1	Q.	Please state your name, business address and present position with
2		PacifiCorp (the Company).
3	A.	My name is Bruce N. Williams. My business address is PacifiCorp, 825 NE
4		Multnomah, Suite 1900, Portland, Oregon 97232. I was elected Treasurer by the
5		Board of Directors in February, 2000. Prior to my election as Treasurer, I served
6		as Assistant Treasurer for several years.
7	Qual	ifications
8	Q.	Mr. Williams, please briefly describe your education and business
9		experience.
10	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with a
11		concentration in Finance from Oregon State University in June 1980. I also
12		received the Chartered Financial Analyst designation upon passing the
13		examination in September 1986. I have been employed by PacifiCorp for 19
14		years. My business experience has included financing of PacifiCorp's electric
15		operations and non-utility activities, investment management, investor relations
16		and responsibility for certain non-regulated activities.
17	Q.	Please describe your present duties.
18	A.	I am responsible for the Company's treasury, pension and other investment
19		management and certain non-regulated affiliate activities. In this proceeding, I
20		am responsible for the preparation of the Company's embedded cost of debt and
21		preferred equity and the testimony related to the Company's capital structure.
22		

Purpose of Testimony

1

- 2 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
- 3 A. I will first present a financing overview of the Company. Next, I will discuss the
- 4 planned amounts of common equity, debt, and preferred stock to be included in
- 5 the Company's planned capital structure. I will then analyze the embedded cost
- of debt and preferred stock supporting PacifiCorp's electric operations in the state
- of Washington as of February 2005, forecasted through September 30, 2006 (the
- 8 mid-point of the rate effective period). This analysis includes the use of forward
- 9 interest rates, historical relationship of security trading patterns, and known and
- measurable changes to the debt and preferred stock portfolios.
- 11 Q. What time period does your analysis cover?
- 12 A. The historical test period in this proceeding is the twelve months ending
- September 30, 2004, and the rate-effective period is the twelve months ending
- March 31, 2007. The analysis supporting the embedded cost of debt and
- preferred stock was conducted using the most currently available information and
- relies on information from the Company's financial planning process. At the time
- this filing was prepared, the Company had forecasted capital structure numbers
- through Fiscal Year 2006 (the twelve-month period ending March 31, 2006) and I
- 19 have utilized this information in this filing.
- 20 **Q.** What is the overall cost of capital that you are proposing in this proceeding?
- 21 A. PacifiCorp is proposing an overall cost of capital of 8.754%. This cost includes
- 22 the Return on Equity recommendation from Dr. Hadaway and the following
- 23 capital structure and costs:

1			PacifiCorp			
2		0	verall Cost of Capit	al		
3			March 31, 2006			
4			Percent of	%	Weighted	
5		Component	Total	Cost	Average	
6		Long Term Debt	49.40%	6.427%	3.175%	
7		Preferred Stock	1.10%	6.590%	0.072%	
8		Common Stock Equity	<u>49.50%</u>	11.125%	5.507%	
9		Total	<u>100.00%</u>		8.754%	
10						
11	Fina	ncing Overview				
12	Q.	How does PacifiCorp fina	nce its electric utili	ity operations?		
13	A.	PacifiCorp finances the cash	h flow requirements	of its regulated	utility operations	
14		utilizing a reasonable mix of debt and equity securities designed to provide a				
15		competitive cost of capital a	and predictable capi	tal market access	S.	
16	Q.). How does PacifiCorp meet its debt and preferred equity financing				
17		requirements?				
18	A.	PacifiCorp relies on a mix of	of first mortgage bor	nds, other secure	d debt, tax exempt	
19		debt, unsecured debt and pr	eferred stock to mee	et its long-term d	lebt and preferred	
20		stock financing requirement	ts.			
21		The Company has co	oncluded the majori	ty of its long-ter	m financing	
22		utilizing secured first mortg	age bonds issued u	nder the PacifiCo	orp Mortgage	
23		Indenture dated January 9,	1989. Exhibit No	(BNW-2) sho	ws that, as of	
24		September 30, 2006, Pacific	Corp is projected to	have approxima	tely \$3.3 billion of	
	Direc	ct Testimony of Bruce N. Will	iams	Exhibit	No(BNW-1T)	

first mortgage bonds outstanding, with an average cost of 6.78 percent and average maturity of 11.1 years. Presently, all of PacifiCorp's first mortgage bonds bear interest at fixed rates. Proceeds from the issuance of the first mortgage bonds (and other financing instruments) are used to finance the combined utility operation and are not allocated on a divisional basis.

Another important source of financing has been the tax-exempt financing associated with certain qualifying equipment at PacifiCorp's power generation plants. Under arrangements with local counties and other tax-exempt entities, PacifiCorp borrows the proceeds and guarantees the repayment of the long-term debt in order to take advantage of their tax-exempt status in financings. As of September 30, 2006, PacifiCorp's tax-exempt portfolio is projected to be \$736 million in principal amount with an average cost of 4.85 percent (which includes the cost of issuance and credit enhancement).

Planned Capital Structure

- Q. How did you determine the amount of common equity, debt, and preferred stock to be included in the Company's planned capital structure?
- A. As a regulated utility, PacifiCorp has a duty and an obligation to provide safe,
 adequate and reliable service to customers in its Washington service territory
 while balancing cost and risk. Significant capital expenditures for plant and
 network maintenance, power delivery infrastructure, clean air investments, and
 hydro relicensing activities are required for PacifiCorp to fulfill this obligation.
 Through its planning process, PacifiCorp determined the amounts of necessary
 new financing needed to support these activities and calculated the required

equity and debt ratios required to maintain our current 'A-' credit rating for senior secured debt.

Q. Please describe the changes to the level of equity financing.

3

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

4 Α. Beginning in June 2005, PacifiCorp is planning to receive four quarterly cash 5 infusions of \$125 million from PHI, its parent company. Based on the latest 6 approved budgets and plans, these amounts will be required to support the A-7 credit rating. PHI will be requested to inject the equity and PacifiCorp will, in 8 turn, issue new shares of common equity to PHI. This will result in an additional 9 \$500 million of new common equity in PacifiCorp at the end of our fiscal year 10 2006. During this same period, the Company will also secure additional debt 11 financing.

Q. Please describe the changes to the Company's levels of debt financing.

A. Over the period ending September 30, 2006, the balance of the outstanding long-term debt will change through maturities, principal amortization and sinking fund requirements, and issuance of new securities. Based upon the long-term debt series outstanding at February 28, 2005, I have calculated the reduction to the outstanding balances for maturities, principal amortization and sinking fund requirements, which are scheduled to occur during the period ending September 30, 2006. The total long-term debt maturities and principal amortized over this period is \$269.7 million. Then I added \$400.0 million of long-term debt issuances necessary to fund our operations and to refinance the debt maturing through September 30, 2006. The \$400.0 million reflects the refinancing of matured debt as well as \$130.3 million of additional debt financing. This debt

1		issuance is consistent with PacifiCorp's budget and is necessary to fund our
2		ongoing operations. This increase in debt financing is also consistent with, and
3		balanced by, the projected increase in equity provided through a series of cash
4		infusions from PacifiCorp's parent company, as discussed above, as well as
5		increased retained earnings.
6	Q.	Please describe the changes to the Company's level of preferred equity
7		financing.
8	A.	For preferred stock, I started with the balance outstanding at February 28, 2005
9		and made a reduction of \$7.5 million of preferred stock to reflect the sinking fund
10		requirements of the \$7.48 Series No Par Serial Preferred stock. A sinking fund
11		payment of \$3.75 million will occur on June 15, 2005 and June15, 2006.
12	Q.	How does this projected capital structure compare to comparable electric
13		utilities?
14	A.	The projected capital structure is consistent with the comparable group that Dr.
15		Hadaway has selected in his estimate of Return on Equity. Both PacifiCorp and
16		the group of comparable companies show an increasing percentage of common
17		equity in their capital structures. The Value Line estimate of common equity ratio
18		for the comparable group is 53.2 percent.
19	Q.	Is the proposed capital structure consistent with the Company's current
20		credit rating?
21	A.	Yes. This planned capital structure is intended to enable PacifiCorp to deliver its
22		required capital expenditures while maintaining credit ratios that support the
23		continuance of our current 'A-' credit rating.

1	Ο.	Have	previous e	equity	infusio	ns been	made f	from	PHI	to the	Compa	anv	?
1	V.	Have	pi c vious c	quity	IIII usivi		i iiiauc i	II OIII	1 111	to the	Comp	um	,

A. Yes. In December 2002, PHI increased its investment in the Company with an equity infusion of \$150 million, shoring up PacifiCorp's capital structure. This was in addition to the Company's elimination of dividends during fiscal year 2003. These actions significantly supported PacifiCorp's financial condition that had deteriorated during and after the Western energy crisis and likely prevented further downgrades of the Company's debt.

Q. How does maintenance of a strong credit rating benefit customers?

A. The credit rating given to a utility has a direct impact on the price that utility pays to attract the capital necessary to support its current and future operating needs. A strong credit rating directly benefits customers by reducing immediate and future borrowing costs related to the financing needed to support regulatory operations.

Q. Are there other benefits?

A.

Yes. During periods of capital market disruptions, higher-rated companies are more likely to have on-going, uninterrupted access to capital. This is not always the case with lower-rated companies, which during such periods find themselves either unable to secure capital or able to secure capital only on unfavorable terms and conditions. In addition, higher-rated companies have greater access to the long-term markets for power purchases and sales. Such access provides these companies with more alternatives when attempting to meet the current and future load requirements of their customers. Finally, a company with strong ratings will often avoid having to meet costly collateral requirements that are typically imposed on lower-rated companies when securing power in these markets.

1	Q.	What steps has the Company taken to implement the financing strategy set
2		forth in its forecast?
3	A.	The Company has obtained PacifiCorp Board approval for debt and equity
4		issuances included in the plan. The Company has filed for regulatory approval to
5		increase the authorized amounts of equity and debt that it may issue. The planned
6		increased levels of debt and equity have also been included in presentations to
7		rating agencies. These agencies have used this information as part of their
8		determination of PacifiCorp's credit ratings.
9	Q.	Is PacifiCorp subject to rating agency debt imputation associated with
10		Purchased Power Agreements?
11	A.	Yes. Rating agencies and financial analysts consider Purchased Power
12		Agreements (PPAs) to be debt-like and will impute debt and related interest when
13		calculating financial ratios. For example, S&P will adjust PacifiCorp's published
14		results and add in debt and interest resulting from PPAs when assessing
15		PacifiCorp's creditworthiness. They do so in order to obtain a more accurate
16		assessment of a company's financial commitments and fixed payments. Exhibit
17		No(BNW-3) is the May 12, 2003 publication by S&P detailing its view of the
18		debt aspects of PPAs.
19	Q.	How does this impact PacifiCorp?
20	A.	During a recent ratings review, S&P evaluated our PPAs and other related long-
21		term commitments. This resulted in approximately \$520 million of additional
22		debt and \$52 million of interest expense being added to our debt and coverage
23		tests.

- Q. How would the inclusion of this PPA related debt affect the Company'scapital structure?
- A. By including the \$520 million imputed debt resulting from PPAs, the Company's
 capital structure would have a lower equity component as a corollary to the higher
 debt component.

6 Financing Cost Calculations

- 7 Q. How did you calculate the Company's embedded costs of long-term debt and 8 preferred stock?
- A. I calculated the embedded costs of debt and preferred stock using the
 methodology relied upon in the Company's previous rate cases in Washington
 and elsewhere.
- 12 Q. Please explain the cost of debt calculation.
- 13 I calculated the cost of debt by issue, based on each debt series' interest rate and A. 14 net proceeds at the issuance date, to produce a bond yield to maturity for each 15 series of debt. It should be noted that in the event a bond was issued to refinance 16 a higher cost bond, the pre-tax premium and unamortized costs, if any, associated 17 with the refinancing were subtracted from the net proceeds of the bonds that were 18 issued. The bond yield was then multiplied by the principal amount outstanding 19 of each debt issue, resulting in an annualized cost of each debt issue. Aggregating 20 the annual cost of each debt issue produces the total annualized cost of debt. 21 Dividing the total annualized cost of debt by the total principal amount of debt 22 outstanding produces the weighted average cost for all debt issues. This is the 23 Company's embedded cost of long-term debt.

1 Q. How did you calculate the embedded cost of preferred stock'
--

- 2 Α. The embedded cost of preferred stock was calculated by first determining the cost 3 of money for each issue. This is the result of dividing the annual dividend rate by 4 the per share net proceeds for each series of preferred stock. The cost associated 5 with each series was then multiplied by the stated value or principal amount 6 outstanding for each issue to yield the annualized cost for each issue. The sum of 7 annualized costs for each issue produces the total annual cost for the entire 8 preferred stock portfolio. I then divided the total annual cost by the total amount 9 of preferred stock outstanding to produce the weighted average cost of all issues. 10 This is the Company's embedded cost of preferred stock.
- 11 Q. A portion of the securities in the Company's debt portfolio bears variable
 12 rates. What is the basis for the projected interest rates used by the
 13 Company?
- 14 A. The majority of the Company's variable rate debt is in the form of tax-exempt 15 debt. Exhibit No.___(BNW-4) shows that these securities had been trading at 16 approximately 85 percent of the 30-day LIBOR (London Inter Bank Offer Rate) 17 for the period January 1999 through February 2005. Therefore, the Company has 18 applied a factor of 85 percent to the forward 30-day LIBOR Rate and added the respective credit enhancement and remarketing fees for each floating rate tax-19 20 exempt bond. Credit enhancement and remarketing fees are included in the 21 interest component because these are costs which contribute directly to the 22 interest rate on the securities.

1	Q.	Regarding the \$400.0 million of new long-term debt issuances mentioned
2		above, how did you determine the interest rate for this new long-term debt?
3	A.	I assumed this debt would be issued at the Company's estimated February 2005
4		credit spreads over the projected twenty-year Treasury rates as of September 29,
5		2006. Finally, I added in the effect of issuance costs. This reflects the
6		Company's best estimate of the cost of new debt, assuming the Company's senior
7		secured long-term debt ratings remain unchanged. Currently the Company's
8		senior secured long-term debt is rated A- and A3 by Standard & Poor's and
9		Moody's respectively.
10	Q.	What is the resulting estimated interest rate for this new long-term debt?
11	A.	The Company's estimated February 2005 credit spread for twenty-year notes was
12		0.85 percent. The forward twenty-year Treasury rate for September 29, 2006 is
13		4.943 percent. Issuance costs for this type of note add approximately 9 basis
14		points (i.e., 0.09 percent) to the all-in cost. In addition, there are costs related to
15		the refunding of previously outstanding higher cost debt retired prior to scheduled
16		maturity that add about 3 basis points. Therefore the projected cost of
17		replacement debt is $(0.85\% +4.94\%+0.09\% +0.03\%) = 5.91$ percent.
18	Q.	How does this compare to the cost of the debt that is maturing through
19		September 30, 2006?
20	A.	That debt has an average cost of 7.10 percent.

- 1 Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt
- 2 Q. What is the Company's embedded cost of long-term debt?
- 3 A. Exhibit No.___(BNW-2) shows the embedded cost of long-term debt at
- 4 September 30, 2006 at 6.427 %.
- 5 Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock
- 6 Q. What is the Company's embedded cost of preferred stock?
- 7 A. Exhibit No.___(BNW-5) shows the embedded cost of preferred stock at
- 8 September 30, 2006 at 6.590 %.
- 9 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 10 A. Yes.