -- he had
- 9 a working relationship with their mother.
- 10 Q. Where did Mr. Trick work?
- 11 A. Mr. Trick was in the Navy at the time of
- 12 his offense.
- 13 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I'm going to object
- 14 to this line of testimony, only in that it's
- 15 cumulative, and we've been over this several times
- 16 previously.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?
- 18 MR. O'CONNELL: I don't understand the bar
- 19 on not allowing Ms. Paul to testify about her
- 20 investigation into the details of the crime. I
- 21 don't think it's cumulative.
- MR. WALL: The two -- I mean, the two
- 23 statements just made -- or the two questions just
- 24 asked were two details that we've already heard
- 25 previously.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I'm going to allow
- 3 it. I think we haven't actually heard about the
- 4 full details of what happened. I don't think we'll
- 5 be going into a lot of detail, but I don't think
- 6 that it's been entirely discussed about what
- 7 Ms. Paul -- what went into Ms. Paul's investigation
- 8 and her understanding of the crimes that were
- 9 committed.
- 10 MR. O'CONNELL: May I continue, your
- 11 Honor?
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, please.
- 13 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 14 Q. You mentioned that Mr. Trick was in the
- 15 Navy at the time of the offense?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What happened to his naval service after
- 18 his conviction?
- 19 A. Mr. Trick received an other than honorable
- 20 discharge because of the felony sex offense, and
- 21 he's also listed as RE-4, which means he is not
- 22 eligible to reapply into the Navy, and also, he has
- 23 a lifetime ban from entering the base. So --
- Q. How did you learn this information?
- 25 A. I contacted the Department of Defense and

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 talked about Mr. Trick and felony sex offenders,
- 3 and they said that there is a lifetime ban on
- 4 Mr. Trick from entering the base, either to or
- 5 from. So if allowed a permit to perform household
- 6 goods, he would not be able to go onto the base.
- 7 Q. Did you ask specifically about Mr. Trick?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 MR. WALL: Objection, calls for hearsay.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: How is that hearsay?
- 11 MR. WALL: I think the question is about
- 12 the -- well, to the extent that the question calls
- 13 for hearsay, in that it's asking about what
- 14 Ms. Paul -- information Ms. Paul elicited from
- 15 another party that's not -- another entity that's
- 16 not been called or isn't presenting.
- 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. O'Connell?
- 18 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have several
- 19 responses. First of all, this is an administrative
- 20 proceeding, and hearsay is permissible.
- 21 Second, this is offered not only for the
- 22 truth in the matter asserted, but also, as part of
- 23 Ms. Paul's investigation into what happened. And I
- 24 believe it would be admissible even if hearsay was
- 25 objectionable in an administrative hearing.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow it.
- 3 Ms. Paul?
- 4 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 5 Q. Ms. Paul, did you specifically inquire
- 6 about Mr. Trick?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. And he's not allowed to go back onto the
- 9 naval base?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. Is this ban still in effect, even though
- 12 his conviction was 15 years ago?
- 13 A. Yes. It's their policy to ban a felony
- 14 sex offender for life from the base.
- Q. Did Mr. Trick have a history of being
- 16 around children?
- 17 A. On the King County web site, yes. It
- 18 indicates that he had a history of volunteering at
- 19 daycares and children overseas services, and also,
- 20 that he served as a soccer and wrestling coach.
- Q. Did he plead guilty in his 1999
- 22 conviction?
- 23 A. Yes, he did.
- Q. Okay. I'd like you to turn to what's been
- 25 accepted as Exhibit SP-7. This is Mr. Trick's plea

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 of quilty.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Would you please turn to page 5?
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Would you please read line 7 at the top of
- 7 the page?
- 8 A. I plead guilty to the crime of child
- 9 molestation in the first degree, two counts, as
- 10 charged in the information.
- 11 Q. Would you please move down to the middle
- of the page where a checked box has an X on it?
- 13 Would you please read the line next to the checked
- 14 box?
- 15 A. Yes. The defendant had previously read
- 16 the entire statement above, and the defendant
- 17 understood it in full.
- Q. I would like you to turn to Exhibit SP-6,
- 19 the information and certificate of probable cause
- 20 for Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. Does this document contain details of the
- 23 nature and extent of the crime?
- A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Would you please turn to the last page?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Okay. I'm there.
- 3 Q. I want to draw your attention to the
- 4 paragraphs in the middle of the page.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, before I
- 7 do this, I want to address you to explain that I'm
- 8 going to ask Ms. Paul to read into the record
- 9 details about the nature and extent of the crime,
- 10 because I believe it's relevant in a determination
- 11 as to whether the nature and the extent it will
- 12 interfere with Mr. Trick's operating a household
- 13 good moving industry -- company.
- 14 MR. WALL: Your Honor, to the extent that
- 15 the exhibit has already been admitted, Ms. Paul
- 16 reading it into the record is cumulative and
- 17 unnecessarily revisits this traumatic event, which
- 18 Mr. Trick has bravely been forthcoming about in
- 19 this proceeding. I don't think that the details
- 20 need to be any further explained than they already
- 21 previously have been.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to agree
- 23 with that. We've already admitted it into the
- 24 record. I can certainly read it when I'm
- 25 formulating my decision.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 To the extent that Ms. Paul needs to
- 3 discuss certain aspects of it in relation to it
- 4 interfering with -- with operating a household good
- 5 carrier's business, that's fine, but we don't need
- 6 to go into this kind of detail.
- 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. So to be clear,
- 8 Ms. Paul will be able to address the details if it
- 9 comes up in her evaluation?
- 10 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Exactly. But we don't
- 11 need to read the entire thing into the record.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Okay.
- Q. Ms. Paul, was Mr. Trick sentenced to
- 14 prison time?
- 15 A. Yes, he was.
- 16 Q. How long?
- 17 A. He received six to seven months for this
- 18 offense.
- 19 Q. And when was he released?
- A. He was released May 21st, 2004.
- O. What is his sex offender level?
- 22 A. He is registered as a level 2 sex
- 23 offender.
- Q. Did you investigate what this sex offender
- 25 level means?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. What did you do?
- 4 A. I reviewed the King County Sheriff's web
- 5 site for definition of sex offender levels.
- 6 Q. I'd like you to turn to what's been marked
- 7 as Exhibit SP-5 for identification.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Will you please identify this document?
- 10 A. Yes. This is frequently asked questions.
- 11 What are the different sex offender levels, and
- 12 what do they mean.
- 13 Q. Have you reviewed this web site as part of
- 14 your investigation?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- 16 Q. Does this printout fairly and accurately
- 17 depict the web page that you viewed?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. When was the last time you viewed this web
- 20 page?
- 21 A. I reviewed this web page this morning.
- 22 Q. So what does a risk level of 2 mean?
- 23 A. Well, level 2 sex offender risk level
- 24 means that there is a moderate risk to re-offend
- 25 and that there is more than one victim. It means

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 that the offender may use threats to commit the
- 3 crime. They groom their victims. It's predatory
- 4 in nature. They use a position of trust to commit
- 5 the crime. And typically, the offender does not
- 6 appreciate the damage done to the victims.
- 7 Q. Did Mr. Trick use a position of trust to
- 8 commit his crime?
- 9 A. Yes, he did.
- 10 Q. How so?
- 11 A. He was acquainted with the girls' mother,
- 12 and it was a sense of trust between that
- 13 relationship.
- Q. Are you familiar with how sex offender
- 15 levels in this state are determined?
- 16 A. Yes, I am.
- 17 Q. Are you very experienced in this?
- 18 A. No, I'm not.
- 19 Q. So you're not an expert on how these sex
- offender levels are set, correct?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. But did you research at all how these
- 23 levels are set?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. What did you do?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. I reviewed statute 72.09.345, which gives
- 3 the End of Sentence Review Committee the authority
- 4 to assign sex levels to sex offenders -- or risk
- 5 levels to sex offenders before the offender is
- 6 released from prison.
- 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff at this
- 8 time would ask that the Commission take official
- 9 notice of statute RCW 72.09.345 pursuant to
- 10 Washington Administrative Code section 480.07.495,
- 11 subsection 2.
- MR. WALL: No objections.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. So noted.
- 14 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 15 Q. Ms. Paul, when does that review committee
- 16 assign the risk level?
- 17 A. They assign the level before the offender
- 18 is released from prison.
- 19 Q. And what records and information do they
- 20 have access to when they're setting that level?
- 21 A. According to the statute, they have access
- 22 to all relevant records, and that includes the
- 23 pre-sentence investigation report.
- Q. Okay. As a side note, were you able to
- 25 obtain the pre-sentence investigation report as

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 part of your evaluation and investigation?
- 3 A. No, I was not.
- 4 Q. Why not?
- 5 A. It was sealed.
- 6 Q. But is that a record that the review
- 7 committee would have access to before assigning a
- 8 risk level?
- 9 A. Yes, they would review that before they
- 10 assign the level.
- 11 Q. How do you know that they would?
- 12 A. It says -- it says in the statute that
- 13 they will review all relevant records.
- 14 Q. And is the pre-sentence investigation
- 15 report specifically named in the statute?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. Do you know anything about what diagnostic
- 18 tools the review committee uses?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What do they they use?
- 21 A. Since April of 2009, they use the
- 22 Minnesota Sex Offender tool and the STATIC 99 to
- 23 assign risk levels to sex offenders prior to
- 24 offenders being released from prison.
- 25 Previously, the committee had used an

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 assessment guide called the Washington State Sex
- 3 Offender Risk Level Classification, revised 1999,
- 4 instrument. A big, long name.
- 5 Q. How did you learn that they used these
- 6 tools?
- 7 A. I contacted a Department of Corrections
- 8 End of Sentence Review Committee member.
- 9 Q. Do you know when -- do you know anything
- 10 else about these tools?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Do you know when the Minnesota tool was
- 13 last updated?
- 14 A. Yes, that was updated in 2012.
- 15 Q. Do you know anything else about these?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. And Mr. Trick's a level 2 sex offender,
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 Q. And the review committee assigned that to
- 21 him?
- 22 A. The review committee assigned that to him
- 23 before he was released from prison.
- Q. Did you have any role in assigning him
- 25 that risk level?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Does staff have any say in what his risk
- 4 level is?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 O. So after Mr. Trick was released from
- 7 prison, was he on supervised release?
- 8 A. Yes, he was on supervision for
- 9 approximately three years. He had been released
- 10 from prison May 21st, 2004, and he was released
- 11 from supervision on April 3rd, 2007.
- 12 Q. Were there conditions on his release?
- 13 A. Yes, there were conditions.
- Q. What were they?
- 15 A. No contact with minors. He is required to
- 16 complete the sexual offender treatment program.
- 17 Also, no alcohol or controlled substance abuse,
- 18 counseling, and to obey all laws.
- 19 Q. Did Mr. Trick get married after his
- 20 supervised release ended?
- 21 A. He did. He was released from supervision
- 22 in April 2007, and then married a woman with, I
- 23 believe, two young children months after his
- 24 release from supervision.
- Q. Does this timing raise a concern to staff?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Why?
- A. It appears that Mr. Trick put himself in a
- 5 position of risk. His supervision for three years
- 6 had been not to be around minors. And yet, he had
- 7 a relationship with a woman, in fact, did marry
- 8 her, and she had small children.
- 9 Q. We learned today from Mr. Trick that he
- 10 had met this woman in 2005. Does that contribute
- 11 at all to your evaluation?
- 12 A. It really is a concern to Commission
- 13 staff, because he -- it appears that he had a
- 14 relationship and was around minor children when he
- 15 was on supervision and should not have been around
- 16 children or minors.
- 17 Q. Do you know for sure that he was around
- 18 those children during the supervised release?
- 19 A. No, I don't know for sure. However, he
- 20 did marry her within months of his supervision.
- 21 Q. Okay. I want to change gears a little bit
- 22 and ask you about household good movers.
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Please tell us, Ms. Paul, what does it
- 25 mean to properly operate as a household good mover?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. It means that the company is willing and
- 3 table to perform the services proposed and to
- 4 conform to applicable laws and rules. The company
- 5 must be in the interest of the public. They must
- 6 be able to pass a background check, and the
- 7 application must be complete and no false or
- 8 misleading statements provided.
- 9 Q. Okay. But what is expected of a household
- 10 goods mover?
- 11 A. To be a household good mover, you have to
- 12 be trustworthy. You are going into people's homes
- 13 and handling customers' personal property, often
- 14 with very little oversight from the owner.
- 15 It also means the company must pass
- 16 background checks, and they also must request
- 17 background checks on any employees. And they are
- 18 trusted to hire employees with a satisfactory
- 19 background. They're trusted to correctly document
- 20 hours on the job, employees' breaks, travel rates,
- 21 minimum hour rates.
- There's just so many documents that are
- 23 required to successfully perform a household goods
- 24 move. And household good movers are trusted to
- 25 interact not only with the customer, but with any

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 friends and family that may be present, and that
- 3 may include children.
- 4 Q. So what is the significance of the
- 5 Commission granting a permit for someone to be a
- 6 household good mover?
- 7 A. It means that the company has met the
- 8 safety standards and service standards, and also,
- 9 that they have adequate insurance. It means that
- 10 they have an acceptable background.
- 11 And for the customer, really, it's a stamp
- 12 of approval that the Commission has thoroughly
- 13 looked at this company and they say, yes, it's good
- 14 to hire this company. And the customer feels
- 15 secure in hiring a permitted household good
- 16 company.
- 17 In fact, UTC not only issues the permits
- 18 for household good carriers, but they also provide
- 19 training. Advertising by the UTC always says, hire
- 20 a permitted company only for your own personal
- 21 safety and the safety of your belongings.
- 22 Q. So when someone in the community hires a
- 23 household good mover, how would they know if a
- 24 mover was a level 2 sex offender?
- 25 A. Almost in all cases, I would say they

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 would not know that.
- 3 Q. But they're capable of going on line and
- 4 searching, correct?
- 5 A. If they had a desire to do so and if they
- 6 knew the person's name fully.
- 7 Q. Okay. I want to talk about whether -- I
- 8 want to ask you about whether Mr. Trick's
- 9 conviction would interfere with the proper
- 10 operation of a household good moving company. What
- 11 is Mr. Trick's role with Five Stars Moving?
- 12 A. Mr. Trick's role is as the president and
- 13 CEO of the company.
- 0. And who is listed in the materials that
- 15 Five Stars submitted as the primary company
- 16 contact?
- 17 A. Mr. Trick.
- 18 O. Do the materials Five Stars submitted
- 19 indicate whether Mr. Trick would be in homes moving
- 20 things?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. What does it say?
- 23 A. It says that he will fill in for all and
- 24 any roles, as needed, for the company.
- 25 Q. You testified earlier that Five Stars has

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 a president, a vice president, a director of
- 3 operations and a lead laborer -- or will hire a
- 4 lead laborer and an apprentice laborer.
- 5 From the materials that Five Stars
- 6 submitted, did you make any conclusion about which
- 7 roles Mr. Trick would be filling in for?
- 8 A. Yes, he indicated that he will fill in for
- 9 all of those roles.
- 10 O. Do the materials Five Stars submitted
- 11 indicate whether Mr. Trick would be responsible for
- 12 interacting with the community and establishing
- 13 credibility?
- 14 A. Yes, Mr. Trick made numerous statements on
- 15 his -- in his business plan, and one of them is, we
- 16 are here for the moving needs of every customer,
- 17 big or small. He also said, as we discussed, that
- 18 the president will fill in for all or any roles, as
- 19 needed.
- 20 He indicated that it's his job to make
- 21 every customer feel comfortable with complete
- 22 strangers moving their memories, and that lots of
- 23 companies are more interested in immediate revenue
- 24 as opposed to a personal relationship that they
- 25 should have with each customer.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. Does the application identify who in the
- 3 company will be responsible for ensuring compliance
- 4 with state laws and Commission rules?
- 5 A. Yes. That's William Trick.
- 6 Q. Are there regular filings that household
- 7 good movers are expected to submit to the
- 8 Commission?
- 9 A. Yes, household good movers are expected to
- 10 submit annual reports.
- 11 Q. And what is the Commission's expectations
- 12 about the contents of those filings?
- 13 A. Well, the Commission depends on those
- 14 reports to determine the regulatory fees.
- 15 Household good movers are required to submit maybe
- 16 how many moves they have made, how many trucks they
- 17 have. There's just a lot of information that is
- 18 required from the company to send in.
- 19 Q. Does the Commission rely upon those
- 20 reports being truthful and forthcoming?
- 21 A. Yes, it absolutely needs to be truthful.
- Q. So who, telling from Five Stars'
- 23 application and materials, would be responsible for
- 24 submitting the annual reports and filings like that
- 25 with the Commission?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. William Trick.
- 3 Q. Does that concern staff in this case?
- 4 A. Well, it does. Because Mr. Trick has been
- 5 misleading to the Commission on this permit
- 6 application and on the previous application in 2013
- 7 for B&Z Moving.
- 8 Q. Okay. I want to -- I want to come back to
- 9 B&Z Moving in a little bit. Who would be making
- 10 the hiring decisions for Five Stars Moving?
- 11 A. Mr. Trick.
- 12 Q. Who would be deciding what jobs to take?
- 13 A. Mr. Trick.
- Q. So Ms. Paul, how does Mr. Trick's felony
- 15 conviction in 1999 for sexually molesting two
- 16 seven-year-old girls interfere with him operating
- 17 Five Stars Moving as a household good moving
- 18 company?
- 19 A. First of all, he is a level 2 sex offender
- 20 with a moderate risk of re-offending, as shown on
- 21 the King County web site, and there is no time
- 22 limit on that risk level.
- The concerns are that household good
- 24 movers are trusted to go -- to interact not only
- 25 with customers, but with their family and friends,

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 and possibly young children that may be present,
- 3 and there is very little oversight. It's hectic on
- 4 a move. You know, the owners are -- are moving
- 5 around.
- 6 Mr. Trick has a history of using a
- 7 position of trust. He developed a work
- 8 relationship, and abused this woman's children. He
- 9 uses a position of trust to get close to his
- 10 victims. If granted a household goods permit, it
- 11 would offer Mr. Trick numerous opportunities to
- 12 develop relationships, and he would be in a
- 13 position of trust.
- 14 It's also a concern that he did marry a
- 15 woman months after his release from supervision
- 16 when he was to not have contact with minors, which
- 17 it shows that he has some poor decision making and
- 18 maybe some unclear judgment on who he develops
- 19 relationships with. He again married another
- 20 woman, also with a small child.
- 21 The Navy and Mr. Trick, an other than
- 22 honorable discharge, and they have banned him from
- 23 the base forever. He can't go on there. And yet,
- 24 Mr. Trick expects the Commission to grant him an
- 25 application to go into people's homes, be around

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 families and children, and unsupervised.
- 3 What's really concerning is that Mr. Trick
- 4 attempted to hide his -- his identity, really, with
- 5 B&Z Moving. The application was submitted and did
- 6 not include any information about him, and he did
- 7 not disclose any of his convictions on his current
- 8 application.
- 9 Q. Okay. Let me come back to B&Z Moving in
- 10 just a moment. Is it your understanding that
- 11 Mr. Trick could apply to have his risk level
- 12 reduced?
- 13 A. I'm sorry, can you -- can you say that
- 14 again?
- 15 Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Trick
- 16 could have his sex offender level reduced, lowered?
- 17 A. Well, Mr. Trick testified today that he
- 18 could.
- 19 Q. What is your understanding of that
- 20 process?
- 21 A. It's actually the End of Sentence Review
- 22 Committee assigns the risk level before the
- 23 offender is released from prison, and then -- but
- 24 it is a recommendation, and the law enforcement can
- 25 alter that risk level.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. And when someone wants to have the risk
- 3 level reduced, who makes that determination?
- 4 A. That would be the law enforcement agency.
- 5 So it would be King County --
- 6 Q. And --
- 7 A. -- or Mr. Trick.
- 8 Q. -- do you know what tools they would use
- 9 in assessing that reduction?
- 10 A. I believe they use the same tools, the
- 11 Minnesota -- I forgot the name. Minnesota Sex
- 12 Offender tool and the STATIC 99.
- Q. And those are the current tools --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- that the state uses?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So you mentioned it a couple times. So I
- 18 want to ask you about B&Z Moving.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 O. Is staff familiar with Mr. Trick from
- 21 other applications for a household good moving
- 22 company?
- 23 A. Yes, staff became aware of Mr. Trick in
- 24 2013 through B&Z Moving.
- Q. And how was Mr. Trick connected with that

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 application?
- 3 A. Well, staff discovered B&Z Moving was
- 4 operating as a household good company without the
- 5 required permit. Staff set up a move, and
- 6 Mr. Trick responded and e-mailed staff confirming
- 7 the move, and identified himself as one of the
- 8 company owners.
- 9 Staff sent a cease and desist order to B&Z
- 10 Moving and told them to cease operations as a
- 11 household goods mover until they came in and got
- 12 the appropriate permit to operate.
- 13 The application did come in, and staff
- 14 found it to be misleading, because there was no
- 15 mention of Mr. Trick at all. It was filled out by
- 16 Zack Gripp, and the staff determined that Mr. Trick
- 17 was attempting to hide his involvement with the
- 18 company.
- 19 There was a hearing, and the Commission
- 20 determined that B&Z did stand for Billy Trick and
- 21 Zack Gripp, and that the Commission noted a lack of
- 22 integrity on the application for not being truthful
- 23 on the application. The permit was denied because
- 24 of the attempt to mislead staff of ownership, and
- 25 Mr. Gripp was told that he could reapply for the

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 permit. However, though, Mr. Trick would not be
- 3 allowed to have any part of the company.
- Q. Can I have you turn to what's been marked
- 5 for identification as Exhibit SP-2? It's been
- 6 discussed in prior testimony.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Will you please identify that document?
- 9 A. Yes. This is the notice of intent to deny
- 10 application for permanent authority for Five Stars
- 11 Moving & Storage LLC.
- 12 Q. Have you reviewed this as part of your
- 13 investigation?
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. So Ms. Paul, how does this order
- 16 affect staff's recommendation in this case?
- 17 A. Well, the attempt to mislead is -- is
- 18 still present by Mr. Trick, because he did not
- 19 disclose his felony offense. He did not disclose
- 20 his driving under the influence, which was amended
- 21 to a reckless driving. He did not disclose his
- 22 business related legal proceeding. It was just
- 23 obvious that he -- he does not want Commission
- 24 staff to be aware of his background.
- 25 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, staff would

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 ask that the Commission take official notice of the
- 3 administrative order that Ms. Paul has just
- 4 identified, pursuant to Washington Administrative
- 5 Code 480-07-495, subsection 2, about taking
- 6 official notice of administrative orders.
- 7 MR. WALL: I have no objection to taking
- 8 notice of it for purposes of Ms. Paul's
- 9 investigation. I'd only note that it's not
- 10 precedential or binding on this.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right. And just so I
- 12 can clarify, because I think you may have
- 13 referenced this as the notice of intent to deny,
- 14 and this is actually the initial order denying
- 15 application for permanent authority in docket
- 16 TV-130259, in re: The Application of B&Z Moving
- 17 LLC, is that correct?
- 18 MR. O'CONNELL: I am referring to the
- 19 order from B&Z Moving. The docket number that you
- 20 cited is correct. The -- the numbering issue with
- 21 the exhibits, I apologize for. I thought it was
- 22 SP-2.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Okay. That is the --
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, but I think

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 someone had mentioned that this was the notice of
- 3 intent to deny in the current docket, and that's
- 4 not the case.
- 5 MR. O'CONNELL: Correct. That is not the
- 6 notice of intent. It is the B&Z Moving case --
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Right.
- 8 MR. O'CONNELL: -- initial order.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Then I'll take
- 10 official notice of that. Thank you.
- 11 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 12 Q. Ms. Paul, how long ago was B&Z Moving's
- 13 application denied?
- 14 A. That was in 2013. So probably just --
- 15 just over two years.
- 16 Q. What is the public concern if a household
- 17 good mover is characterized as misleading or has a
- 18 lack of integrity?
- 19 A. It means that the company hides things,
- 20 that they -- you know, they didn't disclose
- 21 important information. They're not willing to
- 22 follow the laws and the rules. It's -- it's like a
- 23 trust for the company to go into their their homes.
- 24 It's a potential risk to customers, and the company
- 25 cannot be trusted to do the right thing at the

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 right time.
- 3 Q. Did you use Facebook at all to investigate
- 4 into Mr. Trick?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. Mr. Trick had testified today information
- 7 about his drinking habits. Did you notice anything
- 8 on Facebook that indicated information to you about
- 9 his drinking habits?
- 10 A. Yes, I did. I noticed three different
- 11 photos of him with alcohol in -- in his hand
- 12 drinking a beer, and I believe he testified that if
- 13 he drinks, his wife, Ashley, doesn't drink. But in
- one of the photos, both of them have a beer in
- 15 their hand.
- 16 Q. Okay. Are there any conditions that the
- 17 Commission could impose on this license to operate
- 18 that would mitigate the risks that Mr. Trick
- 19 presents?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Why not?
- 22 A. Well, Mr. Trick has shown time and time
- 23 again that he hides things, that he is -- attempts
- 24 to mislead Commission staff on his background, and
- 25 that he is not willing or able to follow the rules.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. Could staff support a plan where Mr. Trick
- 3 promised to be involved only as an administrator
- 4 for the company?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Why not?
- 7 A. Because he's already stated in his
- 8 business plan that he will fill in for any and all
- 9 roles of the company, and it's not a big company.
- 10 He has, you know, unhired staff and maybe one other
- 11 person to help him with a move, and if the move is
- 12 large, in order to have his business successful, he
- 13 would need to go and go into people's homes and
- 14 help out with the move.
- 15 Q. Okay. So Ms. Paul, just let me ask you,
- 16 why is staff recommending denial of this
- 17 application?
- 18 A. Staff is recommending denial because,
- 19 number one, the nature and extent of the 1999
- 20 felony conviction of two counts of child
- 21 molestation in the first degree.
- 22 Also, that he is a current registered sex
- 23 offender, a level 2, with a -- with a risk -- a
- 24 moderate risk to re-offend, and moderate risk is
- 25 simply just too much risk.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 And additionally, Mr. Trick has not been
- 3 willing to disclose his criminal history, and it
- 4 was surprising, really, on the second application,
- 5 on the current one for Five Stars, because he knew
- 6 that we knew about the 1999 sex offense and child
- 7 molestation, yet he failed to include that on his
- 8 application.
- 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I don't have
- 10 any more questions for Mr. Paul.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Cross,
- 12 Mr. Wall?
- MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 14 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. Ms. Paul, were you involved in the staff
- 17 recommendation in the B&Z Moving matter?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Could you move -- I'm
- 20 sorry, could you move your microphone closer to
- 21 you?
- MR. WALL: Sure. I apologize.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's okay.
- 24 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. I'm sorry, what was your answer?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. I want to look back at that SP -- I
- 4 believe it's SP-2. I'm looking at the initial
- 5 order denying the application for a permanent
- 6 authority in B&Z Moving.
- 7 A. SP-2. Okay.
- 8 Q. And if you turn to page 4, paragraph 18,
- 9 the second sentence says, however, because
- 10 Mr. Trick subsequently decided to prioritize his
- 11 career options as a union construction laborer,
- 12 Mr. Gripp is now pursuing the moving business as a
- 13 sole proprietor.
- Were you aware of that?
- 15 A. I -- I see that in the report, yes.
- 16 Q. And then if you skip on to page 6,
- 17 paragraph 29, disqualifying criminal record.
- 18 There's a discussion about whether Mr. Trick's
- 19 criminal record disqualifies the company, and then
- 20 if you see the block quote there, and that's the
- 21 last paragraph on the page, and that's a quotation
- 22 of the Commission rules.
- 23 It says, the Commission will not grant
- 24 provisional authority if any named person has,
- 25 within the past five years, italicized, been

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 convicted of any crime involving theft, burglary,
- 3 sexual misconduct, identity theft, et cetera, et
- 4 cetera, et cetera. And that's a citation, if you
- 5 look at footnote 8 to 480-15-3028.
- 6 Then it goes on to say, the Commission
- 7 will not grant permanent authority if any employee
- 8 has, within the past five years, been convicted of
- 9 a crime. And actually, that's the provisional and
- 10 the permanent authority.
- 11 Are you aware of the difference in the UTC
- 12 rules between convictions within the last five
- 13 years and convictions that are longer out than five
- 14 years?
- 15 A. Yes. This order came out in 2013, and
- 16 since then, the rule has been changed.
- 0. In the current iteration of the UTC rules,
- 18 is there a differentiation between convictions in
- 19 the last five years and convictions longer than
- 20 five years?
- 21 A. Yes. It says that some -- some criminal
- 22 history is basically exempt from getting a permit,
- 23 depending on the nature and extent of the crime.
- Q. Do you have -- within -- if a crime has
- 25 been committed within the first five years, it's an

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 absolute bar?
- 3 A. No, it can go longer than five years, I
- 4 believe. I'd have to look at the rule. I think
- 5 it's 480-15-302, but I don't have it in front of
- 6 me.
- 7 Q. I don't have 480-15-302 in front of me
- 8 either, but would it sound accurate to you that if
- 9 a crime had been -- one of the specified crimes had
- 10 been committed within the last five years, the UTC
- 11 will deny authority?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And if it's been longer, then they will
- 14 only deny authority when they determine that it
- 15 would likely interfere with the proper operation of
- 16 a household goods moving company?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you have any firsthand knowledge as to
- 19 why the UTC enacted that -- and with a
- 20 differentiation there? Do you have any
- 21 understanding of what the difference is between
- 22 conviction within the last five years or an older
- 23 conviction?
- MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, relevance.
- 25 MR. WALL: I think it's the -- it goes to

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 the heart of the issue here, which is whether the
- 3 conviction will likely interfere with the proper
- 4 operation of a household goods moving company, and
- 5 the statute contemplates that older convictions be
- 6 treated differently.
- 7 MR. O'CONNELL: And your Honor, what does
- 8 Ms. Paul's personal recollection of the rules
- 9 changing have to do with that?
- 10 MR. WALL: I'm asking her about her
- 11 understanding of the rules and how they apply in
- 12 this case to her determination that a conviction
- 13 would interfere with the proper operation.
- 14 MR. O'CONNELL: I believe I just objected
- 15 to the form of the question, because that's not
- 16 what I believe Mr. Wall was asking.
- 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you
- 18 rephrase?
- 19 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 20 Q. How does it affect your determination
- 21 about whether a crime will likely interfere with
- 22 the proper operation of a household goods moving
- 23 company when the crime is older than five years?
- 24 A. Well, I looked at the nature and extent of
- 25 the crime and, you know, I -- I reviewed that. I

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 would review that any time that someone applies for
- 3 an application and their criminal history is less
- 4 than stellar.
- 5 Q. Turning back to SP-2 for a moment, if you
- 6 look at paragraph 31. It says there's no ambiguity
- 7 in the Commission's current rule.
- 8 A. I'm sorry, what page did you say?
- 9 Q. The same page we were on previously, page
- 10 7.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Paragraph 31.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. If you just take a moment to read it.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. There's no ambiguity in the Commission's
- 17 current rule that bars convicted criminals guilty
- 18 of specified crimes from receiving household goods
- 19 permits for only a period of five years.
- 20 Staff's argument that a sex offender is
- 21 permanently ineligible to hold a household goods
- 22 permit or be employed by a moving company is
- 23 unsupported by the Commission's currently
- 24 applicable rules.
- Were you aware that in B&Z, despite the

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 text of the UTC WACs, the staff had taken a
- 3 position that Mr. Trick should be permanently
- 4 barred from obtaining a household goods moving
- 5 permit?
- 6 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, relevance.
- 7 We're here in 2015 talking about this application,
- 8 not the 2013 rationale by staff.
- 9 MR. WALL: Your Honor, the B&Z docket was
- 10 introduced by counsel for staff, and he questioned
- 11 Ms. Paul about it. I'd like an opportunity to
- 12 cross-examine on that point.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I don't have a problem
- 14 with that, to the extent that you're dealing with
- issues and topics that he actually cross examined
- 16 -- or I'm sorry, examined her on directly. I
- 17 believe Ms. Paul has already stated that she was
- 18 not involved in that case.
- 19 MR. WALL: All right.
- Q. Is it the staff's position in this
- 21 proceeding that Mr. Trick cannot be involved in any
- 22 moving business?
- 23 A. Yes, that's the recommendation.
- Q. Is there some point at which the -- some
- 25 point in time at which, in the staff's view, an old

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 conviction is so old, that there haven't been any
- 3 re-offenses of that nature, Mr. Trick would be
- 4 eligible?
- 5 A. I can't really answer that, because if
- 6 this permit is denied and Mr. Trick applied again
- 7 for a household goods permit, it would get the same
- 8 review as this one had, and staff would look at the
- 9 nature and extent of the crime and would consider
- 10 that and how the application is filled out, whether
- 11 it's complete, whether it's, you know, truthful in
- 12 its response.
- Q. You're familiar with the UTC WACs,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. I don't know them by heart, but yes.
- 16 Q. Are you familiar with the term labor only
- moves?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I'm going to talk to you about WAC
- 20 480-15-181. These are operations that do not
- 21 require a permit. It says, a company's operations
- 22 do not require a permit from the Commission when
- 23 the company, one, moves commercial or office goods,
- 24 except with part of a household goods move.
- 25 Two, transports goods that are packed and

0228

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 loaded on the vehicle and unloaded by the customer.
- 3 Three, transports goods which are loaded
- 4 and customer packed and sealed in self-storage type
- 5 containers in conjunction with storage when no
- 6 accessorial services are provided by the company.
- 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I have an
- 8 objection. We're talking about the denial of a
- 9 permit. I'm not sure that it's relevant to discuss
- 10 operations of, you know, labor only moves.
- 11 MR. WALL: Okay. The objection is
- 12 relevance? The question that I'd like to ask about
- 13 are these WACs and their applications to
- 14 Mr. Trick's business, and the extent to which he's
- 15 permitted to do the conduct, which the staff is
- 16 concerned with preventing, which is conducting
- 17 moves in the home.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, we're here for
- 19 the denial of a permit.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Well, I would ask how
- 21 this relates, since you've already indicated that
- 22 this is -- this WAC provision is essentially saying
- 23 we don't have jurisdiction over these certain
- 24 moves.
- 25 MR. WALL: Right. And I'd like to ask

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 about the reasons for the non-assertion of
- 3 jurisdiction over moves if it -- you know, if it's
- 4 a concern that Mr. Trick is in the home performing
- 5 moves, if there is some reason why the UTC doesn't
- 6 regulate those moves.
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And I don't know as
- 8 Ms. Paul would be qualified to answer that, so I'm
- 9 going to -- I'm going to sustain the objection.
- 10 BY MR. WALL:
- 11 Q. Let's turn to SP-4. This is the King
- 12 County -- I believe, unless I've got the numbering
- 13 wrong, this the King County Sheriff's --
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- 15 Q. -- listing? Thank you. Where it says
- 16 that Mr. Trick was a coach and a volunteer, I think
- 17 you previously testified that you took that into
- 18 consideration in concluding that Mr. Trick had
- 19 abused positions of trust, is that accurate?
- 20 A. Yes, that's accurate.
- 21 Q. Okay. Did you do anything else to verify
- 22 the information on the King County Sheriff's web
- 23 site?
- 24 A. Well, I don't know what else I could do to
- 25 verify that. I did call King County and confirmed

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 that this information is as it is, yes.
- 3 Q. And if we turn to -- I've completely lost
- 4 it in the numbering, but it's the King County
- 5 Sheriff's web site frequently asked questions.
- 6 Let's see. Maybe I can track it down.
- 7 MR. O'CONNELL: If I can help, that's
- 8 SP-5.
- 9 MR. WALL: Thank you.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 BY MR. WALL:
- 12 Q. Were there any other documents besides
- 13 SP-5 that you relied on in determining that a level
- 14 2 offender is at moderate risk?
- 15 A. Well, this is the accepted description of
- 16 level 2 offenders that I took into consideration,
- 17 yes.
- 18 Q. So you relied on this document. And my
- 19 question was, were there any other documents that
- 20 you relied on?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. And I think you testified previously that
- 23 you don't have any expertise on how these levels
- 24 are calculated?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- Q. And you don't have any expertise
- 3 predicting the risk of recidivism?
- 4 A. No, I don't.
- 5 Q. Other than the on-line research and the
- 6 phone calls you described, have you done any
- 7 examination of Mr. Trick to determine his risk of
- 8 recidivism?
- 9 A. No, I haven't.
- 10 Q. You said that -- and correct me if I'm
- 11 misstating your testimony, but I believe you
- 12 testified that based on this web site, which says
- 13 that a level 2 offender is at moderate risk, I
- 14 believe you said that moderate risk was too much --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- is that accurate?
- 17 A. For a household goods permit, I believe
- 18 that is too much of a risk.
- 19 Q. How much risk is moderate risk?
- 20 A. Any risk is too much risk.
- 21 Q. You were present in the courtroom when
- 22 Dr. O'Connell testified that no one is at zero risk
- 23 of offending?
- 24 A. Yes, I was.
- 25 Q. But certainly, you don't mean any risk --

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 I mean, if everyone has some risk, then certainly,
- 3 there's some level of acceptable risk, or else no
- 4 one would be able to get a permit, right?
- 5 A. Well, when I reviewed for Mr. Trick, he is
- 6 registered as a level 2 sex offender, and the
- 7 definition for level 2 is a moderate risk, and
- 8 that's what I looked at.
- 9 Q. My question was, how much risk is moderate
- 10 risk?
- 11 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, lack of
- 12 knowledge, personal knowledge. Mr. Trick's -- I'm
- 13 sorry, Mr. Wall is asking Ms. Paul to comment on
- 14 something she doesn't have any knowledge of how
- 15 it's set. She doesn't have any role in how it's
- 16 set. It's an improper question to ask Ms. Paul
- 17 MR. WALL: The question is not improper.
- 18 If she doesn't know the answer, she can say, I
- 19 don't know.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'm going to allow
- 21 this and allow Ms. Paul to state what she
- 22 understands a moderate risk to be.
- 23 A. A moderate risk is that the offender may
- 24 re-offend.
- 25 BY MR. WALL:

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. When you were present today in connection
- 3 with this proceeding and learned that Mr. Trick has
- 4 been around children for many years now without any
- 5 incident of recidivism, correct?
- 6 A. I do not know that.
- 7 Q. But you were present when the testimony
- 8 was that he's been present around children --
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. -- without any recidivism? Does that in
- 11 any way effect your conclusion with regard to
- 12 Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism?
- 13 A. The red flag for me is that Mr. Trick has
- 14 continued to place himself in a risky position.
- 15 Months after his release from supervision, when he
- 16 had no contact with minors, he did marry a woman
- 17 with small children, and then he again married a
- 18 woman with small children -- with a small child.
- 19 Q. So the risks that you've identified him
- 20 placing himself into were getting into long term
- 21 committed relationships, is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes, that he has placed himself in
- 23 situations of potential risk.
- Q. Are you aware that a committed long term
- 25 relationship is actually a mitigating factor in

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 recidivism?
- 3 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection. Your Honor,
- 4 Ms. Paul has already testified that she doesn't
- 5 have any expertise in recidivism and how levels are
- 6 set.
- 7 MR. WALL: I'm asking what she's aware of.
- 8 She did some investigation into the sex offender
- 9 leveling system, and I'm asking if she's aware of
- 10 it. If she's not, she's not.
- 11 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I'll allow it.
- 12 A. I did not look into recidivism as the
- 13 scope of my investigation.
- 14 BY MR. WALL:
- 15 Q. You were present in the courtroom when
- 16 Dr. O'Connell stated that committed long term
- 17 relationships are mitigating factors in the risk of
- 18 recidivism, correct?
- 19 A. I was present, yes.
- 20 Q. Did that new information in any way affect
- 21 your determination of Mr. Trick's risk of
- 22 recidivism?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Why not?
- 25 A. As I've stated, Mr. Trick is a level 2 sex

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 offender, which was assigned by End of Sentence
- 3 Review Committee, and also upheld by King County
- 4 law enforcement. And it's not my role to assign a
- 5 risk level for any sex offender.
- 6 Q. If a new evaluation were done with more
- 7 accurate predictive value, would that in any way
- 8 affect your assessment?
- 9 A. Again, it would be reviewed -- if
- 10 Mr. Trick's level changed and he reapplied for a
- 11 permit, that would be reviewed just as this permit
- 12 was reviewed, and the nature and extent of any
- 13 criminal history would be reviewed in detail.
- 0. I understand that it would be reviewed.
- 15 My question was whether it would change your
- 16 assessment?
- 17 A. I can't answer that until, you know, the
- 18 situation arises.
- 19 Q. We looked at this -- at this
- 20 memorialization of a phone call that was had --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- with Mr. Trick to UTC staff. And that
- 23 memorialization confirmed that Mr. Trick did, in
- 24 fact, call the UTC to ask about his reckless
- 25 driving?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So although he didn't disclose it in
- 4 written form, he did disclose it orally over the
- 5 phone, is that right?
- A. He asked if he should disclose it, and he
- 7 was told that he should provide as much information
- 8 as possible, and he chose not to disclose it to the
- 9 Commission.
- 10 Q. At the time that he was speaking over the
- 11 phone, though, in that -- in the course -- just in
- 12 the context of that conversation, he disclosed it,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Let's take a look at the Five Stars
- 16 application. That's what's been taken judicial
- 17 notice of, or it's a --
- 18 A. I think I have it. I have it here.
- 19 Q. Thank you. Let me see if I have it.
- A. It's Exhibit 1.
- Q. I don't have it. My Exhibit 16. The
- 22 questions that are at issue, I believe, are on page
- 23 6 of the application. Would you turn to that page?
- 24 A. I'm there.
- Q. At the top of the page, it says, do you

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 have or have you ever had a business related legal
- 3 proceeding against you in Washington or in any
- 4 other state?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can you point me to the page on this
- 7 application where it explains what the term
- 8 business related legal proceeding means?
- 9 A. Well, any -- no, I can't. I mean, it's a
- 10 business related legal proceeding. So if Mr. Trick
- 11 had a business related legal proceeding, he should
- 12 have disclosed that.
- Q. So it's your testimony that there is
- 14 nowhere else on this application where you could
- 15 find information or a definition of business
- 16 related legal proceedings?
- 17 A. No. I can tell you that they should call
- 18 the Commission if there is any questions about the
- 19 permit when they fill it out.
- 20 Q. Going onto the next question, it says, has
- 21 any person named in this application, within the
- 22 past five years, been convicted of any crime
- 23 involving -- there's a number of crimes listed, and
- 24 one is sexual misconduct, and it asks the applicant
- 25 to answer yes or no, whether anyone named in the

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 application has been convicted within the past five
- 3 years.
- 4 In answering that question, it's true that
- 5 Mr. Trick would not need to check the yes box for
- 6 his -- that question was answered accurately,
- 7 correct?
- 8 A. His conviction was outside of the five
- 9 years, yes.
- 10 Q. Are you aware that a criminal charge can
- 11 be made and that a person can be convicted of a
- 12 crime without a citation?
- 13 A. I believe that a citation is a legal
- 14 proceeding, whether or not it's before a court.
- 15 Q. If I were to tell you that -- that, in
- 16 fact, you can have a criminal charge and a
- 17 proceeding and all the way to conviction and
- 18 sentencing without a citation, would that be new
- 19 information to you?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Are you aware that Mr. Trick was, in fact,
- 22 charged, arrested and pled guilty, but that there
- 23 was never a citation issued to him?
- A. For what events?
- Q. For his sexual misconduct events.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 A. Mr. Trick went to prison for his 1999 sex
- 3 offense.
- 4 Q. And there was never a citation issued to
- 5 him?
- 6 A. Okay. But he did go to jail.
- 7 Q. That's true. The question here asks, has
- 8 any person named in the application been cited for
- 9 violation of state laws or Commission rules?
- 10 A. Yes, it does.
- 11 Q. You discussed previously that Mr. Trick
- 12 was the individual named to ensure compliance with
- 13 the UTC rules and the annual filings?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. The fact that he is the sole individual
- 16 named on the application doesn't in any way prevent
- 17 him from contacting others for assistance, hire an
- 18 attorney, or consulting with any other individuals
- 19 who are involved in the business, does it?
- 20 A. No.
- MR. WALL: No further questions, your
- 22 Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you.
- 24 This might be a good time to take a break. So how
- 25 about we take a break for five, and then come back

- 1 SUSIE PAUL REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 with redirect.
- 3 MR. O'CONNELL: Sure. Thank you, your
- 4 Honor.
- 5 (A short recess was then taken.)
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record.
- 7 Mr. O'Connell, you were going to continue -- or
- 8 start your redirect.
- 9 MR. O'CONNELL: Yes. Thank you, your
- 10 Honor. At this time, just for the record, staff
- 11 wanted to offer SP-1, staff's memorandum.
- MR. WALL: No objection, your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And you're
- 14 offering it for admission, not official notice?
- MR. O'CONNELL: Correct.
- 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. And so
- 17 admitted. Thank you.
- 18 (Staff Exhibit SP-1 admitted into
- 19 evidence.)
- 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- Q. Ms. Paul, has Mr. Trick re-offended
- 23 against any children?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. How would you know if he did?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 A. I wouldn't know, unless he was arrested.
- 3 Q. Okay. Did you review the entirety of the
- 4 cord of documents before us today as part of your
- 5 investigation into the nature and extent of
- 6 Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. And does that inform your recommendation
- 9 to the court -- to the Commission?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I want to talk briefly about this issue of
- 12 what cite means.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. On the application, it asks -- it asks, as
- 15 Mr. Wall read, I believe, has any person named in
- 16 this application been cited for violation of state
- 17 laws or Commission rules. Prior to today, have you
- 18 reviewed the definition of cite?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. And in your review, what does cite mean?
- 21 A. It was a notification of a violation
- 22 and/or legal proceeding requesting presence --
- 23 requesting the person's presence.
- Q. And do you recall where you read that?
- 25 A. I read that in a law book, Black's Law

- 1 SUSIE PAUL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 book, I believe.
- 3 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, at this time,
- 4 I guess -- it's inappropriate to ask Ms. Paul the
- 5 definition of a legal court, because she's not a
- 6 lawyer. I would ask that the Commission and your
- 7 Honor and your legal training take official notice
- 8 of the definition of the word cite.
- 9 MR. WALL: Your Honor, I don't have any
- 10 objection to taking legal notice of the definition
- 11 of the word cite, as stated in Black's Law
- 12 Dictionary. It's quoted in my brief, so if your
- 13 Honor is inclined to take notice of it, I'd just
- 14 ask that it be the definition that's in -- a
- 15 current, up to date version of Black's Law
- 16 Dictionary.
- 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: That's fine. Thank
- 18 you.
- 19 MR. O'CONNELL: And with that, your Honor,
- 20 I don't have any more questions for Ms. Paul.
- 21 INOUIRY
- 22 BY JUDGE FRIEDLANDER:
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. I just have maybe one
- 24 or two.
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 SUSIE PAUL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 Q. You mentioned that in 2013, staff became
- 3 aware of a company conducting illegal moves --
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. -- or unauthorized moves, I should say,
- 6 called B&Z?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And I thought I heard you testify that
- 9 Mr. Trick himself was involved?
- 10 A. Yes. He testified in the hearing at B&Z
- 11 that he had been the person that had been
- 12 operating, and sent staff e-mails on a setup move,
- 13 identified himself as owner of the company.
- 14 Q. Do you know if he participated in the move
- 15 itself?
- 16 A. Well, it was set up, so the move never
- 17 happened.
- 18 Q. I see. Okay.
- 19 A. It was undercover --
- Q. Got you.
- 21 A. An undercover move.
- Q. I'm a little fuzzy on the time frame about
- 23 -- regarding staff's knowledge of the reckless
- 24 driving conviction. When did staff first become
- 25 aware of the reckless driving conviction?

- 1 SUSIE PAUL JUDGE'S INQUIRY
- 2 A. When I received the background check. It
- 3 was listed on both the watch report from the
- 4 Washington State Patrol and on the comprehensive
- 5 report that we are now using from LexisNexis.
- 6 Q. Okay. So did staff know about the
- 7 reckless driving conviction at the time of
- 8 recommendation to deny the permit application --
- 9 A. No.
- 10 O. -- went out?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Okay. So it was after that?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Okay. That's
- 15 all the questions that I have.
- 16 A. Okay.
- 17 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So unless there's
- 18 anything further, you are excused. Thank you for
- 19 your testimony.
- 20 A. Okay.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Now, does staff have
- 22 any other witnesses that it wishes to offer?
- MR. O'CONNELL: No, staff rests, your
- 24 Honor.
- 25 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: I believe we had

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 discussed allowing Mr. Trick the very limited
- 3 opportunity to testify on the comprehensive report
- 4 and potential business violations --
- 5 MR. WALL: Right.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: -- business related
- 7 information.
- 8 MR. WALL: Right.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: So Mr. Trick, if you
- 10 want to approach the witness stand.
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And you are still
- 13 under oath, so you can go ahead and be seated.
- 14 Mr. Wall, if you'll conduct direct.
- 15 Whereupon,
- 16 WILLIAM TRICK,
- 17 having previsouly been duly sworn, testified
- 18 further as follows:
- 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. WALL:
- 21 Q. Thank you, your Honor. You testified
- 22 previously that you completed Five Stars'
- 23 application.
- 24 When you looked at this question of a
- 25 business related legal proceeding, did you

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 understand that to encompass the IRS?
- 3 A. I did not. I looked at it as a -- did I
- 4 own a business that went into some sort of legal
- 5 case.
- 6 O. This was the first that I had learned of
- 7 it. What happened with the IRS?
- 8 A. Sure. In 2008 and 2009, my now ex-wife
- 9 and I made a significant amount of money in what we
- 10 did, and I wasn't used to making that much money,
- 11 and therefore, on our -- on our taxes, as we
- 12 claimed to have taxes withheld, we didn't have
- 13 enough taken out. So at the end of the year, when
- 14 would he filed for our taxes, we owed a significant
- amount of money, and kind of let that go to the
- 16 next year.
- 17 It was kind of the same thing. It was
- 18 half as much, but the IRS states that any debt over
- 19 \$25,000 requires a tax lien, and so they filed a
- 20 tax lien on me. And recently -- and it's been
- 21 removed, because I satisfied the lien by bringing
- 22 the total down to under \$25,000. So any lien that
- 23 was in my name, or judgment, has been removed
- 24 because of that. But there was no business
- 25 involved.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 Q. How did you become aware of the lien?
- 3 A. I became aware, because my wife and I were
- 4 trying to buy a house, and when my mortgage broker
- 5 pulled my credit, he said, hey, you know, you have
- 6 liens against your name. And I said, oh, my gosh.
- 7 So I called -- immediately called the IRS and asked
- 8 how much I owed. I said, what do I need to do?
- 9 She goes, well, you already satisfied the lien.
- 10 So they e-mailed me a form to fill out,
- 11 asking to remove the liens. Literally, 10 days
- 12 later, 12 days later, it was gone, and they were no
- 13 longer on my credit report. If you look on my
- 14 credit report now, in any file, it says, tax lien
- 15 is satisfied.
- 16 Q. And did you ever have -- was there ever
- 17 any proceeding? Did you ever have to go to a
- 18 courtroom --
- 19 A. No.
- Q. -- or have a hearing?
- 21 A. No, I did nothing. All I did was file my
- 22 taxes, and that was it. I didn't -- I didn't -- I
- 23 didn't even know I had a lien until they pulled my
- 24 credit, and they said nobody's going to lend me any
- 25 money from the IRS -- a tax lien from the IRS. So

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 I took care of it. That was it. That's what that
- 3 was about.
- Q. I just have one -- one other question.
- 5 You were aware that the UTC conducts full
- 6 background checks, correct?
- 7 A. Oh, yes.
- 8 MR. O'CONNELL: Objection, it's outside
- 9 the scope of the limited amount of questions.
- 10 MR. WALL: Your Honor, this goes to the --
- 11 the question, which was brought up for the first
- 12 time in this new -- newly produced evidence of the
- 13 phone call record regarding the reckless driving
- 14 incident.
- MR. O'CONNELL: I do not believe that is
- 16 what your Honor's grant of additional testimony was
- 17 for.
- 18 MR. WALL: I thought we were here to
- 19 discuss issues that were related to newly disclosed
- 20 evidence.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Why don't you ask the
- 22 question, and then I'll decide if it's relating to
- 23 the limited testimony.
- 24 BY MR. WALL:
- 25 Q. Okay. The question was, were you aware

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 that the UTC conducts full background checks of the
- 3 people listed on the application?
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: What do you mean by
- 5 full background checks?
- 6 MR. WALL: You can strike the adjective
- 7 full. I just mean background checks. Criminal
- 8 background checks, I guess.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. I'll allow it.
- 10 A. Yes, I do. The first application in --
- 11 made that very clear. I put my photo
- 12 identification in there, knowing that they were
- 13 going to do a background check. There was no
- 14 hiding.
- 15 BY MR. WALL:
- Q. When you called them regarding the
- 17 reckless driving, was it your intent to conceal
- 18 that from them?
- 19 A. No.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And actually, that's
- 21 -- that's beyond the scope.
- 22 MR. WALL: All right. No further
- 23 questions. Thank you, your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Thank you. I
- 25 have no further questions, so you're dismissed.

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, can I ask
- 3 cross-examination questions of the business related
- 4 legal proceeding?
- 5 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Very limited,
- 6 considering there were maybe two answers.
- 7 MR. O'CONNELL: Sure.
- 8 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. O'CONNELL:
- 10 Q. Mr. Trick, you mentioned you made a lot of
- 11 money in that year. How much money did you make?
- MR. WALL: Relevance. What's the
- 13 relevance of this question?
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: To how much money he
- 15 made during a certain period of time?
- MR. O'CONNELL: The IRS tax lien relates
- 17 directly to how much money he made, and that's what
- 18 he testified.
- 19 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Except that we're here
- 20 for a denial of an application.
- 21 MR. O'CONNELL: Which includes -- I'm
- 22 sorry, your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: No, that's okay. I'm
- 24 just saying, unless you can state how how much
- 25 money he made in a particular year directly relates

- 1 WILLIAM TRICK RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 to him having various violations or not having
- 3 various violations of the law and getting his
- 4 application denied, then I don't see how it's going
- 5 to be relevant.
- 6 MR. O'CONNELL: Your Honor, I believe the
- 7 relevance is that it's a business related legal
- 8 proceeding that we're talking about.
- 9 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes, I'm going to deny
- 10 it.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Okay.
- 12 Q. Mr. Trick, the IRS filed a tax lien
- 13 against you, is that correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you did not disclose that tax lien on
- 16 the application with the UTC, is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct. I --
- MR. O'CONNELL: I have no more questions,
- 19 your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: All right. Thank you.
- 21 You're dismissed, thank you. All right. So if I'm
- 22 correct, that ends all the testimony and we're
- 23 ready to move into closing.
- MR. WALL: Yes, your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Mr. Wall? Maximum,

- 1 five minutes, by the way.
- 2 MR. WALL: Your Honor, could we take a
- 3 very brief recess just to collect the notes?
- 4 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Yes. We're off the
- 5 record.
- 6 (A short recess was taken.)
- 7 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Back on the record.
- 8 Mr. Wall, if you want to go ahead and begin with
- 9 your closing.
- 10 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor. At some
- 11 point, people with convictions should be able to
- 12 move on with their lives, earn a living and
- 13 contribute fully to our society and Washington
- 14 state's economy. Mr. Trick is one such individual
- 15 who deserves an opportunity to move on with his
- 16 life and pursue his chosen career.
- Mr. Trick was leveled in 2004. We heard
- 18 from Dr. Michael O'Connell that the tool in place
- 19 at that time is antiquated, and that it would be
- 20 unethical to apply it now because it overstates the
- 21 risk. We heard from Mr. Trick, that there are a
- 22 number of mitigating factors which reduces risk of
- 23 recidivism.
- 24 For example, he's in a stable, long term
- 25 marriage. He's been around kids for years with no

- 1 recidivism. He has a job that he's committed to,
- 2 and the grant of this professional license would
- 3 only further stabilize his work life and
- 4 professional scene.
- 5 The UTC's WACs, 480-15-181 do not regulate
- 6 labor only moves. Mr. Trick is currently legally
- 7 allowed to go into someone's home, box up all their
- 8 things for them, and move them onto a truck, so
- 9 long as it's not a truck that he owns. He's also
- 10 allowed to bring his truck, so long as someone else
- 11 boxes up the stuff.
- 12 So he can do the moving of the goods and
- 13 he can do the packing and loading of the goods. He
- 14 just can't do both. He has been operating in the
- 15 labor only context for nearly a decade now. He's
- 16 also been employed by full service move companies,
- 17 and he's received positive ratings, and he hasn't
- 18 had any negative incidents.
- 19 Pursuing this permit and this business is
- 20 the next logical step in his professional
- 21 development. There is no risk, as the staff
- 22 perceived, of him being in a home and having some
- 23 negative incident, and that's been proven over a
- 24 nine year track record. He's going to continue to
- 25 do labor only moves, as he's allowed to do by law,

- 1 regardless of the outcome of this permit
- 2 application.
- 3 I want to come back to a couple of things
- 4 that counsel has said in his opening. He said that
- 5 the UTC was supposed to conduct an analysis,
- 6 conduct an analysis of whether the nature and
- 7 extent of the crimes would likely interfere with
- 8 proper operation of a household goods moving
- 9 company.
- 10 Here, the analysis was, as Ms. Paul
- 11 testified, to look at the sheriff's web site, and
- 12 see that Mr. Trick was leveled as a level 2, to
- 13 look at the frequently asked questions and see that
- 14 level 2s are at, quote, moderate risk, and conclude
- 15 that because movers are in the home and there's a
- 16 moderate risk, that's too much risk for the public
- 17 interest.
- 18 While that analysis is understandable,
- 19 it's very surface level and cursory. What we're
- 20 asking for here is a more nuanced, a more
- 21 individualized exercise of discretion to look
- 22 specifically at Mr. Trick and his specific
- 23 circumstances.
- 24 Counsel also said that it was not the
- 25 UTC's staff position that Mr. Trick's conviction

- 1 was, quote, a permanent bar. But then when? When
- 2 is Mr. Trick going to be able put this behind him
- 3 and move on with his chosen career? It's been 16
- 4 years since his conviction. Will it be 20 years?
- 5 30? 50? At what point does this conviction stop
- 6 becoming a permanent stain that prevents him from
- 7 pursuing his chosen application?
- 8 Counsel also said that Mr. Trick's crime
- 9 was despicable, and it is a despicable crime.
- 10 That's exactly what it is. Counsel wants to
- 11 portray as Mr. Trick as a despicable criminal and
- 12 nothing more, but the reality is that Mr. Trick
- 13 made this horrible mistake, as he explained, the
- 14 inhibitions that normally prevent you and me and
- 15 everyone else from committing crimes broke down,
- 16 they failed him in that moment.
- 17 He made this one horrible, despicable
- 18 mistake, but that's not all that he is. That's not
- 19 the man who testified here today. The man who
- 20 testified here today is a man who is remorseful,
- 21 who stands here humbled. He's sincere. He's an
- 22 incredibly hard worker, and it takes a lot of
- 23 courage. It took a lot of courage for him to admit
- 24 the extremely delicate and extremely sensitive
- 25 things openly and honestly, and discuss those

0256

- 1 things.
- 2 Dr. O'Connell noted in his analysis,
- 3 although he's only had an opportunity to conduct a
- 4 tentative evaluation and come to some tentative
- 5 conclusions, he noted that Mr. Trick was incredibly
- 6 forthcoming and incredibly open about these things.
- 7 I think that takes a lot of courage, and it speaks
- 8 volumes about Mr. Trick's risk of recidivism, which
- 9 is really the key issue that I would ask your Honor
- 10 to analyze in this proceeding.
- I want to address a few more things. We
- 12 heard Mr. Trick say that there are two types of
- 13 prisoners; people who go to prison and become more
- 14 enmeshed in crime and gangs and come out hardened
- 15 criminals. And then there are individuals like
- 16 Mr. Trick, who realize the severity of their crime
- 17 and face the really difficult reality that they
- 18 have done something terribly wrong that they have
- 19 to live with for the rest of their life, and make a
- 20 commitment to themselves, their families, society,
- 21 that they are going to use their time in prison to
- 22 better themselves. And Mr. Trick did that.
- 23 He obtained two certificates. He was a
- 24 facilitator for the Alternative Violence and
- 25 Non-Violent Communication programs. He completed

- 1 his parole, and he moved his way up from Jiffy Lube
- 2 and QFC and finally got to the point where he's in
- 3 a long term stable marriage with kids of his own
- 4 and wants to start a business, and that's the
- 5 position that we find Mr. Trick in today, 16 years
- 6 away from this horrible event.
- 7 The UTC staff, I think, and counsel
- 8 portrayed Mr. Trick as being not forthcoming in his
- 9 application because Mr. Trick checked the wrong box
- 10 in his application. But Mr. Trick testified that
- 11 he knew, from his prior B&Z application, that the
- 12 UTC staff does a full background check. He called
- 13 and asked, what should I do about my reckless
- 14 driving? These are not the actions of a man who is
- 15 trying to conseal something. Did he perfectly fill
- 16 it out? No.
- But then again, the UTC's permit
- 18 application is not perfectly precise in its
- 19 language. If you look at the phrase, business
- 20 related proceeding, in this case, there wasn't a
- 21 proceeding. There was a lien. And it asks about
- 22 citations for violations of Washington law. In the
- 23 case at hand, there wasn't a citation. So I think
- 24 that if we're going to hold people to these legal
- 25 standards, there needs to be some precision in the

- 1 language.
- 2 Finally, I'll just say that with regard to
- 3 the grant of a permit and a professional license,
- 4 which allows someone the ability to pursue their
- 5 chosen career, it is exactly that grant of a
- 6 license that allows that person to further fulfill
- 7 their attempts at reintegration, rehabilitation,
- 8 pursuing their career.
- 9 We heard testimony from Dr. O'Connell that
- 10 it's the person's investments in their family and
- 11 in their jobs that gives them something that's
- 12 worth losing. Mr. Trick is an individual who is
- 13 investing in his family, is investing in his
- 14 career, already has a lot to lose.
- I would ask your Honor to grant the permit
- 16 and give him more -- more to live for. Thank you,
- 17 your Honor.
- 18 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 19 Mr. O'Connell?
- MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 21 Well, first, Counsel and Mr. Trick have made it
- 22 abundantly clear that he will continue to operate
- 23 his business with or without Commission approval in
- 24 this permit, and all the three things that I
- 25 mentioned in my opening remain true.

- 1 Staff is familiar with Mr. Trick. His
- 2 current application is misleading. He does not
- 3 disclose a business related legal proceeding, his
- 4 tax lien by the IRS. He did not disclose his
- 5 reckless driving on the application. Mr. Wall is
- 6 making a semantic argument about what it means to
- 7 be cited for a violation of state law.
- 8 I think the application was very clear
- 9 that Mr. Trick should have disclosed his 1999
- 10 conviction, especially considering that he spoke
- 11 with staff regarding the application and received
- 12 the information and the guidance that he should
- 13 disclose as much information as possible, be as
- 14 complete as possible.
- 15 Staff is also familiar with Mr. Trick from
- 16 the 2013 case, B&Z Moving. And in that
- 17 application, again, Mr. Trick didn't fail to check
- 18 the right box in that case. He failed to include
- 19 himself entirely on that application. This is
- 20 consistent with staff's experience with Mr. Trick.
- 21 Dr. O'Connell testified that sex offenders
- 22 minimize their crime, they try to hide their crime,
- 23 they're good manipulators. Mr. Trick has been
- 24 trying to manipulate Commission staff by not
- 25 disclosing all of this. His 1999 conviction is a

- 1 despicable act against two seven-year-old girls.
- 2 That interferes with him properly
- 3 operating a household good industry. He knew the
- 4 girls through their mother, whom he worked with.
- 5 He had a work contact with their mother. Their
- 6 parents trusted him to spend the night in their
- 7 home and invited him to stay there. The girls
- 8 trusted him, after having just met him that
- 9 evening, and went into the same room and in the
- 10 same bed with him, and he took advantage of that
- 11 trust. He had just met these girls, and he used a
- 12 work relationship to do it.
- 13 If he is given a permit by the Commission,
- 14 he will establish many more work relationships as
- 15 the owner of a household good moving company. That
- 16 provides him many more opportunities to take
- 17 advantage of relationships that he forms. The
- 18 concern is not only for families and children, but
- 19 also, other vulnerable people in society, because
- 20 staff's experience with Mr. Trick is that he is not
- 21 forthcoming, that his ability to be truthful and
- 22 disclose things fully has not been demonstrated to
- 23 staff.
- Dr. O'Connell has met over the telephone
- 25 with Mr. Trick one time, and it was this Monday.

- 1 He spoke with him for just over an hour, and by
- 2 Mr. O'Connell's own testimony, his evaluation is
- 3 extremely preliminary. He cannot make a definitive
- 4 evaluation of Mr. Trick. He hasn't had time to.
- 5 He hasn't had all the court documents. The court
- 6 documents that were disclosed was only the judgment
- 7 and sentence. He doesn't have access to the
- 8 pre-sentence information -- sorry. Pre-sentence
- 9 investigation report.
- 10 Staff doesn't even have access to that.
- 11 Staff is not responsible for Mr. Trick's risk of
- 12 re-offending. Staff does not have any control in
- 13 what his risk level is. That is established by the
- 14 End of Sentence Review Committee. That is
- 15 established by a legislatively appointed body.
- 16 That is not staff and not the Commission to
- 17 determine what Mr. Trick's risk level is.
- 18 His risk level remains a level 2. That's
- 19 a moderate risk. He could have done something to
- 20 have that amended. It appears from his testimony
- 21 today that he is now taking those steps, and many
- 22 others, but he has not up to this point, and it's
- 23 been 11 years since his release.
- 24 He notes problems with the web site, the
- 25 King County Sheriff's Office web site, yet he

- 1 hadn't noted those inconsistencies with the
- 2 sheriff's office until just a couple months ago.
- 3 He's had 11 years to do so, and only now does he
- 4 try to explain that the information in there is
- 5 incorrect.
- 6 Staff did its investigation into the
- 7 nature and extent of Mr. Trick's 1999 conviction,
- 8 and as demonstrated through the testimony that it
- 9 will interfere with his operating a household good
- 10 moving company. In addition, Mr. Trick has a track
- 11 record with staff of being less than forthcoming,
- 12 in 2013 with B&Z Moving and with this application
- 13 today.
- 14 Staff asks that your Honor denies the
- 15 application for Five Stars Moving. Thank you.
- 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you. Okay. Are
- 17 there any other procedural issues that we need to
- 18 address?
- MR. WALL: No, your Honor.
- JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. As I stated off
- 21 the record, I have requested the parties waive the
- 22 ten-day order deadline for this BAP proceeding.
- I would also indicate that I remembered,
- 24 during the closing statements, that we do still
- 25 need to hear from Dr. O'Connell on the recidivism

- 1 rate. He indicated it was approximately 10 percent
- 2 for level 1, and 18 percent for level 2, but was
- 3 going to check on that and inform counsel. I would
- 4 appreciate that information by the end of the week.
- 5 MR. WALL: Absolutely.
- 6 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: And if both parties
- 7 are amenable, I would prefer that the deadline be
- 8 waived for this order to be out until ten days
- 9 after receiving the transcript. Ten business days,
- 10 I should say.
- 11 MR. WALL: On behalf of Five Stars, we'll
- 12 waive the requirement.
- 13 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Thank you.
- 14 MR. O'CONNELL: Staff waives the
- 15 requirement as well.
- 16 JUDGE FRIEDLANDER: Okay. Great. So if
- 17 there is nothing further, this hearing is
- 18 adjourned. Thank you.
- 19 MR. WALL: Thank you, your Honor.
- MR. O'CONNELL: Thank you, your Honor.
- 21 (The hearing concluded at 3:24 p.m.)

22

23

24

25

0264	
1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE
5	
6	STATE OF WASHINGTON
7	COUNTY OF KING
8	
9	I, Mary M. Paradise, a Certified Shorthand
10	Reporter in and for the State of Washington, do
11	hereby certify that the foregoing transcript of the
12	final hearing in re: the Application of Five Stars
13	Moving, LLC on June 24, 2015, is true and accurate
14	to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.
15	
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
17	hand this 9th day of July, 2015.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	MARY M. PARADISE, CSR
23	
24	
25	