

Perkins Coie LLP 1201 Third Avenue Suite 4900 Seattle, WA 98101-3099 Records Management Dec 17, 2024

Received

T. +1.206.359.8000 F. +1.206.359.9000 perkinscoie.com

December 17, 2024

David S. Steele
DSteele@perkinscoie.com
D. +1.425.635.1633
M. +1.206.639.5736
F. +1.425.635.2633

Electronically Filed

Jeff Killip, Executive Director and Secretary Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission 621 Woodland Square Loop SE Lacey, Washington 98503

Re: DTG Enterprises, Inc., d/b/a DTG Recycle

Docket TG-240584

Dear Executive Director and Secretary Killip:

By way of introduction, my colleague David Perez and I, are now representing DTG Enterprises, Inc., d/b/a DTG Recycle ("DTG") in the above-captioned matter. Our team is still getting up to speed and we are reviewing the materials filed in the docket.

We understand that on December 10, 2024, the parties had a settlement conference that did not result in settlement. We did not participate in this settlement conference. The December 5, 2024 Prehearing Conference Order provides that in the absence of a settlement, the parties are required to report back by today with a proposed procedural schedule.

We have reviewed a draft schedule circulated by Commission Staff. Unfortunately, several of the dates proposed by Staff do not work for DTG, including the June hearing date. We are currently looking at our schedules and have communicated this to Staff.

However, given that we understand a complaint will be issued against DTG in the near future with facts that overlap with or are similar to the application pending in the above docket, DTG cannot commit to a procedural schedule until it is able to review the complaint. DTG believes that the timing of events in the above-captioned proceeding—such as the timing of filing direct testimony—could directly impact a complaint proceeding, and vice versa.

Given the likelihood that the application proceeding in the above docket would be consolidated with a complaint proceeding, DTG believes it would be prudent for a decision on the procedural schedule in the above docket be deferred pending the filing of the complaint, as we believe all parties will be better informed at that point as to the appropriate procedural schedule for both matters.

Jeff Killip December 17, 2024 Page 2

Finally, DTG is also re-evaluating its pending application in the above docket and would like to review the complaint before deciding whether to proceed. This is another reason to delay making a decision on the schedule for the pending application.

Please contact us if you have any questions.

Respectfully,

David S. Steele

DAP:ams Enclosures

cc: All Parties