002	45 BEFORE THE WASHINGTON UTILITIES AND
2	TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
3	
4 5 6 7 8	In the Matter of the Petition of Cause No. UT-991991 of Cause No. U-86-79 (Cause No. U-86-101 MCI WORLDCOM, INC. and SPRINT (CORPORTATION, Pages 245-264 for an Order Disclaiming Jurisdiction, or in the Alternative, Approving the Transfer of Control of Sprint (Corporation's Washington Operating Subsidiaries to MCI (Cause No. UT-991991 (Cause No. U-86-79 (Cause No. U-86-101 (Cause No.
10	WORLDCOM, Inc.
11	In the Matter of the Petitions) of)
12	U.S. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS)
13	COMPANY, and
14 15	MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS) CORPORATION)
16	
17	A public hearing in the above
18	matter was held on May 16, 2000, at 6:03 p.m., at
19	1300 Evergreen Park Drive Southwest, Olympia,
20	Washington, before Administrative Law Judge KAREN
21	CAILLE, Chairwoman MARILYN SHOWALTER, Commissioner
22	RICHARD HEMSTAD, and Commissioner WILLIAM R. GILLIS.
23	
24	The parties were present as
25	follows:

```
00246
                       MCI WORLDCOM, INC., by Jean L.
   Kiddoo, Attorney at Law, Swidler, Berlin, Shereff,
   Friedman, LLP, 3000 K. Street, N.W., Suite 300,
   Washington, D.C., 20007-5116.
 3
                       SPRINT CORPORATION, by Eric S.
   Heath, Attorney at Law, 330 S. Valley View Boulevard,
    Las Vegas, Nevada 89107.
 5
                       THE COMMISSION, by Jonathan
   Thompson, Assistant Attorney General, 1400 S.
   Evergreen Park Drive, S.W., P.O. Box 40128, Olympia,
   Washington 98504-0128.
 8
                  PUBLIC COUNSEL, by Robert Cromwell,
    Jr., Assistant Attorney General, 900 Fourth Avenue,
   #2000, Seattle, Washington 98164.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
   Barbara L. Nelson, CSR
21
   Court Reporter
22
              CHAIRWOMAN SHOWALTER: Good evening. This
23
   is a public hearing on the question of the Sprint-MCI
   merger. I'm Marilyn Showalter, the Chairwoman of the
24
   Commission, and to my right are Commissioners Hemstad
```

20

21

22

23

24

25

and Bill Gillis, and together we make up the Commission. To my left is Karen Caille, who is the Administrative Law Judge in this proceeding. And because this is a quasi-judicial 5 proceeding with certain rules for taking evidence, she is going to both conduct the hearing and also 7 explain just a little bit what those rules are to help members of the public who have come here know 9 what to expect and how to present themselves. 10 will turn it over to Karen Caille. 11 JUDGE CAILLE: Good evening, and welcome. 12 We're very glad that you came tonight. This is a 13 public comment hearing. It is part of the 14 Commission's formal hearing process as it considers 15 whether to approve, approve with conditions, or 16

disapprove the merger that MCI WorldCom and Sprint 17 hope to complete later this year. 18 The Commission's hearing process is one

where we take both technical evidence from the parties who formally appear in front of us, and then we also take public testimony. And that is what we are doing tonight.

The purpose of this hearing this evening is to provide the Commissioners with information which they can use to decide if this merger is in the

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

public interest. Let me just take a minute or two to acquaint you with the process here. The purpose of your testimony is not to ask questions of the Commission or of myself. The purpose is to give a statement, and hopefully give the Commission some idea of whether this is in the public interest for this merger to occur.

The Commission Staff and company representatives are in the audience tonight. And I think what I'll do is direct you to Penny Hansen at the back of the room. And she can, if you have questions that you want to ask of those people, she can direct you to them.

Also, I want to let you know that this is not your only opportunity to comment. We will take comments I think probably through -- well, Public Counsel and I were discussing this, and I'm trying to decide when the briefs -- I think maybe when the initial round of briefs are due would be a good -- but I don't -- perhaps we should do it a week, two weeks from tonight? Is that two weeks -- would that be --

MR. CROMWELL: I think that would probably be sufficient, Your Honor.

JUDGE CAILLE: All right.

MR. CROMWELL: Saves us from having to try to resurrect our trial calendar. JUDGE CAILLE: All right. So any more written comments can be turned in by that date. 5 you have written comments tonight, will you please give those to Mr. Cromwell, because he will be making an exhibit of all the public comments, and those will 7 be entered into the official record. 9 I'm going to ask Counsel who are here to 10 make your formal appearance at this time. This means 11 that they will be stating their name and whom they 12 represent, and I will ask Counsel to introduce any 13 representatives that are here with them tonight. So 14 how about we begin with Staff. 15 MR. THOMPSON: Okay. My name's Jonathan 16 Thompson, and I am the lawyer for the Commission 17 Staff. 18

MR. CROMWELL: Thank you, Judge Caille. My name is Robert Cromwell. I'm also an Assistant 19 20 Attorney General with the state of Washington. 21 with the Public Counsel section, and our job is to appear in front of the Utilities and Transportation 22 23 Commission to represent the citizens of this state, 24 particularly the customers of the regulated telecommunications, electric, and natural gas

7 MR. HEATH: My name's Eric Heath. I'm 8 Counsel for Sprint Corporation. With me today is 9 Nancy Judy and Richard Pfeiffer.

10 JUDGE CAILLE: All right, thank you. Next, 11 I believe Mr. Cromwell has some comments for us. 12 Then, following Mr. Cromwell's comments, I will swear 13 you in, and then you will be assisted with the 14 process of making your statement by Mr. Cromwell. 15 When you finish speaking, please remain at the 16 microphone in case someone -- the Commissioners or 17 one of the attorneys may have a question for you. 18 And then, after you've answered your questions, if 19 there are any, you will be excused and we'll call the 20 next witness. All right. Public Counsel. 21

MR. CROMWELL: Thank you, Judge Caille.

Just to give you a brief bit of background on the

case, the companies have filed a petition with the

Commission asking that it disclaim jurisdiction, or

in the alternative, approve the transfer of control

of Sprint's Washington assets to WorldCom. To put it in plain English, the companies have asked the Commission to either say that they don't have jurisdiction over this merger or to 5 approve it, if they feel they do have jurisdiction. The parties to this case include WorldCom, Sprint, SBC Communications, the Utilities and Transportation Commission Staff and Public Counsel. Please remember that WorldCom is the new name for what had formerly 9 10 been MCI, was for a little while MCI WorldCom, and 11 now we're referring to it as just WorldCom. You 12 should also know that SBC is a company that competes 13 with WorldCom and Sprint in some markets. 14 After the hearings are complete, the 15 parties will file briefs with the Commission, 16 asserting their respective views on the law and facts 17 of this case. The Commission has not yet made its 18 decision. This is an opportunity for you, the public, to comment on this proposed merger and 19 20 whether you think that it is in the public interest. 21 At this point, I'm ready to call on members 22 of the public who wish to speak tonight. The one 23 thing, as Judge Caille mentioned, that needs to 24 happen first, is that she will swear everyone in. I'll pause for a moment to let that happen.

00252 JUDGE CAILLE: Anyone in the room who will be testifying, please raise your right hand. Whereupon, 4 ALVIN ZIMMERMANN and GERALD W. ABERNATHY, 5 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 6 JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you. 7 MR. CROMWELL: Thank you, Judge Caille. Mr. Zimmermann, would you please come forward, sir, 9 and have a seat in one of the chairs in front of a 10 microphone. We use the microphones just to make sure 11 that everyone can hear what everyone has to say and 12 for the court reporter's convenience. 13 Please state your name and spell your last 14 name for the record? 15 MR. ZIMMERMANN: Alvin Zimmermann, 16 Z-i-m-m-e-r-m-a-n-n. 17 MR. CROMWELL: And Mr. Zimmermann, where do 18 you live? 19 MR. ZIMMERMANN: In Thurston County. 20 MR. CROMWELL: And are you a customer of 21 either WorldCom or Sprint? 22 MR. ZIMMERMANN: I'm a current customer of 23

MR. CROMWELL: And do you receive business

Sprint.

25 or residential service or both?

24

00253 1 MR. ZIMMERMANN: Both. 2 MR. CROMWELL: Are you testifying on your own behalf today or on behalf of others? MR. ZIMMERMANN: On my own behalf. 4 5 MR. CROMWELL: And do you have a statement 6 tonight regarding the merger of WorldCom and Sprint? 7 MR. ZIMMERMANN: I do. 8 MR. CROMWELL: Please make your statement, 9 sir. 10 MR. ZIMMERMANN: It's probably appropriate to tell you that I'm pretty small potatoes when it 11 12 comes to telephone fees when you compare what I'm 13 going to tell you to large business. I have three 14 telephone lines, an incoming 800 number. I spend 15 between \$3,000 and \$4,000 a year on telephone 16 services. 17 I haven't any idea which company, perhaps 18 both, stand to gain from this merger. I don't know 19 the economics of the merger. I'm here as a consumer 20 of their services with experience with both 21 companies. 22 I was a customer of MCI for about a year 23 and a half, and during that year and a half I 24 experienced a year and a half of difficulties and problems, and problems with billing errors, with poor

1 customer service, with an absence of follow-through 2 on complaints, and with an extremely confusing 3 invoicing method.

Every call that I placed to MCI during that period of time in attempts to correct these billing errors was met with a complete lack of attention on the part of MCI. In one instance, I called after each of three consecutive monthly invoices before the problem was resolved, and then, the following month, the error was back once again.

Even after I dropped service from MCI, I continued to receive monthly invoices for the ensuing four months. Each of those invoices for basic services, which I was no longer using and no longer subscribing to.

I'm now a customer of Sprint. The difference between MCI and Sprint, in my experience, as a consumer of their products, it is like the difference between night and day. I no longer experience billing errors; conversations I have with customer services are handled promptly and, most importantly, accurately; and their invoicing system is clear and concise. They provide service without any of the problems or hassles I experienced with MCI.

And I'm testifying tonight for two reasons.
First, because I'm personally fearful that a merger
between these two companies will result in a
deterioration in the quality of service that
customers receive. My experience with merged
companies typically finds that the quality of
customer service deteriorates to the level of the
poorer of the two. I have personal experience only
with three mergers, but in all three cases, customer
service deteriorated to the level of the poorer in
that arena.

And in this case, with MCI, assuming the services provided -- currently provided by Sprint, I will have no alternative but to search elsewhere for my telephone services.

The second reason I'm testifying is because I believe that customers, consumers in Washington State, should have the right to choose from among alternatives, and the merger will eliminate one of our options, and that leaves me with only one other major carrier to consider. I check out my telephone alternatives on an annual basis. And among current alternatives, Sprint is the most cost-effective for my business and for my residential services.

I strongly urge that this merger not take

25

place in the state of Washington. I appreciate your time and the opportunity to express my opinions. That's all I have to say, unless you have questions. 4 JUDGE CAILLE: Are there any questions for 5 this witness? 6 CHAIRWOMAN SHOWALTER: You said there was 7 one problem that occurred in three consecutive months and then showed up again. What kind of problem was 9 that? 10 MR. ZIMMERMANN: It was a problem in the 11 billing, but it has now been a few years, and I've 12 thrown all of those papers away. I would be hard 13 pressed to come up with specifics on it, but it was 14 an overcharging error that just kept coming back and 15 coming back. 16 CHAIRWOMAN SHOWALTER: And what time period 17 was this? 18 MR. ZIMMERMANN: The years '94, '95, might have gone into '96, but certainly in '94 and '95. 19 20 CHAIRWOMAN SHOWALTER: And you said you'd 21 had experience with other mergers going to the kind of lowest level of service. What kinds of mergers 22 23 were those? 24 MR. ZIMMERMANN: Well, those were not

utility companies, but as an independent contractor,

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I work with and represent several companies in Washington, and I've gone through three different mergers with them. One of them being -- well, in all cases, a company buying out another company, buying 5 out a company that I represent. And in fact, in the latest case, I represented both companies, and one of my companies bought out one of my other companies 7 that I represent, and the quality certainly reflects the poorer of those. And I still represent both of 9 10 those companies. 11

So they're companies that sell products to schools and libraries. That's the nature of my business.

CHAIRWOMAN SHOWALTER: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HEMSTAD: You suggest, then, that you would have only one other major provider of service if this merger is approved. What about the other smaller providers? Would you not consider them?

MR. ZIMMERMANN: I constantly receive solicitations from those smaller providers, as I'm sure all of you do. Each time I check them out, they come out to be more expensive. Let me give you a 24 recent example. I received a solicitation from a 25 company offering five-cent long distance telephone

```
00258
```

```
service, and I now currently pay twice that amount.
   So I checked on it, and a switch to that company
   would cost me over $60 a month more, because they
   attach a minimum length to those calls in order to
 5
   get that. The net result, in going back through the
   number of calls I made in one billing cycle, 100 and
   some -- 160 or 70 phone calls, the net result would
 7
   be very expensive for me.
9
              COMMISSIONER HEMSTAD: Thank you.
10
              JUDGE CAILLE: Are there any other
11
               All right. Thank you, Mr. Zimmermann,
   questions?
12
   for your testimony.
13
             MR. CROMWELL: Thank you, Mr. Zimmermann.
14
   Mr. Abernathy, please step forward, sir. Please
15
   state your name and spell your last name for the
16
   record?
17
             MR. ABERNATHY: Gerald W. Abernathy,
18
   A-b-e-r-n-a-t-h-y.
19
             MR. CROMWELL: And Mr. Abernathy, where do
20
   you live?
21
             MR. ABERNATHY: I live in Thurston County.
22
             MR. CROMWELL: Are you a current customer
23
   of either WorldCom or Sprint?
24
             MR. ABERNATHY: No, I'm not.
             MR. CROMWELL: Do you receive business,
25
```

```
00259
   residential or both services?
             MR. ABERNATHY: From?
 3
             MR. CROMWELL: From your current phone
 4
   company?
 5
             MR. ABERNATHY: Yes.
 6
             MR. CROMWELL: Residential, business, or
 7
   both?
8
             MR. ABERNATHY: Residential.
9
             MR. CROMWELL: Okay.
10
             MR. ABERNATHY: I do not have a business.
             MR. CROMWELL: All right. And are you
11
12
   testifying on your own behalf or on behalf of any
13
   other?
14
             MR. ABERNATHY: On my own behalf.
             MR. CROMWELL: And do you have a statement
15
16
   to make tonight regarding this merger?
             MR. ABERNATHY: I do.
17
             MR. CROMWELL: Please make your statement,
18
19
   sir.
20
             MR. ABERNATHY: Good evening. In 1963, MCI
21
   applied for a license to do business. William
   McGowan managed to tell the same lies so often, so
22
23
   well, that he built a company using everything
24
   illegal at his disposal and gained a position in the
25 communications world. MCI managed to continue to
```

cheat people out of billions of dollars all the time they have been in business. They never ever play by the rules of anyone else and have continued to be the company with the most complaints against them, even to this day.

The Attorney General's Office decided, by Bill Baxter, of the Justice Department, that he knew best what was for the American public and managed to tear about the best communications system that this nation has ever seen, and that was the old bell system.

His reasoning was to foster competition in the long distance market and with local service. Today, we have local telephone service that is mostly the same as it was 20 years ago, with very little less cost; mostly additional cost to support the local telephone companies and the long distance service.

In the long distance market, we used to have more than three companies, but now it is down to just three, MCI WorldCom, which is the worst company in the world. It was purchased by -- MCI, which is the worst company in the world, was purchased by WorldCom to leave us with barely three again. Now WorldCom wants to reduce us back to two.

I ask this Commission to refuse this purchase. First, we were told it was better for the American public. Then we were told it was better for competition. Next we were told that it was just 5 better. The old bell system is gradually getting 7 back together, as SBC Communications has purchased a great many of the old seven. It is not in anyone's interest for MCI WorldCom for the purchase of Sprint 9 10 Communications. Let's keep this a free market and 11 don't decide for us again that it would be better. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. CROMWELL: Mr. Abernathy, just for the 14 record, I don't think you stated it explicitly, 15 although we could probably wager a guess, are you for 16 or opposed to this merger? 17 MR. ABERNATHY: I ask the Commission to 18 refuse this purchase. 19 MR. CROMWELL: Thank you, sir. JUDGE CAILLE: Mr. Abernathy, would you 20 21 mind turning in your written comments to Mr. 22 Cromwell? 23 MR. ABERNATHY: I'm embarrassed. I did not 24 have a chance to spell-check it, but I would be glad 25 to, yes.

JUDGE CAILLE: Thank you, and that will become part of the exhibit, as well as the testimony that's been given. MR. ABERNATHY: And Your Honor, I would 5 like to make just a quick statement. I used to work for AT&T. I was a vendor liaison manager for them and handled the market in Nevada. I can attest to Mr. Zimmermann's problems, because I was called in by 9 many of the casinos down in that area to help them 10 with their massive billing problems. Most of those 11 billing problems were what we called shadow calls, 12 which were calls that were supposedly made, but were 13 of a sufficient length that they were not completed, 14 because MCI used a timing feature. 15 And at one point in my investigations, I 16 had some of the companies, it was up to eight, nine, 17 and \$10,000 in dispute, that eventually were taken to court. So that's all I wanted to say. Any 18 19 questions? 20 JUDGE CAILLE: Any questions? 21 CHAIRWOMAN SHOWALTER: Do you have any 22 other relationship with AT&T, like retirement? 23 MR. ABERNATHY: I am retired, yes. 24 CHAIRWOMAN SHOWALTER: In other words, do 25 you have any financial interest in AT&T, or do they

00263 -- are you on -- do you receive any retirement payments from AT&T? MR. ABERNATHY: Yes, I have retired from 4 them. 5 CHAIRWOMAN SHOWALTER: Thanks. 6 JUDGE CAILLE: Any other questions? 7 you for your testimony, Mr. Abernathy. MR. CROMWELL: Thank you, Mr. Abernathy. 9 If you'll just hand that over, I'll add it to the 10 other written comments. Actually, if you would do me 11 a favor and sign and date it at the end, that way, 12 we'll know it's you. 13 Your Honor, I do not believe we have anyone 14 else registered to provide public comment tonight. 15 JUDGE CAILLE: Okay. Well, then, I wish to 16 thank everyone for coming, and your comments will be 17 considered by the Commission. We are now in the 18 formal hearing process, and your comments will be 19 taken into consideration in making the decision. 20 If there's anyone who would like to follow

If there's anyone who would like to follow this case, please see Ms. Hansen at the back of the room, and she's with our public affairs division, and she can give you information on -- or she can take your information and you will be apprised of the outcome of the decision.

21

22 23

24

25

```
00264
     If there's nothing further before the Commission, then we stand adjourned. Thank you. (Proceedings adjourned at 6:23 p.m.)
 4
5
6
7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```