Exhibit No. JP-1T Docket UE-23____ Witness: Jack Painter

BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION,	D 1 (11F 22
Complainant,	Docket UE-23
v.	
PACIFICORP dba PACIFIC POWER & LIGHT COMPANY	
Respondent	

PACIFICORP

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF JACK PAINTER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUALIFICATIONS	
PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	1
SUMMARY OF THE PCAM DEFERRAL CALCULATION	4
2022 PCAM CALCULATION	
DIFFERENCES IN NPC	11
IMPACT OF PARTICIPATING IN THE WEIM	15
PTC TRACKER	16
CONCLUSION	18

ATTACHED EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. JP-2—2022 PCAM Deferral Calculation

Exhibit No. JP-3—2022 PTC Tracker Calculation

1	Q.	Please state your name, business address, and present position with PacifiCorp
2		dba Pacific Power & Light Company (PacifiCorp or Company).
3	A.	My name is Jack Painter and my business address is 825 NE Multnomah Street, Suite
4		600, Portland, Oregon 97232. My title is Net Power Cost Specialist.
5		QUALIFICATIONS
6	Q.	Briefly describe your education and professional experience.
7	A.	I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration with a Finance major
8		from Washington State University in 2007. I have been employed by PacifiCorp since
9		2008 and have held positions in the regulation and jurisdictional loads departments. I
10		joined the regulatory net power costs group in 2019 and assumed my current role as a
11		Net Power Cost Specialist in 2020.
12	Q.	Have you testified in previous regulatory proceedings?
13	A.	Yes. I have previously provided testimony to the public utility commissions in
14		Washington, Oregon, California, Utah, Wyoming, and Idaho.
15		PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
17	A.	My testimony presents and supports the Company's calculation of the Power Cost
18		Adjustment Mechanism (PCAM) for the 12-month period from January 1, 2022,
19		through December 31, 2022 (Deferral Period). More specifically, I provide the
20		following:
21 22		 Background on the PCAM and an accounting of how the PCAM balance was calculated for the Deferral Period;

1 2 3		 Discussion of the main differences between adjusted actual net power costs (Actual NPC) and net power costs in rates (Base NPC), both allocated on a Washington Inter-Jurisdictional Allocation Methodology (WIJAM) basis;¹
4 5 6 7		 Discussion about the Company's participation in the Western Energy Imbalance Market (WEIM) with the California Independent System Operator (CAISO) and the benefits from the WEIM that are passed through to customers; and
8 9		 Background on the Production Tax Credit (PTC) Tracker and an accounting of how the PTC balance was calculated for the Deferral Period.
10		Additionally, PacifiCorp's filing includes testimony from Ramon J. Mitchell, who
11		addresses the prudence of PacifiCorp's risk management practices and hedging
12		activities as required by the Commission order from the 2022 Power Cost Only Rate
13		Case. ²
14	Q.	Please explain the settlement stipulation in PacifiCorp's last general rate case in
1415	Q.	Please explain the settlement stipulation in PacifiCorp's last general rate case in docket UE-191024 (2021 Rate Case).
	Q. A.	
15		docket UE-191024 (2021 Rate Case).
15 16		docket UE-191024 (2021 Rate Case). The parties to the 2021 Rate Case originally settled the proceeding in July of 2020
15 16 17		docket UE-191024 (2021 Rate Case). The parties to the 2021 Rate Case originally settled the proceeding in July of 2020 and agreed to an update to the Base NPC calculation that would occur in October of
15 16 17 18		docket UE-191024 (2021 Rate Case). The parties to the 2021 Rate Case originally settled the proceeding in July of 2020 and agreed to an update to the Base NPC calculation that would occur in October of 2020 (October Update). The October Update reflected a \$17.9 million increase to
15 16 17 18 19		docket UE-191024 (2021 Rate Case). The parties to the 2021 Rate Case originally settled the proceeding in July of 2020 and agreed to an update to the Base NPC calculation that would occur in October of 2020 (October Update). The October Update reflected a \$17.9 million increase to Base NPC over the \$101.7 million that was estimated in the original settlement. Since
15 16 17 18 19 20		docket UE-191024 (2021 Rate Case). The parties to the 2021 Rate Case originally settled the proceeding in July of 2020 and agreed to an update to the Base NPC calculation that would occur in October of 2020 (October Update). The October Update reflected a \$17.9 million increase to Base NPC over the \$101.7 million that was estimated in the original settlement. Since the October Update NPC increase was greater than the balance of the PCAM deferred

¹ The new WIJAM was approved in the Company's last general rate case in Docket No. UE-191024 and became effective beginning January 1, 2021. ² WUTC v. PacifiCorp d/b/a Pacific Power & Light Co., Docket No. UE-210402, Order 06 at ¶154 (Mar. 29,

^{2022).}

1		(Commission) approved, the reflection of this difference in the PCAM deferred
2		balancing account.
3	Q.	How is the incremental increase in Base NPC from the October Update reflected
4		in the PCAM deferral account?
5	A.	A step is added to the calculation of the 2022 PCAM deferral balance to include the
6		deferred portion of the Base NPC: the Deferred NPC Baseline Adjustment (DNBA).
7		The DNBA is equal to the \$/megawatt-hour (MWh) difference between the October
8		Update (October NPC) and Base NPC multiplied by the actual sales.
9		Deferred NPC Baseline Adjustment
10		$= (October\ NPC_{\$/MWh}\ - Base\ NPC_{\$/MWh}) \times Actual\ Sales_{MWh}$
11		The DNBA is calculated and added to the PCAM balance monthly. This amount does
12		not run through the dead and sharing bands but is added in after the bands are applied
13		Interest accrues monthly consistent with the past operation of the PCAM.
14	Q.	When do rates for the 2021 Rate Case and therefore the DNBA end?
15	A.	The 2021 Rate case is in effect from January 1, 2021, through April 30, 2022.
16	Q.	When do rates for the 2022 Power Cost Only Rate Case (PCORC) docket
17		UE-210402 begin?
18	A.	Rates for the 2022 PCORC begin on May 1, 2022. The 2022 PCORC established new
19		Base NPC rates, new Base PTC rates, and eliminated the DNBA.
20	Q.	Are you proposing a rate change to Schedule 97 as part of this proceeding?
21	A.	Yes. If the cumulative PCAM deferred balancing account meets the surcharge or
22		credit threshold of \$17 million, there would be a proposed change to Tariff
23		Schedule 97. Since the ending balance in the 2022 PCAM deferred balancing account

is a \$71.5 million surcharge, the Company proposes to change Tariff Schedule 97
using a 24-month amortization period beginning January 1, 2024. Over the course of
the amortization period, the Company expects to recover a total of \$77.3 million,
which includes interest through the two-year amortization period as shown in
workpaper 4.3 Any difference between the amount the Company expects to collect
and actual customer collections at the end of the amortization period will be included
in the 2025 PCAM deferred balancing account to be filed in June 2026.
SUMMARY OF THE PCAM DEFERRAL CALCULATION
Please briefly describe the Company's PCAM authorized by the Commission.
The Commission's Order 09 in docket UE-140762 approved the PCAM to allow the
Company to track unexpected variations in power costs in the PCAM deferral
account. In most years, if the cumulative positive or negative balance in the PCAM
deferral account, including monthly interest, exceeds \$17 million, either a surcharge
or sur-credit is triggered.
Please summarize the Company's calculation of the PCAM deferral for the
Deferral Period.
For the Deferral Period, the cumulative PCAM differential was a \$72.7 million
charge before application of the deadband and asymmetrical sharing bands. After
application of the deadband and asymmetrical sharing bands, the filing results in a
deferral charge of \$59.4 million. The DNBA adjustment when applied to actual

Washington sales results in a charge of \$6.2 million. Including interest, the total

PCAM recovery for the deferral period is \$71.5 million.

³ NEW-PAC-PCAM-WP4-6-15-23.xlsx

Q.

A.

Q.

A.

1	Q.	Have you provided detailed support for the calculation of the PCAM balance
2		with your testimony?
3	A.	Yes. Exhibit No. JP-2 includes a detailed calculation of the Company's 2022 PCAM
4		deferral on a monthly basis. Detailed confidential workpapers supporting Exhibit No.
5		JP-2 are provided separately.
6		2022 PCAM CALCULATION
7	Q.	Please describe the Company's calculation of the PCAM deferral for the
8		Deferral Period.
9	A.	As previously noted, the PCAM deferral is calculated on a monthly basis as the
10		difference between Base NPC collected through general rates and Actual NPC. The
11		accrued PCAM variance is subject to the following parameters:
12 13		• Symmetrical Deadband: Any PCAM difference between negative \$4 million and positive \$4 million will be absorbed by the Company.
14		• Asymmetrical sharing of the PCAM difference as follows:
15 16 17 18 19 20 21		 Between \$4 and \$10 million; shared 50 percent by customers and 50 percent by the Company; Greater than \$10 million; shared 90 percent by customers and 10 percent by the Company; Between -\$4 and -\$10 million; shared 75 percent by customers and 25 percent by the Company; and Less than -\$10 million; shared 90 percent by customers and 10 percent by the Company.
23 24 25		 Amortization of Deferral: The amortization of PCAM variances are deferred until the balance of the deferral balancing account results in either a surcharge or credit greater than \$17 million.
26		For the Deferral Period, the PCAM differential was a \$72.7 million charge. After
27		application of the deadband and asymmetrical sharing bands plus the DNBA
28		adjustment, the Company is seeking approval to charge the PCAM balancing account

- 1 with \$71.5 million including interest. A summary of the deferral calculation is shown
- 2 in Table 1.

Table 1
Summary of PCAM Account Balance

Actual PCAM Costs (\$/MWh)	\$	50.81
Base PCAM Costs (\$/MWh)		33.48
PCAM Cost Differential (\$/MWh)		17.33
Washington Sales (MWh)	4,1	81,079
Total PCAM Differential*	\$ 72,6	71,801
Total Deferrable ABOVE Deadband		-
Total Deferrable BELOW Deadband	68,6	71,801
Washington Deferral after Sharing	59,4	04,621
DNBA Adjustment	6,2	35,305
Interest Accrued through December 31, 2022	7	03,704
Interest Accrued January 1, 2023 through March 31, 2023	1,0	52,084
Interest Accrued April 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023	1,2	71,584
Interest Accrued July 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023	2,7	99,978
Requested PCAM Recovery	\$ 71,4	67,276

- 3 Q. How is the PCAM differential calculated on a monthly basis?
- 4 A. The PCAM differential is calculated by subtracting the NPC collected in base rates
- from the PCAM Adjusted Actual Costs as shown in the formula below:
- 6 PCAMC (Base NPC_{\$/MWh} × Actual Sales) = PCAM Differential

1 2 3 4		Where: PCAMC -	Adjusted actual WIJAM NPC costs allocated to Washington using allocation factors calculated with actual jurisdictional load
5 6 7 8		Base NPC\$/MWh -	Base NPC unit cost; calculated by dividing Washington- allocated NPC as established in a rate proceeding by the Washington sales-at-meter used to set rates in the rate proceeding
9		Actual Sales -	Actual Washington retail sales at the meter
10		The cumulative I	PCAM variance is first compared against the symmetrical
11		deadband. Cumulative a	mounts in excess of the symmetrical deadband are then
12		subject to the sharing ba	nds. The customer portion of the PCAM variance is tracked
13		in the deferral balancing	account and monthly balances accrue interest at the current
14		Federal Energy Regulator	ory Commission (FERC) interest rate. A rate change is
15		triggered when the custo	omer surcharge or credit exceeds \$17 million.
16	Q.	What were the WIJAM	I-adjusted Actual NPC for the Deferral Period and how
17		were they determined?	
18	A.	The WIJAM-adjusted A	ctual NPC in the Deferral Period was approximately
19		\$212 million. This amou	ant captures all components of NPC as defined in the
20		Company's general rate	case and power cost only rate case proceedings and modeled
21		by the Company's General	ration and Regulation Initiative Decision Tool (GRID) model
22		and Aurora model respec	ctively. Booked NPC are adjusted to reflect a balanced
23		WIJAM consistent with	the methodology used in the 2021 Rate Case and the 2022
24		PCORC. Specifically, it	includes amounts booked to the following FERC accounts:
25		Account 447 - Sa	ales for resale;

1		Account 501 - Fuel, steam generation; excluding fuel handling, start-up fuel
2		(gas and diesel fuel, residual disposal) and other costs that are
3		not modeled in GRID;
4		Account 503 - Steam from other sources;
5		Account 547 - Fuel, other generation;
6		Account 555 - Purchased power; and
7		Account 565 - Transmission of electricity by others.
8	Q.	What adjustments are made to Actual NPC and why are they needed?
9	A.	The Company adjusts Actual NPC to reflect the ratemaking treatment of several
10		items, including:
11 12		• out of period accounting entries booked in the Deferral Period that relate to operations before implementation of the PCAM on April 1, 2015;
13		 reductions to coal costs for legal fees related to fines and citations;
14		• revenue from a contract related to the Leaning Juniper wind resource;
15 16		• an adjustment for costs related to participation in the Western Power Pool's (WPP) ⁴ Western Resource Adequacy Program (WRAP); and
17 18		• an adjustment for costs of the WEIM Body of State Regulators (BOSR) fees charged for commission related work as a participant in the WEIM.
19	Q.	Please state the amount of the adjusted Actual NPC that were allocated to
20		Washington and describe how the amount was calculated.
21	A.	Washington-allocated Actual NPC were approximately \$212.4 million during the
22		Deferral Period. To arrive at this value, the Company applied the allocation
23		methodology approved by the Commission using actual allocation factors from
24		calendar year 2022.

⁴ Western Power Pool was formerly known as Northwest Power Pool.

Direct Testimony of Jack Painter

1	Q.	How much of base PCAM costs did the Company collect from Washington
2		customers during the Deferral Period?
3	A.	During the Deferral Period, the Company received \$139.8 million in base PCAM
4		revenue from Washington customers, \$72.7 million less than Washington-allocated
5		Actual NPC.
6	Q.	What was the total amount of the deferral over the Deferral Period?
7	A.	After application of the deadband and asymmetrical sharing bands to the NPC
8		differential plus the DNBA adjustment, the deferral was a \$71.5 million charge
9		including interest, as shown in Table 1.
10	Q.	Please describe how the interest on the PCAM deferral balance was determined.
11	A.	Interest is accrued monthly on the PCAM deferral balance during the deferral period
12		and from the end of the deferral period until Schedule 97 rates become effective
13		January 1, 2024, at the FERC interest rates that are published quarterly. As shown in
14		Table 1, the 2022 PCAM accrued \$5.8 million of interest. Additionally, interest
15		accrues through the amortization period while rates are being collected. The
16		Company expects to collect \$5.9 million throughout the amortization period.
17	Q.	Are costs related to Western Power Pool's (WPP) Western Resource Adequacy
18		Program (WRAP) and the CAISO WEIM Body of State Regulators (BOSR)
19		included in the PCAM?
20	A.	Yes. Costs have been included related to the participation in the WRAP and the
21		WEIM BOSR. Both costs were included in the 2023 Rate Case in docket UE-230172
22		for rates effective on March 1, 2024. Because this PCAM filing covers the 2022
23		deferral period, calendar year 2022 costs have been included in this filing and 2023

- 1 costs will be included in the 2023 PCAM, but costs will not be included in the 2024
 2 PCAM filing if approved in the 2023 Rate Case. Washington allocated costs are
 3 \$4,428 for participation in the WEIM BOSR and \$35,688 for participation in the
 4 WPP WRAP.
- 5 Q. Is the Company requesting a rate change with this filing?
- A. Yes. The PCAM balancing account exceeds the customer surcharge or credit
 threshold of \$17 million and the Company is requesting a rate change to schedule 97
 with a 24-month amortization period beginning January 1, 2024. Please refer to Table
 below for a summary of the deferred balancing account.

Table 2
Deferred Balancing Account

	Washington Customers	
Balancing Account Activity		
Beginning Deferral Balance	\$	-
2022 PCAM Deferral	59	,404,621
DNBA Adjustment	6	5,235,305
Interest		703,704
Activity Through December 31, 2022	66	5,343,630
Interest Accrued January 1, 2023 through March 31, 2023	1	,052,084
Interest Accrued April 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023	1	,271,584
Interest Accrued July 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023	2	2,799,978
December 31, 2022 Ending Balance	\$ 71	,467,276

DIFFERENCES IN NPC

- 2 Q. On a WIJAM basis, what was the difference between Actual NPC and Base NPC
- **3 for the Deferral Period?**
- A. Actual NPC for the Deferral Period were \$212 million, which was \$75 million more
 than Base NPC for the Deferral Period. Table 3 below provides a high-level summary
 of the difference between the Base NPC and Actual NPC by category on a WIJAM
- 7 basis.

Table 3
Net Power Cost Reconciliation (\$millions)

Base NPC	\$ 137
Increase/(Decrease) to NPC:	
Wholesale Sales Revenue	(0)
Purchased Power Expense	43
Coal Fuel Expense	(2)
Natural Gas Expense	31
Wheeling and Other Expense	2
Total Increase/(Decrease)	75
2021 GRC Settlement Adjustment	0
Total Company NPC Difference	\$ 75
Adjusted Actual NPC	\$ 212

- 8 Q. Please describe the Base NPC the Company used to calculate the NPC component
- 9 **of the PCAM deferral.**
- 10 A. Two Base NPC rates were used to calculate the 2022 PCAM deferral. First, the 2021
- Rate Case established Base NPC of \$122 million using a test period of January 2021
- through December 2021 and became effective January 1, 2021, and ended April 30,

1		2022. Second, the 2022 PCORC established Base NPC of \$146 million using a test
2		period of January 2022 through December 2022 and became effective May 1, 2022.
3	Q.	Please describe some of the weather events that impacted NPC during the
4		Deferral Period.
5	A.	Similar to 2021, calendar year 2022 was also marked by several extreme and
6		unforeseeable weather events that had a collective impact on Actual NPC during the
7		year. Multiple heat waves across the Company's service territories throughout July,
8		August, and September had a significant effect on market prices, ultimately leading to
9		an increase in NPC. Cumulatively, the NPC differential for those months amounted to
10		\$16.4 million, or almost a quarter of the entire \$72.7 million variance on a
11		Washington-allocated basis.
12		Additionally, ongoing drought in the West, which began in the summer of
13		2020, continued to impact Actual NPC because it reduced the availability of the
14		Company's hydro resources. In 2022, actual generation from hydro resources were
15		34,893 MWhs, or 13 percent, lower than forecast generation and needed to be
16		replaced to meet customer demand either through system dispatch of other resources,
17		reduced market sales, increased market purchases, or any combination of these
18		options. The estimated impact to WIJAM NPC in 2022 due to decreased hydro
19		MWhs from drought is \$4.5 million.
20		Finally, in December 2022 a historic winter cyclone event occurred across the
21		majority of the U.S., which impacted both market prices and natural gas prices, along
22		with an increase in demand. Natural gas prices across the Company's delivery points

drastically increased. At the Sumas natural gas trading hub, average prices were

- 1 401 percent higher in December 2022 as compared to December 2021, while market
- 2 prices at the Mid-Columbia and Four-Corners trading hubs were, on average,
- 3 406 percent higher across all load hours. The NPC differential in December alone is
- 4 \$38.6 million, over half of the total Washington-allocated NPC variance.
- 5 Q. How has the conflict in Ukraine impacted regional natural gas fuel prices?
- 6 A. The ongoing conflict in Ukraine has resulted in decreased availability of natural gas
- 7 in Europe, which was previously sourced from Russian imports. With decreased
- 8 European supply, the associated European demand has turned to U.S. domestic supply
- 9 to fill the gap. This has resulted in increased competition over domestic supply, which
- has driven regional natural gas fuel prices upwards due to domestic production being
- unable to keep pace with the increased demand. This increase in natural gas fuel
- prices correspondingly increases regional natural gas market prices and regional
- power market prices, in that order. It is difficult to predict (or forecast) how long, and
- in what direction, these factors will continue to impact regional prices.
 - Q. Please describe the differences between Actual NPC and Base NPC.
- 16 A. Actual NPC were higher than Base NPC due to a \$43 million increase in purchased
- power expense, a \$31 million increase in natural gas fuel expense, and a \$2 million
- increase in wheeling and other expenses. These increased expenses were partially
- offset by a \$2 million reduction in coal fuel expense and a \$200 thousand increase in
- wholesale sales revenue.

- 21 Q. Please explain the changes in wholesale sales revenue.
- 22 A. Wholesale sales revenue increased by \$200 thousand relative to Base NPC because
- 23 the average price of actual wholesale sales market transactions (represented in GRID

and Aurora as short-term firm and system balancing sales) was \$30.91/MWh, or 94 percent, higher than Base NPC. The increase in the average price of actual wholesale sales market transactions was partially offset by a decrease in actual wholesale market volumes of 39 gigawatt-hours (GWh), which were 45 percent lower than Base NPC.

Q. Please explain the changes in purchased power expense.

Α.

Purchased power expense increased primarily due to higher market purchases of \$43.4 million (represented in GRID and Aurora as short-term firm and system balancing purchases) with the most significant impact tied to several heat waves throughout July, August, and September, further compounded by ongoing drought dating back to the summer of 2020. Actual market purchases were approximately 90 GWh, or 8 percent, lower than Base NPC, but the average price of actual market purchase transactions was \$48.67/MWh, or 97 percent, higher than Base NPC. Company witness Ramon Mitchell also provides additional information on the Company's hedging practices and discusses market exposure in Washington-allocated NPC.

During the summer 2022 heat waves, the Mid-Columbia market hub saw an average increase in heavy load hour market prices of 103 percent, or just over double, in August and September as compared to the same timeframe in 2021. This is significant considering 2021 also experienced an extreme heat dome and drought. The Four Corners market hub saw an average increase in heavy load hour market prices of 151 percent for the same period.

Q. Please explain the changes in coal fuel expense.

1

15

16

- 2 A. Coal generation volume decreased by 13 GWh compared to Base NPC and overall
- 3 coal fuel expense decreased due to the lower average cost of coal generation from
- 4 \$24.43/MWh in Base NPC to \$23.67/MWh, or 3 percent, in the Deferral Period.
- 5 Q. Please explain the changes in natural gas fuel expense.
- 6 A. The total natural gas fuel expense in Actual NPC increased by \$31.1 million
- 7 compared to Base NPC due to an increase in the average cost of natural gas
- 8 generation from \$39.17/MWh in Base NPC to \$61.33/MWh, or 57 percent in the
- 9 deferral period caused by conflict in Ukraine and a historic winter weather event as
- discussed above. Additionally, natural gas volumes were 335 GWh, or 71 percent,
- higher than Base NPC during the Deferral Period. Even with higher natural gas prices
- in 2022, Company owned gas-generating plants were still least-cost dispatch
- resources, on average, and more economic than market purchases leading to the
- increase in natural gas output.

IMPACT OF PARTICIPATING IN THE WEIM

- Q. What is the CAISO Western Energy Imbalance Market?
- 17 A. The CAISO WEIM is an advanced real-time energy market that automatically finds
- low-cost energy to serve real-time consumer demand across the west by allowing
- participants to buy and sell power close to the time electricity is consumed. Since its
- launch in 2014, the WEIM has enhanced grid reliability, improved the integration of
- 21 renewable resources, lowered carbon emissions, and generated significant cost
- savings for its participants.

1	Q.	Are the actual benefits from participating in the WEIM included in the PCAM
2		deferral?
3	A.	Yes. Participation in the WEIM provides significant benefits to customers in the form
4		of reduced Actual NPC. The benefits are embedded in Actual NPC through lower fuel
5		costs, lower purchased power costs, and higher wholesale sales revenue.
6	Q.	What are the actual WEIM benefits included in the PCAM deferral?
7	A.	CAISO's WEIM benefits report indicates that PacifiCorp has received \$200 million
8		in benefits in 2022. Since inception of the WEIM, PacifiCorp has received
9		\$591.4 million in total benefits.
10		PTC TRACKER
11	Q.	What are PTCs?
12	A.	Renewable electricity PTCs are tax credits derived from the generation at certain
13		eligible company-owned facilities. For each kilowatt-hour of energy generated, the
14		Company receives a credit for a duration of 10 years beginning on the date which the
15		facility became commercially operational. The credit is included as an offset to the
16		Company's federal income taxes and is credited to customers for rate-making
17		purposes.
18	Q.	What is the PTC Tracker?
19	A.	In the 2021 Rate Case, the settlement stipulation and order outlined that PTCs will be
20		credited to customers in a manner that matches the cost in the PCAM without running
21		through the mechanism. Instead, the differences between Base PTCs and Actual PTCs
22		will receive separate accounting treatment and be trued-up on an annual basis. The

1		PTC Tracker will return or recover the variance in Base PTCs as compared to Actual
2		PTCs on an annual basis consistent with the structure of the PCAM.
3	Q.	Please summarize the Company's calculation of the PTC Tracker for the
4		Deferral Period.
5	A.	For the Deferral Period, the cumulative PTC differential was a \$1.4 million charge.
6		Including interest, the total PTC recovery for the deferral period is \$1.6 million. In
7		the Company's limited-issue rate case, docket UE-210532, Parties agreed that a one-
8		time refund be issued to customers to update for the delayed in-service dates for these
9		plants, but did not address the differential to the related PTCs at that time because the
10		PTC Tracker existed and was intended to address these discrepancies. A summary of
11		the PTC Tracker calculation is shown in Table 4.
12	Q.	Have you provided detailed support for the calculation of the PCAM balance
13		with your testimony?
14	A.	Yes. Exhibit No. JP-3 includes a detailed calculation of the Company's 2022 PTC

deferral on a monthly basis. Detailed confidential workpapers supporting Exhibit No.

JP-3 are provided separately.

15

Table 4
Summary of PTC Tracker Deferral

Actual PTCs (\$/MWh)	\$ 4.47
Base PTCs (\$/MWh)	4.81
PTC Differential (\$/MWh)	(0.35
Washington Sales (MWh)	4,181,079
Total PTC Differential*	\$ 1,437,075
Interest Accrued through December 31, 2022	2,495
Interest Accrued January 1, 2023 through March 31, 2023	22,829
Interest Accrued April 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023	27,592
Interest Accrued July 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023	60,756
Requested PTC Recovery	 \$ 1,550,746

1 CONCLUSION

- 2 Q. Please summarize your testimony.
- 3 A. The PCAM deferral of \$71.5 million, including interest for the calendar year 2022
- 4 Deferral Period was accurately calculated in compliance with the PCAM tariff and
- 5 previous Commission orders. The increase is driven by extreme weather events,
- 6 increased market purchases, and both higher market prices and natural gas fuel prices.
- 7 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 8 A. Yes.