1	BEFORE THE WASHINGTON U	TILITIES AND
2	TRANSPORTATION CO	MMISSION
3	WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND)	
4	TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,)	DOCKET NO. UW-031284 &
5	Complainant,)	DOCKET NO. UW-010961 (consolidated)
б	v.)	VOLUME II
7	AMERICAN WATER RESOURCES,) INC.,)	Pages 22 to 51
8	Respondent.)	
9	/) The the Metters of the	
10	In the Matter of the)	DOCKET NO. UW-031596
11	Penalty Assessment Against) Virgil R. Fox, President,)	
12	American Water Resources,) Inc., in the amount of)	
13	\$3,700.00)	
14		
15	A pre-hearing confere	nce in the above matter
16	was held on April 22, 2004, from	9:50 a.m. to 10:10
17	a.m., at 1300 South Evergreen Pa	rk Drive Southwest, Room
18	108, Olympia, Washington, before	Administrative Law
19	Judge KAREN CAILLE.	
20	The parties were pres	ent as follows:
21	THE COMMISSION, by LI	
22	Attorney General, 1400 South Even Southwest, P.O. Box 40128, Olymp	ia, Washington
23	98504-0128, Telephone (360) 664- 586-5522, E-Mail lwatson@wutc.wa	
24		
25	Joan E. Kinn, CCR, RPR Court Reporter	

1	AMERICAN WATER RESOURCES, INC., by MARK
2	NYHUS, Attorney at Law, 2405 Evergreen Park Drive
3	Southwest, Suite B-1, Olympia, Washington 98502,
4	Telephone (360) 956-7211, Facsimile (360) 753-6862,
5	E-Mail mnyhus@ywave.com.
б	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1				
2		INDEX O	F EXHIBITS	
3				
4				
5	EXHIBIT:		MARKED:	ADMITTED:
б		DENISE LAHMANN		
7	1T		42	
8	2		42	
9	3		42	
10	4		42	
11	5		42	
12	6		42	
13	7		42	
14	8		42	
15	9		42	
16	10		42	
17	11		42	
18	12		42	
19	13		42	
20	14		43	
21	15		43	
22	16		43	
23	17		43	
24	18		43	
25	19		43	

- 1 133 50
- 3 135 50

- 4 136 50
- 5 137 50
- 6 138 50
- 7 139 50
- 8 140 50
- 9 141 50
- 10 142 50
- 11 143 51
- 12 144 51

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 JUDGE CAILLE: Good morning, this is a 3 pre-hearing conference in Docket Numbers UW-031284, 4 UW-010961, and UW-031596, consolidated, captioned 5 Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission versus American Water Resources, Incorporated. The б 7 parties were notified by letter of this pre-hearing conference served on April 9th, 2004, and today is April 8 9 22nd, 2004. We are convened in a hearing room at the 10 Commission's headquarters, and my name is Karen Caille, 11 I am the presiding Administrative Law Judge for this 12 proceeding. The purpose of this pre-hearing conference 13 is essentially to prepare for the hearings that will 14 begin on Monday, and I have asked the parties to provide 15 me with a list of witnesses and the order in which they 16 will be presented and their estimates of 17 cross-examination. Before I go any further, let's get the 18

19 appearances on the record. Why don't we begin with the 20 company, if you will please give your name, you address, 21 whom you represent, phone, fax, and E-mail.

22 MR. NYHUS: My name is Mark Nyhus, N-Y-H-U-S 23 as in Sierra. I am with the law office of Richard A. 24 Finnigan representing the Respondent, American Water 25 Resources, Inc. My address is 2405 Evergreen Park Drive

Southwest, Suite B-1, Olympia, Washington 98502. My 1 telephone number is (360) 956-7211, facsimile is (360) 2 753-6862. My E-mail address is M Nyhus, N-Y-H-U-S, 3 4 @ywave, the letter Y-W-A-V-E.com. 5 JUDGE CAILLE: All right, thank you. So the E-mail address is m.nyhus@ywave.com? б 7 MR. NYHUS: The M and the Nyhus run together. JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. 8 9 MR. NYHUS: So it's mnyhus@ywave.com. JUDGE CAILLE: Oh, so there's no period after 10 11 M? 12 MR. NYHUS: Right. 13 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. MR. NYHUS: If I said that, that was 14 15 incorrect. 16 JUDGE CAILLE: That's probably just me 17 because I'm used to doing that. 18 And for Staff, you don't have to put in your 19 full, just who you are and whom you represent since you 20 have done it before. 21 MS. WATSON: Thank you, my name is Lisa 22 Watson, I'm an Assistant Attorney General representing 23 Commission Staff. JUDGE CAILLE: All right, let the record 24 25 reflect there are no other appearances.

Now to continue from where I left off, I 1 guess the first thing since I was talking about the list 2 3 of witnesses and the order of witnesses, I just want to 4 check with the parties, you should have before you a 5 matrix that incorporates the cross exhibit times of the б company and Staff, and I just wanted to check in with 7 you again about the order of those witnesses and the estimates of cross-examination. Are those accurate? 8 9 MS. WATSON: For Staff they are accurate. I 10 just wanted to note that Ms. Lahmann has a conflict in 11 the afternoon, and she is listed as first, and it 12 doesn't look like that is going to be a problem, but I 13 just wanted to note that. JUDGE CAILLE: Is it okay if she still goes 14 15 first? The way I have ordered the exhibits I assumed 16 she needed to go first. 17 MS. WATSON: Yes, we would prefer that she go first. 18 19 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. 20 Since it looks like we have 17 hours of 21 cross-examination and we have 2 days of hearing, I have 22 checked into the availability of this room for 23 Wednesday, and is everyone available on Wednesday in 24 case we need to go another day? 25 MS. WATSON: Wednesday afternoon, there is

the open meeting Wednesday morning, and I know that one or both of my Commission Staff witnesses will need to participate in that open meeting.

4 JUDGE CAILLE: All right, well, we will just 5 kind of play it by ear then. Perhaps we will have the б morning off on Wednesday morning and take up again on 7 Wednesday afternoon. My idea is to go from like 9:30 to 12:30 and 1:30 to 4:30, which will probably actually go 8 9 to 5:00, and I would like to really try to adhere to 10 that schedule so that we can get in everything that we 11 can. Because this is just your cross-examination, this 12 is not any questions that I might have or redirect 13 and --

MS. WATSON: Is there the possibility for evening sessions? I think that once we get into the hearing we will have a better sense of how it's going to go.

JUDGE CAILLE: I would really rather not, but if it's necessary, I would -- no, I think we better not. How do people's schedules look on Thursday and Friday; do you know? MS. WATSON: Friday I'm out of town, Thursday would be fine. JUDGE CAILLE: Okay.

25 MR. NYHUS: Your Honor, I will have to --

well, actually Rick Finnigan will have to get back to 1 you on that since he will actually be appearing on those 2 3 days. 4 JUDGE CAILLE: All right. MR. NYHUS: So I can't really speak for his 5 б schedule. 7 JUDGE CAILLE: Should this be a short open meeting, maybe we could begin right after the Commission 8 9 -- do you have an idea about how -- are there a lot of 10 items on this open meeting? 11 MS. HANSEN: The open meeting is packed. 12 It's going to be a long open meeting I have a feeling. 13 JUDGE CAILLE: Do you have any idea whether 14 it will go into the afternoon? 15 MS. HANSEN: Potential, but I wouldn't think 16 it would go far into the afternoon. Oftentimes the 17 commissioners will go until 12:30 or something, then they will just keep going to finish the docket. But 18 19 tomorrow I will have a better idea when I see a draft of 20 the agenda, but what I know is on there now is fairly 21 lengthy. 22 JUDGE CAILLE: All right, then we'll just kind of play this by ear. Maybe it will go more quickly 23 24 than the parties have anticipated.

Another purpose for today's hearing was to

0034

consider any objections to any of the exhibits. And 1 actually since we have the time, have the parties had a 2 3 chance to go through all the exhibits in the case? I 4 mean obviously you just got the cross exhibits, but are 5 there any objections to any of the exhibits so far? б MS. WATSON: Staff has had a chance to review 7 the exhibits, and I have also spoken with Mr. Finnigan, and Staff doesn't have any objections at this point, and 8 9 Mr. Finnigan indicated to me that he doesn't as well. JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. What I will do is I 10 11 will check in on Monday morning after the parties have 12 had an opportunity to go through the cross exhibits, and 13 perhaps the first thing we can do is to stipulate to the 14 admission of the exhibits if there are no objections. 15 MS. WATSON: One thing that I was noticing 16 just looking at the company's cross exhibit list, it 17 looks like there's some overlap. For example, DR-21 is offered both by the Staff and by the company, so we 18 19 might be able to take care of some of that too. 20 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. MS. WATSON: Judge Caille. 21 22 JUDGE CAILLE: Mm-hm. 23 MS. WATSON: The stipulation that you were 24 talking about, does that include cross exhibits or 25 pre-filed?

1	JUDGE CAILLE: I think everything. That's
2	why I was thinking I would give you until Monday to kind
3	of look things over so you would know.
4	MS. WATSON: Okay.
5	JUDGE CAILLE: Otherwise I would just mark
б	them admitted, but we'll just wait.
7	And I know from Staff that there are no
8	dispositive motions, is that correct for the company as
9	well? The company is not moving to dismiss or anything?
10	MR. NYHUS: No.
11	JUDGE CAILLE: Okay, the last thing I wanted
12	to just mention is that you have received a Bench
13	request from us, and my accounting advisor has come up
14	with some discrepancies or errors in each of both the
15	company's case and in Staff's case, and these questions
16	I believe are to ferret that out. What I really would
17	like not to do in this hearing is to turn this into like
18	a question of mathematics. I really would like us to
19	focus on the major issues. There really aren't that
20	many, and I think the mathematics we can work out down
21	the road. I apologize for the lateness of this Bench
22	request, but my accounting advisor has been in and out
23	of the hospital, and I was away, and so that's why it
24	came so late.

25 MS. WATSON: I did have a question with

1 regard to the Bench requests.

2 JUDGE CAILLE: Yes. 3 MS. WATSON: We have been working to answer 4 those, and some of them are revised exhibits that we 5 have already filed, and some of them are new exhibits. б Would you prefer that we file something separate from 7 the Bench request with the records center, or is the Bench request enough in order to get those exhibits into 8 9 the record? JUDGE CAILLE: Let's go off the record just a 10 11 moment. 12 (Discussion off the record.) 13 JUDGE CAILLE: We have had an off the record 14 discussion about how to handle the Bench requests, the 15 filing of the materials that have been requested by the 16 Bench request, and it has been decided that those 17 exhibits will be designated as Bench Requests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or response to Bench Requests 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 18 if you will just put before your response whether it's 19 20 the Staff or company. 21 Okay, is there anything further? 22 MS. WATSON: Staff did have one further item of clarification. Since this docket has been 23 24 consolidated to include the complaint case as well as 25 the penalty assessment case, I wanted a little bit of

1 clarity on Mr. Fox's representation. In the complaint case he was represented by counsel, and in the penalty 2 3 assessment case he had appeared pro se. I did have a 4 conversation with Mr. Finnigan, and he indicated that 5 Mr. Fox would be represented in all of the dockets, and б I just wanted for clarification's sake to ask about 7 that. MR. NYHUS: That's my understanding as well. 8 9 JUDGE CAILLE: So are you asking me, or are you asking Mr. Nyhus? 10 11 MS. WATSON: Well, I'm posing the question to 12 you since that's I guess the normal way of doing things, 13 and then I suppose Mr. Nyhus would be the one to respond 14 to that. 15 MR. NYHUS: That's my understanding. 16 JUDGE CAILLE: That's your understanding? 17 MR. NYHUS: Yes. JUDGE CAILLE: Okay, so yes, Mr. Finnigan or 18 Mr. Finnigan's firm will be representing all dockets. 19 20 MS. WATSON: Okay. 21 JUDGE CAILLE: Anything further? 22 MS. WATSON: The only other thing is the 23 briefing schedule, and I haven't had a chance to speak 24 with Mr. Finnigan, I did speak with Mr. Nyhus, and so I don't know if you want to address that now or Monday 25

1 morning.

2 JUDGE CAILLE: Have you discussed a briefing 3 schedule, and have you come to some agreement on a 4 briefing schedule? Because if so, let's take care of it 5 now. Because I would normally leave it I think until the end of the hearing, but since there is so much б cross-examination, I would just as soon get it taken 7 8 care of now. 9 MS. WATSON: I have a suggestion, and 10 Mr. Nyhus has a response, but neither one of us have had 11 a chance to speak with Mr. Finnigan. 12 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. 13 MS. WATSON: So I don't know if you want me 14 to go ahead and present my suggestion or wait. 15 JUDGE CAILLE: Why don't you go ahead and 16 present it just kind of so I have an idea. 17 MS. WATSON: Sure. It's a little unorthodox in the sense that it's -- I don't know if you want one 18 19 round or two rounds of briefs, but I have presented or I 20 have prepared a suggestion for both. I have a few days 21 scheduled off in May for annual leave, and I can't 22 change those plans, and so what I would like to request is to have the first round of briefs either be due in 23 24 mid to late June, so I'm looking at the 18th or 25th of June, realizing that I know that's a little further out 25

than is typically scheduled. And then if you want a 1 second round, I think a couple of weeks would be 2 3 sufficient to write a reply brief, and that's what I 4 have seen done in other cases, so I would suggest July 5 13th for that date. JUDGE CAILLE: Okay, and actually did you б 7 have another proposal of what, Staff filing and then 8 responding? MS. WATSON: No, I anticipated simultaneous 9 briefing. 10 11 JUDGE CAILLE: I prefer simultaneous 12 briefing, and I actually do prefer two rounds because we 13 sometimes have questions and they get answered in the 14 reply brief often. 15 Okay, well, we will keep that proposal in 16 mind, and if you would just mention it to Mr. Finnigan. 17 MR. NYHUS: Right. JUDGE CAILLE: Do we have a drop dead date in 18 19 this matter? 20 MS. WATSON: I don't believe so. The statute 21 anticipates a company coming in and asking for a rate 22 increase, and then the Commission is bound by the 11 month time limitation. I don't believe that in this 23 24 particular case that there is a drop dead date. The statutes seem to be silent on that. 25

1	JUDGE CAILLE: I think that's correct.
2	All right, if there's nothing further, then
3	the meeting is adjourned. Thank you for coming, and I
4	will see you Monday morning, 9:30 in this room, 108.
5	(Hearing adjourned at 10:10 a.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		(The following exhibits were marked for
2		identification.)
3		
4		DENISE LAHMANN
5	1T	(DL-1T) Testimony filed on April 1, 2004,
6		Staff rebuttal
7	2	(DL-2) DOH Letter to AWR dated August 9, 2002
8	3	(DL-3) DOH Letter to AWR dated September 18,
9		2002
10	4	(DL-4) DOH letter to UTC dated June 30, 1998
11	5	(DL-5) DOH Letter to AWR dated June 30, 1998
12	б	(DL-6) Settlement Agreement, DOH-AWR, Docket
13		No. 99-04-3-1046DW
14	7	(DL-7) AWR Water System Plan Capital
15		Improvement Program Dated May 18, 2001
16	8	(DL-8) DOH Letter to AWR dated March 15, 1999
17	9	(DL-9) DOH Letter to AWR dated April 1, 1999
18	10	(DL-10) DOH Letter to AWT dated October 19,
19		1999
20	11	(DL-11) Notice of Imposition of Penalties,
21		DOH-AWR, Docket 99-034
22	12	(DL-12) DOH Compliance Order, Docket No.
23		99-007
24	13	(DL-13) Group B Project Report, DOH
25		Publication 331-243

1	14	(DL-14) Initial Birchfield WFI
2	15	(DL-15) Birchfield WFI dated September 2002
3	16	(DL-16) WFI dated June 19, 2003, Birchfield
4	17	(DL-17) Expansion Request Excerpt, Birchfield
5	18	(DL-18) DOH Letter to AWR dated November 25,
6		2002
7	19	(DL-19) DOH Letter to United Utilities dated
8		September 19, 2002
9	20	(DL-20) AWR Letter to DOH dated May 27, 1998
10	21	(DL-21) AWR Letter to DOH dated August 6, 1998
11	22	(DL-22) Howard, Godat, Pantier & Associates
12		letter to UTC dated February 22, 1999
13	23	(DL-23) AWR Letter to DOH dated December 3,
14		1999
15	24	(DL-24) DOH Letter to AWR dated September 3,
16		1999
17	25	AWR Cross Exhibit, Birchfield Water System
18		Wells
19	26	AWR Cross Exhibit, April 8, 2004 letter from
20		AWR to Denise Lahmann
21	27	AWR Cross Exhibit, Birchfield Comp Plan
22		
23		EUGENE K. ECKHARDT
24	30T	(EKE-1T) Testimony filed on April 1, 2004,
25		Staff rebuttal

1	31	(EKE-2) AWR Response to Staff DR No. 24
2	32	AWR Cross Exhibit, AWRWUTC Customer
3		Complaints Filed by Year
4		
5		JAMES A. WARD
6	40T	(JAW-1T) Direct Testimony filed on December 6,
7		2003. (Note: Errata to testimony filed
8		February 4, 2004)
9	41T	(JAW-24T) Rebuttal Testimony filed April 1,
10		2004
11	42	(JAW-2) Staff's Results of Operations
12	43	(JAW-3) AWR Response to Staff DR No. 2; Income
13		Statement and Balance Sheet for Test period
14		July 1, 2002 - July 30, 2003
15	44	(JAW-4) AWR Compliance Filing Reducing Rates
16		(Sept. 29, 2003)
17	45	(JAW-5) Order Approving Settlement Agreement
18		(Docket No. UW-010961)
19	46	(JAW-6) Petition to Allow Use of Certain Funds
20		(Docket Nos. UW-031284/UW-010961)
21	47	(JAW-7) Application for Mitigation of
22		Penalties (Docket No. UW-031596)
23	48	(JAW-8) Payroll Allocation
24	49	(JAW-9) AWR's Account Quick Report -
25		Accounting

1	50	(JAW-10) AWR's Account Quick Report - Legal
2	51	(JAW-11) Staff's BEOY Adjustment to Rate Base
3	52	(JAW-12) AWR Response to Staff DR No. 1, Asset
4		Listing and Depreciation Schedule
5	53	(JAW-13) View Royal Sales Contract
6	54	(JAW-14) November 1, 2002, Letter from Julia
7		Parker
8	55	(JAW-15) Gain on Sale Accounting of View Royal
9		Water System
10	56	(JAW-16) Letters from Rick Finnigan and Julia
11		Parker Regarding View Royal Proceeds
12	57	(JAW-17) Birchfield Sales Contract
13	58	(JAW-18) Gain on Sale Accounting of Birchfield
14		Water System
15	59	(JAW-19) AWR's Capital Structure with Docket
16		010961 Account Adjustment
17	60	(JAW-20) AWR's Quarterly Report for Docket
18		010961 Account (November 14, 2003)
19	61	(JAW-21) June 26, 2003, Letter from Julia
20		Parker
21	62	(JAW-22) Docket UW-010961 Balance Sheet
22	63	(JAW-23) Rate Base Interest Calculation
23	64	(JAW-25) Updated Results of Operations
24	65	(JAW-26) AWR's Employee Expenses
25		

1	66	(JAW-27) Letter & Interoffice Memo from Lewis
2		County Public Health Dept.
3	67	(JAW-28) AWR Response to Staff DR No. 23
4	68	(JAW-29) AWR Response to Staff DR No. 26
5	69	(JAW-30) NRRI Survey
б	70	(JAW-31) AWR Response to Staff DR No. 16
7	71	(JAW-32) Gain on Sale - View Royal
8	72	(JAW-33) (JAW-28) AWR Response to Staff DR No.
9		21
10	73	(JAW-34)Excerpt from AWR's Water System Plan
11		December 2000
12	74	(JAW-35) Excerpt from AWR's Current Tariff
13	75	(JAW-36) Group B Sampling Document
14	76	(JAW-37) AWR's Depreciation Schedule February
15		2000
16	77	(JAW-38) Declaration and Documents from WA
17		State Dept. of Ecology
18	78	(JAW-39) Gain on Sale - Birchfield
19	79	(JAW-40) AWR's 2002 Tax Return
20	80	(JAW-41) AWR's 1999 Tax Return
21	81	(JAW-42) AWR's 2000 Tax Return
22	82	(JAW-43) Uniform Systems of Accounts Sections
23	83	(JAW-44) AWR's Quarterly Report Dated December
24		31, 2003
25	84	(JAW-45) AWR's Capital Structure

1	85	AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
2		No. 21
3	86	AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
4		No. 22
5	87	AWR Cross Exhibit, Birchfield Water System
6		Components
7	88	AWR Cross Exhibit, Birchfield Original Cost
8	89	AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
9		No. 23
10	90	AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
11		No. 27
12	91	AWR Cross Exhibit, Declaration of Richard
13		Finnigan dated April 21, 2004
14	92	AWR Cross Exhibit, Declaration of Julia Parker
15		dated April 21, 2004
16		
	93	AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Profit/Loss January
17	93	AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Profit/Loss January through March 2004
17 18	93 94	
		through March 2004
18		through March 2004 AWR Cross Exhibit, Compensation
18 19	94	through March 2004 AWR Cross Exhibit, Compensation comparisonAWR and other water companies
18 19 20	94	through March 2004 AWR Cross Exhibit, Compensation comparisonAWR and other water companies AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
18 19 20 21	94 95	through March 2004 AWR Cross Exhibit, Compensation comparisonAWR and other water companies AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR No. 1
18 19 20 21 22	94 95	<pre>through March 2004 AWR Cross Exhibit, Compensation comparisonAWR and other water companies AWR Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR No. 1 AWR Cross Exhibit, Invoice #1718 dated April</pre>

1		JULIA M. PARKER
2	100T	(JMP-1T) Direct Testimony filed on February
3		19, 2004
4	101	(JMP-2) Pro forma Results of Operations &
5		Restating Adjustments
б	102	(JMP-3) Profit & Loss and Balance Sheet
7		Statements
8	103	(JMP-4) Calculation of Annual Effect of
9		Revenue Reduction
10	104	(JMP-5) Employee Payroll, Payroll Taxes, and
11		Fringe Benefits
12	105	(JMP-6) Beginning-End of Year Average Rate
13		Base
14	106	(JMP-7) Amortization of Plant Expenditures
15		from reserve account CIAC-Capital Improvements
16		Account
17	107	(JMP-8) Monthly Income Statements for January
18		through December 2002
19	108	(JMP-9) Capital Structure, Weighted Cost of
20		Debt, Cost of Equity, and Rate of Return
21	109	(JMP-10) Pro Forma Officer/Manager's Salary
22	110	(JMP-11) Rate Case Cost
23	111	(JMP-12) Company Site Assessment of Group
24		Public Water Supply Costs
25		

1	112	Staff Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
2		No. 28
3	113	Staff Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
4		No. 14
5	114	Staff Cross Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
6		No. 15
7		
8		VIRGIL R. FOX
9	120T	(VRF-1T) Direct Testimony filed February 19,
10		2004
11	121	(VRF-2) UTC Proposed Rates: Pro Forma Results
12		of Operations for the 12 Months Ended June 30,
13		2003
14	122	(VRF-3) AWR Survival Budget: Pro Forma
15		Results of Operations for the 12 Months Ended
16		June 30, 2003
17	123	(VRF-4) Engineer's Proposal No. 1338
18	124	(VRF-5)Group B Permits
19	125	(VRF-6) County Review of Group B Systems
20	126	(VRF-7) Summary of Surcharge Bids
21	127	(VRF-8) Summary of Impound Funds
22	128	(VRF-9) Present Day Pictures of Systems in
23		Need of Repair
24	129	(VRF-10) Present Day Pictures of New Systems
25	130	(VRF-11) DOH Birchfield Approval

1 13	1 (VRF-12)	Group B Designations and Map of
2	Systems	
3 13	2 (VRF-13)) DOH Designation of Group A Systems
4 13	3 (VRF-14)	DOH Approval to 25 Connections
5 13	4 (VRF-15)	DOH Approval to 37 Connections
6 13	5 Staff Cr	coss Exhibit, Excerpt of Docket Nos.
7	UW-98007	72, UW-980258, UW-980265
8	(consoli	idated), 5th Supplemental Order
9	(Novembe	er 24, 1998)
10 13	6 Staff Cr	coss Exhibit, Docket No. UW-010961,
11	Staff Or	pen Meeting Memo, October 24, 2001
12 13	7 Staff Cr	coss Exhibit, Docket No. UW-991392,
13	Order Ag	oproving Tariff Revisions (November 15,
14	1999)	
15 13	8 Staff Cr	coss Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
16	No. 27	
17 13	9 Staff Cr	coss Exhibit, AWR Response to Staff DR
18	No. 6	
19 14	0 Staff Cr	ross Exhibit, Letter from Julia Parker
20	to Carol	le Washburn dated November 19, 2002
21 14	1 Staff Cr	ross Exhibit, Letter from Richard
22	Finnigar	n to Carole Washburn dated January 23,
	2003	
23		
23 24 14	2 Staff Cr	coss Exhibit, AWR Supplemental Response

1	143	Staff Cross Exhibit, Staff Summary of AWR
2		Supplemental Response to Staff DR No. 21
3	144	Staff Cross Exhibit, Staff Video of the WUTC
4		Open Meeting, October 22, 2003
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		